MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS
Regular Meeting
February 15, 1968
10:00 A.M.
Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Akin presiding.

Roll Call:

Present: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Absent: None

Present also: Robert M. Tinstman, City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney

Mayor Akin welcomed all citizens present.

In the absence of REVEREND N. W. BACON, JR., the City Attorney, MR. DOREN ESKEW gave the invocation.

Councilman Janes moved that the Minutes of the Special Meeting of January 22, 1968 be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

Annual Report - Fire Prevention

The City Manager submitted the report as prepared and filed by the Fire Marshal and it was self-explanatory. Mayor Akin commended the Fire Marshal on the report, its comprehensiveness and informational data, stating it was a job well done. Councilman Long noted the report was very impressive. Councilman Long moved the Council accept the Report. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

Mayor Akin read the poem contained in the report "Ode to a Smoker" written by Torre Tinstman, Age 11.
Retiring City Employees

The Council recognized MR. OSCAR MARBURGER, who had worked for the City for 25 years in the Department of Public Works and who now was retiring. The Director of Public Works gave a resume of Mr. Marburger's good works throughout the years. MAYOR AKIN on behalf of the City Council expressed appreciation to Mr. Marburger for his long service to the community and wished him well. Councilman Long moved the Council congratulate Mr. Marburger and go on record as adopting an appropriate resolution to be presented to Mr. Marburger. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes, LaRue
Noes: None

The City Council recognized MR. GUY RUSSELL EZELLE, who had been Store Keeper at Central Stores for 31 years. Mayor Akin expressed appreciation to him in behalf of the Council, for his unusually long tenure of 31 years and three months with the City, indeed a significant period of service. Councilman Long moved the City Attorney be asked to draw an appropriate Resolution to present to Mr. Ezelle upon his retirement, and also to MR. LEE HOUSTON who was unable to be present this morning. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None

Report on "Holly-Decker Electrical Transmission System"

The City Manager noted this matter was continued for further consideration, and he called attention to an inadvertent error made in the report of last week, in that the price had been indicated $1.50 per square foot, when it should have been $1.50 per running foot. This information had been communicated to all interested citizens. Five of the owners have been furnished copies of the report.

The Director of Electric Utilities displayed on a map the area from Pedernales Street where the Holly Street Plant is located to the right of way for the Montopolis Drive. The steel towers and lines will be below the bluff line, and will be on the low level of the river flood plane, 425'. The length of the line will comprise a 100' right of way request, and in that, will be eleven acres of property on which easements are needed. Various property owners have been contacted and some have been signed up. The towers will be 60' or 65' tall depending on the lower elevation, so that the tops will be nearly level, the highest being by the dam. In most places, the area needed in the easement will be below the bluff line, and could be used for all types of uses other than building a house.

Councilman Long inquired about the possibility of having a mercury vapor light attached to each of these towers to provide light. The Director of Electric Utilities said this had been considered and as the towers were to be so near the water's edge, the lights could illuminate the whole valley. The eleven towers will be approximately 400' apart.

Councilman Nichols inquired on whose properties these towers would be located. Mr. Kinney pointed out two that would be on City property, one on Richard's Oil Company property; another on city property on Tillery Street; a
tower each on the Oliver and Samon properties; and on the property line between Mutual Savings and Lockhart properties. He pointed out the two for which they had permits. Another tower is located on City property, another on Bradfield and Cummins tract, and two on the Johnson tract.

Lengthy discussion was held from the floor on the distances between the towers particularly on the Oliver property and Tillery Street. The Director of Electric Utilities pointed out the towers were scaled on the map approximately 400' apart. He stated the Council was being requested to authorize the building on the easement lines as this approach would be the most economical. An alternate route would necessitate two very expensive river crossings. MRS. M. C. MOORE was concerned with the distances stated; MR. JOE KANETZKY was dissatisfied with the unsightliness of the towers, fear of losing or damaging his pecan trees, and that the line would be only 75' from his home. Councilman LaRue assured him the trees would not be ruined. The Director of Electric Utilities stated should a tree be on the exact spot where a tower is scheduled, the tower could be moved 20 or 25'. Another property owner said these lines would be in their back yard, and would be unsightly. She asked that the lines be buried. MR. JORDAN urged an alternate route, stating the northern route affected already developed property and this line would ruin every property owner. Also the river crossing would require less right of way from property owners. He was not satisfied with the prices being offered for the right of way on the north side.

MR. KIRK KUYKENDALL, represented the H. RICHARDS OIL COMPANY which had been located east of Pleasant Valley Road for over 30 years. The business had grown to such an extent in the last few years that they are using more space. The 100' easement will take one fifth of the Company's usable property along their property line. Capitol City Oil Company, a jobber for 20 Phillips Filling Stations is at this same location. The back portion of their property is used for storage of tank trucks and tank trailers. If they were under this line, and it should break, there would be a tremendous fire. Mr. Kuykendall understood 1st Street was to be widened, taking more land from H. Richards Oil Company, and they would need to relocate for more room. They had intended to extend their warehouse to the south. Councilman Long asked about the depth of the bluff at this location. Mr. Kinney stated the top of the bluff was 450' and the bottom was 440'. Mr. Kuykendall said they would not only lose part off the front of their property in the future, but the 100' off the back. The City has asked for a part of the west side of their property, which was deeded at a cost. Councilman Long asked if he did not think having Mt. Pleasant Road there did not make the property more valuable, and that the property was enhanced more than the cost of the property. Mr. Kuykendall stated he did not participate in that transaction. It was their position that the cost of acquiring the easement across the back of their property would result in tremendous damage of their property and it would be fair if the alternate route on the south side of the river were used. He wanted to voice the protest on behalf of the H. Richards Oil Company. MRS. MAUDE PEARSON, 2609 Canterbury stated her land ran from Canterbury to the old Colorado River bed and she suggested that the lines be put underground. She would also suggest that the property owner be notified on matters like this. At the Mayor's request, Mr. Kinney gave the estimated cost of underground lines as compared to these lines stating it would cost about 17 times as much as overhead, or approximately $1,147,000 underground as to $97,000 overhead from Holly Street to Montopolis. If any part is put underground, the whole 62 miles should be placed underground, and that would amount to $991,000.00.
Councilman Long said she would like to have General Electric or someone come in and make some estimates. Mr. Kinney said they had done that. Councilman Long asked for written or sworn testimony that it would cost 17 times as much. The City Manager stated the art of transmitting electricity was such that these high voltages were not placed underground. No conduit or cable is made for this purpose. In the electrical industry throughout the country, these high voltages are not placed underground, and for that reason there would be an unusually higher cost trying to insulate and protect the conduit system. Councilman Long stated there were new techniques— floating the conduits in helium or oil. She suggested in time this should be done. Councilman Janes asked if these people had the opportunity to review the information. The City Manager reported they had been furnished summaries, but there were quite a few documents that these people were welcome to read.

Mr. Kuykendall asked if the overhead estimate of $97,000 included the acquisition of right of way. The Director of Electric Utilities stated it did not— it was only for the building of the line. The cost to place it on the south side of the river would run about $20,000 more. Mr. Kuykendall asked how much land south of the river was city owned. Mr. Kinney showed him just the one piece where Capital Aggregates is located or about 600-700'. Mr. Kanetzky asked if this joined the Fagan Dickson property, and it was stated it did. Mr. Kuykendall asked if the difference in cost of the acquisition of property on the north side would not equal the savings of the extra cost they would have by moving the line to the south side. The City Manager stated they should be aware that the tracts on the north side are smaller tracts. Councilman Long noted on the north side there is the bank to screen the towers, while on the south side there is none. One citizen stated there would be only two or three property owners involved on the south side in which to meet in Court. She said there were eleven on the north side who want to fight to keep the line from their property. None would settle for $1.50 a running foot. She believed her property would be devalued 50%. She said this was a lake front and would be defaced by these towers. The City Manager read the recommendation to the Council reciting "That should some individual property owner feel that this installation would adversely affect his property to such an extent, that in lieu of the much more costly installation method or rather than paying unusually high right-of-way or severance costs, consideration should be given to acquiring the property in question in its entirety." It would be better to buy the entire tract, make the installation and then sell for whatever value it has at that point. The City Manager explained the general public was spending $10,000,000 or more to have an additional generating system available for the summer load in 1969-70. The two plants have to be connected, and this is a major and costly project; but the community will be depending on this additional generating capacity.

Mrs. Jordan did not want to sell their property but wanted an alternative plan. Water front property means a great deal to them. Mayor Akin asked if all alternate routes had been taken into consideration. The City Manager stated there was no question but what this could be placed on the south side. It would mean some additional cost as far as the installation is concerned; it has an additional complication from an operating standpoint of two river crossings; and the possibility both ways as to the right-of-way costs. These are indeterminate at this point. Various routes have been studied; and the southern route can be used. Councilman LaRue asked if there would be less opposition on the south side than on the north side? It was not known. Councilman Long asked how valid was the $20,000 additional cost. The Director of Electric Utilities said this would involve an additional tower and extra long crossings. No estimates had been made.
for right of way on either side. Councilman Janes asked if there were estimates on purchasing all of the property and reselling it? The City Manager stated some estimates could be made, and pointed out the two unknowns— the original acquisition, and the recovery.

Mention was made of the transmission line across the river at this time, and it was explained this was not a high powered line at all. The 138 KV is ten times as great. Mr. Kanetsky asked when all of the land was purchased and Decker Lake built, why the power line had not been planned at that time. The City Manager stated the preliminary plans anticipated this connecting transmission line; and in answer to Mr. Kanetsky's question of not being notified, he explained the plans were made public, but in preliminary plans, no effort is made to contact each property owner. This has been a matter of public knowledge for some time.

Mr. KUYKENDALL inquired about the operation costs. The City Manager said it would be less expensive to maintain the lines on land than over the river. Mr. Kuykendall was interested in the acquisition of right of way expense through condemnation proceedings. In answer to Councilman Long's question, Mr. Kinney stated the line would run about 4,000', and the estimated cost of the line underground would be $1,147,000 as against $97,000 for overhead installation. Detailed discussion was held on the cost and length of the line. Councilman Long asked about the part inside the city limits, less than a mile. Councilman LaRue asked about the footage of city owned land on the north side. Mr. Kinney stated there were 1500' on the north side and approximately 1,000' on the south. Councilman LaRue said the amount of land the city owns on the south bank should be taken into consideration as it owns almost two thirds of the property on the south bank that is owned on the north banks, and it should behoove the Council to look at this more carefully. He asked for opportunity to look into this again.

Councilman Long moved to continue this for another week. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

The City Manager stated all data would be checked and pinned down to a fine point and be available. Councilman Janes asked that the report of the relative cost of going underground or overhead be made available to these people. The City Attorney stated this and other information had been furnished them.

Decker Creek Equipment

MAYOR AKIN announced the opening of bids on equipment for Decker Creek Power Station No. 1.
Bids were opened on Contract X-124, Low-Voltage Distribution Apparatus as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>ALLIS CHALMERS</th>
<th>CUTLER-HAMMER INC.</th>
<th>FEDERAL PACIFIC ELEC.CO. INC.</th>
<th>GENERAL ELECTRIC CO.</th>
<th>ITE CIRCUIT BREAKER MFG. CO.</th>
<th>ROWELL WESTINGHOUSE ELEC. CORP.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BID BOND</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BIDDING UNIT NO. I**

- MOTOR CONTROL CENTERS & PANEL EGPI
  - BIDDING: $78,263 $45,987 $67,252 $60,945 $57,111 $51,893 $53,388

**BIDDING UNIT NO. II**

- MAIN AC DIST. PANEL ACPI AND DC PANEL DCP1
  - BIDDING: No Bid $1,550 $2,258 $4,181 $2,020 $2,223 No Bid

**BIDDING UNIT NO. III LUMP SUM FOR BIDDING UNITS I & II**

- $83,032 $47,537 $69,510 $65,126 $59,131 $54,116 $55,636

**BIDDING UNIT NO. IV FIELD REPRESENTATIVE**

- PER DIEM: $142 $154+ $136 $150 $128+exp
  - ESCALATION: $135 No. chg. Travel $136 Travel $150 $128+exp

**CAL. DAYS AFTER AWARD OF CONTRACT**

- FIRST ISSUE DRAWINGS: 28 30 21+ 56 42+ 30 90
- FINAL APPROVAL DRAWINGS: 56 60 14 100 21+ 90 120
- CERTIFIED DRAWINGS: 84 90 112 156 90 180 148
Bids were then opened on Contract X-131, Battery and Charger as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>GOULD NAT. BAT. INC.</th>
<th>EXIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BID BOND</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIDDING UNIT NO. I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATTERIES CHARGERS RACKS &amp; ACCESSORIES</td>
<td>$21,522.61</td>
<td>$16,822.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIDDING UNIT NO. II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIELD REPRESENTATIVE PER DIEM</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
<td>No Chg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCALATION</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAL. DAYS AFTER AWARD OF CONTRACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIRST ISSUE DRAWINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINAL APPROVAL DRAWINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERTIFIED DRAWINGS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bids were referred to the Director of Electric Utilities and Brown and Root, Consulting Engineers, for verification and recommendation.

