

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

January 31, 1963  
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Absent: Councilman Perry

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REV. S. J. CIATTO, San Jose Catholic Church.

Councilman Perry absent due to illness.

Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its second reading:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: LOTS 4-6, GYPSY GROVE ADDITION, FROM "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT TO "C-1" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: Councilman Armstrong  
Absent: Councilman Perry

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PERPETUALLY CLOSING AND VACATING 1890 SQUARE FEET OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF LOT 7, BLOCK 64, OF THE ORIGINAL CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; RETAINING AN EASEMENT FOR PUBLIC UTILITY PURPOSES; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the ordinance be finally passed.

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, two (2) certain easements, each five (5.00) feet in width for public utility purposes, was granted the City of Austin in, upon, and across a part of Lot 28, Enfield F, a subdivision of a portion of the George W. Spear League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Enfield F of record in Book 3 at pages 194 to 195 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of the above described property have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described public utility easements; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described easements are not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described public utility easements, to-wit:

Two (2) strips of land, each of the said two (2) strips of land being five (5.00) feet in width, the strips of land hereinafter described as Number 1 and Number 2 being out of and a part of Lot 28, Enfield F, a subdivision of a portion of the George W. Spear League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Enfield F of record in Book 3 at pages 194 to 195 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, and each of the said two (2) strips of land five (5.00) feet in width being more particularly described as follows:

NUMBER 1, being all the north five (5.00) feet of the west one hundred and fifteen (115.00) feet of Lot 28, Enfield F;

NUMBER 2, being all of the south five (5.00) feet of the west one hundred and fifteen (115.00) feet of Lot 28, Enfield F.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE RECEIVING AND ACCEPTING THE WORK OF IMPROVING PORTIONS OF AURORA DRIVE AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREBELOW DEFINED, PERFORMED BY R. B. BOWDEN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE RECEIVING AND ACCEPTING THE WORK OF IMPROVING PORTIONS OF BEDFORD STREET AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREBELOW DEFINED, PERFORMED BY R. B. BOWDEN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE RECEIVING AND ACCEPTING THE WORK OF IMPROVING PORTIONS OF BRIDLE PATH AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREBELOW DEFINED, PERFORMED BY LEE MANERS AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a Lease Contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Harry G. Ellis, in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain Contract, a copy of which is attached to this Resolution, and marked by the City Clerk for purposes of identification, and the City Clerk is hereby directed to file for permanent record in the office of the City Clerk the attached copy of said Contract without recordation in the minutes of the City Council.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
 Noes: None  
 Absent: Councilman Perry

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a Lease Contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Will Platt, in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain Contract, a copy of which is attached to this Resolution, and marked by the City Clerk for purposes of identification, and the City Clerk is hereby directed to file for permanent record in the office of the City Clerk the attached copy of said Contract without recordation in the minutes of the City Council.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
 Noes: None  
 Absent: Councilman Perry

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Sealed bids opened 2:00 P.M. Januray 29, 1963  
 Tabulated by: O.G. Brush, Purchasing Agent

"CITY OF AUSTIN BIDS FOR 500 KVA TRANSFORMERS ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION  
 DIVISION

Description	Quan.	Priester- Mell Co.	Sterett Supply	Line Material Industries	Walter Tips Co.	Southern Electric Supply	Graybar Electric Co.
Conventional Distribution Transformers 500 KVA 7200/ 12470Y-277/480Y W/taps	4 ea.	\$9840.00	\$9100.00	<u>\$8364.00</u>	\$8856.00	\$8856.00	\$9292.00
Manufacturer		Moloney	Kuhlman	Line Material	Westing- house	Allis Chalmers	General Electric

"These transformers are for service to Internal Revenue Building.  
 List price on these transformers is \$2460.00 each. Low bid of \$2091.00  
 each is 15% off list.

"RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that Line Material Industries of  
 Austin be awarded order as lowest and best bid.

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:  
(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 29, 1963, for four (4) 500 KVA transformers for the Electric Distribution Division; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Line Material Industries, in the sum of \$8,364.00, was the lowest therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Line Material Industries, in the sum of \$8,364.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute, on behalf of the City, a contract with Line Material Industries.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Sealed bids opened January 28, 1963 2:00 P.M.  
Tabulated by: O. G. Brush, Purchasing Agent

"CITY OF AUSTIN BIDS FOR CHEMICALS - FILTER PLANTS SODIUM  
HEXAMETAPHOSPHATE

	Quantity	McKesson Robbins	Nalco Chemical Company	Olin Chemical Company	Dixie Chemical Company	Thompson- Hayward Chem. Co.
Sodium Hexameta- phosphate, per City Specifica- tions Rail shipment	60,000# car	\$7,194.00	\$7,344.00	\$7,314.00	\$7,464.00	\$7,314.00
		Maintenance Engineering Company	Dearborn Chemical Company		Last Low Bid July 6, 1962 \$7,062.00 Maintenance Engineering Co.	
		\$7,242.00	\$7,068.00			

"Sodium Hexametaphosphate is used in treatment of water at Filter Plant. This is approximately a six months supply. Last order placed in July 1962. Bids were sent to all in this area who could bid.

"RECOMMENDATION: Recommend contract be awarded Dearborn Chemical Company for one 60,000 lb. car load as lowest and best bid at a total price of \$7,068.00."

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption  
(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 28, 1963, for one 60,000 lb. car load of Sodium Hexametaphosphate for use at the Filter Plants; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Dearborn Chemical Company, in the sum of \$7,068.00, was the lowest bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Dearborn Chemical Company, in the sum of \$7,068.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Dearborn Chemical Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

The City Manager submitted the following:

"January 25, 1963

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.  
City Manager  
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Friday, January 25, 1963, at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the installation of 24-inch and 6-inch water mains in Washington Avenue, Leona Street to Chestnut Avenue. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Building.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>"FIRM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>WORKING DAYS</u>
H & M Construction Company	\$32,363.70	25
Fairey - Simons Company	32,596.70	45
Austin Engineering Company	33,499.50	30
Bland Construction Company	33,961.25	60
Walter Schmidt	36,540.00	40
J. R. Barnes Company	38,287.00	60
Ford - Wagner	40,858.10	35
City Estimate	38,400.00	60



"It is recommended that the contract be awarded to the H. & M. Construction Company on their low bid of \$32,363.70 with 25 working days.

