The meeting was called to order with Mayor Akin presiding.

Roll Call:

Present: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Absent: None

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND HAROLD ZIRKEL, Crestview Methodist Church.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council approve the minutes of the special meeting of October 21, 1968. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols.
Noes: None

SISTER CITY

Councilman Nichols offered the following resolution, including an amendment that the general chairman shall be a member of this Council, and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the Members of the Governing Body of the City of Saltillo, Coahuila, Republic of Mexico, led by the Honorable Jesus R. Gonzáles, Mayor of Saltillo; and the Members of the Governing Body of the City of Austin, Texas, United States of America, led by the Honorable Harry Akin, Mayor of Austin, following a series of personal exchanges of views on the development of a sister-city relationship, have made official visits to the seats of government of both cities, have agreed to explore means of developing a continuing and mutually beneficial understanding, and,

WHEREAS, both of said Governing Bodies have agreed that this
relationship should include not only exchanges related to the technical phases of city administration but also exchanges which would tend to augment mutual understanding in the areas of industry, education, and culture.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That official parties from both cities shall exchange periodic visits; and,

2. That Chairmen and Members shall be duly appointed for service in each of the following areas;
   
   A. Committee on Municipal Operations
   B. Committee on Private Initiative
      1. Commerce and Tourism
      2. Industry and Manufacturing
   C. Committee on Education and Culture

3. Appropriate official action shall be taken upon adopting other measures which would tend to endow the envisioned relationship with the highest possible degree of productivity; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT: officials of both such cities shall actively engage in work toward the establishment of friendship and respect between all segments of their constituencies which will extend beyond their own terms of office.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

HEARING AND SECOND READING OF REVISED AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE TEXT - "SR" SUBURBAN RESIDENCE DISTRICT, "AA" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT

MAYOR AKIN opened the hearing at the scheduled time on the amendment to the Zoning Ordinance Text, covering Suburban Residence District, "AA" Residence District and "A" Residence District, stating this was a continuation of the hearing held October 31st.

The City Manager reported MR. BARR MCCLELLAN had transmitted supplementary comments to his previous letter. MR. FAGON DIXON suggested three changes - one, pertaining to clarifying the question of the club site's having to be subdivided. His substitution was a "subdivision plat shall be filed for the club site and shall be approved by the Planning Commission". This applies to club sites on five acre "A" Residence Tracts. The City Attorney stated this would likely mean filing two plats; and to require a separate subdivision plat to be filed for the club site alone might be in derogation of the rights of property owners who had purchased lots in the subdivision with an expectation that no such subdivision would occur. The second suggestion covered off-street parking; and
instead of the confused complicated provision, that the simple language be that one off-street parking space shall be provided for each member. Councilman LaRue stated this might place a burden on other allied activities similar to this -- citing as an example a church. Mr. Dickson's third item concerned commercial activities of the club, and he suggested substituting "No commercial business activities or uses for profit shall take place on the premises of such clubs in a residential district".

The City Manager stated these suggestions had just been received, and should be studied on their merits in line with other subdivision regulations. He suggested the Legal and Planning Departments have the opportunity to review these suggestions to see what effects one provision would have on the other, as the effects are far reaching.

MRS. LEE, operator of a school, was in agreement with the ordinance as proposed here. Her concern was for future children in new developments, as a proposed day care center would need to go through the process of obtaining a special permit, and that children will have to go into larger groups eventually leading to a regimentation for the very young children. She favored children's being kept in small groups. She pointed out the demand for this type of business for proper care for young children, as nurseries are growing into large commercial ventures to which she was against. The Planning Director agreed this was something that needed to be followed up in the new subdivisions -- the provision of sites for day care facilities and encourage provisions of such sites. This is a matter of concern. It is hoped in time these situations can be taken care of in the planning of the subdivisions. His suggestion was that subdivideors not place restrictions, but to allocate certain land that could be used as much as possible.

Discussion followed that the standard provisions concerning such operations comply with state laws, so that interested people would be placed on notice that there may be other laws applicable to their particular activity. MRS. BLANKENSHIP, State Department of Welfare, and the Association members were interested in having licensed operations. The Planning Director stated these operations should be licensed under the laws of the State of Texas, and there is great concern of unlicensed operations that are not meeting standards.

Councilman Janes moved that this matter be continued. The motion,
HEARING ON BUS FRANCHISE ORDINANCE

MAYOR AKIN opened the hearing on the Bus Franchise Ordinance scheduled for this time. The City Manager announced a letter had been furnished by the Austin Transit, contained recommended amendments to the pending draft of the bus franchise ordinance. MR. DENIUS, representing Austin Transit Corporation, distributed copies of the letter, setting out the changes the Company was suggesting. The first change pertained to the grandfather clause; and thus their customers of a particular hotel and motel would not be included by this clause and providing that the furnishing of transportation to guests of an apartment house or lodging house shall not be construed as carrying passengers for hire. This is the University area situation and pertains to the grandfather clause. Their second change concerned temporary rerouting without Council permission, but clearance through the Police Chief and Director of Finance and Transportation, and Director of Public Works where there were emergencies and impassible conditions -- accidents on the street, street construction, etc. The City Attorney stated he was in complete agreement to change the ordinance in that manner, as it would not be the Council's intention to place or misplace liability on a bus company where there would be a physical obstacle. Mr. Denius stated where there would be a six months' project, the Company would come to the Council for approval. Both the City Manager and City Attorney agreed the change as satisfactory.

