BOA GENERAL REVIEW COVERSHEET

CASE: C15-2021-0049 **BOA DATE:** May 10th, 2021

ADDRESS: 1025 Ellingson Ln COUNCIL DISTRICT: 9

OWNER: Amias Maldonado **AGENT:** Perry Hunt w/David Weekly Homes

ZONING: SF-3-NP (Hancock)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOT 24 OLT 18 DIV C MAYFAIR TERRACE

VARIANCE REQUEST: increase Floor to Area ratio from 15% to 15.87%

SUMMARY: complete a Secondary Apartment

ISSUES: layout of existing house is compact

| | ZONING | LAND USES | |
|-------|------------|---------------|--|
| Site | SF-3-CO-NP | Single-Family | |
| North | SF-3-CO-NP | Single-Family | |
| South | SF-3-CO-NP | Single-Family | |
| East | SF-3-CO-NP | Single-Family | |
| West | SF-3-CO-NP | Single-Family | |

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Austin Independent School District

Austin Lost and Found Pets

Austin Neighborhoods Council

Bike Austin

CANPAC (Central Austin Neigh Plan Area Committee)

Central Austin Community Development Corporation

Central Austin Urbanists

Friends of Austin Neighborhoods

Hancock Neighborhood Assn.

Homeless Neighborhood Association

Neighborhood Empowerment Foundation

North Austin Neighborhood Alliance

Preservation Austin

SELTexas

Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group





NOTIFICATIONS

CASE#: C15-2021-0049

LOCATION: 1025 ELLINGSON LANE

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.





Board of Adjustment General/Parking Variance Application

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, <u>click here to Save</u> the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal. If more space is required, please complete Section 6 as needed. All information is required (if applicable).

| For Office Use (| oniy | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Case # | ROW# | | Ĭ. | Tax # | |
| Section 1: Appl | icant Statemen | nt | | | |
| Street Address: 1025 | Ellingson Ln Austin | , TX 78751 | | | |
| Subdivision Legal Des | cription: | | | | |
| LOT 24 OLT 18 D | IV C MAYFAIR TEF | RRACE | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Lot(s): 1 | | Bloc | k(s): | | 1017 |
| Outlot: | | | | | |
| Zoning District: SF-3 | | | | | |
| I/We David Weekley F | łomes | | | on behalf of mys | self/ourselves as |
| authorized agent fo | | | | | affirm that on |
| Month March | | | | | earing before the |
| Board of Adjustmer | t for consideration t | o (select approp | riate opti | ion below): | |
| O Erect O Attac | h Complete | ○ Remodel | Mair | ntain Other: | |
| Type of Structure: | Secondary Apartme | ent | | | |
| pnse5 | • | | | | |

Portion of the City of Austin Land Development Code applicant is seeking a variance from:

We are requesting a variance from Land Development Code section 25-2-1463 (C)5(a) for a SF-3 residential property for the floor-area-ratio of the secondary apartment to be increased from .15 to .1587. Per code, secondary apartment may not exceed 1100 SF or a floor-area-ratio of 0.15, whichever is smaller.

Our existing home is currently at a .1587 FAR, which is an overage of .0087. In terms of percentages, the city allows the secondary apartment to be 15% the size of the lot. We are asking for an additional .87% of the lot for the ADU, to 15.87%. We are also decreasing the max size of the future primary dwelling by .0087,

to ensure the total FAR does not exceed .40. upon completion.

Section 2: Variance Findings

The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.

NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.

I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:

Reasonable Use

The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:

| The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because |
|---|
| they don't consider existing structures being used as the Secondary Apartment. It is not |
| reasonable that the people who designed/built this structure in 1957 knew that there would be a |
| limit of 966 SF 50 years later. |
| |

Hardship

a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

Our lot is 6440 SF, which means the maximun size the ADU can be is 966 sf. The existing home that has been there since 1957 is 1022 SF and would need to be shrunk by 56 SF in order to comply. The layout of the existing home is a compact 3-bedroom home with very small common living space. Reducing the home by 56 SF would result in removing a bedroom, which would be a hardship in reducing a 3-bedroom home to a 2-bedroom home.

b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because the existing house size and location is very unique. A quick aerial study of homes in the immediate area (approximately 1/2- mile radius) found no other homes with this unique situation.

Area Character

The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

The variance will not alter the character in which the property is located because: 1) we are doing our best to keep the existing structure unchanged, and the structure will be very visible from the street due to the trees forcing the new home to be placed on the side of the lot. 2) granting this variance will in no way impair the use of adjacent conforming properties, and 3) does not impair the SF-3 zoning in any way.


Parking (additional criteria for parking variances only)


Request for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant a variance to a regulation prescribed in the City of Austin Land Development Code Chapter 25-6, Appendix A with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it makes findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:

| 1. | Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonably require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because: |
|----|--|
| 2. | The granting of this variance will not result in the parking or loading of vehicles on public streets in such a manner as to interfere with the free flow of traffic of the streets because: |
| 3. | The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because: |
| 4. | The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run with the site because: |
| | |

I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Applicant Signature Date: Applicant Name (typed or printed): David Weekley Homes Applicant Mailing Address: 9000 Waterford Centre Blvd City: Austin State: TX Zip: 78758 Phone (will be public information): (512) 821-8831 Email (optional – will be public information): Section 4: Owner Certificate I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, Owner Signature: Owner Name (typed or printed): Amias Maldonado & Lena Banks Owner Mailing Address: 1625 Ellingson Ln City: Austin State: TX Zip: 78751 Phone (will be public information): Email (optional – will be public information): **Section 5: Agent Information** Agent Name: Perry Hunt Agent Mailing Address: 9000 Waterford Centre Blvd City: Austin State: TX Phone (will be public information): (512) 821-8831 Email (optional – will be public information): Section 6: Additional Space (if applicable) Please use the space below to provide additional information as needed. To ensure the information is referenced to the proper item, include the Section and Field names as well (continued on next page).

Section 3: Applicant Certificate





The mecal-fieldy flames RB. P., 2001
The messurements dimensions, and other specifications, shown on this document. The actual specifications of the finished structure may vary. This document may not be relead on as a trapescentation of most be relead on as a trapescentation of what the completed structure will look like.

David Weekley Homes

5 Lot: 24 Blk:

2045 Job No.: Be 0101 9

MAYFAIR TERRACE 1025 ELLINGSON LANE AUSTIN, TX 78751

NORTH B034-A PLT_PLN-1 McNALLY

AUSTIN

Explanation of hardship when reducing the rear home (existing) by 56.

Address: 1025 Ellingson Lane

Owner: Amias Maldonado & Lena Banks

Case # 2020-178348 PR

The existing home was built in 1957 and is a compact 3-bedroom, 1-bathroom residence. The owners currently live in the home and intend on living here during the construction of their new home at the same address. This existing home would transition from being the primary dwelling to being a secondary apartment behind the new primary dwelling.

The city limits secondary apartments to 15% of the lot size, or 1100 SF, whichever is smaller. In this case, the lot size limits a secondary apartment to 966 SF. The measured size of the structure is 1022 SF. In order to comply without a variance, we would need to remove 56 SF.

While it is arguable that there are an infinite number of ways 56 SF could be removed from this structure, there are a few methods that would render the home non-functional. For example:

- Removing the kitchen or the bathroom, or removing portions (such as the sinks or the commode) would render the home unlivable. So, we are not considering remodel options that would significantly reduce those rooms, which are already small.


There are two trees near the structure. One is a 34" pecan and the other is a 19" pecan. We spent countless hours consulting with Jim Gobel to make sure the trees were impacted as little as possible. Our new home is pushed all the way to the right side of the lot in effort to minimize impacting the tree root zones. If we removed space from the existing home on the left side or front wall, we would be additionally impacting the root zones and we would prefer to avoid that as much as possible. The less traffic and construction in that area, the better off those trees will be.

We sketched some concepts of where we could remove 56 SF from the house (see exhibit 'A'). No matter what we do to shrink 56 SF we end up making one of the rooms dysfunctional (which would certainly be a hardship) or would result in losing a bedroom altogether (also a hardship, as the family currently needs and uses all three bedrooms).

Our findings while exploring this project are summarized as follows:


- 1) Removing an entire bedroom would be a hardship for the family.
- 2) Reducing the living room to about 10' wide would be a hardship for normal use of that room.
- 3) Reducing the bedroom to 8'-3" would be a hardship considering the limited space it would have.
- 4) Any remodel on the left/front portion of the house would create a hardship on the trees' root zones.
- 5) Even if all items above could be resolved and the house was to be remodeled, asking the family to move out for several months to perform the remodel would be a hardship. The family has a 4-year-old child that they would prefer not to move to a new home more than is necessary.

Thank you for your consideration.




REMODEL PLAN 'A'

REMOVE 56 SF FROM LIVING ROOM - REDUCES LIVING AREA TO 10-3 WIDE.




REMODEL PLAN 'B'

REMOVE 56 SF FROM FAMILY ROOM. LIVING ROOM IS LESS THAN 10' DEEP AND ACCESS TO KITCHEN IS RESTRICTED.




REMODEL PLAN 'C'

REMOVE 56 SF FROM RIGHT SIDE — REDUCES BEDROOM 3 TO 8-3 WIDE. REDUCES LIVING ROOM TO 12-1 WIDE.




REMODEL PLAN 'D'

REMOVE 56 SF FROM BEDROOM 3 - REDUCES BEDROOM 3 TO 5-2 WIDE.



REMODEL PLAN TREE IMPACT

SHADED AREAS ARE OVER THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONES OF 19" AND 34" PECAN TREES. ANY REMODEL REMOVING EXTERIOR WALLS WOULD ADD ADDITIONAL STRESS TO THE ROOT ZONES, WHICH IS SOMETHING WE ARE DOING OUR BEST TO AVOID (NOTE HOW FAR WE'VE PUSHED THE MAIN HOUSE TO THE RIGHT).



AS-BUILT FIRST FLOOR PLAN

AREA: 1022 S.F.

EXHIBIT 'A'