"Yours truly,  
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr. Superintendent  
Sanitary Sewer Division  
s/ Albert R. Davis, Director  
Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 25, 1963, for the installation of 24-inch and 6-inch water mains in Washington Avenue, Leona Street to Chestnut Avenue; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of H & M Construction Company, in the sum of \$32,363.70, was the lowest bid therefore, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of H & M Construction Company, in the sum of \$32,363.70, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City a contract with H & M Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin by Resolution dated December 31, 1959, authorized the City Manager to enter into a contract for the acquisition of right-of-way on U. S. Highway 183 near the Montopolis Bridge in Austin, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, acting in compliance with the terms of this contract, the City of Austin has deposited the Special Commissioner's Award and has taken the fee simple title by Final Judgement to that certain tract of land described in a condemnation cause of action in Travis County, Texas, styled as City of Austin vs. Ocie Miles McCandless, et vir; and,

WHEREAS, it is now necessary to transfer the fee simple title to the above referred tract of land and all improvements situated thereon to the State of Texas; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to transfer the fee simple title to the above referred tract of land and all improvements situated thereon to the State of Texas in compliance with the above described contract.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin by Resolution dated December 31, 1959, authorized the City Manager to enter into a contract for the acquisition of right-of-way on U. S. Highway 183 near the Montopolis Bridge in Austin, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, acting in compliance with the terms of this contract, the City of Austin has deposited the Special Commissioner's Award and has taken fee simple title by Final Judgment to that certain tract of land described in a condemnation cause of action in Travis County, Texas, styled as City of Austin vs. B. S. Killgore, et al; and,

WHEREAS, it is now necessary to transfer the fee simple title to the above referred tract of land and all improvements situated thereon to the State of Texas; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to transfer the fee simple title to the above referred tract of land and all improvements situated thereon to the State of Texas in compliance with the above described contract.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin by Resolution dated September 12, 1957, authorized the City Manager to enter into a contract for the acquisition of right-of-way for the highway commonly known as "South Belt Loop" and designated by the Texas Highway Department as Highway No. 293,

between the west City Limits of the City of Austin near U. S. Highway No. 290 and the City Limits of the City of Austin east of Congress Avenue; and,

WHEREAS, acting in compliance with the terms of this contract, the City of Austin and the State of Texas have jointly taken the fee simple title to that certain tract of land described in a condemnation cause of action in Travis County, Texas, styled as City of Austin and State of Texas vs. H. M. Bohn, et ux; and,

WHEREAS, it is now necessary to transfer the interest of the City of Austin to the above referred tract of land and all improvements situated thereon to the State of Texas; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to transfer the interest of the City of Austin to the above referred tract of land and all improvements situated thereon to the State of Texas in compliance with the above described contract.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

MAYOR PALMER announced the withdrawal of the following zoning application:

R. GRAHAM WILSON	1108 West 22nd Street	From "A" Residence 1st Height and Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area
------------------	-----------------------	--

The City Manager stated MR. TOM PERKINS, Chamber of Commerce, had requested permission to use the Town Lake for the Water Ski events on August 3rd and 4th, the week end before the Aqua Festival, which begins August 9th-18th. The Mayor asked that clearance be obtained from Capital Aggregates, operators in the river. The City Manager stated Mr. Perkins also requested permission to use powered boats from August 1st - 20th to lay out the slalom course and set-up for jumping. Councilman Shanks moved that permission be granted for the use of the Town Lake for Texas Ski Champions on August 3rd and 4th subject to arrangements being made with Capital Aggregates; and that permission be granted for the use of powered boats on the Town Lake from August 1-20th as requested. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Not in Council Room when the roll was called: Councilman Armstrong

The City Manager read a letter from Glastron Boat Company written to Mr. Tom Perkins regarding the annual distributors' meeting on August 5, 6, and 7th. There was always a new model presentation and few hours of "on the water demonstration", and it was requested that they be permitted to use the Town Lake on the 6th and 7th of August. Councilman White moved that this request be granted provided it was not in conflict with the requests just granted Mr. Perkins (for use of the town lake for Water Ski events, August 3rd and 4th, and for using powered boats from August 1st-20th) subject to clearance with Mr. Bill Howard (Capital Aggregates) and all parties concerned. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Not in Council Room when the roll was called: Councilman Armstrong

Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to advertise for bids for the 165,000 KW Turbine Generator (peak capacity 200,000) as recommended by him and the consulting engineer, to be opened March 14, 1963. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Not in Council Room when the roll was called: Councilman Armstrong

The Director of Public Works listed three requests from the AUSTIN NATIONAL BANK, two of which could be handled administratively. Those requests were for permission for a pebble covered sidewalk, and the other was for two planter boxes on either side of their entrance from East 5th Street, to act as a protection to the pedestrian traffic, as the doors open out into the area. The other request was permission to place a curb in the alley, about 2½' from the building, in order to keep cars from parking in front of the fire door, to protect the electric meter boxes together with a 6" gas service north of the meter boxes; and a 6" down spout. After discussion, Councilman White moved that the request be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the Austin Real Estate Board had announced it would honor Austin's Most Worthy Citizen for 1962 at its annual banquet, February 15th, 7:00 P.M. The deadline on nominations will be February 4th. This will be the 14th consecutive year that the Austin Real Estate Board has sponsored the Most Worthy Citizen Award.

The City Manager stated the Public Works Department had furnished a list of streets proposed for resurfacing this summer, and asked that the Council make

any suggestions or additions. The Director of Public Works stated this list was being submitted for tentative approval so that they could start on clearing the utilities. Councilman White moved that the Council approve the following list for resurfacing:

<u>Street</u>		<u>Lgt. ft.</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Blks.</u>	<u>Width</u>
BLANCO ST.	W. 6th St. to W. 12th St.	1985	\$ 3,530	6	30
ENFIELD RD.	Lamar Blvd. to WPL W. Lynn	2499	6,019	6	40
GUADALUPE ST.	W. 16th St. to W. 19th St.	1029	2,497	3	40
NUECES ST.	W. 4th St. to W. 19th St.	5880	15,846	15	60 & 40
NUECES	W. 19th St. to W. 24th St.	1984	4,298	5	36
RIO GRANDE ST.	W. 5th St. to W. 29th St.	9996	22,875	24	40 & 36
SAN ANTONIO	W. 3rd St. to W. 7th St.	1340	6,302	4	60
SAN ANTONIO	W. 9th St. to W. 19th St.	3675	8,965	10	36
SAN ANTONIO	W. 19th St. to W. 24th St.	2675	5,800	5	36
SAN JACINTO	E. 1st St. to E. 3rd St.	630	2,344	2	60
SAN JACINTO	E. 4th St. to E. 5th St.	280	1,024	1	60
UNIVERSITY	E. 19th St. to E. 21st St.	882	2,822	3	2-26
WEST AVE.	W. 5th St. to W. 19th St.	5145	12,359	14	40
WINDSOR RD.	Enfield Rd. to W. 12th St.	808	1,437	2	30
W. 2ND ST.	Congress Ave. to Nueces St.	1690	6,388	5	60
W. 21ST ST.	Rio Grande St. to Guadalupe	882	1,909	3	36
W. 24TH ST.	Lamar Blvd. to Guadalupe St.	3454	7,600	10	36
		44,834	\$112,015	118	

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Councilman White inquired about resurfacing South Congress. The Director of Public Works stated it would be sealed; that a study would be given to the City Manager on the cost. Councilman Armstrong inquired about costs of paving of T-intersections, and the City Manager explained the manner in which the charges were made.

No action was taken on leasing property in the Walnut Creek Valley, at the request of the City Attorney.

The City Manager brought up for consideration a proposed trade of property in connection with the golf course. After detailed discussion, Councilman White moved that the Council authorize the recommended trade of equal square feet for the JAMES D. BURNHAM property. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Perry

Mayor Palmer stated a letter had been received from MR. RUSSELL JACK BALLARD, President, Good Citizens League of Texas, in which was stated the Senior Citizens will gather in Austin on February 11. Mr. Ballard asks that all facilities be opened to the Senior Citizens, including the Auditorium. The Mayor said the City would extend them the same courtesies that any other person visiting the City is entitled to. He stated they had contacted the Red Cross; and if the Red Cross called on the City for facilities, the City would discuss it with them. Councilman Shanks moved that the City be just as courteous to the Senior Citizens as anyone else. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

R. GRAHAM WILSON	1000-1002 East 32nd Street	From "A" Residence To "O" Office RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission
------------------	----------------------------	--

Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "O" Office be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

ROSS TERRY	2211-13 Airport Blvd.	From "GR" General Retail
By Arthur H. Pihlgren	3101-03 Manor Road	To "C-1" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Armstrong moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

DELWOOD CENTER, INC. & 3815 East Avenue  
CASH LIQUOR STORE No.2 (Interregional Highway)  
By Russell Lindsay

From "C" Commercial  
To "C-2" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "C-2" Commercial be granted.  
The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry  
Not in Council Room when the roll was called: Councilman Armstrong

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-2" Commercial  
and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

ROBERT B. THRASHER  
By E. Ahlgrimm

509-511 West Live Oak  
Street

From "A" Residence  
To "C" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted.  
The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial  
and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

WESTERN TRAILS  
By Buford Stewart

4401-03 Pack Saddle Pass  
2121-2203 W. Ben White  
Boulevard

From "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Stewart appeared representing the application, stating the change of zoning would permit him to leave the big sign, which had been constructed without a building permit, on these residential lots. A stop-order had been issued on the construction, but he was permitted to proceed with the understanding he would ask for a variance before the Board of Adjustment. This was denied and he was asking for a change of zoning. It was his understanding "GR" General Retail would serve his purpose. He explained the deed restriction, and stated he had a petition for removal of these restrictions signed by all but two property owners. The Director of Planning stated signs up to 64 square feet were permitted in "A" Residence with certain set-backs. Councilman Armstrong asked if the sign was a vehicle to get future zoning, or was the zoning wanted for the sign. Mr. Stewart said he would move the sign to make it conform to the set

back. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the property be zoned "GR" General Retail. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

WILLIAM F. ZIDELL            2600-06 Manor Road  
By Alvis Vandygriff        2500-10 Manor Road

From "A" Residence  
To "C" Commercial  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission  
**RECOMMENDED "B"**  
Residence 1st Height  
and Area

Mr. Vandygriff represented the applicant, stating MR. ZIDELL's property was surrounded by Commercial on Manor Road, and he was interested in building multiple unit dwellings on this property. The zoning recommended by the Commission would permit only 24 units, and Mr. Zidell proposes 74 with off street parking, and if necessary, a fence around the area to keep the children inside. He pointed out the other commercial uses in the neighborhood. He stated the Planning Commission said there could be 284 units, but he would be willing to restrict it so they could build only 74 units. One property owner asked if there were some other zone Mr. Zidell could have that would permit the 74 units other than "C" Commercial. The Director of Planning stated this was on an inadequate street, and the Department was concerned with future development and density. "B" Residence 1st Height and Area was more in keeping with the neighborhood and the capability of the City to provide service to the area. MR. FRANK deGROOT, Architect, stated Mr. Zidell was purchasing the property from him, and asked that it be zoned "C" Commercial. MR. JOHNNIE BYROM stated the neighborhood was a stable residential neighborhood, and they would prefer apartment zoning. Mr. Vandygriff stated Mr. Zidell wanted only 74 units. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the change be granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -



MRS. H. K. SHELTON  
By Robert Sneed

106-204 Crockett Street

From "A" Residence 1st  
Height & Area  
To "B" Residence 2nd  
Height & Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission  
RECOMMENDED "B" Resi-  
dence 1st Height & Area

