## **BOA GENERAL REVIEW COVERSHEET**

**CASE:** C15-2021-0083 **BOA DATE:** January 11<sup>th</sup>, 2021

ADDRESS: 2810 Gonzales St
OWNER: Enez Salinas

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 3
AGENT: Daniel Salazar

**ZONING:** SF-3-NP (Govalle NP)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOT 3 BLK 1 \*LESS SW TRI OLT 26-27 DIV A CHERNOSKY NO 11

**VARIANCE REQUEST:** Two-Family Residential use location at least 10 feet to the rear or side of the principal

structure to 5 feet

**SUMMARY:** erect 2 story secondary dwelling unit

**ISSUES:** no alley and setback requirements

	ZONING	LAND USES	
Site	SF-3-NP	Single-Family	
North	SF-3-NP	Single-Family	
South	P-NP	Public	
East	SF-3-NP	Single-Family	
West	CS-V-NP	General Commercial Services-Vertical Mixed	
		Uses	

### **NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:**

Austin Independent School District

Austin Lost and Found Pets

Austin Neighborhoods Council

Bike Austin

Del Valle Community Coalition

East Austin Conservancy

El Concilio Mexican-American Neighborhoods

Friends of Austin Neighborhoods

Govalle Neighborhood Association

Govalle/Johnston Terrace Neighborhood Plan Contact Team

Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation

Homeless Neighborhood Association

Neighborhood Empowerment Foundation

Neighbors United for Progress

Parque Zaragosa Neighborhood Association

**Preservation Austin** 

**SELTexas** 

Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group



## Board of Adjustment General/Parking Variance Application

<u>DevelopmentATX.com</u> | Phone: 311 (or 512-974-2000 outside Austin) For submittal and fee information, see <u>austintexas.gov/digitaldevelopment</u>

## WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, <u>click here to Save</u> the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal. *If more space is required, please complete Section 6 as needed.* All information is required (if applicable).

## For Office Use Only

Case #	•	Tax i	#
Section 1: App	licant Statement		
Street Address: 2810	O Gonzales St. Austin, TX 7	'8702	
Subdivision Legal Des LOT 3 BLK 1 *LE	scription: SS SW TRI OLT 26-27 DI\	/ A CHERNOSKY NO 11	
Lot(s): 3		Block(s): 1	
Outlot:		Division:	
Zoning District:			
I/We Daniel Salazar authorized agent f			ehalf of myself/ourselves as affirm that on
			pply for a hearing before the
Board of Adjustme	ent for consideration to (sele	ect appropriate option be	elow):
○ Erect ○ Atta	ch OComplete OR	emodel O Maintain	Other:
Type of Structure:	New construction of 2-sto	ry secondary dwelling ur	nit.

Residential Use (C) (2) (a) for a SF-3-NP Two-Family location at least 10ft. (required) to rear of principal structure to _5ft(requested).  Section 2: Variance Findings  The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.  NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use  The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:  All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 3° DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about 35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:  All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is located in the middle of the property.	Portion of the City of Austin Land Development Code applicant is seeking a variance from:
Section 2: Variance Findings  The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.  NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use  The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:  All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all-limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about "35-40" tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:  All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	I am requesting a variance from the Land Development Code section 25-2-774 Two-Family Residential Use (C) (2) (a) for a SF-3-NP Two-Family location at least 10ft. (required) to rear of
The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.  NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35"-DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about 35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	principal structure to _5ft(requested).
The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.  NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35"-DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about 35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	
The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.  NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35"-DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about 35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	
findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.  NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:  All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35"-DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about "35-40" tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:  All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	Section 2: Variance Findings
privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.  I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:  Reasonable Use The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:     the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:     All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about '-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:     All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	indings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as
The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	
The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:
the setback requirements do not allow reasonable utilization of this lot and many of the other homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into effect.  Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:  All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:  All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	
Hardship  a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	
<ul> <li>Hardship</li> <li>a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.</li> <li>b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is</li> </ul>	homes in the area have similar accessory structures that were built before the code went into
<ul> <li>a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.</li> <li>b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is</li> </ul>	
All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the back side that would soften the compatibility standard. This block does not. This lot also has a 35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	·
35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about'-35-40' tall.  b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	All of the other commercial blocks on the south side of Cesar Chavez have an alley on the
All other blocks on the south side of East Cesar Chavez have an alley. In addition the tree is	35" DBH tree on the property which all limit the development footprint. It is an over-mature, over
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•


