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PURPOSE AND GOALS

ASSESS STATE OF CURRENT PARK SYSTEM
Conduct a thorough assessment of the condition and quality of the current park system to provide a strong data-driven baseline to inform prioritization and track implementation progress over time.

VISION FOR AUSTIN’S PARK SYSTEM IN 2028
PARD will reach its centennial in 2028 and the planning process should focus on engaging the community and city staff to define a new vision that is both inspirational and achievable.

GUIDE FUTURE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT
As Austin continues to grow and change, the Long Range Plan provide a careful analysis and guidebook for how and where to invest to ensure a stronger park system.

PARK SYSTEM RECOMMENDATIONS & PRIORITIZATION
Include both citywide strategies and planning area priorities to inform the Capital Improvement Plan and development of Park Master Plans.
RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER

CITYWIDE PLANS

**Austin is:**
Livable / Natural and Sustainable
Prosperous / Mobile and Interconnected
Values and Respects its People
Creative / Educated
LONG RANGE PLAN

SCHEDULE

PHASE 1:
PROJECT LAUNCH

PHASE 2:
ACTIVE COMMUNITY & STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

PHASE 3:
PLAN DEVELOPMENT / PRIORITIES

OUTREACH TO EXISTING DEPARTMENTS, ADVISORY GROUPS, MEDIA, PARK USERS, FRIENDS OF GROUPS

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CEAC)

PUBLIC OPEN HOUSES
POP-UP EVENTS
FOCUS GROUPS
ONLINE SURVEY (WINTER 2018-2019)

CEAC MEETING #2

CEAC MEETING #3

PLANNING SUMMIT #1

PLANNING SUMMIT #2

PLANNING SUMMIT #3

KICK OFF MEETINGS
BEGIN CULTURAL CENTER ENGAGEMENT
ONGOING ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES
STASTISTICALLY VALID SURVEY BEGINS
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY

TECHNICAL ADVISORY GROUP (TAG)
STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS

TAG MEETING #2
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY

POP-UP EVENTS
PUBLIC OPEN HOUSES
SPEAK UP AUSTIN DISCUSSION

PLAN ADOPTION MEETINGS (FALL 2019)

Engagement Summary & Plan Revisions

BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, & CITY COUNCIL REVIEW

OUR FUTURE

2018 - 2028
A LOOK AT THE PARK SYSTEM TODAY

JUST SOME OF THE FACILITIES

- Parks: 291
- Golf Courses: 6
- Public/Private Partnerships: 15+
- Volleyball Courts: 47
- Pools: 40
- Recreation/Senior Gardens: 26
- Tennis Courts: 124
- Historic Buildings: 24
- Trail Miles: 227
- Playgrounds: 147
- Off-Leash Dog Areas: 13
- Cemeteries: 5
- Rec Centers: 20
- Museums, Arts & Cultural Centers: 11
- Stages/Ampitheaters: 10
- Mixed-Use Fields: 78

KEY METRICS

- PARD Employees: 700+
- PARD FT Employees: 1,000+
- PARD PT & Seasonal: 1,000+
- Population in 2018*: 967K
- Projected Population in 2030*: 1.143M
- Acres of Managed Parkland: 19,000+

100-Year Anniversary of PARD (2028)

Source: Austin Parks and Recreation Department FY18 Annual Report and Strategic Plan 2017-2021; *from Austin Area Population Histories and Forecasts
REACHING OUT & ENGAGING THE PUBLIC

OPEN HOUSES
400+ PARTICIPANTS

SURVEYS, WEBSITE & SOCIAL MEDIA
925 STATISTICALLY VALID SURVEY RESPONSES
4,400+ COMMUNITY SURVEY RESPONSES
9,000+ COMMUNITY SURVEY COMMENTS

FOCUS GROUPS & INTERVIEWS

ENGAGING KIDS THROUGH ART

POP-UP EVENTS
21 EVENTS ATTENDED
297+ VISITORS ENGAGED

POST “SURVEY” TO (512) 580-8850
VISIT AUSTINFUTUREPARKS.ORG/SURVEY
VISIT AUSTINFUTUREPARKS.ORG

FOCUS GROUPS & INTERVIEWS

AUSTIN PARKS & RECREATION
LONG RANGE PLAN
OUR FUTURE
2018 - 2028

George Washington Carver Museum
Northwest Recreation Center
Gustavo “Gus” L. Garcia Recreation Center
Dittmar Recreation Center
Fiesta Gardens Building

Pop-Up Locations (Series 1 & 2)
OPEN HOUSE SERIES #1
1 George Washington Carver Museum
2 Northwest Recreation Center
3 Gustavo “Gus” L. Garcia Recreation Center
4 Dittmar Recreation Center
5 Fiesta Gardens Building

OPEN HOUSE SERIES #2
6 South Austin Senior Activity Center
7 Anderson Mill Limited District Community Center
8 Circle C Community Center
9 IBPS Buddhist Temple/FGS Xiang Yun Temple
10 Austin Recreation Center

OPEN HOUSE SERIES #3
11 Millennium Youth Entertainment Complex
12 Zilker Botanical Garden
WHAT ARE THE PARK SCORE CARDS?

The park score cards are a pilot tool to assess the city’s parks and determine areas where parks are performing well and areas where we need improvement. Criteria includes park access, key features, supportive facilities, safety & maintenance concerns, aesthetics, and health.

HOW WILL THE SCORE CARDS BE USED?

These draft score cards will be both a snapshot and a living database PARD can utilize to make data-driven, priority-based decisions for investment. The score cards will be employed to increase accountability, transparency, and equity in park level of service across the city. The draft score cards will first focus on neighborhood/pocket parks and will be employed along with a pilot community assessment tool to increase accountability, transparency, and equity in park level of service across the city.

PARK SCORING:

High Scoring Park

Low Scoring Park

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.

Sample Park Name

Score, out of 5, based on average of topic scores

Example scores of parks within the planning area

Planning area Location key map

Major amenities featured in the park

Scored highly in transportation connections, social opportunities, and variety of landscape.
AUSTIN’S PROPOSED URBAN TRAIL NETWORK
BASED ON STRATEGIC MOBILITY PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS

MAPPING OF EXISTING DENSITY, DISPLACEMENT RISK, FUTURE GROWTH

MAPPING OF PARK ACCESS BY FOOT

EXISTING URBAN TRAIL NETWORK

PROPOSED URBAN TRAIL NETWORK

AREAS WHERE PARKS ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE

OUTSIDE 1/4 MILE

URBAN CORE 1/2 MILE

AREAS WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE OF PARKS

1/4 MILE

1/2 MILE

OUTSIDE

1/4 MILE

URBAN CORE

1/2 MILE

1/4 MILE

OUTSIDE
LONG RANGE PLAN
NUESTROS PARQUES, NUESTRO FUTURO

Creative strategies like new entrances, bike/pedestrian connections, expanded greenbelts can improve access to (and thereby expand the impact of) existing parks in areas where land costs are relatively high and new parkland acquisition is difficult.

The City sponsored a UT study of gentrification to better understand trends and areas at risk of displacement. Areas of the city where high growth is anticipated and where the need for parkland is high will benefit from proactive park planning to meet future needs.

Areas of the city where high growth is anticipated and where the need for parkland is high will benefit from proactive park planning to meet future needs.

Areas in need of parkland are highlighted in orange in the map above, the "UPROOTED" study identified locations at risk of dental displacement in Austin's gentrifying neighborhoods, and what can be done about it. The Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan defines growth centers that will be key to our future, especially in high-growth areas.

Areas in need of parkland are highlighted in orange in the map above, the "UPROOTED" study identified locations at risk of dental displacement in Austin's gentrifying neighborhoods, and what can be done about it. The Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan defines growth centers that will be key to our future, especially in high-growth areas.

PROACTIVE PARK PLANNING IN HIGH GROWTH AREAS

Areas of the city where high growth is anticipated and where the need for parkland is high will benefit from proactive park planning to meet future needs.

AREAS AT RISK OF DISPLACEMENT

диаграмма ареалов риска дискомфORTа

Идентификация ареалов, где отсутствует парк

данные источника: 2018 UT AUSTIN STUDY "UPROOTED: RESIDENTIAL DISPLACEMENT IN AUSTIN'S GENTRIFYING NEIGHBORHOODS, AND WHAT CAN BE DONE ABOUT IT" - STAGES OF GENTRIFICATION MAPPING.

Проект Consulting, The Trust for Public Land, ETC Institute, Adisa Communications, Go Collaborative, Studio Balcones, In Collaboration With:
The LRP process includes a detailed study of areas that are park deficient, as well as areas that have a high or low level of parks / programs compared to the city’s average.

**Prioritization Methodology**

Developed for prioritization of PARD LRP investments.

**Public Feedback Loop**

Continual feedback through ongoing meetings and activities with staff, stakeholders and the public combined with these analytical tools lead to prioritization and refinement of goals and strategies.
OUR PARKS, OUR FUTURE

COMMUNITY THEMES

Ten themes emerged from the numerous community engagement events and surveys completed as part of this planning process. Those themes along with the priority needs and citywide analysis form the basis of the system-wide recommendations to follow.

NATURAL EXPERIENCES
People express a desire for parks that feel more natural. This includes undeveloped, wild natural spaces, rustic finishes instead of paved areas and more native plants.

UNSTRUCTURED SPACES
In general, people care less about spaces for specific programming, opting for more multi-use spaces and preserving green, natural and open spaces.

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE
Proactively use green infrastructure in parks to build a more resilient city. This includes stormwater management, flood protection, heat island effect mitigation, drought tolerance, and native planting/habitat areas.

LINEAR PARKS & TRAILS
People are more interested in parks that allow them to move (walk/run/bike) as opposed to staying in one place. There is an interest in nature and multipurpose trails within PARD parks as well as connectivity between parks along urban trails.

PROXIMITY & ACCESS
People are concerned about both the lack of parks near them and/or their ability to get to parks. This includes walkability issues, lack of adequate parking and the lack of public transit access.

URBAN SPACES
People express a concern that existing parkland in high-density urban areas exists already at critical locations, but are underutilized. The desire is for a renewed commitment to well-maintained green spaces and enhanced programming in urban parks in high-density areas.

PARKS & ARTS/CULTURE
People express a desire for increased arts/culture programming in parks. This includes increased community-focused programming and more cultural centers that could host these kinds of programs.

EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES
People are interested in more hands-on educational opportunities. This includes youth education, art-based education and summer camps.

INCLUSIVITY
People express a desire for parks and programming to be more inclusive. This includes more publicity about activities, providing free parking, reducing fees that prevent low-income residents from participating, and increasing multi-generational programs and spaces.

CLEANLINESS & SAFETY
People are concerned that parks are not being adequately taken care of. This includes issues around lighting, shade, maintenance, recycling, bathrooms, off-leash dog areas and dog waste disposal. People also expressed concern about the issue of homelessness on many dimensions - safety, park cleanliness, humanitarian - and saw an opportunity to work with homeless population to improve park cleanliness & safety.
OUR PARKS, OUR FUTURE

CITYWIDE STRATEGIES

A. Ensure parks act as a **RELIEF FROM URBAN LIFE**
B. Expand and improve **PARK ACCESS** for all
C. Activate and enhance **URBAN PUBLIC SPACES**
D. **ALIGN PROGRAMS** with community interest
E. Optimize and improve **EFFICIENCY OF OPERATIONS**
The impact of Austin’s rapid growth is becoming apparent as Austinites increasingly confront the loss of natural areas and increased development. PARD has to guard the park system’s role as a relief from and counterpoint to Austin’s increasingly urban context. Likewise, the parks must become more flexible and multi-purpose to support a higher intensity and wider variety of park users.

1. Add between 4,000 and 8,000 acres of new parkland over the next 10 years, maintaining the current park acres standard of 20 acres/1,000 residents while striving to achieve 24 acres/1,000 residents.

2. Protect and increase natural areas that support immersive nature experiences and provide space for environmental stormwater benefits.

3. Make it easier for Austinites to spend time interacting with water (e.g. recreational facilities like outdoor pools and access to natural water bodies like lakes and creeks).

4. Increase the number of PARD community gardens.

5. Invest in multi-purpose, unstructured spaces capable of supporting flexible uses. Park elements like small plazas or pavilions with electrical/water hookups, moveable furniture, and multi-purpose athletic fields allow for informal use of space while maintaining a natural, open feel.

6. Provide dedicated off-leash dog areas in appropriate locations to ensure both dog-owners and non-dog-owners can enjoy parks.

7. Protect and manage natural areas to intentionally balance recreational use with environmental protection.
Providing equitable access for all residents is a priority for PARD, and accessibility has been identified as an issue during the LRP Process. PARD can increase accessibility for all by improving trails, signage, communications, and wayfinding, among other things. Any future investment in parks, trails, and associated facilities should be ADA compliant.

1. Prior to any significant master plan or development, work with partner organizations and current and long-time residents to ensure community involvement in the process.

2. Invest in the acquisition of new parkland that can make parks a part of everyday life in existing and future underserved areas.

3. Increase the number of entrances and access points to existing parks in order to expand the number of residents within walking distance of a park.

4. Increase multi-use and nature trails within the existing PARD park system and continue to acquire land for linear parks and greenbelts that can accommodate larger trail systems.

5. Support the efforts of the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan, city departments, and partners in advancing the mobility network beyond the PARD park system.

6. Achieve a more even geographic distribution of facilities that have a strong citywide interest.

7. Aspire to provide universal access (e.g. all ages, all abilities). Park spaces should be accessible, to the greatest extent feasible, by everyone regardless of age, ability, or language. Strive to create equal access for all Austinites by reducing barriers to use.

8. Improve signage and wayfinding markers at entrances and along trails to help park users navigate with confidence.

9. Improve the web-based information describing the park system to help people quickly find the amenities and experiences they are seeking.

10. Work with Austin Transportation Department to evaluate the need and appropriate fee structure for private car parking areas.
The strength of Austin’s park system has historically revolved around the iconic natural features and large parks; however, its downtown squares and urban parks have been overlooked and underestimated. These spaces can be activated to extend the benefits of parks to more people through a flexible, partnership-centric approach that expands and enhances use of our public spaces.

**Community Engagement Themes**
- Natural Experiences
- Unstructured Spaces
- Green Infrastructure
- Linear Parks & Trails
- Proximity & Access
- Urban Spaces
- Parks & Arts/Culture
- Educational Opportunities
- Inclusivity
- Cleanliness & Safety

1. Build on the Downtown Austin Alliance’s Downtown Parks Vision to holistically elevate the quality of the urban public realm.

2. Invest in more lighting and increased staff and volunteer presence, especially along trails and pathways to ensure parks feel **safe** after dark.

3. Support more **dense, flexible, and diverse** programming and amenities (e.g. temporary seating or play equipment) in urban public spaces within and beyond PARD parkland.

4. Continuously monitor and provide a clear picture of **cost and revenue information** to the community, including community and economic impacts associated with large events.

5. Increase the number of **Farmers Markets** associated with parks and open spaces.

6. Expand PARD’s **Historic Preservation and Heritage Tourism Program** to protect, restore, and promote the cultural and historic resources of the park system.
While there is a high demand for more programs at parks, there is also a strong interest in Austin for natural experience and unprogrammed spaces and activities. As the needs and interests of residents change, program offerings should be evaluated and adjusted to reflect the most relevant programs as needed.

1. Provide more nature-based programs.

2. Increase Adult and Senior programming across multiple categories – active recreation, nature-based and arts & culture.

3. Increase the number, diversity, and equitable distribution of arts and culture programs being offered through PARD.

4. Explore partnership strategies to increase low-income access to PARD programming.

5. Leverage “pop-up” programming and temporary uses (e.g. beer gardens, seasonal markets, parklets, exercise classes, etc.) to activate public spaces and expand access to programs typically held in recreation and cultural centers.

6. Continually assess community needs and proactively plan for recreation programming that aligns to these needs and trends.
Leverage partnerships and revenue opportunities to elevate the quality of PARD operations and maintenance while upholding equitable park access and ensuring a sustainable and well-balanced PARD budget.

**Optimize & Improve Efficiency of Operations**

1. **Consolidate and improve efficiency** of maintenance operations, administrative and program management functions to a central location with appropriate level of office space and facilities.

2. **Engage with and provide work opportunities** in parks to help people experiencing homelessness (e.g. the Workforce First program that pays individuals experiencing homelessness to help remove trash in public parks).

3. **Improve the value and awareness** of the parks and recreation system offerings to the community.

4. **Develop a clear organizational framework** for PARD partnerships that is equitable, supportive of PARD’s mission and goals, and is regularly evaluated to track and monitor impacts and outcomes.

5. **Work with partners to improve existing parks, trails, and facilities by creating and implementing master plans**.

6. **Pursue sustainability and resiliency goals** in all PARD facilities.

**Community Engagement Themes**

- Natural Experiences
- Unstructured Spaces
- Green Infrastructure
- Linear Parks & Trails
- Proximity & Access
- Urban Spaces
- Parks & Arts/Culture
- Educational Opportunities
- Inclusivity
- Cleanliness & Safety
Planning area specific recommendations are included based on the five city-wide strategies and the specific needs and priorities of each combined planning area.
Central Combined Planning Area Recommendations
Área de Planificación Combinada al Central

At a Glance
Un Vistazo

Draft Recommendations
Recomendaciones Preliminares

Park Development & Implementation

- Adams Hemphill Neighborhood Park – develop and implement vision plan, improve accessibility and key amenities
- Barton Creek Greenbelt – improve access points, signage and wayfinding
- Bluff Creek Preserves – improve access, trailhead, and nature play
- Brush Square – master plan implementation
- Covent Park at Mt. Bonnell – design and construction
- Dougherty Art Center at Butler Shores – complete design and implementation
- Elisabet Ney Museum – development of visitors center on north side of Water Creek
- Grove at Shoal Creek – park system master plan implementation, includes dog park
- Lamar Beach – master plan implementation
- Mayfield Park – rehabilitate buildings, grounds, and ponds
- Old Bakery Emporium & Visitors Center – rehab and plans renovation
- Perry Neighborhood Park – work with AISD to improve neighborhood access
- Seaholm Waterfront – master plan implementation
- South Austin Neighborhood Park – master plan implementation, includes trail & multi-use field improvements
- Steck Valley Greenbelt – improvements and pocket park development
- Reed Neighborhood Park – continue renovations
- Walsh Boat Landing – implement improvements

Environmental Improvements

- Shoal Creek – reestablish the trail connection in landslide area

Partnerships

- Brackenridge Tract – work with UT to retain golf and/or recreational opportunities
- Norwood House – continue to collaborate with the Norwood Foundation to rehab the house and implement the master plan
- Pease District Park – continue to collaborate with Pease Park Conservancy on improvements
- Shoal Creek Corridor – continue to collaborate with Shoal Creek Conservancy on improvements
- Town Lake Bike and Trail – work with UT to promote park trail connectivity to Red Bud Isle

Acquisitions

- Bluff Creek Trail extension to Lady Bird Lake Boardwalk Trail
- West and East Boulder Creek Greenbelt – acquisition and trail development
- New parkland within park deficient areas

Master Planning

- Beverly's S Sheffields Northwest District Park – including Level 2-3 development
- Butler Shores
- Gills Neighborhood Park – including reinvestment in key amenities
- Zilker Metropolitan Park – including Umlauf, Zilker Botanical Garden, and Austin Nature & Science Center

Feasibility Studies

- Hancock Golf Course – study feasibility of golf and other recreational opportunities that can enhance sustainability of course

What Did We Miss?

- New parkland within park deficient areas
- Work with AISD to implement parks at:
  - Fire School
  - Uphold Early Childhood Center, Red K Demonstration

- Continued to collaborate with Shoal Creek Conservancy on improvements
- Continue to collaborate with Waller Creek Conservancy on improvements
- Continuing to collaborate with Norwood Foundation
- Continue to collaborate with Pease Park Conservancy on improvements
- Continue to collaborate with Waller Creek Conservancy on improvements
DRAFT PLAN REVIEW

View the Draft Plan
www.austinfutureparks.org

STAY CONNECTED
Kim McKnight, Project Lead
Park Planning Division
E: Kim.McKnight@austintexas.gov