500

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

May 24, 1962 10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Barent Rosen, Acting Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by DR. SAMUEL BAXTER, Church of the Good Shepherd.

The Council greeted and welcomed MR. LEIF JOHNSON, with Armstrong-Johnson, and MR. DON SWANSON, Advertising Manager for the Ford Company, Dallas, Texas.

Mayor Palmer opened a public hearing on the request of SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY for adjustment in gas rates. MR. FRANKLIN DENIUS represented the Gas Company, stating the rate matter was presented formally on January 17th, and this is the first application for a rate adjustment since 1955, during which time the company's rate of return had been diminishing due to the inflationary spiral. Their request included a connection fee of \$5.00 for new customers, or for reconnections; and also a discontinuance of the \$5.00 initial deposit upon application for gas service, effective June 1st. He stated with the proposed rate increase, Austin would still have one of the lowest rates in Texas. The Company was asking for commercial and residential rates to be increased at approximately the same percentage of increase. Mr. Denius briefly reviewed some of the points discussed at the March 22nd hearing, and stated since that date additional figures had been submitted to the Council; and figures in the application, and on file with the Railroad Commission show the company is making about 3.38% rate of return as of 1960. Discussed generally was the request for a connection fee of \$5.00. MR. C. T. JOHNSON opposed this charge, as it would be a hardship on many including University students, and he said a company should offer some service to customers to get their business. Also, he said the Gas Company purchased their gas for Austin cheaper than elsewhere and this should be considered. MR. W. C. GUNNELL opposed the disconnect and reconnect charge, but was not opposed to the charge for making the first connection to new buildings or homes. MR. HEROLD opposed the \$5.00 conncetion fee in lieu of the \$5.00

h, (∆ Ì

deposit on which the company paid the depositors 6% interest, stating on this charge there would be no interest to be paid, and the company would be picking up additional money. MR. E. R. HALDEN stated this charge would be a hardship on working people in the \$200-\$300 bracket. One complaint was filed on a gas bill and on the manner in which a small leak had been repaired, but this matter was referred to MR. CROWLEY. MR. DENIUS explained the connection fee did not take the place of the deposit; but as far as the rate structure was concerned, the company felt it would be fair to ask for this fee to defray the additional revenue it would have to ask for. This fee would become an operating capital and would not increase the rate base. Discussion was held on the price of gas Southern Union paid. Mr. Denius stated there had been no increase. Mr. Johnson discussed the amount of profit the Gas Company made even at 3.38 in comparison to other types of businesses which do not realize the same amount of return. DR. E. H. GIVENS was in favor of eliminating the initial \$5.00 deposit. MRS. JOHN BARROW stated many people could then get gas connected, but would be unable to pay for it at times, and would then have to pay the reconnection fee. MR. ROCHELL suggested the \$5.00 was too much; that a \$1.00 fee would be more in line. MR. DENIUS listed the proposed rate schedule. The hearing was recessed until 4:00 P.M. Later in the meeting when the hearing was resumed, MR. HEROLD discussed at length depreciation figures stating they were not being handled correctly, and asked the Council to look into this failure of the Company to credit the customers of Austin with \$3,000,000 which was paid into the depreciation and amortization fund, but carried as an investment included in the Company's figure of \$10,840.00. He said the Company claimed a 3¹/₂% return now; to bring it up to 7%, the rate would have to be doubled. Mr. Denius discussed these matters with Mr. Herold, and explained a new accounting or formula set up since 1954. MRS. LEON DONN suggested that the City engage an expert to help in this gas study. DR. ROBERT MONTGOMERY was present in the morning meeting, but took no part in the technical discussion.

The City Attorney made inquiry about MR. DAVID NICOLS' testimony, asking if he were intending to return for further questioning. The City Attorney had two questions he wanted to ask: (1) about Mr. Nicols' opinion of the value of the property, and (2) the break-down in the difference between the interest and dividend, and the rate of interest the company is paying now. MR. DENIUS read from the testimony of Mr. Nicols. The City Attorney stated combining the interest and dividends was confusing, and the Council might like to have those two items separated, unless that was included in the additional information submitted. He said there was no opportunity to specifically discuss with Mr. Nicols his method of determining the fair value of the property; that his testimony was a statement of opinion on a balance and he did not give the original cost less depreciation and replacement cost less deterioration. The City Attorney did not known what the original cost nor replacement cost was. After technical discussion, the Mayor submitted the following figures:

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE

	Present Rate	17% Gas Company's Proposed <u>Rate</u>	12.7% Proposed <u>Rate</u>
l mcf	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.45
4 mcf	.70	.82	.82
20 mcf	.66	.76	.76
25 mcf	.50	.58	.55

		Jakes	<u> </u>
	Present Rate	17% Gas Company's Proposed <u>Rate</u>	12.7% Proposed <u>Rate</u>
250 mcf 2000 mcf Excess	\$.41 •30 •25	\$.48 •35 •35	\$.45 .34 .34
Minimum Charge	1.30	1.50	
	LARGE VOLUME USERS		
300 mcf next 700 mcf next 1000 mcf Excess	• 30 • 28 • 24 • 23	•35 •33 •28 •25	•34 •32 •28 •25
Minimum Charge	90.00 per month	105.00 per month	102.00 per month

5122

502

The Mayor stated these figures would give, based on the adjusted 1961 rate base of \$11,880,065.02, a return of 6.35. Based on the 1960 rate base of \$10,842,435.80, the figures would give 6.16. The Company asked for 6.72. After more discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager be instructed to draw an ordinance embodying the new rates as shown on the black board. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: Councilman Perry

DR. E. H. GIVENS appeared before the Council making several requests:

- (1) There is a disgraceful situation on the east side, where people are living in unfit housing, and Urban Renewal is badly needed.
- (2) A fence to the north and east of Rosewood Park is needed; as is a locker room for their valuables when they go swimming.
- (3) Austin has had negro policemen for 20 to 25 years; and during that time there has not been one man upgraded to Sergeant.
- (4) Request that Department heads would use colored college graduates in Business Administration in typewriting positions; that one or two colored people be given white-collared jobs.

Mayor Palmer complimented POLICE CHIEF MILES in his training program of all of the Department, so that when something happens in one area, there is no disruption in the chain of command. He has built up the organization to where it can function efficiently. The Mayor introduced Acting Chief of Police, BARENT ROSEN, who is filling in for Chief Miles in his absence due to illness. -CITY OF AUSTIN. TEXAS-

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

TWEIFTH & NECHES, INC. 1107-1111 Neches Street Herman Blum, President 501-05 East 12th Street

From "O" Office To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

J. J. VARDEN	305 East 30th Street	From "B" Residence
By Roger S. Hanks	2911-15 San Jacinto	To "LR" Local Retail
· · ·		RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "IR" Local Retail be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "LR" Local Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - - - - - -

G. C. CARLIN, Owner Lawrence Hernandez, Purchaser 2806 Webberville Road 70017045Wayne Street From "C" Commercial To "C-1" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Armstrong moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-L" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

HENRY G. SANDERS By Byron Fullerton 4136 East 12th Street

From "LR" Local Retail To "C-1" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Armstrong moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - - - - - -

8418-8546 Burnet Road

SAM ROBINSON By Robert O. Smith From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "C" Commercial ge granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

TOM MOSES ATTAL

8217-8241 Burnet Road 2801-2815 Ohlen Road 8216-8218 Bowling Green Drive From "A" Residence 1st Height and Area To "C" Commercial 6th Height and Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "GR" General Retail 6th Height & Area

MR. ROBERT SNEED stated the recommendation "GR" General Retail 6th Height and Area was agreeable to the client. Councilman Armstrong moved that "GR" General Retail 6th Height and Area be granted as recommended. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail 6th Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

.

 Δ

W. H. BUILARD By Dan Priest 1130 Airport Boulevard 3609 Goodwin Avenue From "C" Commercial 6th Height and Area To "C-l" Commercial 6th Height and Area RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial 6th Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-l" Commercial 6th Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

.

NASH PHILLIPS By The Marvin Turner Engineers, Inc. 2213 East 51st Street 5022-5026 Manor Road From "A" Residence 1st Height and Area To "B" Residence 1st Height and Area (amended from 2nd Height and Area) RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission as amended

Councilman Armstrong moved that the change to "B" Residence 1st Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 1st Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

.

CITY PLANNING COMMISS	ION Tract 4	From "A" Residence To "O" Office RECOMMENDED by the
AREA STUDY	805-07 West 34th Street	To "O" Office
	Additional Area	
CARL C. HARDIN, JR.	801-03 West 34th Street	Planning Commission and
Tract 4		to include the additional
		area

Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "O" Office be granted including the additional area. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Gouncilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - - - - - -

DR. WALTER K. LONG By Wallace A. McLean 2306-10 Leon Street 1101-05 West 24th St. From "A" Residence 1st Height and Area & "O" Office 1st Height and Area To "O" Office 2nd Height and Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "O" Office 1st Height and Area

MR. FRANKLIN DENIUS represented the applicant showing pictures of the established businesses and listed the various uses in the immediate area. He showed a ground plot for the proposed apartment hotel, which will have a 34' set back on Leon and 47' on 24th. The proposal was to offer accomodations to freshmen girls, and the indications are that the number of automobiles would be less than ordinance requirements. Many uses under 1st Height and Area would be more offensive than that proposed here. He stated the building would be of pleasing design; would enhance the present aesthetic development West of Leon; would increase revenue to the City; promote the growth of the University. Councilman Perry stated maybe the Council should take a new look at the problem; that the main interest was the growth and needs of the University; and possibly a tremendous increase in the density of housing in that area should be allowed; and the area might be so densly populated it would be impossible to bring cars into the area, and it would get to the point that not only freshmen but all students would not have cars. He suggested talking with University officials about this. The Director of Planning stated there would be 185 people on this tract of land; and in this census tract there are 11,000, with a possible 15-20,000. He discussed apartment-hotels in general as pertained to the University areas. Opposition was expressed by Mrs. George Francisco for MRS. GRIFFIN, Federation of Women's Clubs. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that Dr. Long's application be granted to "O" Office 2nd Height and Area, as requested. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry*, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

*Councilman Perry made the following statement regarding his vote:

"The Planning Commission spends so much time it shames me to vote against them. As of now I declare myself in favor of higher density in the University area and will support zoning changes toward that direction; increasing the density in that area."

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office 2nd

506

-CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS-

Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

LEON MAKKIN, et al

2821-25 Rio Grande Street

From "A" Residence 1st Height and Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area NOT RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "B" Residence 1st Height and Area

MR. ROBERT SNEED represented the applicant, stating the traffic hazard at 29th and Rio Grande had been eliminated since the City had acquired the right-of-way at the corner to provide an even flow of traffic. He stated immediately north of the property is "C" Commercial as is that to the east. The number of units could be placed on the property in the same area that are proposed for this. He stated he had provided a restrictive covenant which would provide for one off-street parking space for each dwelling unit. Density would be controlled by reason of the land area for off-street parking. The area would be in walking distance of the University. The Director of Flanning stated the Planning Commission had recommended against 2nd Height and Area consistently, and other property owners had withdrawn their applications. Councilman Armstrong inquired about widening West $28\frac{1}{2}$ Street. Councilman White moved that the requested zoning, "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area, be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong*, Perry**, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

*Councilman Armstrong made the following statement concerning his vote:

"Providing it does not interfere with widening 285 Street."

**Councilman Perry made the following statement concerning his vote:

"In line with my new attitude toward the whole area, I vote 'aye'."

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

507

1409-15 Possum Trot

2415-17 (2413) Enfield Rd. From "A" Residence To "B" Residence NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

MR. LONNY ZWIENER, stated he had just taken this case, and Mr. Holloway had sold the property to Mr. J. Allbright, and they would like permission to withdraw and begin again. MR. WALTER CAVIN did not want to waive any legal rights since the property had changed ownership. Mr. Zweiner asked that the application be reconsidered by the Planning Commission since the new owner has a different idea on developing the property, and would be willing to provide two off-street parking spaces per unit. He asked for a rehearing. The Director of Planning stated this had been studied carefully, with particular emphasis on where the boundary should be drawn and what the conditions are. Deed restrictions were discussed briefly. Mr. Zweiner stated he did not recall any deed restrictions that would prohibit the erection of a multiple dwelling. He again asked that the request be withdrawn. Councilman White stated always a request to withdraw had been granted when people asked for it; that if he had to vote on this today, he would vote against the zoning, but he was willing to give Mr. Zweiner permission to withdraw the application. MR. EUGENE WUKASCH wanted the hearing to be held. No motion was made to accept the withdrawal, and Mr. Zweiner proceeded with his representation of the application, stating the area was one of multiple unit apartment houses, and the opposition seemed to arise from insufficient off-street parking arrangements. Opposition was expressed by MR. WALTER CAVEN, pertaining to deed restrictions, and to possible spot zoning of apartments all through Westfield "A". Also expressing opposition were MRS. W. B. WARDLOW, LOUISE JARRELL, COLONEL BRIGHT, EUGENE WUKASCH, MRS. W. L. THOMPSON, and others. After a lengthy discussion, Councilman Perry moved that the change be DENIED. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been denied.

N. J. WONSLEY, Owner 501-509 Wonsley Drive CLIFTON S. WINSTEAD, Purchaser By Trueman O'Quinn

From "A" Residence 1st Height and Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

Mr. O'Quinn represented Mr. Clifton S. Winstead, who wanted to build multiple apartments on this large tract that was high, and had a beautiful view, as well as good access routes into town. The huge tract adjoining is not subdivided. The Director of Planning stated this was a subdivision proposition; and all the Planning Department was asking was it be properly subdivided and streets dedicated; that this was spot zoning, and no pattern had been set. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the change be DENIED. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been denied.

- - - - - - - - -

MRS. OTIS VAUGHN & JOE F. MOKRY By Malcolm Robinson 3401 Glenview Avenue 1600-06 West 34th Street 3400 Kerbey Lane

From "A" Residence To "O" Office NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

No one appeared to represent the applicant. Councilman Perry moved that the zoning request be DENIED. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstwong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

.

P. E. WORSHAM

1120 East 52nd Street

From "O" Office To "C" Commercial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

MR. ROBERT SNEED represented Mr. Worsham, stating the application had been amended to ask for change of zoning only on the south 30' of the property. Mr. Worsham had spent \$1,000 for a sign for his real estate and insurance office; and under the ordinance it is impossible to leave the sign up as it is. It must be flat on the building, but the way it is constructed it would not work out. Mr. Sneed asked that this be postponed until a possible special permit could be worked out. The Council postponed decision to see if it could be handled under special permit.

MR. TRUEMAN O'QUINN appeared in the interest of the following zoning application:

MARION EDWARDS	3501-3507 (3701-07)	From "A" Residence 1st
LAWRENCE F. HARBESON	Cedar Street and	Height and Area
THELMA MURPHY &	106-110 West 35th Street	To "B" Residence 2nd
JULIA I. REED ESTATE		Height and Area

He stated they had agreed to try to work out two parking spaces per unit, but that could not be worked out; and if the Council would relieve them of that particular requirement, they would go on with the covenant that there would be only 900' for each apartment unit. They can get 14 or 16 units, but could not furnish 32 parking spaces. He suggested that they could provide 16 parking spaces for either 12 or 14 units. He stated when the City Attorney came in with the ordinance stating this would be "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area, he would submit deed restrictions to the City Attorney. The Mayor polled the Council members to see if each was agreeable to this, and each answered he was.

The Council recessed until 3:00 P.M.

509

RECESSED MEETING

At 3:00 P.M., the Council resumed its business.

Bids were opened and read for the construction of a steel building for the Water and Sewer Plant as follows:

JOHN BROAD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	\$10 , 590	65 days
W. J. FULLERTON COMPANY	9,181	40 days
WRIGHT BUILDING SALES COMPANY	8,988	75 days
HOLSTROM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	9,675	60 days
JACKSON & CULLEN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	9,995	90 days

The Construction Engineer recommended WRIGHT BUILDING SALES COMPANY, stating it had done work for them before and the work had been satisfactory. The City Manager recommended the acceptance of the low bid to the Wright Building Sales Company. Councilman Shanks moved that the contract for the construction of a metal building for the Water and Sewer Plant be awarded to WRIGHT BUILDING SALES COMPANY in the sum of \$8,988. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Bids were opened for 10,000 3/8" round reinforcing steel, and 15,000 1/2" round reinforcing steel for Central Stores, as follows:

TIPS IRON & STEEL	3/8" 1/2"	\$261.00 \$681.00	\$942.00 less 2%
WILKES COMPANY			\$930.15 net
SAN ANTONIO MACHINE & SUPPLY COMPANY	3/8" 1/2"	\$310.20 <u>781.56</u>	\$1,091.76 less 2%
WALTER TIPS COMPANY	3/8" 1/2″	\$261.32 686.37	\$947.69 less 2%
ALAMO IRON WORKS OF SAN ANTONIO	3/8" 1/2"	\$214.30 546.00	\$760.30 less 2%
AUSTIN PIPE & SUPPLY CO.		No bid	
CAPITOL CITY STEEL CO.	3/8" 1/2"	\$250.04 646.29	\$896.33 less 2%
ALAMO STEEL & MACHINE COMPANY OF AUSTIN	3/8" 1/2"	\$256.06 <u>647.00</u>	\$903.06 net

The City Manager recommended the low bidder, ALAMO IRON WORKS OF SAN ANTONIO. Councilman Armstrong moved that the contract for the 3/8" and 1/2" steel be awarded to ALAMO IRON WORKS OF SAN ANTONIO at \$760.30 less 2%. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

3:00 P.M.

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Bids for trucks and bodies for the Electric Distribution Division were received and read before the Council, and referred to the Purchasing Agent for checking and report back to the Council next week.

MR. JOHN COATS appeared before the Council regarding the paving of Bridle Path and his property, stating his property would be impossible to subdivide, but it might be developed for apartment houses, and he was working on this planning with the idea of leaving as much natural beauty in the area as possible. If the street is put through as planned, 22 large trees will be taken down. If the street were changed over about 6 feet, 15 or 17 of the large trees could be saved. The trees are on city property. He stated Mr. Carter did not want the street to be so close to his property that his wall would be damaged. The Director of Public Works stated if the street were moved over six feet, the cut would be so deep there would be a possibility of erosion which would undermine that wall and it would have to be rebuilt at city expenses. Mr. Coats had a survey made and he stated there was a discrepancy in one of the surveys -- his or the City's, as they did not match. The Mayor asked if something could be worked out. The Director of Public Works stated he would have one of his assistants and a field party meet with Mr. Coats on the ground. Councilman Perry suggested that the possibility of building a second retaining wall be investigated. Mayor Palmer stated he was sure something could be worked out.

No action was taken on designating an additional 250 acres in the area of Balcones Terrace as residential rather than industrial as recommended by the Planning Commission.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Southern Union Gas Company has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction of its gas mains in the streets in the City of Austin hereafter named, and said maps or plans have been considered by the City Council: therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT Southern Union Gas Company be and the same is hereby permitted to lay and construct its gas mains in and upon the following streets:

(1) A gas main in TWIN CREST DRIVE from Huntland Drive to St. Johns Avenue, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said TWIN CREST DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(2) A gas main in LISA DRIVE from a point 116 feet east of Miranda Drive easterly 1,072 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said LISA DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(3) A gas main in PRISCILLA DRIVE from Lisa Drive southerly 1,119 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said PRISCILLA DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(4) A gas main in ESTHER DRIVE from Isabelle Drive to Brenda Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said ESTHER DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(5) A gas main in ISABELLE DRIVE from Huntland Drive northerly 722 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said ISABELLE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(6) A gas main in HUNTLAND DRIVE from a point 21.0 feet east of Brenda Drive, easterly 482.0 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 11.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said HUNTLAND DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

 (7) A gas main in DEBORAH DRIVE from Lisa Drive southerly 1,240 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said DEBORAH DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

THE Southern Union Gas Company is hereby put upon notice that the City of Austin does not guarantee that the space assigned above is clear from underground utilities, but is based upon the best records we have at hand, and that the minimum depth stated does not have any reference to the fact that greater depths may not be required at special points. When the Southern Union Gas Company requires definite information upon the ground as to elevations or working points from which to base the location of their assignments, they shall apply to the Department of Public Works not less than three (3) days before such information is required. The Southern Union Gas Company is further put upon notice that they will be required to bear the expense of repairs or replacement of any underground utility damaged during the construction of lines named in this resolution.

AND THAT whenever pavement is cut in the vicinity of a fire plug, water must be used at intervals during the course of backfilling of the ditches.

THAT the work and laying of said gas mains, including the excavation in the streets, and the restoration and maintenance of said streets after said mains have been laid, shall be under the supervision and direction of the City Manager and under all the pertinent terms and conditions of the certain franchises granted to said company by the City of Austin.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, there has been submitted to the Building Inspector, the application of Tracor Inc. for a building permit together with a site plan dated May 17, 1962 meeting the requirements of Section 10-B, 3 of the Zoning Ordinance of the City, for certain building establishment at 1705 Guadalupe Street, more particularly described in said application; and,

WHEREAS, it has been found and determined by the City Council of the City of Austin that, based upon the use of the premises for the purpose of 2nd Story addition to Research Laboratory Building the maximum number of parking spaces which will probably be used by employees and customers of such establishment, taking into account the loading facilities on the site, the public parking areas and street space available for parking in the vicinity, public safety, and free circulation of traffic both on and off the site, is Nine (9) parking spaces; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That Nine (9) spaces is an adequate number of parking spaces for the establishment shown on the site plan of Tracor Inc. dated May 17, 1962, for use of the premises for the purpose of Research Laboratory.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None CITY OF AUSTIN. TEXAS

12

Councilman Perry offered the following resolution and moved its adoption: (RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the property situated on the east side of Calles Street, an irregular tract of ground of the William Cameron Company and Inc. Tract, as a private gasoline plant consisting of one (1) 1,000 gallon tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment, and from which no gasoline is to be sold, which property is owned by Brunson Transfer, Inc., and is Outlot 21, Division A, of the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and hereby authorizes the said Brunson Transfer, Inc. to operate a private gasoline plant consisting of one (1) 1,000 gallon tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment, and from which no gasoline is to be sold, subject to the same being operated in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto, and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the operation of this private gasoline plant after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution, and said permission shall be held to be granted, and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, traffic and fire regulations; and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Brunson Transfer, Inc. has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas May 22, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have considered the application of Brunson Transfer, Inc., by their agent, Raymond Ramsey, Jr., for permission to operate a private gasoline plant consisting of one (1) 1,000 gallon underground tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment and from which no gasoline is to be sold, upon property located on the east side of Calles Street, an irregular tract of ground of the William Cameron Company and Inc. Tract, which property is designated as Outlot 21, Division A., in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and locally known as 621 Calles Street.

"This property is located in an "E" Heavy Industrial District and I recommend that this permit be granted subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That the gasoline tanks and pumps shall be of an approved type and shall bear the label of the Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., and that all tanks and pumps shall be installed in compliance with the Ordinance governing the storage and handling of gasoline. -CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS-

"(2) That all tanks and pumps shall be located not nearer than 10 feet to the property line and so located that cars stopped for the purpose of unloading or receiving gasoline or other supplies shall not in any way obstruct the free passage of traffic on either the sidewalk, street, or alley.

"(3) That "NO SMOKING" signs shall at all times be prominently displayed and no person shall be permitted to smoke on the premises where gasoline is handled or stored.

"(4) That all fees shall be paid and a permit secured from the Building Inspector's Office before any installation work is started, and that no equipment shall be placed in operation until after final inspection and approval of same.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Perry moved that the request for a boat pier for Gondolier Motel, on the Town Lake, adjacent to 1001 South Interregional Highway, be referred to the Town Lake Development Committee. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the construction and maintenance of a loading platform in the sidewalk area on the west side of Nueces Street, which is owned by Calcasieu Lumber Company, the same being designated as Lot 4, Block 25, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, locally known as 300 Nueces Street, and hereby authorizes Calcasieu Lumber Company to construct and maintain said loading platform, subject to the same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue a building permit for the construction of this loading platform after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution, and said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, traffic and fire regulations, and the right of revocation is retained, if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Calcasieu Lumber Company has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS=

(Recommendation attached)

"Austin, Texas May 22, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"We, the undersigned, have considered the application of Calcasieu Lumber Company, for permission to construct and maintain a loading platform in the sidewalk area on the west side of Nueces Street, being Lot 4, Block 25, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and locally known as 300 Nueces Street, and we hereby advise that the following conditions exist:

"The property upon which this business is located is designated as "C-2" Commercial District as shown upon the zoning maps of the City of Austin.

"This neighborhood is essentially a warehouse district in which a number of warehouses now exist with loading platforms extending out over the sidewalk area.

"We recommend that Cakcasieu Lumber Company be granted permission to construct and maintain said loading platform in the sidewalk area adjoining the property described above subject to the following conditions:

"That the proposed loading platform be constructed of concrete or heavy timbers, and in accordance with the plans on file in the Building Inspector Office, and that all grades and lines for the curb in front of this property be constructed in accordance with the lines, grades and specifications established by the Department of Public Works and having the approval of the Director of Public Works.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr. Director of Public Works s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White Noes: None Present but not voting: Mayor Palmer

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CTTY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City of Austin that certain Grant Agreement dated May 22, 1962, covering Project No. 9-41-078-C206, Contract No. FASW-345, a copy of which said Grant Agreement is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as fully as though the same were set forth at length herein. (For reconstructing north-south runway at Airport - \$334,900.00) CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

The Mity Manager read a letter from the Federal Aviation Agency, as follows:

"May 23, 1962

511

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager City Hall Austin, Texas

"Subject: Robert Mueller Municipal Airport Austin, Texas Project No. 9-41-078-C206

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"In response to your letter of April 27, 1962, we concur in your award of the contract for the "Reconstruction and Extension of Runways and Taxiways" portion of subject project to the low bidder, Giesen and Latson Construction Company, Inc., in the amount of \$271,769.27, as set forth in the tabulation of bids furnished with your letter.

"Your attention is invited to the fact that the primary responsibility falls upon the City of Austin regarding compliance with local statutes, ordinance, charter provisions, etc., relating to the award of contracts and the performance of construction work.

"Subsequent to the award of contract, please furnish this office with three signed or conformed copies of the proposed contract documents consisting of the invitation for bids, proposal, wage rates, contract agreement, bond, general provisions, specifications, special provisions, and addenda, if any. Authorization to issue notice to proceed will not be given until the above contract documents have been received in this office and reviewed.

> "Sincerely s/ F. J. Schnitzer District Airport Engineer"

The City Manager stated a letter from MR. NELSON PUETT & ASSOCIATES had been received stating he would like to deed Lot 20, Blk. K, Oakridge Heights, Sec. 3, 802 County Road, to the City free and clear of debt, no restrictions, requirements or strings attached. The City Manager showed the property on a map, and recommended that the City take title to this lot as there is a problem of a roadway in the area. Councilman Perry moved that the Council accept this lot with thanks. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

The City Manager brought up for further consideration the sign lease to Mr. Ed St. John on Lamar and 12th, and the offering of the city property for sale to the Waterman family. Mr. St. John had found no one in the shopping center who objected to the sign, and he had invested \$600 on this. The City Attorney stated the Watermans would like to buy it at a reasonable price, and MR. ROBERT MILLER, President, Duplex Signs, stated the tract was usable for a separate tract for advertising purposes; and since he had \$600.00 invested, he would like to bid on it. The area is 1200 square feet. Councilman Perry suggested that there may be a possibility of the City's needing this land, and that Mr. Waterman be asked to reimburse Mr. St. John, and the city would not lease the property, but just hold it for future use. Councilman Shanks suggested setting a price plus one-half the income for the next 10 years. The City Manager suggested instead of selling the property to rent it to the Watermans for \$30.00. The Mayor suggested that the City Attorney check with Judge Mace Thurman and contact Mr. Waterman on buying the land for \$3,000. Councilman White suggested contacting Mr. St. John; and also see if Judge Thurman would pay them the money they invested. Councilman Armstrong suggested finding out what their thinking is and let Mr. St. John know what is being done and report back next week.

After review and discussion of the lease of the property at 27th and Guadalupe to Mooreburgers, Councilman Shanks moved that the option to purchase the property be extended for another year with a \$250.00 added on to the \$40,000 making the purchase price \$40,250. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager stated the Telephone Company owns some land on Banister Lane and Ben White Boulevard. The City owns a wedge between Banister Lane and Ben White Boulevard, and Mr. Henry Sasse would like to buy the City property. The Council stated it would take a look at this property next week.

The City Manager submitted a list of items the Housing Authority wanted the City to take care of in regard to the Housing for the Elderly, and he read the statement. (1) Evidence that the City would relocate a high voltage power line on Willow Street; (2) That the City would agree to close a portion of South Neches Street and a portion of East Willow Street; (3) that the City would make available at the perimeter of the site, water, electric and sewer services; and (4) that the City approve the location as a site for an elderly housing project. The statement included that the Housing Authority would request from the proper authorities waiver of any height and area restrictions that may be involved in this site. The City Manager read a proposed reply to MR. HARMON HODGES, Executive Director, Austin Housing Authority. Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to prepare this letter to the Austin Housing Authority. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None 518

The Director of Public Works stated his plan for a building, 1000' north of the sand beach reserve, to park some equipment and have room for an attendant at the storage yard. There is a little building he wanted to move to the sanitary fill and rock veneer it at an estimated cost of \$1600. The Mayor suggested that the Director of Public Works clear this building on the sand beach reserve with the Town Lake Development Committee.

The City Manager had a memorandum from the Director of Recreation regarding the request of Mr. D. W. Peel, President of the Junior Base Ball, Inc., asking for the use of the base ball field on the sand beach reserve--the one formerly granted to the Lions Club west of the Harvey Williams Field. The Mayor stated this should be cleared through the Town Lake Committee and Parks Department.

The Director of Public Works submitted an estimated cost of the paving skips left in the paving of the 1929-30 bond issue. These skips will be brought to the Council by June 15th, and he wanted to get the rates set. He stated he had taken an average of six current residential paving contracts, and the average cost per square yard was 1.45, the property owners paying $.96\phi$ or $66\ 2/3\%$. The estimated cost per square yard for the concrete paving or concrete paving with hot mix surface was 4.50. The $66\ 2/3\%$ of that would be 3.00 per square yard if the average flat rate percentage is taken. He recommended that these costs be prepared on a square yard basis rather than on a front foot basis. Councilman Shanks moved that those skips be paved on this same ratio on the square yard basis and the flat rate, so that it will be comparable to our existing policy. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager explained a lease agreement with I. B. M. for equipment, and stated after checking it was throught best to include an option to purchase the equipment. Under the option 64% of the money padd would be applied on the purchase price, and the other 36% would be the amount paid on maintenance. After the explanation and discussion on the amount of savings, Councilman White moved the City Manager be authorized to amend the contract to include this option to purchase within two years. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager said he had a letter from the Store Manager of Montgomery Ward stating electrical appliance sales, stoves, dryers, air conditioning, etc., are limited in Austin due to expense to the customer in getting his home properly wired for the installation. Mr. W. D. Davis, Store Manager, stated the people of Austin who would prefer electricity over gas need the help of their power company, and stated the power company did very little to promote the sale of electrical appliances. The City Manager also read a letter the Gas Company was CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

writing to home builders, contractors and remodeling specialists, setting out the things it does to promote the use of gas appliances--if one installs a gas range, they will give them \$100.00, and so on. The City Manager noted the City was facing some stiff competition. He asked if it were agreeable with the Council for him to make a study and come up with some recommendations. The City Manager was asked to make this study.

The City Manager read a letter from MR. ED BLUESTEIN, as follows:

"TEXAS HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT Austin, Texas May 23, 1962

"Travis County Proposed Farm to Market Road:

Proposed Farm to Market Road: From Near Loop 293 (Ben White Boulevard) In City of Austin to Farm Road 1626 in Manchaca

"Hon. Tom E. Johnson County Judge Austin, Texas

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Gentlemen:

"This will merely confirm a conversation I had in my office one day last week with County Commissioner Bob Barker and at which time I also conferred by telephone with Director of Public Works Reuben Rountree somewhat discussing the status of the presently designated Farm to Market Road from Manchaca into Austin near our Ben White Boulevard and on which a considerable amount of money has been expended in plan preparation and the like but which project has been somewhat stymied for a long time. We were more or less discussing how this matter might be satisfactorily resolved and allow us to at least prozeed with a portion of this Manchaca Road but stopping it somewhat short of its official terminus on the Austin end.

"It seems that the matter of removing and/or relocating Water District lines could be satisfactorily resolved on a portion of this project and further, it appeared that the City of Austin would ultimately and probably in the not too distant future reconstruct to a satisfactory city section that part of this Manchaca Road presently within the Austin City Limits and further, the City of Austin would undoubtedly expand its limits to near Matthews Lane and which fact would prompt our possibly stopping this project for the time being near Matthews Lane on the Austin end and foregoing any work on the section from Matthews Lane into Ben White Boulevard, a distance of approximately two miles.

"In order to do this, our Highway Commission Minute Order No. 48444, dated September 27, 1960, which designated the Manchaca Road from present Farm Road 1626 in Manchaca northerly to 0.8 miles south of Loop 293 (Ben White Boulevard) in Austin, would have to be amended officially and I need letters from both of you requesting us to have this done and giving your reasons for so requesting it. As I explained to Mr. Rountree, the letter from the City could be such that -CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

the request for leaving the city section out entirely could be qualified with the further note that once the City has improved the two mile portion from Ben White Boulevard to near Matthews Lane, the State should be urged to seriously consider its inclusion in the State maintained system of Farm Roads.

"In summary, if both of your groups concur with the above, then please give me a letter urging that we have Commission Minute Order No. 48444, dated September 27, 1960, amended such that it would state that the designation would be from F.M. 1926 in Manchaca northeast to near Matthews Lane, approximately two miles south of Loop 293 (Ben White Boulevard) in Austin. The sooner I get these letters from you, the sooner will I be able to propose and recommend it to our administration.

> "Sincerly yours, s/ Ed Bluestein District Engineer"

The Director of Public Works stated if the County proceeds with the purchase of the right-of-way the City would be willing to state it would proceed within the not too distant future.

The City Manager displayed a sketch of a bus stop structure which is proposed to be constructed by the U.S.O. for the use of the military personnel. Mr. VIC MATHIAS is making this request for the U.S.O. which is on East 7th Street east of San Jacinto. The Council took no action.

The City Manager reported in connection with the furnishing of the machine shop at the new Power Plant, the Electric Department found rather than buying new machine tools, that factory rebuilt tools could be obtained and were satisfactory, and they wanted to take bids on these rebuilt tools on the 28th of June. He asked if the Council wanted to open the bids in the Council room. Councilman Perry stated he preferred that the bids be opened in the usual manner. Councilman Shanks stated anytime a Councilman wanted to have the bids opened in the Council room, he could ask that it be done; otherwide, the bids should be handled as they have been in the past. Councilman Armstrong stated to go ahead with the opening of the bids as had been the usual custom until the Council asked that they be brought in.

The City Attorney made a report on the tax status of WESTERN REPUBLIC INSURANCE COMPANY and PLYMOUTH INSURANCE, stating it was those companies' contention they had a minus tax figure. He explained the statutes covering the particular phases pertaining to these companies, and stated other companies had been taxed and had paid their tax. He stated before limitation expired, they had filed suits against these two companies for delinquent taxes.

The Assistant City Manager stated Councilman Perry had inquired about coral snake anti-venom, and reported that the Health Officer, Dr. Primer, was ordering 50 cc. Councilman Perry suggested that surrounding cities be notified that this coral snake venom is available.

521

The Assistant City Manager stated the Council had received a letter from MR. F. R. RICE regarding the St. John's Playground, and that he had a letter from the Director of Recreation stating some things are contemplated in the foreseeable future, but he could not promise anything immediately.

The Assistant City Manager stated the Council had asked for a report on the Capital Improvement Plan to see if some project could be postponed to provide a pool for the South Austin Park, but that report is not ready yet.

Councilman Perry made note that Honorable OSWALD WOLF had served 18 years on the City Council, and is the only member of that Council who is still living, and suggested that some park or public place be named after him.

The Mayor read a petition signed by 81 citizens asking the Council to deny SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY'S request for an increase of gas rates.

The Mayor read a letter from DRS. DAVIS, MITCHEL, AND ADAM, Dallas, Texas, as follows:

May 18, 1962

"The Honorable Lester Palmer, Mayor and the City Council Austin, Texas

"Gentlemen:

"It was my pleasure to participate in the recent convention held by the Texas Medical Association in Austin. We used the facilities of the Municipal Auditorium for official business and we also had an unofficial political rally which was not concerned with the Medical Association's meeting but was purely a personal affair open to the public. We were extended every conceivable courtesy by Mr. Vickers, Manager of the Auditorium, and his staff. Also, I don't believe I have ever worked in a public place which was as well designed and constructed for the purpose intended as is your auditorium. Your City Council and their architectural consultants surely are to be congratulated.

"We appreciate the way everybody in Austin made us feel at home.

"Yours very truly, s/ Milton V. Davis, M. D."

The Mayor read a letter from MRS. R. C. HAGGARD, Bergstrom Air Force Base, complimenting the City's beautiful parks, but pointing out disreputable conduct was being carried on in these parks.

The Mayor noted a petition signed by a number of citizens through various Churches and filed by Mr. Lawrence Owens, asking the Council to rescind the garbage fee proposal or call an election and let the voters decide the issue. -CITY OF AUSTIN. TEXAS

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council reappoint the following members to the Planning Commission, terms to extend to June 1, 1964:

MR. DAVID BARROW MR. FRED BARKLEY

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council reappoint the following to the Board of Adjustment, for a term to expire December 31, 1963:

> MR. DAVE SHIPWASH MR. H. M. TOTLAND MR. B. W. BURNETTE

and that MR. H. W. SAUER be appointed as an additional member of the Board.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Perry moved that the Council reappoint the following members to the Library Commission for a term to expire January 1, 1964:

> MRS. A. W. HARRIS MR. R. W. PETTWAY MRS. L. C. PROCTOR MR. BILL WEEG MR. O. DOUGLAS WEEKS MRS. CLAUDE HILL MRS. JOHN BROAD

MRS. HERBERT ASH MR. DAVE CHEAVENS MR. EDMUNDS TRAVIS MR. W. E. SHALLENE MISS HELEN HARGRAVE MRS. RALPH HANNA MR. T. H. WILLIAMS, JR. REV. ROBERT L. ROWE

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Council noted with regret the resignation of MR. F. W. STERNENBERG from the Library Commission.

Councilman White moved that the Council reappoint the following to the Navigation Board with terms to expire January 1, 1964:

> MR. FOREST PEARSON MR. R. G. MUELLER, JR. MR. W. B. ACKER DR. TERRENCE WATT

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Councilman Perry moved that the Council reappoint the following to the PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD for terms to expire April 1, 1964:

> MRS. C. E. BROWNING MRS. FAGAN DICKSON DR. D. K. BRACE MRS. FRANK ROBINSON MR. GIL KUYKENDALL MR. SAM A. PARKER, JR. MR. JOE CARRINGTON, SR.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council reappoint the following to the RETIREMENT BOARD for a term to expire April 17, 1964:

MR. WILLARD HOUSER MR. L. J. STRUHALL MR. W. P. WATTS

vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council reappoint the Air Conditioning, Heating and Ventilating, APPEAL BOARD, for terms to expire March 3, 1964:

MR. B. SEGALL, JR.	-	Consulting Engineer
MR. C. R. BROWNLEE	-	Refrigeration Contractor
MR. FRANK HICKS		Property Owner
MR. JOE BOYER	-	Heating, Air Conditioning & Ventilating Contractor
MR. DICK JORDAN	-	Building Inspector

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Armstrong moved that the Council reappoint the following to the Advisory Hospital Board, for a term to expire May 25, 1965:

> MR. JOHN SIMPSON MR. GUY DARSEY

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None -CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Councilman White moved that the Council confirm the reappointment of the following members to the Plumbing Board, terms to expire May 22, 1964:

MR. JAMES C. MIDDLETON MR. JAMES GARLAND MILLER MR. JOHN KAVANAUGH MR. FRANK GERLING MR. ROY THOMAS MR. ED BLOOMQUIST MR. J. W. SCARBROUGH MR. CLYDE C. CRAVEN Master Plumber Licensed Journeyman Plumber Appliance Dealer Mechanical Engineer Architect Air Conditioning Contractor Gas Utility Man Property Owner

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council reappoint MR. CHARLIE GREEN to the Civil Service Commission, term to expire May 6, 1965. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Armstrong stated MR. FRANK SMITH owned some property where he had wrecked cars, and was told he could not operate a junk yard. He then sold the property, and the purchaser has put in a big wrecking yard. The matter was referred to the Assistant City Manager.

There being no further business, Councilman Perry moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 9:48 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED Junta E. Palmer

ATTEST: