	Submitter (Commissioner or Working Group)	Title (Short Description)	Chapter (and Section, if any)	Division	Page	Intent	Suggested Text	Notes	Justification	Tags	Vote
		Income restricted affordable housing management				Allow the management and monitoring	Create a certified affordable housing provider certification (with community input at a later time) based on certain criteria. If a developer builds less than 4 income -restricted affordable units, they must partner with this provider for resident income certification and placement. The management and		Council Direction: In general, within activity centers, along activity corridors, along the transit priority network, and in transition areas, additional entitlements beyond current zoning should only be provided: to increase the supply of missing middle housing, which shall include an affordable housing bonus program where economically viable or, through a density bonus that requires some measure of		Motion by Commissioner Azhar, seconded by Commissioner Hempel; 13-0
1	Affordability Working Group					of scattered-site affordable units so that	maintainance of the unit must remain the duty of the management of the market rate units.		affordable housing.		
2	Affordability Working Group	Income averaging in income restricted units				Consider income averaging within income restricted units.	Allow for income averaging in income restricted units		Affordability Unlocked AND alignment with other housing programs.		Motion by Commissioner Azhar, seconded by Commissioner Hempel; 13-0
		Increase income restricted housing in high opportunity areas				The opportunities for income restricted housing high opportunity areas need to		WORK WITH TRANSITION WORKING	Council Direction: Map revisions to provide additional housing capacity should include broader use of zones that allow for affordable housing density bonuses than in Draft 3. All parts of town should be expected to contribute to reaching our ASHB and Austin Strategic Mobility Plan (ASMP) housing and mode shift		Motion by Commissioner Azhar, seconded by Commissioner Hempel; 13-0
3	Affordability Working Group	Transitional and supportive housing CUP				Ensure that the CUP requirement for transitional and supportive housing is		GROUP	goals as well.  Council Direction: Produce Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) in sufficient numbers to meet	t	Motin by Commissioner Azhar, seconded by Commissioner Hempel; 13-0
5	Affordability Working Group  Affordability Working Group	Transitional and supportive housing CUP				Ensure that the CUP requirement for transitional and supportive housing is economically feasible in all zones			the need.  Council Direction: Produce Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) in sufficient numbers to meet the need.	t	Motion by Commissioner Azhar, seconded by Commissioner Hempel; 13-0

				Council	Motion by
				Direction:	Commissioner
				Produce	Azar, seconded
				Permanent	by Commissioner
		Transitional and supportive housing CUP		Supportive	Hempel; 13-0
				Housing (PSH)	
			Ensure that the CUP requirement for	in sufficient	
	A (for a local difference of the control of the con		transitional and supportive housing is	numbers to meet	
6	Affordability Working Group		economically feasible in all zones	the need.	
				Direction: Action	Motion by
				Plan and Bolster	Commissioner
				Enforcement of	Azhar, seconded
				Existing Fair	by Commissioner
				Housing Requirements	Llanes Pulido; 13-
				AND ASHB:	0
				Austin City	
				Council	
				annroyed an	
				approved an ordinance	
				establishing	
				requirements for	
				property owners	
				or developers to	
				provide advance	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		notice to tenants	
				when the	
				apartment	
				buildings or	
				mobile home	
				parks they live in	
				will be	
				demolished or	
				closed. The	
				ordinance also	
				created a	
			For all AHBP units, require tenant	relocation	
			protections similar to what is currently	assistance	
			required in the Rental Housing	program for low-	
_			Development Assistance lease	income renters	
7	Affordability Working Group		addendum.	and mobile	
				Direction: Action	
				Plan and Bolster	
				Enforcement of	
				Existing Fair	
				Housing	
				Requirements	
				AND ASHB:	
				Austin City Council	
				approved an	
				approved an ordinance	
				establishing	
	İ			l legrania III i	Ī
				requirements for	
i				requirements for	
				property owners	
				property owners or developers to	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be demolished or	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be demolished or closed. The ordinance also created a	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing	For all AHBP units, require tenant	property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be demolished or closed. The ordinance also	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing	protections similar to what is currently Ensure that the above provisions and source of	property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be demolished or closed. The ordinance also created a relocation assistance	
		Tenant protections for income-restricted housing		property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be demolished or closed. The ordinance also created a relocation assistance	
8	Affordability Working Group	Tenant protections for income-restricted housing	protections similar to what is currently Ensure that the above provisions and source of	property owners or developers to provide advance notice to tenants when the apartment buildings or mobile home parks they live in will be demolished or closed. The ordinance also created a relocation assistance program for low-	

									1
									Motion by Commissioner
							Council		Azhar, seconded
							Direction: In		by Commissioner
							general, within		Llanes Pulido; 13-
							activity centers,		0
							along activity		Ŭ
							corridors, along		
							the transit priority		
							network, and in		
							transition areas,		
							additional		
							entitlements		
							beyond current		
							zoning should		
		Unlimited CC bonus to increase community					only be provided:		
		benefits					to increase the		
							supply of		
							missing middle		
							housing, which		
							shall include an		
							affordable		
							housing bonus		
							program where		
							economically		
	1						viable or,		
	1						through a density		
	1						bonus that		
	1				Work with the downtown working group to identify		requires some		
	1				e opportunities for increasing income-restricted		measure of		
	L			to increase community benefits,	affordable units by increasing bonus area in the	WORK WITH DOWNTOWN WORKING			
9	Affordability Working Group			including affordable housing	downtown zones.	GROUP	housing.		
	1								
	1						Council		
	1						Direction: Code		
							revisions to		
							increase the		
							supply of		
							missing middle		
							housing should		
		Internal ADU permitting					include: Allowing		
		I memary 20 permining					accessory		
							dwelling units		
							(ADUs), both		
							external and		
							internal/attached		
							, to be permitted		
							and more easily		
							developed in all		
	1			Relax permitting requirements for		Question for staff - how does this relate	residential		
10	Affordability Working Group			internal ADUs		to the preservation bonus?	zones.		Baratan Las
									Motion by
									Commissioner
									Azhar, seconded
									by Llanes Pulido.
	1								9-4
	1	Child care accessibility							Azhar, Llanes
	1	Child care accessibility		Encourage accessible child care by					Pulido - Nay
	1			reducing restrictions on child care			ASHB: NHCD		Shaw, Shieh,
	1			facilities for 35 children or fewer,			Department		Seeger, and
	1			including childcare facilities in all zonin	,	Question for staff - What was the basis	goals of		Schneider noted
	1			categories, except industrial and airpo		for the initial language and how does this			nay.
11	Affordability Working Group			zones	`	relate to state requirements?	care services		
<del></del>	, moradomy working Group		<del>                                     </del>	Encourage accessible child care by	1	Totale to diate requirements:		UPLICATE	
	1			reducing restrictions on child care			ASHB: NHCD	. J. L.J/( L	
	1			facilities for 35 children or fewer,			Department		
	1	Child care accessibility		including childcare facilities in all zonin	a	Question for staff - What was the basis	goals of		
	1			categories, except industrial and airpo		for the initial language and how does this			
12	Affordability Working Group			zones		relate to state requirements?	care services		
<u></u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,			Motion by
	1						ASHB: Adopt a		Motion by Commissioner
	1						balanced		Azhar, seconded
	1						approach to		by Commissioner
	1						provide		Llanes Pulido; 13-
	1					Requirements for Residential House-	affordable		Lianes Fulido; 13-
	1						housing		ا
	1						resources for low-		
	1	Elder care accessibility					income workers,		
	1					family residential developments reserved			
	1			Encourage accessible elder care by		for senior citizens, persons with physical	with disabilities		
	1			reducing restrictions including parking		disabilities, or both, where common	and the		
	1			on elder care facilities, including		facilities may be provided (for example,	thousands of		
1	i		1				people		
				IUCCIDANCA ilmite in all works					
				occupancy limits, in all zoning	,				
13	Affordability Working Group			categories, except industrial and airpo	t	dwelling unit has individual living,	experiencing homelessness.		

					Motion by
					Commissioner
					Azhar, seconded
					by Commissioner
		Deview offerther age of CMAD They sing			
		Review effectiveness of S.M.A.R.T housing		Council	Howard; 12-0;
				Direction: Revise	Llanes Pulido
			Ensure that the S.M.A.R.T housing	S.M.A.R.T.	abstained.
			section is aligned with previous Planning	Housing	
14	Affordability Working Group		Commission work	Program	
					Motion by
					Commissioner
				Council	Azhar, seconded
				Direction: In	by Commissioner
				general, within	Howard; 10-2;
				activity centers,	Commissioners
				along activity	Llanes Pulido
				corridors, along	
				the transit priority	and Seeger nay.
				network, and in	Shieh abstained.
				transition areas,	
				additional	
				entitlements	
				beyond current	
				zoning should	
				only be provided:	
		Increasing income restricted housing on TPN		to increase the	
				supply of	
				missing middle	
				housing, which	
				shall include an	
				affordable	
				housing bonus	
			The opportunities for income restricted	program where	
			housing in zones on the TPN within non-	economically	
			gentrifying areas need to be maximized.	viable or,	
			Increased entitlements should be	through a density	
			employed to achieve increased number	bonus that	
			of income-restricted units especially in Find opportunities to increase the bonus entitlements,	requires some	
			high opportunity area. This does not and thus the requirement of income restricted	measure of	
			apply to naturally occuring affordable housing, on the corridor on the TPN within non-	affordable	
15	Affordability Working Group		housing. gentrifying areas, specifically in high opportunity areas.	housing.	
					Motion by
				Council	Commissioner
				direction: In	Azhar, seconded
				general, housing	by Vice Chair
				affordability	by Vice-Chair
				should be the	Kenny; 10-2.
				primary policy	Pulliodo Llanes
				primary policy driver of code	and Seeger nay.
					Shaw off the
				and mapping	dais.
				revisions and the	
				Manager should	
				explore options	
		Administrative variances under Affordability		to allow some	
		Unlocked		level of	
				administrative	
				variances for	
				some building	
				form regulations	
				(setbacks,	
				height, building	
			To enhance Affordability Unlocked, in	cover, etc.) to	
			the case of units built under the	help maximize	
			program, explore options to allow some	the shared	
			level of administrative variances for	community	
				poorning	
		1 1	some huilding form regulations	values of	
16	Affordability Working Group		some building form regulations (setbacks, height, building cover, etc.)	values of housing	

								DUPLICATE
							Council	
							direction: In	
							general, housing	
							affordability	
							should be the	
							primary policy	
							driver of code	
							and mapping	
							revisions and the	
							Manager should	
							explore options	
		Administrative variances under Affordability					to allow some	
		Unlocked					level of	
							administrative	
							variances for	
							some building	
							form regulations	
							(setbacks,	
							height, building	
				To enhance Affordability Unlocked, in			cover, etc.) to	
				the case of units built under the			help maximize	]
							the shared	
				program, explore options to allow some	Explicitly allow for Affordability Unloaked to be seed in			l l
				level of administrative variances for	Explicitly allow for Affordability Unlocked to be used in		community	l l
	A #   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -				conjunction with other affordabile housing funding and		values of	
17	Affordability Working Group			(setbacks, height, building cover, etc.)	policy programs.		housing	
								Motion to by
							Council	Commissioner
							Direction: Map	Azhar, seconded
							revisions to	by Commissioner
							provide	Shaw
							additional	9-4;
							housing capacity	Commissioners
						reduce transition areas and the	should include	Llanes Pulido,
		Transition zones in gentrifying areas					broader use of	Thompson,
							zones that allow	Seeger and Azar
							for affordable	nay.
						being most susceptible to gentrification in		
				Transition zones in the "late" and			bonuses than in	
				"Continued loss" gentifying areas should		Study will be considered to be reduced	Draft 3. AND	
				be mapped as 5 lot deep in order to			Conversation	
				increase housing capacity, including			with Authors of	
18	Affordability Working Group			income-restricted units.			Uprooted study	
10	Anordability Working Group			income-restricted drifts.		gentinication.	Oprobled study	Motion by
								Commissioner
								Azhar, seconded
							0 "	by Commissioner
							Council	Anderson0 11-0.
							Direction: The	Commissioners
			i I I					Seeger and Shieh
		-					granting of new	
							entitlements in	abstained.
1							entitlements in areas currently	
I							entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to	
							entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification	
							entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited	
							entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification	
							entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited	
							entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce	
		Naturally occurring affordable housing in		Increase protections for naturally	All naturally occuring multi-family affordable housing		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and dis-	
		Naturally occurring affordable housing in gentrifying areas		occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the	
		Naturally occurring affordable housing in gentrifying areas					entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development,	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise achieved.	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise achieved.  Existing market	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise achieved.  Existing market rate affordable	
				occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise achieved. Existing market rate affordable multifamily shall	
		gentrifying areas		occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise achieved. Existing market rate affordable multifamily shall not be mapped	
19	Affordability Working Group	gentrifying areas		occurring affordable housing in	(as defined by staff) in gentrifying areas should not be		entitlements in areas currently or susceptible to gentrification should be limited so as to reduce displacement and disincentivize the redevelopment of multi-family residential development, unless substantial increases in long-term affordable housing will be otherwise achieved. Existing market rate affordable multifamily shall	

	Affordability Working Crown	Increasing income restricted housing in transition zones				In transition zones in suscetiple, dynamic and early type areas, the base zoning should be limited to 2 units per lot with a potential incease to 8 or 10 units (same as R4 and RM1 now). Any use of the bonus must require at least one on-site income restricted affordable unit (unless the calculation supports more). The affordable unit must be comparable to the		Council Direction: In general, within activity centers, along activity corridors, along the transit priority network, and in transition areas, additional entitlements beyond current zoning should only be provided: to increase the supply of missing middle housing, which shall include an affordable housing bonus program where economically viable or, through a density bonus that requires some measure of affordable	Commissioner Azhar, 2nd Vice- Chair Kenny. 10- 0 Commissioners Sheih, Llanes Pulido and Seeger abstained.
20	Affordability Working Group				where feasible.	market-rate units in all ways, including size.		housing.	
1	Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Transition Area Mapping Process	23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1	Map transition zones based on city staff process with following mapping changes ("Zoning Map" titled mapping amendments approved by Planning Commission)for mapping transition areas zones. Although lots may be added or removed from different transition areas based on these amendments, the total housing capacity shall not be significantly reduced below the yield of the current draft or above council goal for total housing capacity.	NΔ	Justification: Refer to Draft Land Code Revision Staff Report pages 10-14 and Supplemental Staff Report (Final 10-25-19) pages 2-3. Question for Staff: We understand that staffmapping created the distance based mapping process to allow for transition zones of equal distance on both sides of the corridor. However, we would like tounderstand why have transition zones with equal distance from the corridor is important. Exhibit TWG-1 and TWG-2		Motion to by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Shieh 11-0. Shaw abstained; Llanes Pulido off the dais.
2	Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Transition Area Mapping Process	23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1	Limit the depth of lots to two (2) to five (5) lots behind corridor lot as directed by council with the following changes ("Zoning Map" titled mapping amendments approved by Planning Commission). Although lots may be added or removed from different transition areas based on these amendments, the total housing capacity shall not be significantly reduced below the yield of the current draft or above council goal for total housing capacity.		Justification:Council Direction- 1) The goal of providing additional missing middle housing should inform the mapping of missing middle zones, consistent with the direction provided throughout this document. a. Map new Missing Middle housing in transition areas adjacent to activity centers, activity corridors, or the transit priority network. i. Generally, the transition area should betwo (2) to (5) lots deepbeyond the corridor lot. ii. The depth and scale of any transition area should be set considering context-sensitive factors and planning principles such as those set out in the direction for Question 4, and 2) Transition areas shouldstep down to residential house scale as quickly as possible, while providing for a graceful transition in scale from the zone of the parcel fronting an activity corridor.Comment:This amendment would require additional modeling to determine whether housing goals (total, within 1/4 mile of corridors, affordable in high opportunity, missing middle, etc.) can be achieved.		Motion to by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Shieh 3-9; Pullido off the dais Aye Shaw, Shieh and Seeger; Llanes Pulido off the dais.
3	<u> </u>	Zoning Map - Amendments Related To Transition Area Mapping in Vulnerable Areas	23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1	Endorse Affordability Working Group Amendment related to vulnerable zone classifications that receive reduced transition area mapping and zone intensity.		Note:Endorse Affordability Working Group Amendment related to vulnerable zone classifications that receive reduced transition area mapping and zone intensity.		

		Zoning Map - Addition of Zones Types to Map in Transition Areas			Include mapping of an R zone that is lower intensity than R4 and provides a gradual increase from R2 zones within existing transition area.	by-right plus afformus, along activity centers, a regulations that continued activity centers, a regulations that continued adjacent to parce corridor will be must that does not trigulated that could provide scalefrom the zoof fronting an activity. Transition areas residential house possible, while put ransitionin scale parcel fronting an activity area.	num height allowed ordable housing tivity corridors and in and then establish create astep-down sition zones, 2) Lot(s) els fronting an activity mapped with a zone gger compatibility and de astep-down in one of the parcel sity corridor, 3) shouldstep downto e scale as quickly as providing for agraceful e from the zone of the an activity desidential Working de recommendations ial step-down	Motion to by Commissione Shaw, second by Commission Seeger 4-8 Commissione Shaw, Shieh, Seeger and Schneider aye Llanes Pulido the dais.
4	Transition Working Group		23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1				
5	Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Additional Context Sensitive Mapping Criteria for Transition Areas	23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1	Increase depth and zone density for transition areas when conditions exist for maximizing density where corridors, centers and high capacity transit coexist. These are areas where IA and TPN corridors also serve as high capacity transit service routes and intersect IA centers with high density RM, MS and MU zones.	Compatibility sta mapping should way that maximiz on parcels frontii the Transit Priori within activity ce Revisions should missing middle h areas that meet s following criteria length of transitic relatively more of areas that meet n criteria listed bele i.Located on Tran or Imagine Austin Corridors,and s housing capacity mile oftransit prio identified by the Mobility Plan and activity centers a Stratetic Mobility Policy #1 - Plan a	enters,2) The LDC d map properties for housing in transition some or all of the a. Entitlements and ion areas should be or less intense for more or fewer of the low, respectively: nsit Priority Network, in Centers or 3) 75% of new y should be within ½ iority networks as Austin Strategic d Imagine Austin and corridors.Austin y Plan -Land Use and promote transit- ities along the Transit	Motion to by Commissione Shaw, second by Commissione Seeger 9-0 Commissione Llanes Pulido, Flores, Kazi, Seeger abstain
		Additional Administrative Relief Procedures			Allow some % of administrative authority for flexibility in zone requirements (height, setbacks, etc.)to achieve number of units allowed by zone in order to achieve other benefits such as added tree protection, other.	Code revisions to of missing middle include:. Reduce standards as app middle housing of duplexes, multiple cooperatives and order to facilitate additional units. It determine the appachieve more affect while protecting sustainability, put transportation, unineeds, and 2)ling affordability should policy driver of code are and the Manager should be made and the Manager sh	plexes, townhomes, d cottage courts in e development of Council will need to propriate criteria to fordable housing environment and ublic safety, utility and right of way general, housing uld be the primary and mapping revisions ould explore options el of administrative ag form regulations at, building cover, the shared community	
6	Transition Working Group		23-2G-2	2G-2 pg. 1				