Route Changes

MR. DENIUS suggested route scheduled extensions and terminations be made without Council approval upon a 60 day notice, and officials of the Traffic and Transportation, Public Works Director and Chief of Police; and within this period if such officials disapproved, the matter would come to the City Council for review. Councilman Long did not agree to leave this matter up the Chief of Police, Director of Traffic, and others; that this should be changed to read "The City Council and the City Manager" who then would confer with the various Department heads. The City Manager stated this would give flexibility to the experimentation with routes.

Drivers

MR. DENIUS, in speaking of equipment, added that "No franchise holder shall be required for any purpose to operate more expensive, larger, or substantially dissimilar buses than those operated by another franchise holder". The City Attorney stated these requirements were not included in the franchise ordinance; but at the time of the extension of the franchise there was an extensive hearing and the Council emphatically stressed and was concerned about the minimum standards for buses and new buses -- not a matter of law, but a matter of good faith. Mr. Denius stated in 1958 when they received a total change in the fare structure, the company committed itself to upgrade its
equipment and to install air conditioned and used on the heavy lines -- East 1st Street, Holly, Tenth Ward, Gevalle, East 12th, Oil Mill Section, Camp Mabry, Rosedale, University and Main. The smaller buses are not air conditioned and those are used on Lake Austin, South Austin, Enfield, and the Airport routes.

Rate Formula

MR. DENIUS proposed a major change, reading the formula, "in providing further that such rates may be changed upon an annual review of the franchise holder of his operating ratio and upon 60 days before the 1st day of January of each year hereafter and shall then be the applicable and lawful rate, unless set by the Council for public hearing before the 1st day of January". Rates are now set on a fair value return earned on the rate-based investment. The proposed rate is based upon a ratio determined by the direct relation of gross operating expenses including all taxes, licenses, permit, registration or franchise fee, to gross operating revenues. Mr. Malone, Manager, Austin Transit, explained the advantage of the formula. Councilman Jane was of the opinion, that it would be fairer if the ratio were based on the investment. The City Attorney stated this would be an automatic review, and an automatic reschedule either up or down unless the City Council having had this 60 day notice, desired to hold a hearing on the rate adjustment.

RR. MORGAN NESEBITT, Attorney representing TRANSPORTATION ENTERPRISES, reported they were in agreement with the Austin Transit Corporation on all portions of the ordinance except the portions where it would strike the grandfather clause which his company proposed. He construed the draft as prohibiting Transportation Enterprise from conducting the type of charter bus service where the people who are chartering the bus pay for it. He expanded on this charter bus service, citing several examples, and pointed out that Transportation Enterprise felt the grandfather type clause is necessary in order to enable it to continue doing the same type of business it had done in the past. In answer to the City Manager's question, that if Transportation Enterprise were under a franchise, would it contemplate providing service in areas of the community where there was not service now, even at a loss, Mr. Nesbitt stated that any franchise granted which would set forth either the routes or territory serviced, if the company wanted to drop one of the routes, or extend into some new area, it would have to come back before the Council. He assumed, as far as the franchise operation were concerned, they would be in the same category as the Austin Transit Company. Mr. Nesbitt stated this Company was now providing a charter service, and it wants to continue the several different types of transportation which it has been providing.

COUNCILMAN LAURIE said the Council had anticipated the two companies' getting together and working these problems out. Mr. Nesbitt said he had been available and had discussed briefly with Mr. McClellan and Mr. Denius these matters, and he would still be available. Mr. Denius wanted to say at this time that Transportation Enterprise did not prove need because Austin Transit had the buses. They are not paying gross receipts taxes, and use non-union labor, and they can operate cheaper than Austin Transit.

Motion on Bus Ordinance

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council continue the hearing until next week. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

INTRODUCTION OF MR. & MRS. COOKINGHAM

The City Manager, Mr. Tinstman, introduced MR. STEVE MATTHEWS, well known to the City Officials, and the Municipal Field. Mr. Matthews, former City Manager, now is the able Executive Director of the Texas Municipal League. MR. L. P. COOKINGHAM, recognized nationally by being the recipient of the LaGuardia award for his outstanding contribution to local government throughout the country, was introduced to the Council and citizens. Mr. Tinstman noted some of his achievements in the City Manager profession, stating he is known as the "Dean of City Managers". Mr. Cookingham congratulated the City of Austin on its Mayor and City Manager, and had many complimentary remarks about the City. Mr. Matthews reminded the officials of the Annual Conference in Dallas beginning November 10.

UNITED FUND

MR. FRANK DENTUS expressed appreciation to the City Manager, Bob Tinstman, for serving as Chairman of Public Employees Division of the United Fund, and he complimented the City employees for going over the top. MR. BEVERLY SHEFFIELD was the Section Chairman, and he and Mr. Tinstman had done a fine job. Mayor Akin commended Mr. Denius on his achievement as Campaign Chairman of the United Fund.

DISABLED APPLICANT FOR COUNSELING

MR. GEORGE MILLER appeared before the Council stating he had been unable to find employment nor hold a job due to his health. Councilman Janes suggested one of the City Manager's assistants get with Mr. Miller during the lunch period and get some details for the Council by this afternoon. The City Manager reported Mr. Mike Brown, in his office, had talked with Mr. Miller, and had been in touch with the Texas Employment Commission; and if they can get Mr. Miller over to the TEC, one of the social workers there may be able to help him. Councilman LaRue advised Mr. Miller to get back in touch with Mr. Mike Brown who had been working on his case and he would try to help him.

GAS SUPPLY FOR DECKER CREEK

The City Manager reported he and his staff had been considering the matter of fuel supply contract for natural gas supply to Decker Plant. They were following through and contracting one or two other natural gas suppliers. He urged caution on this matter and expressed hope that within the next few weeks they could bring in the contract to the Council for its consideration -- either a new supply contract or an extension and addition to the existing supply. He stated the Decker Plant was under construction, and bonds were being issued. The present gas supply contract covers only the existing installations, the
Seaholm and Holly Plants. The City is free to seek another supplier, consider-
ing also of getting gas to Decker. The firm contract for anticipated natural
gas requirements extend to 1984.

BY LAWS - CAPITAL AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

The Council postponed consideration of the A.C.G. until next week and
requested it be listed first under Previous Items at 10:00 A.M.

AMENDMENT TO MASTER PLAN

Councilman Long moved that the Council set a hearing on the amendment to
the Master Plan for 20 acre tract on Peyton Gin Road for 10:30 A.M. Thursday,
November 21, 1968. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the
following vote:

Ayes:

Noes: None

EASEMENTS RELEASED

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement was granted to the City of Austin for
public utility purposes in, upon and across a portion of Lot 3, Block A, North-
west Hills, Section Eleven, Phase Two, a subdivision of a portion of the T. J.
Chambers Grant in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map
or plat of said Northwest Hills, Section Eleven, Phase Two, of record in Book
42 at Page 7 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of the above described property have requested
the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described
portion of said easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter
described portion of said easement is not now needed and will not be required
in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the Assistant to the City Manager of the City of Austin be,
and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described
portion of said public utility easement, to-wit:

A strip of land five (5.00) feet in width, same being
out of and a part of Lot 3, Block A, Northwest Hills,
Section Eleven, Phase Two, a subdivision of a portion
of the T. J. Chambers Grant in the City of Austin, Travis
County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Northwest Hills, Section Eleven, Phase Two, of record in Book 42 at Page 7 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; which strip of land five (5.00) feet in width is more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at the intersection of the north line of Hart Lane and a line ten (10.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of said Lot 3, Block A, Northwest Hills, Section Eleven, Phase Two, which point of beginning is the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land, and from which point of beginning a steel pin at the southeast corner of said Lot 3 bears S 66° 38' E 10.06 feet;

THENCE, with the said line ten (10.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of Lot 3, N 29° 49' E 240.57 feet to the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, S 60° 11' W 5.00 feet to a point in a line five (5.00) feet west of and parallel to the said east line of Lot 3, which point is the northeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said line five (5.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of Lot 3, S 29° 49' W 240.00 feet to a point in the aforesaid north line of Hart Lane, which point is the southeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said north line of Hart Lane, N 66° 38' W 5.03 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement was granted to the City of Austin for drainage and public utility purposes in, upon and across a portion of Block H, Quail Creek, Section Two, a subdivision of a portion of the John Applegate Survey in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Quail Creek, Section Two, of record in Book 40 at Page 47 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of the above described property have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described portion of said easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described portion of said easement is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the Assistant to the City Manager of the City of Austin be,
and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described portion of said drainage and public utility easement, to-wit:

Four (4) tracts of land, same being out of and a part of Block H, Quail Creek, Section Two, a subdivision of a portion of the John Applegate Survey in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Quail Creek, Section Two, of record in Book 40 at Page 47 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; the tract of land hereinafter described as Number One being out of and a part of Lots 1, 2 and 3, said Block H, and being twenty (20.00) feet in width, the tract of land hereinafter described as Number Two being out of and a part of Lot 4, said Block H, and being twenty (20.00) feet in width, the tract of land hereinafter described as Number Three being out of and a part of Lots 5 and 6, said Block H, and being twenty (20.00) feet in width, and the tract of land hereinafter described as Number Four being out of and a part of Lot 7, said Block H, and containing 731 square feet of land; each of the said four (4) tracts of land is more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

NUMBER ONE, BEGINNING at the intersection of the east line of Collinfield Drive and a line fifteen (15.00) feet south of and parallel to the north line of said Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block H, Quail Creek, Section Two, which point of beginning is the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said line fifteen (15.00) feet south of and parallel to the north line of Lots 1, 2 and 3, S 44° 38' E 235.00 feet to a point in the northerly prolongation of the west line of an existing drainage easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point is the northeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said northerly prolongation of the west line of an existing drainage easement ten (10.00) feet in width, S 45° 22' W 20.00 feet to a point in a line thirty-five (35.00) feet south of and parallel to the said north line of Lots 1, 2 and 3, which point is the southeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said line thirty-five (35.00) feet south of and parallel to the north line of Lots 1, 2 and 3, N 44° 38' W 235.00 feet to a point in the aforesaid east line of Collinfield Drive, which point is the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said east line of Collinfield Drive, N 45° 22' E 20.00 feet to the point of beginning.

NUMBER TWO, BEGINNING at the intersection of a line fifteen (15.00) feet south of and parallel to the north line of said Lot 4, and the northerly prolongation of the east line of an existing drainage easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point of beginning is the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land, and from which point of beginning a steel pin at the northwest corner of said Lot 4 bears N 44° 38' W 5.00 feet and N 45° 22' E 15.00 feet;

THENCE, with the said line fifteen (15.00) feet south of and
parallel to the north line of Lot 4, S 44° 38' E 70.00 feet to a point in the
northerly prolongation of the west line of an existing public utilities easement
ten (10.00) feet in width, which point is the northeast corner of the herein
described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said northerly prolongation of the west line of
an existing public utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, S 45° 22' W
20.00 feet to a point in a line thirty-five (35.00) feet south of and parallel
to the said north line of Lot 4, which point is the southeast corner of the
herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said line thirty-five (35.00) feet south of and
parallel to the north line of Lot 4, N 44° 38' W 70.00 feet to a point in the
aforesaid northerly prolongation of the east line of an existing drainage
easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point is the southwest corner of the
herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said northerly prolongation of the east line of
an existing drainage easement ten (10.00) feet in width, N 45° 22' E 20.00
feet to the point of beginning.

NUMBER THREE, BEGINNING at the intersection of a line fifteen
(15.00) feet south of and parallel to the north line of said Lots 5 and 6, and
the northerly prolongation of the east line of an existing public utilities
easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point of beginning is the northwest
corner of the herein described tract of land, and from which point of beginning
a steel pin at the northwest corner of said Lot 5 bears N 44° 38' W 5.00 feet
and N 45° 22' E 15.00 feet;

THENCE, with the said line fifteen (15.00) feet south of and paral-
lel to the north line of Lots 5 and 6, S 44° 38' E 150.00 feet to a point in the
northerly prolongation of the east line of an existing drainage and public
utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point is the northeast
corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said northerly prolongation of the west line of
an existing drainage and public utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, S 45° 23' W 20.00 feet to a point in a line thirty-five (35.00) feet south
of and parallel to the said north line of Lots 5 and 6, which point is the
southeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said line thirty-five (35.00) feet south of and
parallel to the north line of Lots 5 and 6, N 44° 38' W 150.00 feet to a point in the
aforesaid northerly prolongation of the east line of an existing public
utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point is the southwest
corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said northerly prolongation of the east line of
an existing public utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, N 45° 22' E
20.00 feet to the point of beginning.

NUMBER FOUR, BEGINNING at a point in the east line of said Lot 7,
which point of beginning is the most easterly corner of the herein described
tract of land, and from which point of beginning a steel pin at the northeast
corner of said Lot 7 bears N 45° 05' E 35.31 feet;

THENCE, N 52° 38' W 75.13 feet to a point in the northerly pro-
longation of the east line of an existing drainage and public utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, which point is the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the said northerly prolongation of the east line of an existing drainage and public utilities easement ten (10.00) feet in width, N 45° 23' E 19.64 feet to the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, S 37° 36' E 74.96 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

CONTRACTS AWARDED

The City Manager submitted the following:

"SANITARY SEWER MAIN FOR EAST 11TH STREET AND NECHES STREET. Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Wednesday, October 30, 1968, for the installation of 974 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer main in East 11th Street and Neches Street. The purpose of this project is to replace existing unserviceable sanitary sewer mains.

The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRM</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>WORKING DAYS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Tabor Construction Company</td>
<td>$15,972.00</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated</td>
<td>26,380.00</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eland Construction Company</td>
<td>29,475.35</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Schmidt Construction Company</td>
<td>34,190.00</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin (estimate)</td>
<td>15,575.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Bill Tabor Construction Company on their low bid of $15,972.00 with 30 working days."

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on October 30, 1968, for the installation of 974 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer main in East 11th Street and Neches Street; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Bill Tabor Construction Company, in the sum of $15,972.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Bill Tabor Construction Company, in the sum of
$15,972.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that R. M. Tinstman, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City, with Bill Tabor Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"SANITARY SEWER MAINS FOR SOUTH OAK DRIVE AND CLAWSON ROAD. Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Wednesday, October 30, 1968, for the installation of 1,404 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer main in South Oak Drive, future proposed street and Clawson Road. The purpose of this project is to provide sanitary sewer service to existing houses presently within the City limits.

The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Bid</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated</td>
<td>$12,256.65</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. C. Evans Construction Company</td>
<td>$12,536.90</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Tabor Construction Company</td>
<td>$12,540.00</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bland Construction Company</td>
<td>$16,658.60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Engineering Company</td>
<td>$18,212.00</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Schmidt Construction Company</td>
<td>$18,586.30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin (estimate)</td>
<td>$12,382.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated on their low bid of $12,256.65 with 50 working days."

