CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet E-1

DATE: Monday July 12, 2021	CASE NUMBER: C15-2021-0027
Thomas Ates	
Brooke Bailey	
Jessica Cohen	
Melissa Hawthorne	
Barbara Mcarthur	
Rahm McDaniel (OUT)	
Darryl Pruett	
Agustina Rodriguez	
Richard Smith (OUT)	
Michael Von Ohlen	
Nicholl Wade	
Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
Carrie Waller (Alternate)	
Vacant (Alternate)	

OWNER/APPLICANT: Charles Shapiro

ADDRESS: 12905 VERONESE DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from Section 25-2-492 (Site Development Regulations) from Impervious Cover requirements to increase from 45% (maximum allowed) to 50% (requested), in order to construct a swimming pool to an existing Single-Family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA meeting April 12, 2021 cancelled; May 10, 2021; POSTPONED TO JUNE 14, 2021 DUE TO LACK OF BOARD MEMBERS/VOTES; JUNE 14, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to Postpone to July 12, 2021; Board Member Rahm McDaniel seconds on a 9-1 vote (Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell nay); POSTPONED TO JULY 12, 2021. July 12, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to Postpone to July 12, 2021; Board Member Rahm McDaniel seconds on a 9-1 vote (Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell nay); POSTPONED TO JULY 12, 2021. July 12, 2021 POSTPONED TO AUGUST 9, 2021

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Elaine Ramirez

Executive Liaison

Diana Ramirez for

Jessica Cohen

Chair

Advanced packet materials for:

- Charles Shapiro
- 12905 Veronese Dr, Austin, TX 78737
- C15-2021-0027

Variance Rationale

Hardship


Property

BoA guidebook '15 reference:


 Common examples of hardship include lots with steep slopes, topographical restraints, unusually small lot area, or irregular

The South->North and West->East slope of the property creates the hardship that is unique to the property.

South -> North Slope



West -> East Slope



Infliction

BoA guidebook '15 reference:

- Courts have held that: "[A] hardship must not be self-imposed, nor financial only, and must relate to the very property for

Purchase contract

The property was a fully spec'd home projected to finish by Summer of 2019, which drove the purchase decision (contract signed Feb 2019), with the primary goal of closing/settling in time for the kids to start school with no disruption (moving from Colorado over the summer and trying to avoid any school disruption from unneeded moves once settled in Austin).

As part of Lennar's business practise, they will not disclose any details around the landscaping plan (with the exception of landscaping upgrades, which are not applicable since this was a fully spec'd home already).

 Landscaping Disclosure and Warranty. The "Landscaping Disclosure and Warranty" Section of the Master Disclosure and Information Addendum is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Landscaping Disclosure and Warranty.

- 7.1 All grading, fill, removal of existing trees and shrubs and control of water flow will be performed and completed at Seller's and/or Builder' sole discretion. Seller's landscape package shall be limited to the features reflected in Seller's Feature Sheet, and shall be subject to the following.
- 7.2 Rough grading of the yard will conform to natural contours, the grading plan for the Community, and will direct flow of water away from the Home's foundation.
- 7.3 Final grade of Homesite will remove large surface rocks only.
- 7.4 Seller does not warrant any of the landscaping or any of the natural or existing trees and shrubs. Seller will not be responsible for water damage resulting from natural erosion or erosion caused by changes in grade by Buyer or any owner of the Home. Due to the fact that Seller cannot control the care of landscaping and ground cover installed at the Home, neither Seller nor Builder warrants such items. It will be important that Buyer carefully inspect all shrubs, trees and ground cover at the time of Buyer's New Home Orientation in order to insure satisfaction. Once Buyer has closed on the Home, it will be Buyer's responsibility to care for and to protect all such items from disease, acts of nature and other such damage.

Builder permitting/plans

Lennar's initial permit requested to build within 2 sq ft of the impervious cover limit. The permit did not disclose any landscaping plans to address property slope issues.

SQUARE FOOTAGES

SLAB: 3,285 COV'D PATIO: 318 PRIVATE DRIVEWAY: 821 TOTAL DRIVEWAY: 1,084 ENTRY WALK: 33 FLATWORK PATIO: N/A CITY WALK: 251 CITY WALK LINEAR: 80.5

A/C PAD: 32

TOTAL CONCRETE ON PROPERTY: 4,489

LOT: 9,982

IMPERVIOUS COVER

% OF SLAB: 32.9% % OF TOTAL CONCRETE: 44.9%


SOD QUANTITY AREA

FRONT: 1.706 REAR: 3,759

TREE LEGEND

1 - #1278 - 20" LIVE OAK

This plot plain is the determining factor in the City of Austria residential zering review process.



12905 VERONESE DRIVE

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE FROM RECORDED DATA. FINAL LOCATION SUBJECT TO SURVEY. 5 1/2" LEDGE INCLUDED IN ALL DIMENSIONS. Builder permit issues

Eventually, Lennar realized they could not landscape without some form of retaining wall work. However, the builder opted to not permit the front retaining wall work.

Based on the property history, this was never disclosed, nor verified by the City of Austin.

	Permit/Case	Reference File Name	Description	Sub Type / Work Type	Project Name	Status	Related Folder
1	2019- 215865 PP	2019- 215865 PP	Irrigation install	Residential / Irrigation	12905 VERONESE DR	Final	Yes
2	2018- 005756 PP	2018- 005756 PP	New 2-story single-family residence, attached garage, covered entry porch and patio. *PRODUCTION BUILDER*	R-101 Single Family Houses / New	12905 VERONESE DR	Final	<u>Yes</u>
3	2018- 005756 MP	2018- 005756 MP	New 2-story single-family residence, attached garage, covered entry porch and patio. *PRODUCTION BUILDER*	R- 101 Single Family Houses / New	12905 VERONESE DR	Final	Yes
4	2018- 005756 EP	2018- 005756 EP	New 2-story single-family residence, attached garage, covered entry porch and patio. *PRODUCTION BUILDER*	R-101 Single Family Houses / New	12905 VERONESE DR	Final	Yes
5	2018- 005756 DS	2018- 005756 DS	Construct 81 LF of new sidewalk and 18' driveway approach. Shall meet all city standards. **izz New 2- story single-family residence, attached garage, covered entry porch and patio. *PRODUCTION BUILDE	Res. Driveway & Sidewalk / New	12905 VERONESE DR	Final	Yes
6	2018- 101693 TP	2018- 101693 TP	2/13/19 DD Interim tree inspection failed, no tree protection in place. Mitigation owed. Mitigation to include root remediation and soil decompaction. Contact Douglas.Dear@austintexas.gov. Reinspecti	Residential /	12905 VERONESE DR *PRODUCTION BUILDER*	Final	Yes
7	2018- 005756 BP	2018- 005756 BP	New 2-story single-family residence, attached garage, covered entry porch and patio. *PRODUCTION BUILDER* 2/13/19 DD Preconstruction tree inspection failed, no tree protection in place. Contact Dougl	R- 101 Single Family Houses / New	12905 VERONESE DR	Final	Yes
8	2017- 155534 PR	2017- 155534 PR	New 2-story single-family residence, attached garage, covered entry porch and patio. *PRODUCTION BUILDER*	R-101 Single Family Houses / New	12905 VERONESE DR *PRODUCTION BUILDER*	Approved	Yes


Builder inflicted

Whether we bought the property or not, the property would have eventually been sold by Lennar. The problem started when Lennar attempted to build on a highly sloped property without any plans on addressing the issue while complying with impervious cover restrictions (e.g. no 4th car garage).

Lennar's policy around not disclosing landscaping plans to the buyer and also not disclosing the plans to the City on the initial permit (where impervious cover was calculated) ultimately created a property with use and safety issues.

Builder landscape end-state

The builders ended up implementing the following (just prior to closing without any buyer disclosure/input):




Rocks 18% S->North slope 12% W->East slope

E-1/10







Uniqueness


BoA guidebook '15 reference:

A hardship must be unique to the property, not general to the area where it's located.

 If steep slopes or small lots are common to a particular area, then neither condition is sufficiently unique to constitute a hardship by itself.

In the entire Circle C (~6400 homes), this is the only house with a 12' dropped garage, indicating the scale of the sloping issues that are unique to the property.

The closest property is roughly half the slope (6' dropped garage) and even then, those homes are very rare.



Reasonable Use

The landscaping completed by the builder limits basic safe access from the front of the house to the back of the house/property.

The builder dumped a sea of rocks on an 18% South->North slope and 12% West->East slope, which is the only traversal area from the front to back of the property for basic maintenance.

Examples:


- Moving a lawnmower from the garage to the backyard
- Moving a wheelbarrow from the backyard to the front for yard debris removal/collection

Without the ability to place a single retaining wall stone without violating impervious cover restrictions, the options to resolve these use/safety issues are limited.



Beyond maintenance, the areas for safe play on the property were also very limited by the builder.

This slope restricts the usable outdoor areas to a small portion of the backyard and the driveway itself (since the front yard was terraced 12' above street grade by the builder).




Area Character

Trex decking and 6x8" white limestone retaining walls are prevalent throughout the neighborhood, preserving the area's character.



Design Proposal

- Terrace the side of the house, flattening the slope, and replacing large sections of river rock with grass
- Construct a deck directly off of the back porch, which connects to the limestone terracing on the side of the house
- Introduce a roof rainwater harvesting system to offset the impacts of the deck (since the leveling could be achieved with retaining walls alone, which would have a smaller impact to the impervious cover request)



Neighbor support

The property is backed up to a water drainage corridor/greenbelt with dense foliage and \sim 70 meters of separation, so there are no backyard neighbors.





I, Charles Shapirs, am applying for a variance from the Board of Adjustment regarding Section 25-8-63 of the Land

Development Code. The variance would allow me the ability to build a pool with surrounding deck and

terrace backyand with line stone refaining walls.

By signing this form, I understand that I am declaring my support for the variance being requested.

Property Owner Name	Address	Signature
Peter Actory Jessian Klein	12901 VERONESE DR. 78739 AUSTIN, TX	Lel On
MAHESH SUBRAMONY AND MEGHATUR MACUR	12769 VEKENEST NR. 78719 AUSTINTK	dorh

CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet D-3

DATE	: Monday June 14, 2021	CASE NUMBER: C15-2021-0027
Y_	Thomas Ates	
Y_	Brooke Bailey	
Y	Jessica Cohen	
Y	Melissa Hawthorne	
N_	Don Leighton-Burwell	
Y	Rahm McDaniel	
Y	Darryl Pruett	
Y	Agustina Rodriguez	
	Michael Von Ohlen	
Y	Nicholl Wade	
	Vacant	
	Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
Y	Carrie Waller (Alternate)	
	Vacant (Alternate)	

OWNER/APPLICANT: Charles Shapiro

ADDRESS: 12905 VERONESE DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from Section 25-2-492 (Site Development Regulations) from Impervious Cover requirements to increase from 45% (maximum allowed) to 50% (requested), in order to construct a swimming pool to an existing Single-Family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA meeting April 12, 2021 cancelled; May 10, 2021; POSTPONED TO JUNE 14, 2021 DUE TO LACK OF BOARD MEMBERS/VOTES; JUNE 14, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to Postpone to July 12, 2021; Board Member Rahm McDaniel seconds on a 9-1 vote (Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell nay); POSTPONED TO JULY 12, 2021. July 12, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to Postpone to July 12, 2021; Board Member Rahm McDaniel seconds on a 9-1 vote (Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell nay); POSTPONED TO JULY 12, 2021.

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Plame Ramirez

Executive Liaison

Diana Ramirez for

Jessica Cohen

Chairman

Ramirez, Elaine

From: Charles Shapiro

Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2021 8:47 AM

To: Ramirez, Elaine

Subject: Requesting BOA postponement for C15-2021-0027

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Hi Elaine,

My family had a long planned trip for Rocky Mountain National Forest, which conflicts with the July 12th meeting.

Wifi/cell service is questionable in that region.

Based on this, can I request a postponement to the following month?

Thanks much,

Charles

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet D-1

DATE	: Monday May 10, 2021	CASE NUMBER: C15-2021-0027
-	Thomas Ates	
Y	Brooke Bailey	
Y	Jessica Cohen	
Y_	Melissa Hawthorne	
\overline{Y}	Don Leighton-Burwell	
Y	Rahm McDaniel	
Y_	Darryl Pruett	
	Agustina Rodriguez	
Y	Michael Von Ohlen	
Y	Nicholl Wade	
-	Vacant	
	Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
-	Carrie Waller (Alternate)	
	Vacant (Alternate)	
	ER/APPLICANT: Charles Shapiro	
ADDR	ESS: 12905 VERONESE DR	

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from Section 25-2-492 (Site Development Regulations) from Impervious Cover requirements to increase from 45% (maximum allowed) to 50% (requested), in order to construct a swimming pool to an existing Single-Family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA meeting APRIL 12, 2021 cancelled due to technical problems with notification database; May 10, 2021 POSTPONED TO JUNE 14, 2021 DUE TO LACK OF BOARD MEMBERS/VOTES

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Elame Ramirez

Executive Liaison

Diana Ramirez for
Don Leighton-Burwell
Chairman

BOA GENERAL REVIEW COVERSHEET

CASE: C15-2021-0027 **BOA DATE**: April 12th, 2021

ADDRESS: 12905 Veronese Dr **COUNCIL DISTRICT**: 8

OWNER: Charles Shapiro AGENT: N/A

ZONING: I-SF-2

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: AVANA PH TWO SEC TWO, BLOCK E, Lot 14, ACRES 0.2292

VARIANCE REQUEST: increase impervious cover requirements from 45% to 50%

SUMMARY: erect a swimming pool

ISSUES: slope of backyard

	ZONING	LAND USES	
Site	I-SF-2	Single-Family	
North	I-SF-2	Single-Family	
South	I-SF-2	Single-Family	
East	I-SF-2	Single-Family	
West	I-SF-2	Single-Family	

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Bike Austin

Circle C Homeowners Assn.

Circle C Neighborhood Assn.

Friends of Austin Neighborhoods

Neighborhood Empowerment Foundation

Save Our Springs Alliance

Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group



March 30, 2021

Charles Shapiro 12905 Veronese Dr Austin TX, 78652

Property Description:

Re: C15-2021-0027

Dear Charles,

Austin Energy (AE) has reviewed your application for the above referenced property, requesting that the Board of Adjustment consider a variance(s) from the following sections of the Land Development Code;


Section 25-2-492 (Site Development Regulations) from Impervious Cover requirements to increase from 45% (maximum allowed) to 50% (requested), in order to construct a swimming pool to an existing Single-Family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.


Austin Energy does not have any comments for the above variance request. The address above is outside our service boundary and is served by Pedernales Electric Cooperative. I would encourage contacting your provider, to ensure that your proposed pool will meet the required electric clearances from your existing service.

Thank you,

Eben Kellogg, Property Agent

Austin Energy Public Involvement | Real Estate Services 2500 Montopolis Drive Austin, TX 78741 (512) 322-6050





NOTIFICATIONS

CASE#: C15-2021-0027 LOCATION: 12905 VERONESE DRIVE

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.



Board of Adjustment General/Parking Variance Application

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, <u>click here to Save</u> the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal. *If more space is required, please complete Section 6 as needed.* All information is required (if applicable). For Office Use Only

Street Address: 12905 Veronese Dr, Austin TX, 78739 Subdivision Legal Description: AVANA PH TWO SEC TWO, BLOCK E, Lot 14, ACRES 0.2292 Lot(s): 14 Block(s): E Outlot: 2 Division: Phase 2, Avana Zoning District: I-SF-2 I/We Charles Shapiro on behalf of myself/ourselves authorized agent for Charles & Jennifer Shapiro affirm that Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below): © Erect O Attach O Complete O Remodel O Maintain O Other:	Case #	ROW #	17	- 7	Tax #		
Subdivision Legal Description: AVANA PH TWO SEC TWO, BLOCK E, Lot 14, ACRES 0.2292 Lot(s): 14 Block(s): E Outlot: 2 Division: Phase 2, Avana Zoning District: I-SF-2 I/We Charles Shapiro on behalf of myself/ourselves authorized agent for Charles & Jennifer Shapiro affirm that Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):	Section 1: Appli	icant Stateme	nt 🔻				
AVANA PH TWO SEC TWO, BLOCK E, Lot 14, ACRES 0.2292 Lot(s): 14	Street Address: 12905	Veronese Dr, Aus	stin TX, 78	739			
Outlot: 2 Division: Phase 2, Avana Zoning District: I-SF-2 I/We Charles Shapiro on behalf of myself/ourselves authorized agent for Charles & Jennifer Shapiro affirm that Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):			E, Lot 14	, ACRE	S 0.2292		
Zoning District: I-SF-2 I/We Charles Shapiro on behalf of myself/ourselves authorized agent for Charles & Jennifer Shapiro affirm that Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):	Lot(s): 14			Bloc	k(s): <u>E</u>		
I/We Charles Shapiro on behalf of myself/ourselves authorized agent for Charles & Jennifer Shapiro affirm that Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):	Outlot: 2			Divis	sion: Phase 2,	Avana	- F
I/We Charles Shapiro on behalf of myself/ourselves authorized agent for Charles & Jennifer Shapiro affirm that Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):	Zoning District: I-SF-2						-1
Month February , Day 8 , Year 2021 , hereby apply for a hearing before Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):	I/We Charles Shapiro			3	on be	ehalf of myse	elf/ourselves as
Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below):							
	Board of Adjustmen ● Erect	t for consideration h OComplete	to (select	approp odel	riate option bel ○ Maintain	ow): Other:	

Portion of the City of Austin Land Development Code applicant is seeking a variance from:

I am requesting a variance from the Land Development Code section 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations for a I-SF-2 residential property for a Maximum Impervious Cover increase from 45% (required) to 50% (requested) for 2 years.

Section 2: Variance Findings

The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.

NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.

I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:

HORS.				
R	AAS	ona	hle	Use

In traversal (especially on the rock sections done by the builder) and limiting any type of general play/usage in the space. Ship The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Againusing steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	THE HISTORIV OF IT	ne backyard and side yard is on a steep 18% slope, presenting a safety
general play/usage in the space. Iship The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Agai using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Againusing steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	Harari Andrews September 1 September 1 Application of the 150	
The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	=	
The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the neighborhood.		
The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: 18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
18% backyard and side yard slopes The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the) The hardship for	or which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	18% backyard an	nd side yard slopes
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	. And the second of the second	
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
Using required steps to first-floor as a proxy for measuring slope, there are no other properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the) The hardship is	s not general to the area in which the property is located because:
properties in the entire neighborhood that have a 21 stair ascent going up 12 feet. Again using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	Haina un un accionad at	tour to first floor on a new for managemine alone there are no other
using steps as a proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the		
approximately half (11-12 steps) and even then, these properties are fairly rare in the	properties in the	
	March and the state of the stat	
neighborhood.		proxy for measuring rough slope, the closest properties are
	using steps as a	


Area Character


The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

	erracing with white limestone retaining walls and pools with decking are common in the
ne	ighborhood. This is also how the builder completed the front yard of the property. The
	ownslope neighbor benefits with less water runoff (improved capture from terracing) and
inc	creased privacy (since the current slope allows unobstructured views to their property).
ue ria en	g (additional criteria for parking variances only) st for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant nce to a regulation prescribed in the City of Austin Land Development Code Chapter 25-6, dix A with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:
	Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonably require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:
10000	
2.	The granting of this variance will not result in the parking or loading of vehicles on public streets in such a manner as to interfere with the free flow of traffic of the streets because:
 3.	The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsiste
	with the objectives of this Ordinance because:
_	
4.	The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run with the site because:
-	

Section 3: Applicant Certificate

I affirm that my statements contained in the complete app my knowledge and belief.	olication are true and	I correct to the best of
Applicant Signature:		Date: <u>02/08/2021</u>
Applicant Name (typed or printed): Charles Shapiro		- E
Applicant Mailing Address: 12905 Veronese Dr	190	
City: Austin	State: TX	Zip: 78739
Phone (will be public information): (210) 865-7721		8 1
Email (optional – will be public information):		
Section 4: Owner Certificate		
I affirm that my statements contained in the complete app my knowledge and belief	olication are true and	I correct to the best of
Owner Signature:		Date: <u>02/08/2021</u>
Owner Name (typed or printed): Charles Shapiro		
Owner Mailing Address: 12905 Veronese Dr		
City: Austin	State: TX	Zip: 78739
Phone (will be public information): (210) 865-7721		
Email (optional – will be public information):		
Section 5: Agent Information		
Agent Name:		
Agent Mailing Address:		2 100
City:	State:	Zip:
Phone (will be public information):		
Email (optional – will be public information):		
Section 6: Additional Space (if applicable		
Please use the space below to provide additional informa referenced to the proper item, include the Section and Fig.	eld names as well (c	ontinued on next page).





Left - 12' (21 steps) from bottom of garage floor to house level grade (10' basketball for scale). Closest house we can find with the same elevation change in the neighborhood is 12 steps, so roughly half and there are only 2 of those houses in the entire neighborhood.


Right - Example of terracing with limestone retaining walls (plans will match this general style).



Backyard slope from back porch down (no terracing, so straight downhill with strong runoff during any rain).





Backyard slope from fence line.




E-1/36 Lower PLANTER BED COUSTANTE GALLE GATE WITH DENSE BUSH/SCHRUBS 0 O FLATTENED BAK FENCE שונע מבים Janos Sping 10 offset 709 d 5 SPA WETH TERARING
ADDED UNDERNEATH To IMPROVE MATER

FUHTTEWED







1/411 = ~11.33' E-1/40 7 12905 Vernese Dr. lover hed 31 high - 5' from lower bed to force provides no privary for neighbor

shrubs for better neighbor privacy





I, Charles Shapiro, am applying for a variance from the Board of Adjustment regarding Section 25-8-63 of the Land
Development Code. The variance would allow me the ability to build a pool with surrounding deck and
terrace backyard with lime stone retaining walls.
By signing this form. I understand that I am declaring my support for the variance being requested.

Property Owner Name	Address	Signature
Peter Actory Jessian Klein	12901 VERONESE DR. 78739 AUSTIN, TX	Lea M
MAHESH SUBRAMONY AND MEGHANNI MACUK	12969 VEKENEST DR. 78729 AUSTINTX	dorh