<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion</th>
<th>Original Motion</th>
<th>Original Motion</th>
<th>Original Motion</th>
<th>Original Motion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Staff and Council explore methods to capture the added value of the added density along corridors to particularly as it relates to Affordable Housing, displacement, demolition, review times/ permitting, and imagine Austin performance indicators.</td>
<td>9. Staff and Council explore methods to capture the added value of the added density along corridors to particularly as it relates to Affordable Housing, displacement, demolition, review times/ permitting, and imagine Austin performance indicators.</td>
<td>8. Performance mechanisms be identified by PC and staff to show the success and failures of the Code, adoption, Staff to show Council what major elements of Title 25 are not being included in CodeNEXT.</td>
<td>7. Land Use Commission’s recommendation is shown to Council by each Division. Prior to the Code process and measure if the added density is delivering. the anticipated affordable units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Prior to the Code being enacted, test and model the code in a wide-range of development scenarios etc. Process to be revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
<td>6. Planning Commission Recommendation is the starting point for Council Review.</td>
<td>5. Entire Code needs to be reviewed by a Master Editor prior to adoption</td>
<td>4. Prior to the Code being enacted, test and model the code in a wide-range of development scenarios etc. Process to be revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Process to phase out F25 with stakeholder input regarding items such as Conditional Overlays, TODs, Corridors. Following the adoption of CodeNEXT, Land Use Commission revisit the Imagine Austin Centers and found with code language. Problems first are revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
<td>3. Process to phase out F25 with stakeholder input regarding items such as Conditional Overlays, TODs, Corridors. Following the adoption of CodeNEXT, Land Use Commission revisit the Imagine Austin Centers and found with code language. Problems first are revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
<td>2. No.</td>
<td>1. Staff anticipates that amendments will be needed after adoption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Chapter 23-1 Policy</td>
<td>Chapter 23-1 Policy</td>
<td>Chapter 23-1 Policy</td>
<td>Chapter 23-1 Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Establish triage points after the Council adopts the codes such as quarterly check-ins as problems are</td>
<td>establishes triage points after the Council adopts the codes such as quarterly check-ins as problems are</td>
<td>5. Establish triage points after the Council adopts the codes such as quarterly check-ins as problems are</td>
<td>5. Establish triage points after the Council adopts the codes such as quarterly check-ins as problems are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Where Article 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, additional changes:</td>
<td>6. Where Article 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, additional changes:</td>
<td>6. Where Article 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, additional changes:</td>
<td>6. Where Article 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, additional changes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Where there is conflict between amendments made by the Planning Commission, Staff works to rectify</td>
<td>8. Where there is conflict between amendments made by the Planning Commission, Staff works to rectify</td>
<td>8. Where there is conflict between amendments made by the Planning Commission, Staff works to rectify</td>
<td>8. Where there is conflict between amendments made by the Planning Commission, Staff works to rectify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Amendment to Original Motion</td>
<td>Amendment to Original Motion</td>
<td>Amendment to Original Motion</td>
<td>Amendment to Original Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Original Motion</td>
<td>Original Motion</td>
<td>Original Motion</td>
<td>Original Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Motion Passed/ Failed</td>
<td>Motion Passed/ Failed</td>
<td>Motion Passed/ Failed</td>
<td>Motion Passed/ Failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>1. Where Article 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, additional changes:</td>
<td>6. Where Article 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, additional changes:</td>
<td>5. Establish triage points after the Council adopts the codes such as quarterly check-ins as problems are</td>
<td>4. Prior to the Code being enacted, test and model the code in a wide-range of development scenarios etc. Process to be revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Staff to continue to review items and exhibits in all Chapters presented in the May 25th Planning Commission action, and present them to Council for their action. Passed 10 0 1</td>
<td>Staff to continue to review items and exhibits in all Chapters presented in the May 25th Planning Commission action, and present them to Council for their action. Passed 10 0 1</td>
<td>Staff to continue to review items and exhibits in all Chapters presented in the May 25th Planning Commission action, and present them to Council for their action. Passed 10 0 1</td>
<td>Staff to continue to review items and exhibits in all Chapters presented in the May 25th Planning Commission action, and present them to Council for their action. Passed 10 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Staff and consultants to prepare a report card of the Planning Commission mapping with stakeholder participation, and testing of the financial impacts of the Code, including additional corrections to those discrepancies are made.</td>
<td>Staff and consultants to prepare a report card of the Planning Commission mapping with stakeholder participation, and testing of the financial impacts of the Code, including additional corrections to those discrepancies are made.</td>
<td>Staff and consultants to prepare a report card of the Planning Commission mapping with stakeholder participation, and testing of the financial impacts of the Code, including additional corrections to those discrepancies are made.</td>
<td>Staff and consultants to prepare a report card of the Planning Commission mapping with stakeholder participation, and testing of the financial impacts of the Code, including additional corrections to those discrepancies are made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet Passed 9 2 0</td>
<td>CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet Passed 9 2 0</td>
<td>CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet Passed 9 2 0</td>
<td>CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet Passed 9 2 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>5. Entire Code needs to be reviewed by a Master Editor prior to adoption</td>
<td>4. Prior to the Code being enacted, test and model the code in a wide-range of development scenarios etc. Process to be revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
<td>3. Process to phase out F25 with stakeholder input regarding items such as Conditional Overlays, TODs, Corridors. Following the adoption of CodeNEXT, Land Use Commission revisit the Imagine Austin Centers and found with code language. Problems first are revisited by Planning Commission and then Council.</td>
<td>2. No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>1. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
<td>1. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
<td>1. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
<td>1. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>3. No.</td>
<td>3. No.</td>
<td>3. No.</td>
<td>3. No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>4. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
<td>4. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
<td>4. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
<td>4. Staff anticipates testing after the code is adopted (before it is effective).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>8. Concur, but it will take many years of on-the-ground changes to make this</td>
<td>8. Concur, but it will take many years of on-the-ground changes to make this</td>
<td>8. Concur, but it will take many years of on-the-ground changes to make this</td>
<td>8. Concur, but it will take many years of on-the-ground changes to make this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Number</td>
<td>Section Specific to Chapter or Article, or General to Code, or Specific to Article</td>
<td>Motion Page No.</td>
<td>Related Exhibit</td>
<td>Staff Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-2A-3030(B)(2)</td>
<td>23-2A-3040(B)(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-1A-6010 &amp; 23-1A-6020</td>
<td>A-1.7.1. Development Minimum Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6020</td>
<td>A-1.7.1. Development Minimum Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-9.16.1</td>
<td>Water quality and drainage standards added for 1-6 units by 23-2A-3 should still apply.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-9.3</td>
<td>Where an existing single-family home has been made non-conforming by the new code, that home can be renovated or rebuilt under today's standards. Staff to adjust language to not penalize existing homes that do not conform to the new zoning. Passed 11 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAZ</td>
<td>CodeNEXT Draft 3 Recommendation Report to City Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAZ</td>
<td>Motion Passed/ Failed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Original Motion Exclude Heritage Trees Passed 10 2 1**
- **Amendment to Original Motion**
- **Original Motion**
- **Steven Oliver Exhibit 1 Passed 7 5 1**
- **Add language to 23-1A-6010 and 23-2A-6020 regarding Minimum Development Potential as shown in (A) more than 300 square feet; and remodel or expansion is:**
  - an as-built condition within one year from the date of the certificate of occupancy, if the construction, determined by the Building Official that there has been an adverse impact to adjoining lots attributable to patterns and to provide an engineered grading plan and complete the work specified therein if it is (2) Provide an affidavit from both owner and applicant, agreeing to preserve or improve existing drainage alternative language: these should be directly proportional to the size of the expansion or construction such as the following Direct Staff to look at on-site alternatives that could be applied without triggering an engineer's letter and Sections 23-2A-3030(B)(2) and 23-2A-3040(B)(2)
### General to Article 23-3E

**Original Motion:**
- Recommend approval of Chapter 23-4 with amendments previously approved
  - Passed 7 2 2

**Substitute Motion:**
- Reference back to the Comprehensive Plan (23-1A-1020) as recommended by staff
  - Passed 12 1 0

### Article 23-4

**Original Motion:**
- Change DC zone FAR max to 12:1.
  - Passed 12 0 1

**Bonus Program:**
- Passed 10 0 1

*Note:* Recalibrate the Downtown Density Program to maximize the yield of affordable housing units in required setbacks to allow for compliance. Or remove requirement in DC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which.

**Frontage Requirements:**
- Create exception for <1/2 block sites.
- Increase driveway width maximum to 30' to allow for 3 lanes of traffic flow
- Increase the base entitlements in DC per DAA recommendation, including:
  - bonus program. Passed 10 0 1

**GOALS**

1. Establish as additional items of intent for the program to:
   - Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program
   - Recommend approval of Article 23-3E, but with direction for staff to provided by DAA.
   - Eliminate the Downtown Plan overlay until Small area plan can be completed with funding assistance

**BLUEPRINT HOUSING**

1. Establish a Density Bonus pilot program with a revision and review window of 18-months with an annual:
   - 4. Establish a Density Bonus pilot program with a revision and review window of 18-months with an annual

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**NHCD**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 1. Establish as additional items of intent for the program to:

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program
   - 3. Explore a Super Density Bonus for large-scale affordable projects that offer over 50% of units as

**DAA**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**NHCD**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 1. Establish as additional items of intent for the program to:

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.

**SMART program**

1. Two items of intent for the program to:
   - 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program

**PAZ**

1. Three items of intent for the program to:
   - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
   - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
   - a. maintain beneficial limits of affordable units per site per year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Number</th>
<th>Broad Topic</th>
<th>Original Motion</th>
<th>Motion 2</th>
<th>Motion 3</th>
<th>Motion 4</th>
<th>Motion 5</th>
<th>Motion 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23-4C-1020(M)(2)</td>
<td>PAZ</td>
<td>Delete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4C-1010(B)(1)</td>
<td>PAZ</td>
<td>Substitute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4C-1010(B)(2)</td>
<td>PAZ</td>
<td>Substitute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4C-1040(B)(3)</td>
<td>PAZ</td>
<td>Substitute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4B-1030</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leave the Language as is</td>
<td>Passed 13 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4B-2040</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leave the Language as is</td>
<td>Passed 13 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4B-3040</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leave the Language as is</td>
<td>Passed 13 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Passed 13 0 0**: The motion was passed unanimously.
- **Failed 4 8 1**: The motion was failed with 4 votes in favor, 8 against, and 1 abstaining.
- **Withdrawn**: The motion was withdrawn.
- **Reinstated**: The motion was reinstated.
- **Sensitive**: The motion was determined to be sensitive.
- **Taken Up**: The motion was taken up for discussion.
- **Code**: The motion pertains to the code.
- **Applications**: The motion pertains to applications.
- **Interim Ordinance**: The motion pertains to interim ordinances.
- **Parking**: The motion pertains to parking requirements.
- **Language**: The motion pertains to language changes.

Related Planning Commission: Full Text\* - 12/4/18
6/4/18

45 Original Motion Allow pools and fountains in required yards without new setback or restrictions as currently allowed. Passed 13 0 0 Specific to Article 23-4D

46 Original Motion Remove articulation from all R zones Passed 13 0 0 Specific to Article 23-4D-2

43 Original Motion Delete Frontyard Impervious Cover Regulation in all R Zones Passed 13 0 0 Specific to Article 23-4D-2

Substitute Motion 1) (Original Motion + Substitute Motion 4) Keep D3 Compatibility Standards with those changes already voted on Failed 6 7 0

Substitute Motion 3) Original Motion Intent is to reduce by 0.1 FAR under future motions Passed 12 1 0

Amendment to Substitute Motion 2) Motion 3) Substitute Motion Adopt staff recommendation for Co-Housing Divided Motion 2: A Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R3B-C, R4C, R4A-C, RM1A-B; Passed 7 3 2

Divided Original Motion 1) Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in MH, MS1A, MU3B, MU5 Passed 13 0 0

Substitute Motion 5) Density Bonus is not subject to compatibility after 100 feet from the triggering lot line Failed 6 6 1

Substitute Motion 5') Density Bonus is not subject to compatibility after 50 feet from the triggering lot line Failed 6 6 1

Amendment 1 to Motion 2) Motion 1) Substitute Motion Adopt staff recommendation for Co-Housing Divided Motion 2: B Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R1 and R2B-E

Original Motion See Shaw Exhibit 1 - Part 1 (Pages 7 & 9) for replacement compatibility standards Not Acted On

Division Motion 2: B Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R1 and R2B-E

Original Motion Amend Staff Recommendation for Co-Housing

Affordable bonuses are exempt at 100 feet Passed 8 3 2

Full height at 300 feet

Between 25-50 feet from the triggering lot line: 32 foot height limit

(i) across an alley less, than 20 feet in width, from a property zoned Residential House-Scale; or
(ii) adjacent to a property zoned Residential House-Scale; or

(a) Building height stepbacks are required where a portion of a building is located:

(i) across an alley less, than 20 feet in width, from a property zoned Residential House-Scale; or
(ii) across a right-of-way less than 60 80 feet in width

Changes: Alter the Working Group Proposal shown on Page 9 of Shaw Exhibit 1 - Part 1 with the following

- - -

Acted On

Tabled - Never

Acted On

Tabled - Never

- - -

- - -

Ayes Noes Abstains

Vote Tallies

CodeNEXT Draft 3 Recommendation Report to City Council

Vote by Commissioner

Planning Commission
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Vote Tally</td>
<td>Ayes</td>
<td>Noes</td>
<td>Abstains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Item 1</td>
<td>23-4D-2170</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Item 1</td>
<td>23-4D-2150</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Item 1</td>
<td>23-13A-1030</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment to Original Motion 2**
- Apply the bonus of 0.1, but with a maximum of 0.3 bonus FAR per lot.
- Failed 4 8 0

**Amendment to Original Motion 1**
- Add the covered porch or covered passageway back to the definition of attached.
- Passed 9 2 1

**Substitute Motion 1**
- Rescind the related motion for a “Small Lot Single-Family Use” in the R2D and R2E.
- Failed 3 7 2

**Original Motion**
- Add a bonus of +0.1 FAR for every unit above Single Family Use in all R4 zones.
- Failed 3 9 0

**Substitute Motion 2**
- not permit an ADU
- Failed 2 11 0

**Specific to Article 23-4D**
- New Zone 23.70
- Attached: When used with reference to two or more intact buildings, means having one or more common walls or being joined by a roof, depth, perpendicular to the front property line.
- Passed 8 4 0
- Apply bonus only outside 1/4 mile of an Imagine Austin Corridor; all votes regarding FAR would remain.
- Passed 7 6 0
- Staff to analyze intent of above language and recommend a definition that encompasses the intent of a specific to Article or Chapter.
- Passed 12 0 1
- Staff to prepare a new zone that only permits the single use.
- Passed 7 6 0

**Building Form (1)**
- Building Articulation New Construction add “Building Articulation is not required for Lot Uses, Rear 10’.
- Building Size (max) for all Small Lot uses: the greater of .4 FAR or 1500 sf
- min. lot size: 2500 sf.
- Make one new zone (staff to determine which zoning base [R, RM, etc.]) for the Small Lot Single-Family
- Impervious Cover add “(2) Small Lot Impervious Cover 65% max, 55% building cover max - Small Lot uses.”

**Building Placement**
- add Small Lot Setbacks: Front 15’, Side St. 10’, Side 3.5’ or 0 when adjacent to Small
- Building Size (max) for all Small Lot uses: the greater of .4 FAR or 1500 sf
- min. lot width: 25’
- max. lot size: 4999sf
- allow small houses on small lots without requiring them to be attached
- back to the Permitted Use Tables
- Passed 12 0 1

