

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

August 26, 1965
10:00 A. M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Dudley Fowler, Assistant City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND JOE TRULL, Crestview Baptist Church.

Councilman Long moved that MR. VIC EHLERS give a report of his attendance to the Conference of Mayors, representing the City of Austin, in its War on Poverty Program. The motion was seconded by Councilman LaRue. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MR. EHLERS thanked the Council for having the opportunity to represent the City at this Conference. Most of those present were directly involved in the Community Action programs and were from cities that had actually received grants. States represented were Texas, Arizona, New Mexico, California, Oregon, and Washington. Various representatives of the Office of Economic Opportunity were present, and the cities were able to share their concern and problems with these representatives. Austin can be proud it had not encountered many of the problems that some of the other cities had, and it had been able to work through the many details that go along with any Federal program. Approval of Austin's programs has been received; and in September the programs of Day Care Centers, Information Centers, and the Dental Center will begin. With respect to the Conference, it was brought out there had to be closer communication between City Governments and the Office of Economic Opportunity. Material will be mailed out from time to time to keep the lines of communication open. It was brought out anytime there was a "log jam", the most effective thing to do would be for the Mayor to call Washington. MR. EHLERS reported that Austin was far ahead of most of the cities. The things Austin is doing, and the way it is going about it, and the problems it has worked through, have been done more quickly than in any other community. Some communities are doing things Austin is not, but each community makes its own decisions.

August 26, 1965

801

Many of those in authority in the Office of Economic Opportunity complimented Austin on the procedures, the reports sent in, and the plans. In Texas, Austin is far ahead of most of the cities, as some are just getting their committees appointed. Although there had been concern that there was more talking than action, Austin had been determined to get a sound program going and it is now moving out into the program.

Councilman Shanks expressed disappointment that Judge Reavley's Committee did not give the Montopolis people a little more encouragement on their problems. Mr. Ehlers was not at the meeting, but stated the Committee felt strongly that it wanted to be sure when a presentation was made that all of the aspects were clearly thought out, and that all questions that might be asked could be answered. He thought this was not a "turn down" but a point of getting everything clarified before it was submitted. He did not believe this was a rejection. Councilman Shanks stated the Council was definitely interested in those people in the Montopolis area. Councilman LaRue expressed appreciation of the members of the Council who have not had a chance to work with Mr. Ehlers for the wonderful job he is doing. Councilman Long stated this was a good report. Mayor Palmer thanked Mr. Ehlers for this report and for his representing the City at this Conference.

Councilman White moved that the minutes of August 19, 1965 be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White,
Noes: None
Present but not voting: Mayor Palmer

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CERTAIN CONTRACT WITH SOUTH GATE CORPORATION FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, certain easements were granted to the City of Austin for water main purposes, in, upon and across that certain abandoned portion of Old State Highway Number 2, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and in, upon and across that certain tract of land conveyed to the State of Texas by warranty deed dated March 26, 1883 of record in Volume 55 at Page 246 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS the owner of the above described property has requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described easements; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described easements are not now needed and will not be required in the future, Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described water main easement, to wit:

Two (2) water easements, the water easement hereinafter described as Number 1 being in, upon and across that certain abandoned portion of Old State Highway Number 2 in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and the water easement hereinafter described as Number 2 being in, upon and across that certain tract of land conveyed to the State of Texas by warranty deed dated March 26, 1883 of record in Volume 55 at Page 246 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; the centerline of each of the said two (2) water easements being more particularly described as follows:

NUMBER 1: BEGINNING at the point of intersection of a line ten (10.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of the said abandoned portion of Old State Highway Number 2 with the north line of West 45th Street;

THENCE, with said line ten (10.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of the aforesaid abandoned portion of Old State Highway Number 2, North 30° 00' East to point of termination in the west line of West Guadalupe Street.

NUMBER 2: BEGINNING at a point in a line ten (10.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of the abandoned portion of Old State Highway Number 2, and from which point of beginning the point of intersection of the said line ten (10.00) feet west of and parallel to the east line of the abandoned portion of Old State Highway Number 2 with the north line of West 45th Street bears South 30° 00' West 625 feet, more or less;

THENCE, North 64° 45' West to point of termination in the east line of Lamar Boulevard.

August 26, 1965

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the application of the City National Bank to construct and maintain the hereinafter described underground improvements:

A basement under the following described street area, to-wit:

A tract of land, same being a portion of San Jacinto Street, said San Jacinto Street being a street in the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, as shown on a plat of the Original City of Austin on file in the General Land Office of the State of Texas, said tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at the northeast corner of Lot 7, Block 96 of the Original City according to the aforementioned map and being the northwest corner of the herein described tract;

THENCE in an easterly direction with the easterly prolongation of the south line of East 9th Street and the easterly prolongation of the north line of said Lot 7, to the present curb of San Jacinto Street for the northeast corner of this tract;

THENCE in a southerly direction with the west curb of San Jacinto Street and the east line of this tract, 38 feet 4 inches for the southeast corner of this tract;

THENCE in a westerly direction at right angles to the west curb of San Jacinto Street to a point in the east line of Lot 7, Block 96 of the Original City of Austin for the southwest corner of this tract;

THENCE in a northerly direction with the east line of Lot 7, Block 96 of the Original City and the west line of San Jacinto Street, being also the west line of this tract, 38 feet 4 inches to the place of beginning,

be and the same is hereby granted and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue a permit for the construction of such improvements, said permit to be subject to the following conditions:

(1) The improvements shall be constructed and maintained in compliance with all ordinances relating thereto.

(2) The permit shall be issued and accepted subject to all reasonable police, traffic, fire, and health regulations of the City of Austin, now existing or hereafter adopted.

(3) The repair or relocating of any and all utilities in the vicinity due to these improvements shall be done at the expense of the City National Bank.

(4) The City National Bank will indemnify and save the City of Austin harmless from any and all claims against the City of Austin growing out of or connected with the construction or maintenance of said improvements.

(5) The City of Austin may revoke such permit at any time and upon such revocation the owner of the building will construct the necessary walls and footing to separate such space from any buildings or other improvements and pay all costs and expenses attendant therewith.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Date August 24, 1965

"TO: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager SUBJECT: Reinforced Concrete Culvert

"Following is a tabulation of bids received at 10:00 A.M. Tuesday, August 24, 1965 for the construction of a Reinforced Concrete Culvert on Kenniston Drive at Guadalupe Street Easement known as Contract Number 65-D-11.

Ed H. Page	\$5,886.42
Larson-Pugh, Inc.	\$5,902.62
Miller's Concrete Cont.	\$6,009.29
Maufrais Brothers	\$7,880.64
Texas Bridge Co., Inc.	\$8,138.30
City's Estimate	\$5,931.23

"I recommend that Ed H. Page with his low bid of \$5,886.42 be awarded the contract for this project.

"S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
Director of Public Works
s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr."

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on August 24, 1965, for the construction of a reinforced concrete culvert on Kenniston Drive at Guadalupe Street easement, known as Contract Number 65-D-11; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ed H. Page, in the sum of \$5,886.42, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ed H. Page, in the sum of \$5,886.42, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Ed H. Page.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Mayor Palmer brought up the following zoning application deferred from last week:

ROBERT L. OGDEN

612 Winflo Drive
Additional Area
610 Winflo Drive

From "B" Residence 2nd
Height & Area
To "O" Office 2nd Height
& Area
NOT Recommended by the
Planning Commission

Councilman Long asked if he proposed to being right away. Mr. Ogden stated he hoped within three months he would begin, and he was going to use it for his office. Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "O" Office 2nd Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

MR. SAM WINTERS appeared before the Council regarding the Maurice Doke lake development and filed a sketch showing the proposed building, dock and the line of the 3rd Height and Area zoning. There are no metes and bounds on the present fill line, but he pointed out the line as best as could be determined from the City's records as granted within the last 12 months. Mr. Winters in his former discussion with the City Attorney, reported that he had said the Council might not want this amount of fill in the lake. Mr. Winters suggested that the fill line be changed, showing the new line on the map and estimating a maximum of 1,000 cubic yards. The rest would be built on piers which would enable them to construct boat docks on the lower level underneath the building as originally anticipated. This would be better for them for many reasons. He stated the City Attorney pointed out the need to amend the ordinance to provide special permission to build out in the lake. Mr. Sam Winters read Section 34.15 of the Code. The City Attorney had considered fill as construction and they could not build above this. Mr. Winters submitted to the City Attorney drafts of an

August 26, 1965

ordinance: (1) to provide fill would not be considered as a construction; and (2) to permit the uses prohibited in Chapter 34.15 under a special permit to be granted by the Council. The amendment is about the same with the exception of the addition of "except on special permit issued by the City Council". The Acting City Attorney stated this would not go through the Planning Commission, but would be granted only by the City Council, who would consider the availability of the sanitary sewer facilities, type of construction and general advisability. Councilman White inquired of the Building Official if he approved of this amendment. Mr. Dick Jordan stated he was in favor of it except under certain conditions but he would hate to see living quarters put on piers where there were no sanitary facilities available. The City Manager listed other consideration--safety, type of construction and a number of items.

MR. WINTERS displayed an aerial photo showing the line for the 3rd Height and Area superimposed. He listed the various steps to be taken-- the amendment of the ordinance, the grating of the permit, a contract between REX KITCHENS and the City to do the fill and a performance bond. The original line for the fill is covered by a \$5,000 bond, but they would provide whatever the Council asked.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 34.15, SUBSECTION 5, OF CHAPTER 34, ARTICLE 1, OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT CERTAIN BUSINESSES, ENTERPRISES AND LIVING QUARTERS SHALL NOT BE ERECTED ON ANY STRUCTURE EXTENDING INTO OR ABOVE LAKE AUSTIN EXCEPT UPON SPECIAL PERMIT ISSUED BY THE CITY COUNCIL; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman LaRue

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman LaRue

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman LaRue

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

August 26, 1965

Regarding the consideration of the special permit, the City Manager protested the words "City Park" being on the plat and asked that they be removed, as that is the Walsh Landing. The Assistant City Attorney suggested that the plat that will be submitted to the Council be marked for identification and ultimately be a part of the special permit and be considered by the Council as delineating the fill lines, building locations, the shapes of the buildings, etc. Councilman Long moved to authorize the preparation of this special permit in compliance with the site plan presented and placed in the Minutes with the delineation of park land taken off the map. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman LaRue

The City Manager with reference to the types of construction to be considered, wanted assurance that the lowest floor of the living quarters would be of such grade that the sewage would flow. Mr. Winters described the construction as docks; above them would be office space, and back of that would be parking space.

Councilman Long moved that the Council authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract with REK KITCHENS for the removal of double the amount of the fill removed, and that an additional \$5,000 bond be provided. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White moved that the Council set a public hearing on the Budget for 1965-1966 for 2:30 P.M., Thursday, September 9, 1965. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

MISS BETTY TAYLOR inquired about the petition filed concerning changing the name of BETHUN STREET to BETHUNE STREET. The correct spelling is BETHUNE. The Director of Public Works reported at the time the subdivision was laid out, the street was shown as "Bethun". His department is checking this out, and it will be ready to submit next Thursday.

DR. HAROLD SIMMONS appeared before the Council stating the Board of Regents were getting ready to move the Stadium outside of Austin to the Balcones property, and that would be a definite loss of money to the tourist industry. He pointed out on football games dates, 75,000 people will go north of Austin and not go into downtown Austin. It will certainly mean a loss of business for downtown Austin. Another feature is the possibility of building an astrodome ("Texas Dome") in the area between Red River and the Interregional. This is as important as any other facility in Austin, and he believed the Council should take a position on the fact the Stadium should not be moved from the downtown area to the Balcones location. The Mayor noted there were so many things involved that the Council would have to look into that. He thought the Council should not take a position without visiting with the controlling body of the University. Councilman Shanks pointed

August 26, 1965

out there were not enough hotels and motels out north of Austin to accomodate the football crowds, and he believed the people would go all over town anyway. Councilman Long was concerned about the suggestion of closing San Jacinto Street, which is one of the main arteries. Red River is not a good artery nor wide enough. She stated she would rather save the traffic arteries and the pattern of moving traffic rather than quarrelling with the University as to where the stadium would be located. The Mayor stated the Council could not take a position on this matter today. Councilman Shanks stated he was willing to look at the map from a courtesy standpoint, but not with the ideas of interfering with the University's business. Dr. Simmons filed a map showing a traffic plan for closing San Jacinto and widening Red River and extending Park Place on to the Interregional. Around the "Texas Dome" there would be space for parking 25,000 cars and 100,000 people could be seated inside the dome. He estimated the cost of the "Texas Dome" at \$10,000,000. The City Manager reported that the Legislature had given the University authority to condemn the property and acquire it for University purposes already, and the University is already in the process of doing so, and the City could not go out and try to acquire it. After discussion, the Mayor stated he was certain the University Regents had made a detailed and thorough study, and he would not try to take a part in this now. Other members of the Council expressed the same sentiments.

MRS. COLDWATER and four others appeared with MR. FORREST TROUTMAN, Attorney, regarding a creek in Windsor Park No. 3, claiming the waters were washing their land away. The Assistant City Manager stated this creek was a natural drainageway and was improved by the subdivider at the time of subdividing. Mr. Troutman had not had the opportunity to go into this case, having been retained only the night before, but he said the property owners were complaining about the telephone poles' having to be moved, and their fences having been washed away. The Assistant City Attorney pointed out there was surface drainage from these properties. Mr. Troutman said they had movie film showing how the water comes down and washes the banks away. It would not be surface erosion, and he showed some kodak pictures of the banks. The property owners want the City to work with them in trying to keep this land from washing away. The City Manager stated this easement might be shown on a plat of the subdivision, but the City may not have title to it at all. The Director of Public Works stated some cross sections were being made now of the creek and would be compared with those made in 1962 to see how much erosion is taking place. He was asked how the problem could be solved, and he had mentioned to the people the use of a concrete lining. His department believed from its design, this would handle most of the rain based on a 25 year frequency. The property owners would pay for the concrete; then they could come back and fill in from their easement line or go on up with masonry. Mayor Palmer asked that the Council have the benefit of this study. Councilman Long suggested that some estimates be obtained for lining the creek, and recommendations of what other means might be used. The Director of Public Works stated he would have the recommendations along with the estimates, and he would try to get it all together by next week, or possibly within two weeks' time.

The Planning Director filed the 1965 Basic Data Book concerning Austin and Travis County, and briefly reviewed the data pointing out some interesting facts about the growth, types of houses that have been constructed, street paving, and other items of interest.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council authorize the fogging of the locations listed in the 300 and 400 blocks of West 35th Street as petitioned. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted a request from Travis County Water District No. 9 asking for transfer of funds, including \$4,175.00 of its Customer Deposit Funds, which it will be able to replace in two months. Councilman LaRue moved that the transfer be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council approve a boat exhibition and demonstration on the waterfront of the Gondolier Hotel Area east of the Interregional Bridge, for six boats for four hours on September 16th, as requested by the Mercury Motors' representative in behalf of the Distributors Meeting. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Assistant City Manager reported he called the operator of the Bait House on the lake, and told him of the Council's decision to terminate his lease on January 1, 1966. In Mr. Barefield's letter he had requested that he be present when this matter was discussed. The action was taken last Thursday and Mr. Barefield wants to know if he could still have a hearing before the Council. The Mayor asked if the development plan contemplated that development would reach that point in the immediate future. The City Manager stated it did not, as the next step in the extension would be to the west which might go as far as Bouldin Creek or the Railroad, and that would cost at least as much as what is being done now. There may be another area on the lake which the Council might want to develop prior to expanding this area, possibly east of the Stroborg Lake, which seems the most critical area next in line for development, and the work around the auditorium. Councilman LaRue reviewed the understanding the Council had, that Mr. Barefield arranged for a lease. Mr. Barefield agreed that October would be a good time to terminate the lease. Later he told the City Manager he did not have a lease, as it was too expensive. Councilman Shanks asked what was the urgency in asking the operator to move. The Director of Recreation stated although the Parks Board had recommended that this activity be moved, they had not asked Mr. Barefield to vacate as they did not have the authority. The Board felt there was not much money collected for rent, and this area would be more valuable just for its openness and lend itself to the beauty of the lake. The Mayor stated the Bait House certainly serves a definite need to the public in its present location. The Mayor stated the lease had been extended until January; and if the operator could submit additional information, the Council could consider an extension. Councilman Shanks suggested the Council should consider letting him build a permanent place. The City Manager stated the site of the present operation will go into an interchange. Councilman Shanks saw no harm in leaving the Bait House there at the present time. Councilman LaRue said the operator

felt his property was not enhancing the value of the City property, and he was interested in constructing a beautiful building. The City Manager stated at one time Mr. Barefield came up with a proposal that he move up against the Railroad to the east for a permanent site. The Mayor suggested advising Mr. Barefield if he had any further plans to get in touch with the Council. Councilman Long did not want to encourage him to stay or have a permanent building there. Discussion of the rental charges was held. The Mayor asked that this be checked. Councilman White recalled this lease was made with the understanding that the operator would move when the Council asked him to. The Mayor noted that the lease had been extended until January.

The Assistant City Manager stated last week the Council approved three additional five minute hooded meters for the Austin National Bank, It was his understanding the approval was subject to meeting the present policy. Councilman LaRue reiterated his motion in that he stated this seemed to be in keeping with an established policy and to grant the request. The Assistant City Manager read a recommendation from the Traffic Engineer that the request not be granted. Councilman LaRue noted comparable situations at another bank, and there are hooded meters there. Councilman Long did not believe the policy to be a good one, as there are move drive-ins now. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the Council reaffirm their vote of last week. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: Councilman Long

Councilman Long made the following statement:

"I think this policy is wrong. If we are going to do this for the banks we ought to do it for the other merchants, so I am going to vote 'no'; not just particularly for this bank, but all banks where they have drive-in windows. That is what they are for. We will have more and more of them, and I do not think it is conducive to downtown businesses as there will not be any parking spaces except for special purposes."

Mayor Palmer read a letter from DR. B. E. CONNER, Conner Clinic, making complaints covering the following:

1. Unsightly lots with grass and weeds, located at 3100 East 13th Street, and 13th and McKinley Avenue.
2. Junk yard operated by an individual at his home in their residential section located at 19th and Harvey Streets.

Councilman LaRue reported that Dr. Conner called him and said the City was looking into these complaints. The City Manager explained the procedure for getting the lots cleaned. Councilman Long moved that this letter be presented to the City Manager to implement the things requested, and to bring in a report next week. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

August 26, 1965

Mayor Palmer stated there was a suggestion a few weeks ago that the duties of the Town Lake Study Committee and Parks and Recreation Board be redefined in relation to Town Lake. Copies of the policy were sent to the Council last week. The City Manager stated the Chairman, MR. DAVID BARROW, was in Europe and it would be a good idea to wait until he was back. Councilman Long asked to be notified ahead of time when this matter would be discussed.

There being no further business, Councilman LaRue moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 12:45 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

L. E. Palmer
Mayor

ATTEST:

Edna Hooley
City Clerk