MR. SNEED represented the applicants and described the area. The request was for the purpose of erecting 43 units. The issue taken into account by the Planning Commission was the density and Mr. Sneed offered a restrictive covenant or agreement, and would request a roll-back of zone if more than 43 units were built upon the property. The finance Company is requesting at least one parking space covered for each unit. There will be covered parking space and 35 spaces for off-street parking, almost two for one. He described the efficient manner the flow of traffic had been designed, and stated on this amount of land 43 units was not an excessive amount of development. The Director of Planning said he would not be in favor of a covenant, MR. SNEED asked for "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area and said he would get a restrictive covenant to hold down the number of units, and this would limit the question of density. Councilman White moved that with the restrictive covenant of no more than 43 units, that the change of zoning be granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

W. M. GODWIN

810 Vargas Road  
6506-10 Felix Avenue

From "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. CHARLES N. AVERY, JR., represented the applicant and described the property and the heavily populated area. Some business property had been torn down to provide for development of the highway, and the area is now without certain services--washateria, etc. He filed written statements from two property owners in favor of the change. No opposition was expressed by anyone from the neighborhood. Mr. Godwin wants to construct a building for a laundromat. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the application be granted as requested. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

C. J. PRUIT

605-07 Banister Lane  
604-06 West Ben White  
Boulevard

From "LR" Local Retail  
To "C-2" Commercial  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. PRUIT represented himself, stating he wanted to have a drop-in cafe and lounge. He wanted his place to be an elaborate one, and planned to spend \$20 or \$30,000 on it. After discussion, Councilman White moved that the change to "C-2" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-2" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

REV. C. J. SMITH

7201-03 Blessing Avenue  
1112-16 E. St. Johns  
Avenue

From "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

REV. SMITH represented himself stating he wanted to open a furniture store and repair furniture and do upholstering. After discussing this location as a spot zone, the Council asked Rev. Smith if he could use his other property for this use, and he stated he could fix it where he could. Councilman Shanks then moved that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be sustained and the application be DENIED. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the change had been denied.

- - - - -

E. M. CHOTE, JR.

2810-16 Salado Street  
2811-17 San PedroFrom "A" Residence 1st  
Height & Area  
To "B" Residence 2nd  
Height & Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. HENRY WIER represented the applicant as he was the new owner of the property, and stated three lots out of four were vacant, and the land is too valuable to build a residence. What he wanted to develop would increase the tax income to the city, and would enhance the value of surrounding property. This is about the only place suitable apartment housing for University students can be built, as this is in walking distance for the students. Mr. Wier stated he would donate the additional 10' to widen the street. He pointed out there were a lot of houses that adjoin this property that had tenants and students, and apartments. MR. WM. CARRSOW represented the opposition, stating the neighborhood was unanimous in its interest; that this might be characterized as a spot zoning. This area is the only area one can get through from 29th to 19th; the only place is to come by these two narrow little alley-sized streets. The area also carries traffic from Nueces to Lamar. One street is 30' wide with 20' pavement, and the other is 40' with 24' pavement. He stated this zoning does not fit the real purpose of the zoning ordinance. He stated collection of garbage would be a problem - fire would create a problem. This area is in a fine residential area; people are buying rent houses and making beautiful homes out of them; the Planning Commission has not recommended the change for at least three different times. He said the Council should not consider making this change until it was willing to straighten the streets and widen them. He stated one man was killed in the street here, and the neighbors attributed his death as a result of heavy traffic. Mr. Carrsow stated there was other land that could be developed, and asked that it not be in the heart of this little area of residences. He stated in time the zoning would come, and he said when it was zoned, all of it should be zoned from 24th to 29th, without taking one little spot and creating a rotten situation. MRS. WAYNE ADAMS was opposed to the zoning. MR. O. W. REINMUTH, 2808 San Pedro, vigorously opposed, one reason being due to the increased traffic. MR. WILLIAM BURFORD said he had turned the property at 2816 San Pedro into a very fine private residence just recently, and he wanted this to remain a residential area. San Pedro is extremely dangerous and narrow, and it will be impossible to have more cars on it. It seems Mr. Wier wanted all the neighbors to sacrifice all their own property so he could place a commercial venture there and bring more traffic in. Before an apartment went in he said the City should plan the streets or make them one-way. The Mayor explained the manner in which streets were widened and improved. Mr. Burford said Mr. Wier was willing to give his property, but the rest were not willing unless the whole area were changed. Mr. Carrsow suggested the best way to solve the problem is to keep the area residential until the Planning Commission, along with the Development Plan could work out a comprehensive zoning based upon adequate traffic circulation. MR. RAISCH, 2800 San Pedro, opposed the change in that the area was already congested, and this 10' extra would not help. He suggested yield or stop signs. The Mayor asked where these signs should be. Mr. Raisch suggested 28th and San Pedro, and at 28th and Salado where his father was killed. The Mayor stated this would be checked out with the City Manager. One citizen asked that the City replace the sign behind the Fraternity on West 26th and San Pedro, as the boys keep tearing it down so they can use this lot for a parking lot. DR. EBY opposed the zoning. He stated the street was not a street; there

is no space to walk, and everyone walks down this street, which is only 20' wide. With cars parked and cars travelling, the situation is intolerable. DR. LEE EDENS, 2814 San Pedro, stated he had lived there 41 years. He pointed out the traffic hazards and the increased traffic if the apartment house is constructed. He said this was a spot zoning. No need of an apartment house in this area. The Council deferred action until the next week.

