

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet
D-1

DATE: May 9, 2022

CASE NUMBER: C16-2022-0001

____ Thomas Ates
____ Brooke Bailey
____ Jessica Cohen
____ Melissa Hawthorne
____ Barbara McArthur
____ Rahm McDaniel
____ Darryl Pruett
____ Agustina Rodriguez
____ Richard Smith
____ Michael Von Ohlen
____ Nicholl Wade
____ Kelly Blume (Alternate)
____ Carrie Waller (Alternate)
____ Marcel Gutierrez-Garza (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Esteban Arrieta

OWNER: Eames Gilmore

ADDRESS: 10107 RESEARCH BLVD SVRD

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section 25-10-123 (Expressway Corridor Sign District Regulations) (B) (3), to exceed sign height of 35 feet (maximum allowed) to 45 feet (requested) in order to complete signage for Target store in a "NBG-NP", North Burnet Gateway-Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (Gateway Neighborhood Plan)

Note: The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-123 Expressway Corridor Sign Regulations

(B) This subsection prescribes regulations for freestanding signs. (3) The sign height may not exceed the greater of: (a) 35 feet above frontage street pavement grade; or (b) 20 feet above grade at the base of the sign.

BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED TO MARCH 14, 2022 (AE DENIAL); March 14, 2022 The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Darryl Pruett motions to postpone to April 11, 2022; Board Member Melissa Hawthorne seconds on a 10-0 vote; POSTPONED TO APRIL 11, 2022. April 11, 2022 The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to postpone to May 9, 2022; Board Member Richard Smith seconds on a 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2022. May 9, 2022 POSTPONED TO JUNE 13, 2022 BY APPLICANT

FINDING:

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:


OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:


Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison

 for _____
Jessica Cohen
Madam Chair