## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet E-6

DATE: Monday April 11, 2022 CASE NUMBER: C15-2022-0021

Y	_Thomas Ates
Y	Brooke Bailey
Y	Jessica Cohen
Y	Melissa Hawthorne
	_Barbara Mcarthur (OUT)
	_Rahm McDaniel (OUT)
Y	Darryl Pruett
Y	Agustina Rodriguez
Y	Richard Smith
Y	Michael Von Ohlen
	_Nicholl Wade (OFF THE DAIS)
Y	Kelly Blume (Alternate)
	Carrie Waller (Alternate)
Y	Marcel Gutierrez-Garza (Alternate)
APPLICANT: Bhavani Singal	
OWNIE	D. Heidi Lew

**OWNER: Heidi Lew** 

**ADDRESS: 3701 ROBBINS RD** 

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section(s):

- 1. 25-2-492 (Site Development Regulations) from setback requirements to decrease the minimum Front Yard Setback from 40 feet (required) to 15 feet (requested) and
- 2. 25-2-551 (Lake Austin District Regulations) (C) (3)
- (a) increase the maximum impervious cover on a slope with a gradient of 15 percent or less from 35 percent (allowed) to 48 percent (requested), (58% existing)
- (c) increase the maximum impervious cover on a slope with a gradient of more than 25 percent and not more than 35 percent from 5 percent (allowed) to 14 percent (requested), (4% existing) in order to erect a new two story Single-Family residence in a "LA", Lake Austin zoning district.

Note: This section of the Land Development Code applies to lots that are included in a subdivision plat recorded before April 22, 1982 or a tract that is not required to be platted.

BOARD'S DECISION: March 14, 2022 The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to postpone to April 11, 2022; Board Member Brooke Bailey seconds on a 10-0 vote; POSTPONED TO APRIL 11, 2022. April 11 2022 The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motions to postpone to May 9, 2022; Chair Jessica Cohen seconds on a 10-0 vote (Board member Nicholl Wade no vote off the dais); POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2022. (RE-NOTIFICATION)

## **FINDING:**

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
  - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Elaine Ramirez

**Executive Liaison** 

Diana A. Ramirez

Jessica Cohen

Madam Chair