

**MINUTES
PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD
December 14, 1993**

The regular meeting of the Parks and Recreation Board was held on December 14, 1993 at 6:30 p.m. at the Parks and Recreation Department Main Office board room, 200 South Lamar Blvd. Board members present were Sterling Lands, Phil Friday, Eleanor McKinney, Marianne Dwight, Doug Johnston, Delores Duffie, Ron Cartlidge, Rocky Medrano and Erma Linda Cruz-Torres.

Staff members present were Michael J. Heitz, Jesus Olivares, Kim Mitchell, Jody Hamilton, Stuart Strong, Sandra Chipley, Lee Stone, Vallerie Edelbrock, and Dolores Posada.

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 6:50 p.m. by Sterling Lands, Chair.

CITIZEN'S COMMUNICATION

Georgia Shaw addressed the Board and suggested the Board recommend a task force be appointed for research and possible development of a public centrally located skateboard park.

ITEMS FOR ACTION

ITEMS FOR CONSENT

Approve construction of a covered two-slip boat dock at 5205 Scout Island Circle. File #SP-93-0422DS

Make recommendation to Council regarding approval of temporary and permanent use agreements in the Williamson Creek Greenbelt for sewer tunnel.

Make recommendation to Council regarding donation of Town Lake Observation Point.

Delores Duffie moved to approve on consent the above three (3) items.

Eleanor McKinney, Land and Facilities Chair, asked to move the third item above to "Items for Consideration."

Delores Duffie moved the Board consider going directly to the first item under "Items For Consideration."

Mr. Lands explained that was a legitimate motion, but it was out of sequence. They were attempting to move on the "Consent Items" first, but to hold the motion until the "Consent Items" were done. He stated the Chair would entertain a motion for the first and second "Consent Items." Eleanor McKinney so moved. Phil Friday seconded. The vote was unanimous.

ITEMS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION

ITEMS FOR INITIAL CONSIDERATION

Make recommendation to Department regarding the Zilker Playscape Sand and Water area design.

Eleanor McKinney, Lands and Facilities Chair, moved the above item be tabled. The vote was unanimous.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

Make recommendation to Department regarding the Zilker Botanical Garden Fence.

The Chair reminded all of the citizens signed up to speak of the three (3) minute time limit.

Phil Friday asked the Board if there was any support within the group to urge the pros and cons to caucus for a few minutes and to elect spokespersons among them to limit the number of persons speaking. Since the Board had already heard from many speakers on this subject, he recommended selection of a single spokesperson for each side. Mr. Lands stated that he felt the Board should hear all citizens who requested to speak; however, if Mr. Friday wished to put forward a motion he would consider it. Since there was no support for it, Mr. Friday withdrew the suggestion.

Delores Duffie asked whether she should move for a public hearing now or later. Mr. Lands stated that was an issue for discussion and any time was appropriate. He then explained that there had been some preliminary discussion about the possibility of a public hearing because the issue required as much public input as possible. He felt that the issue would not be acted upon at this meeting and, in the case of a public hearing, they would need time to have it announced. He also stated that all comments and suggestions made would definitely be considered.

Joe Fisher spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * the project has been worked on for approximately six (6) years.
- * it was voter approved in the 1992 Bond issue.

Otto H. Ludwig, Jr. spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * it would preserve and protect the area from vandalism.
- * 2500 school children visit the area per year.
- * negotiations were broken off with their sister city in Japan for donations of Japanese lanterns because there was not adequate security.
- * when irrigation in the Oriental Gardens was vandalized, all of the fish in the pond had to be rescued.

C.O. Smith spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * to protect the area from any perpetrators.

Charles Simms spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * to protect the gardens from any more vandalism.

Herbert V. Hillery spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * to preserve the beauty of the gardens to help provide mental recreation to the citizens of Austin.

Jerry Brand spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * it is needed to allow people to feel safe to enter the gardens at night.
- * to keep out the vandals late night.

Doug Blachly spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * it is needed to stop vandals from entering and taking whatever they want.

Beverly Andreasen spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * it is needed to stop vandalism.
- * it is needed to stop the erecting of vagrant dwellings.
- * it was voted on.

Shudde Fath spoke against erecting the fence:

- * develop a long range plan for Zilker Park first.
- * needs more public input.
- * will not eliminate theft.

Betty Brown spoke against erecting the fence:

- * will give the sense of "keep out" to the public.

Daniel Traverso spoke against erecting the fence:

- * does not like the idea of privatizing public land.
- * would like the Board to work on the other parks in the city.

Mr. Duffie concurred with Mr. Traverso's statements and added she felt a public hearing was in order for this issue. She also hoped the Board would get on with business at other parks.

Ms. McKinney asked Mr. Traverso if he had been on the Arts Commission and he answered yes. She also asked him if he had been on the Art In Public Places panel and he answered yes.

Mike Alexander spoke against erecting the fence:

- * Zilker Park is his neighborhood park and he feels shut out from it due to too many special events.
- * too many fences already in the park.

Jake Billingsley spoke against erecting the fence:

- * Old West Austin Neighborhood Association has adopted a resolution against the erection of the fence around the Gardens.
- * he feels it is not in the spirit of promoting public appreciation.
- * have the department adopt a please don't mess with our parks campaign.
- * would like to see a Town Lake comprehensive plan.

Dick Peterson spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * in order for them to continue giving back to the community, they need to keep out the squatters, vandals, and gangs which the fence will help do so.
- * it was voted on in the 1992 bond elections.

Rick Waring spoke against erecting the fence:

- * he felt the bond issue did not specifically mention a fence for the gardens.

* we should find another way to have security.

John Dromgoole spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * it is needed to protect the area from vandalism.
- * it was included in the 1992 bond election.

Tim Tompkins spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * it is needed to protect the area from gangs, transients, and an occasional deer.

James Rounds spoke against erecting the fence:

- * public places should not be fenced in.
- * there are better ways to spend bond moneys.
- * Park Police would be a much better means of security.
- * fence will not help stop the vandalism.

Jack Pope spoke against erecting the fence:

- * if you fence in the gardens, then everyone else who asks for a fence will be entitled to have one.

Ms. Cruz-Torres asked Mr. Pope to summarize everything else he had to say. Mr. Pope stated this particular issue had arisen before in 1973 and 1984 in connection with the Austin Nature Center. At that time, the idea was struck down. He considers all of the violation statements to be extravagant. Ms. Dwight asked Mr. Pope if he was familiar with proposition #8. He answered no. She asked if he was familiar with the fact that the fence had been approved by voters. He answered he was not, but that he did check and the word "fence" was hidden somewhere.

Alan Taniguchi suggested the location of the Zilker Loop would be more useful to the park if it were east of Stratford Dr.

Bo Byers spoke in favor of erecting the fence:

- * some kind of wall should be built to protect and preserve the gardens.
- * the Zilker Loop should be moved east of the gardens to keep the impact down.

Lou Jost spoke against erecting the fence:

- * the fence costs too much.
- * fence not the right solution for theft.
- * cheaper ways to secure the area.

Bruce Nunn spoke against erecting the fence:

- * he feels the vandalism and gang problems are over exaggerated.
- * if you fence in the gardens, all of the other buildings will use the same argument to install one at their facility.

Mr. Johnston asked the Director how much the fence was going to cost. Mr. Heitz answered approximately \$300,000.00.

Tim Wirth spoke against erecting the fence:

- * money could be put to better use elsewhere.
- * does not want a large part of the park sectioned off.
- * Zilker Loop will be an important asset to the park.

Tom Delaney spoke against erecting the fence:

- * because of the lack of funds, any spending should be prioritized with safety issues, first.
- * deteriorating and obsolete playscape equipment, second.
- * increasing recreational opportunities.
- * building a \$300,000 fence for a couple hundred dollars of vandalism should definitely be at the bottom of the list.

Chris Chandler spoke against erecting the fence:

- * she does not want a portion of the park sectioned off.

Dave Sullivan spoke against erecting the fence:

- * read a statement from the Austin Sierra Club which asked no decision be made regarding the fence until a comprehensive plan for Zilker Park was done.

Mary Arnold spoke against erecting the fence:

- * a Zilker Park master plan needs to be approved before any decision on the fence or any other decision regarding Zilker Park is made.

Louise Hasty spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * she feels if the fence is erected, the gardens will then begin to charge admission.
- * if we fence in the gardens, we will soon not have a nice open area in central Austin.
- * the 1992 bond issue did not mention a fence for the gardens specifically.

Carol Fox spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * she read a letter from John Pomeroy in which he stated prior to further consideration to the fence, the city needs to develop and, after full public input, adopt policies governing situations in which groups are allowed exclusive occupancy of public parkland.
- * she would also like to see a long range plan.

Connie Moore spoke in favor erecting the fence because:

- * to help keep the gardens from deteriorating.
- * the Zilker Loop in its proposed location would destroy the Botanical gardens particularly if a fence is not built.
- * dust would disturb plant and insect life.
- * noise level would increase and tremendously distract from the atmosphere of the gardens.
- * in her opinion the Garden Club members are not exclusive, but instead one of the most democratic groups of people she has ever dealt with.

Daniel Reading spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * use of public lands does not allow privatization.
- * he does not believe the problems faced by the gardens will be cleared by fencing it in.

Richard McCown spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * opposed to the fence from the beginning.
- * too expensive.
- * other parks in the city need the money for improvements.
- * erecting the fence would be like fencing out the public.

Robert Corbin spoke against erecting the the fence because:

- * building the fence will bring more attention to the gardens and vandals.
- * too expensive.

Hill Abell spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * frivolous expense.
- * bridge for pedestrian crossing is needed much more.

Marshall Frech spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * Mr. Zilker's gift to the city for open space should remain open space.
- * other priorities need to be considered.

Ms. McKinney asked if Mr. Frech would please forward a copy of the pages he was reading from. Mr. Johnston asked if Mr. Frech would read the contents instead. Mr. Frech summarized some of the context which can be found in the book **Barton Springs Eternal**.

Susan Overby spoke in favor of erecting the fence because:

- * hundreds of third, fourth, and fifth graders come to the Zilker Botanical Gardens to participate in their educational program.
- * signs that are put up for the children will stay there.
- * butterflies and pictures the children draw will stay there.
- * chairs they have asked for will stay there.
- * to help reduce the finding of condoms and drug paraphernalia.
- * older people are not feeling safe touring the gardens.

Ms. McKinney asked Ms. Overby where these children come from. Ms. Overby stated they came from all around Austin and the surrounding communities.

Bill Bunch spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * postpone decision until comprehensive plan is adopted for Zilker Park.
- * fencing the gardens is an alienation of the park.
- * create a youth guardian program endowment using the money set aside for the fence to train and pay youths to help protect the parks and learn respect for them.

Ms. Dwight asked Mr. Bunch to clarify if he was referring to proposition #8 and if it was valid when he stated that there was an endowment dedicated. He stated he was referring to the 1970's when there was a lawsuit over the creation of a nature center or a zoo in the park. Ms. Dwight stated she was referring to his comments on more recent events. He referred to the Texas Botanical Gardens' reservation of the garden area so they could raise multi-million dollars for the big gardens. Ms. Dwight asked his viewpoint on Proposition #8 and what the voters had already voted on in regards to the security fencing. He stated he considered it as a package where all kinds of things were thrown in and most of the people did not know the fence was on it. They had no opportunity to vote for one thing and not another. He did not believe such a proposition could be called a voter mandate. He cited the S.O.S. proposition as a voter mandate. In this instance he believes discretion is left with the City Council; therefore, the Board should use wise judgement in choosing which projects should be funded.

Barry Lovelace spoke in favor of erecting the fence because:

- * communication is lacking between both user groups.

- * the money has already been approved.
- * the Garden Council would rather not put up the fence, but Austin has changed and the growth has also brought along change and with it vandalism.
- * comprehensive plan does need to be adopted because there will be more issues as time goes on.
- * the gardeners have followed all the rules and procedures laid down to them by the Council and the Board for six years.
- * they feel the fence is a way of coping with the pressures of growth.

Mr. Johnston asked Mr. Lovelace if the youth guardian program were something that would detour vandalism equal to or better than a fence, would he support that. Mr. Lovelace answered he would need proof that it could be equal or better. The area to be patrolled is 18 acres of rough terrain that is very difficult to get into. People could get in, get their plants, and do their destruction. One person would not be enough. \$50,000.00 a year may or may not be enough when you consider a 5% inflationary rate over the years. But if it would work, he might consider it. Finally, the money in the bond issue was for a security fence and could not be used elsewhere unless we took it back to the voters. Mr. Johnston then asked the Director about the precedent set with the Umlauf Garden's fence since it was his understanding that Umlauf Garden was private land. Mr. Heitz stated Mr. Johnston was incorrect and that the Umlauf Garden's land was City land. Mr. Johnston then stated that the Umlauf Garden was not part of the original park. Mr. Heitz replied the land had been added to the park about six years ago. Mr. Heitz also stated that the exact boundaries of Zilker Park are not known due to the different additions over the years.

Mr. Johnston asked if the Umlauf Garden had been donated for a specific use. Mr. Lovelace stated there was still precedent for a fence on public property to protect it. Mr. Johnston then asked whether Mr. Lovelace would support a fence around Sunshine Camp if they requested one at the next meeting. Mr. Lovelace replied that he would have to hear the request but would work with them.

Joseph Lewright spoke against erecting the fence because:

- * the security fence will be an eyesore.
- * a cosmetic fence to enhance the beauty of the park will not keep the vandals out.
- * the problems Zilker Park is now experiencing have been going on in other parks for years throughout Austin.

There was no committee presentation. Stuart Strong made the staff presentation.

Mr. Johnston stated that the fence around the gardens was going to be somewhat hidden in most of the areas, but he would like Mr. Heitz to clarify how visibility through the Umlauf fence contributed to the security. Mr. Heitz explained that the Umlauf fence was designed so that when a police car or citizen drove by, they could see the sculptures and tell if anyone was in there.

The Chair then asked what was the pleasure of the Board:

Doug Johnston - develop an ethic for park land and the use of it by private organizations and apply it to an overall plan for Zilker Park.

Eleanor McKinney - supports an overall plan for Zilker Park. The City needs to do things that will bring them together, not split them apart. Major cities

throughout Texas have fenced in their Botanical gardens. The intention is not to privatize the gardens, but to try and preserve what we already have. If there was another proven way to do this, she believes it would be done. Maybe a public hearing is needed and she agrees wholeheartedly a master plan is needed for Zilker Park.

Phil Friday - the Garden group is not trying to privatize an area of the park. The pattern of the use of Zilker Park is one of user groups naturally gathering in parts of the park that have facilities for them. The crime problems are real. It seems reasonable to have a fence around Umlauf, Barton Springs pool, and the Garden area. It is also reasonable to contemplate a trail along the eastern perimeter of the garden area. The fence will provide the security for those who love the gardens and it would provide the barrier for the runners and cyclists who would be using the trail. The bamboo should be regrown to the very edge of any trail. He was prepared to vote for the Director's recommendation, but what concerns him is that the Garden group as a whole does not believe the fence and a trail on the eastern perimeter can coexist. He feels that is the compromise that as a City we need to do more of. Without such a compromise, he cannot vote for the Director's recommendation unless it can be resolved tonight. He agrees with Ms. McKinney to wait until a master plan is adopted. He would like to have a decision made before the next year is half over.

Marianne Dwight - she sees two concerns: one is to build a fence with no master plan for the park, which seems rather foolish to her; the other being the growth of vandalism throughout not just Zilker Park but throughout all of the city. We cannot go around fencing in all of our open space. One of her suggestions was to provide additional security to the area in the interim while the master plan was being developed. Something has to be done for the entire area so that each of us who want to use it can do so according to a plan.

Rocky Medrano - if there is no other way to provide the security for the gardens and visitors, he is in favor of the Director's recommendation.

Ron Cartlidge - he is in favor of a master plan for Zilker Park. He does not believe the fence is an exclusion except for vandals, thieves, and those trying to destroy the gardens. He agrees with some of the things Ms. McKinney said. If there were any alternative method, most of them would support it. For example, if motion detectors and security officers would work he believes they would support that alternative method. He questions postponing the decision since the Garden group has been working on it for six years. The Board itself has postponed it for a couple of months and the only way that he could support postponing it would be if they had some time limit, but if it was postponed they would need to have some kind of alternative security while they worked on a master plan. That is the only way he would consider any postponement. At this point in time, he would go with the Director's recommendation.

The Director then commented on the issue:

- the bond issue had the fence included in it.
- the word "fence" was not specifically in the ballot language.
- on the multi-page summary the City gave out, the fence was specifically included.
- the Zilker Loop is currently being worked on inhouse.
- he agrees we need a comprehensive plan.
- if the fence needs to be moved, it will be.

He then went on to say he had to represent all of the user groups and he felt they could compromise.

Mr. Johnston stated no one questioned the need for security. There have been questions about whether they are placing the security at the Gardens above the interest of some other areas. A master plan needs to be adopted before construction. It would give them a chance to hear some of the ideas brought before them such as Mr. Bunch's suggestion regarding a youth security system that could be set up through an endowment. If that does not work, then the money would still be there to build the fence. He does not believe the fence is the answer and perhaps they need to be innovative. He feels there are other avenues to pursue before building a fence. Mr. Heitz informed Mr. Johnston that the bond money could not be used for personnel in lieu of what it was voted for.

Mr. Lands feels there continues to be the opportunity for vandalism in an area important to Austin. There has been a tremendous investment on the part of many citizens to make the Gardens and the Garden Center an area that would be a positive thing for the entire City. From that perspective he feels that when a cry goes out for a need to protect or to invest in a security system, that we need to listen to that very carefully. He thinks that they need to look at the money that is going to be spent on the fence, but at the same time he does not think they should be naive enough to believe that while they are looking at plans the vandals are going to wait on what their decision will be. He would like the Board to consider the recommendation given by the Director, from the standpoint that if the fence is installed and when the comprehensive plan is completed and they find that in the comprehensive plan something else is needed, it can be taken down. It pains him to see how much time and effort was given to this project when on the other side of town there is much more time and effort needed to try and keep kids off the street. He would just like to see this issue over with and move forward with business.

Doug Johnston moved to table the fence for an overall master plan. The motion died without a second.

Phil Friday moved to postpone consideration of this issue until the second meeting in May 1994 and to ask the Director at the first meeting in January to recommend how they could update a master plan for Zilker Park within that period of time. Doug Johnston seconded the motion.

The vote was 2-5. The motion failed.

Ron Cartlidge moved they adopt the Director's recommendation but add a comprehensive plan for Zilker Park. Eleanor McKinney seconded the motion. Marianne Dwight suggested a friendly amendment which would include the time table suggested by Mr. Friday for the comprehensive plan and include phrasing that emphasized that the fence not be considered a permanent fixture of the park. The mover and second accepted the amendment.

After a brief discussion among the Board, Mr. Medrano stated he would like to see the issue put to rest so he could start looking at issues outside of Zilker Park and in his own community. Mr. Friday stated that Mr. Pope stated a valid point that fences as a precedent in Zilker Park are not a wise thing. In casting his vote he would like to make very plain he does not consider an affirmative vote on this fence to be any type of a precedent for any additional

fences in Zilker Park. The fence in this case is justified on its own merits. He would like to restate that in voting for the fence, he now feels the best place for the trail is on the west side of Stratford Dr., on the far side of the fence. He hopes the members of the Garden community will open their minds to that possibility and will consider that the existence of their strong fence and the regrown bamboo may make that a compatible use.

Ms. Dwight stated that the voters under proposition #8 voted for moneys to be allocated for many items which were not specified on the ballot. She feels legally bound to make a decision on something the voters have approved. She also feels there should be a master plan for Zilker. She does not like the idea of a fence, but if they cannot get moneys for other means of security, they should put up a fence and take it down later if it is not appropriate.

The motion was read again by Ms. Hamilton as follows:

The motion is to adopt the staff recommendation with the addition of a comprehensive plan for Zilker Park using the timetable of the previous motion, which is to have a recommendation in January from the Director on development of a master plan which should come to the Board approximately the second meeting in May of 1994, and also that the fence not be considered a permanent fixture in the park.

The vote was 6-1.

Make recommendation to Department regarding Park development of Spicewood Springs Park.

Eleanor McKinney, Land and Facilities Committee Chair, stated they had reviewed the above item and they would like to support the Director's recommendation with the following amendments:

under **Safety** - they put in "appropriate structures" as a substitute for "braces and fencing."

under **Resource Protection** - at this time they have asked to waive the barrier to keep people out of the immediate spring areas for further review under schematic design.

under **Access** - they have moved "trail improvements, including leveling and stabilizing and signs" ahead of "minimal parking area at the deadend of Sterling."

David Stewart recommended the Board manage the resources of the valuable property and its facilities. He would like to see it managed as a nature preserve.

Lou Jost commented that the park is not really compatible to heavy usage. Grating the caves should not be done without considerable recommendation.

Dave Sullivan agreed with the previous two speakers. It should be handled as a nature preserve with some public access.

Mike Hassiri noted that he has been witnessing activity in the park even if the park has a closed sign on it. He agreed with the previous speakers that it

should be treated as a nature preserve with minimal access.

Mary Nunnally indicated she would much rather see the money that would be spent on that project spent on an eastside park. The park is already attracting unwanted people to it.

John L. Laakso would like to see it managed as a preserve.

Maggie Armstrong indicated she supported the staff recommendation.

Phil Friday summarized a letter written by Melanie Wilkes in which she stated a small area children's park would be of much value to the community.

The vote was 6-0.

Make recommendation to Council regarding donation of Town Lake Observation Point. (which was pulled from Items for Consent)

Eleanor McKinney, Land and Facilities Committee Chair, stated they moved approval of the above item but had pulled it from the consent item in order to have a presentation made to the full Board in case there were some questions.

Stuart Strong gave a presentation. He stated staff recommended the approval of this donation.

The vote was 6-0.

Approve ad hoc Committee on quality, with members Dwight as Chair, Friday, and Duffie. Scope to include looking at audit recommendations, Board standards, evaluation of where they need revision, which need new standards, which need revised ordinances.

The Chair asked for the approval of the above ad hoc committee. Ron Cartlidge moved approval of the above item. Marianne Dwight seconded.

The vote was 6-0.

Make recommendation to Council regarding Annual Concession Report.

The recommendation was to table the above item since the ad hoc Concession Committee had not met yet.

Phil Friday moved to table the above item to the January 11, 1994 meeting. Marianne Dwight seconded the motion.

The vote was 6-0.

Approve Annual Report.

Phil Friday moved to table for the next meeting on January 11, 1994. Marianne Dwight seconded the motion.

The vote was 6-0.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Status of Barton Springs Pool cleaning procedures.

The Director reported that Pete Connelly, one of the citizens who had spoken to them earlier, had called him with praise on the work they had done at the pool. Although they were not yet at the point Mr. Connelly desired, he was glad to see the Department had acquired the pressure hoses as they said they would.

ITEMS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

Rocky Medrano apologized to everyone for his behavior earlier. He would really like to put Zilker to rest and move on with business throughout the City.

Eleanor McKinney would really like to see two or three agendas that would not have Zilker Park items on them. She would really like to get on with business elsewhere as it is needed.

Phil Friday stated they should not be ashamed of spending time with issues regarding Zilker Park since it was one of the City's major assets. But by all means they should also be looking at business throughout the City.

Ron Cartlidge stated he voted his conscience tonight.

Sterling Lands stated that there had been a citizen who had sent a fax with a location of a nature preserve in East Austin. Ms. Hamilton stated she had spoken to Stuart Strong about the project and had been advised that there were no unallocated moneys for nature preserves and that it would have to be a new purchase for inclusion in the next year's CIP funding. Mr. Lands asked if there was any other form of funding, and she replied not at the moment. He stated to the Director this would be a perfect opportunity to show East Austin they could have a nature preserve in their own community.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Regarding the minutes for the Annual Retreat and the minutes for the regular meeting on November 9, 1993, Phil Friday moved they table approval of the minutes to the next meeting on January 11, 1994. Eleanor McKinney seconded.

The vote was 6-0.

ADJOURN

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:45 p.m.

Audio tapes of the Parks and Recreation Board meetings are available through the Director of Parks and Recreation, 499-6717.