**Specific to Article, or Chapter, Specific**
- General to Code, Section
- Specific to Article 23-4D Parking
- In the Parking Tables in all zones, add clarifying notes to the term “Other Allowed Uses” that reference
- Staff to find a way to alter the development standards to make R4 more feasible and recommend those
- Fund, rather than income-restrict the ADU on their sites. We take this position
- NHCD recommends a fee-in-lieu, rather than on-site ADUs. NHCD
- supports ADUs in general. With regard to ADUs and the Affordable Housing
- Waits for approval of the ADU program. We know that the City Council has expressed interest in creating a waitlist for affordable housing units
- for many reasons, including the higher per-unit cost associated with monitoring
- the program through ADU development pay a fee-in-lieu into the Housing Trust
- would create conflicts with the definitions of these uses.
- and covered passageway struck. 23.82
- complexity.  FYI - the spreadsheet to Mayor & City Council had covered porch
- cover.  The detached definition cannot allow attachment. This just adds to the
- code.
- It seems the intent of this recommendation is to allow for a larger ADU
- than a single-family (eg when combined with single-family attached)
- and covered passageway.
- Allow ADUs that are larger than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway)
- Would make an ADU slightly larger than a single-family unit.
- AHCD's position is that ADUs need to be as large as, or larger than, a single-family unit.
- In terms of size, the City's position is that ADUs should not be larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- It seems that the intent of the recommendation is to allow for a larger ADU
- than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway).
- Allow ADUs that are larger than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway)
- Would make an ADU slightly larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs need to be as large as, or larger than, a single-family unit.
- In terms of size, the City's position is that ADUs should not be larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- AHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- It seems that the intent of the recommendation is to allow for a larger ADU
- than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway).
- Allow ADUs that are larger than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway)
- Would make an ADU slightly larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs need to be as large as, or larger than, a single-family unit.
- In terms of size, the City's position is that ADUs should not be larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- AHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- It seems that the intent of the recommendation is to allow for a larger ADU
- than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway).
- Allow ADUs that are larger than a single-family (e.g., with a covered porch or covered passageway)
- Would make an ADU slightly larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs need to be as large as, or larger than, a single-family unit.
- In terms of size, the City's position is that ADUs should not be larger than a single-family unit.
- NHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
- AHCD's position is that ADUs should be at least as large as a single-family unit.
For RM1A and RM1B, change the minimum lot size to 5,750 sf and the minimum width to 50 feet.

For MU1A, MU1B: 32' to 40' Passed 8 4 0
For MU1C, MU1D, MU2A: 45' to 65' Passed 8 5 0
For MS1A, MS1B: 35' to 40'
For MS2A, MS2B: 60' to 80'
For MS3A, MS3B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus
For MU4A, MU4B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus

Keep the base heights as D3 for all zones except:
- MU1A, MU1B: 32' to 40'
- MU1C, MU1D, MU2A: 45' to 65'
- MU1A, MU1B: 32' to 40'
- MS1A, MS1B: 35' to 40'
- MS2A, MS2B: 60' to 80'
- MS3A, MS3B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus
- MU4A, MU4B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus

Increase the height maximums in Mixed Use zones as follows:
- MS3A, MS3B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus
- MU1A, MU1B: 32' to 40'
- MU1C, MU1D, MU2A: 45' to 65'
- MU4A, MU4B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus

Eliminate landscape buffer and articulation within 30 feet from a rear triggering property, height be limited to 2 stories.

Within 100 feet of a triggering property. Passed 8 5 0 Specific to Article 23-4D

For RM1A and RM1B, change the minimum lot size to 5,750 sf and the minimum width to 50 feet.

For RM1A and RM1B, change the minimum lot size to 5,750 sf and the minimum width to 50 feet.

2. Reduce landscape buffer height to 23-4E-4100 (Semi Opaque Buffer, 6 ft) and reduce setback to 15 feet.

Option 1: Eliminate compatibility setback, consider changing landscape buffer to semi-opaque.
Option 2:

For RM1A and RM1B, change the minimum lot size to 5,750 sf and the minimum width to 50 feet.

3. Change which residential house scale zones trigger compatibility - ie R4A & R4B with MF allowed

2. Reduce landscape buffer height to 23-4E-4100 (Semi Opaque Buffer, 6 ft) and reduce setback to 15 feet.

Option 1: Eliminate compatibility setback, consider changing landscape buffer to semi-opaque.
Option 2:

4. Change which residential house scale zones trigger compatibility - ie R4A & R4B with MF allowed

2. Reduce landscape buffer height to 23-4E-4100 (Semi Opaque Buffer, 6 ft) and reduce setback to 15 feet.

Option 1: Eliminate compatibility setback, consider changing landscape buffer to semi-opaque.
Option 2:

Collection of such receptacles shall be prohibited between 10pm and 7am.

property. The City shall review and approve the location of and access to each waste receptacle.

(D) Waste: Waste receptacles, including dumpsters, shall not be located within 50 feet of a triggering

property. McMansion tent (as McMansion is applied in Draft 3) apply

1 space per unit with additional proposed parking matrix reductions,

R2C height limits, building form (mcmansion) and setback tables,

Increase units per acre by 20% in all multi-unit zones for base and bonus units and always round the
Change all front yard setbacks from 5 feet to 0 feet in commercial zones (RM3A and up)

Passed

Zone Downtown Plan and Judge's Hill to F25

13 0

Failed

0 23.213 23.215 23.220 23.222 23.221 A-23.206.1 A-23.206.2 A-23.206.3 (FAR)

6/4/18

Motion 1

Divided Original

Original Motion

Original Motion

Original Motion

Original Motion

Substitute Motion 2

Original Motion

Original Motion

Original Motion 2

Set setbacks to 0 feet unless stated otherwise in 23-4D-9070

Passed 11 0 1

Original Motion

Start at RM4A, not RM3A

Passed 13 0 0

Amendment to

Original Motion

Table G: For commercial buildings

etc.) that must be located directly on ROW.

requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which

change requirement to "net" frontage or only require one block face of the site to comply. Or remove

Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or

Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements.

Replace CC80 with CC90 (90' overall max height)

Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC80 with CC90.

Increase heights in the CC zone to the following heights:

The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level.

For commercial buildings less than one-half block width:

Except for building support spaces (including as Austin Energy vault, fire pump)

For MS1A, MS1B, MU1A, and MU1B the following development standards be altered:

Manufactured Home, and all sizes of Daycares

Allow exceptions for small sites downtown such as:

- Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements.
- Replace CC80 with CC90 (90’ overall max height)
- Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC80 with CC90.
- Increase heights in the CC zone to the following heights:
- The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level.
- No ramps or stairs allowed within public right-of-way or front setback
- For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width:

Table G: For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width:

etc.) that must be located directly on ROW.

requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which

Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or

LA and RR zones will have a by-right ADU and it will no longer have an affordability requirement

NHCD review will be 18 months after implementation

Alter the ADU and R-scale compatibility restrictions

Apply Changes to the Citywide Density Bonus Program

As stated in Kenny Exhibit 2 - ADU Bonus Amendments:

Increase the entitlements allowed in the CC zones, but ensure units received through the bonus are not

to the street and located at sidewalk level. No ramps or stairs allowed within public right-of-way or front

Table G: For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width:

etc.) that must be located directly on ROW.

requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which

Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or

Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements.

Replace CC80 with CC90 (90’ overall max height) Passed 7 3 1

Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC80 with CC90.

Increase heights in the CC zone to the following heights:

The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level.

For commercial buildings less than one-half block width:

Except for building support spaces (including as Austin Energy vault, fire pump)

For MS1A, MS1B, MU1A, and MU1B the following development standards be altered:

Manufactured Home, and all sizes of Daycares Passed 10 1 1

Allow exceptions for small sites downtown such as:

- Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements.
- Replace CC80 with CC90 (90’ overall max height)
- Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC80 with CC90.
- Increase heights in the CC zone to the following heights:
- The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level.
- No ramps or stairs allowed within public right-of-way or front setback
- For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width:

Table G: For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width:

etc.) that must be located directly on ROW.

requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which

Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or

LA and RR zones will have a by-right ADU and it will no longer have an affordability requirement

NHCD review will be 18 months after implementation

Alter the ADU and R-scale compatibility restrictions

Apply Changes to the Citywide Density Bonus Program

As stated in Kenny Exhibit 2 - ADU Bonus Amendments:

Increase the entitlements allowed in the CC zones, but ensure units received through the bonus are not

to the street and located at sidewalk level. No ramps or stairs allowed within public right-of-way or front

Table G: For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width:

etc.) that must be located directly on ROW.

requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which

Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or

Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements.

Replace CC80 with CC90 (90’ overall max height) Passed 7 3 1

Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC80 with CC90.

Increase heights in the CC zone to the following heights:

The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level.