7	Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Amendments Related To Transition Area Mapping in Vulnerable Areas  Zoning Map - Addition of Zones Types to Map in Transition Areas	23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1	Generally, transition areas along TPN and IA corridors that have approved bond funding for improvements (see Exhibit TWG-4) should be mapped with more transition area density (most lot depth and zone intensity).  Include a higher density zone than RM1 to be mappedbehind high density corridor fronting lots (mapped with zones allowing 60' or more height) along IA and TPN corridors. (This zone will haved bonus height up to 65'.)	Justification: Austin Strategic Mobility Plan -Land Use Policy #1 - Plan and promote transit-supportive densities along the Transit Priority Network. Exhibit TWG - 4. Note: In conflict with council direction for limiting transition area zoning in vulnerable areas, but this is supported by ASMP policies for transit supported densities along IA corridors and TPN.  Justification: Council Directives 1) Define the maximum height allowed by-right plus affordable housing bonus, along activity corridors and in activity centers, and then establish regulations that create astep-down effectin the transition zones, 2) Lot(s) adjacent to parcels fronting an activity corridor will be mapped with a zone that does not trigger compatibility and that could provide astep-down in scalefrom the zone of the parcel fronting an activity corridor, 3) Transition areas shouldstep downto residential house scale as quickly as possible, while providing for agraceful transitionin scale from the zone of the parcel fronting an activity corridor. Note: This zone would provide for a more gradual transition between corridor lots 60' in height or greater such as RM4, RM5, MU4, MU5, MS3 and the RM1 zones with a 40'	Motion to by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Seeger 11-1. Commissioner Azhar voted nay Llanes Pulido of the dais.  Motion by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Shieh. 4-8 Commissioners Shaw, Shieh, Seeger, and Aza Vote aye; Llaner Pulido off the dais.
						height. The other advantage of the this zone is that it may actually yield on-site affordable units.	
8	Transition Working Group  Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Transition Areas Near Parkland	23-3A-3 23-3A-3		Map transition areas near dedicated parklandwhen accessible sidewalks and public safety infrastructure for pedestrian safety exists.	Justification:Imagine Austin Priority 4. Use green infrastructure to protect environmentally sensitive areas and integrate nature into the city/ Goal: Increase access to parks/Measure: Units within walking distance of parks (1/4 mile in urban core, 1/2 mile outside the urban core)	
10	Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Transition Areas Near Schools	23-3A-3		Map transition areas near schools when accessible sidewalks and public safety infrastructure for pedestrian safety exists.	,	

		Zoning Map - Additional Context Sensitive Mapping Criteria for Transition Areas				For segments of TPN and IA Corridors that are fronted by a majority of residential zones (currently SF3 or more restrictive), in addition to council direction on context-sensitive mapping criteria, reduce depth and density of zones within transition areas based on unique conditions of the TPN and IA corridor segment. Consider the following context-related criteria for reducing transition areas. 1) the number of continuous residential blocks or length of residential segment, 2) lack of transit centers/stops, 3) capacity of roadway to handle increased R4 and RM1 density, 4) the high-frequency bus route triggering the TPN designation was established to reach a designation beyond the residential area, 5) orientation of lots on TPN or IA Corridor (houses front corridor), 6) proximity to other TPN,IA corridors and centers,7) street width and lack of right of way of TPN or corridor make it difficult to support needs of residents (electric, water, trash services, parking, etc.) 8) street width and lack of right-of-way will not support multi-modal transportation options due to lack of space for sidewalks andbike lanes, and 9) wildfire		Justification: Austin Strategic Mobility Plan -Land Use Policy #1 - Plan and promote transit-supportive densities along the Transit Priority Network. This Policy promotes the principle that IA corridors and TPN having high density commerical zoning facing the corridor and are designated for high capacity transit should be mapped with the deepest and highest density transition areas. IA and TPN corridors fronted with residential should not be prioritized for the same transition area intensity. Council did provide for context sensitive mapping of "residential TPN" streets as follows: " If the transition area is not on an Imagine Austin corridor, but is on a residential transit priority network street, the street facing lot should generally begin with missing middle zoning, rather than corridor			
						risks.		zoning, rather than corridor zoning."These are additional context			
11	Transition Working Group  Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Missing Middle Goal	23-3A-3 23-3A-3		3A-3 pg. 1	Map transition zones, high opportunity areas and IA centers with missing middle zones to achieve the goal of 30% missing middle housing.  In addition to not mapping transition		sensitive criteria to consider.  Justification:Council Directive adopting ASHB goal - At least 30% of new housing should be a range of housing types from small-lot single-family to eight-plexes to help address Austin's need for multi -generational housing,Question: In modeling to determine whether zoning maps met goal for 30% missing middle, did staff incude missing middle on R3 and more restrictive zones outide of transition areas.  Justification:Council Directive - 1) The			
13	Transition Working Group	Zoning Map - Additional Context Sensitive Mapping Criteria for Transition Areas	23-3A-3			zones in Atlas 14 100-yr floodplains, do not map transition areas where localized flooding problems exists (https://Austinlocalflooding)		City Manager shall also use the following conditions as appropriate when mapping transition areas: i. Orientation of blocks relative to corridors, ii. Residential blocks sided by main street or mixed use type zoned lots, iii. Bound by other zones, use, or environmental features (including topography), iv.Drainage and flooding considerations,v. Whether it is most appropriate to split zone or not split zone a lot. 2) Staff will consider mapping missing middle areas in high opportunity areas not impacted byenvironmental concernsin order to help achieve goals related to housing throughout the city.Question:What does Watershed Dept. recommend as best course to limit localized flooding while increasing impervious cover in areas prone to localized flooding.			
13	Transition Working Group		23-3A-3		on-o pg. I						
21	Resi WG - Consent	Double height space relation to FAR				In calculating FAR (Floor Area Ratio), all conditioned space 15' tall and taller count twice toward FAR		installing future floor system, per	Build usable space not excess bulk	0	
2	Resi WG - Consent	SF-attached FAR calibration	23-3C-3	Зххх		Sync SF-attached FAR equal to FAR for duplex in each zone that allows both. Ensure we do not allow gaming of FAR with subsequent subdividing	N/a	Current SF-attached generally has lower FAR than duplex, but is just a subdivided duplex.		Form and Entitlements	
5	Resi WG - Consent	R4 FAR adjustment	23-3C	3130	32	with subsequent subdividing Revise R4 FAR to be graduated by unit count, increasing to incentivize more missing-middle units and re-evaluate bonus FAR in consideration of bonus viability.	Add FAR table to vary FAR by unit count, not form: 1-2 units: 0.4; 3-4 units: 0.6; 5-8 units: Staff re-examine considering bonus viability.	FAR for 1-2 units is kept low to match current entitlements. FAR is a bit stingy with 3-4 units but is fully unlocked with bonus, making bonus more attractive even if not all units are used.		Form and Entitlements	

	IDani WC Company	Limit garage EAD assessmention	1	<del>                                     </del>	In coloulating EAD (Flace Assa Datis)	N/o	If This can proved every visiting of	O Mish module - IT	m and I
22	Resi WG - Consent	Limit garage FAR exemption			In calculating FAR (Floor Area Ratio), limit garage/carport exemption to 200 sq ft per unit.	N/a	construction of structured space can cause busting of FAR by future enclosing and conditioning of the space which we have seen in the past	minimums Ent elminated or	m and itlements
								penalizing the street or the home owner	
33 (new)	Resi WG - Consent	Limit preservation bonus FAR			Cap preservation bonus FAR at 0.8.	N/a		TK For	m and itlements
1	Resi WG - Discussion (CK)	Townhouse floor area calibration for small lots	23-3C-3	Зххх	allotment to allow 3 story townhouses	""	Current townhouse form has 0.6 FAR and an impervious cover of 45%, but a 35ft (3 story) height limit. This results in at-most 2 story townhouses, and only 1,080 townhouses on the 1,800 sq ft lot min.	TK For	m and itlements
30 (new)	Resi WG - Discussion (CK)	R1 floor area calibration for small lots	23-3C-3	3080 19		Suggest a min floor area of 1,800 sq ft, which is 0.4FAR on the minimum-sized 5,000 sq ft. R2 lot.	R1 is replacement for small-lot amnesty SF lots, and is currently given a minimum floor area of 2,300 sq ft., which is allotment for minimum-sized SF lot (0.4*5,750 sq ft). This avoids down-zoning existing small lot amnesty lots, which is otherwise not done in Residential zones in LDC rewrite.		m and iitlements
20	Resi WG - Discussion (JS)	Attic Exemption removed			In calculating FAR (Floor Area Ratio), remove attic exemptions and count all conditioned square footage 6'-8" tall and above counts toward FAR		Attic exemptions are difficult to assess and calculate, and new LDC unlocked attic use anyway. Much easier to just follow counting allowable head clearance code to count to FAR	l l	m and itlements
					Impervious Cover				m and itlements
4	Resi WG - Consent	R4 impervious cover adjustment		3130   33	Revise R4 impervious cover to be graduated by unit count. Keep IC at R2's 45% for 1-2 units (note other amendment may lower IC for 1 unit), and consider increasing IC to greater than 50% under bonus configuration to make bonus viable in more locations.		AIA recommends increasing FAR for R4 to make units achievable.	TK For	m and itlements
35 (new)	Resi WG - Consent	Impervious cover reduction for single units			Reduce impervious cover for single units in all zones where 45% down to 40% or 2,250 sq ft, whichever is greater. (Rebounds to 45% with ADU, duplex, or other 2-unit form.) Establish rules that grandfather in current level of impervious cover for current owners so their properties are not non-compiant (expires when lot is sold).	N/a	2,250 is the current impervious cover for R2's minimum lot size of 5,000 sq ft, so for lots between 5,000 sq ft and 5,625, there will be no decrease.	Ent	m and itlements
6	Resi WG - Consent	Garages and parking adjustments for R zones	23-3C-3	Зххх	Adjust garage and parking restrictions to allow more flexibility of placement, but restore garage size exemption cap.  A) Allow garages to come forward of building facade (NOT into front setback) IF it forms one side of an engaged (2-sides enclosed) front porch; B) Consider increasing front yard impervious cover restriction from 45% to 50%; C) Change 50% limit of building frontage allowed for parking (garage door) from 50% of non-parking frontage (which makes it effectively 33% of building) to 50% of entire building frontage (a true 50%);			TK For	itlements im and itlements

8	Resi WG - Consent	Double-lot form for all units-per-lot R zones	23-3C-3	Зххх			New rows in Lot Size and Intensity tables with double-lot forms, like Cottage Court-6 is a double-lot standard for Cottage-Court 3.		TK	Form and Entitlements	
9	Resi WG - Consent	Cottage Court form - make practical	23-3D-1	1160	19	of the 3-unit form, that make it difficult to achieve, especially on smaller lots.	Remove requirements: 1,500 sf min. area for courtyard; courtyard have buidings on two sides; courtyard cannot be in front or side st. setback; on a corner lot, units adjacent to the side street must front both the courtyard and the street; parking must be clustered and may not be provided adjacent to or attached to an individual unit.  Preserve requirements: 200 sf/unit courtyard size min.; courtyard cannot be use for vehicular access or parking; units must front the common courtyard or the street; a pedestrian connection must link each building to the public right-of-way, court, and parking area; buildings must be separated by a min of 6 ft.	achieve on 10,000 sq ft. units.	direction, the draft code	Form and Entitlements	
10	Resi WG - Consent	Clarify entitlements for mutliple forms	23-3C-3	Зххх		Clarify code when a mix of forms are utilized, such as a duplex and an ADU.	N/a	Current form standards only envision one form being used on a lot, but in R2 (preservation bonus) R3, R4, multiple	тк	Uses	
11	Resi WG - Consent	Zero lot-line for developing adjoining R3 & R4 lots	23-3C-3			Adopt a townhouse-style zero interior side setback option for other forms when two continguous R3 and R4 lots are being developed. (Maximum building mass/width/facade of 90 ft applies.) Fire codes and other restrictions still apply and are not superceded.	N/a	combinations are possible.  This gives flexibility for trees and costs on building placement. If all lots are being simultaneously developed, no need to protect one of the lots from a close-in building. All fire codes, etc. still apply.	TK	Uses	
14	Resi WG - Consent	Curb cuts in R4 and RM1	23-3C-3			Allow two curb cuts in bonus configuration of R4 and RM1 zones. When on the All-Ages, All-Abilities bicycle network or Bicycle Priority Network, additional curb cut is at discretion of Austin Transportation Director.	N/a	This is something to make bonuses more viable in R4 and RM1.	ТК	Uses	
36 (new)	Resi WG - Consent	Manufactured home use in RR				Allow manufactured home use in rural residential	N/a	Many RR-zoned lots have restricted covenants that would not allow a manufactured home on-site. Many of the RR properties are developed with septic services rather than COA wasterwater. There are strict rules on number of bedrooms and building in septic field.	TK	Uses	
	ID: W.C C	N. Do (DODO) (1	20.22.2			Zones	lw.	True of district to the second	TI	Uses	
,	Resi WG - Consent	New R2 zone (R2D?) that bonuses to 4 units in R2B tent		new	new	A new R zone.  Purpose: Intended to maintain a house-scale aesthetic in areas well-served by transit; can serve as a transition between R2 and more intense zoning; base entilements of 2 units with an affordable housing bonus up to 4 units.  Base: R2B.  Bonus: Up to 4 units. No height or setback changes - must stay inside same building envelope as R2B.  Calibrate FAR and impervious cover for feasibility. May only be feasible with an affordable ADU (not full-sized unit).		Though this is intended for areas without parking minimums, builders say they will still provide parking, especially for market units. Providing parking for the affordable unit becomes difficult, so unbundled parking may be needed. Testing indicates additional FAR of a 1-to-3 ratio of added affordable-to-market area may work best, e.g. a 0.1 FAR incomerestricted ADU with an additional 0.3 market FAR. NHCD would likely need to specify number of bedrooms corresponding to square footage.		Uses	
12	Resi WG - Consent	Scalable version of R4	23-3C-3			Create a units/acre version of R4 to be available to be appropriately map on large lots (at a later date).	See intent and R4 section, but with units/acre equivilant to the units/lot in R4.	This is not intended to be mapped today, but to be available for future mapping.	TK	Uses	

13	Resi WG - Consent	Replacement zone for SF6	23-3C-3	Create an equivilant to SF-6 in R zones that utilizes units/acre. Do not allow a height bonus but do provide an affordable bonus for other entitlements that could produce on-site units on large lots.	map current SF-5 to this zone. Could trade a lower base impervious cover (current is 55%) for a higher units-acre, while allowing more impervious cover	SF-5 and SF-6 are currently mapped to RM-1, but RM-1 is both more intense and uses a units/lot standard, which starts to down-zone SF-6 on larger lots. With no height bonus, this zone should also be palatable to be zoned alongside R2 lots without compatibility issues. However, the large lots also provide opportunity for a workable affordability bonus.		New Zones
15	Resi WG - Consent	Manufactured Homes - keep current smaller MH parks compliant under new LDC		Proposed LDC has large min lot size that would make some existing MH home parks noncompliant. Create a new MH zone on a lot-size scale for existing MH parks on smaller lots.	Redesignate current zone as MH1A (for MH parks); Create new zone MH1B for existing smaller MH parks on lots to ensure small existing parks don't become non-compliant		Council has indicated the need to preserve existing MH parks, this is consistent with that direction	New Zones
16	Resi WG - Consent	Manufactured Homes - allow for "tiny home" manufactured home parks/lots		Create zones that provide tiny home alternatives in both a park setting as well as on lots to enhance affordability with small footprint dwellings.	Potentially two new zones (one "park" scale and one "lot" scale), perhaps with limits to steer use towards tiny homes (limit on unit size?).		Tiny homes either as part of parks or as small units on lots enhances affordability through small footprint homes in parks or on relatively small lots	New Zones
17	Resi WG - Consent	Shade trees in transition zones	Misc requ	Make walking to transit more pleasant, healthy, and increase city tree canopy by requiring trees for sidewalks in transition zones.	Apply front yard tree planting requirements to all urban/transition zones (R2B and up); trees should be oriented toward shading sidewalks		proposed landscaping requirements don't apply to R zones.	
24	Resi WG - Discussion (JS)	Front fence height limits	23-3D-5 as pertain to R zones	For private frontages use same fence regulations of 4'-6" average height at front yard, however if on raised frontages, then rail/fence must be mostly see thru.		Code allows private frontages to be up to 36" raised. This requires a 36" tall guard rail system or wall which can effectively be a 6' wall almost at the property line. This recommendation makes it equitable between properties and allows alignments, however with raised frontages it limits the fence presence on the streetscape	ZONES.	
	Resi WG - Discussion (JS)			Simplify fences to be allowed (do not limit at intersections, driveways, alleys) to be built on property line. Fence height regulations same as today, however limit fence in front yard to average 4'-6" to allow fences to be 4'-5' tall.		Solution looking for a problem? PC allowed porches and pools to be in the setback yards. Pool requires 48" fence anyway and with slopes there has to be allowances for additional height. Also Private Frontages can have up to 6' almost a the property line anyway. Should there be special taller height execeptions for lots that front collector streets, or in front of a street which gets hit by headlights? Major issues with existing fences. Are we adding an extra layer of regulation that we dont need?	Current code allows 6'-8' fences at property line. 6' and under without a permit. New code severly limits and would put majority of all visibile fences out of compliance. New code also does not allow for slopes. It is also inequitable between properties since buildings and private frontages are allowed to be closer. Additionall y, other zoning categories allow buildings to be much closer than 20' to the property line up to 5'. If visibility is the issue then take real on the	
25	Resi WG - Discussion (JS)		23-3D-5 as per R zones	Consider eliminating R zone private frontage requirements and replace with street trees		hodge podge mix of styles and different heights (allows up to 36"	Additional cost of building privete frontages can be excessive	

27	Resi WG - Consent	Parking reductions	23-3D-2050		Between 1/4 and 1/2 mile from Transit Priority Network corridors, parking reductions should be context sensitive based upon characteristic of the areas, not just whether a sidewalk exists of if planned to exist		"Multi-units on residential size lots also have parking and service needs. Additionally corridor lots with parking eliminations or reductions will also tax the street network.  Trash/recycling/composting bins will also need space on the street. Distance between driveways, the width of ROW pavement, availability of sidewalks, all need to be considered for a workable streetscape process plan. Create a mechanism to tune the proposed parking minimums thru parking reductions based on a table of factors or TDM type analysis. (Start with realistic current on the ground patterns and adjust from there.) These factors are as follows but not limited to: -Street parking availability (if there are no parking zones) -Street width -Presence of sidewalks -Distance to public transportation stop (¼ mile) - Distance to schools -Residence Parking Only Permits -Fire safety compromises - Lot widths and driveway placement - Trash pickup and utility placement - Safe Streets analysis -Transportation Safety Improvements Program -Vision Zero"			
				ADU N	Aisc.					
19	Resi WG - Consent	Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	23-3D-1030		Direct COA departments - including utilities - involved in assessing fees or permit review to reduce the cost of building ADUs through fee waivers, shorter approval times, etc.	See intent	Lower cost of entry for ADUs.			
29	Resi WG - Consent	Give FAR bump for ADUs to incentivize building	23-3C-3	(	Give ADUs a 0.1 FAR increase over the	In the FAR tables for each R zone.		TK	+	
		in R2		1	single-family-only form in R2 zones. (E.g. single family gets 0.4 FAR, single family + ADU gets 0.5.)					
26	Resi WG - Consent	Accessory apartments/internal ADUs	23-3D-1030	 	additional unit on the lot, No additional FAR, separate access encouraged, must be owner occupied, shared utilities; reasonable limitation on area (750sqft?)	Current code already allows this for homeowners to care for additional elderly occupants. This expands this for others regardless of age	Allows inexpensive way for someone to create a rentable space in their own home or to simply be able to adapt the house for what is todays allowance of a Secondary Apartment. Basically allows inclusion of another cooking space.			
34	Resi WG - Discussion (PS)	Scale the size of ADUs	23-3D-1030		Scale the allowable square footage of an ADU to the size of the lot.	Return to CodeNext Draft 3 proportionate size limit of ADU structures as: 2,500 sq. ft (was 3,500 sq. ft) - 4,999 sq. ft. = 750 sq. ft, 5,000 - 6,999 sq. ft = 975 sq. ft, >7,000 sq. ft. = 1,100 sq. ft.	Smaller ADUs are less expensive to build, easier to finance, hopefully have fewer restrictions than larger ADUs. Smaller units could be more attractive to seniors with too much space and limited income, students with little money and reduced need for space, small family units wishing to live in family neighborhoods and property owners wishing to keep family close. Proportionate to lot size would hopefully prevent the overbuilding of "up to square footage" especially with reduced oversight as proposed.			
				Mapp		Lau Bold and a second s				
31 (new)	Resi WG - Discussion (CK)	Correct R1 map to match existing small-lot amnesty lots	map		Make R1 (replacement zone for small- lot amnesty) match current zoning by mapping it everywhere current small-lot amnesty SF zoning is mapped.	All R2 lots under minimum size (5,000 sq ft) in neighborhoods that adopted small lot amnesty tool should be re-mapped as R1.	This avoids down-zoning existing small lot amnesty lots, which is otherwise not done in Residential zones in LDC rewrite.	TK		
32 (new)	Resi WG - Consent	Map greenfield lots more intensely than R2	map		Re-map current R2 on vacant lots to a higher intensity, preferably one with an affordable bonus. Zoning should be compatible with adjacent lots.		Many vacant lots are zoned R2, which misses an opportunity for greater units and affordable housing where no displacement would occur.			
37 (new)	Resi WG - Discussion (PS)	Preservation Incentive	23-3C-3050		Preservation Incentive, as introduced in CodeNext, intended to maintain the block street scape and neighborhood character so ADUs could be added with little disruption. The new code does not preserve the street scape appearance or character. Current proposed code does not specify how long the qualifying dwelling must be maintained.	Direct staff to review the Preservation Incentive for substantive changes to 23-3C-3050 (D)(2)(a-c)	Changes: preserve front-facing façade to comply with apprearance preservation.			

	Non-Resi	Uncap FAR in bonuses		Remove the maximum FAR in the		There is no maximum FAR in MS zones,	
				bonus configuration of all MU and RM		and staff has stated that FAR in other	
4				zones.		zones is intended to be generous enough	
1						that it is not a limiting factor. This	
						removes any uncertainty that it may be.	
	Non-Resi	Restore current code for ground-floor height in		Replace the 18' required height for		18' is a very high ground floor, which	
		corridor zones		activated ground floors in MS zones,		raises the cost and price of ground-floor	
2				return to the 12' minimum in current		commercial, and removes the potential of	
				code for corridor mixed-use zones with		an entire floor in some zone	
				an activated ground floor.		configurations.	
	Non-Resi	Make FAR in RM1, MU1, and MU2 based on	23-3C-4060	12 Change FAR table to correspond to		These are the RM and MU zones that	
		units, not form.		available units. Keep 1-2 units at 0.4		have forms other than multi-family	
				(current zoning for SF2/SF3 sites), and		available, and tie FAR to the type of form.	
3				staff should calibrate remaining gradien	t	This would disincentivize few units on	
				for feasibility and to incentivize the		these sites and help address confusion	
				bonus.		when multiple forms are on one site.	
	Non-Resi	Recalibrate RM1 to allow 4 stories in bonus		Set the height for RM1 in bonus		Current RM1 has 40' base and 40'	<del>                                      </del>
	NOH-17621	Trecalibrate Kivi i to allow 4 Stories III bonus		configuration to not exceed 50' or 4		bonus. This allows only 3 stories, while	
				stories.		R4 - the "less intense" transition zone	
				Stories.		with fewer allowable units - bonuses to	
						45', allowing 4 stories (depending on lot	
						topography and architecture). 50' allows	
						4 stories and architectural features like	
4						gabeled roofs. Including both height and	
4							
						story measurements provides	
						reassurance on the building form. This is also one story more than R2 (or SF3)	
						zoning can acheive under 35', but	
						substantially less than the corridor zoning	
						(60 to 90 feet) RM1 will abut.	
						(00 to 90 feet) RWT Will abut.	
	Non-Resi	Recalibrate bonus heights in RM, MU, MS, UC		Increase heights under bonus		Staff answers indicate heights are largely	
		zones		configurations in RM2, RM3, RM5, MU <sup>2</sup>	,	based off current code. The Non-	
				MU2, MU3, MU4, and MS3 to match		Residential Workgroup's Natural Building	
				natural building heights and sync one of		Heights study suggests heights that	
5				UC's heights to UNO's 300' height.		better allow full floors. Matching the UC	
				Decrease UC base heights to 60' to		240' zone to 300' makes it comprable to	
				match zones that would be rezoned to		the UNO proposal for Inner West	
				UC and capture height increase fully in		Campus (UT tower is 307', Capitol is	
				bonus.		311').	
6	Non-Resi	Fix Cottage Court form		Follow residential WG guidance on	See Resi cottage court recs		
	Non-Resi	Don't count overheads against imperieus asses	<u>,                                    </u>	cottage courts for RM zones  Match non-residential zones to		<u> </u>	
	NOH-RESI	Don't count overhangs against impervious cover					
7				residential zones by not applying			
				incidental overhangs to impervious cover caps.			
	Non-Resi	Compatibility triggers		Base compatibility on distance from the		This establishes clarity and removes	<del>                                      </del>
				lot line of any triggering property within		incentives to game flag lots, etc.	
8				compatibility distance. Do not consider		Compatibility distance is now lower so	
-				adjacency, width of streets/alleys, etc.		triggering properties are much fewer.	
	1						
	Non-Resi	Future parking deck conversions		Require all under-building 1-level	Require 10' clear to the bottom of the structure.	Converting multi-level parking decks isn't	
_				parking decks to be able to be		very feasible, but ground-floor parking	
9				converted in the future to housing, etc.		could be converted, especially to	
						housing. Especially relevant in RM1 for	
						transition zones.	

Non-Resi  Microbrewery tasting room right-sizing  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrewery tasting rooms on smaller sites.  23-30-12 (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbreved the sear of the read uitized for on-site consumption was read uitized for on-site consumption was represented with respect to the differentiated with respect to the different kinds of areas of use. Both 23-3d-120 (R) (A) (3) and 23-3d-120 (R) (R) (A) (3) should be revised to state: Except microbrever yand its smaller browing area. So the solid promises and sent sent that a smaller browing area. For example a small browpub may not a smaller browing area. For example a small browpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor scale what may be accessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. and the properties of the state of the principal developed use.  23-30-12 (A) (A) (3) should be revised to example a similar browpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production area with a small browpub may near sensitive to the different kinds of the principal developed use.  23-30-12 (A) (A) (A) (B) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A	
differentiated with respect to the allocation of "on-site consumption" was production areas as those different businesses models require  businesses as a businesses as a function with different businesses as a function of "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% of 5,000 s.f. while this may make more sense as a limitation for a production space as as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. a 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condours was a supplied by the production space as a so not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. a 20,000 gross SF. However,	
10  66% or 5,000 square feet of the total floor area of the principal developed use.  86% or 5,000 square feet of the total floor area as as bose different businesses models require different businesses models require different kinds of areas of use, Both 23-36-140 (3) and 23-3d-1230 (F)(1)(c) state that Tasting rooms or "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% or 5,000 off. While this may make more sense as a limitation for a protonidistribution doed brewery in an industrial area so as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller brewing area. For example a small brewub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
66% or 5,000 square feet of the total floor area of the principal developed use.    Comparison of the principal developed use	
principal developed use.  production areas as those different businesses and use, Both 23-3d-140 (3) and 23-3d-123-3d-140 (3) and 23-3d-123-3d-140 (7) (1) color of "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% or 5,00 sf. While this may make more sense of use, both 23-3d-140 (3) and 23-3d-123-3d-140 (3) and 23-3d-140 (3) and	
businesses models require different kinds of sear of use. Both 23-3d-140 (3) and 23-3d-1230 (F)(1)(c) state that Tasting rooms or "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% or 5,0 while this may make more sense as a limitation for a production/distribution focused brewery in an industrial area so as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more conditions occurs in a small brewput may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor or	
kinds of arase of use. Both (23-3d-140 (3) ann d5-as-la10 (Fig. 124-3d-140 (3) ann d5-as-la10 (3)	
and 23-3d-1230 (F)(1)(c) state that Tasting roms or "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% or 5,000 sf. While this may make more sense as a limitation for a production/bittoin focused brewery in an industrial area so as a to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is ie. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corniar maller building on a mixed-use corniar maller building on condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
Tasting rooms or "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% or 5,000 sf. While this may make more sense as a limitation for a production focused brevet what may be excessively large tasting rooms Sr. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condustve to a mriced year and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewying area. For example a small brewyton space in a corridor or condustve space in a corridor	
Tasting rooms or "on-site consumption" will be limited to 33% or 5,000 sf. Whole withis may make more sense as a limitation for a production indistribution focused brevate what may be excessively large tasting rooms Sr. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condition occurs in a smaller brewing area. For example a small brewing area. For example a small brewing nay need on a condition occurs on a condition occurs on a smaller brewing area. For example a small brewing area in a corridor or o	
will be limited to 33% or 5,000 sf. While this may make more sense as a limitation for a production/distribution focused brewery in an industrial area so as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixeto condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a smaller brewing area. For example a smaller browing any need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
this may make more sense as a limitation for a production/distribution focused brewery in an industrial area so as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor more condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a smaller brewing area. For example a SF of prewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
for a production/distribution focused brewery in an industrial area so as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller brewing area. For example a smaller brewing area. For example a smaller brewing area. For example a smaller brewing area only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
brewery in an industrial area so as to not create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building e.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For each small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor where condusive to a microbriewein same condusive to a microbriewein same as smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
create what may be excessively large tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor where condusive to a microbriewein same condusive to a microbriewein same as smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
tasting rooms when a building is i.e. 20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridorobrewery and its conductive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
20,000 gross SF. However, the opposite condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
condition occurs in a smaller building on a mixed-use corridor which is more condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
condusive to a microbrewery and its smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
smaller brewing area. For example a small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
small brewpub may need only 1000-2500 SF of production space in a corridor	
SF of production space in a corridor	i
Iscaled 5 000 SF building The 33% rule I	
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
therefore excessively limits the active	
corridor centric tasting room space while	
unnecessarily designating building area	
that is not needed for production. The	
rule inadvertently incentivizes having	
larger production spaces and smaller	
tasting rooms in an area where larger	
light industrial activities are likely not	
desirable. Small production and larger	
Non-Resi No parking for bars and tasting rooms Eliminate parking minimums anywhere We shouldn't be encouraging people to	
for bars and tasting rooms    The parking for bars and tasting rooms	
Non-Resi No parking for parks, government use These government uses will provide Governments are accountable to the	
parking as needed people (and we shouldn't require parking	
for pocket parks on corridors)	
Non-Resi Grandfathered under-parked buildings Create a process for allowing applicants We have already seen cases where a	
with change-of-use or minor change of use permit was denied	
construction on sites that have not met because a site that has never had much	
parking requirements for more than 10 parking did not have room to add any	
years to continue without adding parking additional parking. We should not require	
the demolition of buildings to change use	
if they have historically not had parking.	
This could be reviewed for public health	
and safety.	
Non-Resi Allow schools to set own parking Allow public schools to determine their Schools have particular circumstances	
14 own parking and loading/unloading and are accountable to voters; let them	
needs set their own parking.	
Non-Resi Retail alcohol sales should require an MUP Require all retail alcohol sales in all Will help make sure alcohol sales are	
zones currently permitted, to obtain a compliant with state law restricting	
Minor Use Permit instead. locations of alcohol sales.	
Non-Resi Allow more restaurants to serve alcohol Match the permit required for restaurant Restaurants that serve alcohol are often	
alcohol sales to whatever is required for those more desired in neighborhoods,	
those without alcohol in each zone.  and restrictions on use ensure that bars	
are not included under this definition.	
are not included under this definition.	
Non-Resi Allow mobile food trucks in all RM, MS, MU Allow mobile food trucks in all RM Restaurants without alcohol sales are	
zones nall MU zones.	1
permit (where they are currently	
prohibited).	
	+
with low number of employees and their facilities with many employees.	
attendant needs. Staff should assign	
use thresholds to zones appropriately.	
Non-Resi Parking facilities allowed in MU zones Allow parking facilities in MU zones This is important to facilitating off-site	<del> </del>
where currently not allowed; allow with a parking and more flexible parking. Does	
19 MUP. not apply to MS zones (activated ground	1
floors) or RM zones (residential areas	
and RM1 transition zone).	1
Non-Resi Require approval for Drive-Thrus Require specific CUP approval for drive-  Require specific CUP approval for drive-  Current draft indicates that drive-	
through use throughs could be attached to other,	
allowed uses. The required CUP should	
apply specific scrutiny to drive-throughs	
due to their pedestrian and traffic safety	1
impact.	
	<del></del>
Non-Resi Allow Hotels in MU1&2 Allow hotels through a CUP in MU1 and Hotels are already allowed through a	
MU2. CUP in MU3 and MU4. This would allow	
hotels in areas zoned for offices, giving	
more flexibility in zoning and allowing	
smaller hotels on smaller lots, thus taking	
some pressure off of STRs in residential	
areas.	I

	Non-Resi	Create an MS1 zone			Create a new MS1 zone for 3-story	Base of 35' (2 stories w/ active ground floor), bonus to	Staff advises that MU1/MU2 are intended		
					commercial with MS uses	50' (3 stories). Not necessarily mapped now. See Non-	to be the low-rise commercial zones, but		
						Resi chart.	they have highly restricted uses. This		
22							creates a tool for low-rise "village center"		
							commercial uses without going to 65' of		
							height.		
	Non-Resi	Create a scaled RM1 zone for MF1 equivalency			Create a new version of RM1 that uses		MF1 uses units/acre and can have larger		
	11011-11631	Create a scaled NWT Zone for Wil 1 equivalency			a units/acre density; re-assign MF1 from		sites with many units, but RM1 maxes		
					RM1 to this zone.		out at 10 units/acre. This also helps to		
23					RIVIT to this zone.				
							separate out MF1 equivalency zoning		
							from transition zoning from SF to RM1.		
							135' is a natural height break and 160' is		
							a height used in East Riverside zoning.		
24							UC zones have different form		
24							requirements that may not be desireable		
							to zone on corridors, but our corridors		
					Create new sets of MS and MU zones at	t	may want to go that high in the future.		
	Non-Resi	Create new, taller MS and MU zones			135' and 160' for future mapping		This future-proofs our code.		
					11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11				
					Staff to look into adding Downtown	Consider the bonus being 1.5 x whatever is being			
					Density Bonus to NW area of downtown				
					that is not currently included	Tooliotou, olitioi i / iit oi noigiit			
1		Mapping			mac is not currently included				
<del>'</del>			<del> </del>	+ +		1		<del>                                     </del>	
					Staff to avalara mare sites manned as				
					Staff to explore more sites mapped as				
					DC instead of CC to allow for maximum				
	Downtown Working Group				development potential in areas of				
	Swittown Working Gloup				downtown where density is expected			(PD-5) (PD-6)	
					(eastern two-thirds and SW corner) and			(PD-7) (PD-12)	
					where sites are already constrained by			(PD-14) (PD-19)	
2		Zoning Map	23-3A	3	Capitol View Corridors.			(PD-20)	
		Commercial Center (CC) Zone	23-3C	7070				(PD-5) (PD-6)	
					o23-3C-7070(A) Lot Size and	o23-3C-7070(A) Lot Size and Intensity: Increase all		(PD-7) (PD-12)	
						CC subzones to 5:1 FAR		(PD-14) (PD-20)	
					5:1 FAR (let CC subzone height	00 000201100 to 0.1 17410			
	Downtown Working Group				maximums, not FAR, be the limiting				
	Downtown Working Group				· _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·				
					factor) CC40, CC60 and CC80 when				
					tested could only reach 50 - 66% of				
					allowed height.				
3		(2.2)							
		Commercial Center (CC) Zone	23-3C	7070	o23-3C-7070(D) Height: Increase CC	o23-3C-7070(D) Height: Increase CC subzone		(PD-5) (PD-6)	
						heights: CC40 to CC50; CC60 to CC75; CC80 to		(PD-7) (PD-12)	
					to CC75; CC80 to CC90; CC120 (this	CC90; CC120		(PD-14) (PD-20)	
	Downtown Working Group				allows one additional floor without				
	Downtown Working Group				diminishing the effect of the height limit				
					or compromising the character of the				
					area)				
4									
		Downtown Core (DC) Zone	23-3C	7080				(PD-5) (PD-6)	
		, ,			o23-3C-7080(A) Lot Size and	o23-3C-7080(A) Lot Size and Intensity: Increase DC		(PD-7) (PD-12)	
					Intensity: Increase DC FAR from 8:1 to			(PD-20)	
	Downtown Working Group				12:1 to provide FAR equal to Robinson			( = ==)	
	_ similaring Group				Ranch and Domain current zoning	1			
					Transit and Domain current zoning				
5			1						
		Downtown Civic Spaces Overlay	23-3C	10070	Staff to explore: New development	oAt (A) Purpose and Applicability (2)(c)(i): Revise to		(PD-8) (PD-6)	
		Downtown Civic Spaces Overlay	20-00	10070		"Within 60 feet of centerline of Shoal Creek;" or Add			
					adjacent to Waller Creek and within the	,		(PD-7) (PD-12)	
					Waller Creek Local Government	"(iii) Properties located within the boundary of the		(PD-16) (PD-17)	
					Corporation (LGC) boundary be	Waller Creek Local Government Corporation are		(PD-18)	
					exempted from the existing code's	exempt from this overlay." (effectively exempts new			
						development adjacent to Waller Creek and within the			
					equivalent regulations reflected in the	Waller Creek Local Government Corporation (LGC)			
					Downtown Civic Spaces Overlay of the	boundary from Downtown Civic Spaces Overlay)			
					LDC Revision 2019. Additionally, we	· "			
					recommend a new overlay zone that				
	Downtown Working Group				establishes metes and bounds for a				
	J				common Waller Creek centerline for				
					consistent planning and regulatory				
					purposes within the LGC, establishes a				
					60' minimum building setback for new				
					development from the newly-defined				
					creek centerline and provides a				
					variance process for encroaching into				
					the 60' setback. See complementary				
					recommendation for Overlays - New				
6					Waller Creek Overlay.				
		•		•		•			

7	Downtown Working Group	Overlays - New Waller Creek Overlay	23-3C	10		code's Downtown Creeks Overlay and its equivalent regulations reflected in the Downtown Civic Spaces Overlay of the LDC Revision 2019. See the complementary recommendation for Downtown Civic Spaces Overlay. Additionally, we recommend a new overlay zone that establishes metes and bounds for a common Waller Creek centerline for consistent planning and regulatory purposes within the LGC, establishes a 60' minimum building setback for new development from the newly-defined creek centerline and	(1)The Waller Creek Overlay Zone protects the unique character, aesthetic value, pedestrian accessibility and use of Waller Creek as established by the Waller Creek Corridor Framework.  (2)The overlay zone applies to property located within the boundary of the Waller Creek Local Government Corporation.  (B)Development Standards  (1)Building Placement		(PD-8) (PD-6) (PD-7) (PD-12) (PD-16) (PD-17) (PD-18)	
7		Affordable Housing	23-4E	GENERAL		Suggest increases to entitlements within			(PD-5) (PD-6)	
		, moradolo i lousilig	20.4	CLIVENAL		<b>TODs</b> to more similarly match density			(PD-19) (PD-20)	
8						allowed on corridors.			(PD-1)	
	PWG		23-2B	2010	2B-2 pg. 1	Add option for 3 to 6 units for projects		This will allow developers in R4 or RM1		
1		Allow 3-6 Units for Building Permit only				that are developed under the minimum entitlements offered for that zone.		that are building additional units in house form (45% IC, 35 ft ht) to abide by house permits.		
2	PWG	Allow Limited Site Plan for 3-10 Units	23-2b		2B-2 pg. 2	Extend Limited Site Plan for 10 units so RM1 with Bonus				
3	PWG	Create Options for Limited Site Plan with extra	23-2b		2B-2 pg. 2	Create Options that could include on Site Detention that allow Limited Site Plan over 50% IC		Staff could develops options based on Square Footage of additional IC and size of lot.		
4	PWG	Expedited Limited Site Plan for Affordable	23-2b	2020	2B-2 pg. 2	To encourage developers to take the bonus, the expedited review should not impose a longer wait to begin construction				
5	PWG	Explore Options for Subdivision Lite	23-5			to ecourage more missing middle housing, allow different ownership options.				
6	PWG	3-8(10) Unit Resi Review: No parking lot review beyond ADA	23-2B	2020		Exempt 3-8 (10?) unit residential site plan review from parking lot engineering review except to review ADA standards.	See intent			
7	PWG	3-8(10) Unit Resi Review: Parkland dedication only in certain circumstances for smaller sites	23-2B	2020		Allow automatic fee-in-lieu for parkland dedication unless a site meets minimum threshold for on-site dedication (1.6 acres) AND is either specifically designated in advance by PARD as potentially desirable for dedication OR meets criteria specified by PARD for desirable dedication (adjacent to parkland, etc.)				
8	PWG	Parking Exceeding Max Should be detached				Staff should develop a process by which parking maximums are allowed at directors discretion if the spaces are detached.				
9	PWG	Create Alternative Compiance Formulas				Create a set of formulas that indicate under which circumstances a project could qualify for variances under development regulations in order to maximize unit yield especially in centers and corridors. Include qualifications (Minimum Development Yield, percentage of site impacted by critical root zones, etc) and variance options (e.g. percent of setback).				
10	PWG	Incentives to redevelop surface parking lots.				To encourage redevelopment of existing surface parking lots in corridors and centers, explore additional options for standard storm water and water quality controls including locating facilities in front set back, regional storm water management and longterm and shortterm targets.				

	PWG					Staff should develop a timeline and			
		Sunset f25				process for converting all F25 zoning to			
11						the new LDC.			
	PWG		23-2B	2020	2B-2 pg. 2	Direct all departments that perform	Intent	The code is not generally a place to	
					pg	siteplan review to submit to LDC team		dictate process, but by exempting sites	
						sections of development regulations		from entire sections (or specifying that	
						(generally included but not limited to		fee-in-lieu is automatic), site plan review	
						those in 23-2B-2010(B)) that these		times and submission requirements are	
						missing-middle small-scale residential		substantially curtailed.	
		0.0(40) 11-3( Dec.) 03(celes Messes acceles acceles				developments may be exempted from,			
		3-8(10) Unit Resi Siteplan: More exemptions				given automatic fee-in-lieu, or given			
						expedited review by DSD staff (may			
						include size or other thresholds/criteria			
						or automatically prescribed methods).			
						Includes Technical Codes and Criteria			
						Manuals and utilities.			
12									
	PWG					Direct the City Manager to publish an		These processes are already measured,	
	1 ***					annual review of the time required to		but there are no benchmarks for whether	
		Set benchmarks for development process				complete development tasks and set		any are taking toon long.	
		timelines				benchmarks for evaluating staff's			
		timeines				efficiency for the following year. Should			
						be informed by relevant sections of			
13						Imagine Austin.			
									III general, willilli
									activity centers,
									along activity
									corridors, along
									the transit priority
						Revise and align the current Vertical			network, and in
1	Azhar					Mixed Use (VMU) and "-A" affordable			transition areas,
1 '						housing bonus so that in areas where			additional
						the VMU bonus currently exists there is		Table 23-4E-1040(B): Affordable Unit Set	
				Division 22 4F 4					
				Division 23-4E-1:		requirement of at least 10% of the total			beyond current
			Article 23-4E:	Citywide Affordable		units to be affordable. This may be		shown as a percentage of bonus units.	zoning should
			Affordable	Housing Bonus		revised after in the future to ensure		For zones with "-A" in the zone name, all	only be provided
		Vertical Mixed Use bonus and -A Effectiveness	Housing	Program	4E-1 pg. 7	program participation.		residential dwelling units are bonus units.	lii. through a
			3	1	13	1		J	·
						Deuteman Land de la 1911			scale of
						Review and ensure that within			transition zones
						"Susceptible", "Early Type", "Dynamic"			should be
2	Azhar					and "Late" gentrifying areas the depth of			reduced so that
						the transition zone(s) do not overlap			the transition
		Transition areas in contrituing areas and overlan						Those are all areas marked as	zono(c) do not
		Transition areas in gentrifying areas and overlap				with the majority of the existing		These are all areas marked as	zone(s) do not
		,	Mapping						zone(s) do not overlap with the
		,				with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.			overlap with the
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		· '
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		overlap with the
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts,
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of:
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of:
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		overlap with the  city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably
		,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art,
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets,
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's
1	Hempel	,			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of
1	Hempel	with neighborhood	Mapping		4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's
1	Hempel	with neighborhood			4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.
1	Hempel	with neighborhood	Mapping		4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and	Art Music Culture Oct 2019.docx		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.
1		with neighborhood	Mapping		4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.			city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the
1	Hempel	with neighborhood	Mapping		4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a  Shaw Exhibit 1 -
2		with neighborhood	Mapping		4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.			city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a  Shaw Exhibit 1 -
2		Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come  Compatibility
2		Cultural Arts	Mapping		4A-1	with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  Figure 1
2		Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to
2		Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people
2		Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from
	Hempel	Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the stakeholder process that was mentioned	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from
		Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the stakeholder process that was mentioned during the public hearing is incorporated	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from participating in
	Hempel	Cultural Arts	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the stakeholder process that was mentioned	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from participating in future
	Hempel	Cultural Arts  Tree Removal Variance	23-4A-2010 23-4C-2040			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the stakeholder process that was mentioned during the public hearing is incorporated	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from participating in future stakeholder
	Hempel	Cultural Arts  Tree Removal Variance	23-4A-2010			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the stakeholder process that was mentioned during the public hearing is incorporated	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from participating in future
	Hempel	Cultural Arts  Tree Removal Variance	23-4A-2010 23-4C-2040			with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.  Add language that lead to regulations to to sustain, diversify, and strengthen the music and arts industries and communities.  Clarify the 'reasonable use' verbiage  Ensure that the content from the stakeholder process that was mentioned during the public hearing is incorporated	Suggest to change to "within reason" or something similar		city-wide regulations to promote arts, music, and culture with the goals of: protecting existing assets and promoting new ones in areas inequitably deficient of art, music, and cultural assets, supporting housing and jobs for musicians and artists, and sustaining these important elements of Austin's economy.  Allows the City to better control the situation when a tree can come down.  So as not to dissuade people from participating in future stakeholder

	Shaw	MU3, MU4, MU5A, MU5B, MS2A, MS2B	23-3C				Reference: Table 23-3C-6080(D) Height, (2)		Justification:		
		Compatibility Height Stepback Distance		Height, Table 23-3C-	5 pg. 29, 3C-5	from the triggering property for MU3,	Compatibility Height Stepback Distance from the lot		Council Direction-		
						MU4, MU5A, MU5B, MS2A, MS2B	line of the triggering property:≤ 25' = 25', > 25' and ≤		Maintain Draft		
						zones should reach base standard	50' = 35', > 50' and ≤ 100' = 45', > 100' = Set by		3's no-build and		
				Table 23-3C-5110(D)		height at a distance greater than 100 ft.	zone standards.		vegetative		
				Height, Table 23-3C-		from the lot line of the triggering			buffers between		
				6060(D) Height, Table		property, consistent with other zones			residential and		
				23-3C-6070(D) Height		that can reach 60' or more in height.			commercial		
									uses, as well as		
									other		
									compatibility		
									triggers and		
									standards for		
									properties		
									adjacent to a		
1									Residential		
									House-Scale		
									zone. The <b>only</b>		
									exception should		
									be that the		
									highest density		
									Residential		
									House-Scale		
									zones should not		
									trigger		
									compatibility onto the lowest		
									density		
									Residential		
									Multifamily zones		
									in order to create		
									smooth		
	Shaw	Reduce percentage of short term rentals type 3	23-3D-1350	1350	3D-1 pg. 38	Ensure adequate rental housing by	(e) For a Type 3 short-term rental use located in a	Ask staff if income restricted are already	When Austin is		
		allowed in MU and MS zones and prohibit	D(3)(e)	1550	3D-1 pg. 30	reducing % short term rental type 3	1. /	prohibited from being permitted as a	struggling to		
		income restricted units from being permitted as	D(3)(e)			(STR3) allowed in MU and MS zones	pecent 25 percent of the total number of dwelling units		produce the		
		a short term rental.				and prohibiting any income restricted	at the property and no more than <b>5 pecent</b> 25 percent		housing needed		
		a short term rental.				1	of the total number of dwelling units located within any		to serve its own		
						rental.	building or detached structure at the property are a		residents, the %		
							Type 3 short-term rental use as determined by the		of STR3 allowed		
2							Director under Subsection (F); and(i) The structure		in MU and MS		
							and the dwelling unit at issue have a valid certificate of		zones should be		
							occupancy or compliance, as required by 23-2C-5		kept at a		
							(Certificates of Occupancy and Compliance) issued no		minimum until		
							more than 10 years before the date the application is		Austin has		
							submitted to the Director; or		adequate		
							Submitted to the Director, of		housing.		
	Shaw	Removal of draft code section allowing	23-4C	3020	4C-3 pg. 2	Remove administrative variance for	23-4C-3020 Administrative Modification		So few variances	https://austin.ma	
	Jona W	administrative variance for removal of heritage	20.10	0020	10 0 pg. 2	removal of heritage tree with single	(C) For a property that fronts a corridor designated by			ps.arcgis.com/ap	
		trees having single stem > 30".				stem > 30 inches. The administrative	Division 23-3A-5 (Growth Concept Map and Transit-			ps/MapJournal/in	
		1. 550 having single stem > 00 .				variance for trrees with <30 inches is	Priority Network), the director may grant an-		Use Commission		
						still in place. The approval from Land	administrative modification from Section 23-4C-3010			d45481abb0804	
						Commission is still required for > 30".	(Removal or Impact Prohibited) to remove or impact a		developments in		
						Commission to our required for > 50 .	heritage tree that has at least one stem that is 30-			8982b9	
							inches DBH or larger after determining, based on the		exsiting		
							city arborist's recommendation, that the heritage tree		requirements do		
							meets the criteria in Subsection 23-4C-2040(A)		not pose a		
							(Protected Trees), and that:		burden on		
							(1) Transplanting the heritage tree is not feasible due		development		
							to tree condition; Who makes this decision?		withing corridors.		
							(2) The applicant has applied for and been denied a				
3							variance, waiver, exemption, modification, or				
							alternative compliance from another City Code				
							provision which would eliminate the need to remove				
							the heritage tree, as required in Section 23-3C-3060				
							(Variance Prerequisite); and Can you provide				
							examples?				
							(3) Removing or impacting the heritage tree is not the				
							result of a method chosen by the applicant to develop-				
							the property, unless the design will allow for the				
							maximum provision of ecological service, historic, and				
							cultural value of other trees on the site or				
							requirements. How is this determined?				
-					<u> </u>	•	-	<u> </u>			

		Streamline Permitting for 1-2 and 3-8 Residential Units	23-2B-2010, 23- 2B-2020	2010, 2020		Streamline and simplify permitting missing middle residential units by referencing all the non-zoning regulatory requirements for obtaining a Building Permit for 1-2 Unit Building Permit and		need to determine if any non-zoning requirements are not referenced.	When reading 23-2B-2010 and 23-2B-2020,it reads as if these sections refer to
5						Limited Site Plan permit for 3-8 Units are included in 23-2B-2010 and Section 23-2B-2020.			all the required non-zoning requirements. However, the
									parkland requirements were not referenced.
									referenced.
6	Shaw		23-3C-3050 (D), 23-3C-4050 (C), 23-3C-5050 (C),		4 pg. 8, 3C-5 pg. 12	Preservation incentive needs to be changed as follows: 1) older existing ADUs need to be preserved in addition to the primary structure, 2) limit FAR for lots with 2-3 units using preservation incentive but at higher value than for single units, and 3) do not allow preservation incentive for RM and MU zones when mapping these zones on lots with current non-residential zones or uses.		amendments covering FAR for preveration invoentive units. Need staff to confirm that a single family unit without an ADU can construct a duplex with unlimited FAR.	residential options should also be preserved, 2) Unlimited FAR will create a unit or units that are too large. A resonable increase in FAR as compared to base zoning should provide an incentive and encourage smaller additional units. 3) Current properties that do not include residential uses should not be
		Zoning Map - Additional Context Sensitive Mapping Criteria for Transition Areas-Localized	23-3A-3		3A-3 pg. 1	In addition to not mapping transition zones in Atlas 14 100-yr floodplains, do		What does Watershed Dept. recommend as best course of action to limit localized	ncil Directive - 1)
7		Flooding				not map transition areas where localized flooding problems exists based on Watershed Protection identified		cover in areas prone to localized flooding. This will be added only if not considered	also use the
8		Off-Street Motor Vehicle Parking Reductions - Maximum Parking Adjustment within 1/4 mile of corridors and centers	23-3D- 2050(B)(b)	2050		Incalized flooding problems areas. Responding to Council Direction to maintain parking requirements for areas where their elimination would be particularly disruptive, in addition to the sidewalk conditions, the widths of streets falling within a 1/4 mile of centers and corridors must accomodate off-street parking on both sides of the street and cars passing in opposite directions to receive 100% parking reductions.	Adjustment. (b) A site located is located within a Center or within ¼ mile of a Corridors, then the maximum cumulative parking reduction is 100 percent if the following conditions are met: (i) any portion of the site is within a Center or within ¼ mile of a Corridor or Center, measuring in a straight line from the centerline of the Corridor or edge of Center to the site; and (ii) the proposed development is connected to a corridor by an accessible sidewalk system; or (iii) is rated "Very High" or "High" in the Sidewalk Prioritzation Map as defined in the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan.	Staff defined disruptive as lack of existing or planned accessible sidewalks. This would also define disruptive as inadequate street width to allow cars to park on each side of the street and allow uninterupted flow of two-way traffic.  Draft Code: 23-3D-2050 Off-Street Motor Vehicle Parking Reductions (B)  Maximum Parking Adjustment. (b) A site located is located within a Center or within ¼ mile of a Corridors, then the maximum cumulative parking reduction is 100 percent if the following conditions are met: (i) any portion of the site is within a Center or within ¼ mile of a Corridor or Center, measuring in a straight line from the centerline of the Corridor or edge of Center to the site; and (ii) the proposed development is connected to a corridor by an accessible sidewalk system; or (iii) is rated "Very High" or "High" in the Sidewalk Prioritzation Map as defined in the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan.	following Council Direction: Minimum parking requirements should be generally eliminated in areas that are within the ¼ mile of activity centers, activity corridors, and transit priority network, except that some parking requirements may be

	Shaw	Zoning Map - Additional Context Sensitive	23-3A-3	3A-3 pg. 1	For segments of TPN and IA Corridors		Austin Strategic		
		Mapping Criteria for Transition Areas			that are fronted by a majority of		Mobility Plan -		
					residential zones (currently SF3 or more	•	Land Use Policy		
					restrictive), in addition to council		#1 - Plan and		
					direction on context-sensitive mapping		promote transit-		
					criteria, reduce depth and density of		supportive		
					zones within transition areas based on unique conditions of the TPN and IA		densities along the Transit		
					corridor segment.Consider the following		Priority Network.		
					context-related criteria for reducing		This Policy		
					transition areas.1) the number of		promotes the		
					continuous residential blocks or length		principle that IA		
					of residential segment, 2) lack of transit		corridors and		
					centers/stops, 3) capacity of roadway to		TPN having high		
					handle increased R4 and RM1 density,		density		
					4) the high-frequency bus route		commerical		
9					triggering the TPN designation was		zoning facing the		
					established to reach a designation		corridor and are		
					beyond the residential area, 5)		designated for		
					orientation of lots on TPN or IA Corridor		high capacity		
					(houses front corridor), 6) proximity to		transit should be		
					other TPN,IA corridors and centers,7)		mapped with the		
					street width and lack of right of way of		deepest and		
					TPN or corridor make it difficult to		highest density		
					support needs of residents (electric,		transition areas. IA and TPN		
					water, trash services, parking, etc.) 8)				
					street width and lack of right-of-way will not support multi-modal transportation		corridors fronted with residential		
					options due to lack of space for		should not be		
					sidewalks andbike lanes, and 9) wildfire		prioritized for the		
					risks.		same transition		
					Tioko.		area		
	Shaw								
	e.i.a.ii			Adopt Art/Music					
40		00.4	NI.	Commission					
10		23-4	New	Working Group	Reference Shaw Exhibit 5				
				recommendation					
				s					
								•	
			of a new article:						
			Article 23-3F:						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify,						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art,						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows:						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as						
			Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify,	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that development						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that development may have on the						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that development may have on the City's residents						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that development may have on the City's residents and						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that development may have on the City's residents and environment.						
1		Creation of a new article: Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture	Article 23-3F: Diversify, Sustain, and Cultivate Art, Music, and Culture 2. Amend and replace recently amended draft language as follows: 23-4A-1010 Purpose (A) This chapter establishes standards and regulations that apply at multiple stages of the development process and address a wide range of impacts that development may have on the City's residents and						