## MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

 CITY OF AUSTIIN, TEXASRegular Meeting
February 1, 1962 10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.
Roll call:
Present: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by RABBI CHARLES MINTZ, Temple Beth Isarel.

MR. GEORGE RIGGIN, Sears-Roebuck Company, discussed the proposal of Sears in relation to the Hancock Tract, as rumors had been that Sears was not interested and had asked for their money back. This rumor was untrue. He stated after the proposed sale had been advertised in the Wall Street Journal and other media, Sears-Roebuck was the only one that made a bid, and this was the largest price that has been paid for a tract of land of that size in the southwest. He stated they had plans for a large regional center which would be the pride of Central Texas, and he showed pictures of the plans and mall. The Austin Center will follow the same trend as the one in Fort Worth, which is the largest center ever opened. He said when the Election was over and they had the light to go ahead, they would get under full construction within 90 days, and hoped to have a multimillion dollar operation, and money on the tax rolls for 1963. He said he was all the way with the City in its efforts, and they were going forward and were ready to give employment to contractors and to others. As other firms expanded, employment would grow. He said this would be a union job and would be a gig help to the labor market. The Mayor thanked Mr. Riggin for clearing up the rumor, and expressing interest in the election to be held on February 10. He said Sears had been a good citizen and Austin is proud to have the type of store that they are talking about placing here. Councilman Shanks stated the Council and Chamber of Commerce and others were interested in bringing industry in and creating more jobs. Mr. Riggin said they would increase their employment around 200-250 people and with the other 21 firms there would be another increase of employment.

MRS. BUFORD SIEWARD, representing the Joslin P.T.A., Porter P.T.A., homes and children, stated the group was interested in the district park in South Austin, and when the development would start. The Mayor thanked the group for coming down and stated it was a year ago when they asked for a District Park in the southwest area, and the Council told them it would try to acquire a tract, but it was pointed out at that time that it would be sometime before a swimming pool could be built; and he stated during that time it was contemplated using the proceeds from the sale of the Hancock Tract which were included in the overall program, and it would depend on the outcome of the election as to the timing. He stated he would have to check specifically to see what had been projected for the District Park. COUNCIIMAN SHANKS said he and the Director of Recreation were making a study of this park. MR. RUSSELL ROWLAND endorsed the election whereby the people will have a chance to sell this land and spread the proceeds for the various recreational items as listed. MRS. STEWART
asked for a definite answer if the sale of the Hancock tract were approved, that they would have some sort of priority on their park situation. The Mayor stated he would check with the City Manager and Council on just what was proposed and give her an answer.

Coupled with the discussion of the southwest district park was discussion on the sale of the Hancock fract. MR. JOFNN WILSON, the nearest property owner to the tract, said he was certainly in favor of selling this tract and having the fine center there, and he believed the election would go over. MR. JAKE BAUERLE stated it would be a fine asset to the city to have the type of building Sears is planning from the standpoint of beauty, and from the tax returns. The Mayor stated all was in agreement that the issue is what would be good for the City of Austin. MR. BOW WILLLAMS did not favor selling the Hancock Pract, but wanted tt kept for park purposes. Councilman Perry asked Mr. Bow Williams if he would furnish the Council a list of names of cities in the United States of approximately the same population that have more park land than Austin.

The Council honored MR. WILITAM GREEN, 67 years of age, for having the longest service of any employee with the City of Austin--48 years and three months. He has been with the Sewer Division during this period, having begun as a laborer, and retires as Senior Maintenance Man.

Pursuant to published notice thereof, public hearing on paving was opened at 10:30 A.M. on the following streets:

## STREET

## Colorado Street

Guadalupe Street
Lavaca Street
East 5th Street
East 6th Street
East 6th Street
West 6th Street
West 7th Street
West 8th Street
East 9th Street

From
West lst Stweet West 19th Street
West lst Street
Trinity Street
East Avenue
Congress Avenue
San Antonio Street
Guadalupe Street
Lavaca Street
Congress Avenue

## To

West 13th Street
West 27th Street
West 19th Street
East Avenue
Chicon Street
East Avenue
Colorado Street
Colorado Street
Congress Avenue
San Jacinto Street

West 9th Street
East loth Street
West 10th Street
East 11th Street
West Ilth Street
West 12th Street
West I3th Street
Bellvue Avenue
Doris Drive
Eilers Avenue
Fruth Street
Guadalupe Street
Hampton Road
Harmon Avenue
Helen Street
Jefferson Street
Keasbey Street
Marathon Boulevard
Maybelle Avenue
East 32nd Street
West 39th Street
West 42 nd Street
West 42 nd street
East 43rd Street
West 43 rd Street
East 44th Street
West 44th Street
East 49th Street
East 54th Street
East 55th Street

Lavaca Street Congress Avenue
Congress Avenue Brazos Street
Colorado Street
Congress Avenue
Lavaca Street
North Lamar Boulevard
Lavaca Street
Alice Avenue
Burnet Road
East 45th Street
West 29th Street
North Loop Boulevard
Park Place
East 54th Street
East 54th Street
West 39th Street
Eilers Avenue
West 41st Street
West 43rd Street
Speedway
$107^{\prime}$ w. of Jefferson
Alice Avenue
Avenue "D"
Dusal Street
Alice Avenue
Caswell Avenue
Alice Avenue
Rowena Avenue
Tink Avenue
Helen Street
Congress Avenue
San Jacinto Street
Congress Avenue
Colorado Street
Colorado Street
West 45th Street
126'east of Reeda Lane
East 47 th Street
308' no. of West 30th St.
Koenig Lane
East 30th Street
East 55th Street
East 56th Street
493' no. of W. 39th Street
Red River Street
West 45 th Street
West 45th Street
Grooms Street
Jefferson Street
Lamar Boulevard
Speedway
173' east of Barrow Ave.
Lamar Boulevard
Red River Street
Momingside Avenue
Avenue " $F$ "
Martin Avenue
Harmon Avenue
(Subdivision Boundary Streets)
Cardinal Lane
South 3rd Street
$302^{1}$ west of South 3rd Cardinal Iane (E)

South 3rd Street Cardinal Lane (W)

The Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, briefly described the improvements proposed to be constructed and briefly explained the method of apportionment of cost. The City Attorney stated that a Notice of the hearing had been published in the American-Statesman on January 20, 21, 22, 1962, and in the manner required by law. The Mayor asked if there were any parties present who desired to be heard on any matter in connection with the improvements under consideration. There being no persons present who desired to be heard, Councilman Perry moved that the hearing be closed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the hearing was closed and directed the City Attorney-to prepare an ordinance incorporating the findings of the City Council.

MINUTES PERTAINING TO PASSAGE AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 620201-A AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF $\$ 1,900,000$ "CITYY OF AUSTTIN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION VARIOUS PURPOSE BONDS, SERIES 1962, (No.240) and $\$ 100,000$ "CITY OF AUSTIN, IEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION PARKS, PLAYGROUNDS AND RECREATION BONDS, SERIES 1962, (NO. 241), ALL DATED JANUARY 1,1962

THE STATE OF TEXAS
CITY OF AUSTIN
COUNTY OF TRAVIS $X$
ON THIS, the lst day of February, 1962, the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, convened in regular session at the regular meeting place thereof in the City Hall with the following members present, to-wit:

| IESIER E. PALMER | MAYOR |
| :--- | :--- |
| BEN WHITEE | COUNCILMAN |
| EDGAR PERRY III | COUNCIIMAN |
| R. C. (BOB) ARMSIRONG | COUNCIIMAN |
| LOUIS SHANKS | COUNCIIMAN |

and with the following absent:
constituting a quorum; at which time the following among other business was transacted, to-wit:

The Mayor submitted and introduced an ordinance authorizing the issuance of $\$ 1,900,000$ "CITY OF AUSTIT, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION VARIOUS PURPOSE BONDS, SERIES 1962 (No. 240) and $\$ 190,000$ "CITY OF AUSTITN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION PARKS, PLAYGROUNDS AND RECREATION BONDS, SERIES 1962 (NO.241)" for the City Council's consideration, the caption of said ordinance being as follows:
"AN ORDINANCE by the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, authorizing the issuance of $\$ 1,900,000{ }^{\prime}$ CITY OF AUSIIN, IIEXAS, GENERAL OBIIGATION VARIOUS PURPOSE BONDS, SERIES 1962 (No. 240)', dated January 1 , 1962, for the purpose of providing funds for permanent public improvements, towit: $\$ 100,000$ for constructing, improving and repairing Hospital Buildings of the City of Austin and for acquiring necessary lands and equipment therefor; $\$ 100,000$ for constructing and improving fire stations of the City of Austin, and for acquiring necessary lands and equipment therefor; $\$ 200,000$ for acquiring lands for rights-of-way for streets and boulevards in connection with the State Highway System in the City of Austin; \$50,000 for enlarging and equipping the Public Free Library System of the City and acquiring necessary lands for expansion thereof; \$1,325,000 for constructing and improving streets, including bridges and drainage incidental thereto in and for said City and for acquiring necessary lands therefor; $\$ 100,000$ for constructing and improving municipal Airport facilities and acquiring lands and equipment therefor; and $\$ 25,000$ for constructing, equipping and improving Fire Stations in and for the City of Austin, and acquiring necessary lands therefor; and $\$ 100,000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CIITY}$ OF AUSTIN,

> TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION PARKS, PLAYGROUNDS AND RECREATION BONDS, SERIES 1962 (NO. 241 ) dated January l, 1962, for the purpose of providing funds for permanent public jmprovements, tomit: constructing and improving Public Parks and Public Playgrounds of the City of Austin, and for constructing improving and repairing buildings and other recreation facilities of said parks and playgrounds, and for acquiring necessary lands and equipment therefor; prescribing the form of the bonds and the form of the interest coupons; levying a continuing direct annual ad valorem tax on all taxable property within the limits of said City to pay the interest on said bonds and to create a sinking fund for the redemption thereof; and providing for the assessment and collection of such taxes; enacting provisions incident and relating to the purpose and subject of this ordinance; and declaring an emergency. "

The ordinance was read and Councilman Perry moved that the rule be suspended which requires that no ordinance shall become effective until the expiration of ten days following the date of its final passage, and that such ordinance be finally passed and adopted at this meeting, and that for the reasons recited therein, said ordinance be passed as an emergency measure for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety of the citizens of Austin as permitted by the City Charter. The motion was seconded by Councilman White and carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen White, Perry, Armstrong, and Shanks Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Perry moved that the rules be further suspended and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure to its third reading. The motion. was seconded by Councilman White and carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Palmer and Councilmen White, Perry, Armstrong and Shanks
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Perry moved that the ordinance be finally passed as an emergency measure. The motion was seconded by Councilman White and carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Palmer, and Councilmen White, Perry, Armstrong and Shanks
Noes: None
The Mayor then announced that the ordinance had been finally passed and adopted.

MINUTES APPROVED, this the Ist day of February, 1962.

s/ Lester E. Palmer<br>Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

## ATMEST:

s/ Elsie Woosley
City Clerk, City of Austin, Texas
(City's Seal)

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:
AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL
AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUITITNG UPON SUNDRY
SIREETS IN ITHE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE
LIMITS HEREINAFTTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS
TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE
OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID
STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMIIS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS,
INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OR THE PROCEED-
INGS OR CONIRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING
THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTTING UPON
SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECI-
ALIY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE
AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO
BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTITNG PROREETTY
and the real and true owners thereof, and IEvying an
ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST
OF IMPROVING SAID STREEIS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED,
FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTIIING
PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF,
PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES
UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE
MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR
THE MANIER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESS-
MENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND
PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHAIL BECOME EFFECTIVE
IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Bellvue Avenue and
sundry other streets)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Pexry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmex introduced the following ordinance:
AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL
AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTITNG UPON SUNDRY
STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE
LIMITS HERETNAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS
TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE
OWNERS ITHEREOF BY VIRIUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID
STREETS WITHIN SAID LTMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS,
INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEED-
INGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING
THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTITNG UPON
SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECT-
ALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE
AMOUNTI OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO
BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY
AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN
ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORIION OF THE COST
OF TMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED,
FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING
PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF,
PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES,
UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE
MANNER AND TTME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR
THE MANNER AND MEHHOD OF COLJECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS
AND CERITFTCATES; DECIARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING
THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY
UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Cardinal Iane and South 3rd Street)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor PaImer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:
AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL
AND IRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTYTING UPON SUNDRY
STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE
LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECTAL BENEFITS
TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND IRUE
OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE TMPROVEMENT OF SAID
SIREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS,
INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEED-
INGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT
EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTITING UPON SAID
SITREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY
BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT
OF THE COST OF SATD IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS,
ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTHITNG PROPERTTY AND THE REAL AND
tRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE
PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID SIREETS
WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST
ALL SAID ABUTTING RROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS
THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABIE CERITFI-
CATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE
MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE
MANNER AND METHOD OF COLIECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND
CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT
THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE TMMEDIAIELY UPON
ITS PASSAGE. (Colorado Street and sundry other streets)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Cauncilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:
AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE NECESSITTY FOR AND ORDERING
THE PAVING AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF CERTAIN STREETS
IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, APFROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
FOR SUCH WORK, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ADVERTISE
FOR BIDS, DIRECTITNG THE PREPARATION OF ESTIMAIES, INVOK-
ING THE ALTERNAIE PROCEDURE PROVIDED BY ARTICLE I, SECTION
5 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND CHAPTER 106 OF
THE ACTS OF THE FIRST CALIED SESSION OF THE 4OTH IEGISLA-
TURE OF TEXAS, DEIERMINING THAT THE COST OF SUCH IMPROVE-
MENTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, PROVIDING A
METHOD OF REIMBURSING THE CIMY OF AUSTIN FOR A PORTION
OF SUCH COSTS BY ASSESSMENT OF A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS
AGAINST THE PROPERTY ABUTTITNG SUCH STREETS OR PORTIONS
THEREOF TO BE IMPROVED, AND FOR THE FIXING OF A LIEN TO
SECURE PAYMENT OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, STATING THE TIME AND
MANNER PROPOSED FOR PAYMENT OF ALL SUCH COSTS, DIRECTING
THE CITY CLERK TO CAUSE A NOTICE OF THE ENACTMENT OF THIS
ORDINANCE TO BE FIIED IN THE MORIGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST
RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.
(Aurora Drive and sundry other streets)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Ammstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Armstrong moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Amstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The Council had a request from CHARLES N. AVERY that his zoning application be withdrawn. Councilman Perry moved that the Council accept the request to withdraw the following zoning application:
W. H. JOHNSON ESTAIT 3805-3807 Red River From "A" Residence By Charles N. Avery, Jr. 901-905 East 38를 Street

To "O" office
NOT Recommended by the Planning Comission

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

| RIO PROPERTIES, INC. | 8701-8729 Burnet Road | From "A" Residence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| William B. Clark, Pres. | 2306-14 Polaris Avenue | To "C" Commercial |
| By Jack Goodman |  | RECOMMENDED by the |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Planning Commission |

No opposition appeared. Councilman Perry moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Conmercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

ELIAS R. FERRIS
906 East 12th Street
From "B" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman Perry moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

CHARLES L. HOFFMAN
Tract I:
4400-4404 South Congress From "C" Commercial 6th 101-105 St. Elmo Road Height \& Area
Ib "C-2" Comenercial 6th Height \& Area
Tract 2:
Rear 115-123 St. Elmo Rd. From "A" Residence lst Rear 4412-4418 South Congress Avenue (As amended) Height \& Area
and "C" Cormercial 6th Height \& Area
To "C-2" Commercial 6th Height \& Area

CHARLES L. HOFFMAN
(Cont.)

Tract 3:
Remaining Residential Area.

From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED as amended by the Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. The Director of Planning explained that Mr. Hoffmen had originally asked for two acres to be zoned for "C-2" Commercial, but the Commission recomended only the location of the buildings, one for a liquor store, and one for a night club, "C-2" Commercial for the building sites, and the rest of the area to be changed to "C" Commercial. Councilman White moved that Tract 1 and Iract 2 be changed to "C-2" Commercial 6th Height and Area; and Tract 3 be changed to " $C$ " Comnercial. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shenks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-2" Commercial for Tract 1 and Tract 2, and to "C" Commercial for Tract 3 and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

HENRY WIRE Rear of 2032-2034 South
From "C" Commercial Lamar Boulevard To "C-I" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. Councilman White moved that the change to "C-I" Cormercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

ANTHONY R. FERRIS \&
CARMEN K. FERRIS
By A. G. Ferris

601 West 9th Street
806-810 Nueces Street

From "B" Residence To "GR" General Retail NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "O" Office

MRS. HALL, President, Austin Women's Club had no opposition to the change of zoning, but was opposed to any increase in taxes due to any change of zoning of this property. She stated since the Austin Women's Club was a unique historical building, and the purpose of the organization was civic, cultural and
educational, that it should be tax exempt. Councilman White moved that the change to " 0 " Office be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to " 0 " Office and the City Attomey was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

WALIER R. CARRINGTON By Richard Baker

1902-1914 Santa Clara 1903-1915 Justin Lane 6807-6809 Hardy Drive

From "A" Residence lst Height \& Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height \& Area NOT Recormended by the Planning Commission

MR. DICK BAKER represented the applicant. He stated the Zoning Committee made no recommendation, and there was aitievote when the application came before the Planning Commission. He described the property and said they were asking for "BB" Residence. He pointed out the problems of development of this peculiar piece of property, caused by set backs from two streets (Justin Lane and Santa Clara); the narrow lots, and three running from 196' to $212^{\prime}$ on the longer side. Development of something beautiful and proper in this area seemed difficult but the Architects came up with a multi-unit apartment house which would be of much better construction than duplexes, would have advantage of one ownership and better upkeep of the property, and better parking arrangements could be worked out. He said considering everything-nthe beautification of the property, proper utilization, protection of value in the area for adjoining property omers, and the development of the subdivision, he thought this would be the proper zoning and was authorized to armend the application from "B" 2 nd Height and Area which would allow 92 units, to " $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$, which would permit only 26 units, but the plans called for only 24. The Director of Planning listed the reasons for the Commission's recommendation, as this plan was an intrusion into a single family area; the matter of parking along Santa Clara is a disadvantage to the homes, and disadvantage to traffic. Mr. Carrington stated their plan showed 22 apartments, and he did not knowr, how many they would put up, because they would not have two story units. Mr. Baker: stated it was his understanding there would be 24 units. Opposition was expressed by MRS. McDONALD, 1900 Justin Iane, as her home would be facing the backs of the cars and garbage cans, and she preferred that the development be of the duplex type rather than multiple unit. MR. BILL McDONALD wented to protect his investment and keep the nice neighbors, and he feared with this type of development, the nicer people would begin shifting around. The Council wanted to look at the property and took no action today.

AUSTIN APARIMENTS, INC. 4717-4721 Harmon Ave.

From "O" Office lst Height \& Area<br>To "O" Office 2na Height \& Area<br>NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

MR. ROBERT SNEED represented the applicants and described the location of this property as to its availability to major traffic arteries enabling the traffic from this apartment to flow into every major thoroughfare street into the city. He pointed out the reason for the application's not receiving a recommendation from the Planning Commission was the question of density. He described the zoming of other properties in the area. The owners have under construction a 28 unit apartment house; and from an economic standpoint they want to erect 20 additional units. If the application is granted as " 0 " and Height and Area, 57 apartments would be permitted, but the owners want only 48, and will tender a restrictive covenant that will be acceptable to the City Attomey limiting the number of units to 48. If the apartment house were moved 150', more units could be erected than 57. He pointed out this was in the airport zoning area and the property is burdened with an avigation easement. If there is a need for street widening, Mr. Sneed stated he would tender five feet or whatever is needed off this property. The Director of Planning stated the area had serious problems. He stated there had been an effort to limit the density due to what possibly could occur, since this was in the airport area. The Council postponed action until it could make a personal inspection of the area.

The City Manager submitted the following:
"January 30, 1962
"Io: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
"Subject: Bids on 1 3/4" Track Front End Loader, Street \& Bridge Division, Public Works
"Sealed bids were opened at 2:00 P.M. January 29, 1962 in the office of the Purchasing Agent for subject equipment.

YPurpose: Street and Bridge has been in need of this type of equipnent in clean: ing drain ditches, creeks, etc. It will also take the place of a maintainer and loader for rough excavation of streets and ditches. No increase in personnel will be needed.
"Estimated Cost: The City's estimated cost is approximately $\$ 20,000.00$
"Invitation to bid was sent to all firms in this area who we felt might possibly bid. These are shown below in the tabulation:
"Austin Truck \& Machinery Co. Jess McNeel Machinery Co.
H. W. Lewis Equip. Co.
R. G. Studer Mach. Co. Wra. K. Holt Mach. Co.
bid on Case Model 1000-D
bid on International ID9
\$16,073.00
bid on Allis Chalmers HD-7G
$\$ 16,500.00$
\$17,972.00
bid on Eimeo 103 \$19,600.00
bid on Caterpillar 955 \$21,194.46
"The following were sent intritation, but did not bid. They stated they were unable to handle this type of equipment: Igram Equipment Co., Roy Klossner Co, Pearce Equip. Co., Girard Mach. Co., Cooper Equip. Co., Turk Equip. Co., Dulaney Service Co., Lanford Equip. Co. and Tom Fairey Co.
"Listed below in sequence of bidders with an outline of bids according to the City of Austin's specifications:
"1. Austin Truck \& Mach. Co.'s Case Model 100 D, low bid of $\$ 16,073.00$ failed to meet specifications in bucket capacity. Spec. state SAE rated of $13 / 4 \mathrm{cu}$. yd. Case bid on $11 / 2 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{yd}$. SAE rated. Spec. calls for weight of $24,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Case approximate weight 22,700 lbs.
"2. Jess McNeel Mach. Co.'s Model TD-9 International did not meet specifications in horsepower starting motor, transmission, bucket capacity, weight and several other items. This bid should not be considered.
"All other bidders met specifications in all respects.
"Recommendation: Recommend the low bidder that meets all phases of the City's specifications - H.W. Lewis Equipment Company on Allis-Chalmers HD 7G at $\$ 17,972.00$.
"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"
Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 29, 1962, for the purchase of one $3 / 4$ " Track Front End Loader for use by the Street \& Bridge Division of the Public Works Department; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of H, W. Iewis Equipment Company on Allis-Chalmers HD 7G in the sum of $\$ 17,972.00$ was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COINCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTCN:
That the bid of H. W. Iewis Equipment Company on AllismChaimers HD 7G in the sum of $\$ 17,972.00$ be and the same is hereby accepted and W. T. Williams, Jr City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with H. W. Lewis Equipment Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council recessed until 3:30 P.M.

At 3:30 P.M. the Council resumed business.
The City Manager submitted the following:
"January 30, 1962
"Io: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
"Subject: Bids for Diesel Crawler Tractor, Street \& Bridge Division, Public Works
"Sealed bids were opened at 2:00 P.M. January 29, 1962, in the office of the Purchasing Agent for subject machine.
"Description \& Purpose: This is a standard shift Crawler Tractor to replace a 1950-D C Caterpillar Dozer which is in need of $\$ 2,500.00$ in repairs.
"City's Estimated Cost: $\$ 27,000.00$ less $\$ 5,000.00$ trade-in or a net $\$ 2,000.00$
"Bids were sent to all firms in this area who we felt might possibly bid, these are shown below in the tabulation:
"William K. Holt Machinery Co. \$27,953.00 less \$7,400.00 trade-in-Net \$20,553.00 H. W. Lewis Equipment Co. \$31,282.00 less \$7,500.00 " " Net \$23,782.00 Jess McNeel Machinery Co. $\$ 28,476.00$ less $\$ 7,400.00$ " " Net $\$ 21,076.00$ R. G. Studer Machinery Co. \$29,000.00 less $\$ 7,849.00$ " " Net $\$ 21,241.00$

The following were sent invitation, but did not bid. They stated that either they did not handle this type of equipment or equipment of the size specified:
"Ingram Equipment Company, Roy Klossner Company, Pearce Equipment Company, Firirard Machinery Company, Cooper Equipment Company, Dulaney Service Company, Turk Equipment Company, Lanford Equipment Company and Tom Fairey Company.
"Recomendation: Recomend low bid of Wm. K. Holt Machinery Company be accepted.
"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

The Council had before it the bid of WILLIAM K. HOLT MACHINERY COMPANY, for a Diesel Crawler Tractor for Street andBridge Division. MR. R. K. VANCE, Eimco, asked to be heard on this matter and stated he would not say that the Holt bid did not meet specifications, but that the machine he bid was obsolete, and it had been superceded by a new model. The Director of Public Works stated the power shift was taken out of the specifications, as the Department wanted the standard shift, and was about $\$ 7,000$ less than the power shift. He said this machine fit the needs of the city. MR. PURSER, Street and Bridge, listed the advantages of this machine for his needs. Finally, after much discussion, Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 29, 1962, for the purchase of a diesel crawler tractor; and,

WHEREAS, the bid $0 f$ William K. Holt Machinery Company in the sum of $\$ 20,553.00$ was the lowest and best bid therefore, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That the bid of William K. Holt Machinery Company in the sum of $\$ 20,553.00$ be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with William K. Holt Machinery Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shenks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

MR. MARVIN BERGSIROM stated he was completing the largest apartment project in Austin, at 1614 West 6th Street, and he had the lights on all of the apartments except the next to the last group, but the Building Inspector will not turn those lights on until he rearranged his numbering system for the apartments. The apartment house numbers did not conform to the policy of the city, Post Office, Fire and Police Departments, and emergency units. Mr. Bergstrom did not want any two apartments to have the same numbers even though the apartment houses were on two separate lots and had separate street numbers, 1616 and 1614. Finally, the Mayor persuaded Mr. Bergstrom to follow the rule set up to apply to all such cases, and then get his lights turned on. Mr. Bergstrom stated he would go ahead.

MR. RALPH TIBBEIY, representing a Fraternity, stated they had acquired a 10-year old building, and were remodeling it, turning it from a seven unit apartment house into a fraternity house. They found out in making this transfer that according to the Electrical code, all of the electrical wiring in the buididing must be tom out or replaced in conduit. The boys wanted to occupy the building by February l5th, and it would cost six or seven thousand dollazs more to do this rewiring. The Mayor stated many hours had been spent on developing this new electrical code and this particular phase was argued at length, and it was for protection of a concentration of students that this regulation was made. These ordinances were made in the public interest. The Mayor told him if he were not going to do a major job of remodeling, possibly he would not be required to make the complete change over in wiring. Mr. Thbbett was asked to check with his electrician and get him to contact the Electrical Inspector, MR. TEW, about this matter.

MR. ROBERT SNEED appeared before the Council representing the Southwest Optimist Club, stating they were interested in the formation of a Senior size league which is being sponsored by Lititle Ieague. This will take care of boys 13-15 years of age. He said their problem was lack of facility. Their league is located on City owned land immediately to the east of Barton Springs, and the Club had invested $\$ 35$ to $\$ 40,000$ on the park, and it would like to obtain from the city the soft-ball park immediately adjacent to the present league which they have, and operate with one concession and one group of management; and in turn, for the City they would enter into an agreement to erect another soft-ball field inmediately to the north of the double soft-ball field which now exists. The Council discussed this with Mr. Sneed, and the Mayor stated it would investigate this with the City Manager and Recreation Director and see if this could be worked out. Mr. Sneed stated they were anxious to start repairing the field, but their first obligation would be to start immediately on the soft ball field. The City Manager stated the only question he had was if this would conflict with use of property, stating this particular property had been dedicated for many years as a park; but with reference to other property that belongs to the City, he stated the City imight be in a position of dedicating property for park purposes never intended, simply because someone was granted permission to use it on a temporary basis. The Mayor thanked Mr. Sneed and stated they would check with the City Manager and let him know.

Councilman Armstrong stated the Council had met with some contractors and contractors' equipment people, and wanted to resolve depreciation schedules and get some realistic figures. He said MR. H. C. BELL, Central Texas Equipment Company, wanted to present a proposal. Mr. Bell stated he was turned down on his appeal to the Equalization Board, and was paying his taxes without further appeal. He said his Auditor had submitted a certified statement showing full value of the equipment, but he inadvertently did not put any scaffolding in which would have raised that figure. He said by having that certified list supplied by the auditor, they thought they had done what had been agreed on. The Mayor stated the way the matter was left with the equipment people was they were going to inform the Council as to the rate and how they fisured depreciation on their equipment; and this was never brought in. Mr. Bell was interested in seeing what they could do about their taxes for 1962. The Tax Assessor stated the Board asked Central Texas Equipment Company to make their books available, but that was never done; instead they submitted the certificate from their Auditor; but the certified list did not agree with the check of the materials on the premises on January 1st, and the Tax Department wantsto have access to the company's records. Mr. Bell did not know what the Tax Department had them taxed for, but the assessed value was raised from $\$ 53,532$ to $\$ 94,000$, and he wanted to know how the Tax Department was arriving at that figure. The Tax Assessor explained the assessment which included equipment scattered over the state. The Mayor suggested that some time soon they get a large group together and go over this, and said the Council wanted to be fair to the heavy highway contractors and those renting equipment outside the city, but would like to have some information from them as to the life of equipment on a rental basis and what it is worth new and what it is worth year by year. Mr. Bell stated he would get with Mr. Marshall and find out what the Tax Department had charged to them that did not belong to them, and work out some agreement. The Mayor said within the next 15 or 20 days, a meeting could be set up to see what could be worked out with the Tax Department, and the contractors.

Councilman Shanks moved that the minutes of the meeting of January 18, 1962, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTTN:
That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to convey to the State of Texas, the hereinafter described tract of land conderned of Myrtle Nell Ruff, et al;

Such conveyance to be for and in consideration of the payment by the State of Texas of one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) of the cost of condemnation of such tract in accordance with the terms of that certain contract entered into by and between the City of Austin and the State of Texas on the 30th day of April, 1959 for the acquisition of right-of-way for Ioop 275 of U.S. 183, between North Lamar Boulevard and Interstate 35, in the City of Austin;

Said tract of land being more particularly described as follows:
0.792 of one acre of land, more or less, same being out of and a part of that certain original 36.37 acre tract of land out of the James P. Wallace Survey No. 57, Abstract No. 789 in Travis County, Texas, which original 36.37 acre tract of land mas conveyed to S. Webb Ruff, et ux, Myrtle Nell Ruff by deed dated August 29, 1929, of record in Volume 442, Page 125, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, said 0.792 of one acre of land, more or less, in two parcels, more recently being out of and a part of Block A, Northway Crest - Section One (1), a subdivision to the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said subdivision of record in Book 6, Page 2, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which 0.792 of one acre tract of land, more or less in two parcels, is more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

Parcel 3A
BEGINNING at a point in the southwest right of way line of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183, 100.0 feet right of Survey Station 394408.7 thereof; said point being in the west line of Guadalupe Street, Block "A", Northway Crest - Section No. One, a subdivision to the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said subdivision of record in Book 6, page 2, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, and from which point of beginnigg the south center of said original 36.37 acre tract of land and the west corner of Austin Public Free Schools oxiginal 8.0 acre tract bears (1) South $59^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ East 70.0 feet and (2) South $31^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ West 520.5 feet;

THENCE, North $59^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ West, 100.0 feet from and parallel to the centerline of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183, 20.0 feet from and parallel to the southwest right of way line of present Anderson Lane 905.4 feet to a point right of Survey Station 385f03.3;

THENCE, South $81^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West 78.2 feet along the southwest right of way line of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183 to a point 148.7 feet right of Survey Station $384 / 42.3$ thereof and 105.3 feet right of Survey Station 148/77.0 of present Loop 275;

THENCE, South $44^{\circ} 08^{\prime}$ West 353.3 feet, continuing along the proposed southeast right of way line of present Loop 275 to a point in the present southeast right of way thereof, 50.0 feet right of Survey Station $145 / 25.0$;

THENCE, in a northeasterly direction along the northwest line of said original 36.37 acre tract same being the northwest line of Block "A", Northway Crest - Section No. One subdivision, same being the present southeast right of way line of said Lopp 275, along a curve to the right of 7,589.49 feet radius, sub-chord bearing North $35^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$ East, 412.9 feet, an arc distance of 412.9 feet to a point 50.0 feet right of Survey Station 149 f 40.6 of present Loop 275 and 80.9 feet right of Survey Station $383 / 98.4$ of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183 said point also being the north cormer of Block "A" of said Northway Crest - Section No. One, subdivision at the point of intersection of the southwest right of way line of present Anderson Iane and the southeast right of way line of present Loop 275;

THENCE, South $59^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ East along the southwest right of way line of present Anderson Lane, 80.0 feet from and parallel to the centerline of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183, 994.5 feet to a point right of Survey Station $393+88.6$ the P.C. of curve 52 of the Plat of Northway Crest Section No. One subdivision;

THENCE, in a southerly direction along curve 52 of said subđivision of 20.0 feet radius, sub-chord bearing South $14^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ East, 28.6 feet, an arc distance of 31.86 feet to the point of beginning and contaming 0.787 of one acre of land, more or less.

PARCEL 3B
BEGINNING at a point in the southwest right of way line of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183, 100.0 feet right of Survey Station 394.78.9 thereof, said point also being in the southeast line of aforesaid Northway Crest Section No. One, subdivision same being the northwest line of adjoining Austin Public Free Schools original 8.0 acre tract and from which point of beginning the south cormer of said original 36.37 acre Northway Crest tract same being the west cormer of said Austin Public Free Schools original 8.0 acre tract bears South $31^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ West 520.5 feet;

THENCE, North $59^{\circ} 37^{\circ}$ West 100.0 feet from and parallel to the centerline of the proposed location of U. S. Highway 183, 20.0 feet from and parallel to the southwest right of way line of present AndersonIane, 10.0 feet to a point in the east line of Guadolupe Street, of said subdivision;

THEACE, North $31^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ East along the east line of said Guadalupe Street, 20.0 feet to the point of intersection of Guadalupe Street and the southwest
right of way line of present Anderson Lane;
THENCE, South $59^{\circ} 37^{\circ}$ East along the southwest right of way of present Anderson Lane 10.0 feet to the east corner of Block "A", Northway Crest Section No. One, subdivision, same being the north corner of the present Austin Public Eree Schools tract;

THENCE, South $37^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ West 20.0 feet to the place of beginning and containing 0.005 of one-acre of land, more or less.

Total for two parcels containing 0.792 acre of land, more or less.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Regarding the JOHN R. KUCHAR zoning at 1710-1806 West Avenue, the Director of Planning stated the property had been sold, and the owner is contemplating renting to 200 girls. The applicant was to file a document that he would not build more than 50 units, and the document had been filed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

> AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE INN USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA AND CHANGING THE USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOILOWS: A TRACT OF IAND FRONTING 233 FEET ON THE WEST RIGHTMOF-WAY ITNE OF WEST AVENE, LOCALIY KNOWN AS $1710-1806$ WEST AVENUE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND "B" RESIDENCE DISIRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND SECOND HEIGHT AND AREA DISIRICT; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:
AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENIER INTO A CERTATN CONTRACT WITH SOUTHERN OAKS DEVELOPMENT COMPANX; PROVIDING FOR THE APPROPRTATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTII UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARTNG AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mgyor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayror Palmer introduced the following ordinance:
AN ORDINANCE AUIHORIZING THE CITTY MANAGER TO ENITER INTO A CERTAIN CONIRACT WITTH GARDEN OAKS COMPANY; PROVIDING FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF MONEY PAID TO THE CITY OF AUSTIIN UNDER SUCH CONTRACT; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion,
seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time andCouncilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The Mayor amounced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Shanks introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin:

> AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY ITMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF O. 30 OF ONE ACRE OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE SANTIAGO DEL VALIE GRANT IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIIITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN TEE ORDINANCE.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTIION)

WHEREAS, Southem Union Gas Compeny has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction if its gas mains in the streets in the City of Austin hereafter named, and said maps or plans have been considered by the City Council; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
THAT Southern UnionGas Company be and the same is hereby permitted to lay and construct its gas mains in and upon the following streets:
(1) A gas main in EAST RIVERSIDE DRIVE, from a point 185 feet east of Montopolis Drive westerly 1, 288 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 12.00 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of EAST RIVERSIDE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.
(2) A gas main in MONTOPOLIS DRIVE, from East Riverside Drive northerly 346 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said MONTOPOLIS DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.
(3) A gas main in KASPER STREET, from Montopolis Drive westerly 922 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said KASPER STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

THE Southern Union Gas Company is hereby put upon notice that the City of Austin does not guarantee that the space assigned above is clear from other underground utilities, but is based upon the best records we have at hand, and that the minimum depth stated does not have any reference to the fact that greater depths may not be required at special points. When the Southern Union Gas Company requires definite information upon the ground as to elevations or working points from which to base the location of their assignments, they shall apply to the Department of Public Works not less than three (3) days before such information is required. The Southern Union Gas Company is further put upon notice that they will be required to bear the expense of repirs or replacement of any underground utility damaged during the construction of lines named in this resolution.

AND THAT whenever pavement is cut in the vicinity of a fire plug, water must be used at intervals during the course of backfilling of the ditches.

THAT the work and laying of said gas mains, including the excavation in the streets, and the restoration and maintenance of said streets after said mains have been laid, shall be under the supervision and direction of the City Manager and under all the pertinent terms and conditions of the certain franchise granted to said company by the City of Austin.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the property situated on the south side of East lst Street, as a private gasoline plant consisting of a 550 gallon tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment, and from which no gasoline is to be sold, which property is owned by Mr. J. A. Miller, and is Lot 4 , of the E. I. Deets et al Tract, of the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and hereby authorizes the said J. A. Miller to operate a private gasoline plant consisting of a 550 gallon tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment, and from which no gasoline is to be sold, subject to the same being operated in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto, and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the operation of this private gasoline plant after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution, and said permission shall be held to be granted, and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, traffic and fire regulations; and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said J. A. Miller has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.
(Recomendations attached)
"Austin, Texas
January 30, 1962
"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager
Austin, Texas
"Dear Sir:
"I, the undersigned, have considered the application of Mr. J. A. Miller, for permission to operate a private gasoline plant consisting of 550 gallon underground tank and one (I) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment and from which no gasoline is to be sold, upon property located on the south side of East lst Street, which property is designated as Lot 4, of the E. L. Deets et al Tract, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and locally known as 5005 East lst Street.
"This property is located in a "D" Industrial District and I recommend that this permit be granted subject to the following conditions:
"(1) That the gasoline tanks and pumps shall be of an approved type and shall bear the label of the Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., and that all tanks and pumps shall be installed in compliance with the Ordinance governing the storage and handling of gasoline.
"(2) That all tanks and pumps shall be located not nearer than 10 feet to the property line and so located that cars stopped for the purpose of unloading or receiving gasoline or other supplies shall not in any way obstruct the free
passage of traffic on either the sidewaik, street, or ailley.
"(3) That "NO SMOKING" signs shall at all times be prominently displayed and no person shall be permitted to smoke on the premises where gasoline is handled or stored.
"(4) That all fees shall be paid and a permit secured from the Building Inspector's Office before any installation work is started, and that no equipment shail be placed in operation until after final inspection and approval of same.
"Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption

## (RESOLUTION)

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITTY COUNCIL OF TYE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the property situated on the north side of East 4th Street as a private gasoline plant consisting of one 2,000 gallon tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment, and from which no gasoline is to be sold, which property is owned by Cabaniss-Brown Furniture Company, and is Lots 4, 5, \& 6, Block 41, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and hereby authorizes the said Cabaniss-Brown Furniture Company to operate a private gasoline plant consisting of one 2,000 gallon tank and one (1) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment, and from which no gasoline is to be sold, subject to the same being operated in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto, and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the operation of this private gasoline plant after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution, and said permission shall be held to be granted, and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, traffic and fire regulations; and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Cabaniss-Brown Furniture Company has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.
(Recommandations attached)

[^0]"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager
Austin, Texas
"Dear Sir:
"I, the undersigned, have considered the application of Cabaniss-Brown Furniture Company, by their agent, Dan $0^{\prime}$ Connell, Jr., for permission to operate a private gasoline plant consisting of one 2,000 gallon underground tank and one (I) electric pump for the sole purpose of servicing their own motor equipment and from which no gasoline is to be sold, upon property located on the north side of East 4th Street, which property is designated as Lots 4, 5, \& 6, Block 41, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and locally known as 212 East 4th Street.
"This property is located in a "C-2" Commercial District and I recommend that this permit be granted subject to the following conditions:
"(1) That the gasoline tanks and pumps shall be of an approved type and shall bear the label of the Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., and that all tanks and gumps shall be installed in compliance with the Ordinance goveming the storage and handling of gasoline.
"(2) That all tanks and pumps shall be located not nearer than 10 feet to the property line and so located that cars stopped for the purpose of unloading or receiving gasoline or other supplies shall not in any way obstruct the free passage of traffic on either the sidewaik, street, or alley.
"(3) That "NO SMOKING" signs shall at all times be prominently displayed and no person shall be permitted to smoke on the premises where gasoline is handled or stored.
"(4) That all fees shall be paid and a permit secured from the Building Inspector's Office before any installation work is started, and that no equipment shall be placed in operation until after final inspection and approval of same.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Armstrong, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmex
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
THAT the City Counciloof the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by W. L. Driggs and H. W. Driggs as
described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Tract lA on an unrecorded plot of the Phillips Ranch Property, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said W. L. Driggs and H. W. Driggs to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recormendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Mexas, in the enforcement of the proper police, firie and health regulations and the right of revocati on is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said W. L. Driggs and H. W. Driggs has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such donditions, regulations and ordinances.
(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 30, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas
"Dear Sir:
"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of W. L. Driggs and H. W. Driggs, owners of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Tract 1A an an unrecorded plot of the Phillips Ranch Property, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 30 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if W. L. Driggs and H. W. Driggs are granted their request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:
"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.
"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending out into or above Lake Austin.
"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.
"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a
state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at aill times.
"Respectfully submitted,
s/ Dick T. Jordan
Building Official"
The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection a boat dock on the property owned by Mr. George C. Riggin as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Lot 16, of the revised unrecorded plat of Manana, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said George C. Riggin to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of rerocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said George C. Riggin has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.
(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 30, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager Austin, Texas
"Dear Sir:
"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of Mr. George C. Riggin, owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being Iot 36 , of the revised unrecorded plat of Manana, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 30 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if George C. Riggin is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:
"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no strucutre shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner of applicant.
"(2) That no business, such as a reataurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sole of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending out into or above Lake Austin.
"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.
"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

> "Respectfully submitted, s/ Dick T. Jordan Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by George E. Clark, Jr. as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as $189.35 \times 130 \mathrm{ft}$ approx. and adj. $25 \times 189.35$ ft of vacated Matthews Drive and 20 ft strip to lake D. J. Gilbert Survey on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said George E. Clark, Jr., to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said George E. Clark, Jr. has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.
(Recommendations attached)

[^1]"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas
"Dear Sir:
"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of George E. Clark Jr., owner of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being 189.35xl30 ft approx. and adj. $25 \times 189.35 \mathrm{ft}$. of vacated Matthews Drive and 20 ft strip to lake D. J. Gilbert Survey, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 42 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recomend that if George E. Clakk, Jr. is granted his request by the City Council that it be subject to the following conditions:
"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.
"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.
"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.
"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at a.ll times.
"Respectfully submitted,
s/ Dick T. Jordan
Building Official"
The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF IHE CITY OF AUSTIN:
THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property leased by Mr. James M. Childers as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as a ttract of ground located oppositè the City Park on Lake Austin, and locally known as Charlie's Place, on the shore of Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said James M. Childers to construct,
maintain and operate this boat dock subject to tame being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recomendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said James M. Childers has failed and refused, and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

## (Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas January 31, 1962

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas
"Dear Sir:
"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of Mr. James M. Childers, lessee of property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road, the same being a tract of ground located opposite the City Park on Lake Austin, and locally known as Charlie's Place, as recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 30 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if James M. Childers is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:
"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property. line of the owner or applicant.
"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise fov the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.
"(3). That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.
"(4) That all structures extending out into the lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.
"Respectfully submitted,
s/ Dick T. Jordan
Building official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Armstrong moved that the following requests for retaining walls be approved:

BURCH BIGGERSTAFF - Lots 11 and 12, Rio Vista Addition
GEORGE C. RIGGIN - Tract 16, Manana
JOHN C. HORTON - Iot 16, Lakeshore
The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilmen Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, by instrument dated August 28, 1961 of record in Volume 2363, pages 273-275 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, a sanitary sewer easement ten $(10,00)$ feet in width was granted, same being out of and a part of that certain tract of land out of the John Applegait Headright League Survey in Travis County, Pexas which was conveyed to Bill Patton by warranty deed dated August 13, 1935 of record in Volume 526 at page 184 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owner of said premises has heretofore granted anceasement at a more desirable location and has requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the above described easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described easement is not now being used and will not be needed in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY RHE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described sanitary sewer easement, to-wit:

Tho (2) strips of land, each of the said two strips of land being ten (10.00) feet in width, each being out of and a part of that certain tract of land out of the John Applegait Headright Ieague Survey in Travis County, Texas, which was conveyed to Bill Patton by Warranty Deed dated August 13, 1935 of record in Volume 526 at page 184 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; the centerline of each of the said two (2) strips of land ten ( 10.00 ) feet in width being more particularly described as follows:

NO. 1 BEGINNING at a point in the south line of the aforesaid Bill Patton tract of land, said point being in the north right-of-way line of U.S. Highway No. 290, and from which point of beginning the most westerly comer of sidid Bill Patton tract of land bears $570^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ W 972.41 feet;

THENCE, $N 39^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 491.99$ feet to a point;
THENCE, N $3^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 203.92$ feet to point of termination in the northwest line of said Bill Patton tract of land and from which point of termination the north corner of said Bill Patton tract of land bears N $32^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ E 1035.64 feet;

NO. 2 BEGINNING at a point in the northwest line of said Bill Patton tract of land and from which point of beginning the north corner of said Bill Patton tract of land bears $\mathbb{N} 32^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ E 818.60 feet;

THENCE, N $75^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ E 360.39 feet to a point;
THENCE, N $53^{\circ} 21$ : E 292.73 feet to a point;
THENCE, $N 20^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 400.48$ feet to point of termination in the northeast line of said Bill Patton tract of land and from which point of termination the most easterly corner of said Bill Patton tract of land bears $\mathrm{S} 57^{\circ} 20^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ 1614.54 feet.

AND in addition thereto a temporary working space easement ten (10.00) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to the east and west lines of the above described easement.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement for public utility and drainageway purposes was granted the City of Austin in, upon and across all of the East five (5.00) feet of Lot 2, Block "I", Highland Park West, said Highland Park West being a subdivision of portions of the Daniel J. Gilbert Survey No. 8, the C. J. Strother Survey No. 611 and the Albert Silsbee Survey No. 1, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Highland Park West of record in Volume 4 at page 299 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owner of the above described property has requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release such easement for public utility and drainageway purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that said easement in, upon and across the above described property is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby aut horized to execute a release of the following described public utility and drainage easement, to-wit:

Being all of the East five (5.00) feet of Lot 2, Block "I", Highland Park West, said Highland Park West being a subdivision of portions of the Daniel J. Gilbert Survey No. 8, the C. J. Strother Survey No. 611 and the Albert Silsbee Survey No. 1, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Highland Park West of record in Volume 4 at page 299 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Hexas; which east five (5.00) feet of Lot 2, Block "I", Highland Park West, is to be released from the public utility and drainage easement provided by said map or plat of Highland Park West.

> The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
> Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, by instrument dated July 1, 1942 of record in Volume 700 at pages 61-62 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, a sanitary sewer easement was granted, said easement being out of and a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to J. T. Flow by deed dated September 24, 1928 of record in Volume 429 at page 290 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owner of said premises has heretofore granted an easement at a more desirable location, and has requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the above described sanitary sewer easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that said easement in, upon and across the above described property is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a release of the following described sanitary sewer easement, to-wit:

Being all of that certain sanitary sewer easement granted to the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas and described in an instrument dated Juiy l, 1942 of record in Volume 700 at pages 61-62 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, same being out of and a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to J. T. Flow by deed dated September 24, 1928 of record in Volume 429 at page 290 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which said sanitary sewer easement
to be released is of record in Volume 700 at pages 61-62 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Perry, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager be authorized to permit the Finance Director to advance $\$ 980.00$ to Water District No. 13. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager read a report from DR. C. P. BONER, Consultant in Acoustics, and a letter addressed to MR. FRANCIS VICKERS, Manager, Municipal Auditorium, dated l-27-62, as follows:

MUNICIPAL AUDITORIUM<br>Austin, Texas<br>Acoustics

"This report outlines the present characteristics of the stage reenforcing system as of January 24, 1962. This date is the completion date of the equalizing work on this system accomplished through Southwest Radio and Sound Equipment Company of San Antonio, L. E. Lindsey, President.
"After the equalizing was completed, the acoustic level substantially throughout the seating area with the movable rear wall at its usual theatre position is uniform with respect to frequency over the musical spectrum of interest within plus or minus two decibels. All seats have quite sufficient sound for good hearing. Particular attention was paid to this large balcony. Its seats are acoustically among the best seats in the house.
"The over-all quality of the amplified sound in this auditorium is at least as good as the best broadcast studios and sound stages. It is superior to most television studios. The uniformity of response was obtained by extensive equalization of the system to make as perfect a match of equipment to room as is practically feasible.
"With three to five microphones at the footlights and with three suspended microphones, the amount of reenforcement from the stage is relatively independent of the location of the performer, as it should be. With the upholstered seats in place, but with no audience present, the reenforcement produced is 14 decibels. With a person speaking from a speaker's desk, the reenforcement can be as high as 26 decibels. It may be borne in mind that, for stage plays, the usual acceptable standard of reenforcement is 5 to 8 decibels. With the equalization employed in the Southwest Radio and Sound modifications of the system, there is rather complete naturalness of the amplified stage speech, without boominess or screeching.
"As the house fills with audience, the performance of both sound system and room will improve still further. It should be noted that until it is found
possible to improve the acoustics of the extensive exhibition area between the rear of the seating area and the large glass windows, care must be taken to keep the movable rear wall in position to cut off the very reverbrant exhibition area.
"It may be noted that, prior to this equalizing and remodeling program, the system provided only about 3 or 4 decibels of reenforcement for broad-stage pickup.
"It may be further noted that if it is ultimately found possible to carry out additional improvement measures in the auditorium itself, hearing in the room will become still better.
"Particular care has been taken during this process to preserve and enhance the bass performance of the sound system. Phonograph records sound quite impressive over the present system. This has been done without, in the least, making voice reenforcement sound bassy, as is frequently the case.
"For any desired pick-up of massed voices, orchestral music, or other program material where the people are well distributed over the stage, the equalization is such that no important singling out of individual voices or instruments should be apparent. Probably, only one suspended microphone will suffice for this type of pick-up. Ordinarily, of course, symphony does not use a stage reenforcing system.
"This improvement of the sound system has been done with the very minimum of alterations to the basic room itself. If, in the future, a gradual improvement program for the room is found to be economically feasible, it may be expected that the performance of the sound system will well exceed that which the first audience will hear after today.
"C. P. Boner
January 25, 1962 Consultant in Acoustics"
"January 27, 1962
"Mr. Francis Vickers, Manager Municipal Auditorium of Austin Austin, Texas
"Dear Mr. Vickers:
"May I thank you and the many employees of the City of Austin for all your fine work in connection with the recent improvements in the acoustics of the Auditorimm Although, in fact, only a modest start has been made, I feel that this start will pay real dividends to our city.
"I see much larger visions of what this fine plant can do for Austin in the future, if only a continuing improvement program can be carried on. American cities are always short on convention facilities of real quality. As Fort Worth has discovered, the construction of one fine convention center can do great things for the economy of a city.
"Looking toward this end, I would like to think of a continuing improvement for your entire plant along the following general lines:

> "1. The Auditorium Itself.
"As I have discussed with you, any large auditorium can always be made better and
better. You have started with a rather good room, which has certain shortcomings as do most all rooms. Step by step, we should look toward making each element of this room as good as the state of the art will permit. These steps should be taken in close cooperation with your office, the various engineering and other offices of the City of Austin, the Austin Symphony Society and the Symphony Director, the Broadway Theatre League of Austin, the Architects, and others. It should be a joint project in every way.
"Among the improvements I have in mind would be the following:
"Elimination, insofar as feasible, of the around-the-room delayed echoes that always plague a large room. This would be done so as to preserve the optimm reverberation of the room for certain types of music.
"Reduction of concentration of sound in the pit area and in some stage areas. This concentration, always troublesome, impedes proper conducting of symphonic music from the podium. It hinders proper balance and definition of the orchiestra. It bothers the stage reenforcing system. It does varidaus other things, all bad.
"Design and installation of the proper orchestra shell. One cannot have good symphonic music without either a room specifically designed, stage-wise, for it or the proper shell. Any large stage which can accomodate stage plays is, authmatically, quite antagonistic to symphony. The shell is intended to do away with this difficulty. Partial sets, such as what is now being used, are of no avail.
"Reduction of "bull notes" in the room. These notes are ones which sound out with unusual vigor. They thoroughly disrupt both music and speech.
"Reduction of flutter (repeated echoes). All large rooms, and most small rooms, have flutter. It is always disrupting to audience and to performers.
"Increasing the "presence" of the room by suitable ceiling and wall elements. Intermational surveys of public opinion of many auditoriums have shown that presence is more important in good acoustics in such rooms than is reverberation. Likewise, resonance is more important than reverberation. We should look into that factor also.
"2. The outer banquet and dance area adjacent to the large glass windows looking out on the lake.
"For this area I envision a magnificent room which would be of the utmost value to the City of Austin. As it stands, it is not very good acoustically. It can be made into a good room by meticulous attention to detailed changes. When these have been made a high-quality sound system, such as I laid out for Hotel Texas in Ft. Worth, would make this room into a very impressive spot for large functions.
"The same steps which would convert this outer area into a good room would also improve the auditorium acoustics still further.
"I came to Austin as a resident in 1916. My son, Donald, is an employee of the Austin Public Iibrary. Charles, another son, is a member of Austin Symphony and a University student. Richard, the third son, is in Austin High School and also a French Horn player. Mrs. Boner is a librarian in the University Law School.
"All of us feel a keen interest in Austin and in the possibilities of the new Auditorium and Center.
"If the City of Austin should find it possible to carry out an acoustical improvement program of the general type outlined above, and if it is feasible to carry out the work item by item in accordance with the results of our studies and recommendations, then we will contribute our services in technically steering the program throughout its progress. There will be no professional fee involved.

> "Sincerely yours, C. P. Boner"

The City Manager stated throughout Dr. Boner had made a number of observations of additional improvements that could be made, and that they could be done on a piece meal basis, step at a time, and as money could be made available; also that he would serve as Technical Advisor without any cost to the City. The Mayor stated the offer to serve as Consultant without cost should be acknowledged and accepted, and that he should be told the Council would like to meet with him periodically. The City Manager stated he would get an estimate on the over-all costs, and the step-by-step amounts.

The City Manager stated the Building Inspector had a request for permission to do some remodeling of an old residence on 27 Bierce Street, and this was within the $500^{\prime}$ of the lake shore, which area is to have special consideration by the Council on building permits. He said this had been released by the Chairman of the Lake Development Committee, Mr. Barrow. Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to have a building permit issued for this remodeling at 27 Bierce Street. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shenks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Assistant City Manager made a report on MR. JAMES STARR, promotor for wrestling at the Colisem, and gave his background. Mr. Starr will employ an Austin man as manager, and he wants the Coliseum on Tuesday nights for thirteen weeks. He stated Mr. Vickers, Manager of the Coliseum, recommended that this offer be accepted, and that he be required to pay three weeks in advance. After discussion, Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to enter into a contract with Mr. Starr in accordance with Mr. Vicker's recommendation. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmex
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTITIN)

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has paid the bonds amounting to $\$ 20,405,500.00$ together with matured interest coupons on its bonded debt in the aggregate amount of $\$ 11,622,190.79$, during the years 1952, 1953, 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960 and 1961, as follows:








| DATE | DESCRIPTIONS | BONDS |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | INIEREST | SERIES | NUMBER |  | INMEREST |  |
| ISSUED |  | RATE | NUMBER | BOTH INCL. | AMOUNT | COUPONS |  |
| 3-1-52 | Street Improvements | $23 / 4 \%$ | 197A | 1-45. | \$ 45,000.00 | \$ 3,712.50 | \$48,712.50 |
| 3-1-52 | Street Improvements | 1 3/4\% | 197B |  |  | 17,237.50 | 17,237.50 |
| 3-1-52 | Water Plant \& System | $23 / 4 \%$ | 198A | 1-63 | 63,000.00 | 5,197.50 | 68,197.50 |
| 3-1-52 | Water Plant \& System | $13 / 4 \%$ | 198B |  |  | 24,604.80 | 24,604.80 |
| 12-1-52 | Public Free Schools | 3 \% | 199A |  |  | 5,600.00 | 5,600.00 |
| 12-1-52 | Public Free Schools | 2 \% | 199B |  |  | 10,152.90 | 10,152.90 |
| 12-1-52 | Public Free Schools | 2.1/4\% | 199 C |  |  | 10,490.87 | 10,490.87 |
|  | TOTAL BOND \& INTEREST CASH ACCOUNT P-3 |  |  |  | \$308,000.00 | \$172,663.11 | \$480,663.11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3216-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \\ & 3-16-53 \end{aligned}$ | Public Free Library | $3 \%$ | 200A |  | \$ | \$ 245.00 | \$ 245.00 |
|  | Public Free Library | $21 / 2 \%$ | 200B |  |  | 233.28 | - 233.28 |
|  | Public Free Library | $23 / 4 \%$ | 200 C |  |  | 320.80 | 320.80 |
|  | Parks, Playgrounds \& Recreation | 3 \% | 201A |  |  | 490.00 | 490.00 |
|  | Parks, Playgrounds \& Recreation | $21 / 2 \%$ | 2018 |  |  | 466.56 | 466.56 |
|  | Parks, Playgrounds \& kecreation | 2.3/4\% | 201 C |  |  | 641.60 | 641.60 |
|  | Public Free Schools | 3 \% | 202A |  |  | 4,900.00 | 4,900.00 |
|  | Public Free Schools | $21 / 2 \%$ | 202B |  |  | 4,483.35 | 4,483.35 |
|  | Public Free Schools | $23 / 4 \%$ | 202 C |  |  | 6,215.50 | 6,215.50 |
|  | Sanitary Sewerage System | $3 \%$ | 203A |  |  | 2,082.50 | 2,082.50 |
|  | Sanitary Sewerage System | $21 / 2 \%$ | 203B |  |  | 1,909.98 | 1,909.98 |
|  | Sanitary Sewerage System | $23 / 4 \%$ | 203 C |  |  | 2,686.70 | 2,686.70 |
|  | Street Improvements | $3 \%$ | 204 A |  |  | 3,185.00 | 3,185.00 |
|  | Street Improvements | $21 / 2 \%$ | 204B |  |  | 2,886.84 | 2,886.84 |
|  | Street Improvements | $23 / 4 \%$ | 204 C |  |  | 4,130.30 | 4,130.30 |
|  | Water Plant \& System | 3 \% | 205A |  |  | 2,642.50 | 2,642.50 |
|  | Water Plant \& System | $2172 \%$ | 205B |  |  | 2,449.44 | 2,449.44 |
|  | Water Plant \& System $23 / 4 \%$ TOTAL BOND \& INIEREST CASB ACCOUNT P-4 |  | 205C |  |  | 3,368.40 | 3,368.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | \$ 43,337.75 | \$43,337.75 |
|  | TOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS |  |  |  | ,085,500.00 | \$579,124.37 | \$2,664,624.37 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 搨 | 完宗 $\dot{0} \dot{\alpha}$ <br>  oos <br>  <br>  <br>  pos <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







| DATE ISSUED | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { RAEE } \end{aligned}$ | SERIES NOMBER | NUMBER <br> BOTH INCL | BONDS AMOUNT | INIEREST COUPONS | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-1-54 | Sanitary Sewer | $21 / 2 \%$ | 212 B |  | \$ | \$ 4,667.20 | \$ 4,667.20 |
| 5-1-54 | Sanitary Sewer | 1 \% | 212 C |  |  | 700.20 | 700.20 |
| 5-1-54 | Street Improvements | 3 \% | 213A | 1-20 | 20,000.00 | 10,675.00 | 30,675.00 |
| 5-1-54 | Street Improvements | $21 / 2 \%$ | 213 B |  |  | 4,667.20 | 4,667.20 |
| 5-1-54 | Street Improvements | 1 \% | 213 C |  |  | 700.20 | 700.20 |
|  | TOTAL BOND \& INTEREST CASH ACCOUNT P-5 |  |  |  | \$ 135,000.00 \$ 148,875.33 |  | \$ 283,875.33 |
| 11-16-54 | Hospital Improvements |  | 214 A |  | \$ | 375.00 | 375.00 |
| 11-16-54 | Hospital Improvements | 2 \% | 214B |  |  | 687.50 | 687.50 |
| 11-16-54 | Hospital Improvements | $21 / 4 \%$ | 214 C |  |  | 773.30 | 773.30 |
| 11-16-54 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | 3 \% | 215 A |  |  | 150.00 | 150.00 |
| 11-16-54 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | 2 \% | 215 B |  |  | 500.00 | 500.00 |
| 11-16-54 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | $21 / 4 \%$ | 215 C |  |  | 421.80 | 421.80 |
| 11-16-54 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | $3 \%$ | 216A |  |  | 225.00 | 225.00 |
| 11-16-54 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | 2 \% | 216B |  |  | 937.50 | 937.50 |
| 11-16-54 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | $21 / 4 \%$ | 216 C |  |  | 984.20 | 984.20 |
| 11-16-54 | Public Free Schools | 3 \% | 217 A |  |  | 2,531.25 | 2,531.25 |
| 11-16-54 | Public Free Schools | 2 \% | 217 B |  |  | 6,062.50 | 6,062.50 |
| 12-16-54 | Public Free Schools | 1 \% | 217 C |  |  | 4,147.70 | 4,147.70 |
|  | TOTAL BOND \& INTEREST CASH | CoUNT P-6 |  |  |  | \$ 17,795.75 | \$ 17,795.75 |
|  | TOTAL GENERAL OBLICATION BONDS |  |  |  | \$1,547,500.00 \$ 799\%,637.33 |  | \$2,346,137.33 |
| 4-1-54 | Elect. Water \& Sewer Revenue |  |  | 1-1230 | \$1,130,000.00 |  | \$1,200,950.00 |
| 4-1-54 | Elect. Water \& Sewer Revenue | $11 / 4 \%$ |  |  |  | $18,250.00$ | 18,250.00 |
| 4-1-54 | Elect. Water \& Sewer Revenue |  |  |  |  |  | 110,625.00 |
| 4-1-54 | Elect. Water \& Sewer Revenue | 2 \% |  |  |  | 46,900.00 | 46,900.00 |
| 4-1-54 | Elect. Water \& Sewer Revenue | $23 / 8 \%$ |  |  |  | 13,893.75 | 13,893.75 |
| 4-1-54 | Elesct. Water \& Sewer Revenue | $21 / 8 \%$ |  |  |  | 62,156.25 | 62,156.25 |
|  | TOTAL REVENUE BONDS |  |  |  | \$1,130,000.00 | \$ 322,775.00 | \$973452,775.00 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL |  |  |  | \$2,677,500.00 | 1,121,412.33 | \$3,798,912.33 |














| DATE |  | BONDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | INTEREST | SERIES | NUMBER |  | INTPEREST |  |
| ISSUED | DESCRIPTION | RATE | NUMBER? | BOTH INCL. | - AMOUNT | COUPONS | TOTAL |
| 10-1-48 | Highway Rights-of-Way | $21 / 4 \%$ | 176 B | 88-99 | \$ 12,000.00 | \$ 3,262.50 | \$ 15,262.50 |
| 10-1-48 | Highway Rights-of-Way | 2 1/2\% | 176 C |  |  | 2,025.00 | 2,025.00 |
| 10-1-48 | Highway Rights-of-Way | $21 / 4 \%$ | 176 D |  |  | 393.75 | 393.75 |
| 10-1-48 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | $21 / 4 \%$ | 177B | 26-29 | 4,000.00 | 1,057.50 | 5,057.50 |
| 10-1-48 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | 2-1/2\% | 277 C |  |  | 625.00 | 625.00 |
| 1021-48 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | $21 / 4 \%$ | 177D |  |  | 112.50 | 1.12 .50 |
| 10-1-48 | Street Improvements | $21 / 4 \%$ | 178 B | 26-29 | 4,000.00 | 1,080.00 | 5,080.00 |
| 10-1-48 | Street Improvements | $21 / 2 \%$ | 178C |  |  | 650.00 | 650.00 |
| 10-1-48 | Street Improvements | $21 / 4 \%$ | 178D |  |  | 135.00 | 135.00 |
| 10-1-48 | Water Plant \& System | $21 / 4 \%$ | 179B | 165-187 | 23,000.00 | 6,221.25 | 29,221.25 |
| 10-1-48 | Water Plant \& System | $21 / 2 \%$ | 179C |  |  | 3,925.00 | 3,925.00 |
| 10-1-48 | Water Plant \& System | $21 / 4 \%$ | 179D |  |  | 742.50 | 742.50 |
| 6-1-49 | Airport Hangers \& Improvements | $21 / 4 \%$ | 180B | 17-18 | 2,000.00 | 270.00 | 2,270.00 |
| 6-1-49 | Airport Hangars \& Improvements | 2 \% | 180C |  |  | 440.00 | 440.00 |
| 10-1-49 | Police \& Courts Building | 2 \% | 182B | 41-46 | 6,000.00 | 1,640.00 | 7,640.00 |
| 10-1-49 | Police \& Courts Building | $13 / 4 \%$ | 182C |  |  | 918.75 | 918.75 |
| 4-1-50 | Hospital Improvements | I 3/4\% | 184 | 41-50 | 10,000.00 | 12,337.50 | 22,337.50 |
| 421-50 | Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. | 1. 3/4\% | 185 | 15-16 | 2,000.00 | 630.00 | 2,630.00 |
| 10-1-50 | Fire Stations | $11 / 2 \%$ | 187 A | 40-46 | 7,000.00 | 1,432.50 | 8,432.50 |
| 10-1-50 | Fire Stations | $13 / 4 \%$ | 187B |  |  | 1,190.00 | 1,190.00 |
| 10-1-50 | Police \& Courts Building | $11 / 2 \%$ | 188A | 35-40 | 6,000.00 | 1,095.00 | 7,095.00 |
| 10-1-50 | Police \& Courts Building | $13 / 4 \%$ | 188B |  |  | 1,015.00 | 1,015.00 |
|  | TOTAL BOND \& INTEREST CASH AC | OUNT $\mathrm{P}-2$ |  |  | \$235,000.00 | \$ 99,791.25 | \$ $334,791.25$ |
| 8-1-51 | Sanitary Sewerage System | $3 \%$ | 191A | 101-120 | \$ 20,000.00 | \$ 900.00 | \$ 20,900.00 |
| 8-1.-51. | Sanitary Sewerage System | $13 / 4 \%$ | 191B |  |  | 6,230.00 | 6,230.00 |
| 8-1-51 | Street Improvements | $3 \%$ | 192A | 111.-132 | 22,000.00 | 990.00 | 22,990.00 |
| 8-1-51 | Street Improvements | $1.3 / 4 \%$ | 192B |  |  | 6,930.00 | 6,930.00 |
| 8-1-51 | Water Plant \& System | 3 \% | 193A | $71-84$ | 14,000.00 | 630.00 | 14,630:00 |
| 8-1-51 | Water Plant \& System | 1. 3/4\% | 193 B |  |  | 4,331.25 | 4,331.25 |
| 3-1-52 | Fire Stations | 1. $3 / 4 \%$ | 194 B | 21-24 | 4,000.00 | 1,330.00 | 5,330.00 |
| 3-1-52 | Sanitary Sewerage System | I 3/4\% | 195B | 101-120 | 20,000.00 | 7,061.25 | 27,061.25 |







\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{DATE} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DESCRIPTION} \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{BONDS} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{TOTAL} <br>
\hline \& \& INTIEREST \& SERIES \& NUMBER \& \& INIEREST \& <br>
\hline ISSUED \& \& RATE \& NUMBER \& BOTH INCL. \& AMOUNT \& COUPONS \& <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Airport \& $3 \%$ \& 206A \& 41-50 \$ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10,000.00} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\$ \quad 4,050.00$

$3,100.00$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$14,050.00$
$3,100.00$} <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Airport \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 206B \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Airport \& 1 \% \& 206c \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{21-25} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5,000.00} \& 510.00 \& 510.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Auditorium \& $3 \%$ \& 207A \& \& \& 1,470.00 \& 6,470.00 <br>

\hline 5-1-54 \& Auditorium \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 207B \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
21-25 \\
181-225
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \& 775.00 \& 775.00 <br>

\hline 5-1-54 \& Fire Stations \& $3 \%$ \& 208A \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
5,000.00 \\
45,000.00
\end{array}
$$} \& 480.00 \& 5,480.00 <br>

\hline 5-1-54 \& Hospital \& $3 \%$ \& 209A \& \& \& 15,150.00 \& 60,150.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Hospital \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 209B \& \& \& 8,025.00 \& 8,025.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Hospital \& 1 \% \& 209 C \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{41-50} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10,000.00} \& 1,450.00 \& 1,450.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& $3 \%$ \& 210A \& \& \& 3,000.00 \& 13,000.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 210 B \& \& \& 1,987.50 \& 1,987.50 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& 1 \% \& 210 C \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{81-100} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{20,000.00} \& 230.00 \& 230.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Sanitary Sewer \& 3 \% \& 212A \& \& \& 5,835.00 \& 25,835.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Sanitary Sewer \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 212 B \& \& \& 4,000.00 \& 4,000.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Sanitary Sewer \& $1 \%$ \& 212C \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{81-100} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{20,000.00} \& 600.00 \& 600.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Street Improvements \& 3 \% \& 213A \& \& \& 6,750.00 \& 26,750.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Street Improvements \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 2138 \& \& \& 4,000.00 \& 4,000.00 <br>
\hline 5-1-54 \& Street Improvements \& 1 \% \& 213 C \& \& \& 600.00 \& 600.00 <br>
\hline 10-1-58 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& 4 \& 228 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \& 4,500.00 \& 4,500.00 <br>
\hline 10-1-58 \& Various Purpose \& 4 \% \& 229 \& \& \& 33,000.00 \& 33,000.00 <br>
\hline 10-1-58 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Low Water Dan 3 \% TOTAL BOND \& INTEREST CASH ACCOUNT P-5}} \& 230 \& 1-25 \& 25,000.00 \& 27,832.50 \& 52,832.50 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{240,000.00} \& \$. 127,345.00 \& \$ 267,345.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Hospital Inprovements \& $3 \%$ \& 214A \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15-20} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5,000.00} \& 75.00 \& 5,075.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Hospital Improvements \& 2 \% \& 214B \& \& \& 1,300.00 \& 1,300.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Hospital Improvements \& $21 / 4 \%$ \& 214 C \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{7-8} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2,000.00} \& 1,350.00 \& 1,350.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Parks, \& Playgrounds \& Rec. \& 3 \% \& 215 A \& \& \& 30.00 \& 2,030.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Parks, \& Playgrounds \& Rec. \& 2 \% \& 215B \& \& \& 940.00 \& 940.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Parks, e Playgrounds \& Rec. \& $21 / 4 \%$ \& 2156 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10-12} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3,000.00} \& 843.75 \& 843.75 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& 3 \% \& 216A \& \& \& 45.00 \& 3,045.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& 2 \% \& 216 B \& \& \& 1,760.00 \& 1,760.00 <br>
\hline 11-16-54 \& Parks, Playgrounds \& Rec. \& $21 / 4 \%$ \& 216 C \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{21-30} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10,000.00} \& 1,687.50 \& 1,687.50 <br>
\hline 4-1-56 \& Fire Stations \& $23 / 4 \%$ \& 218A \& \& \& 1,100.00 \& 11,100.00 <br>
\hline 4-1-56 \& Fire Stations \& $21 / 2 \%$ \& 218 B \& \& \& 2,000.00 \& 2,000.00 <br>
\hline 4-1-56 \& Fire Stations \& $23 / 4 \%$ \& 218 C \& \& \& 2,337.50 \& 2,337.50 <br>
\hline 4-1-56 \& Hospital Improvements \& 23/4\% \& 219A \& 11-15 \& 5,000.00 \& 550.00 \& 5,550.00 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}




|  |  <br>  No N－ <br>  $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |
| 会 |  |
|  |  |
|  | 太 <br>  |
|  |  नलेलेनतन <br>  |
|  |  |
| 閂葡 |  <br>  <br>  |


|  |  |  <br>  Hत Hmmま <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> $\stackrel{7}{8}$ $\square$ <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  ódobo doblo <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |











WHEREAS, such payments of bonds and interest coupons have been duly recorded on its bond registers and books of account, and verified by the examination and audit of certified public accountants; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That the City Manager be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to destroy or cause to be destroyed by cremation at a suitable location in the City of Austin, before witnesses, all such bonds and interest coupons having been fully recorded and verified as above stated.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that following the cremation of said bonds and interest coupons, the City Manager shall endorse upon the back of this resolution the following certificates:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS
CITY OF AUSTIN

This is to certiby that I have, on this day of 1962, as directed by the foregoing resolution passed by the City Councill of the City of Austin, Texas, and in the presence of the undersigned attesting witnesses caused the foregoing numbered and otherwise described cancelled bonds to be destroyed by cremation, together with sundry cancelled coupons above described paid by the City of Austin, Texas on its bonded debt.

> City Manager
> City of Austin.

## WITNESSES:

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilmen Perry and White, the Finance Director and the City Manager were assigned to watch this cremation.

The City Manager stated members of the Council had received a cormunication from residents in the Bull Creek Road and Woodview Avenue area between White Rock Drive and White Horse Trail regarding a drainage problem. The Director of Public Works made a report in that the property owners in this area had beautified this particular area; and part of it had to be excavated. He described the work to be done stating it would be shaped up, and there would be a clean ditch up to White Horse Trail. The property owners are afraid their property is being ruined, but the Director of Public Works stated the crews were working in a 20' easement, and it will aill be worked out nicely, and the grass will grow back. He stated he would be glad to meet with the people and explain the plans. The Mayor asked that he do that; and if the group was not happy about it, the Council would also be glad to meet with them.

The City Manager stated the Director of Public Works had some specifications on aspheit and emulsion ready to submit for bids. The Director of Public Works explained the specifications as rewritten, in that he was calling for a certain temperature of emulsion, and the specifications in all three grades meet the Highway specifications. The Mayor stated the Council authorized the City Manager to take bids on this asphalt.

The City Manager stated the Council authorized last week the advertisement for bids on purchase of garbage truck beds, on the conversion of 12 yard beds to 16 yard capacity; and it is understood now that one company cannot rebuild that unit but would make an allowance on trade in of the old Pak-mores on new units. The Director of Public Works stated the maintenance costs have run much higher on this company's equipment than those on the Pak-more. The Council discussed the specifications. The Director of Public Works stated he could add in the specifications, "alternate bids on new equipment with trade-in a.llowance will be considered." The Council informally agreed to advertise for these bids as amended.

The Director of Planning brought up for discussion a matter that might mean amending the Development Plan, as pertained to an area in South Austin between the Interregional Highway and South Congress Avenue south of Ben White Boulevard. He described the area which has been set aside as industrial on the Plan, and there is a request for a residential subdiviston in the midale. Discussion of the uses in the area, and the possible flooding of some of the property was held. The Council wanted to make a personal inspection of the property.

Councilman Perry asked that the City Manager make a study and give a report on the cost and advisability of voting machines. The City Manager stated he would contact the County officials, Democratic and Republican parties and see if he could get participation.

The Director of Public Works announced that bids for adaitional drainage work in Govalle had been advertised, and the plans were on the table in the Mayor's Conference Room.

The Assistant City Attormey discussed a request of rezoning the property at 1802 West Avenue (Bermuda House) (Application filed in name of JOHN R. KUCHAR previously). The Mayor stated it had been agreed that not over 50 units would be constructed, and this should be filed of record.

Councilman White moved that the Council award a contract to WALITER ITPS for nine network transformers at $\$ 6,640.00$ each, to be scheduled as needed, and not to be paid for until they are received. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None

Mayor Paimer introduced the following ordinance:

> AN ORDINANCE APPOINTING ELECTTON JUDGES AND CLERKS TO SERVE AT THE VARIOUS POLIING PLACES TN THE CITY OF AUSTIN AT THE SPECIAL EIECTION TO BE BEID IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN ON FEBRUARY 1OTH, 1962; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFITCT HEREWITME AND DECIARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Perry moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Perry moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Perry moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Amstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor anoounced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

There being no further business, Councilman Perry moved that the Council

Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Armstrong, Perry, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 7:30 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.


ATTEST:



[^0]:    "Austin, Texas January 31, 1962

[^1]:    "Austin, Texas
    January 31, 1962

