68

1.8

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

January 25, 1962 10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REV. ALBERT BURKE, Westminster Presbyterian Church.

In accordance with published notice thereof, promptly at 10:00 o'clock A. M., Mayor Palmer announced that the time for submitting bids on the purchase of City of Austin, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1962, in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 had expired, and that the City Council would proceed with the opening of said bids.

Bids were then opened and read as follows:

r 		CITY		N, TEXAS	61	 	<u>69</u>
	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	0 3.24321¢	0 3.2775949%	3.289225%	0 3.2999%	0 3.3043723	\$T##0E 0
	NET INTEREST COST	1,182,639.5	1,195,175.00 3.2775949%	1,199,416.00	1,203,300.00	1,204,939.00	1,204,955.00
8	PREMIUM OFFERED	\$3,723.00	800.00	634.00	400.00	00.111	95.00
IXAS IXAS GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS	GROSS INTEREST COST	\$1,186,362.50 \$3,723.00 \$1,182,639.50 3.24321\$	1,195,975.00	1,200,050.00	1,203,700.00	1,205,050.00	1,205,050.00
	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	n n n N N N N N N N	4 3 3 3 3 4 4 5 0 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3322 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
CITY OF AUSTIN, TEX TABULATION OF BIDS RECEIVED ON \$2,000,000 VARIOUS FURPOSE G JANUARY 25, 1962	MATURITY DATES	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1971 Jan.1,1972, thru Jan.1,1979 Jan.1,1980, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1975 Jan.1,1976,thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1971 Jan.1,1972,thru Jan.1,1975 Jan.1,1976,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1973 Jan.1,1974, thru Jan.1,1976 Jan.1,1977, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1972 Jan.1,1973,thru Jan.1,1975 Jan.1,1976,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1972 Jan.1,1973,thru Jan.1,1975 Jan.1,1976,thru Jan.1,1987
RECEIVED ON	NAME OF BIDDER	 THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY First of Michigan Corporation Commerce Trust Company Dallas Union Securities Co., Inc. Eddleman, Philok & Fosdick, Inc. Goodbody & Co. The Columbian Securities Corp. of Texas 	2. C.J.DEVINE & CO., AND ASSOCIATES	 BANKERS TRUST COMPANY Harris Trust and Savings Bank Republic National Bank of Dallas Russ & Company, Inc. 	4. LEHMAN BROTHERS Shields & Company Stone & Webster Securities Corp. B. J. Van Ingen & Co., Inc. Chas. B. White & Co. and Associates	5. THE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION AND ASSOCIATES	6. PHELFS, FENN & CO. RAUSCHER, PIERCE & CO., INC. and Associates

[~		·····	C	TY OF AL	ISTIN, TEX	AS	<u></u>		20
	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.3046%	3.317109%	3.3214%	3.33089%	3,33257%	3.33347%	3.34782%	3.35965%
	NET INTEREST COST	690.00 \$1,205,035.00 3.3046%	1,209,584.00	00.771,LIS,L	1,214,611.60	1,215,225.00	1,215,550.00	1,220,785.00	1,225,100.00
BONDS	FREMIUM OFFERED	1	3,081.00	198.00	h,328.40				350.00
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS	CROSS LINTEREST COST	\$ 1 ,205,725.00 \$	1,212,665.00	00،375،112،1	00.040,812,1	1,215,225.00	1,215,550.00	1,220,785.00	1,225,450.00
	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	17 W W W 98 8 8	4.75% 3.30% 3.30%	0,4 °U 30 8 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	0000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	3588 35 35888 35		1000 11 8886 8888
CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS TABULATION OF BIDS RECEIVED ON \$2,000,000 VARIOUS FURPOSE JANUARY 25, 1962	MATURITY DATES	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1970 Jan.1,1971, thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1974 Jan.1,1975, thru Jan.1,1979 Jan.1,1980, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1971 Jan.1,1972, thru Jan.1,1976 Jan.1,1977, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1966 Jan.1,1967, thru Jan.1,1975 Jan.1,1976, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1972 Jan.1,1973, thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978, thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1969 Jan.1,1970, thru Jan.1,1976 Jan.1,1977, thru Jan.1,1987	Jam.1,1963, thru Jam.1,1966 Jan.1,1967, thru Jam.1,1972 Jam.1,1973, thru Jam.1,1987	Jan.1,1963, thru Jan.1,1966 Jan.1,1967, thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978, thru Jan.1,1987
RECEIVEL	NAME OF BIDDER	7. HALSEY, STUART & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES	8. MORGAN GUARANTY IRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK AND ASSOCIATES	9. CHASE MANHATTAN BANK AND ASSOCIATES	10. CONTINENTAL ILLINOIS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF CHICAGO AND ASSOCIATES	11. DREXEL & CO. & ASSOCIATES	12. ROWLES, WINSTON & CO., HOUSTON WHITE, WELD & CO., CHICAGO, JOINT MGRS. & ASSOCIATES	13. EASTMAN DILLON, UNION SECURITIES & CO., & ASSOCIATES	14. FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY, DALLAS AND ASSOCIATES

			C1	TY OF AU	STIN. TEXA	\S	-77/		71
	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.36024%	3.36212%		3.364016%		3.3814%	3.4039%	3.434846%
	NET INTEREST COST	407.00 \$1,225,313.00 3.36024%	1,226,000.00	1,226,346.50 3.3630%	1,226,688.50	1,230,679.00 3.374934%	1,233,030.02	1,241,245.00 3.4039%	1,252,516.60 3.434846%
BONDS	PREMIUM	1		166.00	1,124.00	1,255.00	319.98	605.00	308.40
GENERAL OBLICATION BONDS	GROSS INTEREST COST	\$1,225,720.00 \$	1,226,000.00	1,226,512.50	1,227,812.50	1,231,925.00	1,233,350.00	1,241,850.00	1,252,825.00
XAS S OSE	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	8888 603 603 603 700		3.55% 3.55% 3.55%	5 3 1/4% 2.80%	3.25% 3.40%	м м л Г М м м л	ы ы ы 4- 4- 8-8-8-8- 8-8-8-8-	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS TABULATION OF BIDS RECEIVED ON \$2,000,000 VARIOUS FURPOSE JANUARY 25, 1962	MATURITY DATES	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1966 Jan.1,1967,thur Jan.1,1981 Jan.1,1982,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1970 Jan.1,1971,thru Jan.1,1976 Jan.1,1977,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1976 Jan.1,1977,thru Jan.1,1982 Jan.1,1983,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1976 Jan.1,1977,thru Jan.1,1986 Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1966 Jan.1,1967,thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1969 Jan.1,1970,thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1971 Jan.1,1972,thru Jan.1,1977 Jan.1,1978,thru Jan.1,1987	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1972 Jan.1,1973,thru Jan.1,1979 Jan.1,1980,thru Jan.1,1987
RECEIVE	NAME OF BIDDER	15. KIDDER, PEABODY & CO. & ASSOCIATES	16. F.S.SMITHERS & CO., AND ASSOCIATES	17. JOHNSTON, LEMON & CO., WASHINGTON D.C. AND ASSOCIATES	18. THE PHILADELPHIA NATIONAL BANK AND ASSOCIATES	19. THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO AND ASSOCIATES	20. SMITH, BARNEY & CO., AND ASSOCIATES	21. GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO. AND ASSOCIATES	22. HARRIMAN RIPLEY & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES

			CIT	Y OF AUS	FIN. TEXAS		 · · · · ·
	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.4611%					
	NET INTEREST COST	\$I,264,835.00 \$2,742.00 \$1,262,093.00 3.4611\$	of \$40,000.00	eport.			
i Bonds	PREMIUM OFFERED	\$2,742.00	he amount	tion and r		·	
GENERAL OBLICATION BONDS	GROSS INTEREST COST	264 , 835.00	Check in t	for tabula			
	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	3.40% \$1.	s Certified	Consultant			
CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS TABULATION OF BIDS RECEIVED ON \$2,000,000 VARIOUS FURPOSE JANUARY 25, 1962	MATURITY DATES	Jan.1,1963,thru Jan.1,1970 Jan.1,1971,thru Jan.1,1979 Jan.1,1980,thru Jan.1,1987	oids was accompanied by Cashier's	the Director of Finance and Bond			
RECE	NAME OF BIDDER	23. FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK, NEW YORK, AND ASSOCIATES	Each of the above and foregoing bids was accompanied by Cashier's Certified Check in the amount of $\mu_0,000.00.$	Whereupon bids were referred to the Director of Finance and Bond Consultant for tabulation and report.			

e,

12

MR. ED CRAVENS stated the Council received a wonderful bidding, and had hit the market just right. MR. WILLARD HOUSER, MR. BINKSTON, MR. KINSLEY, and MR. W. A. JACKSON all remarked on the sale and the number of bidders, which was the highest the City of Austin had ever received.

MR. FRANKLIN DENIUS, representing the AUSTIN TRANSIT COMPANY, submitted a proposal to furnish the students at the University bus service in the area from 19th to 26th Streets and from Lamar Boulevard to Red River Street, from 7:35 A.M. until 2:20 P.M. in the afternoon. A financial arrangement had been worked out whereby receipts obtained from passengers will be credited to the overall cost of operation, and the University will reimburse the bus company for the difference of the actual cost of the operation. He proposed to start the service on a trial basis February 2, at 7:30 A.M. for a 60 day trial basis. The service will start again in September. He stated if the service meets with success, the Transit Company planned to buy a special type of bus that would be more serviceable in those congested areas -- 27-31 passenger bus, and paint them orange and white. He stated this service would not be provided with transfer privileges. The Mayor suggested that at some time the transfer privileges might be extended to provide transportation to town. Councilman White moved that the AUSTIN TRANSIT COMPANY be permitted to try this from February 2nd for a 60 day period. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

MR. AMOS HEROLD called attention to a matter pending before Congress to create a bureau of new department on Urban Affairs and Housing. He asked the Council to look into this carefully. The Mayor stated this was brought up before the Conference of Mayors when they held their meeting last year, and there was much active interest in the matter.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: THE WEST LOO FEET OF THE NORTH 142 FEET OF LOT 3, BLOCK 4, GEORGE L. ROBERTSON SUBDIVISION OUT OF DIVISION B, OUTLOT 56, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1409-1411 COTTON STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE TO "B" RESIDENCE; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time andCouncilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: TWO LOTS LOCATED 92.79 FEET NORTH OF THE NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF DELORES AVENUE AND HAVING A TOTAL FRONTAGE OF 90 FEET ALONG THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF NICHOLS AVENUE WITH A DEPTH OF 130 FEET. SAME BEING LOTS 4 AND 5, BLOCK 3, EASTFIELD ADDITION. LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1149E AND 1151E NICHOLS AVENUE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDIN-ANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Conncilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: Councilman Perry

The ordinance was read the **shird** time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: Nouncilman Perry

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

75

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves as a filling station site the property located at the southeast corner of Red River Street and East 41st Street, which property fronts 160.29 feet on Red River Street and 148.19 feet on East 41st Street, being known as Lot 1 and a portion of Lot 2, Block 12, Paainview Heights Subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and hereby authorized the said Texaco Incorporated to construct, maintain, and operate a drive-in gasoline filling station and to construct curbs, ramps, and sidewalks in conjunction therewith subject to the same being constructed in compliance with all ordinances relating thereto, and Eurther subject to the foregoing attached recommendations and plans. The Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the operation of this filling station after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution, and said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas in the enforcement of the proper Police, Traffic and Fire regulations; and the right of revocation is retained, if after hearing it is found by the City Council that the said Texaco Incorporated has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"January 25, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"We, the undersigned, have considered the application of Texaco Incorporate for permission to construct, maintain, and operate a drive-in gasoline filling station and to construct commercial driveways in conjunction therewith upon the property located at the southeast corner of Red River Street and East 41st Street, which property fronts 160.29 feet on Red River Street and 148.19 feet on East 41st Street, being known as Lot 1 and a portion of Lot 2, Block 12, Plainview Heights Subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and the property upon which this filling station is to be located is owned by M. F. Thurmond and is under lease to Texaco Incorporated. We hereby advise that the following conditions exist.

"The property upon which this filling station is to be located is designated as "O" Office Use District upon the zoning maps of the City of Austin, Special permission for a filling station on this site was granted Texaco Incorporated by action of the City Council dated August 10, 1961.

"All drainage, natural or otherwise, from this filling station is to be disposed of in such a manner that such drainage will not flow across the sidewalk area into the street, and furthermore, shall not create a nuisance to others in the neighborhood. It is understood that the disposal of such drainage shall be entirely the responsibility of the property owner. Any waste connection to a

storm sewer which empties into an open drainageway shall be discontinued, if the waste becomes a nuisance or damages any property or rights of others in the vicinity of the open drainageway.

"We recommend that Texaco Incorporated be granted permission to construct, maintain, and operate said drive-in gasoline filling station and to construct curbs, ramps and sidewalks in conjunction therewith subject to the following conditions.

"(1) That all buildings and equipment shall be placed inside of the property line; correct lines to be obtained before construction starts or equipment is installed. Lines and grades to be obtained from the Department of Public Works for entrances and driveways; building lines to be approved by the City Building Inspector. That the applicant shall confer with the Department of Public Works as to future grades of the sidewalks and gutters on the adjacent streets before he starts any construction relative to the filling station.

"(2) That only underground tanks shall be used, and that all pumps shall be so located that it will be impracticable to service motor vehicles therefrom while said motor vehicles are standing on any part of a sidewalk, street, or alley.

"(3) That the gasoline tanks, pumps, and all equipment used in connection with the storage and haddling of gasoline shall be an approved type and shall bear the label of Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., and that all construction of the filling station improvements shall be in accordance with the Building Ordinance the Zoning Ordinance, the Filling Station Ordinance, and in accordance with the ordinance prohibiting the disposal of commercial water or oils upon the City Streets.

"(4) That the grades of the station shall be such that no waste water or oils or any floor washings shall ever pass over the City sidewalk area and that all of said oils and water shall be concentrated into a combined grease and sand trap which shall be constructed in accordance with our standard plan 2-H-146.

"(5) That all filling station improvements, pump islands, driveways, ramps, gutters, sidewalks, and curbs shall be constructed of concrete at the expense of the applicant as set forth upon the plan, hereto attached, which plan bears the Department of Public Works file number 2-H-1897.

"(6) Expansion joints shall be constructed as shown upon the plan, hereto attached, marked 2-H-1897 and shall be of the premoulded type.

"(7) When the owner considers that he has complied with all the requirements of the **Cit**y of Austin for filling stations, he shall apply for a final inspection, and upon approval, the Building Inspector shall issue a Certification of Operation before such filling station can be put into service.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr. Director of Public Works s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

76

Councilman White introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 8.48 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE GEORGE W. DAVIS SURVEY NO. 15 IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The ordinance was read the first time andCouncilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXA-TION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 9.26 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE T. J. CHAMBERS & LEAGUE GRANT IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None Councilman White introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin:

> AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXA-TION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 0.500 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE THOMAS ELDRIDGE SURVEY NO. 26 IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND WHICH 0.500 ACRES OF LAND ARE A PORTION OF WINDSOR PARK II SEC-TION FIVE; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Council discussed specifications for the purchase of garbage trucks. Councilman Armstrong stated with a few minor changes, \$1,500 per unit could be saved, by adding on to the bodies to make 16 yard bodies. Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to advertise for bids on the garbage trucks as covered under the specifications discussed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, by warranty deed dated February 14, 1961 and recorded in Volume 2269, Pages 273-275 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, Sol Martinez and wife, Celia B. Martinez conveyed to the City of Austin a certain tract of land described therein; and,

WHEREAS, this above indicated conveyance was made to the City of Austin through error or mistake when it should in fact have been made direct to the State of Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the execution of a quitclaim deed from the City of Austin to the State of Texas is necessary in order to remove questions concerning the nature of the title to this tract of land; Now, Therefore,

78

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a quitclaim deed covering the hereinafter described tract of land to the State of Texas, said tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

> Being all of Lots 2 and 3 Block "B" of Monterrey, a subdivision of a portion of the Santiago Del Valle Grant which lies partly within and partly without the corporate limits of the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, a map or plat of said Monterrey being of record in Book 4, at page 236 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; which Lots 2 and 3 lie partly within and partly without the corporate limits of the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas; which Lot 3 was conveyed to Sol Martinez et ux Celia B. Martinez by Warranty Deed dated March 18, 1946 of record in Volume 831 at page 293 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lot 2 was conveyed to Sol Martinez et ux Celia B. Martinez by Warranty Deed dated March 18, 1946 of record in Volume 831 at page 249 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Sealed bids opened 10:00 A.M. Jan.19,1962 Tabulated by: O.G.Brush, Purchasing Agent

1)11

"BIDS ON WHITE LIME - FILTER PLANTS TWELVE MONTHS - FEB.1,1962-Jan. 31,1963

"Invitations to bid sent to all possible suppliers who could serve the City economically, these are

Austin White Lime Company - Plant at McNeil Round Rock White Lime Co. - Plant at Round Rock Whitestone Lime Company - Plant at Leander U. S. Gypsum Company - Plant at New Braunfels - unable to bid this year but asked to be retained on vendors list.

Estimated	Austin White	Round Rock	Whitestone
Quanity	Lime Company	White Lime Co.	Lime Company
4800 tons	Unit Total	Unit Total	Unit Total
	\$16.40 \$78,720.00	\$17.30 \$83,040.00	\$16.50 \$80,850.00

Low Bids Received Jan. 17, 1961

\$16.30 \$78,240.00 Round Rock White Lime Co.

"Low bid this year 10 cents per ton higher than last year. The white lime is used in filtration of water at the Filter Plants.

"RECOMMENDATION: Recommend low bidder, Austin White Lime Company be awarded contract.

"W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager"

95

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 19, 1962, for the furnishing of white lime for the Filter Plants for a period of twelve months - February 1, 1962 - January 31, 1963; and

WHEREAS, the bid of Austin White Lime Company in the sum of \$78,720.00 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Austin White Lime Company in the sum of \$78,720.00, be, and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Austin White LimeCompany.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY and ASSOCIATES, acting by and through their duly authorized representative, Edward D. McGrew, Vice President, this day submitted to the City Council the following proposal:

OFFICIAL BID FORM

January 25, 1962

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Austin Austin, Texas

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement", dated December 29, 1961, of \$2,000,000 CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1962, both of which constitute a part hereof.

For your legally issued bonds, as described in said Notice, we will pay you par

and accrued interest from date of issue to date of delivery to us, plus a cash premium of \$3,723.00 for bonds maturing and bearing interest as follows:

January 1, 1963, through January 1, 1971 -- 5.0 % January 1, 1972, through January 1, 1979 -- 3.0 % January 1, 1980, through January 1, 1987 -- 3.25 %

Interest cost, in accordance with the above bid, is:

Gross Interest Cost	\$1,186,362.50
Less Premium	3,723.00
NET INTEREST COST	\$1,182,639.50
EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.24321 %

Attached hereto is Cashier's - Gertified Check of the Northern Trust Co. Bank, Chicago Illinois, , in the amount of \$40,000.00, which represents our Good Faith Deposit, and which is submitted in accordance with the terms as set forth in the "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement".

We want the bonds issued in the denomination of \$1,000.00.

We desire that the Paying Agents for this issue be: THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK New York, New York, and THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK OF AUSTIN, Austin, Texas AMERIMAN STREAMS.

> Respectfully submitted, THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY FIRST OF MICHIGAN CORPORATION COMMERCE TRUST COMPANY DALLAS UNION SECURITIES CO., INC. EDDLEMAN, POLLOK & FOSDICK INC. GOODBODY & CO. THE COLUMBIAN SECURITIES CORP. OF TEXAS

By /s/ Edward D. McGrew Vice Pres. Authorized Representative The Northern Trust Company

ACCEPTANCE CLAUSE

The above and foregoing bid is hereby in all things accepted by the City of Austin, Texas, this the 25th day of January, 1962

/s/ Lester E. Palmer Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

ATTEST:

/s/ Elsie Woosley City Clerk, City of Austin, Texas

APPROVED:

/s/ Doren R. Eskew

City Attorney, City of Austin, Texas

Return of Good Faith Deposit is hereby acknowledged:

By____

and,

WHEREAS, the said bid and proposal of THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY and ASSOCIATES was the most advantageous bid submitted to the City Council for the purchase of the aforesaid bonds; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That said proposal of THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY and ASSOCIATES to purchase \$2,000,000.00 City of Austin, Texas General Obligation Bonds, Series 1962, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated December 29, 1961, at the price and upon the terms of said proposal be and the same is hereby accepted and THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY and ASSOCIATES be awarded the sale of said bonds; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell to THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY and ASSOCIATES the \$2,000,000.00 City of Austin, Texas General Obligation Bonds, Series 1962, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated December 29, 1961, at par and accrued interest from date of delivery, plus a cash premium of \$3,723.00 according to the terms of said bid hereinbefore set out.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

MR. W. A. JACKSON, Financial Consultant, stated the City was to be congratulated on the fine bid, and it was due to the efficient manner in which the City runs its business, and the high caliber men at the head of it. The Mayor said it was the thought of the Council in 1955 that a financial advisor would save the city some money; and that Mr. Jackson's help and his working with the rating houses, Austin has certainly benefitted.

Councilman White moved that MR. JOE ATKINSON, Master Electrician, be appointed as a member of the ELECIRIC BOARD, to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of Mr. E. D. Grimmer. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager stated the Highland Lakes Committee of the Chamber of Commerce had discussed the possibility several weeks ago of enlarging the boat ramp on Bull Creek. The Director of Public Works reported he and MR.TOM PERKINS inspected the location with the idea of doubling the width of one ramp and constructing another one. Along with this request was a suggestion that the traveled roadway to the ramp be graded and worked down. The estimated cost involved for doubling one ramp making it 24x28, and building another ramp 12x35 plus grading would total \$500.00. He stated in his conversation with the County Commissioner it might be worked out where he would take care of the road and maintaining it periodically. Councilman Armstrong moved that the City Manager be authorized to proceed with this work. The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager stated in connection with the acquisition of right-of-way with the new Montopolis Bridge and interchange, the Highway will take part of the property that belongs to the State. There is a strip that has to be used for the Highway that belongs to the School, and the School wants the fence moved back and a drain line put in as their compensation for giving up the land for highway purposes. The City Manager stated it was the City's responsibility to acquire the right-of-way and it will be reimbursed 50% from the Highway Department; and in this case 25% from the County. Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to take bids on the work of moving the fence and installing a storm sever. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager stated the Director of Public Works had a report to make on the sanitary fill in Zilker Park. The Director of Public Works showed an aerial map of the area of the present sanitary fill in Zilker Park, stating this was about completed, and the day routes were scheduled out to the Steiner Field and he was holding this Zilker Park fill for the night routes only. He pointed out another area he would like to use (the site discussed for a sunken garden). He said it would be eight or ten years before it would be developed as a sunken garden; and by then there would be some rich soil that could be excavated to put on the parks and playgrounds. Right now he wanted to use this site, starting from the river and reclaiming some of the property. The City Manager pointed out there would be a cyclone fence with corrugated iron sheeting around it. The Council wanted to go look at the area on the ground.

The Council greeted and welcomed MR. DANIEL KILLEN, and CAPTAIN BREWER, a student at the University of Texas.

83.

Councilman White introduced the following Resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Southern Union Gas Company has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction of its gas mains in the stare streets in the City of Austin hereafter named, and said maps or plans have been considered by the City Council: therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT Southern Union Gas Company be and the same is hereby permitted to lay and construct its gas mains in and upon the following streets:

(1) A gas main in SAVOY PLACE, from a point 6.5 feet west of the east property line of Treadwell Boudevard westerly and southerly 343 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said SAVOY PLACE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(2) A gas main in TREADWELL BOULEVARD, from a point 88 feet north of the north line of Carlisle Drive northerly 220 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said TREADWELL BOULEVARD.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(3) A gas main in SHOAL CREEK BOULEVARD, from a point 78 feet west of the west property line of Treadwell Boulevard easterly 218 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said SHOAL CREEK BOULEVARD.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(4) A gas main in CANION STREET, from a point 10 feet west of the east line of Guadalupe Street northwesterly 418 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 7.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said CANION STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(5) A gas main in EAST 2ND STREET, from a point 21 feet west of the east property line of Chicon Street easterly 1,305 feet to a point on the west line of Canadian Street, the centerline of which gas main shall be 19 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said EAST 2ND STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less

than 2 1/2 feet.

(6) A gas main in STILLWOOD LANE, from Steck Avenue southerly 1,341 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said STILLWOOD LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(7) A gas main in BRIARWOOD LANE, from Steck Avenue southerly 1,185 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said BRIARWOOD LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(8) A gas main in ROCKWOOD LANE, from Steck Avenue Southerly 1,358 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said ROCKWOOD LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(9) A gas main in CLARKDALE LANE, from a point 6.5 feet west of the east line of Stillwood Lane easterly 147 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said CLARKDALE LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(10) A gas main in FIRWOOD DRIVE, from a point 6.5 feet west of the east line Rockwood Lane westerly 189 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parrallel to the north property line of said FIRWOOD DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(11) A gas main in BOXDALE DRIVE, from a point 6.5 feet west of the east line of Rockwood Lane westerly 206 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parellel to the north property line of said BOXDALE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(12) A gas main in ASHDALE DRIVE, from Rockwood Lane to 138 feet east of Stillwood Lane, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said ASHDALE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

86

(13) A gas main in FRIAR TUCK LANE, from a point 79 feet west of the west property line of Eastside Drive westerly 60 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said FRIAR TUCK LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(14) A gas main in FRIAR TUCK LANE, from Saint Edwards Drive northeasterly to a point 139 feet west of Eastside Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said FRIAR TUCK LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(15) A gas main in NOTTINGHAM LANE, from Friar Tuck Lane to Saint Edwards Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south and west of and parallel to the north and east property line of said NOTTINGHAM LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \ 1/2$ feet.

(16) A gas main in SAINT EDWARDS DRIVE, from a point 136 feet west of Eastside Drive westerly 630 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said SAINT EDWARDS DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

(17) A gas main in LONG BOW LANE, from a point 180 feet west of the west property line of Eastside Drive westerly 85 feet to the east line of Sherwood Oaks Section 5, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said LONG BOW LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(18) A gas main in SHERWOOD LANE, from East Oltorf Street southerly 867 feet to the south line of Sherwood Oaks Section 5, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said SHERWOOD LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

4

(19) A gas main in CARNARVON LANE, from Long Bow Lane southerly 122 feet to the south line of Sherwood Oaks Section 5, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said CARNARVON LANE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

THE Southern Union Gas Company is hereby put upon notice that the City of Austin does not guarantee that the space assigned above is clear from other underground utilities, but is based upon the best records we have at hand, and that the minimum depth stated does not have any reference to the fact that greater depths may not be required at special points. When the Southern Union Gas Company requires definite information upon the ground as to elevations or working points from which to base the location of their assignments, they shall apply to the Department of Pulbic Works not less than three (3) days before such information is required. The Southern Union Gas Company is further put upon notice that they will be required to bear the expense of repairs or replacement of any underground utility damaged during the construction of lines named in this resolution.

AND THAT whenever pavement is cut in the vicinity of a fire plug, water must be used at intervals during the course of backfilling of the ditches.

THAT the work and laying of said gas mains, including the excavation in the streets; and the restoration and maintenance of said streets after said mains have been laid, shall be under the supervision and direction of the City Manager and under all the pertinent terms and conditions of the certain franchises granted to said company by the City of Austin.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by J. M. Beall as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as lot 4, Horshoe Bend, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said J. M. Beall to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable, and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and

health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said J. M. Beall has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 22, 1962

14

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of J. M. Beall, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Lot $^{1}4$, Horshoe Bend, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 10 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if J. M. Beall is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but cresoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

111

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by Mrs. Eugene Howard as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Lot 4, Panorama Ranch, Section 1, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorized the said Mrs. Eugene Howard to construct an addition of 5 feet to and maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Mrs. Eugene Howard has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 23, 1962

"Mrs.W. T. Willdams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have considered the application of Mrs. Eugene Howard, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Lot 4, Panorama Ranch, Section 1, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct an addition of 5 feet to and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 30 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if Mrs. Eugene Howard is granted her request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substan-tially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property hime of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

"Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official

978

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption;

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by La Van R. Parker as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Lot 3, of Manana Estates Section 1, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said La Van R. Parker to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said La Van R. Parker has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 23, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of La Van R. Parker, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same

being Lot 3, of Manana Estates, Section 1, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 20 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if La Van R. Parker is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by A. S. Weaver as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as lot C, tract C of the Oak Shores Subdivision on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said A. S. Weaver to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if,

91

after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said A. S. Weaver has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 22, 1962

 $\pi \gamma$

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of A. S. Weaver, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Lot C, Tract C of the Oak Shores Subdivision as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 18 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if A. S. Weaver is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

03

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by Betty Ann Theobalt as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Lot 8, Manana Estates, Section 1, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said Betty Ann Theobalt to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Betty Ann Theobalt has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 24, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of Betty Ann Theobalt, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Lot 8, Manana Estates, Section 1, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 30 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if Betty Ann TheoBalt is granted her request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above lake Austin. "(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by V. A. Kormeier as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Lot 15, Manana Addition, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said V. A. Kormeier to construct, maintain, and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said V. A. Kormeier has failed and refused and Will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 24, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of V. A. Kormeier, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Lot 15, Manana Addition, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 36 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if V. A. Kormeier is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions: "(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ter feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager stated he had a report on the "Major-major" classification fee. Councilman White moved bhat the following rates be adopted as recommended by the Hospital Board, the Administrator, and the City Manager, effective immediately

	Minor	Major	Major-Major
First Hour	\$20	\$30	\$35
Each additional 15 min.	\$3	\$ 3	\$ 5

The motion, seconded by Councilmen Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks stated MR. JESS KELLAM and MR. WARREN WOODWARD approached him regarding connecting in a line from their muzak to all of their center outlets with the Public Safety system; thus enabling important announcements to be made throughout the system. The Chief of Police pointed out the possibilities of announcing emergencies, flooding rains, etc. He said there was a \$100.00 one-time charge for connecting, and \$5.00 a month for line lease, which would be used for civil defense. Councilman Shanks said it was something that should be looked into The Mayor asked that Mr. Woodward be contacted and asked to present this to the City Manager for recommendation.

The City Attorney brought up the question of the property in the Lamar Shopping Center on 12th Street, which the City was considering selling to the adjoining owner. The City Manager stated during the last discussion, it was suggested that possibly the City should consider the amount of rental. The Mayor suggested that the appraisal be made, and then it would be known how much rental or how much to sell the property for; also it is necessary to check to see how far he is encroaching on city property.

The Council recessed until 2:30.

RECESSED MEETING

2:30 P.M.

At 2:30 P.M. the Council resumed its business. Councilman White was absent due to illness.

Mayor Palmer stated he had given considerable thought of either going in on a larger deductible factor on the city's insurance, or on self-insurance, to see if some money could be samed. MR. HARRY MONTANDON, MR. BILL SHACKLEFORD, MR. BILL GAMMON, MR. ED SHELTON, MR. TOM GRAHAM, City Advisory Board of the Austin Association of Insurance Agents, and MR. FOREST McPHAUL, U.S.F.&G. representative, were present. MR. SHELTON discussed the Association Wich's comprised of 50 agencies, including partners, and which is designed for educational purposes and contains a Board of Placement. He said the city had been besieged constantly with a number of agents, each trying to write a small portion of business, and there was no central coordinating point. He explained how different agents handled different phases of public insurance, and how each service agent was paid a fee for his services, such fee coming from commissions from the business. The Commission then goes into a trust fund which is used for civic improvements. The whole idea was to give the City the best insurance policy that could be devised for the amount of money. MR. SHACKLEFORD explained the servicing of the fire program, stating there were about 400 items constantly being changed, and listing what was covered under the fire schedule for a premium of approximately \$19,000 and covered by U.S.F. & G. He said in 1960 the State Board of Insurance approved a 20% deviation. The city policies were rewritten at a 20% deviated figure. On the staggered plan, the city has four years' insurance by paying five annually at no interest. The values of the properties are questioned, as Mr. Shackleford believed some of the items are underinsured. Mr. Shackleford stated only \$150,000 on the contents of the library are covered, and he believed there was a million dollars worth of books records, etc. The premium on this one item now is approximately \$150.00. Mr. Shackleford asked that some one person be designated to discuss the insurance with the Committee. The City Manager designated the Finance Director.

MR. SHACKLEFORD pointed out the premium was cut as low as anyone could possibly do it: there was a 20% deviation; most of the buildings were credited for public housing credit; and there is a good fire record of 15%. He listed the premiums on some of the buildings and fire stations. Councilman Perry stated he did not see how the City could afford to insure itself at those prices as listed. Mr. Shackleford said the City was on the low side on extended coverage. The Mayor said an overall picture of the program had been presented, and the Council had been thinking of studying this to see if it were getting the maximum amount of coverage at the best price, and to see if there might be some risk that it could take. Mr. Shackleford gave the experience of the losses during the last rive year period, stating there had been \$46,881.64 losses, with about \$75,000 premium. The City Manager noted all of the losses had been small, with none amounting to \$11,000. Had the \$25,000 deductible been set up the company would have paid nothing on these. Councilman Shanks said the City was getting a lot of insurance for the amount of premium being paid, which was at an exceedingly low rate. Mayor Palmer stated at this moment, he believed the City was getting a lot for its money. He asked the Committee if it felt the City was getting the most coverage for the least amount of money that could possibly be worked out on this, and Mr. Shackleford answered that he did. Mayor Palmer stated he believed the Council was satisfied on the deductible issue

Mayor Palmer stated the Council had been approached by a number of the local people asking why some of this insurance could not be placed with them. and asked Mr. Shackleford if he had any comments. Mr. Shackleford said he took all of these requests to the Placement Board of the Association with his recommendation. He stated one company three years ago was given 20% of the schedule, but they did not help service their policy. They had an accounting office in Austin, but did not have a special agent, and he had to do business with their San Antonio office; and it ended up with the U.S.F. & G. Special agent servicing the entire policy for both companies. The policy with the local company was cancelled last year when U.S.F. & G. went on 20% deviation, and the other company could not match this. Now this company has this 20% filing, but it is not identical with U.S.F. & G., and there is about a \$200 or \$300 difference on the fire premium. He explained should the other company have a portion, it would be double work on the Association. With regard to the company, Insurance Company of North America, Mr. Shackleford read Minutes of the meeting of the Association dated December 31, 1958. In discussing taxes paid by local companies as against those paid by U.S.F. & G., Councilman Perry suggested that U.S.F. & G. establish a branch office here. The Mayor suggested if there was only a small amount standing in the way, perhaps Mr. Shackleford could get with this other company and see if he could work it out and if he could get them some coverage without affecting the net cost of the city in any way. He suggested to Mr. Shackleford it would be good for Austin to encourage U.S.F. & G. to bring their headquarters here. Mr. Shackleford said out of the \$130,000 insurance costs that the City was paying, the policies which he represented were the only ones that North America has made any move to have a portion of. The Mayor suggested that since Mr. Bill Gammon and Tom Graham write for I.N.A. that the company could meet with them and try to work something out. He stated the Council was pretty firm on the stand that it did not want any deductible, as discussed.

In line with having adequate insurance coverage, the City Manager suggested that the Construction Engineer, Finance Director, and he make a review of the coverages with Mr. Shackleford and make a report back to the Council.

The Mayor read a letter from MRS. CHARLES F. HERRING thanking the Council for one of its kind gestures.

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute an agreement with International Business Machines Corporation for the lease of an I.B.M. 1401 Tape Data Processing System for an annual rental cost of \$83,760.00; for the continued lease of certain presently leased I.B.M. equipment for an annual rental cost of \$22,878.00; and for the discontinuation of the lease of certain I.B.M. equipment for a reduction of annual rental cost of \$53,928.00; so that the additional annual rental cost for the City's new, enlarged, and improved I.B.M. machine records system shall not exceed the cost for the existing system by more than \$29,832.00.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, Mayor Palmer Noes: None Absent: Councilman White

 γ

The Council discussed the purchase of 49 vehicles for the Police Department and one for the Taxi-cab Division, and trading in 43. The Taxi-cab Department would have one to purchase with one trade-in. Total cost of operating the 43 vehicles was discussed. After much discussion, Councilman Armstrong moved that the City Manager be authorized to advertise for bids for cars for the Police Department. The motion, seconded byCouncilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, Mayor Palmer Noes: None Absent: Councilman White

The Council endorsed the Mayor's proclaiming January 31, 1962, as MIKE COTTON DAY.

The Mayor read letters from MRS. F. D. McLEMORE, 209 West Odell; DOUGLAS W. WOODALL, 8019 Lazy Lane; MRS. DAVID R. WOMACK, 3415 Spanish Oak Drive; and MRS. ELDON NEWTON, expressing opposition to any gas rate increase by SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY.

The Mayor read letters from MRS. HARRELL H. CHERRY, suggesting that the Lake's official name be "TOWN LAKE"; JOHN C. CHUMNEY, President, Longhorn Diving Club, asking that an area be set off for the construction of diving facilities on the new lake, separate from a pool facility and an attraction to the Town Lake; and from MRS. NORMAN M. BARKER, suggesting the name of "LAKE BLUEBONNET", for the new Lake. All letters were referred to the Lake Development Committee.

The Mayor read a letter from FRED WILLIAMS, City Editor, The American Statesman, written to the Fire Chief thanking him for keeping the news alerted to fires as they occur.

The City Attorney noted a Court decision in San Antonio regarding Council's taking action on Zoning cases out of a regular scheduled hearing.

The Council received notice from the City Manager that the following zoning applications had been referred to the Planning Commission and were set for public hearing before the City Council for March 1, 1962:

W. W. KNAPE By E. D. Halvorson	5208-5210 Cameron Road 1117-1125 East 53rd Street	From "C" Commercial 6th Height and Area To "C-2" Commercial 6th Height and Area
P. E. WORSHAM	1210 East 52nd Street	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
H. A. MILLNER By Sidney Purser	1101 West 39 ¹ 2 Street 3906-3910 Alice Avenue	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence

QR.

RICHARD R. HOOPER	811 West 10th Street	From "B" Residence To "O" Office
CATER JOSEPH ESTATE By Edward Joseph	6707-6809 Grover Avenue 901-1017 Justin Lane Rear of 6712-6828 Lamar	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
R. GRAHAM WILSON	2808-2810 Rio Grande 2811 (2809) Salado Street	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area and "BB" Residence 2nd Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area
W. H. BULLARD	1102-1106 Koenig Lane	From "B" Residence and "GR" General Retail To "C-l" Commercial
MURRAY P. RAMSEY	4514-4528 Avenue A 501-505 West 46th Street	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area
ANNIE M. GAFFNEY By Roger S. Hanks	3704-3714 West Avenue 801-803 West 38th Street 3705-3711 Gaffney Avenue	From "A" Residence To "GR" General Retail
HILLMER ESTATES; Mrs. J. P. Allen By Dan Priest	1000-1002 West 32nd Street 3200-3208 North Lamar	From "A" Residence 5th Height & Area To "C" Commercial 5th Height & Area
RANDOLPH A. HAYNES, JR.	1300 East 52nd Street 5201-5205 Lancaster Court	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
TERRELL TIMMERMAN By Robert J. Potts,Jr.	204 East 53rd Street	From "A" Residence To "IR" Local Retail
HUNTER SCHIEFFER & DON LYDA By Robert C. Sneed	5702-5712 Berkman Drive	From "A" Residence To "O" Office

There being no further business, the Council adjourned at 5:00 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED ______ Mayor

ATTEST: Oley 000 City Clerk