#### **Area Character**

The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

<del>s</del>	Due to the age of the neighborhood there are numerous other lots on this block that have imilarly configured accessory structures that are "existing non complying" so this accessory tructure will not affect the character of the neighborhood. In addition the zoning district Govalle Neighborhood Plan) only regulates property use and this variance would not impair nose regulations.
Reque a varia Apper	ng (additional criteria for parking variances only) est for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant ance to a regulation prescribed in the City of Austin Land Development Code Chapter 25-6, adix A with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it is findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:
1. - -	Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonably require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:
2.	The granting of this variance will not result in the parking or loading of vehicles on public streets in such a manner as to interfere with the free flow of traffic of the streets because:
3.	The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because:
4.	The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run with the site because:
_	

# **Section 3: Applicant Certificate**

I affirm that my statements contained in the complemy knowledge and belief.	ete application are true	and correct to the best of
Applicant Signature:	lest on	Date: 11/12/2020
Applicant Name (typed or printed): Daniel Salazar	. U	
Applicant Mailing Address: 2810 Gonzales St		
City: Austin	State: TX	Zip: 78702
Phone (will be public information): 512-619-8154		
Email (optional – will be public information):		
Section 4: Owner Certificate		
I affirm that my statements contained in the complemy knowledge and belief.	ete application are true	and correct to the best of
Owner Signature:		Date: 11/12/2020
Owner Name (typed or printed): Enez Salinas		
Owner Mailing Address: 2810 Gonzales St.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
City: Austin	State: TX	Zip: 78702
Phone (will be public information): 512-619-8154	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Email (optional – will be public information):		
Section 5: Agent Information		
Agent Name:		
Agent Mailing Address:		
City:	State:	Zip:
Phone (will be public information):		
Email (optional – will be public information):		
Section 6: Additional Space (if appli	cable)	
Please use the space below to provide additional in referenced to the proper item, include the Section		



6608 Northeast Dr. Austin, TX. 78723 12/07/2020

Board of Adjustment Development Services Department One Texas Center 505 Barton Springs Road Austin, Texas 78704

To Whom It May Concern;

My name is Miles J. Lefler. I am a Certified Arborist (TX-3423A), TRAQ, ISA.

On the above date I made an onsite visit to the home of Daniel Salazar at: 2810 Gonzales St., 78702.

Mr. Salazar told me that he needed me to view a large Pecan Tree in his yard, and wanted to get my impressions of this tree in relation to a new house he had built behind it. Specifically, he was very worried that this tree might fail at any time, and that being the case, he located the new house he had built, a year ago, as far from this tree as possible.

I viewed the tree and took measurements of the same. This Pecan Tree is, approximately, 35" DBH. It is an over-mature, over-extended tree with a spread about as wide as it is tall. The height is about 35'- 40' tall.

This tree is seen to have stubs present that indicate that, in the past, it has dropped some fairly large leaders from its canopy. In fact, there is a hanger of approximate length 10', that is now hanging almost directly over Mr. Salazar's house. Other larger leaders are extended over his house at an almost vertical orientation. Mr. Salazar showed me a damaged area of his storage shed roof where the tree had dropped another leader.

Mr. Salazar told me that he wanted to move his family into the new house, in back, because he feared for their safety, under the subject tree. I share his concerns. I feel that this tree could have its major leaders fail at any time.

Mr. Salazar felt that the farther from the tree he sited his new house, the better for the safety of his family. I agree with him.

The variance he is applying for pertains to his siting his new house far from the subject tree, but about 5' too close to his back property line. Even so, he has left an amount of space between his back fence line and the new house, such space being about five feet.

I feel that he did the prudent thing by moving his new house as far from the subject tree as possible, and I hope the BOA can grant him the variance he seeks, for the sake of his completing his new house and for the safety of his family.

If I may be of further service, please feel free to contact me, as below.

Miles J. Lefler CA, TRAQ, ISA

Call or Text: 512-784-5333











#### PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, <u>you are not required to attend</u>. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: <a href="https://www.austintexas.gov/devservices">www.austintexas.gov/devservices</a>.

# D-3/13

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2020-0083				
Contact: Elaine Ramirez; elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov				
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment; January 11th, 2021				
Fonathan Rocha DI am in favor				
Your Name (please print)				
2612 (				
2912 Carwood				
Your address(es) affected by this application				
NRO ha 12-28-2020				
Signature Date				
Daytime Telephone: 512-657-680				
Daytime relephone: 3) 4 - 63 f - 680(				
Comments: I think this should be a				
Fire cole juve and shall not				
be allowed.				
Everyone else has de tollow (0' Rule				
there shoul not be exceptions				

If you use this form to comment, it must currently be returned via email (as we do not have access to our mail due to social distancing):

Elaine Ramirez

Scan & Email to: elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov