CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

DATE: Wednesday February 5, 2020	CASE NUMBER: C15-2020-0009
Brooke Bailey OUT	
YJessica Cohen	
YAda Corral	
YMelissa Hawthorne	
YWilliam Hodge	
YDon Leighton-Burwell	
Rahm McDaniel OUT	
YDarryl Pruett	
YVeronica Rivera	
Yasmine Smith OUT	
YMichael Von Ohlen	
YKelly Blume (Alternate)	
Martha Gonzalez (Alternate) OUT	
- Denisse Hudock (Alternate) OUT	

APPLICANT: Melissa Brown

OWNER: Bryan Cumby

ADDRESS: 3219 MANOR RD

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from the maximum allowable Compatibility Height requirements of Article 10, Compatibility Standards, Division 2 –Development Standards, Section 25-2-1063 (C) (2) and (3) (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large Sites) in order to construct a 60 foot Multi-Family use in a "CS-V-CO-NP", General Commercial Services – Vertical Mixed Use – Conditional Overlay –Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (MLK Neighborhood Plan)

- a. The Land Development Code Section 25-2-1063 (C) (2) allows a height limit of three stories or 40 feet, if the structure is more than 50 feet and not more than 100 feet from property (a) in an "SF-5" or more restrictive zoning district, or (b) on which a use permitted in an "SF-5" or more restrictive zoning district is located.
- b. The Land Development Code Section 25-2-1063 (C) (3) allows a height limit for a structure more than 100 feet but not more than 300 feet from property zoned "SF-5" or more restrictive, 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet of distance in excess of 100 feet from the property zoned "SF-5" or more restrictive.

Note: This variance will allow for a uniform height of 60 ft. for the entire project. 60 ft. is currently allowed in portions of the project and graduating down to 40 ft. in other portions of the project.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA MEETING FEB 5, 2020 The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to Postpone to March 9, 2020, Board Member Jessica Cohen seconds on a 9-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MARCH 9, 2020.

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Elaine Ramirez

Executive Liaison

Don Leighton-Burwell

Chairman