

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

January 27, 1966
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND CALVIN SACHERS, St. Davids Episcopal Church.

MAYOR PALMER announced on January 21st, someone planted a bomb on the corner of Sixth and Nueces. This could have caused much property damage and could have endangered the lives of some of the citizens. Disposal of bombs comes under specialized experts, and Bergstrom Air Force Base was called, responded immediately, and dismantled this bomb. He read the following resolution:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, upon discovery of a bomb in the City of Austin on January 21, 1966, and a call by City of Austin Police for assistance, T/Sgt. Gene P. Hooks, S/Sgt. Harold C. Stewart, and S/Sgt. Cornelius Vicks of the 50th Munitions Maintenance Squadron, Bergstrom Air Force Base, responded with cool and deliberate courage, above and beyond their call of duty in performing the dangerous task of disarming the bomb and insuring the safety of others, without regard to their own personal safety; and

WHEREAS, the people of Austin want to express to these brave men their deep appreciation, Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

THAT the City Council hereby expresses to T/Sgt. Gene P. Hooks, S/Sgt. Harold C. Stewart, and S/Sgt. Cornelius Vicks the deep and abiding gratitude of the people of Austin for their high courage, above and beyond the call of duty, in safeguarding the lives and property of others unknown to them.

January 27, 1966

WITNESS our hands and the official seal of the City of Austin, Texas,
this 27th day of January, 1966.

s/ Lester E. Palmer
Mayor

Louis F. Shanks
Mayor Pro tem

Travis LaRue
Councilman

Emma Long
Councilman

Ben White
Councilman

ATTEST:

s/ Elsie Woosley
City Clerk

Councilman Long moved that the Resolution be adopted. The motion was seconded by Councilman Shanks and carried by a rising vote.

Copies were presented to Technical Sergeant GENE P. HOOKS, Staff Sergeant HAROLD C. STEWART, and Staff Sergeant CORNELIUS VICKS. Major C. T. MARSH stated on behalf of Colonel GARRISON, Bergstrom Air Force Base was honored to receive this commendation, and feels it is participating in community support in Austin. The Chief of Police on behalf of the Austin Police Department, expressed appreciation to these experts in removing a potential dangerous situation that a Police Department is not prepared to handle. He, on behalf of the Department, presented a small token of a great big appreciation for what these gentlemen did, making each an honorary member of the Austin Police Department and presenting each the honorary Member Pin.

MAYOR PALMER announced it was time for the opening of the bids for the bonds. Bids on the Utility Revenue Bonds in the amount of \$6,000,000 were opened as follows:

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

TABULATION OF BIDS

RECEIVED ON \$6,000,000 ELECTRIC, WATER AND SEWER REVENUE BONDS
JANUARY 27, 1966

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
1. FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY, DALLAS	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1971	4 %				
Rotan, Mosle & Company	Oct. 1, 1972, thru Oct. 1, 1973	3.30 %				
Houston	Oct. 1, 1974, thru Oct. 1, 1979	3 1/4 %				
Dittmar & Company, San Antonio	Oct. 1, 1980, thru Oct. 1, 1984	3.40 %				
	Oct. 1, 1985, thru Oct. 1, 1988	3 1/2 %	\$2,484,977.84	\$ 357.50	\$2,484,620.34	3.428235 %
2. HALSEY, STUART & CO., INC. HARRIMAN RIPLEY & CO. INC. AND ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970	5 %				
	Oct. 1, 1971, thru Oct. 1, 1971	3.70 %				
	Oct. 1, 1972, thru Oct. 1, 1974	3.25 %				
	Oct. 1, 1975, thru Oct. 1, 1978	3.30 %				
	Oct. 1, 1979, thru Oct. 1, 1984	3.40 %				
	Oct. 1, 1985, thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.50 %	2,524,937.50	600.00	2,524,337.50	3.48304 %
3. GLORE FORGAN, WM. R. STAATS, INC. & ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970	5 %				
	Oct. 1, 1971, thru Oct. 1, 1972	3.20 %				
	Oct. 1, 1973, thru Oct. 1, 1974	3.25 %				
	Oct. 1, 1975, thru Oct. 1, 1984	3.40 %				
	Oct. 1, 1985, thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.50 %	2,528,519.85	2,754.00	2,525,765.85	3.485 %
4. PHELPS, FENN & CO., MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH, JOINT MANAGERS, RAUSCHER PIERCE & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970	5 %				
	Oct. 1, 1971, thru Oct. 1, 1975	3 1/4 %				
	Oct. 1, 1976, thru Oct. 1, 1977	3.30 %				
	Oct. 1, 1978, thru Oct. 1, 1981	3.40 %				
	Oct. 1, 1982, thru Oct. 1, 1983	3.45 %				
	Oct. 1, 1984, thru Oct. 1, 1988	3 1/2 %	2,529,940.69	1,380.00	2,528,560.69	3.4888 %
5. SMITH, BARNEY & CO., INC. & ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970	5 %				
	Oct. 1, 1971, thru Oct. 1, 1976	4 3/4 %				
	Oct. 1, 1977, thru Oct. 1, 1981	3 1/4 %				
	Oct. 1, 1982, thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.40 %				
		3 1/2 %	2,533,194.84		2,533,194.84	3.4952 %

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS
TABULATION OF BIDS
RECEIVED ON \$6,000,000 ELECTRIC, WATER AND SEWER REVENUE BONDS
JANUARY 27, 1966

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
6. LEHMAN BROTHERS & ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970 Oct. 1, 1971 Oct. 1, 1972, thru Oct. 1, 1978 Oct. 1, 1979, thru Oct. 1, 1982 Oct. 1, 1983, thru Oct. 1, 1988	5 % 3 5/8 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.50 %	\$2,536,930.32	\$ 420.00	\$2,536,510.32	3.4998 %
7. THE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION AND ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970 Oct. 1, 1971, thru Oct. 1, 1971 Oct. 1, 1972, thru Oct. 1, 1975 Oct. 1, 1976, thru Oct. 1, 1977 Oct. 1, 1978, thru Oct. 1, 1983 Oct. 1, 1984, thru Oct. 1, 1988	5 % 4 % 3.25 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.50 %	2,536,907.41	6.00	2,536,901.41	3.500371 %
8. EASTMAN DILLON, UNION SECURITIES & COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970 Oct. 1, 1971 Oct. 1, 1972, thru Oct. 1, 1976 Oct. 1, 1977, thru Oct. 1, 1983 Oct. 1, 1984, thru Oct. 1, 1988	5 % 3.60 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3 1/2 %	2,538,374.07	630.00	2,537,744.07	3.50153 %
9. GREGORY & SONS, RAND & CO., INC. REINHOLDT & GARDNER	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1970 Oct. 1, 1971, thru Oct. 1, 1975 Oct. 1, 1976, thru Oct. 1, 1979 Oct. 1, 1980, thru Oct. 1, 1982 Oct. 1, 1983, thru Oct. 1, 1985 Oct. 1, 1986, thru Oct. 1, 1988	5 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.45 % 3.50 % 3.55 %	2,556,324.08		2,556,324.08	3.52717 %
10. WHITE, WELD & CO., INC., ROWLES, WINSTON & CO., INC. JOINT MANAGERS	Oct. 1, 1967, thru Oct. 1, 1969 Oct. 1, 1970, thru Oct. 1, 1973 Oct. 1, 1974, thru Oct. 1, 1979 Oct. 1, 1980, thru Oct. 1, 1984 Oct. 1, 1985, thru Oct. 1, 1988	5 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.50 % 3.60 %	2,559,790.66		2,559,790.66	3.53195 %

Bids were then opened on the General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$3,000,000 as follows:

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS
TABULATION OF BIDS
RECEIVED ON \$3,000,000 VARIOUS PURPOSE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
JANUARY 27, 1966

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
1. FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK, NEW YORK, WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC.	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1977 July 1, 1978, thru July 1, 1980 July 1, 1981, thru July 1, 1986 July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1988 July 1, 1989, thru July 1, 1991	4.75 % 3.25 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.45 % 3.50 %	\$1,756,320.23	\$ 591.00	\$1,755,729.23	3.4575 %
2. THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY First of Michigan Corp. Commerce Trust Company Dallas Union Securities Co., Inc. Pollok & Fosdick, Inc. The Columbia Securities Corp. of Texas McCormick & Co.	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1972 July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1978 July 1, 1979, thru July 1, 1980 July 1, 1981, thru July 1, 1985 July 1, 1986, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 3 7/8 % 3.25 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.50 %	1,763,534.20	98.00	1,763,436.20	3.47269 %
3. BANKERS TRUST COMPANY & ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1974 July 1, 1975, thru July 1, 1977 July 1, 1978, thru July 1, 1979 July 1, 1980, thru July 1, 1984 July 1, 1985, thru July 1, 1987 July 1, 1988, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 3 1/4 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.45 % 3.50 %	1,775,974.67	5,274.00	1,770,700.67	3.486997 %
4. FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN DALLAS AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974 July 1, 1975, thru July 1, 1980 July 1, 1981, thru July 1, 1985 July 1, 1986, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 4 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.50 %	1,771,954.03	20.50	1,771,933.53	3.489425 %

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

TABULATION OF BIDS

RECEIVED ON \$3,000,000 VARIOUS PURPOSE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

JANUARY 27, 1966

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

January 27, 1966

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
5. HARRIS TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK, CHICAGO THE VALLEY NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974 July 1, 1975, thru July 1, 1977 July 1, 1978, thru July 1, 1979 July 1, 1980, thru July 1, 1986 July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 4 1/2 % 3 1/4 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3 1/2 %	\$1,772,163.33	\$ 209.70	\$1,771,953.63	3.48947 %
6. PHELPS, FENN & CO. MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH, JOINT MANAGERS, RAUSCHER PIERCE & CO., INC. & ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1972 July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1976 July 1, 1977, thru July 1, 1984 July 1, 1985, thru July 1, 1986 July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 4 1/2 % 3 1/4 % 3.40 % 3.45 % 3 1/2 %	1,772,629.02	29.02	1,772,600.00	3.4907 %
7. FIRST SOUTHWEST CO., DALLAS MERCANTILE NATIONAL BANK AT DALLAS, JOINT MANAGERS, & ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1979 July 1, 1980, thru July 1, 1984 July 1, 1985, thru July 1, 1986 July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1989 July 1, 1990, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 3 1/4 % 3.40 % 3.45 % 3 1/2 % 3.55 %	1,773,682.78	480.50	1,773,202.28	3.491923 %
8. CHEMICAL BANK NEW YORK TRUST CO. AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1974 July 1, 1975, thru July 1, 1978 July 1, 1979, thru July 1, 1984 July 1, 1985, thru July 1, 1987 July 1, 1988, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 3.75 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.45 % 3.50 %	1,773,910.49	215.49	1,773,695.00	3.492893 %

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

TABULATION OF BIDS

RECEIVED ON \$3,000,000 VARIOUS PURPOSE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

JANUARY 27, 1966

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

January 27, 1966

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
9. BANK OF AMERICAN N.T.&S.A. AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973	5 %				
	July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1978	3.25 %				
	July 1, 1979, thru July 1, 1984	3.40 %				
	July 1, 1985, thru July 1, 1986	3.45 %				
	July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1989	3.50 %				
	July 1, 1990, thru July 1, 1991	3.55 %	\$1,777,305.28	\$2,979.00	\$1,774,326.28	3.4941 %
10. THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1969	5 %				
	July 1, 1970, thru July 1, 1971	4 1/2 %				
	July 1, 1972, thru July 1, 1976	3 1/4 %				
	July 1, 1977, thru July 1, 1991	3 1/2 %	1,782,393.45	271.00	1,782,122.45	3.509497 %
11. MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST CO. OF NEW YORK & ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1972	5 %				
	July 1, 1973, thru July 1, 1975	4 %				
	July 1, 1976, thru July 1, 1983	3.40 %				
	July 1, 1984, thru July 1, 1991	3.50 %	1,785,335.30	2,940.00	1,782,395.30	3.51002 %
12. SMITH, BARNEY & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1975	5 %				
	July 1, 1976	4 1/2 %				
	July 1, 1977, thru July 1, 1980	3.30 %				
	July 1, 1981, thru July 1, 1985	3.40 %				
	July 1, 1986, thru July 1, 1991	3 1/2 %	1,790,416.59	39.00	1,790,377.59	3.5257 %
13. KIDDER, PEARBODY & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1975	5 %				
	July 1, 1976, thru July 1, 1976	4 %				
	July 1, 1977, thru July 1, 1977	3.30 %				
	July 1, 1978, thru July 1, 1985	3.40 %				
	July 1, 1986, thru July 1, 1991	3.50 %	1,793,515.35	390.00	1,793,125.35	3.5311 %

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

TABULATION OF BIDS

RECEIVED ON \$3,000,000 VARIOUS PURPOSE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
JANUARY 27, 1966

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
14. THE PHILADELPHIA NATIONAL BANK AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1970 July 1, 1971 July 1, 1972, thru July 1, 1981 July 1, 1982, thru July 1, 1985 July 1, 1986, thru July 1, 1989 July 1, 1990, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 4 % 3.60 % 3.40 % 3.50 % 3.60 %	\$1,794,598.63	\$ 558.00	\$1,794,040.63	3.53296 %
15. CONTINENTAL ILLINOIS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF CHICAGO AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1970 July 1, 1971, thru July 1, 1976 July 1, 1977, thru July 1, 1983 July 1, 1984, thru July 1, 1988 July 1, 1989, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 4 % 3.40 % 3 1/2 % 3.60 %	1,796,094.89	138.90	1,795,955.99	3.53673 %
16. ROWLES, WINSTON & CO., INC. WHITE, WELD & CO., INC. JOINT MANAGERS AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1982 July 1, 1983, thru July 1, 1986 July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1988 July 1, 1989, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 3.40 % 3.50 % 3.55 % 3.60 %	1,804,521.58		1,804,521.58	3.55359 %
17. HALSEY, STUART & CO., INC. HARRIMAN RIPLEY & CO. INC. AND ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1973 July 1, 1974, thru July 1, 1974 July 1, 1975, thru July 1, 1976 July 1, 1977, thru July 1, 1981 July 1, 1982, thru July 1, 1986 July 1, 1987, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 4.50 % 3.30 % 3.40 % 3.50 % 3.60 %	1,815,247.08	60.00	1,815,187.08	3.57461 %
18. LEHMAN BROTHERS & ASSOCIATES	July 1, 1967, thru July 1, 1974 July 1, 1975, thru July 1, 1978 July 1, 1979, thru July 1, 1980 July 1, 1981, thru July 1, 1988 July 1, 1989, thru July 1, 1991	5 % 3.50 % 3.40 % 3.50 % 3.60 %	1,815,272.88		1,815,272.88	3.5748 %

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

January 27, 1966

January 27, 1966

MR. W. C. JACKSON, JR., Financial Consultant, stated excellent bids were received, and recommended the sale of these bonds, after the bids were evaluated. Mr. Jackson pointed out in 1961, the City received a rate of 3.48; in September 1960, it received 3.57; in March, 1962, 3.42; May, 1962, 3.04. He said these prices today were in line with the market. MR. EDMUND P. CRAVENS, Capital National Bank, stated excellent bids were received and should be accepted. MR. WILLARD HOUSER, American National Bank, agreed these were excellent bids. The Director of Finance gave the overall average of General Obligation Bonds as 3.097 and Revenue Bonds as 3.27. Mayor Palmer stated Austin had enjoyed Aa rating both in Revenue and General Obligation Bonds, and there was only one other city in Texas with this Aa rating. The bids were referred to the Finance Director and Consultant for evaluation.

Later in the meeting the Council received the recommendation from the Financial Consultant, Finance Director, and City Manager on the bids received.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES, Dallas, Texas, this day submitted to the City Council the following proposal:

OFFICIAL BID FORM

January 27, 1966

Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Austin
Austin, Texas

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement", dated January 3, 1966, of \$6,000,000 CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1966, both of which constitute a part hereof.

For your legally issued bonds, as described in said Notice, we will pay you par and accrued interest from date of issue to date of delivery to us, plus a cash premium of \$357.50 for bonds maturing and bearing interest as follows:

October 1, 1967, through October 1, 1971	--	4	%
October 1, 1972, through October 1, 1973	--	3.30	%
October 1, 1974, through October 1, 1979	--	3 1/4	%
October 1, 1980, through October 1, 1984	--	3.40	%
October 1, 1985, through October 1, 1988	--	3 1/2	%
October 1, 19__, through October 1, 19__	--		%

Interest cost, in accordance with the above bid, is:

Gross Interest Cost	\$2,484,977.84
Less Premium	357.50
NET INTEREST COST	\$2,484,620.34
EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.428235%

January 27, 1966

Attached hereto is Cashier's Check of the American National Bank, Austin, Texas, in the amount of \$120,000.00, which represents our Good Faith Deposit, and which is submitted in accordance with the terms as set forth in the "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement".

We desire that the paying agent in Austin be: American National Bank, Austin, Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY, Dallas
ROTAN, MOSLE & COMPANY, Houston
DITTMAR & COMPANY, San Antonio

By s/ W. C. Jackson, Jr.
Authorized Representative

ACCEPTANCE CLAUSE

The above and foregoing bid is hereby in all things accepted by the City of Austin, Texas, this the 27th day of January, 1966.

s/ Lester E. Palmer
Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

ATTEST:
s/ Elsie Woosley
City Clerk, City of Austin, Texas

APPROVED:

City Attorney, City of Austin, Texas

Return of Good Faith Deposit is hereby acknowledged: _____

By _____

and,

WHEREAS, the said bid and proposal of FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES, Dallas, Texas, was the most advantageous bid submitted to the City Council for the purchase of the aforesaid bonds; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That said proposal of FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES, Dallas, Texas, to purchase \$6,000,000.00 City of Austin, Texas Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 1966, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated January 3, 1966, at the price and upon the terms of said proposal be and the same is hereby accepted and FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES, DALLAS, TEXAS, be awarded the sale of said bonds; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell

January 27, 1966

to FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES, Dallas Texas, the \$6,000,000.00 City of Austin, Texas Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 1966, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated January 3, 1966, at par and accrued interest from date of delivery, plus a cash premium of \$357.50 according to the terms of said bid hereinbefore set out.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK AND WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC. this day submitted to the City Council the following proposal:

OFFICIAL BID FORM

January 27, 1966

Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Austin
Austin, Texas

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement", dated January 3, 1966, of \$3,000,000 CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1966, both of which constitute a part hereof.

For your legally issued bonds, as described in said Notice, we will pay you par and accrued interest from date of issue to date of delivery to us, plus a cash premium of \$591.00 for bonds maturing and bearing interest as follows:

July 1, 1967, through July 1, 1973	--	4.75 %
July 1, 1974, through July 1, 1977	--	3.25 %
July 1, 1978, through July 1, 1980	--	3.30 %
July 1, 1981, through July 1, 1986	--	3.40 %
July 1, 1987, through July 1, 1988	--	3.45 %
July 1, 1989, through July 1, 1991	--	3.50 %

Interest cost, in accordance with the above bid, is:

Gross Interest Cost	\$1,756,320.23
Less Premium	591.00
NET INTEREST COST	\$1,755,729.23
EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.4575 %

Attached hereto is Cashier's Check of the FIRST NATIONAL CITY Bank, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, in the amount of \$60,000.00, which represents our Good Faith Deposit, and which is submitted in accordance with the terms as set forth in the "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement".

We desire that the paying agent in Austin be: Austin National Bank, Austin, Texas.

January 27, 1966

Respectfully submitted,

FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK
WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC.

The Austin National Bank, Austin, Texas
By s/ Mrs. Jeanette Watt
Authorized Representative

ACCEPTANCE CLAUSE

The above and foregoing bid is hereby in all things accepted by the City of Austin, Texas, this the 27th day of January, 1966.

s/ Lester E. Palmer
Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

ATTEST:

s/ Elsie Woosley
City Clerk, City of Austin, Texas

APPROVED:

City Attorney, City of Austin, Texas

Return of Good Faith Deposit is hereby acknowledged: _____

By _____

and,

WHEREAS, the said bid and proposal of FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK AND WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC. was the most advantageous bid submitted to the City Council for the purchase of the aforesaid bonds; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That said proposal of FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK AND WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC. to purchase \$3,000,000.00 City of Austin, Texas General Obligation Bonds, Series 1966, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated January 3, 1966, at the price and upon the terms of said proposal be and the same is hereby accepted and FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK AND WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC. be awarded the sale of said bonds; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell to FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK AND WOOD, STRUTHERS & CO., INC. the \$3,000,000.00 City of Austin, Texas General Obligation Bonds, Series 1966, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated January 3, 1966, at par and accrued interest from date of delivery, plus a cash premium of \$591.00 according to the terms of said bid hereinbefore set out.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

MINUTES PERTAINING TO PASSAGE AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES AUTHORIZING
ISSUANCE OF \$6,000,000 "CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND
POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1966" AND
\$3,000,000 "CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES
1966 (NO. 247)", ALL DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1966

THE STATE OF TEXAS }

CITY OF AUSTIN }

COUNTY OF TRAVIS }

ON THIS, the 27th day of January, 1966, the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, convened in regular session at the regular meeting place thereof in the City Hall with the following members present:

LESTER E. PALMER

MAYOR

TRAVIS LARUE)
MRS. EMMA LONG)
LOUIS SHANKS)
BEN WHITE)

COUNCILMEN

and with the following absent: None,
constituting a quorum; at which time the following among other business was transacted:

The Mayor presented for the City Council's consideration an ordinance authorizing the issuance of \$6,000,000 "CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1966", dated February 1, 1966, the caption of said ordinance being as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE by the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, authorizing the issuance of \$6,000,000 'CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1966', for the purpose of extending and improving the City's Electric Light and Power System (being the fifth parcel or installment of a total voted authorization of \$50,000,000 bonds), as authorized by the general laws of the State of Texas, particularly Article llll et seqq., Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, 1925, as amended; prescribing the form of the bonds and the form of the interest coupons; pledging the revenues of the City's combined Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System to the payment of the principal of and interest on said bonds, after deduction of reasonable operation and maintenance expenses; enacting provisions incident and relating to the subject and purpose of this ordinance; and declaring an emergency."

The ordinance was read and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended which requires that no ordinance shall become effective until the expiration of ten days following the date of its final passage, that such ordinance be finally passed and adopted at this meeting, and that, for the reasons recited

therein, said ordinance be passed as an emergency measure for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety of the citizens of Austin as permitted by the City Charter. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, and White.
NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rules be further suspended and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure to its third reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks and White.
NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed as an emergency measure. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks and White.
NOES: None.

The Mayor then announced that the ordinance had been finally passed and adopted.

Thereupon, Mayor Palmer presented for the City Council's consideration an ordinance authorizing the issuance of \$3,000,000 "CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1966 (NO. 247)", dated February 1, 1966, the caption of said ordinance being as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE by the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, authorizing the issuance of \$3,000,000 'CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1966 (NO. 247)', dated February 1, 1966, for the purpose of providing funds for permanent public improvements, to wit: \$1,800,000 for constructing and improving streets including bridges and drainage incidental thereto in and for said City of Austin and for acquiring necessary lands therefor; \$175,000 for constructing, equipping and improving fire stations in and for the City of Austin and acquiring necessary lands therefor; \$700,000 for constructing, equipping, improving and repairing hospital buildings of the City of Austin, and acquiring necessary lands therefor; and \$325,000 for constructing and improving public parks and public playgrounds of the City of Austin and for constructing, improving and repairing buildings and other recreation facilities of said parks and playgrounds and for acquiring necessary lands and equipment therefor; prescribing the form of the bonds and the form of the interest coupons; levying a continuing direct annual ad valorem tax on all taxable property within the limits of said City to pay the interest on said bonds and to create a sinking fund for the redemption thereof, and providing

for the assessment and collection of such taxes; enacting provisions incident and relating to the purpose and subject of this ordinance; and declaring an emergency."

The ordinance was read and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended which requires that no ordinance shall become effective until the expiration of ten days following the date of its final passage, that such ordinance be finally passed and adopted at this meeting, and that, for the reasons recited therein, said ordinance be passed as an emergency measure for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety of the citizens of Austin as permitted by the City Charter. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks and White.
NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rules be further suspended and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure to its third reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks and White.
NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed as an emergency measure. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks and White.
NOES: None.

The Mayor then announced that the ordinance had been finally passed and adopted.

MINUTES APPROVED, this the 27th day of January, 1966.

s/ Lester E. Palmer
Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

ATTEST:

s/ Elsie Woosley
City Clerk, City of Austin, Texas

The Mayor announced it was 11:00 A.M. and bids would be opened on the Steam Generating Unit for Plant X, Contract X-102. The City Manager stated three addenda had been sent out, and each of the bidders had acknowledged receipt of those addenda. Bids were opened as follows:

TABULATION OF BIDS
STEAM GENERATING UNIT FOR PLANT X
CONTRACT X-102

	<u>BABCOCK- WILCOX CO.</u>	<u>COMBUSTION ENGINEERING CORP.</u>	<u>RILEY STOKER CORP.</u>
BID BOND	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
BID UNIT I Boiler	\$ 4,661,860	\$ 4,219,893	\$ 5,138,100*
BID UNIT II Spare parts	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,800	\$ 7,640
BID UNIT III Combined Bid Unit I & II	\$ 4,670,860	\$ 4,229,693	\$ 5,145,740
BID UNIT IV Deduct for Omission of structural steel	\$ 537,313	\$ 423,275	\$ 386,720
BID UNIT V Deduct for omission of stack in Bid Unit I	\$ 14,002	\$ 32,250	\$ 16,900
BID UNIT VI Deduct for omission of forced draft fans	\$ 94,660	\$ 72,000	\$ 102,958
BID UNIT VII Deduct for omission of FD fan drives only	\$ 90,110	\$ 72,800	\$ 75,620
BID UNIT VIII Deduct for omission of gas recirculation fan fan drive only	\$ 15,380	NONE	NONE
BID UNIT IX Deduct for omission of labor & materials for radiator setting & insulation	\$ 275,420	\$ 316,250	\$ 362,420
BID UNIT X (Removed by Addendum 1)			
PRICE ESCALATION	5%	FIRM	FIRM
*Subject to exceptions			

January 27, 1966

The bids were referred to the Director of Electric Utilities and the Consulting Engineers for verification. Later in the afternoon meeting, the City Manager read the recommendation of the Consulting Engineer, Brown and Root, on the Steam Generator, as follows:

"January 27, 1966

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

"RE: No. 1 Steam Generator
Plant "X"
Contract X-102

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Proposals for Steam Generator for Unit No. 1, Plant "X" Power Plant, were received and publicly opened at the Council Meeting this date, January 27, 1966. Four Boiler Manufacturers were invited to submit proposals. Foster Wheeler by letter declined to bid due to their shop loading. The three proposals that were received are as follows:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>BID ITEM NUMBER III</u>	<u>PRICE ESCALATION</u>	<u>ESCALATED PRICE</u>
Babcock-Wilcox Company	\$4,670,860.00	5%	\$4,904,403.00
Combustion Engineering Corp.	4,229,693.00	Firm	4,229,693.00
Riley Stoker Corporation	5,145,740.00	Firm	5,145,740.00

"All proposals have been examined and evaluated in accordance with the specifications. It is hereby recommended that this contract be awarded to Combustion Engineering Inc., for items III for a total amount of Four million Two hundred Twenty-nine thousand Six hundred Ninety three Dollars (\$4,229,693.00).

"It is further recommended that options of the deductions in contract price as listed under Item IV through IX of the Combustion Engineering Corporation's proposal be deferred until the engineering of the project has progressed further and proper acceptance or rejection can be made.

"Yours very truly,
BROWN & ROOT, INC.
s/ G. L. Morris
G. L. Morris P.E.
Chief Power Engineer

"APPROVED:

s/ D. C. Kinney
D. C. Kinney, Director Electric Utility"

Councilman Shanks moved that the contract be awarded to COMBUSTION ENGINEERING INC. as recommended. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

January 27, 1966

MAYOR PALMER proclaimed JANUARY 29, 1966 as PUBLIC EMPLOYEES APPRECIATION DAY, in honor of the individuals employed by the City, County, State and Federal Government. MR. GALE ESSARY, Junior Chamber of Commerce, introduced Vice Chairman, Mr. Ray Roark; Mr. Dan Mix, Chairman of the project. Representing the Employees were: City Employees, MRS. JOSEPHINE BAILEY; County Employees, County Commissioner MR. RUPERT CEDER; State Employees, by MR. C. L. FRIOU, President Texas Public Employees Association; and the Federal Employees by MR. SHERMAN ASHMORE, President of the National Federation of Federal Employees. Recognized also was MR. PURTLE WATTS, Executive Secretary and Director of the T.P.E.A., and member of the City Employees Pension Committee; and MR. WARREN LEDDICK, Assistant Director of Recreation.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"January 24, 1966

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Friday, January 21, 1966 for the installation of approximately 1,825 feet of 20-inch cast iron pipe and removing and salvaging of approximately 590 feet of 20-inch cast iron pipe along West 45th Street to Guadalupe Street and in Guadalupe Street from West 45th Street to Lamar Boulevard. The purpose of this project is to relocate water lines across State property.

"The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Building.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>"Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Working Days</u>
Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated	\$14,996.00	40
Austin Engineering Company	17,632.00	45
Bland Construction Company	18,589.50	30
Ed Page	18,653.00	50
City of Austin (Estimate)	19,585.50	45

"It is recommended that this contract be awarded to the Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated on their low bid of \$14,996.00 with 40 working days.

"Yours truly,
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.
Victor R. Schmidt, Jr., Director
Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 21, 1966,

January 27, 1966

for the installation of approximately 1,825 feet of 20-inch cast iron pipe and removing and salvaging of approximately 590 feet of 20-inch cast iron pipe along West 45th Street to Guadalupe Street and in Guadalupe Street from West 45th Street to Lamar Boulevard; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of \$14,996.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of \$14,996.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"January 24, 1966

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Friday, January 21, 1966 at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the construction of approximately 807 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer pipe and appurtenances in SANTOS STREET FROM VARGAS ROAD TO MONTOPOLIS DRIVE. This is a prepare for paving project. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Building.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>"Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Working Days</u>
Ed H. Page	\$5,025.30	20
Austin Engineering Company	5,243.50	30
Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated	5,393.05	20
Bland Construction Company	5,587.25	20
Griffin Construction Company	7,912.83	30
City of Austin (Estimate)	5,247.50	15

"It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Ed H. Page on his low bid of \$5,025.30, with 20 working days.

"Yours truly,
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.
Victor R. Schmidt, Jr., Director
Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 21, 1966, for the construction of approximately 807 feet of 8-inch concrete sewer pipe and appurtenances in Santos Street from Vargas Road to Montopolis Drive; and

WHEREAS, the bid of Ed H. Page, in the amount of \$5,025.30, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ed H. Page, in the amount of \$5,025.30, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Ed H. Page.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Council had under consideration the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 BY ADDING THERETO A NEW CHAPTER TO BE KNOWN AS CHAPTER 43 PROVIDING FOR THE REGULATION OF THE BUSINESS OF PROVIDING PRIVATE SECURITY AND GUARD SERVICE WITHIN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Councilman Long asked for an explanation about the change in the insurance clause. The City Attorney reported MR. BUTLER, Assistant City Attorney, MR. JOHN REED, Attorney for PHARES PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS, INC., and others had a conference with the State Insurance Commission. The following changes were recommended after this conference:

1. Change "negligent or willful act" to "wrong or illegal act".
2. Change amount of Personal injury for one transaction from \$250,000 to \$100,000.
3. Property Damage of \$5,000 to be raised to \$25,000.

Mr. Butler stated these amounts were more or less standard coverage. MR. LLOYD LIGON, Master Burglary Alarm, said the insurance provision would force them to do business with one or two companies in the State, as there are only two companies that would even discuss coverage for "wrongful or illegal acts". One person in the audience stated there was no insurance company that would write this coverage, and this clause is definitely excluded from their policies. Mr. Ligon reported the agent who carried Mr. Phares' coverage said this clause was not in the policy, but it was not excluded. Mr. Ligon suggested if this coverage is to be provided it should be included or excluded in the policy. MR. BUTLER said an underwriter was in this conference, and it was their understanding the companies

were writing this coverage; whether they want to write it is another question. He said he would pursue it further. The Mayor stated this would be investigated further between now and next week.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: A 1.68 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 733-741 SHADY LANE, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "D" INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: A .617 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 2206-2304 SOUTH 5TH STREET, AND ADDITIONAL AREA: A 11,160 SQUARE FOOT TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 2200-2204 SOUTH 5TH STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT

TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, there has been submitted to the Building Inspector, the application of BILL SAUNDERS, Architect for Greenwood Towers, Inc. for a building permit together with a site plan dated January 27, 1966 meeting the requirements of Section 10-B, 3 of the Zoning Ordinance of the City, for certain building establishment at 1800 Lavaca, more particularly described in said application; and,

WHEREAS, it has been found and determined by the City Council of the City of Austin that, based upon the use of the premises for the purpose of erecting an apartment hotel the maximum number of parking spaces which will probably be used by employees and customers of such establishment, taking into account the loading facilities on the site, the public parking areas and street space available for parking in the vicinity, public safety, and free circulation of traffic both on and off the site, is one hundred and twenty-eight (128) parking spaces; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That one hundred and twenty-eight (128) spaces is an adequate number of parking spaces for the establishment shown on the site plan of BILL SAUNDERS, Architect for Greenwood Towers, Inc. dated January 27, 1966, for use of the premises for the purpose of erecting an apartment hotel.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council postponed action on approval of off-street parking requirements for a restaurant to be located at Guadalupe and West 18th Streets. The Building Official stated the seating capacity was to be two hundred (200), and the ordinance provides one off-street parking space for each four seats which would require fifty (50) off-street parking spaces. The twenty - six (26) off-street parking spaces are inadequate. The final plans had not been filed with the Building Official. Mr. Frank Knight stated the plans were being prepared by FEHR & GRANGER. The Council wanted to look at this location. In the afternoon meeting, Mayor Palmer suggested that the Building Official work with the Architects and owners, and bring back a recommendation.

The Council had before it for consideration a resolution authorizing application for planning funds for Winn Urban Renewal Project. Mayor Palmer filed with each member of the Council and the City Clerk the following letter:

"January 27, 1966

"City Council
City of Austin
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mrs. Long and Gentlemen:

"Over 58 years ago I was born in the home place located at 1952 Sabine which had been acquired by my parents, John and Laura Palmer. Both my father and mother have passed away and my sisters, Irene and Helen, still occupy the home place in which I own an undivided one-fourth interest as one of the heirs.

"Pursuant to Section 18 of the Urban Renewal Law (Article 12691-3, Texas Civil Statutes), you are hereby given written notice of my ownership of an undivided one-fourth interest in Lot 9, Block 9, Outlot 26, Division "C", of Christian and Fellman Subdivision to be entered upon the Minutes of the City Council, and of my incapacity to participate in the action by the City Council upon any urban renewal project affecting the property in which I own an interest.

"By a copy of this letter I am also disclosing to the Austin Urban Renewal Agency the ownership described above as provided by the Urban Renewal Law.

"Respectfully submitted,
s/ Lester E. Palmer
Lester Palmer
Mayor"

Mayor Palmer relinquished the Chair, left the Council Chamber, and MAYOR PRO TEM SHANKS presided.

MAYOR PRO TEM SHANKS explained the Mayor's position in this matter, in which he was prohibited by law to participate in Urban Renewal action in this area. He asked the City Attorney to explain the Urban Renewal Act.

The City Attorney explained the Federal Statutes concerning Urban Renewal as being both specific and general; but the Texas Urban Renewal Law is more specific and is designed to make certain there be no involvement of officers administering the Act within the project itself, and that no private benefits as a result of the operation of the Act maybe realized by any officer. It also guarantees that no one shall be dislocated in an Urban Renewal Area unless there shall have been a plan prepared for the relocation of that person in decent, safe and sanitary housing, at least as good as, if not better than the housing occupied before he was dislocated. The Texas Act is broader than the Federal Act in its design to protect private property owners and to guarantee there shall be no private interest by public officials.

At Mayor Pro tem Shanks' request, the City Manager explained the University of Texas had requested the Council to consider applying for funds to make a study to determine whether or not an Urban Renewal Project for the area east of the Campus would be a feasible project. The estimated cost to complete the plan and relocate the people is \$161,500, and it will take a lengthy time to complete this study. He explained the fundamentals in preparing the plan, which would be presented to the Urban Renewal Agency, to the City Planning Commission and finally to the City Council which will advertise and hold a public hearing.

MR. AMOS HEROLD asked about the declaration of intent of the Council. It was explained the action today would be to decide if the Council wants to have a study made, and apply for planning funds for the study. Councilman Long asked that the Director of Planning present the maps, data, etc.

Former Senator JOE HILL asked what was before the Council. The City Attorney read the resolution. Senator Hill stated until there was a motion made to adopt the resolution, there was nothing before the Council to discuss. After discussion, Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, (herein referred to as "Title I"), the Housing and Home Finance Administrator is authorized to extend financial assistance to local public agencies in the elimination and prevention of the spread of their slums and urban blight through the planning and undertaking of urban renewal projects; and

WHEREAS, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the regulations of the Housing and Home Finance Agency effectuating that Title, provide that no person shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination in the undertaking and carrying out of urban renewal projects assisted under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin make surveys and prepare plans, presently estimated to cost approximately One Hundred Sixty-one Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$161,500.00) in order to undertake and carry out an urban renewal project of the character contemplated by Section 110(c) of Title I, in that area proposed as an Urban Renewal Area, situated in the City of Austin, County of Travis, and State of Texas, which is described as follows:

BEGINNING at the intersection of the West line of Red River Street

with the North line of East 19th Street, said point of beginning being in the North line of the Brackenridge Urban Renewal area;

THENCE with said North line of East 19th Street, in an Easterly direction to a point in the West line of East Avenue;

THENCE with the West line of East Avenue in a Southerly direction to a point in the South line of East 19th Street;

THENCE with said South line of East 19th Street in an Easterly direction to a point in the Southerly projection of the East lines of Lots 1 through 6, Washington Subdivision, a subdivision of record in Book 9, at Page 121, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas;

THENCE with said East lines of Lots 1 through 6 and their southerly prolongation, in a Northerly direction 358.08 feet to the Southeast corner of Lot 3, Subdivision of portion of Outlot 47, Division B, a subdivision of record in Book 5, at Page 42, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas;

THENCE with the East lines of said Lot 3 and Lots 1 and 2 in said Subdivision of portion of Outlot 47, Division B, in a Northerly direction 169.00 feet to the Southeast corner of Lot 1, Block 1, Sunrise Addition, a subdivision of record in Book 3, at Page 193, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas;

THENCE with the East line of said Lot 1, Block 1, in a Northerly direction 57.40 feet to the Northeast corner of said Lot 1, Block 1, same being a point in a line 145.90 feet East of and parallel to the East line of Chestnut Avenue;

THENCE with said line 145.90 feet East of and parallel to the East line of Chestnut Avenue, in a Northerly direction 691.50 feet to the Northeast corner of Lot 3, Block 3, of the aforesaid Sunrise Addition;

THENCE with the North line of Lot 3, Block 3, Sunrise Addition, in a Westerly direction to said East line of Chestnut Avenue;

THENCE with said East line of Chestnut Avenue and its Northerly prolongation, in a Northerly direction to a point in the North line of Manor Road;

THENCE with said North line of Manor Road, in a Westerly direction to a point in the West line of Swisher Street;

THENCE with said West line of Swisher Street in a Northerly direction to a point in the North line of East 23rd Street;

THENCE with the said North line of East 23rd Street, in a Westerly direction to a point in the West line of Oldham Street;

THENCE with said West line of Oldham Street, in a Southerly direction to a point in the aforesaid North line of Manor Road;

THENCE with said North line of Manor Road and its Westerly prolongation in a Westerly direction to a point in the aforesaid West line of Red River Street;

THENCE with said West line of Red River Street in a Southerly direction to the point of beginning.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

1. That the proposed Urban Renewal Area described above is a slum, blighted, deteriorated, or deteriorating area appropriate for an urban renewal project and that the undertaking by the Urban Renewal Agency of surveys and plans for an urban renewal project of the character contemplated by Section 110(c) of Title I in the proposed Urban Renewal Area is hereby approved.
2. That the financial assistance available under Title I is needed to enable the Urban Renewal Agency to finance the planning and undertaking of the proposed Project.
3. That it is cognizant of the conditions that are imposed in the undertaking and carrying out of urban renewal projects with Federal financial assistance under Title I, including those relating to (a) the relocation of site occupants, (b) the provision of local grants-in-aid, (c) the prohibition of discrimination because of race, color, creed, or national origin, and (d) the requirement that the locality present to the Housing and Home Finance Administrator, as a prerequisite to approval of the application described below, a workable program for community improvement, as set forth in Section 101(c) of Title I, for utilizing appropriate public and private resources to eliminate and prevent the development or spread of slums and urban blight.
4. That the United States of America and the Housing and Home Finance Administrator be, and they are hereby, assured of full compliance by the Urban Renewal Agency with regulations of the Housing and Home Finance Agency effectuating Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.
5. That it is the sense of this body (a) that a feasible method for the relocation of individuals and families displaced from the Urban Renewal Area, in conformity with Title I, can be prepared, and (b) that the local grants-in-aid can and will be provided in an amount which will be not less than one-third of the Net Project Cost of the Project and which, together with the Federal capital grant, will be generally equal to the difference between Gross Project Cost and the proceeds or value of project land sold, leased, or retained for use in accordance with the urban renewal plan.
6. That the filing of an application by the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin for an advance of funds from the United States of America to enable it to defray the cost of the surveys and plans for an urban renewal project in the proposed Urban Renewal Area described is hereby approved.

Councilman White seconded the motion. The motion was before the Council and discussion followed:

The Director of Planning displayed a map pointing out the 160 acres generally between 19th Street and Manor Road and Chestnut Street and Red River Street. About 3200 people live within the area, 73% being renters and 27% owner occupants. A number of single students and student families make up a mobile population which moves every six or twelve months. There are about 1200 housing units. Statistics on number of students and non students under a \$3,000 income; within a \$3,000-\$5,000 income, and over \$5,000 were given.

Councilman White wanted it explained to the people for what use this property was to be made. The City Manager reported the University needed to

enlarge the campus, and although they had acquired small parcels of property, they had not been expanding the land area as rapidly as the student body had grown. If the land area cannot be extended, a ceiling will have to be placed on the enrollment. He pointed out the Council is aware that about 3,000 people live in this area. The principle problem is whether or not this would be a suitable expansion for the University and whether or not the people can be relocated suitably and satisfactorily. Councilman LaRue noted the student body increases by 2,000 annually; and with the present enrollment, in 10 years there would be 46,000 students.

Interested citizens expressed their concern, listing some of their problems and made suggestions as follows:

MRS. JUNE BREWER, Alumni of the University, owned an apartment for which she said no comparable site could be given her. Negroes in the area have no place to go except to the suburbs.

REVEREND I. W. ROBINSON, Rising Star Baptist Church, 20th and Sabine, did not agree with the news items that this was a slum area. The City Attorney read the definition of a slum area. Such an area covered not only a substandard housing but lack of open space and conditions conducive to overcrowding, health and fire hazards and juvenile delinquency.

Councilman Long stated she would suggest that since the plan requires that the people need an area in which to be relocated, that such area close in be designated as a clearance area for only single dwelling units, as there is plenty of public property, property used in Urban Renewal areas, and open spaces. Not in any of the projects had there been designated a clearing of land and giving those who want a home the same opportunity that the University would have, in getting this property for less once it is cleared, than having to buy in the open market. Another area close in should be designated for only people who are being displaced in this area at prices they can afford to pay and build their homes. There are plenty of apartments available. Councilman Long stated before she voted for this she wanted a study made and a planned area where these people can be assured they will have a place to move. She favored the University's expansion, stating this was the logical direction. One lady inquired if the people would have to locate in this area, or if they would have a choice in selecting their own location. Councilman Long explained she wanted to make an area available for those who wanted to remain close in and who did not want to be thrown on the open market to purchase their homes.

MRS. U. V. CHRISTIAN asked who was to make this \$161,000 study. It was stated the Urban Renewal Agency was empowered to conduct the study. Mrs. Christian said if Urban Renewal made the study they would be required to have representation of all races and there would be no discrimination practices. Mayor Pro tem Shanks pointed out there was a Negro on the Urban Renewal Board.

MRS. C. A. STEWART, 2206 East 22nd Street, asked why the University did not purchase directly from them rather than having the second study made and going through Urban Renewal. The City Attorney explained in minute detail the advantages of the Urban Renewal Laws over the University's power of eminent domain; and that before anyone would acquire the property, there would have to be a plan approved by the Urban Renewal Agency, Planning Commission, City Council, Regional Office H.H.F.A. and Federal H.H.F.A. for relocating displaced persons;

and those purchasing homes could make long term loans at lower interest rates not available otherwise. Councilman LaRue pointed out small business men could obtain grants to aid; and those persons under the low income group would be given rental assistance up to one year.

MR. LEE BROWN suggested using a little money to clear out that small amount of blight that is in this area. He thought advance notice should have been given to this plan of expansion in this direction.

MR. SHED WILLIAMS asked who was to make the study. The outline of the plan of study and approval of the plan by the many agencies, including the City Council, was explained to him. He asked about relocating the older people and to whom were they to look for protection and understanding about going into this project. The City Attorney explained it must be beneficial to the people who could not readily be relocated, and this plan would be accomplished over a period of 10 or 12 years. Mr. Williams asked if these people could come before the Council and make inquiries. Mayor Pro tem Shanks extended a welcome to them to come any time. He stated however more direct information might be given to them by the Urban Renewal Board. Councilman LaRue said if this particular project becomes a reality, the University had said that those in the area who wanted to go ahead and relocate it would advance the money so there would not be the delay experienced in other projects.

MRS. FLORENCE BONNER asked if this type of project is included in the Master Plan. The City Manager explained the Community Renewal Program, which is a study of the whole City, delineates areas in which Urban Renewal Program may be needed, and this was one of the areas so identified.

REVEREND ROBINSON was concerned about churches in this area. If they are relocated, their community will be broken down. Their Church is relatively new, and he wanted to be sure when relocating this church, they would have a large place in which to rebuild.

MRS. MYRTLE B. COOK, 1907 Chestnut, had just moved into her new home six months ago, and it is in this area, but it is not substandard. The City Manager noted her property was on the east side of Chestnut in an area of nice homes. This half block between Chestnut and the east boundary of the project was not property in which the University was interested, but was included since there are some very nice properties, and so that protection and control could be provided for those, and the substandard houses eliminated.

MR. HERMAN BOHN stated he could not make a lease in this area now since it was going to be under Urban Renewal, and expressed opposition to the resolution.

MR. FLOYD WILLIAMS said the University should have to pay the market value for this property, and it would not cost as much to go through Urban Renewal. Mayor Pro tem Shanks said the responsibility of the Council was to the citizens of Austin and it felt the people under the Urban Renewal Program received more consideration by it than they would through eminent domain proceedings. Councilman LaRue pointed out the benefits realized by the Kealing Junior High, stating there is the Blackshear and Huston Tillotson College projects being developed, and these certainly will aid those living in that area.

MR. JASPER GLOVER, Real Estate Broker, stated people displaced had no place to go. He suggested the property be put on the open market and let the

people bargain with the University and get more for their property. In answer to Mr. Glover's statement, the City Manager explained to him the procedures required by the Federal Law concerning appraisals, negotiations, etc., for the acquisition of property.

MRS. AARON FORD stated they were living in this area peacefully and happily and have their churches and a lovely community. She said she believed the University had plenty of land for its students.

REVEREND M. C. ARNOLD, Church on Comal and 19th Streets, asked why the University had to go East and suggested an area north of Manor Road to Cherrywood Road.

MISS ELSIE MILLER had commercial property on Red River for sale. It was stated the University had indicated they had funds which they could make advance purchases of properties.

MRS. EDNA HOUSTON said there should be a law against paying anyone less than what it would take to put them back into a home without debts; where they would not have to pay higher taxes; and not to drive people out who are unwilling to give up their homes.

MR. AMOS HEROLD suggested the University expand by purchasing 100 acres by negotiation in areas right there near the University instead of extending east a mile from the University. It could expand also by constructing upward or establishing branch Universities. He opposed taking this large area off the tax rolls. He suggested carrying out a good Urban Renewal Program on the East side to the Interregional and letting the University have that area between the Interregional Highway and Red River.

MALLIE GAULT, 2204 East 19th, suggested in some of these additions in East Austin houses should be condemned rather than relocating people into them. Even in dry weather some of the people have to wade to get to their house.

Councilman Long suggested this be held over and a public hearing be set some evening so that those who did not have an opportunity to have an explanation and to be heard, would have an opportunity to have this reviewed, and talk it out; otherwise there will be many misunderstandings. She said some evidently thought they would be moved in the very near future, and it may be ten years before they would be relocated. In the meantime, she wanted to have the Planning Director look into the plan and expand it into an area where there are a lot of run down mostly rental houses to be cleared off close in for the choice of the citizens if they want it, and this area added into this plan for single family dwellings. Mayor Pro tem Shanks stated all that was being asked was to apply for funds to study this request, and public hearings would be held and no one would be denied to be heard. He asked for the roll call on the motion before the Council.

Councilman Long moved that the motion be amended that an additional Urban Renewal area be designated for single family dwellings close in, in the East Austin Area, so that people may have the opportunity to buy land close in if they are displaced.

Councilman LaRue stated he could not accept the amendment; that he had looked over this area with a number of the Urban Renewal Staff and had asked if there were areas in this section that the City might own that might be investigated for this purpose. Councilman LaRue expressed his interest in this as much as anyone. Councilman Long stated there were 700 homeowners to be moved,

and there is no where for them to go but way out, and the City could designate property and clear out an area where they could be relocated at a cheaper price. Councilman LaRue stated he had discussed this same thing with one of the members of the Urban Renewal Committee, and he knew of no land the City owned in this vicinity that could be used for this purpose. Councilman Long stated it could condemn some of those shanties in the area.

The amended motion failed to carry by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long

Noes: Councilmen LaRue, White, Mayor Pro tem Shanks

Absent: Mayor Palmer

Councilman LaRue's motion, moving the adoption of the resolution authorizing filing of an application for an advance of funds to defray the cost of the surveys and plans for an urban renewal project in the proposed Urban Renewal Area, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, White, Mayor Pro tem Shanks

Noes: Councilman Long

Absent: Mayor Palmer

Councilman Long made the following statement concerning her vote:

"I am sorry to have to vote 'no' on this, but I am going to because when this thing passes, this is exactly the way it is going to go through after the study is made unless it just does not happen to qualify. They say it is 55% substandard now; and after the study is made if it falls below that then it would not fall under an Urban Renewal area; but I think that the City very well can and should, to justify taking all this land and putting it in public lands away from the people, get some land close in so the people can have a choice if they want to live close in and not be pushed out to the outlying areas of the City. This is the least we can do. I would love to go along and see the University expand, but if we can not find a large area to also condemn for single family dwelling, so I have to vote 'no'. I think we can do it, and I think the land is there and we can find it."

Mayor Pro tem Shanks stated the resolution had been adopted.

One citizen stated they lived almost out to Manor now, and they did not have any bus service, or car and no way to get to town.

Mayor Pro tem Shanks read a letter from Mrs. Dolly Bell King, stating she owned her home at 1919 Longfellow and did not want to sell, and she did not think anyone had the right to take the home away from home owners.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council recess. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Pro tem Shanks

Noes: None

Absent: Mayor Palmer

RECESSED MEETING

3:40 P.M.

The Council resumed its business at 3:40 P.M. Mayor Palmer presiding.

The City Manager reported last week the Council awarded a contract to the low bidder, JESS McNEEL COMPANY who was bidding a machine which they thought was in production; but found it had been discontinued, and the manufacturer is unable to make delivery. He recommended the next low bid of COOPER EQUIPMENT COMPANY of \$5,306.70. Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 7, 1966, for various heavy equipment for use by the Street and Bridge Department, the Sanitation Division and the Parks Division; and,

WHEREAS, the City awarded the contract to Jess McNeel Machinery Corporation upon its low bid of \$4,965.00 for one (1) asphalt distributor and one (1) trade-in; and

WHEREAS, immediately thereafter the said Jess McNeel Machinery Corporation notified the City that the asphalt distributor upon which it submitted its bid is no longer manufactured; and,

WHEREAS, the next lowest and best bid was that of Cooper Equipment Co. in the sum of \$5,306.70, and one (1) trade-in, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Cooper Manufacturing Co. in the sum of \$5,306.70 be, and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the City with Cooper Manufacturing Co.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council discussed the request of DR. HENRY RENFERT for a boat dock. The City Manager gave a resume of the situation whereby there had been a dock and pump house, which had collapsed. The contractor had torn down the old dock and had reset some of the posts. There is a question of footage from the property line. At the shore line, the dock is 14' from the property line; but if the property line were extended into the water at the same course, the end of the dock and the property line would be only six feet apart because the property line is not perpendicular to the shoreline. It is not a clear cut matter. Dr. Renfert is replacing a dock that was already there. The Building Official stated in this case he was actually repairing the boat dock.

Councilman Long moved that permission be granted to repair the dock as it existed before, and offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by Dr. Henry Renfert as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as (legal description attached) as described on the attached plot plan and hereby authorizes the said Dr. Henry Renfert to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Official is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Dr. Henry Renfert has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances. (Legal description attached)

"A part of a tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land conveyed to William A. Swearingen by The Capital National Bank in Austin, as independent executor and trustee of the estate of Margaret Mitchell Foster, by the deed dated September 27, 1956, recorded in Book "1745", Page 235, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, fully described by metes and bounds as follows, to wit:

"Beginning at a point in the East line of the William Brown Survey No. 2 at the Northeast corner of the aforesaid tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land, from which point of beginning an iron stake at the Northwest corner of Lot No. 113, in Lake Shores Addition, in Travis County, Texas, bears South 30° 05' West 133.65 feet;

"Thence along the North line of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land North 26° 50' West 100 feet to a point for corner;

"Thence South 30° 05' West 116.3 feet to a point for corner;

"Thence in a straight line to the center line of the roadway described in the FIRST EASEMENT granted to William A. Swearingen by The Capital National Bank in Austin, as independent executor and trustee of the estate of Margaret Mitchell Foster, by the deed dated September 27, 1956, recorded in Book "1745", Page 235, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which point is South 30° 05' West 192.8 feet from the Northwest corner of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land;

"Thence along the West line of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land South 30° 05' West 269.45 feet to an iron stake at the Southwest corner thereof;

"Thence along the South line of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land South 26° 50' East 200 feet to an iron stake at the Southeast corner thereof in the East line of the William Brown Survey No. 2;

"Thence along the East line of the William Brown Survey No. 2 and the East line of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land North 30° 05' East 462.25 feet to the place of beginning.

"BEGINNING at an iron stake set on the E. line of the Wm. Brown Survey No. 2 and the W. line of David Thomas Survey in Travis County, Texas, from which iron stake the S.W. corner of Lot No. 113 of the Lake Shore Addition bears S. 30° 05'

January 27, 1966

W. 1.00 foot, the plat of said Lake Shore Addition being of record in Book 3, page 30 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas;

"THENCE with the E. line of said Wm. Brown Survey and the W. line of said David Thomas Survey, the same being the W. line of Lot 113 of Lake Shore Addition, N. 30° 05' E. at 328.5 feet pass the N.W. corner of said Lot No. 113 of Lake Shore Addition, and continuing said course in all 462.15 feet to the N.E. corner of a 52.07 acre tract of land conveyed by J. B. Mitchell et al to Mrs. Margaret Foster by deed dated January 7, 1953, of record in Vol. 1304, Pages 259 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas;

"THENCE S. 10° 10' E. 54.27 feet to an iron stake by a fence corner for the N.E. corner of this tract;

"THENCE with the fence S. 47° 53' W. 91.15 feet to an iron stake for an angle point, and from said stake another iron stake at the N.W. corner of said Lot No. 113 of the Lake Shore Addition bears N. 68° 15' W. 10.44 feet;

"THENCE with the fence S. 31° 52' W. 330.20 feet to the place of beginning, surveyed by O.P. Schoolfield, Registered Public Surveyor, July 23, 1956; containing one-tenth (0.10) of an acre.

"A part of a tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land conveyed to William A. Swearingen by The Capital National Bank in Austin, as independent executor and trustee of the estate of Margaret Mitchell Foster, by the deed dated September 27, 1956, recorded in Book "1745", Page 235, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, fully described by metes and bounds as follows; to wit:

"Beginning at a point in the North line of the aforesaid tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land, from which point of beginning the Northeast corner of said tract bears South 26° 50' East 100 feet; thence South 30° 05' West 116.3 feet to a point for corner; thence in a straight line to the center line of the road-way described in the FIRST EASEMENT granted to William A. Swearingen by The Capital National Bank in Austin, as independent executor and trustee of the estate of Margaret Mitchell Foster, by the deed dated September 27, 1956, recorded in Book "1745", Page 235, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which point is in the West line of and South 30° 05' West 192.8 feet from the Northwest corner of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land; thence along the West line of said tract 200 x 462.25 feet of land North 30° 05' East 192.8 feet to a point for corner in the North line thereof; thence along the North line of said tract of 200 x 462.25 feet of land South 26° 50' East 100 feet to the place of beginning, and being the same property conveyed to William S. Swearingen by William A. Swearingen by the dated February 21, 1958, recorded in Book "1914" Page 499, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, and to which said deed and its record reference is here made for further description. "

(Recommendation attached)

"Austin, Texas
January 25, 1966

"Memorandum To: Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager
Subject: RESOLUTION, BOAT DOCK (Private)

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of Dr. Henry Renfert, owner of the property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road and

known as (legal description attached) as described on the attached plans recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately thirty (30) feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if Dr. Henry Renfert is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling, or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which shall show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

"Respectfully submitted,
s/ Dick T. Jordan
Dick T. Jordan, Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer read a petition requesting the Council to arrange for deferment of refilling of Lake Austin until February 12, due to the inclement weather and conditions of the lake bottom adjacent to the properties in need of repair and or beautification making it impossible to make improvements. The Council instructed the City Manager to check with the L.C.R.A. Later in the meeting the City Manager reported the L.C.R.A. would keep the water down for seven more days at a cost of \$1200 per day to the City of Austin. Councilman Long moved that the L.C.R.A. be requested to keep the lake down for one more week to start refilling on February 7th. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor asked that adequate publicity be given on this extension of time.

Mayor Palmer opened the hearing on the new Fire Prevention Code. The Fire Marshal introduced the members of the Austin Fire Safety Board; MR. GEORGE HAWLEY, former Chief Engineer of the State Board of Insurance; MR. AUBREY RILEY, Insurance Checking Office; and MR. ED LOREY, Fire Extinguisher Dealer. The Fire Marshal reported the State Insurance Board for years had recommended such an ordinance as is presented today. The National Board of Fire Underwriters (now known as the American Insurance Association) made several engineering surveys since 1932; and in every case it was recommended that their suggested ordinance or one based on their recommendation be adopted. Two years of study had gone into this particular code. This ordinance contains a permit system; and in most cases there are no fees on the services--only as they pertain to those selling fire protection equipment. This ordinance provided for control of the worst fire hazards. Mr. Heaton reviewed sections that coincided with some in the Security Guard Ordinance and in the Building Code, stating there were no conflicts. This ordinance is in line with what is being done in other cities, most of which have control over flammable liquids and explosives by permits; and prohibit all fireworks except controlled displays. Councilman Long did not favor doing away with fireworks, as provided in Section 13.

MR. CHARLES SANDAHL did not believe people were aware of this hearing. He suggested enforcement of the present code. Mayor Palmer stated the hearing had a week's publicity.

The Fire Marshal reviewed the general provisions of the ordinance and listed the Articles that had been improved or combined into this Code. Those are, Articles 11, Maintenance of Exitways; 12, Explosions, Ammunition, and Blasting; 14, Fire Protection Equipment; 16, Flammable and Combustible Liquids (one of the most vital sections); 19, Garages; 21, Adoption of the Texas Liquified Petroleum Gas Docket No. 1; 22, Lumber Yards and Woodworking Plants; 24, Oil Burning Equipment; 26, Ovens and furnaces (commercial installations); 27, Places of Assembly; 29, General Precaution Provision (burning trash, etc); 29, Tents; and 30, Welding and Cutting.

Items previously not covered are now included and are set up as follows:

Articles 2, Automobile Tire Rebuilding Plants; 3, Wrecking Yards and Junk Yards; 4, Bowling establishments (refinishing); 5, Cellulose Nitrate Motion Picture Film; 6, Cellulose Nitrate Plastics; 7, Combustible fibres; 8, Compressed gasses; 9, Dry Cleaning Plants; 10, Dust Explosion Prevention; 15, Application of flammable finishes; 20, Hazardous Chemicals; 23, Magnesium; 25, Organic Coating Manufacture; and 30, Disposal of Dangerous or Hazardous Materials. This article provided that a qualified committee of three be appointed by the Council. Fire Chief Dickerson stated the U.S. ARMY had advised, unless there were specialized well trained personnel to dispose of bombs, that they be left alone and experts would be sent here.

The Mayor welcomed Mr. Hawley to this meeting, stating that Mr. Hawley had witnessed Austin's concern about fire preventing in getting the reporting system set up, and getting the fire prevention code. Mr. Hawley stated that was correct.

Councilman Long asked why was it recommended to do away with fire crackers, as it would seem more hazardous for children to ride outside the city limits on their bicycles, motor scooters and cars to buy fire crackers, than it would be to have the stands. The Fire Marshal pointed out the danger of these little stands.

MR. ED LOREY asked for an additional week to study this code and suggest some improvements. One Section was Article 19, Garages, where it was changed from a two car garage to 2,000 square feet or more. The other suggestion pertained to type and size of fire extinguishers. The Mayor asked Mr. Lorey to write out his recommendations and file them with the City Attorney.

The City Manager pointed out the urgency of adopting a Fire Code, as it was a requirement that a Fire Code be adopted prior to the filing of the Workable Program.

Mr. Sandahl asked that the Council reread Article 15, Division 2, Spray Finishing. Mr. Phares stated about 20-25 people had been working on this Code for nearly three years, had held hearings, publicly announced, and this Committee came up with what they thought was a good code. Councilman Long stated those handling fire works were not called in to discuss this section.

The Mayor expressed appreciation of the work the Committee had performed. He asked if there were any suggestions to send them to the City Attorney in writing.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 11 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 ENTITLED 'FIRE PROTECTION'; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERewith; RENAMING SUCH CHAPTER 11 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 TO READ 'FIRE PREVENTION CODE'; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager read the minutes of the Town Lake Study Committee on the Holiday Inn proposal, in which it generally approved the plans and layout as submitted subject to the submission to the Town Lake Study Committee of a colored rendering of the exterior giving more detail on materials to be used, color, etc. He read the minutes also as they concerned Kassuba Beach, but the Town Lake Committee made no recommendation until further information on landscaping, type and description of exterior materials is furnished. Mr. David Barrow said the committee was concerned with the appearance of construction on Town Lake, stating the plans did not show the finished building or landscaping. Councilman LaRue suggested the group meet again, and the architects be asked to show what they are planning. The Building Official had a sketch of the plans. He pointed out the outside colors are not determined sometimes until the building is nearly completed. The Kassuba group has been very cooperative and have supplied everything required, and the contractor has already called Florida to get the requested information. They are suffering a hardship in having their building permit held up. He asked that the Council authorize him to issue the permit, and he would present the color scheme and planning to the Town Lake Committee, and perhaps meet with the group and discuss the color changes. Councilman White moved that the Building Official be authorized to issue a building permit. The motion,

seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue made the following statement:

"The Town Lake Study Committee was given the job that goes over and above what Mr. Jordan's job is. When we pass this, we must let everyone understand this is being done with the understanding that they will come in again and give the Town Lake Study Committee an opportunity to look at this and advise. If we do not do something, the next people that come in will bypass the Town Lake Study Committee."

The City Manager reported on the Holiday Inn proposal, stating the architects had asked that the vacation of streets be considered today, but they had not resolved the question on those vacations, and the matter was not ready to submit to the Council.

The City Manager made a report on the Workable Program, and copies were filed with the Council. The Certification expires March 1, 1966. The City had the committee itself to do certain things this year, but the agency required the City to revise the Fire Prevention Code before recertification, and the date of filing is January 30th. The City Manager reviewed the commitments in detail, listing the status of the various studies. He listed the codes and ordinances which had been adopted, reviewed, or still under consideration. Other items accomplished were the Centralized Record keeping on all inspections, increased inspection service, and the Neighborhood Analysis Study which is being made by Dr. Wm. Hazard. Renewal and other redevelopment is underway currently. The Community Renewal Program is to be completed during 1966, Proposed Transportation and major street program decided upon, and code enforcement of the 1965 Housing Act is to be undertaken.

The City Manager then set out the goals of 1966 Workable Program.

1. Adoption of the Health and Sanitation Code.
2. Review of the Heating and Air Conditioning Code and report submitted to the Council by the end of 1966.
3. Determine procedures for subdivision review and prepare for revision beginning the latter part of 1966.
4. Recommend and adopt appropriate revisions to the Zoning Ordinance during 1966 and 1967.
5. Review fees for all services performed by City Departments and recommend appropriate action by the Council during 1966.
6. Consider cooperation agreement for additional public housing to meet relocation demands for the next several years.
7. Begin construction of expansion of Brackenridge Hospital.
8. Prepare 13th Capital Improvement Program.
9. Complete technical work on Community Renewal Program and submit it to the City Council for review.

10. Continue acquisition of park, recreation, and open space land.
11. Review of the Austin Transportation Study by the Planning Commission and the Council as to incorporation as part of the Austin Development Plan.
12. Continue work by and with the Citizens Committee for Community Improvement in developing neighborhood demonstration programs and considering the utilization of code enforcement in the Housing Act of 1965.

Listed were the Urban Renewal Goals:

1. Complete all acquisition and relocation of Kealing Project by the end of 1966 and proceed with the disposition of cleared land.
2. Complete survey and planning for Glen Oaks Project.
3. Completion of survey and Planning of Brackenridge Project.
4. Upon approval by D.H.U.D. proceed with survey and planning on the Blackshear project.

The City Manager reviewed tables concerning various codes as to dates they were first effective and when reviewed or amended.

The City Manager listed procedures concerning continuing code revisions.

Mayor Palmer expressed appreciation to the City Manager and Mrs. Mitchell for reviewing this Workable Program this afternoon. Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager be instructed to sign and resubmit the Workable Program. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White*, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

*Councilman White made the following statement:

"I'd like to say that anything pertaining to Urban Renewal, I vote 'no', but on the balance of it, I vote 'aye'."

The City Manager reported Mr. Clements, Business Manager of the Austin Public Schools stated their new Administration Building was completed and they are offering for sale the "Old Bickler Building". They have inquired if the City would have any need for that city block. The departments have found no need, but before he reported back to the School Board he wanted to check with the Council. This location is in the Brackenridge Area. Councilman Long asked about the Police Department need for storage of cars. It was stated it would be better to acquire property closer to the Police Department. If it is necessary to go two or three blocks away it would be better to purchase completely out of that area, if that listed price prevails. Councilman White and Shanks stated at the price asked, they would not be interested. The Council took no action at this time.

Councilman Long inquired if the City had come out even in its land trade with the Schools near the river. It was explained this would be evened out, as the Schools had advanced the money; and if the City has been overpaid, it will reimburse the Schools. If the City is underpaid, the Schools will make up the difference. Councilman Long was thinking about some extra land down there for the Police Department's car storage area.

The City Manager reported receipt of another letter from MR. SANFORD L. BAUMAN, JR., Temple Beth Israel, regarding exchange of property along Shoal Creek for property the City owns on the north side of Shoal Creek Boulevard. It was stated the right of way for opening Shoal Creek Boulevard was purchased from Temple Beth Israel. The City Attorney pointed out final requirements for handling of traffic will not be known until after the new Seton Hospital has been developed. At this particular time it would be recommended not to dispose of this land, but that Mr. Bauman be told if the City ever did desire to sell it, they would be given consideration. After discussion, Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be instructed to tell Temple Beth Israel that at this particular time the Council does not want to dispose of this property because of the state of flux in the area, and they are not sure but what this land will be needed for right of way or for some other purpose; and at this particular time they do not want to make a trade; however, they will notify them whenever they do want to make a trade. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman LaRue

Councilman LaRue made the following statement:

"I vote 'no'. I do not believe we have sufficient reason for the action taken."

Councilman Long moved that at Mr. W. H. McGregor's request, the American Legion be granted permission to close the 700 Block of East 10th Street on February 4, 1966, between 4:30 P.M. and 5:30 P.M. for the Grand Opening of the American Legion Building. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager stated MR. WARREN BEAMAN and MR. TOM PERKINS had made inquiry about their operating a water taxi. Their purpose would be to carry passengers from the Auditorium and Hotels to their concession, and return them to the places they boarded the boat. Mr. Beaman and Mr. Perkins are planning a boat anyway to use in the Lagoon. There are some conventions scheduled in Austin for this summer that are inquiring of them if they are going to have a means to get the conventioners to their facility; and if so, the convention administrators want to list this as one of the events. Mr. Beaman and Mr. Perkins do not want an excursion boat but some kind of water transportation, and the privilege of taking people on sight seeing tours up the river. Their boat would be one on which the passengers would be seated, as opposed to a party type of boat. Councilman Long inquired about other requests for this same type of operation. The City Manager stated he was reporting that these two are concerned as to what they can tell the conventions; but in answer to Councilman Long's inquiry on handling other requests he said the Council could consider such permits to people who have concessions which pay part of their receipts to the City of Austin, and not open it up to others. He stated he was not making a recommendation today, but calling attention that these people had an immediate problem and would like to know if they would be permitted this privilege

even on a temporary basis. The Mayor suggested getting something definite on this and bring it up next week.

The City Attorney stated the property located at 4506 Highland Terrace is needed for the 45th Street underpass of the Missouri Pacific Boulevard, and the proposal is that \$9,500 be paid and the owners be permitted to keep the house and move it off. He listed the average appraisals. Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be instructed to enter into a contract for this property at \$9,500 and let the owner keep the house. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Attorney made a report on the First Baptist Church on East 12th Street which is in the Glen Oaks Project. The Church had let a contract for construction of a new Church and they were stopped because they were in the flood area. He explained their problem of finding suitable property to relocate their church; and the tract they did find would cost them \$19,000. A desirable tract of property containing 42,000 square feet south of 12th Street, east of Hargrave and Sol Wilson is available for \$3,500. He showed the tract on the plat. If the Church turns this down, there are other uses to which it could be put, or the City could dispose of it. Councilman White suggested purchasing this tract and making a trade with the Church for the tract. Discussion was held on opening the street through there. After discussion, Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager be instructed to purchase this property for \$3,500 and to convey it to the First Baptist Church if they want to buy it at the same price. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found that public necessity requires the expansion of the electric generating facilities of the City of Austin, and the expansion of the open space areas available for public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition of the hereinafter described tract of land to provide for the enlargement of such facilities and spaces; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owners of said land and has been unable to agree with such owners as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owners and lienholders, a suit in eminent

domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

102 acres of land out of the Phillip McElroy Headright League in Travis County, Texas, and being the most northerly 102 acres of land out of that certain 188 acre tract of land out of the Phillip McElroy League in Travis County, Texas, sold and conveyed to Lois D. Thrasher by Erik Strom et al by deed of date February 3, 1945, of record in Book 754, pages 388-389 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, to which reference is here made, and the 102 acre tract of land herein sold and conveyed is described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at the northwest corner of the aforementioned 188 acre tract of land, being also the northwest corner of a 78 acre tract of land conveyed to John Lundell by Claus Lundell and Charles Lundell by deed of date December 15, 1883;

THENCE, S 60° E at 338 varas the northeast corner of said 78 acre tract of land and at 487 varas further, and in all 825 varas to a stake in the east line of Lot No. 10 of the Groos and Schmidt Subdivision of part of the McElroy League as shown by plat of record in Book 1, Page 6 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, 116 varas N 30° E from the southeast corner of Lot No. 10 of said subdivision;

THENCE, with the east line of Groos and Schmidt Subdivision S 30° W a distance of 698.02 varas to stake for corner;

THENCE, N 60° W a distance of 840 varas to a stake in the west line of the McElroy League for a corner;

THENCE, with the west line of said McElroy League N 30° E a distance of 698.02 varas to the place of beginning, and containing 102 acres of land, together with all improvements thereon; and being the same property sold and conveyed to L.A. Blangger and wife, Margaret Blangger by J. Warren Jackson by deed of date January 1, 1950, of record in Volume 1022, pages 395-398 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, to which reference is hereby made; and being also the same property sold and conveyed to George H. Klass and wife, Candelaria Klass by L. A. Blangger and wife, Margaret Blangger by deed dated May 16, 1955, of record in Volume 1570, pages 289-291 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, to which reference is hereby made.

(George H. Klass, et ux)

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Assistant City Manager stated the Austin Police Department was co-operating as a sponsor, and the Austin Association of Insurance Agents was putting up money in a campaign to try to reduce the number of car thefts. They would like permission to put a little tag on the parking meters, urging people to lock their cars. The Mayor noted always in the past requests like this had

been turned down; but this is a public service. Councilman White moved that the Police Department be permitted to put this little sign on the Parking Meters. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Assistant City Manager stated Colonel Kengla had contacted the Council Members about the plan which he wants to have published in the paper. He wanted to know if there were any comments and whether or not the Council would like to go ahead with this publication. After discussion, Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager be authorized to publish the report put together by Colonel Kengla, Defense Coordinator. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council recessed to go into Executive Session.

RECESSED MEETING

7:20 P.M.

The Council resumed its business at 7:20 P.M.

The Mayor stated the Council had been in Executive Session, and it has been the desire of the Council for a number of years, and more recently since the new Code of Criminal Procedures, to appoint a Night Court Judge. The City of Austin has reached the point where it can have two Judges; and for the convenience of the citizens, a night Court Judge will serve from 7:00 P.M. until midnight on Monday through Thursday; and from 7:00 P.M. until 2:00 A.M. on Fridays and Saturdays. Several applications were received, and the Council has reached a decision. Councilman White moved that MR. IVAN RAYMOND WILLIAMS, JR. be appointed as Night Court Judge effective as of February 15, 1966, at an annual salary of \$9,000. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Long stated at least eight years ago, she recommended that a Night Court Judge be set up for the convenience of the people who work in the day time, and also the needs have been growing all the time, and she stated she was very happy to see this Night Court set up.

Councilman Shanks stated the hours being proposed are subject to change because this is in an experimental stage at this time.

January 27, 1966

There being no further business Councilman Long moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 7:25 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

Linton E. Palmer
Mayor

ATTEST:

Ellis Noorley
City Clerk