## ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2018-0149 - MLK Rezoning
DISTRICT: 1
ZONING FROM: SF-3-NP
TO: SF-5-CO-NP, as Amended
ADDRESS: 3300 and 3302 East Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard
SITE AREA: 0.47 Acres

## PROPERTY OWNERS:

Deborah Boatner

AGENT:
Hector Avila

CASE MANAGER: Heather Chaffin (512-974-2122, heather.chaffin@austintexas.gov)

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff supports the Applicant's request to rezone the property from SF-3-NP to SF-5-CO-NP. The conditional overlay (CO) establishes a maximum of six dwelling units on the property. For a summary of the basis of staff's recommendation, see case manager comments on page 2.

## PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION / RECOMMENDATION:

April 9, 2019:
March 26, 2019: TO GRANT POSTPONEMENT TO APRIL 9, 2019 AS REQUESTED BY PLANNING COMMISSION, ON CONSENT (10-0). [P. Seeger- $1^{\text {st }}$, G. Anderson- $2^{\text {nd }}$; A. De Hoyos Hart, T. Shaw, and J. Shieh- Absent]

## CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

April 25, 2019:
ORDINANCE NUMBER:

## ISSUES:

The Applicant originally requested to rezone from SF-3-NP to SF-6-NP but has amended the request to $\mathrm{SF}-5-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{NP}$. The Applicant has agreed to a conditional overlay limiting the property to a maximum of six dwelling units.

Neighboring property owners have submitted a Valid Petition request. The petition currently stands at $20.95 \%$, meeting the $20 \%$ threshold to require a super majority vote by City Council at $3^{\text {rd }}$ reading. Please see Exhibit C-Valid Petition.

## CASE MANAGER COMMENTS:

The subject tract is located at the northeast corner of East Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard (MLK) and Tillery Street. The property is zoned SF-3-NP and contains one vacant single family house. To the immediate north and east are more single family residences that are zoned SF-3-NP. Further east is J.J. Seabrook Greenbelt Park, which is zoned P-NP. Across Tillery Street to the west is a medical clinic and retail store that are zoned CS-MU-NP. Further west are more commercial properties that are zoned TOD-NP, including a salon, restaurants and retail. To the northwest are residences zoned SF-3-NP. Across MLK to the south are more residences zoned SF-3-NP. To the southwest are commercial uses zoned GR-MU-NP and TOD-NP. Uses include Automotive repair, Automotive washing, and restaurants. To the southeast is undeveloped land zoned SF-6-CO-NP and land zoned SF-3NP developed with religious assembly land use. Please see Exhibits A and B-Zoning Map and Aerial Exhibit.

Neighboring property owners have submitted a Valid Petition request. The petition currently stands at $20.95 \%$, meeting the $20 \%$ threshold to require a super majority vote by City Council at $3^{\text {rd }}$ reading. Other correspondence has also been received in opposition to the rezoning request. Please see Exhibit D-- Correspondence.

Staff supports the Applicant's SF-5-CO-NP rezoning request. The 0.47 acre tract is located at the edge of a residential neighborhood where it meets a much more intense commercial area. The Applicant proposes building a maximum of six dwelling units on the site, only two more than currently permitted under SF-3-NP. SF-5-CO-NP zoning can provide a transition between these differing areas and provide housing options beyond SF-3. Additionally, the future land use map has designated these lots as higher density single family, which is suitable for SF-5 zoning.

## BASIS OF RECOMMENDATION:

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.
Urban family residence (SF-5) district is the designation for a moderate density single-family residential use on a lot that is a minimum of 5,750 square feet. A duplex, two-family, townhouse, or condominium residential use is permitted in an SF-5 district under development standards that maintain single family neighborhood characteristics. An SF-5 district designation may be applied to a use in an existing family residential neighborhood in a centrally located area of the City. An SF-5 district may be used as a transition between a
single family and multifamily residential use or to facilitate the implementation of City affordable housing programs.
2. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the goals and objectives of the City Council.
SF-5-NP zoning will permit higher density residential development than SF-3-NP and will allow a wider variety of housing types (detached residential, duplex, condominium, etc.)
3. Zoning should promote a transition between adjacent and nearby zoning districts, land uses, and development intensities.
SF-5-NP will create a transition between the CS-MU-NP, GR-MU-NP and TOD-NP properties to the west and the SF-3-NP, P-NP and SF-6-CO-NP properties to the east.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING | LAND USES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | SF-3-NP | Single family residential |
| North | SF-3-NP | Single family residential |
| South | SF-3-NP, GR-MU-NP, TOD- <br> NP, SF-6-NP | Single family residential, Automotive repair <br> services, Car wash, fast food restaurant, <br> Undeveloped, Religious assembly |
| East | SF-3-NP, P-NP | Single family residential, Public park |
| West | CS-MU-NP, TOD-NP | Medical office, Retail, Personal services, <br> Fast food restaurant |

NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA: East MLK Combined
TIA: N/A
WATERSHED: Tannehill Branch

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Austin Neighborhood Council
Black Improvement Association
Neighborhood Empowerment Foundation
Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
East MLK Combined Neighborhood Contact Team
Homeless Neighborhood Association
Homeless Neighborhood Association
Martin Luther King, Jr. Neighborhood Association
Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
J.J. Seabrook Neighborhood Association

Claim Your Destiny Foundation

Austin Innercity Alliance
AISD
Bike Austin
SELTexas
Neighbors United for Progress
East Austin Conservancy
Del Valle Community Coalition
Preservation Austin
Anderly Airport Association
Sierra Club

AREA CASE HISTORIES:

| CITY FILE \#/ <br> NAME | ZONING <br>  <br> TO | PLANNING <br> COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C14-2016-0025 <br> 3417 E. MLK | SF-3-NP to <br> SF-6-NP | Max 72 d.u., Emergency <br> access only to E. 17 |  |
| C14-2008-0243.SH <br> 3522 E. MLK | SF-6-NP to <br> MF-2-NP | MF-2-CO-NP Max 2,000 <br> vehicles/day, max 22 d.u. | 9/22/2019: Ord 201609065, <br> SF-6-CO-NP <br> 03/12/2009: Approve Ord <br> No. 20090312-042, MF-2- <br> CO-NP |
| C14-2008-0031 <br> MLK TOD | Various to <br> TOD-NP | TOD-NP | 9/22/2019 |

RELATED CASES: The MLK Neighborhood Plan and associated rezonings were approved by Council on November 7, 2002 (C14-02-0142.003- Ordinance No. 021107-Z-12c). The MLK NPA was later incorporated into the East MLK Combined NPA. The FLUM designation on this site is Mixed Residential, so a neighborhood plan amendment is not required.

EXISTING STREET CHARACTERISTICS:

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification | Sidewalks | Bicycle <br> Route | Capital Metro <br> (within 1/4 <br> mile) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tillery Street | $50^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | Collector | No | Yes, wide <br> curb lane | Yes |
| MLK Jr <br> Boulevard | $95^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ | Arterial | Yes | Yes, <br> shared lane | Yes |

## OTHER STAFF COMMENTS:

## ENVIRONMENTAL

1. The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is located in the Tannehill Branch Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as an Urban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code.
2. Zoning district impervious cover limits apply in the Urban Watershed classification.
3. According to floodplain maps there is no floodplain within or adjacent to the project location.
4. Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.
5. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
6. This site is required to provide on-site water quality controls (or payment in lieu of) for all development and/or redevelopment when 8,000 s.f. cumulative is exceeded, and on site control for the two-year storm.

## SITE PLAN

SP 1. Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.
SP 2. Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540 feet or less from property in an SF- 5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.
SP 3. Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Standards and Mixed Use. Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.

## TRANSPORTATION

TR1. Per Ordinance No. 20170302-077, off-site transportation improvements and mitigations may be required at the time of site plan application.
TR2. A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC 25-6113]. A neighborhood traffic analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 300 vehicle trips per day. [LDC 25-6-114].
TR3. This project is adjacent to a street that has been identified in Austin's Corridor Mobility Program (Martin Luther King Boulevard). The sidewalk and bicycle facilities shall comply with the required cross-section for MLK Boulevard at the time of the site plan application. At this time, this section of the corridor is in the preliminary engineering phase. The cross section should match the identified improvements within the existing transportation plans. TR6. Per LDC 25-6-381, access to Martin Luther King Boulevard is prohibited since alternative access is available to Tillery Street. Additionally, per TCM 1.3.2.C.1, single family residences should not normally front arterials (MLK Boulevard). It is recommended that the applicant provide joint access for the two tracts to Tillery Street.
TR7. Janae Spence, Urban Trails, Public Works Department, Mike Schofield, Bicycle Program, Austin Transportation Department may provide additional comments regarding bicycle and pedestrian connectivity per the Council Resolution No. 20130620-056. TR8. The Urban Trails Master Plan recommends a tier II urban trail along MLK Boulevard. Per the Urban Trails Program, it is recommended to replace the existing sidewalk with a 10 foot shared use path with 5 -foot setback from the roadway (per design considerations from the 2014 Urban Trails Plan). This is an important connection as is would facilitate connectivity between a planned neighborhood bikeway on Tillery to the trail along Pershing in addition to future connectivity along MLK. Please review the Urban Trails Master Plan for more information. Janae Spence, Urban Trails, Public Works Department may provide additional comments and requirements for right-of-way dedication and trail construction in accordance with LDC 25-6-55 and LDC 25-6-101.
TR9. According to the Austin 2014 Bicycle Plan approved by Austin City Council in November, 2014, a bike lane is recommended for Tillery Street and a protected bike lane is recommended for Martin Luther King Boulevard. Mike Schofield, Bicycle Program, Austin Transportation Department may provide additional comments and requirements for right-ofway dedication and bicycle facility construction in accordance with LDC 25-6-55 and LDC 25-6-101. Please review the Bicycle Master Plan for more information.
TR10. FYI - the existing driveway on Tillery Street and the existing sidewalks and curb ramps along MLK Boulevard will be required to be removed and/or reconstructed at the time
of the site plan application in accordance with the Land Development Code and Transportation Criteria Manual. Sidewalks shall be constructed according the City of Austin standards along Tillery Street at the time of the site plan application.

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification | Sidewalks | Bicycle <br> Route | Capital Metro <br> (within $1 / 4$ <br> mile) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tillery Street | $50^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | Collector | No | Yes, wide <br> curb lane | Yes |
| MLK Jr <br> Boulevard | $95^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ | Arterial | Yes | Yes, <br> shared lane |  |

## WATER UTILITY

1. The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. Water and wastewater utility plans must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO FOLLOW

A: Zoning Map
B. Aerial Exhibit
C. Valid Petition
D. Correspondence



| no | 17153.62 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| no | 17743.95 | $0.00 \%$ |
| yes | 17107.32 | $7.12 \%$ |
| no | 22755.90 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 7136.17 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 490.79 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 10754.91 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 20799.81 | $0.00 \%$ |
| yes | 10789.27 | $4.49 \%$ |
| no | 1085.21 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 8584.81 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 31895.28 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 9993.22 | $0.00 \%$ |
| yes | 10201.00 | $4.24 \%$ |
| yes | 10794.60 | $4.49 \%$ |
| yes | 1476.16 | $0.61 \%$ |
| no | 1181.47 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 20030.63 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 5831.36 | $0.00 \%$ |
| no | 2358.99 | $0.00 \%$ |

[^0]

## PETITION TO THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL

Date: March 1, 2019
File Number: C14-2018-0149
Address of Rezoning Request:
3302 E. Martin Luther King Blvd. 78723
To: Austin City Council
We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than the zoning which has been in place for the parcel.

THE REASONS FOR OUR PROTEST ARE AS FOLLOWS, OR ON ATTACHED SHEETS OF PAPER:

This rezoning request involves two adjacent lots, which will be called "the parcel" in this discussion. The parcel in this rezoning request involves addresses on Martin Luther King, Jr., Blvd., but MLK at that location is actually FM 969. Most significantly, this parcel has no on-street parking whatsoever on MLK. Only one of the lots has any side street "frontage," which is on Tillery Street. The other lot is mid-block. Consequently, off-site parking on Tillery Street for these properties is a major concern.

Many council members may be familiar with a different street in east Austin also called Tillery Street south of Oak Springs, which is a much wider thoroughfare (with bike lanes) that has historically fronted pecan groves and other urban farming types of ventures. An on-the-ground reality check requires us to point out that this parcel is not on that Tillery Street.

Instead, the much narrower Tillery Street which flanks the parcel in question runs north from Airport Blvd. to Manor Road. When the old Robert Mueller Airport was operational folks from other parts of town used this Tillery Street as a shortcut from Airport Blvd. to the airport. Other than that, they would never have known it existed because it runs through the historical J.J. Seabrook Neighborhood. ' Tillery Street remains a major cut-through street for commuters who drive through

[^1]PETITION OPPOSING REZONING
DATE: _March_1, 2019 Rezoning Case Number: __C14-2018-0149 Address of parcel: - $\overline{33} 02 \mathrm{E}$. Martin Luther King Blvd. 78723
the neighborhood en route from outside the neighborhood to somewhere else.
The Tillery Street frontage for this parcel is also where its driveway enters the property, so there is only enough space for two cars to park there. Due to the fact that the other side of the street has no parking opposite this parcel (due to driveway entrances for the commercial property there), the scarcity of on-street parking abutting the parcel in question is a hot-button issue.

The inadequacy of on-site parking requirements is a consideration in this discussion, and this is especially pertinent at the intersection of Tillery and MLK, which is already a dangerous enough intersection that roadway planners have suggested that it needs to have funds allocated for a traffic light.

Not only is the J.J. Seabrook Neighborhood, in which this parcel is situated, primarily a singlefamily neighborhood, but so too is the MLK Neighborhood which flanks this parcel on the other side of MLK/969. The requested zoning change is not compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhoods. The existing zoning allows this property to be densified in ways that are remain compatible with the existing neighborhood, and the upgrade should be denied.

DATE: 3/25/2019
CONTACT NAME PRINTED: Neal Miller

Phone Number: 512-569-8551
Contact Name Signed: OTcal?)Tiller
over I-35 after Seabrook.[3] A $\$ 55,000$ scholarship at Huston-Tillotson University has also been set up in Seabrook's name, $\$ 55,000$ being the proposed cost of changing the road signs on the former 19th street to MLK Boulevard in 1975. This cost was one of the arguments at the time against changing the name of the road.[4]
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PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to
 speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.
During its public hearing, the board or commission-may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.
During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.
However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU
 to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.
For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov/planning.

## THE J.J. SEABROOK NEIGHBORHOOD IN 2019

Whereas the J.J. Seabrook Neighborhood was historically a solid black neighborhood with extraordinary longevity of residency, it is now perhaps Austin's best example of a well integrated multi-racial neighborhood, with inter-generational diversity. The transition has, however, not been stress free. World views of the older generation are, as might be expected, not necessary in sync with the world views of the younger generation. More relevant than race is the class divergence. The old-timers are prototypically retired and living on fixed incomes which have been subverted by inflation and the explosion in Austin's cost of living, whereas the newcomers are often at the front end of high earning careers.

The urban planning references made in the discussion of the instant zoning change are boilerplate, and they all ignore the single most important element of the zoning history of this neighborhood. Its zoning history is quintessentially "airport appurtenant," meaning that the City of Austin superimposed atypically diverse zoning upon this neighborhood when it was first built out in the middle of the last century. When the City of Austin began to plan for building the Robert Mueller Municipal Airport it imposed zoning onto farm fields in anticipation of the future needs of the city airport. Commercial zoning was put in place to allow for future growth of airport related businesses, including on Tillery Street between MLK and Manor Road.

Consequently, across the street from the parcel at issue in this upzoning request are two properties with long-standing commercial zoning that already stresses the residential character of the street. For years, the second lot from the corner was a funeral home with inadequate off-street parking, and mourners who were in a hurry to attend services would park in front of residential driveways. Most recently, the occupant of that property is now a cider brewery which wants to have brewery-tour traffic and a tasting room.

In the 1950's when the City of Austin began to plan for building the Robert Mueller Municipal Airport it designed a very unique roadway system for this part of town, one which was put in place to route traffic to and from, and around, the old municipal airport. Abutting the parcels at issue here is a very-busy state highway, FM 969, on which there is no on-street parking. That roadway system has now resulted in this section of Tillery Street being a major cut-through street for urban commuters. The intersection of Tillery Street and MLK is a high risk intersection, with accidents happening so frequently that the transportation planners have identified a need for a future red light at this intersection. The unavoidable overflow parking which this project will produce will produce sight-line blocking, tight-to-the-comer, hazard-enhancing parking on Tillery Street.

Another vital component of any discussion about development of this neighborhood is the fact that the City of Austin is the largest landowner in the neighborhood. One large city-owned parcel on E.M. Franklin Street on which the Red Cross has a long-term lease is across the street from another parcel which the city has terribly under-utilized ever since the old aipport closed.

That most significant parcel which the city owns is $\mathbf{3 5 1 1}$ Manor Road. It is the northern face of
the neighborhood, being what folks see when they exit the Mueller development southbound from Berkman Drive to Manor Road. That parcel was last before this commission and before the City Council over five years ago when the then non-conforming Public Works Department occupancy which violated city law was terminated. At that time the J.J. Seabrook Neighborhood Association adopted a position of encouraging development of part of that parcel for affordable housing. The city's planners have egregiously squandered that opportunity to develop affordable housing on a city-owned parcel. They could not have more brazenly dropped that ball. This commission/council should make inquiry as to which city departments are mis-managing current and future use and development of that parcel.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a

 zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU
 to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov/planning.
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 affecting your neighborhood. During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the

 from the announcement, no further notice is required. During its public hearing
-

> Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.
 City of Austin If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

Planning \& Zoning Department Heather Chaffin

Austin, TX 78767-8810
P. O. Box 1088 A M

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

| Case Number: C14-2018-0149 |
| :--- |
| Contact: Heather Chaffin, 512-974-2122 |
| Public Hearing: March 26, 2019, Planning Commission |
| April 25, 2019, City Council |



Comments: City of Austin
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning \& Zoning Department
Heather Chaffin
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810 Heather Chaffin
P O. Box 1088

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are
 оf Ки!
 You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental
 affecting your neighborhood. During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input
forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input
forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the e лоғ әш!
 from the announcement, no further notice is required.
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However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU uо!!!ppe u! sosn [е! to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the sasn ןе! within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov/planning. During its public hearing

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to

 organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood. During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a
 from the announcement, no further notice is required. During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov/planning.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

| Case Number: C14-2018-0149 |
| :--- |
| Contact: Heather Chaffin, 512-974-2122 |
| Public Hearing: March 26, 2019, Planning Commission |
| April 25, 2019, City Council |



## 0 0 0 0 0 0

 City of AustinPlanning \& Zoning
Heather Chaffin
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

## Case Number: C14-2018-0126

Contact: Heather Chaffing, 512-974-2122
Public Hearing: Apr 02, 2019, Zoning and Platting Commission Apr. 25, 2019, City Council
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { MRS. EMMANUELLE EMPLIN } \\
& \text { ImP (please print) } \\
& \square \text { I am in favor } \\
& \boxed{\text { I object }}
\end{aligned}
$$ our Name (please print) 2102

Langclale Ln, Austin. TX 78754 our addresses) affected by this application

laytime Telephone: $\quad 713 \cdot 775-0352$
'omments: The applicant proposes building 20 units per acre for a total of 600 units on 293 cres adjacent to single family districts, yet is proposing distinct type MF-4 allowing over 1,500 nits on the same acreage. Is it not more appropriate to propose MF-2-a multifamily residential ea located adjacent to single family neighborhoods? this is not a "centrally located area" as ascribed in $\$ 25-2-65$, nor "adjacent to the central business district" MF-4 is not warranted. Further, the traffic impact analysis was waived based on a TIA conducted 17 years ago in 2002 pplicant estimates 4,495 vehicles to enter and exit per day directly onto three lanes of 50 MPH ross-traffic. City staff should reconsider and require a new TIA, as assumptions made 17 years go in C14T-02-0001 likely do not reflect the true situation of Austin trafficiodave
f you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: city of Austin
'lanning \& Zoning Department
Heather Chaffing
'. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
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90 in CHaT. 02.0001 likely do not reflect the true situation of Austin traffic today
fyou use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
'Vanning d Zoning Department
Heather Chaffing
, O. Box 1088
Justin, TX 78767-8810


[^0]:    $\begin{array}{lll}228164.48 & 20.95 \%\end{array}$

[^1]:    1 Folks unfamiliar with who Reverend J.J. Seabrook was might want to google his name. However, do not be distracted by the location of J.J. Seabrook Street, which is at a distance from the parcel involved in this rezoning matter.
    https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John Jarvis Seabrook .
    John Jarvis Seabrook
    From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia
    John Jarvis Scabrook (April 12, 1899 - May 1, 1975) was an African-American pastor
    and president-emeritus of Huston-Tillotson University. He died May 1, 1975, while
    arguing before the Austin City Council on the naming of Austin's MLK Jr. Boulevard
    (formerly "19th Street").[1] In East Austin, east of Interstate 35 (a region historically
    populated primarily by minorities[2]), the street was to be named MLK Jr. Blvd; west
    of I-35, it would remain 19th Street. Dr. Seabrook wanted there to be a single name in
    both East and West Austin. While speaking to the council of the need to unite the city,
    Dr. Seabrook collapsed, suffering a fatal heart attack.
    On May 15, 2010, the same council approved naming of the MLK Jr. Boulevard bridge