Later in the afternoon meeting, the Consulting Engineer, and Director of Electric Utilities reported their recommendation in which the City Manager concurred, as follows:

"February 15, 1968
File: 2-1312-HOK

Mr. R. M. Tinstman, City Manager
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

LOW VOLTAGE DISTRIBUTION APPARATUS
CONTRACT X-124
DECKER CREEK POWER STATION
UNIT NUMBER ONE
OUR JOB CA-0003

Dear Mr. Tinstman:

"Brown & Root, Inc., has examined the bids received by you at 10:30 a.m., February 15, 1968, and opened in Council meeting, for Decker Creek Power Station, Unit Number One, Low-Voltage Distribution Apparatus, Contract X-124"
"Bids were submitted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Bid Bond</th>
<th>Bidding Unit #1</th>
<th>Bidding Unit #II</th>
<th>Bidding Unit #III</th>
<th>Escalation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Westinghouse Electric Corporation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$53,388.00</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
<td>$55,636.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Company</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$78,263.00</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
<td>$83,032.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric Co.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$60,945.00</td>
<td>$4,181.00</td>
<td>$65,126.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-T-E Circuit Breaker Company</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$57,111.00</td>
<td>$2,020.00</td>
<td>$59,131.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutler-Hammer, Inc.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$45,987.00</td>
<td>$1,550.00</td>
<td>$47,537.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell Electric Mfg. Co.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$51,893.00</td>
<td>$2,223.00</td>
<td>$54,116.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Pacific Electric Co.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$67,252.00</td>
<td>$2,258.00</td>
<td>$69,510.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"After an evaluation in accordance with the specifications it is recommended, on the basis of the lowest and best bid, that a contract be awarded to Cutler-Hammer, Inc., for Contract X-124, Low-Voltage Distribution Apparatus, Bidding Unit No. III, for the firm lump sum of $47,537.00."

Yours very truly,

BROWN & ROOT, INC.

/S/ H. O. Kirkland
Project Electrical Engineer

APPROVED:

/S/ D. C. Kinney, Director
Electric Utility

Councilman Nichols moved the Council accept the recommendation of the Consulting Engineers, and award Contract X-124, Low-Voltage Distribution Apparatus, Unit No. III, to CUTLER-HAMMER, INC., for the firm lump sum of $47,537.00. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The Council received the report and recommendation from the Consulting Engineers and Director of Electric Utilities as follows:
"February 15, 1968
File: E-1311-HOK

Mr. R. M. Tinstman, City Manager
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

BATTERY AND CHARGER, CONTRACT X-131
DECKER CREEK POWER STATION
UNIT NUMBER ONE
OUR JOB CA-0003

Dear Mr. Tinstman:

"Brown & Root, Inc., has examined the bids received by you at 10:30 a.m.,
February 15, 1968, and opened in Council meeting for Decker Creek Power
Station, Unit Number One, Battery and Charger, Contract X-131.

Bids were submitted as follows:

BIDDING UNIT NUMBER I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Bid Bond</th>
<th>Bid Price</th>
<th>Escalation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exide Industrial Marketing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$16,822.40</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division, ESB Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gould National Batteries,</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$21,522.61</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Batteries Div.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"After an evaluation in accordance with the specifications, it is recommended,
on the basis of the lowest and best bid, that a contract be awarded to Exide
Industrial Marketing Division, ESB Inc., for Contract X-131, Battery and Charger,
Bidding Unit Number I, for the firm lump sum of $16,822.40."

Yours very truly,

BROWN & ROOT, INC.

/S/ H. O. Kirkland
Project Electrical Engineer

APPROVED:

/S/ D. C. Kinney, Dir. Elec. Utility

This was the recommendation of the City Manager also.

Councilman Nichols moved the Council award Contract X-131 to EXIDE INDUSTRIAL MARKETING DIVISION, ESB, INC., for the firm lump sum of $16,822.40. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None
Hearing on change of Master Plan requested by MR. G. H. BRUSH

The Director of Planning stated this request pertained to a seven acre tract at the south east corner of Woodward Street and South Congress. In addition, specifically requested by Mr. Brush, was a request to change the designation of the Master Plan from Manufacturing and Related Uses to the medium density residential covering all of the area north and south of Ben White Boulevard up to Woodward. There is an additional area of single family houses, undeveloped land, and a small hospital located along Woodward. The residential streets where the single family houses are located includes Woodleigh and Braeswood Road. The Planning Commission recommended unanimously to the change in the plan of a little over 18 acres of land in Mr. Brush's original application, and in turn the additional area. Questions were raised by the staff and the Commission of possible redesignation of other land on the south side of Woodward Street, east of this immediate area, particularly the Penick property. This area was deferred until their plans were more concretely developed. He pointed out a dividing line between the residential and industry. He said there is a demand for the residential classification, but giving consideration for future changes. At Councilman Nichol's request, the Planning Director pointed out the Cemetery locations and stated the expansion of cemeteries would be no problem from the Master Plan but there may be some legal question under state laws.

Councilman Long asked if the people in the area had been notified. The Planning Director stated only by newspaper publications. She inquired if there were industrial uses in the area or two or three lot areas that are not being used for homes? It was stated there was an undeveloped tract of land adjacent to St. Jude's Hospital. Councilman Long stated these people should be notified, as someone may be holding property for industrial development and it is about to be redesignated to residential. The Planning Director explained the owners of the underdeveloped land had filed a preliminary subdivision plan for single family homes. From all information they had recently, there was no indication of possible industrial use of this area. Councilman Long asked that the land owner be notified to see if he would have any objection. The Planning Director stated he would notify the property owner. He said this change to Medium Density Residential would not inhibit the use for commercial. The Director of Planning stated he would notify these owners and report back to the Council. Councilman Janes concurred in that where the Council was in effect zoning, that the property owners should be notified. Later in the afternoon meeting the Director of Planning made a detailed report on the various lots and construction, and on the vacant area.

Councilman Nichols moved the Council vote to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

(That the land use designation for approximately 20 acres of land located at the southeast corner of South Congress Avenue and Woodward Street to Woodleigh Street be changed from manufacturing and related uses to medium-density residential)
Consideration of setting public hearing on amendment to Glen Oaks Urban Renewal Plan at request of Mr. Clarence Flournoy

Regarding the Council's scheduling a public hearing on March 28, MR. LEON LURIE, Urban Renewal Agency said this request was made by Mr. Clarence Flournoy after consultation with the Urban Renewal Board of Commissioners the day before, on a change to the Glen Oaks Urban Renewal Plan. This matter had been before the Planning Commission over a week ago. He stated at this time an equitable arrangement had been worked out with Mr. Flournoy on the property; however, Mr. Flournoy has not had an opportunity to get formal notification to the Council. Councilman Nichols stated he understood this property had been purchased from Mr. Flournoy and that the zoning on the property now is satisfactory. The Council deferred action for one week.

In line with Urban Renewal generally, MRS. FAY URSULA BROWN, who had owned 1711 East 12th, in the Kealing Project, for 25 years reported, Urban Renewal condemned her home and small business. Her house was in good condition; and a similar place could not be bought today. She did not want to give up her home, but it was condemned and she was given $11,000, but she has no business now, and is unemployed. She said she was not compensated for the business; nor had she received anything for relocating. She was bitterly opposed to having to give up her nice home, and to Urban Renewal's permitting these old houses to be moved into the area and repaired. She wanted to insist that only new homes be built, and that these substandard dwellings not be considered. She said her home was far better than any being considered to be brought in, remodeled and sold; and that she could not take the money received for her property and repurchase anything as nice as she had. She showed pictures of the houses remaining which were in worse condition than her house. She had operated the Gay Paree, a night club, since her retirement as a teacher and librarian. MR. LEON LURIE, Director of Urban Renewal, was not familiar with the transaction, but would get the file and review it with Mrs. Brown. In this particular area, on 12th Street, there is commercial property; and under the provisions of the Kealing Urban Renewal Plan on 12th Street no lounge was to remain in the area. (2) Older houses are being moved into the area. Plans and specifications for the complete renovation of these structures were presented and approved by the Agency. These structures will be better or at least as good as new structures would be in the area. There will be no old structures in the area that are not brought up to standard. These will be lovely structures of two or four bedrooms developed by International Cooperatives. He invited Mrs. Brown to come before the Urban Renewal Board at its next meeting in March to discuss this situation and then they could bring a full report back to the Council on this particular matter.

Councilman LaRue asked if the property is to be resold. Mr. Lurie stated three lots were advertised for sale just the past week. Two commercial lots have 50' frontage at $7500 based on the reuse appraisals. Councilman Long asked Mrs. Brown to appear before the Board and get all the information she could, and the Council would have a report on her case. Mrs. Brown urged the Council not to permit the bringing of those old shacks into the area. Mr. Lurie said the financing was 3% interest money for 25-30 year period, and the majority of the payment was going to the house payment. Councilman LaRue reviewed Mrs. Brown's report on her property, in that she received $11,000 on the total lot and improvement; but she actually received $3554 for all of the improvements. Mr. Lurie stated $11,000 paid into the Court House; $3554.70 in delinquent taxes and $800 in State and County taxes. This was for one lot and the Gay Paree Lounge on
the rear of the 50' commercially zoned lot. Councilman LaRue asked if she felt she could not pay $7,500 for a lot since she received only $3,554 for the improvement. Mrs. Brown stated she was supposed to have a home but she did not. Mr. Lurie stated the staff would work with her in finding a home or another business or whatever her desires were. The City Manager stated this matter on the Agenda today was an effort to provide at as reasonable cost as possible some adequate dwelling units and to encourage home ownership rather than rent units. This is a new aspect of the program, and he suggested that this program be tried. Mr. Lurie referred to a project brought in about two years ago that was an old house, and an excellent job was done in making it into a nice structure. This is an example of what can be done. Mr. Lurie again stated he would be happy to help Mrs. Brown find a new house. Councilman Long added if she had not been reimburshed for the business, this should be considered. She stated this new program would enable people to purchase homes and paying less than they would for rent.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, on February 6, 1968, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 29-68, by which the Board accepted the bid of International Cooperative Inc. for the purchase of structures located on parcels No. A-46, B-79, B-120, C-10 and C-20 of the Glen Oaks Urban Renewal Project No. Tex. R-70, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolution No. 29-68, as an official action of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolution is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, executed copies of said Resolution were forwarded to the City Council on the 12th day of February, 1968, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the price and conditions of the proposed sale of said property; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the price and conditions of said bid as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolution Number 29-68;

NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the price and conditions set forth in the Bid of International Cooperative Inc. for the purchase of structures located on parcels No. A-46, B-79, B-120, C-10 and C-20, in the Glen Oaks Urban Renewal Project No. Tex. R-70, are hereby approved.
The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, on February 6, 1968, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolutions Number 24-68, 25-68, 26-68, and 27-68, by which the Board accepted the bid of International Cooperative Inc. for the purchase of parcels R-10(1), R-10(2), R-7, R-8, tracts of land situated in the Kealing Urban Renewal Project No. Tex. R-20, and more particularly described in said Resolutions; and,

WHEREAS, on January 9, 1968, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 5-68, by which the Board accepted the bid of International Cooperative Inc. for the purchase of parcel R-6, a tract of land situated in the Kealing Urban Renewal Project No. Tex. R-20, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolutions Number 5-68, 24-68, 25-68, 26-68 and 27-68, as official actions of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, are public records on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolutions are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, executed copies of said Resolutions were forwarded to the City Council on the 7th day of February, 1968, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the price and conditions of the proposed sale of said property; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the price and conditions of said bids as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolutions Number 5-68, 24-68, 25-68, 26-68 and 27-68;

NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the price and conditions set forth in the Bids of International Cooperative Inc. for the purchase of parcels No. R-6, R-10(1), R-10(2), R-7 and R-8, in the Kealing Urban Renewal Project No. Tex. R-20, are hereby approved.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None
Councilman Janes asked Mr. Lurie about the traffic situation on Burnet Highway. Mr. Lurie reported two accidents since the group appeared before the Council. He said the parents would not allow their children to cross the street, and the patrolman has very little to do, as there are very few children crossing this street.

Lake Sanitation Ordinance

Mayor Akin brought up the following ordinance for its second reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 BY ADDING THERETO A NEW CHAPTER PERTAINING TO SANITATION OF THE AUSTIN WATER SUPPLY IN TRAVIS COUNTY: DEFINING TERMS: PROHIBITING POLLUTION OF THE AUSTIN WATER SUPPLY; REGULATING TREATMENT PLANTS WITHIN THE AUSTIN WATERSHED; REQUIRING PERMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A SEPTIC TANK OR CESSPOOL IN CERTAIN AREAS; PRESCRIBING PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING PERMITS, INSPECTIONS, AND PAYMENT OF FEES; REGULATING WATERCRAFT AND MARINE SANITATION ON THE AUSTIN WATER SUPPLY; REGULATING DRINKING WATER FOR PUBLIC USE OF THE AUSTIN WATER SUPPLY; MAKING VIOLATION OF SUCH CHAPTER A MISDEMEANOR; PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF PERMITS ISSUED UNDER SUCH CHAPTER AND APPEAL THEREFROM; PROVIDING A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; AND CREATING AN EMERGENCY.

Regarding the Lake Sanitation Ordinance the City Attorney stated the recommendation the Council had before it from the Health Department on the amounts of the fees were included in the material placed before each Council Member this morning. Discussion of the fees was held. Councilman Long opposed a $5.00 fee for individuals. The City Manager pointed out that the $5.00 would not even cover the cost of travel, expense, and salary time. The Sanitary Engineer explained the initial fee was $10.00 for inspecting the existing installation; the $22.50 was for inspection fee when the septic tank was built; and then $5.00 annually. On Page 18, there is provided a $10.00 fee for inspection boats with toilet facilities, and a fee of $2.50 for a 30 day permit for transit people. The Sanitary Engineer stated they were going to try to enforce the ordinance and would ask the cooperation of the marinas and launching places. Councilman Janes brought up the enforcement of the ordinance, particularly on Lake Travis. The City Manager stated they were concerned that they would be able to do an adequate job of administering and enforcing the ordinance. The fact this is a public water supply would justify an unusual degree of enforcement and regulation to protect that public water supply as a public health situation. The revenue from these inspection fees would not equal half of the cost to provide the inspection of the sanitary facilities. Councilman Long did not think that people should be charged fees for everything, because they pay taxes. It was brought out then the tax levies should be raised to finance the special inspection services. Councilman LaRue noted in the inspections, some of the tanks within the 200' might have to be inspected more than once a year in case of heavy rain, or flooding. He did not think these fees were too high. Councilman Janes stated the 3000' limit would put one in a different watershed and he asked if the ordinance should be limited to the drainage area that goes into the Colorado River. The City Attorney stated this was covered in the definition and he read the definition of Austin water supply, the Austin Watershed; and the Control Area.
If the definition were not sufficient the severability clause would protect the ordinance. Councilman Janes did not believe it to be good practice to pass legislation not knowing if it could be enforced. The Sanitary Engineer stated he intended to enforce the Ordinance to the best of his ability. Councilman Janes asked what would be a reasonable budget assumption for enforcement on Lake Travis. Mr. Hargis said it would take two men, travel, and incidental expenses to patrol this entire area--one on land and one on water. He would purchase a motor and rent a boat. Mr. KIBLIN of Lakeway asked if this were a city undertaking or combination of city and county. Mayor Akin stated this was the City of Austin Travis County Health Department. It is a combination. Mr. Kiblin was interested in the control level of Lake Travis. It was stated to be 681'. Mr. Hargis said they worked with the people on Lake Travis very nicely. Councilman LaRue stated the City had no choice but to enforce the ordinance. Councilman Janes said it had been represented to him that at present Lake Travis presented no particular problem to the Austin water supply and it would be more reasonable to limit the enforcement to Lake Austin rather than to try to take in all of the other area. Mayor Akin stated as time progressed, there would be combined working conditions with the surrounding counties, and this is a start in the right direction. In answer to Councilman Janes' question, Mr. Hargis stated he was not notified of all construction on Lake Travis, but he kept in touch with it closely.

Councilman Long moved the Lake Sanitation Ordinance be passed to its third reading with the proposed corrections as drawn by the City Attorney. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

Councilman Long stated she opposed the fees but would vote for the ordinance. Councilman Janes stated he would vote for the ordinance, but he preferred that the limitations be on Lake Austin until Lake Austin was brought under control.

The City Attorney explained that the draft of the ordinance distributed to the Council is not the final form; it was handed to the members, to check the difference in the original draft and the amended ordinance. Copies of the final draft were to be furnished the Council next week.

Acquisition of property for Missouri Pacific Boulevard

MR. RAY W. WALLIS, owner of 5007 Valley Oak Drive, said he had placed about $5,000 improvements on the property and had been offered $700 more than they paid for the home. He asked that the Council consider the purchase price offered by the City. Councilman Nichols read the recommendation by the City Manager. Mr. Wallis stated he would accept the recommendation.

Councilman Nichols moved the City Manager be authorized to purchase the property at 5007 Valley Oak Drive at $19,500. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None
Mayor Akin called the meeting to order at 12:00 P.M. and read the agenda. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Councilman Nichols and seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes

Noes: None

The Council recessed at 12:30 P.M.

RECESS MEETING 2:30 P.M.

At 2:30 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

Naming of Drives at Municipal Airport

Councilman Long suggested rather than having names of the drives at the Airport foreign to Austin that something synonymous with the area be selected. She suggested Mesquite Drive, Cactus Drive, Sage and Montana or perhaps naming them after the four former City Managers; but to have any significant meaning, the streets would have to carry the given name also. Names after certain plants that grow in this area would be appropriate. She suggested continuing Pershing Drive all the way through.

Councilman Long moved that the drives at the Municipal Airport be named Pershing Circle East, Pershing Circle West, Lantana Drive, Catclaw Drive, East Mesquite Drive, West Mesquite Drive and Jasmine Drive. (As depicted on Exhibit "A" attached to following resolution setting out one-way traffic direction.) The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes

Noes: None
Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, after an engineering and traffic investigation, the City Council has found that the free flow and expeditious handling of traffic under conditions existing at the locations described below at Robert Mueller Municipal Airport require that traffic at such locations depicted on Exhibit "A" attached hereto, move only in a one-way direction, such locations being described as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>DIRECTION OF ONE-WAY MOVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pershing Circle</td>
<td>Manor Road</td>
<td>Lantana Drive</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Lantana Drive</td>
<td>Manor Road</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing Circle</td>
<td>Pershing Circle East</td>
<td>Pershing Circle East</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>Sage Drive</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lantana Drive</td>
<td>Pershing Circle East</td>
<td>Jasmine Drive</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catclaw Drive</td>
<td>Pershing Circle East</td>
<td>West</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Mesquite</td>
<td>Pershing Circle West</td>
<td>Pershing Circle West</td>
<td>South;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>West</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmine Drive</td>
<td>West Mesquite Drive</td>
<td>Pershing Circle West</td>
<td>South;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>West</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be and she is hereby authorized and directed to record this finding in Section 33.38 of the Traffic Register.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

(Exhibit "A" Attached on Following Page)
Zoning Ordinances

The Council deferred action at the request of the Attorney, Mr. Richard Baker on the following zoning ordinance:

DAVID B. BARROW, ET AL
1200-1222 and 1201-1227 Algarita Avenue
2000-2022 Farmers Drive
1201-1225 Mariposa Drive

From "GR" 6th H&A To "GR" 2nd H&A

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: LOT 18, BLOCK F, BURNET HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 2000 KOENIG LANE, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "LR" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: A 29,435 SQUARE FOOT TRACT OF LAND LOCALLY KNOWN AS 3106-3110 RED RIVER STREET AND 815-821 EAST 32ND STREET, FROM "O" OFFICE DISTRICT TO "LR" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.
The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Janes moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: Councilman Long

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Janes moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: Councilman Long

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Janes moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: Councilman Long

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Final Reading of Ordinance Making Emergency Appropriations

Mayor Akin brought up the following ordinance for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 670925-A TO APPROPRIATE UNAPPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR VARIOUS ESSENTIAL PURPOSES; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Councilman Nichols asked that the final reading of the ordinance making emergency appropriations be deferred one week. Councilman Long noted this was the ordinance having to do with the Model City. Councilman Janes said other emergency appropriations were included also and he was not voting against any of the appropriations except the one for the Model City planning program. The City Manager stated the staff recommended the entire ordinance; but because of the other provisions in the ordinance, the appropriation of items already under way such as wage increases for employees, Fiesta Gardens, etc., he recommended the passage of the ordinance deleting any item as may be necessary. The City Manager stated he was reluctant until the Council appropriated the funds, to start the staff and operations of Fiesta Gardens, and other projects.

Councilman Long stated the Council could have a called meeting on three separate days; and if three members of the Council would want to pass an ordinance including the appropriation for Model Cities it could be done. Councilman LaRue stated one Council Member had asked that the ordinance be held for a week; and unless there is a need or necessity as described by the City Manager it seems the request might be granted.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Janes moved the ordinance be finally passed with the deletion of the appropriation for the Model Cities Planning Aspect. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None
Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING FOR PUBLIC USE THAT CERTAIN PORTION OF WOODSTONE DRIVE IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The Council had before it for consideration the annexation of 5.59 acres of land, a portion of a 5.84 acre tract out of the Santiago Del Valle Grant. (Requested by owners) It was explained the difference in acreage to be annexed and the remainder of the 5.84 tract was that the remaining portion was already in the City.

Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 5.59 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE SANTIAGO DEL VALLE GRANT IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin and set for public hearing on February 29, 1968 at 10:30 A.M. The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None
Mayor Akin introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING FOR PUBLIC USE THAT CERTAIN PORTION OF ANN ARBOR AVENUE ALLEY IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

The Director of Public Works reported the property owner (ROY GRUNT) at the end of the alley had agreed to the vacation.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the shoreline improvements as described below and shown on the attached plan on the property owned by MRS. STERLING ADAIR as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known locally as 1713 Channel Road and hereby authorizes the said MRS. STERLING ADAIR to construct and maintain a retaining wall as described on the attached plan in compliance with all laws and ordinances relating thereto. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said MRS. STERLING ADAIR has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to comply with any such conditions, regulations, laws and ordinances.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

(Plan attached on following page)
It's all Clear
The chain improvement
was indeed 15

...in a long sentence... make the trip
Councilman Long wanted to make the drive up on the lake the next time the Building Official went.

The Council had before it for approval off-street parking spaces at 2101 Rio Grande Street. The Building Official stated there would be 12 apartment units erected, and 18 parking spaces provided, and he would recommend the approval.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, there has been submitted to the Building Inspector, the application of C. C. Nolen for a building permit together with a site plan dated February 12, 1968, meeting the requirements of Section 10-B, 3 of the Zoning Ordinance of the City, for certain building establishment at 2101 Rio Grande Street, more particularly described in said application; and,

WHEREAS, it has been found and determined by the City Council of the City of Austin that, based upon the use of the premises for the purpose of erecting a 12-unit apartment house the maximum number of parking spaces which will probably be used by employees and customers of such establishment, taking into account the loading facilities on the site, the public parking areas and the street space available for parking in the vicinity, public safety, and free circulation of traffic both on and off the site, is eighteen (18) parking spaces;

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That eighteen (18) spaces is an adequate number of parking spaces for the establishment shown on the site plan of C. C. Nolen dated February 12, 1968, for use of the premises for the purpose of erecting a 12-unit apartment house.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue
Noes: None

The City Manager stated the reason the item of setting a time of zoning hearings on March 21st was placed on the Agenda is there are 27 zoning cases for that date, and he asked if the Council wanted to hear one group at 10:30 and the other group at 2:30. Councilman Nichols moved that half of the zoning cases be set for hearing at 10:30 A. M. and the other half be set at 2:30 P. M. on March 21st. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

Councilman Long suggested scheduling those that were recommended at 10:30, so the Council could complete other business.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin by Resolution dated
December 31, 1959, authorized the City Manager to enter into a contract for the acquisition of right-of-way on U. S. Highway 183 near the Montopolis Bridge in Austin, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, acting in compliance with the terms of this contract, the City of Austin has taken the fee simple title by Final Judgment to that certain tract of land described in a condemnation cause of action Number 284, styled City of Austin v. S. B. Wingfield, et al, in the County Court of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, it is now necessary to transfer the fee simple title to the above referred tract of land to the State of Texas; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to transfer the fee simple title to the following described tract of land to the State of Texas in compliance with the above described contract:

0.432 of one acre of land, same being out of and a part of Lots 1 and 2, Block 1 of Mohle Addition, a subdivision of a portion of the Santiago Del Valle Grant in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Mohle Addition of record in Book 4 at Page 315 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lots 1 and 2, together with other property, were conveyed to S. B. Wingfield by Warranty Deed dated March 4, 1967 of record in Volume 1793 at Page 111 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, said 0.432 of one acre of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a concrete monument at the northeast corner as fenced of the said Lot 1, same being a point on the present west right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183;

THENCE, with the present west line as fenced of U. S. Highway No. 183, same being the east line of the said Lots 1 and 2, S 27° 19' E 149.06 feet to an iron stake at the southeast corner as fenced of the said Lot 2;

THENCE, with the south line as fenced of the said Lot 2, S 58° 16' W 115.65 feet to an iron stake in the proposed west right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183;

THENCE, with the proposed west right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183 N 33° 59' W 153.67 feet to an iron stake on the north line as fenced of the said Lot 1; and from which iron stake the northwest corner of the said Lot 1 bears S 60° 24' W 78.12 feet;

THENCE, with the north line as fenced of the said Lot 1 N 60° 24' E 133.25 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None
Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin by Resolution dated December 31, 1959, authorized the City Manager to enter into a contract for the acquisition of right-of-way on U. S. Highway 183 near the Montopolis Bridge in Austin, Texas; and

WHEREAS, acting in compliance with the terms of this contract, the City of Austin has taken the fee simple title by Final Judgment to that certain tract of land described in a condemnation cause of action Number 290, styled City of Austin v. Capitol Feed & Milling Company, Inc., in the County Court of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, it is now necessary to transfer the fee simple title to the above referred tract of land to the State of Texas; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to transfer the fee simple title to the following described tract of land to the State of Texas in compliance with the above described contract:

0.4988 of one acre of land, same being out of and a part of that certain tract of land, which lies partly within and partly without the corporate limits of the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, out of the Santiago Del Valle Grant, which was conveyed to R. L. Wyatt, Sr. et al by the following two (2) warranty deeds; (1) dated February 9, 1954 of record in Volume 1454 at Page 110 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; (2) dated October 30, 1954 of record in Volume 1529 at Page 195 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; said 0.4988 of one acre of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at the most southerly corner of the herein described tract of land, same being the most southerly corner of the said Wyatt et al tract of land as described in the aforementioned deed of record in Volume 1454 at page 110 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, said point of beginning being also on the present east right of way line of U. S. Highway 183;

THENCE, with the present east right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183, same being the west line of the said Wyatt et al tract of land as described in the aforementioned deed of record in Volume 1529 at Page 195 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, in all a distance of 203.78 feet to the point of curvature of a curve whose angle of intersection is 4° 40', whose radius is 11,459.20 feet, and whose tangent distance is 466.96 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the present east right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183, same being the west line of the said Wyatt et al tract of land, along said curve to the left an arc distance of 60.90 feet, the subchord of which arc bears N 25° 33' W 60.88 feet to the most westerly corner of the said Wyatt et al tract of land as described in the aforementioned deed of record in Volume 1529 at Page 195 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas;
THENCE, with the northwest line of the said Wyatt et al tract of land N 37° 45' E at 0.21 of one foot passing an iron stake, in all a distance of 89.85 feet to an iron stake on the proposed curving east right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183, said curve having an intersection angle of 4° 38', a radius of 11,514.20 feet, and a tangent distance of 465.81 feet, said iron stake being also at the most northerly corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with the proposed curving east right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183, same being the east line of the herein described tract of land, along said curve to the right an arc distance of 101.61 feet, the subchord of which arc bears S 25° 32' E 101.58 feet to an iron stake at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed east right of way line of U. S. Highway No. 183, same being the east line of the herein described tract of land, S 25° 17' E, at 126.99 feet passing an iron stake on the common line of the said Wyatt et al tracts of land as described in the aforementioned Warranty Deeds in all a distance of 175.91 feet to the most easterly corner of the herein described tract of land, same being on the southeast line of the said Wyatt et al tract of land as described in the aforementioned deed of record in Volume 1454 at Page 110 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, and from which iron stake at the north-east corner of the said Wyatt et al tract of land as described in the aforementioned deed of record in Volume 1454 at Page 110 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, bears N 45° 28' E 848 feet more or less;

THENCE, with the southeast line of the said Wyatt et al tract of land, same being the southeast line of the herein described tract of land, S 45° W 84.66 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

TABULATION OF BIDS

REAR LOADING REFUSE COLLECTION TRUCKS

"Sealed bids were opened in the office of the Purchasing Agent at 10:00 A. M. February 2, 1968 for two (2) Rear Loading 16 Cubic Yard Refuse Collection Trucks with two (2) Trucks to be traded in on the new equipment. Invitations to bid were sent to all known manufacturers of this type equipment and to local truck dealers. Bidders were given the opportunity to bid in three ways: (1) Complete units including trade-ins, (2) Body only including trade-ins (3) Cab and Chassis only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidders</th>
<th>Net Difference</th>
<th>Brand Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Harvester Co.</td>
<td>$18,596.00</td>
<td>International-Hyd-Pak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"Bidders                        Net Difference     Brand Name

#1A Alternate Bid - Body Only

Davis Truck & Equipment Co.    $ 8,370.00       Heil
Girard Machinery & Supply Co.  9,398.00            Leach

"#1B Alternate Bid - Cab & Chassis Only

International Harvester Co.   $11,310.00       International, Del. to Wisconsin (Girard)
International Harvester Co.   $11,450.00       International, Del. to Dallas (Davis)

"Combination of Body and Cab & Chassis

Davis Truck & Equipment Co.    $ 8,370.00       Heil
International Harvester Co.    11,450.00         International
                              $19,820.00
Girard Machinery & Supply Co.  $ 9,398.00       Leach
International Harvester Co.    11,310.00         International
                              $20,708.00

"*Our specifications state "Body quoted must have been in full production by manufacturer for a minimum period of one year". We have a letter from International Harvester Company and Hobbs Hyd-Pak stating the Hyd-Pak Body quoted has only been in factory production since October 1967; therefore, this bid does not meet this requirement of our specifications."

Councilman Long noted there was one bid declared as not meeting specifications because the company had been making these trucks for less than a year and asked if this would have been a low bid. The City Manager stated it would have been the low bid, had the Company been in this production at least for one year and it would be well to know if the equipment had been in production in the factory. The Director of Public Works said when bids were taken next time for these trucks, this company would be qualified. The City Manager said the new types of trucks being used in the Sanitation Division are good units, are safer, and are easier on the men.

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on February 2, 1968, for the purchase of two (2) Trucks to be traded in, for use by the Sanitation Division of the Department of Public Works; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Davis Truck & Equipment Company, in the sum of $8,370.00, for two Heil Rear Loading 16 Cubic Yard Refuse Collection Bodies, including two (2) trucks to be traded in; the bid of International Harvester Company, in the sum of $11,450.00 for two (2) International Cab & Chassis, were the lowest and best bids therefor and the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by
BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Davis Truck & Equipment Company, in the sum of $8,370.00, and the bid of International Harvester Company, in the sum of $11,450.00, be and the same are hereby accepted, and that R. M. Tinstman, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the City, with Davis Truck & Equipment Company and International Harvester Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: None

Councilman Long asked if the City Officials were aware of the new trend of using paper sacks instead of garbage containers. These sacks make it easier in lifting. The only drawback she noted was the expense of the sacks. The amount would add to the cost. Councilman LaRue reported these were available in Austin now and some companies are using them. The Director of Public Works stated a preliminary estimate made on this process revealed the cost would be over $100,000 for the sacks plus the matter of bookkeeping. Brief discussion was held. It was brought out it would cost at least 80¢ more per month. The use of these sacks has its advantages and disadvantages; but more checking into this idea is being done.

Parking Meters

On the Parking Meter Control at Municipal Airport, Councilman Long asked if this could not be set for a penny a minute instead of five cents for 12 minutes, and suggested making the parking meter time 15 minutes for a nickle. In discussing the contract with the Concessionaire, it was stated he guaranteed the City $450,000 in ten years. Councilman Long stated the City was paying for patrolling the lot and keeping the traffic flowing, and that was amounting to about $9,000 a year. Councilman LaRue said this would be recouped from the parking meters. The City Manager reported he had previous experience with the Parking Company who has this contract, and they are fair and do an excellent job, and he certainly would have recommended them.

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, after an engineering and traffic investigation, the City Council has found that traffic conditions at the location designated below is such that an urgent need for enforcement of strict limits upon the time of parking vehicles at this location makes it advisable to use mechanical devices in such enforcement and has found that such location at Robert Mueller Municipal Airport depicted on Exhibit "A" attached hereto, should be placed in the following Parking Meter Zone:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZONE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lantana Drive</td>
<td>Pershing Circle East</td>
<td>Pershing Circle West</td>
<td>South;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the location described above be and the same is hereby placed in Parking Meter Zone 12, and that the City Clerk be, and she is hereby authorized and instructed to record this finding in Section 33.43 of the Traffic Register.

(Exhibit "A" attached on following page)

The motion was seconded by Councilman Janes.

Councilman Long moved to amend the motion to make the time 20 minutes for five cents instead of 12 minutes. The motion failed to carry by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long
Noes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue

Councilman Long moved to amend the original motion to be 15 minutes for five cents instead of 12 minutes. The motion failed to carry the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long
Noes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Nichols

Councilman LaRue's motion to adopt the Resolution establishing Parking Meter Control - 12 minutes for $0.05 carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Nichols, Mayor Akin
Noes: Councilman Long

The City Manager with respect to the Parking Meter Control said if there were any unforeseen difficulties occurring, he would be back to the Council. The Twelve minute limit is a fairly standard trend for this type of parking, this distance from a terminal. The Director of Aviation reported the parking had been controlled with warning tickets, and many people had commended this controlled parking. Councilman LaRue stated those who use the Airport are more than willing to pay the nickel for the opportunity. Councilman Long stated all Airports were sightseeing areas. Airports in the European countries are favorite spots for people to take their children on the week ends, and she felt that some of the entertainment was being taken away from the children. The Council declined parking privileges at the Airport.
Demolition of Building

The City Manager reported a hazardous structure at the rear of 2006 South Congress on which the Building Standards Commission previously had taken action. He said the Building Official had been in contact with the property owner, and they had been in Court on the Matter. He recommended that the Council declare this particular building unsafe, supporting the action of the Building Standards Commission. Should it become necessary to move quickly on this, they then would have the proper authorization to destroy the structure. The Building Official identified the building as one adjacent to the old HEB Building, and it had been used as a washateria. The owner took out a permit to demolish the structure, but it was only partially done. Part of the walls are falling and it is dangerous.

Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to take whatever steps are necessary to uphold the Building Standards Commission at 2006 South Congress. The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes, LaRue, Long
Noes: None

Councilman Long inquired if the structure on Sabine Street between 14th and 13th Streets had been condemned as it had been partially burned. The Building Official reported it had not been condemned, and that it was in the Urban Renewal area and would be torn down. He said he would check with Mr. Leon Lurie; and if it would not affect the project he would be glad to go ahead and demolish it. Councilman Long suggested that he do that, as it probably is dangerous.

Councilman Nichols asked what was being done to sell power for the City of Austin at this time. The City Manager stated a number of Department heads and he were working together reviewing the overall program—customer service, customer development and sales. He said he would have some recommendations to the Council within the next few weeks. In the meantime there is still some staff in the Utility office that handles the day to day work, including estimating services for people wanting all electric type of construction. The review of these overall programs may affect the type of program as well as the people who would be involved. Councilman Nichols stated the City was going to have to become competitive as it would in private industry.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Citizens Committee on Lake Sanitation be asked to continue their study on lake sanitation and emphasize the points brought out by Mrs. LaNell P. Webb in her very graphic presentation last Thursday (February 8, 1968). The motion, seconded by Councilman Nichols, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Nichols, Mayor Akin, Councilman Janes
Noes: None
Councilman Janes in voting "aye" added "With some practical solutions."

Councilman Janes asked the Building Official if he had noticed a two story delapidated house on East 34th Street, about two blocks east of the Interregional Highway on the southeast corner. The Building Official reported someone had called his attention to this structure, and he found at that time it was occupied.
but it may not be now and he would investigate this further.

Councilman Nichols asked when was the Council going to have an Executive Session to consider applicants for Judgeship for the Corporation Court. Councilman Long stated the Bar Association had not made its report and it was the request of the majority of the Council that the recommendations be brought in. Councilman Long asked that these recommendations be hand delivered to the Council so they could do some interviewing before hand. Mayor Akin reported his last information from the Chairman of the Bar Committee indicated they were working toward a session to evaluate the applications on Saturday of this week and hoped to have a report the early part of next week.

Mayor Akin inquired about the City Manager's letter of February 12 regarding payroll deduction services and personnel policies. Since it was indicated not all of the members had received their letter, the matter was deferred until they had an opportunity to read the letter.

MR. JOHN O'BRIEN, KVET, stated two weeks ago it was suggested that the City look into the possibility of asking the Governor to use his influence to stagger the working hours. The City Manager replied he had called, and had asked several people, including the Governor's office, and other agencies to give some preliminary thought to this possibility, and let him know so that he in turn could relay their reaction at this time to the Council. He said they had asked for preliminary reaction.

There being no further business, Councilman LaRue moved the Council adjourn. The motion seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Akin, Councilmen Janes, LaRue, Long, Nichols
Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 3:45 P. M.

APPROVED

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk