ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2019-0098  -  Shady Lane Mixed Use  

DISTRICT: 3

ZONING FROM:  SF-3-NP-NP

TO:  SF-6-NP (Tract 1) and CS-MU-NP (Tract 2)

ADDRESS:  914 Shady Lane

SITE AREA:  4.134 Acres (Tract 1:  1.72 Acres; Tract 2:  2.41 Acres)

PROPERTY OWNERS/APPLICANT:  Kimberly Beal and Stephanie Scherzer

AGENT:  Alice Glasco Consulting

CAR: Alice Glasco

CASE MANAGER:  Heather Chaffin (512-974-2122, heather.chaffin@austintexas.gov)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff supports the Applicant’s request of SF-6-NP on Tract 1. Staff recommends LR-MU-NP on Tract 2. For a summary of the basis of staff’s recommendation, see case manager comments on page 3.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION / RECOMMENDATION:

January 14, 2020:

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

February 6, 2020:

ORDINANCE NUMBER:
ISSUES:

A petition has been filed in opposition to the proposed rezoning. The petition currently stands at 28.09% of eligible signatures and meets the threshold of a Valid Petition.

The subject property is crossed by a section of Boggy Creek and is significantly impacted by the associated floodplain, water quality zones, and City drainage, utility, and access easements. Please see Exhibits C and D – Environmental Exhibit and Valid Petition Request.

CASE MANAGER COMMENTS:

The subject property is located on the west side Shady Lane approximately 500 feet south of Airport Boulevard. The property is zoned SF-3-NP and is developed with a single family residence, urban farm, and related structures. Immediately south of the property is Anita Ferrales Coy Facility (formerly Allen Elementary) which is zoned P-NP. Immediately north of Tract 1, in the rear of the property, are single family residences zoned SF-3-NP. A SF-3-NP neighborhood extends further northwest to Bolm Road. Tract 2 is also adjacent to SF-3-NP lots, but also adjacent to GR-MU-NP lots that front Shady Lane. GR-MU-NP and GR-NP zoning lots extend north along Shady Lane to Airport Boulevard and are developed with automotive repair and single family uses. Directly across Shady Lane are properties zoned LO-MU-NP and SF-3-NP that are developed with a mix of uses, including art studio, administrative/business offices, and single family residential. Further northeast, across Shady Lane, is a fast food restaurant zoned CS-CO-NP. Southeast of the rezoning property are lots zoned SF-3-NP that face Shady Lane and Glissman Road. West and southwest of the property are lots zoned SF-3-NP developed with single family residential use; further west and southwest of the property are properties zoned CS-MU-CO-NP, LR-MU-NP, P-NP, and SF-3-NP that are developed with a mix of uses including single family residential, professional offices, religious assembly, urban farm, restaurant, and other land uses. Please see Exhibits A and B—Zoning Map and Aerial Exhibit.

As stated in the Issues section above, the property contains a section of Boggy Creek which limits vehicular access to the site. The subject property has frontage on Shady Lane but is adjacent to two local streets that are interior to the surrounding single family neighborhood. Mansell Avenue is located near the western boundary of the site, but the paved roadway does not extend across Boggy Creek; a pedestrian bridge extends across the creek, connecting the northern and southern portions of Mansell Avenue. Gullett Street dead ends north of the site. Vehicular access and creek protection are reviewed at time of site plan, but the constraints clearly limit if development of the property is able to achieve the maximum densities permitted under the proposed zoning classifications. Please see Exhibit C – Environmental Exhibit.

Staff has received correspondence from neighbors in opposition, as well as a Valid Petition request. As stated in the Issues section, the petition currently stands at 28.09% of eligible signatures, meeting the threshold of a Valid Petition. The Agent for the proposed rezoning and a consulting engineer have provided letters that include additional details about the physical constraints of the site and the proposed rezoning. Please see Exhibits D and E – Valid Petition Request and Correspondence.
The draft land development code (LDC) designates this property Residential 2B (R2B) zone which is intended to allow detached housing with accessory dwelling units (ADUs) or duplexes in a more urban setting. The commercial properties along Shady Lane to the north are designated Mixed-Use 5A (MU5A) which is intended to allow high-intensity multi-unit residential, office, service, retail, and entertainment uses. Across Shady Lane to the east are areas designated R2B, R2A and MU3. Residential 2A (R2A) zone is intended to allow detached housing with accessory dwelling units (ADUs) or duplexes in a more suburban setting; Mixed-Use 3 (MU3) zone is intended to provide a mix of low to medium scale residential and commercial uses, including employment, shopping and daily services. Please see Exhibit F- Draft LDC Zoning Exhibit.

Staff partially supports the rezoning request. Staff supports the requested SF-6-NP on Tract 1. This property is significantly constrained by Boggy Creek which leaves limited area for development. If developed with townhouse condominium land use, residential units could potentially be clustered near the termination of Mansell Lane. Clustered units allow residences to be placed closed together and further from the creek. Staff does not support CS-MU-NP along Shady Lane but recommends LR-MU-NP for Tract 2. There are CS-CO-NP properties located north and northeast of the rezoning property, but these are much closer to Airport Boulevard. As Shady Lane extends south, land uses and zoning classifications become less intense before becoming intense again closer to Gonzales Street and East 7th Street. LR-MU-NP would allow lower intensity commercial, residential, or mixed use development on the site, providing a transition toward the residential areas to west.

BASIS OF RECOMMENDATION:

1. The rezoning should be consistent with the policies and principles adopted by the City Council or Planning Commission.

The Strategic Housing Blueprint promotes a mix of housing types and densities across the city.

2. Zoning should promote a transition between adjacent and nearby zoning districts, land uses, and development intensities.

The subject property is in an area that transitions from the commercial corridor of Airport Boulevard to the north and the residential areas to the south and west. The property is also located along Shady Lane, which has a mix of commercial and residential zoning, and extends west into a residential neighborhood. The recommended zoning change would provide a transition between these areas.

3. Zoning should allow for reasonable use of the property.

The section of Boggy Creek that crosses the property severely constraints development of the site. SF-6-NP on Tract 1 would allow the flexible clustering of housing.
EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>LAND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>SF-3-NP, GR-MU-NP, GR-NP</td>
<td>Single family residential, Automotive repair services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>P-NP</td>
<td>Single family residential, Urban farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>SF-3-NP, LO-MU-NP, CS-CO-NP</td>
<td>Single family residential, Art studio, Administrative/business offices, Limited restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>SF-3-NP, LR-MU-NP, CS-MU-CO-NP</td>
<td>Single family residential, Professional offices, Religious assembly, Urban farm, Restaurant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA: Govalle-Johnston Terrace Combined NP Area

SCHOOLS:
Govalle Elementary School
Martin Middle School
Eastside Memorial at Johnston High School

TIA: N/A

WATERSHED: Boggy Creek

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

- Homeless Neighborhood Association
- SELTexas
- Preservation Austin
- Del Valle Community Coalition
- Claim Your Destiny Foundation
- Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
- Neighborhood Empowerment Foundation
- Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation
- Del Valle Community Coalition
- El Concilio Mexican American Neighborhoods
- African American Cultural Heritage District
- Govalle/Johnston Terrace Neighborhood Plan Contact Team

AREA CASE HISTORIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-201—0031</td>
<td>CS-MU-CO-NP to CS-MU-CO-NP, to change a condition of zoning (Remove Restaurant (General), Restaurant (Limited), Convenience Retail, and Art</td>
<td>7/9/2019: To grant as recommended, on consent</td>
<td>8/8/2019: To grant as recommended, on consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-2014-0123 Springdale Farm</td>
<td>Workshop from the list of prohibited uses; add a limit of 20 d.u./ac.</td>
<td>10/28/2014: To grant CS-MU-CO-NP with the following changes: the maximum number of outdoor entertainment events per year shall not be a condition of zoning, and may be addressed at time of conditional use permit; outdoor entertainment events, including set-up, shall not begin before 3:00 p.m. on school days; and the Decibel limit at the property line shall be 75 db max. (8-0)</td>
<td>12/11/2014: ORD NO. 20141211-147 Approved adding the following conditions: No. of events/year: &lt; 50 people – no limit; 51 to 150 people – no more than 22 events; 150+ people – no more than 5 events. Hours of Events: Monday – Thursday - 3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.; Friday - 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.; Saturday - 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; Sunday - 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. No events over 150 will be allowed after 5:00 p.m. Amplified Sound Only permitted for 20 events of between 51 and 150 people, all other events are not amplified. Hours of amplified sound: Sunday through Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. and Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. All sounds are limited to no more than 75 decibels. No amplified sound will be permitted during the last five days of “Spring Festival Season” as defined in 9-2-1(12)S. Location of Events: No events are held within 80 feet from any property zoned or used for</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lounge, College and University Facilities, Congregate Living, Residential Treatment, Transitional Housing, Transportation Terminal, Hotel-Motel, Indoor Entertainment, and Indoor Sports and Recreation.

| C14-2017-0090.SH Springdale Arts | SF-3-NP to GR-MU-NP (not recommended by Staff) | 12/12/2017: To grant GR-MU-CO-NP (11-0). Conditions: NTA, Drive-in services prohibited; 8’ privacy fence & 25’ veg. buffer on north property line; Max 3 stories/40’ height; Max 40% Comm’l uses; SF-5 and less intense uses only within 75 feet of the north property line; prohibited uses: Funeral svs, Pawn shop svs, Bail bond svs, Auto repair svs, Auto washing (of any type), Outdoor entertainment, Hospital svs (general), Residential treatment, Med offices > 5,000 sf, Restaurant (general), Service station, Exterminating svs, Alt financial svs, Auto rentals, Auto sales, Drop-off recycling collection facility Outdoor sports and rec, Hospital services (ltf), Hotel-motel, Offsite accessory parking, Restaurant (ltd), Theater |

| | | 02/15/2018: To grant GR-MU-CO-NP as rec by PC, Ord. 20180215-064 |

| EXISTING STREET CHARACTERISTICS: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bicycle Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within ½ mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shady Lane</td>
<td>70’</td>
<td>42’</td>
<td>ASMP Level 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gullett St*</td>
<td>50’</td>
<td>30’</td>
<td>ASMP Level 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansell Ave*</td>
<td>50’</td>
<td>30’</td>
<td>ASMP Level 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ROW abuts creek
OTHER STAFF COMMENTS:

ENVIRONMENTAL

1. The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is located in the Boggy Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as an Urban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code.
2. Zoning district impervious cover limits apply in the Urban Watershed classification.
3. According to floodplain maps there is a floodplain within or adjacent to the project location. Based upon the location of the floodplain, offsite drainage should be calculated to determine whether a Critical Water Quality Zone exists within the project location.
4. Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.
5. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
6. This site is required to provide on-site water quality controls (or payment in lieu of) for all development and/or redevelopment when 8,000 s.f. cumulative is exceeded, and on site control for the two-year storm.

SITE PLAN

SP 1. Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.
SP 2. Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540 feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.
SP 3. Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Standards and Mixed Use. Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.
SP 4. FYI: Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.
SP 5. The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the northeast, northwest, and southwest SF-3-NP property lines, the following standards apply:
   - No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
   - No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
   - No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
   - No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
   - A landscape area at least 25 feet wide is required along the property line. In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.
   - For a structure more than 100 feet but not more than 300 feet from property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive, height limitation is 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet of distance in excess of 100 feet from the property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive. (use 540’ radius)
   - An intensive recreational use, including a swimming pool, tennis court, ball court, or playground, may not be constructed 50 feet or less property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district
restrictive zoning district.

A landscape area at least 25 feet in width is required along the property line if the tract is zoned LR, GO, GR, L, CS, CS-1, or CH.

SP 6. The site is subject to 25-2 Subchapter F. Residential Design and Compatibility Standards.

TRANSPORTATION

TR 1. A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. The Austin Strategic Mobility Plan requires 74 feet of right of way for Shady Lane. Additional right-of-way shall be required at the time of subdivision and/or site plan. According to the ASMP, a protected bike lane has been recommended along Shady lane.

The adjacent street characteristics are described in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bicycle Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within ½ mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shady Lane</td>
<td>70'</td>
<td>42'</td>
<td>ASMP Level 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gullett St*</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>ASMP Level 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansell Ave*</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>ASMP Level 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ROW abuts creek

WATER UTILITY

1. The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. Water and wastewater utility plans must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO FOLLOW

A: Zoning Map
B. Aerial Exhibit
C. Environmental Exhibit
D. Valid Petition Request
E. Correspondence
F. Draft LDC Zoning Exhibit
SHADY LANE MIXED USE

ZONING CASE#: C14-2019-0098
LOCATION: 914 SHADY LANE
SUBJECT AREA: 4.13 Acres
GRID: L21
MANAGER: Heather Chaffin

This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
RAIN LILY FARM - 914 SHADY LANE

REQUESTED A ZONING CHANGE + NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AMENDMENT
From SF-3 to CS-MU and SF-6 with REMOVAL of the stream designation

WE OPPOSE!

Please Sign (in black ink) the Petition ASAP and mail to:

City of Austin
Planning and Zoning Department
Heather Chaffin
PO Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
Case Number: C14-2019-0098 (and NOA-2019-0016.01)

Be sure to include:
Your signature (in black ink)
Your name
Your address
Your phone number (in case there are questions about your information)

If you have questions, please contact:
Maureen Meredith / CoA Neighborhood Plan Case Manager 512.974.2695,
maureen.meredith@austintexas.gov
Heather Chaffin / CoA Zoning Case Manager 512.974.2122,
heather.chaffin@austintexas.gov
Alice Glasco / Applicants Consultant 512.231.8110
Pio Renteria / CoA City Council District 3 Member 512.978.2103

Please attend the next meeting:
SATURDAY, SEPT 28, 10:00am CITY MEETING
Case Meeting: The case will come to the Neighborhood Contact Team. Neighbors should attend. Location: Poder Office / 4926 E Cesar Chavez St, Austin, TX 78702
PETITION

Date: 9/23/2019
File Number: C14-2019-0098 and NOA-2019-0016.01
Address of Rezoning Request: 914 Shady Lane Austin, TX 78702

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-3.

REASONS FOR PROTEST

1. Property is currently zoned SF-3 with NP in place.
2. We do not support a change or amendment to the Neighborhood Plan / Future Land Use Map.
3. Property is not a main corridor or transition zone and should not be considered for upzoning.
4. A zoning change would further exacerbate already overcrowded street parking spaces on Shady Lane.
5. A zoning change would add more noise, light pollution and traffic to our homes. The drainage built into Boggy Creek acts as an amplifier for any sound in the area.
6. Property currently holds "urban farm" status, which enables the property to hold events and conduct limited commercial activities.
7. The applicants have no plans for what type of businesses or what division, of specificity, of residential, commercial and industrial uses the property would be bringing to the neighborhood.
8. Zoning change (SF-3-NP to CS-MU, stream removal, and SF-6) positions the applicants to be able to build a road linking the MU to the SF portion, greatly increasing already over crowded streets and further endangering large amount of pedestrian and cyclist traffic, compromising safety.
9. The requested change would decrease the desirably value of our homes. Some of the neighbors and prospective buyers are favoring properties with the NP zoning precisely to keep CS and MU out of their homes.
10. The requested zoning change would negatively affect the wildlife and green space in the area. Currently the area is a respite for many native species and even rare species are spotted in the area.
11. The majority of the Tract requesting SF-6 is in a city easement and which includes a very popular and heavy traffic pedestrian bridge. A large portion of the Tract requesting CS-MU is in a city easement as well.
12. There are a large number of neighborhood properties nearby in corridors or transition zones that already have CS-MU or similar zoning to achieve these needs or could be rezoned without bringing development to an interior neighborhood. There are already more than a dozen large developments coming to Govalle that we have not yet seen or are prepared to deal with the implications of.
13. The school property has become a much loved park for families and very active soccer field. This is wonderful. However, it adds a ton of pedestrian, car traffic and parked cars to an overcrowded, small street. The visibility in this area is already a safety concern.
14. The neighborhood worked very hard to downzone the neighborhood not that long ago. Many of us still live in the neighborhood and remember this battle. We are not eager to see our efforts to keep the homes we have lived in for decades be erased by people wanting to turn a large profit by upzoning their property.

15. The Govalle Future Land Use Map (FLUM) states that that our most important issue is, “preservation of single family housing”.

16. The Govalle Future Land Use Map (FLUM) states that our Land Use Goals are, “Goal 1: Adjacent land use should be compatible. Goal 2: Preserve and protect current and support future single-family neighborhoods”.

17. The traffic and safety issues are already a big concern for neighbors. We believe the area is already developing at a rate the city can’t keep up with in addressing the parking, traffic, transit and safety issues within. With the huge amount of housing being developed at Bolm/Airport intersection, a school being added at 831 Springdale and Springdale Farms headed for Mixed Use development, this will only add to the current safety concerns.

18. The current owners often and publicly advocated for the need for urban farms. We thank them for bringing this belief to everyone. We do believe our neighborhood needs urban farms.

19. The property seems to be pushing the boundaries of their current zoning and not sensitive to the surrounding area. This has brought a lack of trust to what they may do if granted rezoning.

20. Nearly 100% of property requesting CS-MU is in the floodplain and nearly 75% of the proposed SF-6. The definition of a floodplain is for it to be used by the city to regulate development.

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Phone

Harriet Young

Robert Nash

8400-10 Greenhill

919-437-7921

312-719-3847

12094 Windy Hill

512-909-2024

417-286-0149

267 Rees Dr

1072 Newling Ave

712-424-7671

26 1/2 Vermont Rd

415-182-2049

602-802-6441

1511 3rd Ave

415-431-9585

512-529-7240

2601 N. 14th St

512-502-6441

4911-0 Red River St

415-624-5953

603-353-9178

3621 Pecan St

512-544-7779

4510 Pecan St

512-740-6311

9310 Virginia Pkwy

512-740-6311

1434 6th St

512-740-6311

1404 E. Wright Rd

512-699-9934

512-779-4814

512-635-9256

1434 E. Wright Rd

512-371-1438

2601 N. 14th St

512-293-9505

860-214-5184

777-299-1094

879-859-1992

Date: 9/23/2019 Contact Name: Tim Murphy
Phone Number: (512) 627-3902 Email: timurphy75@gmail.com

Mango Stamler: mango@ymail.com
14. The neighborhood worked very hard to downzone the neighborhood not that long ago. Many of us still live in the neighborhood and remember this battle. We are not eager to see our efforts to keep the homes we have lived in for decades be erased by people wanting to turn a large profit by upzoning their property.

15. The Gollahle Future Land Use Map (FLUM) states that that our most important issue is, "preservation of single family housing".

16. The Gollahle Future Land Use Map (FLUM) states that our Land Use Goals are, "Goal 1: Adjacent land use should be compatible. Goal 2: Preserve and protect current and support future single-family neighborhoods".

17. The traffic and safety issues are already a big concern for neighbors. We believe the area is already developing at a rate the city the can't keep up with in addressing the parking, traffic, transit and safety issues within. With the huge amount of housing being developed at Bolm/Airport intersection, a school being added at 831 Springdale and Springdale Farms headed for Mixed Use development, this will only add to the current safety concerns.

18. The current owners often and publicly advocated for the need for urban farms. We thank them for bringing this belief to everyone. We do believe our neighborhood needs urban farms.

19. The property seems to be pushing the boundaries of their current zoning and not sensitive to the surrounding area. This has brought a lack of trust to what they may do if granted rezoning.

20. Nearly 100% of property requesting CS-MU is in the floodplain and nearly 75% of the proposed SF-6. The definition of a floodplain is for it to be used by the city to regulate development.

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature  | Printed Name  | Address  | Phone
----------|--------------|----------|-------
Jenny Dubin | Hotis Hamilton | 1207 W 8th Austin | 512 952 2676
Karen Glick | Katherine Lutz | 1207 W 8th Austin | N/A
Sylvia Rubeck | Jean-Luc Riehber | 1107 8th Austin | N/A
Sylvia Crossland | Sylvia Crossland | 8207 Forest Heights | N/A
Donna Dall | Donna Dall | 3207 Dancy St 78722 | N/A
Kristy Gregg | Janice Sheets | 1713 Dancy St | N/A

Date: 9/23/2019  Contact Name: Tim Murphy  
Phone Number: (512) 627-3902  Email: timurphy75@gmail.com
**Case Number:** C14-2019-0098

**PETITION**  

Date: 10/8/2019

Total Square Footage of Buffer: 597840.027

Percentage of Square Footage Owned by Petitioners Within Buffer: 28.09%

Calculation: The total square footage is calculated by taking the sum of the area of all TCAD Parcels with valid signatures including one-half of the adjacent right-of-way that fall within 200 feet of the subject tract. Parcels that do not fall within the 200 foot buffer are not used for calculation. When a parcel intersects the edge of the buffer, only the portion of the parcel that falls within the buffer is used. The area of the buffer does not include the subject tract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCAD ID</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Petition Area</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0202170102</td>
<td>915 SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>828 AIRPORT LLC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>13773.72</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0203180404</td>
<td>838 AIRPORT BLVD 78702</td>
<td>838 AIRPORT 2017 LP</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>5720.76</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202170107</td>
<td>903 SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>AVELLANO MANUEL</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>4668.15</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202180101</td>
<td>4900 GONZALEZ ST 78702</td>
<td>AUSTIN PUBLIC SCHOOLS</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>179810.25</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160610</td>
<td>4803 LYONS RD 78702</td>
<td>BOWCUECH HELEN ANN &amp; TIMOTHY W MURPHY</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>12046.71</td>
<td>2.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202160146</td>
<td>4703 MILBURN LN 78702</td>
<td>CARDONA ROSEMARY R &amp; JULIO M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2574.44</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0203180403</td>
<td>844 AIRPORT BLVD 78702</td>
<td>DANS-AIRPORT LLC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>9391.70</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204161108</td>
<td>4617 LYNCS RD 78702</td>
<td>FIDELIA BONILLA</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>21843.62</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160305</td>
<td>827 GULLETT ST 78702</td>
<td>GARDNER MEREDITH</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>19110.10</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160306</td>
<td>829 GULLETT ST 78702</td>
<td>GARDNER MEREDITH</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>10004.28</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160606</td>
<td>4807 LYONS RD 78702</td>
<td>KLINEFELTER PHILIPPE &amp; SUN YON</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>14860.65</td>
<td>2.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160605</td>
<td>800 GULLETT ST 78702</td>
<td>KLINEFELTER PHILIPPE &amp; SUNYONG</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>51163.53</td>
<td>8.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160605</td>
<td>4805 LYONS RD 78702</td>
<td>KLINEFELTER PHILIPPE &amp; SYNYONG</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>14599.59</td>
<td>2.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204161107</td>
<td>4615 LYONS RD 78702</td>
<td>LAMGHAM LAURA C &amp; ISRAEL PANTOJA</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3191.73</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202191149</td>
<td>4708 MILBURN LN 78702</td>
<td>MILBURN LANE LLC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>19538.83</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202160150</td>
<td>4706 MILBURN LN 78702</td>
<td>MILBURN LANE LLC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>13180.80</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160611</td>
<td>4903 LYONS RD 78702</td>
<td>PECAN PROPERTIES</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>19567.37</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202170109</td>
<td>814 AIRPORT BLVD 78702</td>
<td>PLUMBERS &amp; PIPE FITTERS #286</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>26784.22</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202160147</td>
<td>4705 MILBURN LN 78702</td>
<td>PLUMLEY FRANK</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>28449.37</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160604</td>
<td>4801 LYONS RD 78702</td>
<td>RAMIREZ FREDERICO JR &amp; RUTH</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>15113.95</td>
<td>2.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160307</td>
<td>901 GULLETT ST 78702</td>
<td>REYES ELVIRA L</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2414.23</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202170108</td>
<td>905 SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>ROSA RAUL &amp; GUADALUPE G ROSA</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>12402.69</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202170101</td>
<td>917 SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>SAMS RADIATOR INC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>13385.79</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0203180406</td>
<td>923 SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>SAMS RADIATOR INC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>14874.85</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160304</td>
<td>920 SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>WIELAND MARK R</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>36864.42</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204160315</td>
<td>SHADY LN 78702</td>
<td>WOODLAND BALL LLC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>7164.25</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202160301</td>
<td>Address Not Found</td>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>11645.16</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**  

584145.17 28.09%
This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

PETITION

Case#: C14-2019-0098
July 22, 2019

Mr. Greg Guernsey, Director
Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department
505 Barton Spring Road, Suite 500
Austin, Texas 78704

RE: Govalle /Johnson Terrace Combined Neighborhood Plan Amendment and rezoning for 914 Shady Lane

Dear Greg:

I represent Kimberly Beal and Stephanie Scherzer, the owners 914 Shady Lane in a request to amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) of the Govalle /Johnson Terrace Combined Neighborhood Plan and associated rezoning.

The property is currently zoned SF-3-NP. Our request is to change the FLUM from Single Family and Water to Higher-Density Single Family for tract 1, and Mixed Use for tract 2.

The majority of the subject property was erroneously designated as WATER when the neighborhood plan was initially adopted in 2003. The site has a single family house built in 1942 according to TCAD records and this portion of the site is designated as Single Family on the FLUM. The site, which comprises 4.134 acres, is also used as an urban farm and includes a concrete drainage easement which we believe, resulted in the erroneous designation of the majority of the site as WATER on the FLUM.

JUSTIFICATION FOR A PLAN AMENDMENT

1. A change to the FLUM would accomplish two things: correct a map error and allow for the rezoning of the site to allow residential and commercial uses.

2. The proposed amendment is consistent with the goals and objectives of the neighborhood plan. The land use goal and key principles that support our plan amendment request are as follows: Land Use Goal # 3: Develop a balanced and varied pattern of land use.
Mr. Greg Guernsey
RE: Govalle /Johnson Terrace Combined Neighborhood Plan Amendment and rezoning for 914 Shady Lane

Key Principles

- Provide a balance of land use and zoning for people to both live and work in the area.

- Encourage mixed use so that residential uses are allowed on some commercial properties.

- Provide opportunities for land uses that serve the needs of daily life (live, work, play, shop).

3. The NPA is consistent with Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan’s policy – LUT P7, which states: encourage infill and redevelopment opportunities that place residential, work, and retail land uses in proximity to each other to maximize walking, bicycling, and transit opportunities.

We look forward to a positive staff recommendation. Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Alice Glasco, President
Alice Glasco Consulting

Cc: Maureen Meredith, Neighborhood Planner
July 16, 2019

Mr. Greg Guernsey, Director
City of Austin
Planning and Zoning Department
505 Barton Springs Road
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: 914 Shady Lane
Rezoning and Neighborhood Plan Amendment
TRE Job No: 1939-11743-51

Dear Mr. Guernsey:

On behalf of the landowner for 914 Shady Lane, please let this letter serve as clarification regarding the future land use category for this property. As shown on the Guvalle/Johnston Terrance Combined Neighborhood Planning Area Adopted Future Land Use Map dated April 1, 2003, this property is classified in the "Water" future land use category. The property comprises 4.134 acres and approximately 43% of the site is developable. The other 57% of the site is undevelopable because it contains a concrete drainage easement; part of Boggy Creek. According to City records, the property is zoned SF-3-NP and has been used as a single-family residence and urban farm. Based on the current zoning and use of the property as a residence, it is our opinion that the future land use category of "Water" was an error and should be changed to match the requested zoning of SF-6 for tract 1 and CS-MU for tract 2.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact me or Marc Dickey at (512) 358-4049.

Sincerely,

TRE & ASSOCIATES, LLC

Adrian H. Rosas, P.E., C.F.M.
Vice-President

AHR:rb
Attachments

cc: Mr. Marc Dickey; TRE & Associates, LLC;
Ms. Alice Glasco; Alice Glasco Consulting
Hi Heather,

As you know, we would prefer to see the property remain SF-3, and remain a farm.

I have not heard from Rainlily since they told us they would go back to the drawing board and come back to us. Without hearing anything, we have met as neighbors (many times) to discuss the neighborhood needs and possible compromises in the inevitability it can’t maintain as SF3. Since Rainlily has not followed up since September, I thought you may want to hear what we would be OK with considering as a compromise.

We hear from you (and Rainlily) that Shady Lane is part commercial, and that justifies thinking they could get that zoning. The majority of the property is not along Shady Lane, it’s along Boggy Creek and in a residential, SF, neighborhood. We believe if any non residential zoning is granted, the tract requesting CS or LR zoning should be cut off to match the neighboring properties back reach. You can see where their house is already boxed out the Zoning map provided, Tract 2 should end there. (Drawing attached)

If you will be recommending the LR zoning, we would really like to see you consider ending Tract 2 to keep it along Shady Lane. Please see the attached map. The pink represents SF houses bordering their property. The green marker suggests possible new cut off areas for Tract 2. We believe this would be a more acceptable compromise to consider.

Let us know your thoughts.
Thank you for keeping us involved!

Rachel Bockheim
312.560.1799

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to CSIRT@austintexas.gov.