- - - - -

NELSON PUETT, JR.  
By Isom H. Hale &  
Associates

Tract 1  
3104-3112 Stoneway Dr.  
6901-09 Great Northern  
Boulevard

From Interim "A"  
Residence  
To "IR" Local Retail  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Tract 2  
3105-31 Stoneway Drive  
6827-33 Great Northern  
Boulevard

From Interim "A"  
Residence  
To "C-1" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Tract 3  
6805-25 Great Northern  
Boulevard

From Interim "A"  
Residence  
To "IR" Local Retail  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Hale stated the situation had changed since the last time this zoning application had come up. He showed a map. The extensions of the Missouri-Pacific Boulevard and cross town road had not been settled at that time. The Planning Commission voted unanimously on this before and have confirmed their findings again. The Planning Director stated the Planning Commission recommended this, but the Department did not make a recommendation on the current case. DR. DAN ZIEGLER stated the group of neighbors in opposition was growing. He said if Pegasus were cut through, it might be difficult to sell lots as a residential area. He suggested a plan of subdividing which would make those lots preferred lots and create an extremely stable residential area. He said this residential area was in a natural boundary, and there was a large commercial area planned on the west side of the tract, and the group hoped that this application in their area for commercial would be denied, as the large commercial area would be sufficient. The President of Gullett P.T.A. opposed the change because of the extra amount of traffic around the school. MR. LEONARD PARVIN stated the number of children moving into the area is tremendous, and the traffic on bicycles and parents' taking their children to school is a traffic situation. With a shopping center, the traffic would be increased. Discussion of truck traffic into the center and the route the trucks might take was held. Councilman Shanks stated the opposition seemed to be based on the traffic rather than the shopping center. Dr. Ziegler expressed opposition to the center, as they had a fine residential area which could become an extremely stable fine residential area, if commercial were not established there. There will be one of the largest regional centers in the city across the railroad; and if commercial is planned in their area, he asked what next? A property owner on Lexington Road stated he had lived there only three or four months, and one of the inducements

for his buying was this class "A" residential area. He did not know there was to be a shopping center within a block of him. He stated there was no need for a shopping center in the area. He was not bothered about the large regional center across the railroad. Dr. Ziegler stated this residential neighborhood, if access is limited into Pegram, could become a unique residential area in northwest Austin. MR. VERNON LUPER opposed the zoning as there was no need of another shopping center when there was this regional center 500 yards away. He said there was proposed a buffer zone of apartments at one time, but now that did not exist; that in place of that, there is a possibility of less expensive houses' being built--houses of lesser standard in value which will degrade the neighborhood and value which the neighborhood had put in. He objected to having houses of lesser value degrading the neighborhood and acting as a buffer. MR. RAWLINS CHERRYHOMES had lived at his location four years, and he had seen the safety situation degenerated by the removal of two foot bridges and establishment of traffic bridges in front of his house. There is bicycle traffic and no sidewalks. The vehicular bridge is not safe, and the increased traffic will make the whole situation worse, MR. TED BALL did not want to gamble the safety of his children on a possible other access to this shopping center. MR. NELSON PUETT stated there was a foot path on the bridge, and he built sidewalks on all sections as fast as houses were constructed and lots were sold. He said he did not intend to build houses less valuable to any out there, and he noted that Tarrytown and other residential areas near shopping centers did not build less value-houses backing up to the residential area. It would be a convenience to have a smaller shopping center on this side of the railroad, and this convenience could cut down on automobile traffic. Mr. Puett outlined development of the whole area, including the extension of Hart Lane overpass. He planned to build a high brick fence and nice homes and did not anticipate any trouble in selling the homes. All lots were restricted except these, which were designed for a shopping center, and which had been approved. The Director of Planning gave a statement of the overall zoning of the area in general, pointing out arguments for and against. The Council discussed briefly the City's development of the underpass or overcross in the area. The Mayor stated the Council wanted to make a personal visit to the area to see how the overpass ties into the area and what the elevation will be. Dr. Ziegler stated if this is handled correctly, it would be to the neighborhood's advantage, and it could act as a very good barrier and make the area a very good residential neighborhood. The Mayor stated the City Council would make this personal inspection and give an answer next week.

- - - - -

GEORGE A. HAMMOND  
By Phillip Crawford

1607 (1623) Rosewood  
Avenue

From "C-1" Commercial  
To "C-2" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

MR. PHILLIP CRAWFORD represented the applicant stating the property along Rosewood is already zoned "C-1" Commercial, and Mr. Hammond wants to operate a package store. Mr. Crawford stated no nuisance or additional noise would be generated from this place, and submitted a petition favoring the change. Vigorous opposition was expressed by MRS. CURTIS COLLINS, stating Kealing Junior High School and Rosewood Park were in the vicinity, and the group in opposition was interested in values of their children--not dollars. She stated there was on-premise consumption at these places, and there were numbers of package stores in the area already. She said their problems were many. She noted Mr. Hammond

was a business man and Mr. Crawford was his attorney, and neither was interested in the neighborhood. MRS. W. C. CRENSHAW, 1705 Rosewood, opposed, asking the Council not to vote in any more liquor stores, but to try to help them destroy what is there. She asked the Council not to grant this zone. The property owner at 1131 Leona stated they were trying to train boys and girls to be assets to the City, and this operation would only tear down the community. Another citizen who lived in the neighborhood 54 years, opposed any other liquor outlet, as the neighborhood was having trouble with what they had, and reported she had drinking people coming through her yard constantly and had called the Police many times. She asked the Council not to grant the change of zoning, but expressed appreciation for what the Council was doing in cleaning up the City. MRS. FEARLIE ALEXANDER, 1717 Rosewood, expressed opposition; also MR. W. C. CRENSHAW who stated conditions were bad on Rosewood now. Another citizen opposed the change to permit a package store, as there were many children in the neighborhood, and pointed out the nuisances of having wine and beer bottles in their yards. One citizen, 83 years old, expressed strong opposition. MR. HAMMOND stated he had made a \$20,000 improvement on this place, and said other business men in the area, Mr. Flow and Mr. Hobbs, had no objection to the zoning; and the people who would patronize the liquor store would be there only a very short time and leave. He stated he provided the necessary off-street parking. The Council took no action as it wanted to make a personal inspection of the area.

- - - - -

MRS. L. W. KING, ET AL 1401-05 Cotton  
By Forest Pearson 1181-1187 Angelina

From "A" Residence 1st  
Height and Area  
To "BB" Residence 2nd  
Height and Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. FOREST PEARSON represented the applicant, stating the question was whether or not the Council would make an exception to the Urban Renewal request in the area. The Mayor read a letter from the Urban Renewal Agency dated January 30, 1963, as follows:

"January 30, 1963

"Honorable Lester E. Palmer, Mayor  
and Members of the City Council  
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mayor Palmer:

"The Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency at its January 2nd meeting discussed the proposals for changing the zone for property located in the Kealing Project. A review of the Gene Naumann and Forest Pearson (C. W. King) applications for multifamily housing was made.

"The commissioners stated they are aware of the need for better housing and that this is the objective of the renewal program. They further stated that they are appreciative of the applicants' interest in this type of development, particularly, the new construction for this area. The Board hopes the applicants will continue their interest and possibly construct such units in more appropriate areas.

"It was noted that a plan is being developed for the renewal of the Kealing area

that would be submitted to the Council and the public for hearing.

"In an effort to coordinate public and private development, the Board reviewed the zoning proposals. It finds the proposed zoning is in conflict with the use proposed in the Urban Renewal Plan. The Board, therefore, voted unanimously to recommend to the Planning Commission and the City Council that these requests be denied.

"Sincerely,  
s/ L. Wayne Golden  
Executive Director  
Urban Renewal Agency"

Mr. Pearson stated the decision seemed to be resting on the fact this would be in conflict with the Urban Renewal Agency plan. The City Attorney said the Urban Renewal Plan is required to be brought in and a series of public hearings would be held at which time the property owners would be notified. He stated the Urban Renewal Agency was unanimous in its desire that Mr. Pearson not lose his interest in this kind of development, as there definitely will be a need; and what it wanted to do was to see if it would be possible for him to develop in an area that would be compatible. He said this particular development at this particular location would be in conflict with the overall plan; that there might be land available that would serve Mr. Pearson's purpose even better than this. Mr. Pearson said private enterprise found it hard to block off sufficient land to develop multiple unit dwellings, and this one-fourth block was available. He said the area needed this type of development, and this land had been vacant 20 or 30 years with the exception of one very old house. Mr. Pearson pointed out other types of zoning in the area and expressed interest in the Planning Director's statement that this was "spot zoning". He said private enterprise was becoming interested in this area and noted the number of subdivisions for colored people that had been developed. He said anything they might put here would add to the property and inspire other owners to improve and build up the area. He said Urban Renewal should help improve the community and not hamper it. He said they would like to take an option from Urban Renewal, and they did not think the property owner should be penalized. Councilman White stated it would be a credit to that neighborhood, and that he thought a lot of the private money is needed instead of the other. The City Attorney explained this piece of property is related to an area that is to be redeveloped and there is room in the area and a greater amount of land than Mr. Pearson has. He did not think it would be too long before the Agency had their plan for redevelopment before the Planning Commission, and that Mr. Pearson could work out something with the Agency in the meantime. The Mayor stated what Mr. Pearson was attempting to do was exactly what was desired to be done, and private industry is to be encouraged. This whole area has been studied and has been filed as a project. Mr. Pearson asked the Council to grant this, and he would get a letter to the Council that they would not apply for a building permit for six months; and if nothing could be done within six months, that would be sufficient time for an option. The City Attorney said when the Urban Renewal Agency makes its presentation of the resubdivision of this entire area, it will come in with a comprehensive zoning for the entire area. The Urban Renewal Commission, although it does not have its plans completed, was certain this particular area would be zoned for single family dwellings. The Director of Planning reviewed the Kealing Project plan. Councilman Shanks stated if he could get a recommendation from the Urban Renewal Agency, the Council would be glad to consider this. MRS. CURTIS COLLINS, living in the area, stated they would like a chance to work with Urban Renewal.

She opposed the change of zoning, as the apartment house was being built by a person living in another area, and there would be migrants moving in. She wanted to share in the letter written by Mrs. Lancaster, of the League of Women's Voters in opposing the change. Letter was noted by Council and is on file with the zoning application. The City Attorney said the Urban Renewal Agency felt it was its duty to the property owners not to encourage something that would result in frustration of their plans and unnecessary expenditures of their money. The Mayor asked Mr. Pearson to give the Council another week to visit with the Urban Renewal Agency and check with them to see if something could be worked out. Councilman Armstrong asked that this be given some fast action.

- - - - -

The Council recessed until 5:40 P.M.

RECESSED MEETING

5:40 P.M.

At 5:40 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

MAYOR PALMER acknowledged a letter from GOVERNOR JOHN CONNALLY expressing thanks to the Council members for membership card which would entitle him to the Recreation facilities of the City; also a letter from SENATOR NEVILLE H. COLSON from Navasota, expressing her appreciation for the complimentary membership card.

MAYOR PALMER asked that the City Manager arrange with the Electric Department to have a sample of a certain type of mercury vapor light installed on 6th and Congress, so that it could be observed, and then the Council would have a discussion with the down-town merchants.

The Mayor stated the local butchers that use the Abattoir had asked that the Council meet with them at a luncheon. The Council agreed it would meet with them Monday at the time and place they select.

The Mayor inquired about an amendment to the ordinance which was held up last week, pertaining to issuance of permits by the Building Official. The City Manager stated he had no opportunity to read this amendment and asked that it be held up until the following week.

The City Attorney submitted a map showing property between Greenwood and Redwood through which Pershing Boulevard would be constructed to the entrance of the Airport. He stated the City did not own Lot 11 in the tier of lots. The City Manager discussed the plan of the boulevard, stating if it were extended as planned, it would take a diagonal half of the lot, and recommended that Lot 11 be purchased. The City Attorney said the owner was resubdividing the area, and he recommended buying the lot on the market price, or condemning it so the subdivider could develop the rest of his property. Councilman Shanks moved that



on the recommendation of the City Manager, he instruct the City Attorney to proceed to acquire this property. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The City Attorney made a report on right-of-way property on West 7th Street.

The City Attorney discussed the acquisition of the Meyer property. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager be authorized to acquire the Meyer property. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The City Attorney discussed the Missouri Pacific right-of-way at Westover Road, stating one property owner, Mrs. Meeks, would be in Alaska for two years, and he stated if the engineers' plan is followed, it will be necessary to acquire some of Mrs. Meeks' property; if it is not, there will be no need for any of the property. The City Manager explained the plan and possibilities. He said the probability of the City's need seemed remote. The Council took no action.

The City Manager reported there had been a request to build a rest home on Hargraves Street. MR. CHASE had appeared at the last Urban Renewal meeting and asked clearance as he was ready to develop the property. The City Manager pointed out the possibility of this area's being flooded in line with other development, and had said he wanted to have the area checked. He recommended that the City look into purchasing the property, and asked if appraisals should be made. The Mayor stated the City Manager should have the appraisal made.

The Director of Planning displayed a plat of the Medical Arts Square showing the part of the street that was under consideration to be vacated, and the arrangement for a 30' street with a line on the ground to indicate the demarcation of private property and the street. He explained the development since the first arrangement had been agreed upon, and stated the doctors had laid out the area where there is now a 15' street. The Director of Planning recommended the wider street, and the City Attorney and Director of Public Works wanted to stay with the 30' street plan. The Mayor asked that the group be told this is the recommendation of the City to require them to put in the curb, and "no parking", and let them park up next to their offices, this all to be done at their own expense.

Councilman Shanks asked that recognition be given to MR. CHARLES J. DuBOSE, who was injured in his attempt to stop a runaway car in which little one-year old Harriet Hartley was asleep.

The City Manager discussed the procedure during the past few years on taking bids for gasoline, and stated he was being told that now is a good market for gasoline. The Mayor suggested that Councilman Armstrong, the City Manager, and Assistant City Manager work out the specifications and take bids on the gasoline and get a firm price.

The City Manager made a report on the arrangement which the Director of Aviation had worked out on the dining room at the Airport, which will open at 11:00 A.M., whereas the coffee shop will open at 6:30 A.M.

The City Manager stated there were a number of meetings the Council should schedule, and listed the subjects to be covered.

The Mayor referred to the City Manager the matter of an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance pertaining to off-street parking requirements.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"January 22, 1963

"TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

"Sealed bids for Automatic Sprinkler System for Airport Golf Course was opened in the office of the Purchasing Agent at 2:00 P.M. December 27, 1962.

Invitation to bid was advertised in the paper for two consecutive weeks, and also sent to all vendors in this area who we thought could bid.

Attached is tabulation giving item numbers and brief description of various groups of materials.

The bids on all items listed on bid forms have been checked and the following listed items and bidders are low and meet the specifications both as to name brands and specifications.

Item #1, 2 & 3 - Pumps, motors, and pumping plant panel. Low bidder at \$3,744.74 was Smith Pump Company.

Item #4 - Auxiliary pump. Low bidder at \$555.00 was Peerless Pump Company.

Item #5 - Asbestos Pipe and fittings. Low bidder at \$7,669.38 was Rohan Company bidding on K & M pipe.

Item #6 - PVC pipe and fittings. Low bidder at \$17,760.60 that met specifications as to both name brand called for and specifications was Venable Sprinkler Sales. Two other bidders were lower than Venable Sprinkler Sales but neither

Item		American Mach Supply	Trans-Tex Supply	Lone Star Steel	Rohan Co.	Austin Pipe & Supply	Walter Tips
1-2 & 3	Turbine Pumps, Motor & Panel	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid
4	Auxiliary Pump w/motor	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid
5	Asbestos Pipe & Fittings	No bid	No bid	No bid	<u>7,669.38</u> - 290	No bid	No bid
5 A	Alternate, Cast Iron Pipe	No bid	No bid	10,500.00 (Pipe Only)	No bid	No bid	No bid
6	PVC Pipe & Fittings	18,855.90	16,581.24	No bid	18,836.85	No bid	No bid
7	Aqua Dial Equipment	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid

		American Mach Supply	Trans-Tex Supply	Lone Star Steel	Rohn Co.	Austin Pipe & Supply	Walter Tips
Item							
8	PVC - Wire	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	8,098.62
8	Liquid Bakelite	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid
	Rubber Tape	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid
9	Galvanized Fittings	789.63	614.33	No bid	735.49	<u>613.15</u>	No bid
	2½" Brass Gate valve	21.21	23.37	No bid	19.48	<u>18.00</u>	No bid
	6" C.I.Fluid- tite valve	146.55	71.93	No bid	152.29	165.30	No bid
		Allis Chalmers	Catto & Putty	Venable Sprinkler Sales	Peerless Pump Co.	Centex Sprinkler Inc.	Samsco
Item							
1-2 & 3	Turbine Pump, Motor & Panel	8,924.00	5,071.50	4,551.12	3,863.00	5,448.80	4,666.16
4	Auxiliary Pump w/motor	695.00	855.54	798.70	<u>555.00</u>	960.40	647.78
5	Asbestos Pipe & Fittings	No bid	9,565.57	8,995.28	No bid	9,105.96	No bid
5 A	Alternate,Cast Iron Pipe	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid
6	PVC Pipe & Fittings	No bid	18,877.00	<u>17,760.60</u>	No bid	20,341.90	No bid
7	Aqua Dial Equipment	No bid	29,229.05	<u>28,154.70</u>	No bid	28,854.35	No bid
8	PVC-Wire	No bid	8,196.23	7,255.49	No bid	7,928.69	No bid
	Liquid Bakelite	No bid	62.48	49.98	No bid	58.80	No bid
	Rubber Tape	No bid	119.07	105.84	No bid	79.38	No bid
9	Galvanized Fittings	No bid	875.88	762.93	No bid	796.69	737.00
	2½" Brass Gate Valve	No bid	24.50	18.82	No bid	19.36	19.50
	6" C.I.Fluid tite Valve	No bid	211.68	200.36	No bid	205.07	168.46

Item	Smith Pump Co.	Gorbet Lawn Sprinkler	Goldwaites of Texas	John C. Ross Hdw.	K & M Supply	K & M Supply Alternate
1-2 Turbine Pump, & 3 Motor & Panel	<u>3,744.74</u>	No bid	No bid	No bid	4,622.31	No bid
4 Auxiliary Pump w/motor	625.18	No bid	No bid	No bid	786.17	No bid
5 Asbestos Pipe & Fittings	No bid	No bid	8,043.56	7,988.07	No bid	No bid
5 A Alternate, Cast Iron Pipe	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid	No bid
6 PVC Pipe & Fittings	20,992.51	20,657.71	17,004.72	No bid	19,734.09	11,587.87
7 Aqua Dial Equipment	No bid	No bid	16,470.49	No bid	No bid	No bid
8 PVC - Wire	25,221.95	No bid	<u>6,471.06</u>	No bid	No bid	No bid
Liquid Bakelite	<u>7.35</u>	No bid	13.50	No bid	No bid	No bid
Rubber Tape	106.72	No bid	111.60	No bid	No bid	No bid
9 Galvanized Fittings	629.16	No bid	813.30	No bid	673.16	No bid
2 1/2" Brass Gate Valve	22.08	No bid	27.44	No bid	19.60	No bid
6" C.I. Fluid- tight Valve	No bid	No bid	206.40	No bid	341.04	No bid

"Prices shown are net Totals except where noted."

"January 30, 1963

"To: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager Subject: Report on prices of Aqua Dial Sprinkler

"At the suggestion of your office I have done a little comparing of the prices the Venable Sprinkler Sales Company quoted the City of Austin with other installations.

"Apparently the system installed at Lakewood Country Club in Dallas is not comparable to our setup. Mr. Ross Collins, Lakewood Golf pro, said they paid \$54,926.00 for the conversion of their manual system to the automatic system with Aqua Dial Nos. 15, 14, and 13 heads. He could not furnish me with a unit cost because of the way the job was bid.

"Mr. Collins, at Lakewood Country Club, said their water system was working very well and they were satisfied. I also got the same report on an Aqua Dial automatic system installed in one of the Dallas Parks. However, the sprinklers used by the Dallas Parks Department were not the No. 15 so I could not get a comparison of cost.

"I did discover that the Surrey Hills Country Club in Oklahoma City was now preparing a system such as ours. I talked to Mr. Glenn Fowler, the golf pro, and he gave me the unit costs as shown below:

"Aqua Dial Sprinkler	List Price	Surrey Hills	Austin
No. 15	\$70.00	\$55.00	\$59.50
No. 13	42.00	28.00	29.75

"The total aqua dial bid was \$28,154.70 less the \$3,000.00 which Mr. Venable has granted reduce the cost to \$25,154.70 and he has also granted the 2% discount, makes the balance \$24,651.61.

"The Goldthwaites' bid was \$16,470.49 which makes a difference of \$8,181.12. An unknown quantity is what the difference would have been if the Goldthwaite Company had bid on the 224 valves of equal series. The valve they substituted in their bid was not their top quality valve.

"AUSTIN RECREATION DEPARTMENT  
s/ Bev."

"COPY

"From the amount of the Bid of \$28,154.70 by Venable Sprinkler Sales on Golf Course #3, the 2% discount is applicable, to be subtracted from the above amount and further, a \$3,000.00 deduction from the discounted amount. The total end amount being \$24,591.60.

"Signed \_\_\_\_\_  
Robert T. Venable"

The City Manager submitted the question of awarding bids for the Airport Golf Course sprinkling system, and stated the Director of Recreation and the Assistant City Manager had discussed the bids of the VENABLE SPRINKLER SALES COMPANY for automatic controllers, remote control valves, and sprinkler heads, and that this Company had originally quoted their bid at \$28,154.70 but had now requoted a bid at \$24,591.70, which is a reduction of \$3,000 and a two percent discount. He recommended that the purchase be made on that basis. Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager's recommendation be accepted and offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on December 27, 1962, on various equipment for Automatic Sprinkler System at Airport Golf Course; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Smith Pump Company, in the sum of \$3,744.74, for Items #1, 2 and 3 - turbine pump, motor and plant panel, was the lowest bid therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Peerless Pump Company, in the sum of \$555.00 for Item #4 - auxiliary pump - was the lowest bid therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Rohan Company, in the sum of \$7,669.38, for Item #5 - asbestos pipe and fittings - was the lowest bid therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the bids of Venable Sprinkler Sales, in the sum of \$17,760.60 for Item #6 - PVC pipe and fittings, and in the sum of \$24,591.60 for Item #7 - Aqua Dial Equipment (a) automatic controllers, (b) remote control valves, and (c) sprinkler heads - were the lowest bids therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Goldthwaites of Texas, in the sum of \$6,471.06, for Item #8 - PVC covered electric wire - was the lowest bid therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Austin Pipe Supply, in the sum of \$613.15, for Item #9 - galvanized fittings - was the lowest bid therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bids of Smith Pump Company, in the sum of \$3,744.74, for Items #1, 2 and 3; of Peerless Pump Company, in the sum of \$555.00 for Item #4; of Rohan Company, in the sum of \$7,669.38, for Item #5; of Venable Sprinkler Sales, in the sum of \$17,760.60 for Item #6, and in the sum of \$24,591.60 for Item #7; of Goldthwaites of Texas, in the sum of \$6,471.06, for Item #8; and of Austin Pipe Supply, in the sum of \$613.15, for Item #9, be and the same are hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts, on behalf of the City, with said companies.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

Regarding these bids on the sprinkler system, MR. JACK GORCZYCA of Goldthwaite's, said their company was still \$9,000 lower. The City Manager explained the difference on all of the equipment was \$8,121, and that \$5,800 of that amount was on the valves, which left a difference of \$2,271. Discussion was held on the valves that were bid. There was a misunderstanding on the valve bid, and it was pointed out that the Goldthwaite's valve did not meet specifications.

There being no further business, Councilman White moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None  
Absent: Councilman Perry

The Council adjourned at 8:00 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

Leita E. Palmar  
Mayor

ATTEST:

Eli Hooley  
City Clerk