

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

May 20, 1965  
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Absent: None

Present also: James Wilson, Acting City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND LOYCE ESTES, First Cumberland Presbyterian Church.

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

MRS. BLANCHE BASTIAN	Tract 1	From "BB" Residence 2nd
By Glenn Cater	2206 Swisher Street	Height & Area
HAL STARKEY	Tract 2	To "B" Residence 2nd
	2208-2210 Swisher Street	Height & Area
	805-807 East 23rd Street	RECOMMENDED by the
	Additional Area	Planning Commission
	2204 Swisher Street	

Councilman LaRue moved that the change to "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

May 20, 1965

BROADWAY OIL CO.  
By A. E. Crause

1200-1202 Koenig Lane  
5900-5902 Grover Avenue

From "LR" Local Retail  
To "C" Commercial  
Amended to "GR" General  
Retail  
RECOMMENDED "GR" General  
Retail by the Planning  
Commission

Councilman Long moved that the change to "GR" General Retail be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

TERRELL TIMMERMAN  
By Rogan Giles

100 West North Loop  
Boulevard

From "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Rogan Giles, representing the applicant, stated he filed a written form dedicating 10' of right of way. Councilman Long moved that the change to "GR" General Retail be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

MRS. SELMA H. ALBERS  
By Jay Sewell

2131 East 1st Street  
98-98 $\frac{1}{2}$  Canadian Street

From "C" Commercial 2nd  
Height & Area  
To "C-1" Commercial 2nd  
Height & Area  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Jay Sewell said he had a general merchandise store; and after a survey he found 78% of his customers favored his selling beer rather than their having to go three or four blocks farther to purchase it. In answer to Mayor Palmer's question concerning the distance from Metz School, Mr. Albers replied he had a representative from the State Liquor Control Board to measure the distance from both the Church and the School. The opposition expressed by Mrs. Braswell before the Commission was based on drinking parties being held on the parking lot in the rear of her tenants' barber and beauty shop, after closing hours, and the beer cans and bottles were left on the parking lot. The problem already exists there, and his operation would not make it any worse. Councilman

LaRue called attention to the fact that MR. E. R. MALONE, principal of the School, said the School crossing was in a terrible condition. Councilman LaRue moved that the Council sustain the Planning Commission and grant the change. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial 2nd Height & Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

JACK FALCONE &  
LOLA H. BIERBOWER  
By L. C. Reese

91½-93 Red River Street  
Additional Area  
93½ Red River Street  
601-605 Driskill Street

From "A" Residence  
To "C" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission  
except for 91½ Red  
River on which the  
acceptance of with-  
drawal is recommended

After explanation by the Chief of Plan Administration and MR. L. C. REESE, Councilman Long moved that the Council permit the withdrawal of the Falcone property at 91½ Red River Street. (Lot 7, Block 3) The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Long moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the Planning Commission and grant "C" Commercial for 93, 93½ Red River Street and 601-605 Driskill Street. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial for 93, 93½ Red River Street and 601-605 Driskill Street and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

J. U. & MARY P.  
HURST

710-712 West 14th St.  
1401-1405 West Avenue

From "A" Residence 1st  
Height & Area  
To "O" Office 2nd  
Height & Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission  
RECOMMENDED "O" Office  
1st Height & Area

Mr. Jack Hurst represented the applicants stating they were requesting

2nd Height and Area as everything east of this property is "O" Office 2nd Height and Area. The east half is zoned 2nd Height and Area, and they had acquired the property immediately adjoining this tract, extending from Rio Grande to West Avenue, and he was asking for 2nd Height and Area. The Chief of Plan Administration explained the Commission was trying to keep the density down on West Avenue. Councilman Long moved that the change to "O" Office 2nd Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

WALTER BOHN  
By Tom Long

5934-5936 Westminister Dr.  
5903-5909 Berkman Drive

From "GR" General Retail  
6th Height & Area  
To "C-2" Commercial 6th  
Height & Area  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

MR. TOM LONG represented the applicant stating this was a request for zoning 60' x 126' in the middle of the 14 acre Windsor Park Shopping Center. The store adjoining no other building, will be located on the little island surrounded by paved parking areas. The hours of operation are compatible to other businesses; the applicant will be in compliance with all State laws, requirements of the Liquor Control Board, and rules and regulations of the Zoning Ordinance and general policy of the Council. Practically none of the opposition lives within the 200' because the site is in the middle of the tremendous wide parking area within the Shopping Center. The Planning Commission however, sent notices to people beyond the required distances. Mr. Long stated the application was in proper order and should be allowed. This proposal received extremely effective militant, vocal and active opposition, which has been organized and directed by Major Adams. Mr. Long said they did not propose a tavern or lounge. He discussed the liquor store in connection with the elementary school, stating the store could not be seen from the school; another store selling beer for off-premise consumption is closer to the school than this location, and this store, in addition, sells items attractive to children. He discussed the arguments about morals, decay of the community, the proximity of the Library station, and the location of the Churches. Mr. Long stated there were no moral or legal issues involved. He also discussed the neutral stand the filling stations had taken, and the "boycott" they were experiencing. Mr. Long said this application was in compliance with all laws, City procedure and policy and should be passed upon and not passed upon a highly emotional charge that the proposition is a moral issue.

MR. DEAN DAVIS, was the main spokesman for the opposition, introduced MRS. R. L. BACON, representing the P.T.A.'s, and Cub Scouts, and speaking on the parents attitude about this situation. Mrs. Bacon had petitions from Pearce Junior High, Pecan Springs, and Annie Webb Blanton Schools; also resolutions from Harris School and Fannie Andrews School. She said this was a neighborhood shopping center; but if an undesirable aspect of a liquor store, or a lounge

which might come in later, is allowed then there would not be the same feeling existing of their children's going to the drug store and the Library of which they are very proud. The various groups mentioned oppose this change.

MR. DAVIS introduced REVEREND DEAN WOODRUFF, Minister of the Windsor Park Presbyterian Church, which is 137' from the Liquor Store; but which measures 327' by the legal measurements. REVEREND WOODRUFF, speaking for the governing body of the Windsor Park Presbyterian Church, said their argument was not on a "moral" issue, but on a question of legality. They were of the opinion consideration should be given to the desires of residents in the areas, when considering a zoning change that is so vital. These residents built their homes in the present zoning that has been established for the last 10 years. Under the zoning laws, this area has been established as a residential and child oriented neighborhood. "C-2" Commercial would permit a lounge if a future owner so desired. This zoning would not be conducive to a child oriented center. Their Church includes pre-school care and day care on Sunday. He asked that the zoning be disallowed.

MR. DAVIS introduced REVEREND MILTON DARE, Pastor, Memorial Methodist Church, with over 1,000 members and in the top 25 churches of Methodism in the southeastern part of Texas. REVEREND DARE stated the Methodist Church is firmly committed against the sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages, but this is not the issue that faces those in the community. They were not basing their opposition on a moral charge, but the whole community was working together to build the kind of a community they wanted. To make an analogy, he pointed out that motor boats were outlaws on Town Lake to a thing of beauty--sail boats. The outboard industry was not pleased. The intoxicating beverage industries will feel hurt if they do not get to build this liquor store. He said liquor could be ordered and it would be delivered free of charge; there were ample sources where it could be purchased, and it was not reasonable to add an additional service here. He asked that this zone not be granted.

MR. DAVIS introduced MR. REX WIER, Associate Professor of Speech. Mr. Wier submitted petitions representing over 1100 people both homeowners or people renting, opposing this change of zoning.

MR. DAVIS introduced MAJOR ADAMS, a most dedicated man in the development of northeast Austin. MAJOR ADAMS submitted a petition signed by every business owner or manager in the Windsor Park Shopping Center with the exception of one, whose company had a policy of not becoming involved in civic affairs. The service station operators now have their signatures on these petitions. Mr. Davis reviewed the hearings before the Zoning Commission, stating the vote was 5 to 0 in favor of the change. The people had no representation. They appeared before the Planning Commission, and the vote was three to three. After the people left, one man changed his vote, and the application was recommended by a four to two vote. The reason given by the gentleman that changed his vote was that the proposed liquor store was in the middle of the shopping center. The liquor store is 87' from one corner; and from one side to the curb is 484.6'. The citizens left that night thinking they had won, as it would take a majority vote to recommend a change of zoning. The next morning they found they had lost, and they do not feel they had a fair hearing. Mr. Davis conceded that by following the Liquor Control Board measurements, there was a distance of over 300' between the Church and Liquor Store. He said the Council was not bound by the Liquor Control Board measurements, because of the City's own zoning ordinance provisions. He read State statutes; and from the city's zoning ordinance; "there shall be held to be the minimum requirements for the promotion of public safety, health,

convenience, comfort, prosperity and general welfare of the community...."

MR. DAVIS discussed the site, stating from the proposed building to the playground of the school there are 270'; 137' to the property line of the church; 185' to the Library. He asked the Council to consider the character of the community where there are churches, school, and a public library with 300' from this proposed liquor store, or maybe a tavern. He said the Council placed its stamp of approval on this area as a residential neighborhood and established Harris School and a Library Branch there, and the people are proud of this Library, which is the second most active in the circulation of books in the City; having 113,999 volumes circulating out of this one little branch office, and 78% of those using the library are children. The churches have appeared and over 1100 residents in northeast Austin have signed petitions in opposition to this zoning. Out of 32 people who were sent notices, 29 have signed the petitions. Legislation providing that liquor stores close at 8:00 P.M. did not pass, and the stores can stay open as long as they desire. Mr. Davis discussed the traffic congestion at a five way corner 187' from the liquor store. It appears scientific zoning means buying 14 acres, establishing a shopping center, and leaving an open space in the center; and after the community develops and everybody is moved in, then applying for "C-2" Commercial.

MR. LONG also asked the Council to go out to Windsor Park Village around 3:30 - 4:30 and observe what influence this proposal would have on traffic, depreciation of property or school children. All that is involved is a 60' strip.

Councilman LaRue moved that MR. JACKSON be heard. The motion was seconded by Councilman Shanks. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MR. JACKSON, operator of a service station, stated he had not been coerced to sign a petition; that he had requested some petitions; and no one had mentioned that his place of business would be boycotted. Now the center is clean, but most of the time there are beer and whiskey bottles thrown in the shopping area. He resented the statements made against the leaders of the opposition.

Mrs. Bacon said next year there would be a large high school in this area.

Mayor Palmer said the Council would look at this property, and give this request a lot of consideration, and would let the people know at the earliest possible moment. The Mayor asked the Chief of Plan Administration to get traffic counts on Westminster Drive. The Council deferred action.

- - - - -

SAFEWAY STORES,  
INC., By Bryant  
Curington, Inc.

Tract 1  
2314-2404 Wheless Lane

From Interim "A" Residence 1st Height & Area  
To "GR" General Retail 1st Height & Area

Tract 2  
2406 Wheless Lane

From Interim "A" Residence 1st Height & Area  
To "B" Residence 1st Height & Area

SAFEWAY STORES, INC.  
(Continued)

NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. THOMAS WATTS, Bryant Curington, Inc., Engineers, represented the applicant, stating this location on the thoroughfare, Wheless Lane, was planned to be a commercial development. The tract was divided into three portions that would lend itself to a commercial community center. Before this was brought into a subdivision, Royal Oaks, Section 8, there was a zoning change on Block C, about two years ago, and this commercial area has been enlarged by one-third farther east. The tract does not lend itself to residential because it is shallow in depth, and there is a high pressure gas main over which no building could be constructed. SAFEWAY STORES INC., purchased this tract several months ago, and they have a plot plan showing their proposed development. They want to extend to the east and want "GR" General Retail zoning as the rest of their area is "GR" General Retail. They are providing a buffer zone prohibiting any building to protect the residence adjoining this tract. The buffer will be only a driveway to the rear of the store, as adequate parking is provided in front. Councilman Long suggested some type of screening should be required, and asked if Safeway would have some plans for flowers and decorations along with some architectural design of their buildings. Mr. Watts said this was the first time he had known of Safeway developing a community center, and they want to extend the zoning to cover their entire tract. MR. LEONARD LAWTON, represented himself and 10-15 different families in the area who are infuriated because they failed to get notices and he asked that this application be postponed until they could get an attorney. At no time before were they advised or notified of any change of zoning, and they were told when they purchased their home there was no commercial property in the area. MR. CHARLES RICHARDS representing MR. ZANE HARRIS and MR. CARL EVERETTS on Lockwood Cove, both asked for postponement, since no one received notices. The Mayor explained that proper publications were made, and notices were sent to those who owned property within 300' on January 1st. Mrs. Pat Linebarger, 2403 Devonshire, objected to the zoning as the store would be in back of her house, and there would always be cans and debris. Councilman Shanks pointed out the store could be constructed there now under its present zoning, but they are asking for an extension to the east. Mrs. Linebarger asked the procedure for changing the existing zoning. The City Attorney explained the procedure to be followed in petitioning for a roll-back in zoning. MRS. BARBARA GERSCH, 2404 Devonshire Drive, opposed the zoning as it would damage their property. Mr. Watts stated the streets were designed carefully with the Planning Department and established as 70' right of way, Mr. Lawton asked for 15 day postponement. Councilman Long suggested that this not be acted upon for two weeks. Councilman LaRue wanted to go look at the properties and take it under advisement. Mayor Palmer announced the Council would go look at the property, and it may take two weeks before they could decide on this request.

- - - - -

ESTATE OF W. H.  
KLUNKERT  
By Harold G. Robinson  
JOE J. JOSEPH  
By Richard Baker

1619-1621 West 6th Street  
507-511 Campbell Street

From "B" Residence 2nd  
Height & Area  
To "LR" Local Retail  
2nd Height & Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Richard Baker represented the applicants, stating the corner lot is operating as a day nursery, and the rental property next to it is in an estate

that is being liquidated. The gentleman who is purchasing the land wants to use it as an interior decorating and gift shop. He described the other zoning in the immediate neighborhood--commercial across the street, a huge apartment project, and the Confederate Home. The site could be used now for a multi-family apartment project. "GR" General Retail would not cause more congestion and would be a less density zoning than the present zoning. Opposition was expressed by MR. HOMER JOHNSON, stating residential zoning extends one-fourth of a mile each way, and the applicant is trying to put commercial in the middle, and he opposed that as his residence would be blocked off and isolated. He did not object to the operation of the nursery. Mrs. P. J. McDonald, representing herself, Mrs. Eilers, and Mrs. Shropshire, 1600 block of West 6th Street, said the traffic out there was terrible now; and when the 200 housing unit is completed for the University, the traffic will become much worse. An apartment could not be placed on this site because there would not be sufficient off-street parking. Margaret Robertson, and others expressed opposition to any change here due to traffic conditions already existing. Members of the Council wanted to go look at this property and the area, and deferred action.

- - - - -

TOM GUEDEA

1405 (1417) South 1st Street  
506 West Elizabeth StreetFrom "C-1" Commercial  
2nd Height & Area  
To "C-2" Commercial  
2nd Height & Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. GUEDEA represented himself stating he was now selling beer to go, and he wants to sell bottled beer, as his customers could not afford to buy the six-pack. That is why he was asking for "C-2" Commercial but he did not want to open a tavern. There is a place three or four blocks from him that sells beer by the bottle. The Chief of Plan Administration said this was a lounge. The Council wanted to go look at this location, and deferred action.

- - - - -

L. J. RASCO

1215 West Oltorf Street  
2400 Havenside DriveFrom "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

The applicant did not appear. Opposition was expressed by Mr. Joe Machae, 1201 West Oltorf, who filed a petition with 29 signatures opposing this change, and filed some letters making protest. Mrs. Doyle Brizendine, 1210 West Oltorf, protested the change, as traffic was a problem now on Oltorf. Councilman LaRue moved that the Council uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission and DENY the application. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been **DENIED**.

- - - - -



LEROY BEDNAR  
By Travis Eckert

3203-3207 Shoalcreek  
Boulevard

From "B" Residence 1st  
Height & Area  
To "B" Residence 2nd  
Height & Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. HOUGHTON BROWNLEE, JR., represented Mr. Warren Freund, Jr. in opposition. Mr. Chester Brooks had no opposition to the apartment development, but did not want the alleyway opened, and he understood there was a petition being circulated to vacate the alley. He plans to build 14 duplexes and the doors would be right on the alley if opened. Mr. Freund represented his father and uncle who live next door to this location. After discussion, Councilman White moved that the Council sustain the Planning Commission recommendation and DENY the change of zoning. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

- - - - -

MARIE B. MARTIN,  
ET AL

619-623 West 38th Street  
3708 Ronson Street  
Additional Area  
613-617 West 38th Street  
3709 Ronson Street

From "A" Residence  
To "C" Commercial  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

No one appeared. Councilman Long moved that the Council sustain the recommendation of the Planning Commission and DENY the change of zoning. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Not in Council when roll was called: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

The Council recessed until 3:00 P.M.

#### RECESSED MEETING

3:00 P.M.

At 3:00 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Minutes of May 6, 1965, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING TO PUBLIC TRAVEL A PORTION OF A STREET, LOCALLY KNOWN AS APACHE PASS, WHICH EXTENDS FROM THE WEST LINE OF TEJAS TRAIL IN A NORTHWESTERLY DIRECTION 120.00 FEET TO THE WEST BOUNDARY LINE OF WESTERN TRAILS, SECTION 6, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; RETAINING AN EASEMENT IN THE CITY FOR PUBLIC UTILITY PURPOSES; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING TO PUBLIC TRAVEL THAT CERTAIN ALLEY EXTENDING FROM THE NORTH LINE OF WEST 14TH STREET IN A NORTHERLY DIRECTION TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST 15TH STREET, LOCALLY KNOWN AS COLORADO STREET ALLEY; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement was granted to the City of Austin for public utility purposes in, upon and across a part of South Lund Park, Section 1, a subdivision of portions of the Isaac Decker League and the Henry P. Hill League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map of South Lund Park, Section 1, of record in Book 6 at page 63 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of the above described property have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described portion of said easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described portion of said easement is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described public utility easement, to-wit:

Two (2) strips of land, each of the said two (2) strips of land being five (5.00) feet in width, and each being out of and a part of South Lund Park, Section 1, a subdivision of portions of the Isaac Decker League and the Henry P. Hill League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said South Lund Park, Section 1, of record in Book 6 at Page 63 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; the strip of land hereinafter described as Number One being out of and a part of Lot 8, Block G, said South Lund Park, Section 1, and the strip of land hereinafter described as Number Two being out of and a part of Lot 6, Block I, said South Lund Park, Section 1; each of the said two (2) strips of land being more particularly described as follows:

NUMBER ONE: BEGINNING at the intersection of the east line of Lund Street and a line two and one-half (2.50) feet south of and parallel to the north line of said Lot 8, Block G, same being the south line of said Lot 6,

Eight (8) strips of land, each of the said eight (8) strips of land being three (3.00) feet in width; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 1 being out of and a part of Lot 5, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 2 being out of and a part of Lot 6, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 3 being out of and a part of Lot 7, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 4 being out of and a part of Lot 8, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 5 being out of and a part of Lot 9, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 6 being out of and a part of Lot 10, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 7 being out of and a part of Lot 11, Block B, Plainview Heights; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 8 being out of and a part of Lot 12, Block B, Plainview Heights; said Plainview Heights being a subdivision of a portion of Outlots 20 and 21, Division C, of the Government Outlots adjoining the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Government Outlots on file in the General Land Office of the State of Texas; a map or plat of said Plainview Heights being of record in Book 4 at Page 128 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; each of the said eight (8) strips of land three (3.00) feet in width being more particularly described as follows:

NO. 1 BEING all of the south three (3.00) feet of Lot 5, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 2 BEING all of the south three (3.00) feet of Lot 6, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 3 BEING all of the south three (3.00) feet of Lot 7, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 4 BEING all of the south three (3.00) feet of Lot 8, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 5 BEING all of the north three (3.00) feet of Lot 9, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 6 BEING all of the north three (3.00) feet of Lot 10, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 7 BEING all of the north three (3.00) feet of Lot 11, Block B, Plainview Heights.

NO. 8 BEING all of the north three (3.00) feet of Lot 12, Block B, Plainview Heights.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, by instrument dated May 21, 1914, of record in Volume 267 at Page 292 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, a certain easement for sanitary sewer purposes was granted to the City of Austin, out of and a part of an eight (8) acre tract of land, more or less, out of the Isaac Decker League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, which certain eight (8) acre tract of land, more or less, was conveyed to Mrs. Martha Martin by deed dated May, 1906, of record in Volume 209 at Page 163 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owner of the above described property has requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described portion of said easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described easement is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described sanitary sewer easement, to-wit:

That certain sanitary sewer easement as described in an instrument dated May 21, 1914, of record in Volume 267 at Page 292 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which certain sanitary sewer easement is out of and a part of that certain eight (8) acre tract of land, more or less, out of and a part of the Isaac Decker League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, which certain eight (8) acre tract of land, more or less, was conveyed to Mrs. Martha Martin by deed dated May, 1906, of record in Volume 209 at Page 163 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; the centerline of which certain sanitary sewer being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the north line of East Riverside Drive, same being in the south line of the said Mrs. Martha Martin tract of land, and which point of beginning lies 2,165 feet, more or less, east of the centerline of South Congress Avenue;

THENCE, in a northeasterly direction, toward the foot of East Avenue, to point of termination in the north or east property line of the said Mrs. Martha Martin tract of land.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Assistant City Manager said this easement was needed prior to the installation of the South Austin Outfall. There was no cost to the City for this easement.

The Assistant City Manager displayed a plat showing the South District Park, and the realignment or widening of Manchaca Road which is involved in the west side of the park. The authorization today is the dedication of the street for right of way purposes. There is a request of the telephone company to install a cable; and before this is installed, it would be well to widen the street to a 90' right of way. The schools have been contacted, and he hoped to hear from them this next week, so they can ask for dedication of the strip from their property. Councilman Long inquired about the paving. The Director of Public Works stated Manchaca Road was set for paving for next year.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the following described tract of land owned by the City of Austin, a municipal corporation situated in Travis County, Texas, be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated for use as a public street and thoroughfare in the City of Austin, said tract being described as follows:

1.25 acres of land, same being out of and a part of that certain tract of land partly out of the Theodore Bissel League and partly out of the Wm. Cannon League in Travis County, Texas, which was conveyed to the City of Austin, a municipal corporation in Travis County, Texas, by warranty deed dated June 9, 1961 of record in Volume 2313 at Page 133 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; said 1.25 acres of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a steel pin at the intersection of the south line of said City of Austin tract of land with the proposed east line of Manchaca Road, which steel pin is at the southeast corner of the herein described tract of land, same being the northwest corner of Lot 1, Block A, Cherry Creek, a subdivision of record in Book 23, at Page 19 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas;

THENCE, with said south line of said City of Austin tract of land, North 60° 14' West 28.41 feet to a steel pin at the southwest corner of said City of Austin tract of land, same being the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land, and which steel pin is on the present east line of Manchaca Road;

THENCE, with the west line of said City of Austin tract of land, same being said present east line of Manchaca Road, North 28° 05' East 464.30 feet to a steel pin at the point of curvature of a curve whose angle of intersection is 35° 19', whose radius is 383.24 feet and whose tangent distance is 122.00 feet;

THENCE, along said curve to the right an arc distance of 236.23 feet, the long chord of which arc bears North 45° 44' East 232.50 feet to a steel pin at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with said west line of said City of Austin tract of land, same being said present east line of Manchaca Road, North 63° 24' East 181.68 feet to a steel pin at the southwest corner of that certain 40.00 acre tract of land conveyed to the Austin Independent School District by warranty deed dated June 28, 1962, of record in Volume 2509 at Page 39 of the Deed

Records of Travis County, Texas, for the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the south line of said Austin Independent School District tract of land, South  $60^{\circ} 26'$  East 50.20 feet to a square bolt on the proposed curving east line of Manchaca Road, said curve having an angle of intersection of  $33^{\circ} 45'$ , a radius of 1,865.03 feet and a tangent distance of 565.75 feet, said square bolt being at the northeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, along said curve to the left an arc distance of 811.60 feet, the chord of which arc bears South  $42^{\circ} 11'$  West 805.21 feet to a steel pin at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with said proposed east line of Manchaca Road, South  $29^{\circ} 43'$  West 52.68 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Assistant City Manager explained they were trying to straighten out the north curb of Reinli Street to obtain a 64' right of way. He stated Mr. W.T. Ward and the Law Department worked out this three-way trade. MR. KENNETH DAVIS is dedicating 4' on the north side of Reinli Street. The Director of Public Works said a 15' right of way was acquired from Mr. Davis, but the widening required 19'. The City acquired from the Church of Jesus Christ Latter Day Saints some property which was conveyed to Mr. Davis for the 4' on Reinli Street; and in turn the City conveyed a strip off the west side of City property to the Church. The City Attorney said no compensation is to be paid to anyone as it was an equal trade of land, and the City is to obtain a wider street.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a deed on behalf of the City of Austin, conveying to the Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, a Utah Corporation Sole, the following described property, to-wit:

1652 square feet of land, same being out of and a part of that certain portion of Lot 27, Duval Heights, a subdivision of a portion of the James P. Wallace Survey Number 57 in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Duval Heights of record in Book 2 at page 189 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; which certain portion of Lot 27, Duval Heights, was conveyed, together with other property, to the City of Austin, a municipal corporation by warranty deed dated January 13, 1964, of record in Volume 2720 at page 315 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; said 1652 square feet of land being more particularly described

by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at the intersection of the proposed south line of Clayton Lane and the west line of the said City of Austin tract of land, which point of beginning is the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said proposed south line of Clayton Lane, S 59° 38' E 4.65 feet to a point in a line 4.65 feet east of and parallel to the said west line of the City of Austin tract of land, same being the east line of that certain tract of land conveyed to Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints by warranty deed dated March 13, 1956, of record in Volume 1685 at Page 315 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which point is the northeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said line 4.65 feet east of and parallel to the west line of the City of Austin tract of land, S 30° 04' W 355.19 feet to the southeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, N 60° 06' W 4.65 feet to a point in the said west line of the City of Austin tract of land for the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land, and from which point the southeast corner of the aforesaid Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints tract of land bears S 30° 04' W 4.00 feet;

THENCE, with the said west line of the City of Austin tract of land, N 30° 04' E 355.19 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a deed on behalf of the City of Austin, conveying to Kenneth E. Davis the following described property, to-wit:

1650 square feet of land, same being all of the south four (4.00) feet of those certain portions of Lots 26 and 27, Duval Heights, a subdivision of a portion of the James P. Wallace Survey Number 57 in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Duval Heights of record in Book 2 at page 189 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; which certain portions of Lots 26 and 27, Duval Heights, were conveyed to the City of Austin by Warranty Deed dated \_\_\_\_\_ of record in Volume \_\_\_\_\_ at Page \_\_\_\_\_ of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None



The Council deferred action on determining the off-street parking requirements at 1606 San Jacinto (6 spaces) for an apartment hotel until next week and asked that Mr. Frank Montgomery be notified to be present.

The Building Official stated the request was for 12 units, and Mr. Montgomery is providing six off-street parking spaces. It is in the area that the Council determines what is proper, but it was his recommendation this request be denied. The Mayor asked that this be postponed until next Thursday.

The Council had before it the recommendation from the Building Standards Commission on substandard housing at 2205 Nueces - Jessie E. Hendrix. MR. CARROL COOK appeared before the Council stating this building was burned out, and the shell of the house remains and is a nuisance, and it has been open to children and transients for eight months. The Assistant City Manager stated Mr. Hendrix has been notified and given 60 days to bring the house up to standard, and had failed to meet that order. In answer to inquiries, the Building Official stated Mr. Hendrix was notified of the Commission hearing but he was not present. On three occasions he had been notified but never made a response. Councilman Long asked that this be held up and that she would try to contact the owner. The Building Official said Mr. Hendrix had sold this property to Mr. Glen Fisher on a contract of sale, but Mr. Hendrix is the one with whom they have to work. Councilman Shanks suggested that Mr. Hendrix be written and told if he does not come to the Council, that it will take action. The Building Official offered to write him a letter to appear before the Council next Thursday and his absence would be construed he has no interest.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 17, 1965

"To: Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager Subject: Sale of Houses

"Bids were opened in my office May 17, 1965 at 10:00 A.M. for the sale of seven houses that Urban Renewal has turned over to us for disposal.

"Bids from five different individuals were received and a breakdown of the bidding is as follows:

	1190 B Comal	1804 Penn- sylvania	1183 Salina	1809 Wash- ington	1703 Wash- ington	1812 Wash- ington	1707 New York
W. Johnston	<u>27.22</u>	<u>12.51</u>	<u>12.51</u>	<u>17.52</u>	<u>27.63</u>	27.63	<u>7.51</u>
A. Heyer		12.50	8.50	15.50	10.50		
J. H. Means						53.00	
J. J. Samon						70.00	
J. Crane						51.00	

"The high bid on each house is underscored in red. The bid sheets and deposits are attached.

May 20, 1965

"Due to the fact that these structures are dilapidated, and also the fact that it would cost this office several hundred dollars if we had to demolish them, it is recommended that these bids be accepted.

"If the bids are acceptable, the attached contracts should be signed by you and attested and returned to me in order that we may fulfill the contracts with the successful bidders.

"From: Dick T. Jordan  
Building Official  
Signed: Dick T. Jordan"

Councilman White inquired if these houses were vacant. The Building Official stated they were unoccupied and were in very bad condition.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 17, 1965, for the sale of seven houses that Urban Renewal had turned over to the City for disposal; and,

WHEREAS, the bids of W. Johnston, in the sum of \$27.22 for house located at 1190B Comal, in the sum of \$12.51 for house located at 1804 Pennsylvania, in the sum of \$12.51 for house located at 1183 Salina, in the sum of \$17.52 for house located at 1809 Washington, in the sum of \$27.63 for house located at 1703 Washington and in the sum of \$7.51 for house located at 1707 New York, and the bid of J. J. Samon, in the sum of \$70.00 for house located at 1812 Washington, were the highest and best bids therefor, and the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Building Official of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bids of W. Johnston and J. J. Samon for houses located at above locations, be and the same are hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts, on behalf of the City, with W. Johnston and J. J. Samon.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, after an engineering and traffic investigation, the City Council has found that the circumstances are such that the maximum reasonable and safe speed for the operation of vehicles at the following location is less than thirty (30) miles per hour due to the narrow and winding nature of the street; and,

WHEREAS, after said investigation the City Council has found that the

maximum reasonable and safe speed for the operation of vehicles is twenty (20) miles per hour at the following location:

<u>ON STREET</u>	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
Mathews Drive	Windsor Road	Scenic Drive

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be authorized and instructed to record this finding in Section 33.39 of the Traffic Register.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer announced it was 3:00 P.M. and the Community Council is to make a report on the areas of community action under the Office of Economic Opportunity.

MRS. WM. K. MILLER lead in discussions about further development and need for action in the Economic Opportunity area as the enabling act passed by Congress now permits. The Community Council and its staff aided by very powerful assistants in the Opportunity Committee of the Council, have made a prolonged study on the things that might help Austin the most. Now there is a chance to do something to keep from always having the poor, and that is to begin at the beginning and try to train the youngsters in a new way of life, new ideals, new goals and new ambitions. This is the overall aim of the eight facets which the Community Council and the Opportunity Committee would like to present. She presented the Chairman of the Opportunity Committee JUDGE TOM REAVELY.

JUDGE REAVELY commended the many individual efforts of various citizens who initiated on their own charitable concern and help to alleviate the distress of people in the community. Most people are beginning to recognize a deeper need in the society--a different sort of distress, the causes of some of the stresses, causes of circumstances for which the distressed cannot be blamed. A significant part of the people will never have a chance to participate in the society; and that is determined at birth by circumstances and fate that they will never be able to equip themselves to gain rewarding employment; or know what is happening outside of their narrow world. They may have to go to the government for hand-outs; and out of ignorance and resentment, some may regard any authority or the holder of any wealth as enemies. Some of the blame falls on all of the citizens; for the child that is born, and realizes at a tender age he is an outcast who in his early sensitive ages gains no dream or hope and does not feel that he has any chance. A human obtains 50% of his intellectual capacity up to age four; 30% from 4 to 8 and 20% up to 17. The early ages are more important than ever realized, and society felt a child's education should start at the age of six years; but that is not correct. Over half of the money in the main project of this program is concerned with the day care centers. The Travis County Child Welfare Unit will administer these, but the City is asked to make a significant contribution. Other programs will be Home Management Education, Family Planning Clinics, the Dental Program; and five Information and Referral Centers have been added to take the various agencies and volunteers close to the people that need

the help. Whatever will be the structure of this type of work two to five years from now, it is hoped that there will be a growing understanding of the real underlying problems of wasted human resources and delinquencies. Judge Reavely expressed hope that the undertaking of this community program has a corporate commitment, to everyone saying "we are committed to do our best to see that you have the chance to work and live and learn where and how you will, depending chiefly upon what YOU do with your chance." He thanked the Council for its leadership in this Economic Opportunity Program and for this special hearing. He introduced MR. VIC EHLERS, Executive Secretary.

MR. VIC EHLERS, explained the procedure followed to carry out the charge the Council gave them, stating they found they would have to go out in the community and seek these answers. His first charge was that they would never turn away any suggestion from anyone. They tried to get from everyone they could what programs they would like to see developed. Three major meetings were held in East Austin, and several smaller meetings held by groups within those areas. Conferences were held with many agencies, and individual contacts were made with many people. Finally there seemed to develop a consensus of the things that seemed to be important. Mr. Ehlers distributed "Summary Proposes Community Action Program". He listed some of the suggestions--to provide children with skills they would require at adulthood to earn a living; the drop-outs at Junior High Schools; and others. It was determined that kindergartens were very important, and to get the children in them at an earlier age if possible. The Community Council already had a Day Care Study, which revealed about 2000 children in East Austin that needed non-profit day care help, so the Day Care Program became important. From the neighborhood meetings it was pointed out the need of public health dental care which the Council approved, and it is a part of the overall package. The need of Family Planning Clinics was pointed out at the neighborhood meetings to this Committee. The Committee discussed this with all Religious groups, Priests, Ministers, and their leaders, and they are agreeable and will cooperate with this plan. Families will be referred or come on their own to the Clinics for family planning information in a manner which is acceptable to their religious beliefs. The Priests in this area assured they would refer some of these families to these clinics. Many feel this is most vital.

The Home Management Program is not included in this report, and it is evident the application cannot be completed by the dead line. They are continuing to work it out as a joint plan with the A & M University Extension Division to bring in home management aides to work in the neighborhoods training those in the neighborhood to teach others how to buy and prepare food and make the home more livable. As the Community sees other needs, it can add at a later date a request for that particular phase. It is hoped that this would be ready to add by July.

Another component is the Kindergartens. "Headstart" will go into operation soon. Thirty classrooms are being used this summer but they will not be available when school starts, and almost that many classrooms are needed for a kindergarten program. The schools were looking toward a combination of the Economic Opportunity Act and the New National Education Act that they would be able to work out something to enable a kindergarten program, by renting property. The schools are exploring this whole area. Mr. Ehlers pointed out the coordinating agency is trying to operate through existing agencies; and subcontract every program so the schools would take any educational component, and the Day Care Centers would be under the Travis County Child Welfare Unit. Councilman Long asked about the Day Care Centers. Mr. Ehlers said they proposed 650 deprived

children in 10 Day Care Centers. Some of the churches already have existing day care programs and they would expand their program to add these children who could not afford the existing program. Should the program go through, they feel there will not be a problem in finding space. The Churches or Day Care Centers will contribute their space as the community's local 10% in the program, and will be responsible for the Day Care operation. The center will be paid \$30.00 for every child that would attend that center as a part of the program. The mothers would be working or be in training before their children would be eligible for the nursery. These facilities are for children in families of less than \$3,000 annual income and they cannot afford \$30.00 monthly for day care. The commercial centers have told the committee that they are happy to work with it on those children who cannot afford to come to their center, but they do not want competition with the commercial centers, and the committee agrees. He explained the situation of the non-profit centers and said they were being asked to join in on this program by expanding their center and the Day Care Program's supporting that part.

He discussed the Community Action Program IIA. He listed the number of children that would be working in the Neighborhood Youth Corps this summer, and how those children can be used in various aspects.

Title V - Work Experience Program. The State Department of Welfare has submitted a local program for 500 people to be employed, who are either on welfare or on the verge of being on welfare. Work in hospitals might be found for some of these.

Another program provides college students' continuing in college if they work. Some of the students can be used in the community agencies. The Mayor clarified some of this was community action and some is under the Labor Department. Mr. Ehlers explained that this would be worked out so there would be no overlapping; and with this few number there would be no chance of overlapping.

Montopolis Community Center Neighborhood Youth Corps has requested additional support for that area to provide lighting and equipment for their Montopolis Community Center, and a bus. The people asked for and need a place in the area where services can be fed in and moved out; a place in East Austin where the Welfare Agency, the Public Health Nurse and the Employment Counsellor will come. The Junior Bar has agreed to provide free legal services as they are needed in these various centers. From these centers would come the effort to make these people feel responsible for their own actions and needs; and that the neighborhood problems could be handled through motivating parents to keep their children in school, cut down on delinquencies, and build neighborhood pride. Five information and referral centers are being proposed. If a spare building or Urban Renewal House could be located, they would like to use it for one of these centers. This would not be serviced by the Agency but serviced by a coordinator.

Mr. Ehlers discussed the Administration and Coordination. Mr. Blashell is the newly arrived Project Director; and if the program is approved it is hoped he would remain and administer the total aspects here.

Mayor Palmer asked if all of these areas covered were those in which the City would participate. Mr. Ehlers reviewed the Federal-Local Share Program and stated this application would have to be in Washington by May 27th. The local community will provide 10% of the local cost, but it does not have to be in cash. It can be "in kind", donated space counts as it would be in essence what it

would cost to rent this space. The \$68,250 shown as "Local Share" for Day Centers is all in donated space, figured at 25¢ per square foot per month, allowing 35 square feet per child. The Housing Authority has been asked for space for the Family Planning Clinics. This figured for the one-half day per week as an additional \$2,111.00. Facilities for the Dental Program amount to \$4,500 which is local contribution. In this case the City has provided a building and will provide utilities and these are hidden costs to the city which do not show. No credit will be given on the equipment at the Dental Center, because it is already Federal money. Huston-Tillotson is putting up its local 10% for day care training. Montopolis Community Center included the space for their center. The total figure is \$678,995; all that is needed in local share is \$67,000. In space alone, there is \$90,985 already contributed.

Mr. Ehlers filed their report with the Acting City Manager MR. JIM WILSON. He wanted an indication from the Council that they were on the right track, and for the City to check this report with its auditors and see what the County is doing. The Committee had letters from the Dental Society and the East Austin Associations endorsing the program in principle, and it is their idea of what a start might be. The whole program was established on the basis of need only. Councilman Long asked for copies of this report. Mr. Ehlers stated the proposed Neighborhood Youth Corps needed approval this week, and it is not incorporated in this report. He listed several items that held up the Neighborhood Youth Corps program such as Workmen's Compensation, the hourly wage, and others.

Councilman Shanks asked if it had been calculated how many people would participate in this program. Councilman Shanks reviewed the program for clarification stating \$678,995 was the total cost; the Federal government would pay \$588,010.93, and the City's participation would be \$90,985.00 "in kind". Mr. Ehlers said that was so, except the City would provide some utilities and repairs. The \$90,000 is the whole for the entire community. The Mayor asked if the Board and the Community Council were recommending that these are the areas to go into, and this is the recommendation before the Council today, to the schools, and county. Mr. Ehlers stated it was. The Mayor asked if this program overlapped, or would do away with any function that might be carried on through the United Fund or any other Agency, or is this contemplated as an enlargement of some of these areas. Mr. Ehlers stated it could not replace any activity. It will strengthen some of the programs. Councilman Shanks asked after approval through all channels how quickly the program would be implemented. Mr. Ehlers stated it should be about one month.

The Recreation Director reported on a proposed Neighborhood Youth Corps stating only about 54 young people could be in the program, and they doubted if this could get underway soon enough to get the leadership needed to go along with it. He described a possible program of having three work crews, two to do labor on parks work, developing trails in the Bee Caves area; cleaning trees that are dying with moss, and participating in other projects; and the other crew would be a group of playground aids and swimming aids. The City's "in kind" amount would be \$4,785 out of the total \$35,052. Councilman Long inquired where the 54 young people were coming from. The Director of Recreation stated they would be referred from the Texas Employment Commission. Mr. Ehlers said this project contract would come direct from Washington and would not come through the Coordinating Agency, but the Agency would be in cooperation with this program. The Mayor asked if these children would be considered Federal employees. The Director of Recreation stated they would not be. He stated the young people would be from 16 years of age to 21, and it was felt there should be real good

leadership working with 18-20 of these youths. They would work 32 hours and put in a minimum of four hours in-service-training, vocational training and counseling. He was recommending \$1.00 an hour. Mr. Sheffield stated the Representative from the Labor Department, said in large industrial areas, where wages were on a higher basis, that \$1.25 was being required; but the City's minimum wage is \$1.10. He stated there were college students working as attendants at 95¢ an hour; Junior Life Guards, about 16 or 17 years old, 75-80¢, and younger boys working at 55, 60 and 65 cents. Councilman Shanks inquired about the Workmen's Compensation. It was answered this was put in the budget. Mr. Ehlers stated some were included, depending on the program. In some places it is not necessary but they had to meet the requirements, and this amount is included in the budget. The Recreation Director stated the Workmen's Compensation would not be handled under the City in the same manner as it covers its employees--and the Federal plan would provide for Social Security. The Mayor asked the Recreation Director if he recommended this proposal, and he stated he did. He asked if it had been recommended through the Community Council, and it was stated it had been. The Mayor asked Mr. Ehlers if he concurred with Mr. Sheffield's recommendation. Councilman Long suggested other departments' using these people also. Mr. Ehlers said the program is for only three months, and they were being encouraged to go ahead and get started on a small program, and get some experience in it. There are many ways the young ladies could be used at Brackenridge Hospital, for an example.

Mayor Palmer said it may not be as ambitious as hoped, but it was a start and as the program is gone into, there would be areas come up that could be explored further. Since time is so short, it is very important to get started on this type of program.

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council approve the proposed Community Action Program as recommended by the Community Council and to urge this body to proceed with its application so as to implement this program as quickly as possible. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council approve the proposed Neighborhood Youth Corps as recommended by the Director of Recreation, and to urge this Department to proceed with its application so as to implement this program as quickly as possible. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer expressed deep gratitude to the group for taking on this responsibility for the City and the Council, and for their careful, detailed analysis of all of these programs. He said he believed the City was showing it was trying to explore this area and trying to do the very best it could. Mr. Ehlers stated many of the representatives of the City had helped them in this study.

The Mayor stated some members went off of some of the Boards, and it was voted sometime ago that a letter of thanks be written, and a resolution of appreciation be adopted as was done for those that worked on the Building Code. Councilman Long moved that people retiring from the various Boards be sent a resolution of appreciation. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Long asked that the Report be duplicated and furnished to the Council. The Acting City Manager stated duplications would be made.

The Acting City Manager stated the Recreation Director contacted Mr. Mac Hull to obtain the answers to questions the Council had asked last week, and Mr. Hull said it would take several weeks to get those answers, and he will be heard later.

The City Attorney stated the Council had acquired several parcels of land, particularly the Allen property, of which a good part would be needed for widening 51st Street, and the balance will be a part of the Airport. As an interim use, they have been looking for an operation that would not interfere with the Airport use or the widening of 51st Street. A citizen would like to rent the house and the corner lot on a month to month basis for \$125.00 per month for a garden center. It will be understood it will be a month to month lease. The Director of Aviation approved this use, as there would be no lighting, and the operation would be strictly on the ground. If it works out to be profitable the lessee understands the rent may be raised later on. It is approximately a 175 x 140' area. Because of the limitations on the use of the property, he recommended the rental. Councilman LaRue moved to permit the renting of this property as recommended by the City Attorney, to Mr. Golden. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer inquired if all were cleared with the F.A.A. on the trees and aerials in the Airport area. The City Attorney stated as far as they knew now, after careful checking.

Councilman Long moved that the Council appoint the following persons as recommended by Mr. J. E. Bridges, to the Commission on Mental Retardation:

DR. RALPH HANNA  
JACK GOODMAN  
BILL TERRY  
DR. M. G. BOWDEN  
DR. JOHN PECK

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None



The Council deferred appointing the Board of Equalization until the following week.

The Acting City Manager stated the City Manager was attending a two day conference in Washington at the invitation of Vice President Humphrey. This is more or less a follow up to the Mayor's Conference, and the City Managers will be dealing more with the Administrative part with the Vice President, members of the Cabinet, and with Department Heads of Agencies, and on communication problems involved in all Federal Programs.

The Mayor read a letter from REVEREND ROBERT L. ROWE congratulating the Council on its new term, and offering any service he could render.

Councilman Long had a request from MR. HOLLY OSBORNE, 1704 Romeria Drive, that this area be sprayed, for insects and flies. Councilman Long moved that this area be sprayed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, on June 1, 1950, the City Council appointed MR. GUY DARSEY as a member of the Advisory Hospital Board, and

WHEREAS, MR. GUY DARSEY diligently and patiently devoted his efforts, talents, experience and wisdom to the betterment and expansion of Brackenridge Hospital, for 15 years,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the City Council express its sincere gratitude to MR. DARSEY for his 15 years of service on the Advisory Hospital Board of Brackenridge Hospital, for his study and assistance during the phases of expansion of the Hospital, and for the benefits of his knowledge to the Community Health Needs Survey; and that the City Clerk be directed to send Mr. Darsey the original of this Resolution as a token of the Council's appreciation.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, on October 25, 1951, the City Council appointed MR. JOHN SIMPSON as a member of the Advisory Hospital Board, and