

December 14, 2018

Re: Opposition to Champion Tract 4 Site Plan Extension (Case # SPC-05-0012A (EXT3))

On behalf of many neighbors, we oppose the extension of the applicant's expiration date. Neighbors rely on the Zoning and Platting Board to equitably make recommendations on the Title 25 code, which is supposed to ensure City regulations are fairly enforced. Neighbors are held to measurable standards and deadlines under Title 25 and believe it is only fair that other developers/owners are as well.

Neighbors understand that commissioners frequently make recommendations on complex cases and there is a need to balance owner requests versus the City code. But this case is simple. The site plan expired. We support the owner's right to develop the property, but the owner does not have the right to circumvent the intent or rules of law any more than the rest of us do.

Date Requested	Reason	Expiration Date	Cumulative Years
1996	Champion Settlement Agreement	2006	
2005	Site plan approved	2009	3
2009	Granted one-year admin extension.	2010	10
First extension	No reason documented.		
2010	Granted 8-year extension.	Nov 15, 2017 Expired	18
Second extension	Reason was intersection redesign.		
October 15, 2017	Requested 3-year extension.	2020	21
Third extension requested	No reason documented.		

The City should be measured on how effectively it enforces the code, not how many exceptions it grants.

We ask you deny the extension. If this extension is granted, neighbors will not perceive this as "fair play".

*Linda Bailey* President Lake Austin Collective