For commercial buildings less than one-half block width:

Except for building support spaces (including as Austin Energy vault, fire pump)

For MS1A, MS1B, MU1A, and MU1B the following development standards be altered:

Manufactured Home, and all sizes of Daycares Passed 10 1 1

Allow exceptions for small sites downtown such as:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Original Motion</th>
<th>Substitute Motion</th>
<th>Motion 1</th>
<th>Motion 2</th>
<th>Vote Tallies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23-4D</td>
<td>Eliminate all parking minimums</td>
<td>Direct staff to find ways to differentiate Tier 1-T3 defining Tree superiority</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>9 3 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where applicable, amend the language to allow engaged porches to only be open on one side, instead of requiring two sides as is currently written</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff to take pedestrian and bicycle safety into consideration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purse further parking reductions, but staff to bring back their research for the Planning Commission to review. Factors to review: meters in front of all commercial properties, studies for how exemptions that should apply to an F25 zoned property.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Failed 6 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excludes the areas that have already been voted on to have no parking restrictions. Staff to take pedestrian and bicycle safety into consideration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During the site plan phase.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outside the scope of CodeNEXT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATD suggests reviewing AISD parking requirements to include school-parking benefit districts. Excludes the areas that have already been voted on to have no parking restrictions. Staff to take pedestrian and bicycle safety into consideration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During the site plan phase.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determines by the Director.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This is outside of the scope of CodeNEXT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adding language to Section 23-4D-8080 that states &quot;Ayes Noes Abstains. This is outside of the scope of CodeNEXT. 23.269&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support for trees on private property.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Netural.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Netural. This is outside of the scope of CodeNEXT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concur, but this should not limit an engaged porch to only be open on one side, instead of requiring two sides as is currently written</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support. The landscaping requirement reflects current code for Tier 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support. The landscaping requirement reflects current code for Tier 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support. The landscaping requirement reflects current code for Tier 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support. The landscaping requirement reflects current code for Tier 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support. The landscaping requirement reflects current code for Tier 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support. The landscaping requirement reflects current code for Tier 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table includes details on the motions made and their outcomes, along with the relevant sections of the City Code.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Number</th>
<th>Motion Page No.</th>
<th>Related Exhibit Staff Response</th>
<th>Annotated PC Staff Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.129 Mapping</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>Wales Planning Commission proposed Map 116 to Article, or Chapter, Specific Planning Commission</td>
<td>Wales Planning Commission proposed Map 116 to Article, or Chapter, Specific Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.141</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>Wales Planning Commission proposed Map 116 to Article, or Chapter, Specific Planning Commission</td>
<td>Wales Planning Commission proposed Map 116 to Article, or Chapter, Specific Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>Wales Planning Commission proposed Map 116 to Article, or Chapter, Specific Planning Commission</td>
<td>Wales Planning Commission proposed Map 116 to Article, or Chapter, Specific Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Original Motion Approve Downtown Map with Amendments and make no further motions regarding Downtown Passed 11 1 1 General to Code Map Mapping
- Original Motion Approve Regional Centers with Amendments Passed 13 0 0 General to Code Map Mapping
- Motion 3 No additional changes to F25 Failed 4 9 0
- Substitute Motion to Original Motion 3 Direct Staff to review policy on exempting TODs from compatibility Passed 10 3 0
- Amendment to Divided Original Motion 3 Exempt TODs from compatibility entirely, by either mapping or text as determined by Staff Passed 9 4 0
- Motion 1 AND 2 Amendment 2 to Motion 1 AND 2 Divided Original Amendment 1 to Motion 1 Divided Original
- Original Motion will get phased out as part of that review if it has not already been phased out. Passed 12 0 0 General to Code Map Policy
- be created to accommodate the sunset process. For areas scheduled to undergo a Small Area Plan, F25 areas that have already completed a small area planning process. New zones or subzones may need to Staff to work with AISD to remap AISD properties with most appropriate, non-triggering zoning instead of
- tools in CodeNEXT such that compatibility is not triggered on at least 90% of the properties along these corridors Passed 8 5 0
- Map the areas adjacent to Imagine Austin corridors using the new zoning tools in CodeNEXT such that compatibility is not triggered on at least 90% of the properties along these corridors Passed 9 4 0
- Map the areas adjacent to core transit corridors, future core transit corridors, and Imagine Austin corridors using the new zoning tools in CodeNEXT such that compatibility is not triggered on at least 90% of the properties along these corridors Passed 9 4 0
- Exempt TODs from compatibility entirely, by either mapping or text as determined by Staff Passed 9 4 0
- Motion Passed/ Failed
- Motion

- Vote Tallies
- Planning Commission
- Exhibit
- Staff Response

- See Kenny Exhibit 1 - Eastern Crescent Gentrification Protection Zone (Page 28 of 29)