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on October 30, 1968 for the installation of 1,404 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer main in South Oak Drive, future proposed street and Clawson Road; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of $12,256.65 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of $12,256.65, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that R. M. Tinstman, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City, with Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None
The City Manager submitted the following:

"SANITARY SEWER MAINS FOR MIDDLE FISKVILLE ROAD AND RUNDBERG LAND."

Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Wednesday, October 30, 1968, for the installation of 750 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer main in Middle Fiskville Road and Rundberg Lane. The purpose of this project is to provide sanitary sewer service to proposed lots in Northcape Subdivision.

The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated</td>
<td>$6,579.70</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Schmidt Construction Company</td>
<td>$6,731.10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bland Construction Company</td>
<td>$7,593.40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. C. Evans Construction Company</td>
<td>$8,390.20</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Engineering Company</td>
<td>$9,879.10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Tabor Construction Company</td>
<td>$12,267.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin (estimate)</td>
<td>$6,203.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated on their low bid of $6,579.70 with 50 working days. The owner will pay $1,265.90 of the total."

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on October 30, 1968, for the installation of 750 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer main in Middle Fiskville Road and Rundberg Lane; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of $6,579.70, was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of $6,579.70, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that R. M. Tinstman, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City, with Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"1. Item:
   A. Eighteen (18) Book Photographic Charging Machines to Regiscope Southwest, Inc. - Net Total $12,510.00."
2. For use by the Austin Public Library.

3. There were only two (2) known bidders for this type equipment; however, invitations were sent to all known Microfilm Camera Manufacturers.

4. The City of Lubbock recently purchased the same type Book Photographic Charging Machines at a unit price of $695.00. They also received two bids.

5. The attached memorandum from Mr. Earl Holt recommends the award to be made to Regiscope and the attached Fact Sheet from the Austin Public Library gives detailed information concerning use of this equipment.

To B. J. Bonds, Purchasing Agent  Subject: Photo Chargers - Bid #6622-30006

Date: November 4, 1968

The Library administration recommends the acceptance of the low bid of $695.00 each for 18 photo charging machines submitted by the Regiscope Corp.

From: David Earl Holt, Director, Libraries
Signed: David Earl Holt

"FACT SHEET ON AUSTIN PUBLIC LIBRARY

REQUEST FOR 18 PHOTOCHARGERS

The 18 photochargers are an essential part of a new circulation system which will consolidate all library circulation for the first time. The library now uses two circulation systems, entailing two different procedures for patrons and staff. Both of these circulation procedures are badly outdated necessitating manual processing of all circulated books. Each of the 1.4 million books circulated during the past fiscal year involved several manual procedures. The proposed system will be in large part automated and will utilize the latest library technology.

-----

The proposed new circulation procedure will utilize:

1. Photocharging -- to record all book check-outs on microfilm.

2. Pre-punched, pre-printed transaction cards -- allowing for machine (rather than clerical) manipulation.

-----

The new circulation procedure will:

1. Eliminate need for application files and library cards -- any standard identification (driver's license, credit card, etc.)
can be used. Library cards will be issued for children and others as needed.

2. Eliminate need for book cards -- the book pocket or the book's title page can be photographed.

3. Establish central control of all circulation operations -- reserves, over-dues, etc.

4. Simplify the loan period and fine schedule -- all books will be due on Thursday.

5. Allow for future circulation increases at less cost -- present gain is 10-15 percent per year.

6. Insure accuracy -- minimize clerical errors.

7. Free many library staff members now doing clerical tasks for work with the public.

The estimated cost for 18 Photographic Charging Machines was $10,800 and was so presented in the Library's 1968-69 budget request.

To my knowledge, Eastman Kodak and Regiscope are the only manufacturers of this kind of equipment.

sgd/ David Earl Holt
David Earl Holt
Director of Libraries

4 November 1968

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on October 29, 1968, for eighteen (18) Book Photographic Charging Machines for the Austin Public Library; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Regiscope Southwest, Inc., in the sum of $12,510.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Regiscope Southwest, Inc., in the sum of $12,510.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that R. M. Tinstman, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City, with Regiscope Southwest, Inc.
The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

1. Item:
   A. Contract for the estimated requirement of Fire Department Uniform Clothing for a twelve (12) month period to Austin Army and Navy Store - Net Total $40,500.05.

2. For Fire Department

3. An official objection to our specifications was tendered at the time of the bid opening by Mr. Edward T. Lorey, Owner, Lorey's Custom Tailoring Service, 4407 Sinclair, Austin, Texas. Our specifications required a particular type stitch be used to attach the sleeves to the body of the shirt in order to prevent puckering and add durability to the seam of wash and wear permanent press fabric. Mr. Lorey indicated that two uniform manufacturers could not supply shirts with this type stitch. Our specifications clearly state that any questions concerning our specifications must be made in writing 48 hours prior to the bid opening time. No other objections or questions were received concerning our specifications.

4. The attached memorandum from Chief Ed S. Kirkham recommends the award be made to Austin Army and Navy Store.

Date October 29, 1968

This is to advise that it is my recommendation that the Fire Department uniforms bid be awarded to low bidder Austin Army-Navy Store. The samples as submitted by this firm have been examined and found to be satisfactory. The tie submitted did not match the trousers exactly - however, we have been assured that the proper match will be furnished.

sgd/ Ed S. Kirkham
Respectfully submitted,

MAYOR AKIN opened the subject of Fire Department uniforms. Mr. Jim DeBerry, Assistant City Manager, had samples of the materials available, copies of the specifications, list of the bidders, and representatives of shirt manufacturers were present. He gave detailed information on cost of uniforms per man and comparisons with experiences in other cities. It seemed desirable and advantageous to have an emblem on both sleeves. Councilman Long stated the specifications are written to make the shirts much too high. The City Manager was not pleased with the prices obtained on these bids, stating the factors...
contributing to less satisfactory proposals might have been timing both as to the length of time which the bids were on, and what was required as to making, delivering, and furnishing the uniforms. Since the bids had been disclosed, he would hesitate in rejecting them unless the specifications were completely revised. Councilman LaRue considered the cost for the shirts as quite high. It was pointed out by the shirt representatives that these shirts are fitted to the individual to whom they are issued.

After a very detailed discussion of prices, materials, stocking, fitting, etc., Councilman LaRue moved that the bids all be rejected and new bids be taken. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, failed to carry by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long
Noes: Councilman Janes, Mayor Akin, Councilman Nichols

Councilman Janes stated he presumed taking bids would be postponed until the clothing manufacturers were not so rushed. The City Manager stated it would depend also on how the Council wanted the specifications revised. He suggested if the time goes beyond the mid-winter period, the men should be paid their normal clothing allowance, and that consideration should be made on buying summer uniforms only. Mayor Akin did not want to compromise the program by downgrading details on these uniforms.

Councilman Nichols offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on October 28, 1968, for the estimated requirements of Fire Department Uniform Clothing for a twelve (12) month period beginning from date of notification of award; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Austin Army and Navy Store, in the sum of $40,500.05, was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Austin Army and Navy Store, in the sum of $40,500.05, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that R. M. Tinstman, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City, with Austin Army and Navy Store.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes,
Noes: Councilmen Long, LaRue

TAX APPEALS

At 2:30, Mayor Akin called the Council meeting back to order, announcing it was time to hear tax appeals from decisions of the Board of Equalization.

On each appeal, the appellant or his representative, presented the case,
which was discussed thoroughly and at length. The Tax Assessor reviewed maps, pointed out recent sales in each area that might indicate the fair market value, discussed topography, and pointed out all factors that affect or have bearing on the value of each parcel.

MR. DAVID BARROW appealed a number of tax valuations made on land that he and his brother own and operate, stating they had little relief; yet their taxes were increased from 180% to 400%. His tax bill this year was 100% more than last year.

Properties under consideration were:

- 74.19 Acres, Corbet Stevens Sur. 63, Parcel #9-4-2357-0304
- 72.69 Acres, Geo. W. Davis & T. J. Chambers Sur., Parcel #9-1-3501-0107
- 38.11 Acres, James M. Mitchell Sur., Parcel #9-1-4806-0404
- 4.4 Acres, Geo. W. Davis Sur., Parcel #9-1-3601-0101
- 241.43 Acres, James Coleman Sur., Parcel #9-1-4806-0301
- 1.88 Acres, T. J. Chambers Grant Parcel #9-1-2809-0214
- 16.523 Acres, Geo. W. Davis Survey, Parcel #9-1-3902-0101
- Off Hart Lane, Lot 18, Block P, Northwest Hills Sec. 10, Ph. 2, Parcel #1-3804-0503
- 6.43 Acres, Geo. W. Davis Survey, Parcel #9-1-4103-0102
- Old San Antonio Hwy., 56.26 Acres, S. F. Slaughter Sur. 1, Parcel #9-4-4827-0431
- 20.18 Acres, Geo. W. Davis Sur., Parcel #9-1-3702-0201
- 32.38 Acres, T. J. Chambers Grant, Parcel #9-1-3705-0602
- 4.43 Acres, Geo. W. Davis Sur., Parcel #9-2-3501-1001
- 209.44 Acres, James Cole Sur., Parcel #9-1-5313-0211
- 8.136 Acres. T. J. Chambers Grant, Parcel #9-1-3501-0101
- 14.395 Acres, T. J. Chambers Grant, Parcel #9-1-4210-0112
- 4406 Balcones, Lt. 4, Resub. of Lt. 4, Bl. J, Sec. 2 and part of Lt. 4, Bl. B, Sec. 1, Balcones Park Addn., Parcel #1-2606-0209
- Riverside Drive (Land only), 6.70 Acres, Isaac Decker League, Parcel #2-0101-1106

The Council set these appeals to be heard at 9:00 A.M., Friday 15, 1968, and in the meantime it wanted to view the properties on the ground.

---

DELWOOD CENTER, INC.
By Rogan B. Giles

After reviewing this tract from all aspects, the Council postponed decision on this appeal until it could make an on site inspection, continuing the hearing until Friday, November 15th. Councilman Janes disqualified himself from participating in this decision and discussion as he owned property across the street.
JACOB BAUERLE
Old Bear Rd., 219 Acres, Walker
Wilson Sur. 2, Parcel #9-4-3937-0103
6, Parcel #9-4-3947-0201

MR. BAUERLE appealed on these two tracts solely on the Austin Independent School District tax, stating the School District increased the amount $153 per acre over what he had paid for it. The Council deferred action on these tracts so that it could see the property firsthand.

GAGE WESTERN INVESTMENT, INC.
2103 Anderson Lane, Tract 2, North Village, Parcel #2-3707-0242

By John B. Selman

The appeal covered the second floor of the North Village Shopping Center, which last year was used as a warehouse. This year it has been improved from a warehouse to a showroom. The conversion came to a little over $4.00 a foot, and is appraised at $5.14 or $5.00 as the case may be. They felt they were entitled to $1.00 reduction from the $5.14.

The Council deferred action until it could go look at the property.

GEORGE S. NALLE, JR.
201 Colorado St., Lots 11 & 12, Block 19, Parcel #2-0501-0406
600 West 10th St., Lot 4-A Resub. of Lots 1 thru 4, Block 129, Parcel #2-0800-1604

MR. NALLE'S appeal covered the new Regency at the corner of 10th and Nueces Street, pertaining to the percentage of completion as of January 1st. He had pictures of the structure from time to time. His appeal was only to the building.

Councilman Long moved that the Council uphold the Assessed value set by the Board of Equalization on the property at 201 Colorado as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land</th>
<th>Improvements</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$33,910</td>
<td>22,430</td>
<td>$56,340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following
vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

On the property at 600 West 10th Street, the Tax Assessor was asked to review the construction contract to see how much was paid out and try to determine the percentage of completion of the other building, and the Council would act accordingly.

POLLY B. BROOKS
By J. C. Hinsley

142 Acres, H. W. Cocke Sur. 431, Parcel #9-4-3147-0102

196 Acres, H. Haigwood Sur. 433, Parcel #9-4-3147-0101

115.20 Acres, Thomas Brite Sur. 65, Parcel #9-4-3157-0203

Off Brodie Lane, 3,571 Acres, Sam I. W. Hamilton Sur. 16, Parcel #9-4-2347-0103

200 Acres, Sam I. W. Hamilton Sur. 16, Parcel #9-4-2337-0110

MR. HINSLEY represented Mrs. Polly Blanton Brooks with respect to the property comprising some 4,400 acres of ranch land. After an extended, detailed discussion, the Council deferred action until it could go by and see the property.

ROY C. SANDERS

Off Old San Antonio Rd., 47.603 Acres, S. F. Slaughter Sur. 1, Parcel #9-4-4818-0104

The Tax Assessor and Collector, Mr. Klitgaard, reviewed this property in detail.

The Council deferred action until it could drive by and see the property and the area.

SMITH PROPERTIES INC.
By Ted Wendlandt

MR. TED WENDLANDT represented the properties on Spicewood Springs Road, Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 Ledgestone Cliffs and Cliff Drive; Lots 22 and 23 Ledgestone Cliffs and Spice-
wood Springs Road, 2.11 acres, Joseph Scott Survey. Mr. Wendlandt stated the property sold at $5500 in October. After discussion, Councilman Long moved that the Council reschedule this appeal until November 14, 1968.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

The following who were notified their tax appeals would be considered on this date, did not appear, and their appeals were continued:

ROSWELL G. MILLER
C. AUBREY SMITH, JR.
R. C. GRUMBLES
4701 Ridge Oak Drive
West IBM Plant
SW Hwy. 290

REPORT - CORPORATION COURT

Councilman Janes moved that the Council note receipt of the City Auditor's report regarding the Corporation Court and postponed further discussion until next week. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

NEW CLASSIFICATION - PAY PLAN

Councilman Long moved that the Council note receipt of the consultants report regarding the "New Classification - Pay Plan" and that they review it with the consultants next week. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

ANNEXATION ORDINANCE PASSED

Mayor Akin brought up the following ordinance for its final reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 18.64 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE THEODORE BISSEL LEAGUE IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJACES THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

REFUND CONTRACT

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CERTAIN CONTRACT WITH WALTER R. CARRINGTON, FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

PARADE PERMIT

The Council had before it a request for a parade permit on the following:

St. Stephens Baptist Church, By Rev. W. F. Palmer
From 1164 Angelina to 12th Street, East on 12th to 1100 Block.
On Dec. 1, 1968, from 1 P.M. to 2 P.M.

Councilman LaRue moved that the request for the parade permit be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None
ANNEXATION DEFERRED

The Council had before it for consideration the annexation of the following:

27.42 acres of land out of the William Cannon League and the Santiago Del Valle Grant - BATTLE BEND SPRINGS, SECTION ONE. (This tract of land is part of the 52.15 acres originally requested to be annexed 10-21-68)

The City Manager noted this ordinance does not encompass the same area, specifically. It does not include the Williamson Creek area that was included on the initial and original request for annexation. The City Attorney, Mr. Eskew, said 52.15 acres, included the 27.42 acres, was first requested, but part is not being deleted. The City Manager recommended to continue this if the Council wished, or go ahead and set it for hearing. They wanted to get back with the party requesting the annexation and review this further.

Councilman Long moved that the Council defer action on this annexation. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman LaRue, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

ANNEXATION SET FOR HEARING, November 21, 1968

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 12.07 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE WILLIAM CANNON LEAGUE IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOIN THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

Councilman Nichols moved that the ordinance be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin, and set for public hearing on November 21, 1968, at 10:30 A.M. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

STREET VACATION

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING FOR PUBLIC USE THAT CERTAIN PORTION OF MIDDLEBURY COVE IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; RETAINING AN EASEMENT IN
THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

November 7, 1968

THE CITY FOR PUBLIC UTILITY PURPOSES; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Nichols moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Nichols moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Nichols moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes, LaRue
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager stated the Council had considered some time ago an ordinance vacating a street in a new subdivision on a demonstration basis. Mr. Walter Carrington, developer, wants to cover the street and plant it; and he has agreed he will rededicate the street, remove the dirt and repair any damage to the pavement or curb. It is for a showplace, and is a temporary vacation of the street, which he will restore. In answer to Councilman Long's question if this were included in the ordinance, the City Attorney stated the ordinance was strictly a vacation ordinance, but this agreement is in writing.

ZONING HEARING - CONTINUED

Mayor Akin brought up for continued hearing the following zoning application:

DR. WALTER MEYER
405-409 West 30th Street
2925-2931 Fruth Street
From "O" Office
2nd Height and Area
To "GR" General Retail
2nd Height and Area
RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Nichols moved that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be upheld. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail, 2nd Height and Area, and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

ZONING ORDINANCES

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA AND CHANGING THE USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF '1954 AS FOLLOWS:

(1) A 28,240 SQUARE FOOT TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 3614-3616 BULL CREEK ROAD, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "GR" GENERAL RETAIL DISTRICT;
(2) LOTS 17-22, BLOCK 2, HYDE PARK SECTION 2, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 4200-4204 AVENUE A AND 500-502 WEST 42ND STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND SECOND HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT;
(3) A 1.41 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 2537-2611 INTERREGIONAL HIGHWAY, FROM SIXTH HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO FIFTH HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT;
(4) A 15,380 SQUARE FOOT PARCEL OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 705-707 WEST 9TH STREET AND 804-806 RIO GRANDE STREET, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "O" OFFICE DISTRICT;
(5) LOT 37, WALNUT HILLS SECTION 5, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 3304 NORTHEAST DRIVE AND 6500-6502 MANOR ROAD, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "BB" RESIDENCE DISTRICT;
(6) LOT 3 OF THE F. HASTER SUBDIVISION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1016 EAST 53RD STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; AND ADDITIONAL AREA: LOT 4 OF THE F. HASTER SUBDIVISION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1015 EAST 53RD STREET AND 5300-5302 INTERREGIONAL HIGHWAY, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT;
(7) LOTS 5, 6, 7 AND 8, BLOCK 107 OF THE ORIGINAL CITY OF AUSTIN, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 907-911 NUCES STREET, 501-517 WEST 10TH STREET AND 906-914 SAN ANTONIO STREET, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND SECOND HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT AND "O" OFFICE DISTRICT AND SECOND HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT AND "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT AND SECOND HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT AND THIRD HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT;
(8) LOT 8A, RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 7 AND 8, BLOCK 1, SILVERTON HEIGHTS, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 7102 GUADALUPE STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "BB" RESIDENCE DISTRICT;
(9) THE WESTERN PORTION OF LOT 5 AND ALL OF LOTS 6 AND 7, BLOCK 30, P. J. LAWLESS ADDITION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1110-1112 WEST 22ND STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT;
(10) A 28,950 SQUARE FOOT TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 3301-3305 KIM LANE, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "O" OFFICE DISTRICT;
(11) A 2.4 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1610-1638
The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS:
A TWO ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 5811-5903 MANOR ROAD, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None
Present But Not Voting: Councilman Nichols

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None
Present But Not Voting: Councilman Nichols
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None
Present But Not Voting: Councilman Nichols

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA AND CHANGING THE USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS:

(1) TRACT 1A: A 5.00 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 7300-7510 CAMERON ROAD, FROM INTERIM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND INTERIM FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "I" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT, LESS, HOWEVER, THAT TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS TRACT 1B HEREBIN BELOW;

TRACT 1B: A 50 FOOT STRIP OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 7300-7510 CAMERON ROAD, FROM INTERIM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND INTERIM FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT;

(2) TRACT 2: A 12.00 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS REAR OF 7300-7510 CAMERON ROAD, FROM INTERIM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND INTERIM FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT; ALL OF SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Nichols moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Nichols moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Nichols moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None
There being no further business, the Council adjourned at 9:00 P.M.

APPROVED:  

Mayor

ATTEST:  

Asst. City Clerk