

## MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

August 3, 1961  
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

## Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; C. J. Taylor, Assistant City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by RABBI D. D. CRAIN, Congregation Agudas Achim Church.

The Council stood in silent prayer in memory of MRS. J. R. ESKEW, Step-Mother of our City Attorney.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of July 27th, with the supplement, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Perry moved that the Council set hearings as follows:

Appeal of MRS. M. F. THURMOND, By Robert C. Sneed, for special permit to erect a service station at the southeast corner of 41st and Red River Streets - 10:30 A.M., August 10, 1961.

Appeal of FLOYD HALE, for special permit to sell boats in a "LR" zone - 11:00 A.M., August 10, 1961.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"August 1, 1961

"To: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager Subject: Assessment Paving Contract  
Number 61-A-21

"Following is a tabulation of the bids received at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, August 1, 1961 for the resurfacing of approximately eighty-six blocks of pavement known as Assessment Paving Contract Number 61-A-21, consisting of 17 units.

"Giesen & Latson Construction Co.	\$ 94,673.54
Collins Construction Co. of Texas	102,684.33
R. B. Bowden Construction Co.	103,835.48
Ed H. Page	118,635.50

"City's Estimate \$119,622.20

"I recommend that Giesen & Latson Construction Company with their low bid of \$94,673.54 be awarded the contract for this project.

s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.  
Director of Public Works"

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City of Austin received bids on August 1, 1961, for the resurfacing of approximately eighty-six (86) blocks of pavement, known as Assessment Paving Contract Number 61-A-21, consisting of 17 units; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Giesen & Latson Construction Co., in the sum of \$94,673.54 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Giesen & Latson Construction Co., in the sum of \$94,673.54 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Giesen & Latson Construction Co.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"August 1, 1961

"To: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager Subject: Assessment Paving  
Contract Number 61-A-19

"Following is a tabulation of the bids received at 10:00 A.M. Tuesday, August 1,

1961 for the construction of approximately sixty-one blocks of pavement and accessories known as Assessment Paving Contract Number 61-A-19, consisting of 27 units.

"Raymond Canion & Company	\$185,464.24
Lee Maners	189,492.84
Giesen & Latson Construction Co.	191,884.34
Ed H. Page	195,813.54
Collins Construction Co. of Texas	197,816.93
R. B. Bowden Construction Co.	212,784.40
"City's Estimate	\$183,827.30

"I recommend that Raymond Canion and Company with their low bid of \$185,464.24 be awarded the contract for this project.

s/ S . Reuben Rountree, Jr.  
Director of Public Works"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on August 1, 1961, for the construction of approximately sixty-one (61) blocks of pavement and accessories, known as Assessment Pavement Contract Number 61-A-19, consisting of 27 units; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Raymond Canion & Company in the sum of \$185,464.24 was the lowest bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Raymond Canion & Company in the sum of \$185,464.24 be, and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Raymond Canion & Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"August 2, 1961

"W. T. Williams, Jr.  
City Manager  
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 2:00 P.M., Tuesday, August 1, 1961 at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the construction of an 18-inch Sanitary Sewer Main in Halsey Street, Lourie Street and State Highway 71

Easement Area. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room, Municipal Building, Austin, Texas.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>"Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Working Days</u>
J. R. Barnes Engineering Company	\$11,645.75	40
Bland Construction Company	12,595.80	40
Walter W. Schmidt	14,802.00	50
Austin Engineering Company	15,448.15	30
Wagner-Wehmeyer, Incorporated	22,502.50	50
Fairey-Simons Company, Incorporated	28,014.00	90

"It is recommended that the contract be awarded to the J. R. Barnes Engineering Company on their low bid of \$11,645.75 with 40 working days.

"Yours truly,  
 s/ S. A. Garza, Superintendent  
 Sanitary Sewer Division  
 s/ Albert R. Davis (V.R.S)  
 Director Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on August 1, 1961, for the construction of an 18-inch sanitary sewer main in Halsey Street, Lourie Street and State Highway 71 Easement Area; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of the J. R. Barnes Engineering Company in the sum of \$11,645.75 was the lowest bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of the J. R. Barnes Engineering Company in the sum of \$11,645.75 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with the J. R. Barnes Engineering Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:  
 Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
 Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"August 1, 1961

"W. T. Williams, Jr.  
City Manager  
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 2:00 P.M., Tuesday, August 1, 1961, at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the Adjustment of Water Mains for Paving Group No. 18 in North Austin. The Bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room, Municipal Building, Austin, Texas.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>"Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Working Days</u>
Walter W. Schmidt	\$34,310.85	60
Fairey-Simons Company, Incorporated	38,776.00	90
J. R. Barnes Engineering Company	41,075.80	90
Austin Engineering Company	45,653.60	65
Wagner-Wehmeyer, Incorporated	49,179.25	95
Bland Construction Company	51,981.00	100

"It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Walter W. Schmidt on their low bid of \$34,310.85 with 60 working days.

"Yours truly,  
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.,  
Superintendent Water Distribution  
s/ Albert R. Davis (V.R.S.)  
Director Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on August 1, 1961, for the adjustment of water mains for paving Group No. 18 in North Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Walter W. Schmidt in the sum of \$34,310.85 was the lowest bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Walter W. Schmidt in the sum of \$34,310.85 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Walter W. Schmidt.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE REPEALING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE NO. 610525-A ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CERTAIN CONTRACT WITH RICHARD SCHIEFFER, DAVID BOYD SCHIEFFER, MRS. H. SCHIEFFER STEVENS; PROVIDING FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY." WHICH ORDINANCE WAS PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN ON MAY 25, 1961, AND IS OF RECORD IN ORDINANCE BOOK NO. 61 OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CERTAIN CONTRACT WITH RICHARD SCHIEFFER, DAVID BOYD SCHIEFFER AND MRS. GWENDOLYN SCHIEFFER STEVENS; PROVIDING FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman White introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 3.7 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF HIGHLAND HILLS SECTION 6, PHASE 1, A SUBDIVISION OF A PORTION OF THE T. J. CHAMBERS 8 LEAGUE GRANT IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman White introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 19.8 ACRES OF LAND OUT OF AND A PART OF THE WILLIAM WILKS SURVEY IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID

ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS  
THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN,  
IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that  
the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Council-  
man Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman White introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be  
published in Accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of  
Austin:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN  
BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXA-  
TION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF  
TWO (2) TRACTS OF LAND CONTAINING 25.38 ACRES OF LAND  
OUT OF THE J.A.G. BROOKE SURVEY, IN TRAVIS COUNTY,  
TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT  
TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE  
CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that  
the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman  
Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL  
AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY  
STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS  
HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCRUE  
TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF  
BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN  
SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR  
IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT  
THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY  
PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN  
THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND  
ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST  
OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED



AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Ford Street and Sundry other streets)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE

OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Coleman and Havana Streets)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE ENTITLED: "AN ORDINANCE PRESCRIBING FARES AND CHARGES COLLECTIBLE, BEGINNING THE 1ST DAY OF JULY, 1947, BY EVERY PERSON, FIRM AND CORPORATION MAINTAINING AND OPERATING WITHIN THE CITY OF AUSTIN A BUS SYSTEM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION OF PASSENGERS FOR HIRE USING OR OPERATING UNDER A PUBLIC FRANCHISE; PRESCRIBING PAYMENTS TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BASED UPON GROSS ANNUAL RECEIPTS FROM OPERATIONS, BY EVERY PERSON, FIRM AND CORPORATION MAINTAINING AND OPERATING WITHIN THE CITY OF AUSTIN A BUS SYSTEM; FIXING TIMES FOR SUCH PAYMENTS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY." WHICH ORDINANCE WAS PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN JUNE 26, 1947, AND IS RECORDED IN BOOK "M", PAGES 523-524, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BY AMENDING SECITON 1 THEREOF PRESCRIBING RATES FOR THE TRANSPORTATION OF PASSENGERS FOR HIRE BY BUS WITHIN THE CITY OF AUSTIN.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its second reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 4.26 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SAME BEING ALL OF ALLANDALE TERRACE SECTION 2 PHASE 2, BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE GEORGE W. DAVIS SURVEY NO. 15, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Perry moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 23 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954, IN SECTION 23.50 THEREOF PERTAINING TO GAS LINES IN SUBDIVISIONS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CERTAIN CONTRACT WITH TEX-MART REALTY COMPANY; PROVIDING FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City of Austin, Texas, a lease agreement with the United States of America, Federal Aviation Agency for lease of quarters for Airport Traffic Control Tower, Flight Service Station, Systems Maintenance Sector, and Engine Generator Room for Federal Aviation Agency, Austin, Texas, in accordance with the terms and provisions of said lease agreement, exhibited to the City Council by the City Manager and attached hereto; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:

That the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to keep this resolution together with the attached lease above described in the permanent files of the City of Austin without recording said lease agreement at length upon the Minutes of the City Council.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Councilman Armstrong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City of Austin, Texas, a Janitorial Service Contract between the City of Austin and the United States of America, Federal Aviation Agency, for utilities and janitorial services for the Federal Aviation Agency space at Robert Mueller Municipal Airport, in accordance with the terms and provisions of said contract exhibited to the City Council by the City Manager and attached hereto; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:

That the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to keep this resolution attached to the above described contract in the permanent files of the City of Austin without recording said contract at length upon the Minutes of the City Council.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

MRS. CHARLES WOLF	2408 South First Street	From "C" Commercial
By Glenn Garner		To "C-2" Commercial
		RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "C-2" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-2" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

MRS. ANNA STASSWENDER	5009 Bull Creek Road	From "A" Residence 1st
By C. B. Calahan, Jr.		Height and Area
		To "C" Commercial 2nd
		Height and Area
		RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman White moved that the change to "C"

Commercial 2nd Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

RICHARD G. AVENT

2401-2407 Manor Road  
2215-2225 Maple Avenue

From "C" Commercial  
1st and 5th  
Height and Area  
To "C-1" Commercial  
1st and 5th  
Height and Area  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman Armstrong moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial 1st and 5th Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial 1st and 5th Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

VINCENT FARRO

604 West Johanna  
Street

From "A" Residence  
To "LR" Local Retail  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

This application was amended from "C" Commercial to "LR" Local Retail. No opposition appeared. Councilman White moved that the change to "LR" Local Retail be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "LR" Local Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

FRANK R. RUNDELL

4312-4314 Avenue A  
501-503 West 44th StreetFrom "A" Residence  
To "B" Residence  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman White moved that the change to "B" Residence be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

-----

A. M. RUNDELL

1501-07 Sunnyvale Street From "A" Residence  
1500-02 Elmhurst Drive To "BB" Residence  
1405-09 South Inter- RECOMMENDED by the  
regional Highway Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman White moved that the change to "BB" Residence be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "BB" Residence and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

-----

EVERETT H. GIVENS

Rear of 1612 Greenwood  
AvenueFrom "A" Residence  
To "B" Residence  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. MR. KENNETH LAMKIN represented the applicant. MR. ED STEVENS, Planning Department, outlined the recommendation that if the City would participate in the street that it be done rather than the cul de sac. Mayor Palmer stated the zoning could be granted, and these worked out. Councilman Perry moved that the change to "B" Residence be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

-----

A. P. MONTGOMERY  
By Ted Wendlandt and  
Frank Knight

8330-8416 Burnet Road

From "A" Residence &  
Interim "A" Residence  
To "C" Commercial  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. FRANK KNIGHT and MR. TED WENDLANDT represented the applicant. MR. SAM ROBISON made inquiry as to the development. Councilman Armstrong moved that the back 50' be changed to "B" Residence and the remaining tract be changed to "C" Commercial. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the back 50' had been changed to "B" Residence and the remaining tract had been changed to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

BILLY ZIDELL

1915-17 East 19th Street  
1806-1810 Poquito

From "LR" Local Retail  
To "C" Commercial  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. JOHN OSORIO represented Mr. Zidell, stating he wanted to build a 22 unit apartment house of luxury type, and that this would be a nice type of development in the area. MRS. LEON RISHIER, 1903 East 18th Street, and the owner of 1905 East 18th expressed opposition, in that they did not see how the parking problem could be handled for a 22 apartment hotel; that the area is congested. Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

HARRY GOLDSTEIN  
By M. Lasky

5134-5136 Burnet Road

From "C" Commercial  
To "C-2" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. The Council wanted to make a personal inspection of the area as some of the members had received a number of telephone calls about this application. Action was postponed.

- - - - -



F. J. BROCKMAN

7901-7909 Burnet Road  
2302-2314 Anderson LaneFrom "C" Commercial  
To "C-1" Commercial  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission  
RECOMMENDED "C-1"  
Commercial for the  
west 75'

No one appeared representing the applicant. SGT. S. C. McGLAMERY, representing a group of his neighbors, opposed the zoning which would permit the serving of alcoholic beverages, and stated the additional building was not one that would be considered as an asset to the community, as it is more of a detriment to the city and the neighborhood. The Council wanted to make a personal inspection of the area, and postponed action until next week.

- - - - -

RAY CORBIN

1109-1111 Anderson Lane

From "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. RICHARD CORBIN represented the applicant, stating the only two who wrote in opposition to the zoning request were not opposed to the T.V. Shop he proposed, but to further commercial being extended in the area. He proposed to build a nice building for this T.V. Shop. He showed a picture which was appearing in six national magazines, of himself in his shop, and it was mentioned this was in Austin, Texas. He stated Anderson Lane, being a part of the loop around the city would be a commercial street. MR. EDWIN G. BECKER was not opposing the T.V. Shop in a residential area, but the change of zone would permit advertising signs, to which he was opposed. He submitted a letter from Mr. W. W. WERNECKE, 7808 Watson, protesting the change. Mayor Palmer asked if he would object to an area change, and Mr. Becker stated he would object unless he could sell his home. The Council wanted to make a personal inspection of the area, and postponed action.

- - - - -

MRS. EDITH P. SIMPSON  
Exec.Estate of John T.  
Patterson,  
By Edgar E. Jackson1300-1304 West 19th  
Street  
1900-1902 and rear of  
1904-1906 Cliff StreetFrom "A" Residence 1st  
Height and Area  
To "B" Residence 2nd  
Height and Area  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission  
RECOMMENDED "B"  
Residence 1st Height  
and Area

MR. E. E. JACKSON represented the applicants, stating he was satisfied with "B" Residence 1st Height and Area. He explained the engineers had worked out the drainage, for the short form subdivision, where the water will drain into 19th Street, as far as this lot is concerned. A representative from Southland Life Insurance Co. was concerned about the drainage. MRS. BAGBY ATWOOD asked how much it would cost the city to do this drainage. MRS. O. H.

RADKEY read a statement opposing the change of zoning, as the traffic would be increased greatly, a wedge to undermine the neighborhood would be entered. MRS. D. K. BRACE protested the change. The Council wanted to look at this property and see about the terrific drainage problem before any action was taken to grant the zoning.

- - - - -

MRS. C. A. SCHUTZE	1901-1907 Red River	From "C" Commercial
By Marvin Braswell	600-604 East 19th Street	To "C-1" Commercial
		NOT Recommended by the
		Planning Commission

MR. MARVIN BRASWELL represented the applicant, stating they had two firm 15 year leases signed for this tract--one for a drive-in grocery, and the other for a laundry. The buildings could be constructed under the present zoning, but the lessee wants to add beer to go as one of his commodities. The structure would be a \$30,000 investment and would remove old houses on the property. MR. SCHUTZE appeared in behalf of his mother, stating the U-Totum stores had similar developments all over the city, and some were very close to schools, and apparently there was no objection to their type of development. Opposition was spoken by MRS. JOE NEAL, speaking for many mothers of children attending University Junior High School. Later in the meeting, MR. CHARLES SANSOM appeared representing the School. Councilman Perry moved that the change be denied. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks  
 Noes: Councilman White, Mayor Palmer

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

- - - - -

PLANNING COMMISSION	1300-1800 Blocks of West Avenue From "A" Residence,
AREA STUDY	705-709 West 19th Street "B" Residence &
	706-710 & 707-711 W.18th St. "O" Office 1st &
	706-710 & 707-709 W.17th St. 2nd Height & Area
	706-708 & 707-709 W.16th St. To "O" Office 1st
	706-708 & 707-709 W.15th St. Height & Area
	706-708 & 707-709 W.14th St. RECOMMENDED by the
	704-708 West 13½ Street Planning Commission

MR. ED STEVENS explained the reason for the area study by the Commission and for its recommendation. MR. JACK SPARKS represented MR. JIM NOVY who had previously made application for "O" Office on his property on 16th Street between Rio Grande and West Avenue, but had withdrawn it pending this area study. Mr. Sparks pointed out changes of use and zoning in this changing neighborhood. MR. H. C. BYLER, Architect, for improvements to be made on Mr. Novy's property, pointed out the types of construction in the area, and noted the vacant lots and vacant house, and that there had not been any new homes built in the last ten years; and from a study he had made, there was no traffic problem in the area. He stated the area should be a residential--apartment type area, rather

than office. MRS. SOPHIE WINFIELD was in favor of the change. She too had withdrawn an application for change of zoning pending the area study.

Opposition was expressed by MR. JACK CHILDS, that the terraine was not suitable to anything other than residential; that had the traffic count been made at any other time except when the schools were out, the condition would have shown much congestion; that the homes on the west of West Avenue had been deprived of anything except facing toward this "O" development; that there was no need for the "O" Office. MRS. GEORGE SHELLEY expressed opposition to the change of zoning. MR. RAYMOND DEER opposed the change, as the whole neighborhood was ideal for residential as it was near the University and commercial centers; and this encroachment will cause the west side of West Avenue to become blighted. MRS. DANFORTH, for Mrs. R. G. Mueller, expressed opposition to changing the residential area, as those living there loved their homes and kept them up. Opposition was expressed by Mr. DENNY INGRAM, for Mrs. J. W. Scarbrough, MRS. W. R. SMITH on the increased traffic; JUDGE McCLENDON on the theory that the change would add to the congestion and deterioration of the residential neighborhood; by MR. ROBERT MICKIE who submitted a written statement; by MRS. BICKLER, in that there was still a demand from people looking for nice places to live and making inquiry in this particular area; and by MRS. W. B. SHOE on the dangers of the traffic situations. After discussion, Councilman Armstrong moved that the application be denied. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

- - - - -

FRANK R. RUNDELL	2006-2008 East 21st Street	From "A" Residence
	2100-2104 Alamo Street	To "B" Residence
		NOT Recommended by the
		Planning Commission

Opposition was expressed by NATHAN ALLEN, 2100 East 21st Street. Councilman Shanks moved that the application be denied. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, Mayor Palmer  
Noes: Councilman White

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

- - - - -

The City Manager stated August 10th would be an ideal time for the members of the Council to make a tour of the T. B. Sanatorium, and that the Director was setting this date for the tour and luncheon. Councilman Armstrong stated he could not be present at this time.

The City Manager stated the Board of Equalization would be needed very shortly.

MR. HUME COFER, representing the Firemen in their initiative petition, appeared before the Council stating on last Thursday the Council voted to reject the initiative ordinance which had been proposed by a petition signed by the proper number of qualified voters and presented to the Council; and as provided by the Charter, the Council proposed an alternative ordinance, by which the Council plans to submit to the people another proposal concerning firemen's pay. These two ordinances, the one that came in under the initiative procedure and the Council ordinance are to be submitted to the people at an election to be held on September 16th, at which time the voters will have opportunity to vote for Ordinance No. 1 or for Ordinance No. 2 or against both ordinances. Mr. Cofer pointed out several legal questions in the ordinance proposed by the Council:

- (1) He questioned the advisability of passing an ordinance that leaves up to the Council and future Councils the providing for firemen's pay.
- (2) The Third section of the ordinance concerning vacation and holidays is invalid as it violates state law.
- (3) There is a serious question about the validity of the ordinance because it is too vague.

Mr. Cofer stated he would pass those matters and not present them to the Council as they are legal matters and not policy matters. MAYOR PALMER asked Mr. Cofer about his challenging the election. Mr. Cofer stated he wanted to make it clear that although the legal questions existed, that was not the serious problem. The serious problem is the form of the ballot, and he stated he thought the form of the ballot was unfair, confusing and in direct violation with state law and in conflict with the Charter. He stated the Charter says the initiative ordinance and the alternative ordinance proposed by the Council shall be designated on the Ballot as "Ordinance No. 1" and "Ordinance No. 2" respectively; and that could not mean anything except the initiative ordinance and alternative ordinance by the Council should be designated as "Ordinance No. 1" and "Ordinance No. 2". He pointed out in the proposition the Council passed last week, the City's ordinance is first on the ballot. The firemen's caption is second. He stated if by lot the City Clerk decided to put the firemen's caption first, then the ballots would be printed in reverse order than shown on those posted at the polling places. If the ballots are printed with the Council's caption first, and the firemen's caption second, both the notice and ballot are in violation of the Charter because they should be designated "Ordinance No. 1" and "Ordinance No. 2". He stated there was still time to correct this; that it was a mechanical thing and an inadvertent departure from the provision of the Charter; and would be unfair to the firemen; very undesirable from the Council's point of view to propose this thing in violation of the Charter; and that it would be impractical to proceed as it is now. He stated there is not anything any citizen or fireman could do to stop the election, but the remedy would be to bring suit in the form of an election contest after the election. One of the subjects of an election contest may be the form of the ballot. The election could be set aside and it would be necessary for the City to hold another election. If the firemen would win, they would not complain about the ballot; if they lose, they would get a round trip ticket and get another election. He urged the Council to carefully consider this. The Council discussed the matter, the discussion covering the fact that not one member on the Council would want to do anything that was not valid or that was unfair and that the drawing would be as fair to the firemen as possible. MR. COFER stated there was a question of whether or not some of the

people marked off the petition as "DV" were qualified voters, and that he understood a great number of City of Austin property owners were marked "DV", and there was a question whether they were or not. MAYOR PALMER explained the Council's position of trying to determine equal wages for equal work, and its belief that what the firemen were asking for was not equal pay for equal work; and the Council's responsibility to inform the people just what is involved in this initiative petition. He stated it would be unfair to select 250 employees and make provision for them and not consider 2,750 other employees, which is what is being asked. After much more discussion, Councilman Perry stated since the firemen deemed it better to take the matter out of the hands of the Council and go the election process, that he did not think this point was important enough to take a chance to give them the opportunity of costing the people another \$5,000 for another election; and that he did not think their Ordinance having Place No. 1 on the ballot would make any difference, and suggested that it be placed number one. Councilman Perry moved that the City Attorney be instructed to bring in an amended ordinance next Thursday, that the Council can vote stating that the firemen's position will be No. 1 on the ballot and the Council's alternative ordinance will be the second place. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Perry stated he would like to be sure that by this step, that Mr. Cofer and the firemen would abide by the decision of the election. Mr. Cofer stated he was not authorized to say nor authorized to waive any legal rights the fireman may have. The City Manager made several inquiries about the "round trip ticket". Mr. Cofer stated he mentioned two or three things; and if the ordinance should pass, it would violate state law. He stated he mentioned it, but was not asking any action on the Council, as it would be apparent to the Council that would exist.

The City Manager submitted a letter from WINN-McLANE regarding their new "Progress Report--Austin" and stating they would be interviewing not only the general public but city and county officials. Mr. McLane asked about the official steps they should take through the City Manager's Office or the Council. The Council discussed this. MAYOR PALMER stated he saw no objections to this. Councilman ARMSTRONG stated it was good public relations. Councilman PERRY suggested that they clear through the City Manager. Councilman Armstrong agreed that every request should go through the City Manager.

Councilman Armstrong inquired about the printing of some convention advertisement for Austin, ideal convention center. He stated the Chamber of Commerce was out of these and had made inquiry. The matter was referred to the City Manager.

Councilman Armstrong made inquiry about the cleaning of the creek which parallels Rosewood. The Director of Public Works made note of the location.

The City Manager issued an invitation to the Council for luncheon with MR. WALTER JETTON, a special caterer, at the Auditorium on August 24th. The Mayor stated the Council would accept with pleasure.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found that public necessity requires the construction of a sanitary sewer line in the City of Austin to provide for the safe elimination of sewage in the Bluffington Area in the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition of an easement ten (10) feet in width across the hereinafter described tracts of land for right-of-way to permit the construction of the aforesaid sanitary sewer line; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value of such easement; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against all owners and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire the hereinafter described easement for sanitary sewer purposes across the hereinafter described tracts of land:

One (1) tract and (2) strips of land, the tract of land hereinafter described as No. 1 containing 332 square feet of land, same being out of and a part of Lots 7 and 8 in Block C of Bluffington Section 2, the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 2 being ten (10.00) feet in width, same being out of and a part of Lot 7 in Block C of said Bluffington Section 2; the strip of land hereinafter described as No. 3 being ten (10.00) feet in width, same being out of and a part of Lots 7 and 8 in Block C of said Bluffington Section 2, said Bluffington Section 2 being a subdivision of a portion of the Henry P. Hill League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Bluffington Section 2 of record in Book 4 at page 329 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lots 7 and 8 were conveyed to Dolly Maude Bryant by Trustee's Deed dated November 29, 1948, of record in Volume 931 at page 240 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; said 332 square feet of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds and the centerline of each of the said two (2) strips of land ten (10.00) feet in width being more particularly described as follows:

NO. 1: BEGINNING at a point in the south line of said Lot 7, same being a point in the north line of Stratford Drive, from which point of beginning the most southerly corner of said Lot 7 bears South 40° 50' East 12.00 feet;

THENCE, North 49° 10' East 17.00 feet to a point;

THENCE, South 40° 50' East crossing the east line of said Lot 7, same being the west line of said Lot 8 at 4.20 feet, in all a distance of 19.50 feet;

THENCE, South  $49^{\circ} 10'$  West 17.00 feet to a point in the north line of Stratford Drive;

THENCE, with the north line of Stratford Drive North  $40^{\circ} 50'$  West 19.50 feet to the point of beginning;

AND in addition thereto a temporary working space easement ten (10.00) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to the WEST, NORTH and EAST lines of the above described easement.

NO. 2: BEGINNING at a point in the south line of said Lot 7, same being a point in the north line of Stratford Drive from which point of beginning the most southerly corner of said Lot 7 bears South  $40^{\circ} 50'$  East 28.58 feet;

THENCE, North  $17^{\circ} 24'$  West 41.93 feet to a point;

THENCE, North  $42^{\circ} 28'$  West 88.81 feet to point of termination in the north line of said Lot 7 from which point of termination the most easterly corner of said Lot 7 bears South  $36^{\circ} 09'$  East 31.00 feet;

AND in addition thereto a temporary working space easement ten (10.00) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to the EAST and WEST line of the above easement described as No. 2.

NO. 3: BEGINNING at a point in the south line of said Lot 7, same being a point in the north line of Stratford Drive from which point of beginning the most southerly corner of said Lot 7 bears South  $40^{\circ} 50'$  East 1.58 feet;

THENCE, North  $54^{\circ} 45'$  East crossing the east line of said Lot 7, same being the west line of said Lot 8 at 2.90 feet, in all a distance of 80.00 feet;

THENCE, North  $62^{\circ} 51'$  East 50.51 feet to point of termination in the north line of said Lot 8 from which point of termination the most northerly corner of said Lot 8 bears North  $40^{\circ} 49'$  West 59.10 feet;

AND in addition thereto a temporary working space easement ten (10.00) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to the EAST and WEST lines of the above easement described as No. 3.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Council postponed reviewing the proposed lay-out of 51st Street and Berkman Drive until next Thursday.

The Council set Monday evening, August 7th, as a work-shop meeting to review certain portions of the budget.

The City Manager stated it was necessary to buy some trucks and automobiles for the Police Department. No action was taken at this time, as timing and other matters were important, considering the new cars to be available in November.

MAYOR PALMER submitted correspondence as follows:

An acknowledgement from the brothers and sisters of COUNCILMAN BEN WHITE for flowers sent in memory of their brother, MR. FRANK WHITE.

A letter from MR. ARTHUR P. WATSON enclosing several checks under protest for taxes.

A letter from MR. JOE HARPER, St. Petersburg Times, with a questionnaire concerning Council meetings procedures.

A letter from MR. J. BOONE BAKER commending ANDY STUART and JIM VISCARDIA, life guards at Westefield neighborhood pool, for the manner in which they have handled pool discipline in not interfering with the childrens' pleasure, but at the same time protecting the younger swimmers and allowing all participants to enjoy the pool equally.

The Council recessed at 2:10 P.M. to meet again at 3:00 P.M.

RECESSED MEETING

3:00 P.M.

At 3:00 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

MR. MAX STARCKE, representing the United Fund, outlined plans for a new building for the United Fund, which building could be realized without any new money. The money that the various agencies are paying in rent would be paid on the building. The sale of the present site would be used as a down payment on the new construction. MR. GEORGE SEAGERT stated the financing was taken care of, but the reason the group came before the Council was to obtain a site for this building. He suggested an area by the Chamber of Commerce or by the Airport. MR. NOBLE PRENTICE stated the building would be about 12,000 square feet and this would provide space for the various agencies and for a small auditorium. MR. STARCKE asked that the Council not delay its answer, although the group did not expect an answer today. He stated the locations considered by the group were (1) as near to the Chamber of Commerce as possible, and (2) on the location in the area of the Recreation Center. MAYOR PRO-TEM PERRY stated the Council would discuss this and let the group know on or before next Thursday.

There being no further business, the Council adjourned at 3:30 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

  
Mayor

ATTEST:

  
City Clerk