# MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS <br> Regular Meeting <br> December 3, 1964 10:00 A.M. <br> Council Chamber, City Hall 

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.
Roll call:
Present: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Absent: None
Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND LEE FREEEMAN, University Baptist Church

MRS. MCQUEEN announced a group of social agencies had formed a Community Christmas Bureau as a clearing house for baskets of food for needy families. Names of the needy will be supplied organizations and interested people, and checks will be made that there are no duplications. She asked that publicity be given, so that those wishing to share with such families would call the Bureau for names. The headquarters will be 1410 Lavaca, and will be staffed by volunteers. She asked an endorsement from the City Council, since this was a new project. Mayor Palmer commended the Cormmity Council on this endeavor, and believed everyone would cooperate in this. Councilman Iong moved that the Council commend the Community Council and the Bureau for their efforts, and that people be urged to cooperate and share their good fortune and good things that have been provided them through the year with those who do not have them. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

In connection with inviting bids of the Coliseum Barn, the City Manager reported that a group interested in the Iivestock Show in Austin had made known its desires for enlarging the Coliseum Barn and filed a sketch of such proposal. Funds were provided in the Budget for this purpose. The group will need a completed structure sometime in February and timing now is essential. Their sketch was referred to the City's Engineers who approved it substantially as it was drawn and the construction engineer submitted a set of specifications. The City Manager recommended, due to timing, and since it was known who was engaged in this type of construction, that they be contacted and asked to bid the job without the formal advertising, calling for bids at the earliest possible date. The

City Manager showed the plans of the Coliseum Barn, stating there were no changes in their suggestions. The proposal is to enlarge the present barn to three times its present size, by adding $131^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ 192' extending to the west. The structure will be substantially the same as the present one. The building without plumbing, wiring, etc., is estimated around \$25,000; but with the plumbing, wiring, etc., it would be around $\$ 31,000$, which would be over the budgeted estimate. Councilman Iong observed this was planned to be more or less a temporary structure; and when and if bonds and land are obtained to build a large coliseum of this nature that the old Coliseum would be removed from that area, and this is more or less on a short term in the life of a city facility. The City Manager stated this construction was of a temporary type, and was not what would be expected to be built for a 100 year basis. At the time the present Coliseum was placed there, it was thought that was a temporary arrangement. A new Coliseum is not in the next 5 year progrom; perhaps not in the next 10 year period. The Mayor asked if it were the intent if and when a new Coliseum were built that this one would be removed, stating it would not necessarily have to be removed. This facility is one of the busiest areas the City had, and it could still be used for a good purpose. Councilman LaRue pointed out the Coliseum is used for a multiple number of purposes, including the Shrine Circus, Scouts and many other activities A representative from the Iivestock Show Committee stated that this show brings people in from the whole trade area, and this develops a fine relationship with those that are $90 \%$ dependent on livestock. Mayor Palmer discussed the expansion in line with the Aqua Festival, and asked that construction be such that space for floats be available, as well as entrance ways be designed to accomodate the floats. Mr. Rex Kitchens replied two doors $14^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ had been provided for this purpose. The City Manager, in line with his recommendation, suggested an alternate on the roof structure which was designed for $16^{\circ}$ bays between columns. The alternate would be to provide bar joists which would double the span and permit $3^{\prime}$ ' bays instead of $\mathbf{1 6 '}^{\prime}$. Councilman Iong moved that the City Manager be authorized to take bids in the manner in which he has outlined and also the alternate bids he has suggested to build this structure on the Coliseum. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The City Manager stated the bids could be taken in the office of the Construction Engineer, Mr. Eldridge, and after conferring with the contractors, determine how much time would be needed.

Councilman LaRue moved that MR. WESLEY PEARSON, Chairman of the Urban Renewal Board, be heard. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MR. PEARSON gave a brief review of the $\$ 1,225,000$ Kealing Urban Renewal Project, consisting of 69 acres, stating 161 structures must be acquired in this particular project. He said two appraisals were required on each piece of property, the value having to receive the approval of the Urban Renewal Agency in Fort Worth and the Urban Renewal Board in Austin before property owners were approached. Occasionally a third appraisal was obtained, if in the opinion of the Urban Renewal Board, the appraisal was too low. The process had been slow, but appraisals have been made now on all 161 pieces of property, with perhaps a third appraisal to be made on a very limited amount of property. He reported $\$ 640,000$ was available to start purchasing these particular properties; 41 houses and lots had been acquired; 22 properties had been closed out and turned over to the City of Austin which has let demolition contracts to clear certain properties
within 30 days. Efforts are being centered now to make 20 acres available for the expansion of Kealing Junior High School as rapidly as possible for school and park purposes. It is hoped this land will be turned over to the Schools and the City within the next year.

Mr. Pearson explained procedures followed in connection with people involved, stating purchases were started in July, and offers were made as rapidly as could be to those people. They were given time to study the offers without having to be rushed. The Board wanted to be certain the citizens were satisfied with the property into which they were moving. It is hoped they can step up the acquisitions from 9 houses per month to 20, so this project can be brought to a close, and be available within a year, and the overall project be completed within two to three years at the most.

In answer to Mayor Paimer's inquiry, Mr. Pearson said the properties were both tenant and owner occupied, stating in the more dilapidated area south of the school, $60 \%$ were rented; and in the better area north of the school, about $40 \%$ were rentals. All 41 properties purchased have been owner occupied. He reported the attitude of the people had been wonderful so far, becawse they had time to study the offers made, and most felt they were fair; and just as soon as they can acquire a nicer home, most of the owners who occupied these houses are ready to sell and move. As soon as mopy as three lots can be acquired, they will be turned over to the City for clearing, so people can purchase a lot and build in the axea. Mr. Pearson again mentioned the fine attitude of the citizens in the community but stated the main complaint was the project's failing to cross Rosewood Avenue and clear out those beer places. The project included the area between 12th and Rosewood, one block west of Angelina and Chicon.

Mr. Pearson reported the Board had been very sincere in working and trying to develop an Urban Renewal Project that the Citilzens of Austin would be proud of The Board had felt it should accomplish more in the Kealing Project and prove its ability to do something worthwhile in the project. Although no property had been condemned thus far, it probably will be necessary in some cases to clear titles. Councilman long inquired about the areas to be cleared out, and if the misplaced people could move into the area before it was completely cleared. Mr. Pearson said this could be done by one lot at a time, but he was suggesting as many as three lots for economy in publications. He reported full cooperation from the Real Estate Board, finance companies and others, working with these people help. ing them move as soon as possible.

Councilman Shanks inquired if another project were started, if the process would be as slow and tedious as the first, and if the same red tape and slowingdown processes would be encountered. Mr. Pearson stated the next project would move more rapidly. Councilman Long inquired if people were being relocated in homes that could be reconditioned. Mr. Pearson answered approximately 30 houses had been inspected by Urban Renewal Architects, and owners had been advised on how much they would need to do to bring the houses up to meet Urban Renewal standards.

Mr. Pearson showed on a map the areas to be converted into apartment houses which would be offered to the highest bidder for private development. He thanked the Council for its cooperation on the Kealing Project and stated wonderful cooperation had been given by the Clity Departments. A brief report was made on the Glen Oaks Project, in that a new approach was being made on correcting the flooding problem; the planned project had been approved; and a contract for engineering had been let to ISOM HAIE. A more detailed report on this project will be forthcoming soon.

The Urgan Renewal Board had been asked by the Schools and fuston－Tillotson College，since both needed desperately to enlarge，that the Kealing Project be expanded，Blackshear Elementary School needs five more acres，and the area into which it would move had $82 \%$ substandard homes．He asked the Council if it would want the Board to consider the expansion of the Kealing Project because both Blackshear needs to expand and fuston－Tillotson College wants to double its capacity acquiring the first four blocks west of the college－about 10 acres． He asked the Council to consider the Blackshear－Huston－Millotson Project，which would be an expansion of the Kealing Project．The Board would like to offer them this property in a year or two．Fuston－Tillotson is going to try to acquire funds for another four blocks．The Fort Worth representatives studied this area，and said it would qualify．Mr．Pearson said the City has already done enough develop． ment on the improvements and utilities，etc．to pay the City＇s portion of the entire Kealing Project．Through the expansion of Blackshear School and the City＇s utility lines，the City＇s entire portion of the Blackshear－Huston－THIlotson Project would be covered．The Mayor inquired if this project could be carried on without delaying in anyway the Kealing Project，or Glen Oaks Project．Mr．Pearson said the Board feels they could carry the project on without increasing the staff substantially，the staff assuring them they could，and the Board feels it is ready to go ahead with the project．He outlined his new organization stating he wanted a Co－chairman and Chairman on each of the three projects，so that a mem－ ber of the Board could devote direct attention to each project．Councilman IaRue inquired about the City＇s problems on widening of streets，etc．The City Manager said there were no problems in line with the normal city functions as there were bond funds available for miscellaneous street paving，installation of water and sewer lines for use throughout the city．

Another item Mr．Pearson mentioned was the opening of a new traffic artery， Comal street from 7th all the way to 23 rd Street，and pointed out the unopened portion．Pointed out also on the map were the areas exempt from acquisition， which reduced the project to a workable size．

Mayor Palmer inquired as to the first step to be taken in line with this report．Mr．Pearson reported the Board was recommending at this time that the Council take this under consideration until next week and determine if it should． be a project the Board should approach．Mayor Palmer expressed sincere apprecia－ tion for the work the Board was doing．Mr．Pearson said the Board would try to give a report every 90 days．

MR．AMOS HEROLD asked if the electric rate matter was going to be heard this morning．The Mayor said it could be set for next Thursday．

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard：

C．H．CARPEITIGR
By G．F．Ransom

5612 Grover Avenue 5611－5613 Roosevelt Ave．

From＂A＂Residence
To＂B＂Residence RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Long wanted information about Roosevelt Avenue，and whether or not it could be left open for circulation．MR．ED STEVENS，Chief，Plan Adminis－ H能烓あnçoal
discussion had been held on extending a street from Woodrow Avenue, tying into Roosevelt Avenue and on to Grover Avenue. Mr. Stevens said this had been pointed out, as: the City would have to go in and acquire the street. Councilman Iong stated the zoning was all right, but she thought the street should be brought on through, and there should not be any building in the possible street area. Mr. Stevens stated there was a plan of development on this. Councilman Shanks moved that the zoning request to " $B$ " Residence be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to " $B$ " Residence and the City Attomey was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

CARRINGION'S UNIVERSITY HILLS, By Paul
D. Jones

Tract 1
6634-6656 Manor Road 3417-3521 Loyola Lane

Tract 2
Rear of 3503-3507 Loyola Iane

From Interim "A" Residence lst Height \& Area
To "LR" Local Retail lst Height \& Area

From Interim "A" Resie dence lst Height \& Area
To "C-1" Commercial lst Height \& Area
RECOMMENDED by the
planning Commission

Councilman Iong moved that the change to "IR" Local Retail lst Height and Area for Tract 1, and to "C-1" Commercial lst Height and Area for Tract 2 be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman laRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "LR" Iocal Retail for Tract 1 and to "C-1" Commercial for Tract 2 and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.
gUSTAV KRAUSE
By Denny McGraw
Real Estate

610 Kenniston Drive

From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Iong moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

GEORGE S. NALIE, JR.

2905-2909 Manchaca Road
Additional Area 2827 Manchaca Road

From "A" Residence To "C" Commexcial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "LR" Local Retail and for additional area RECOMMENDED "C" Commerciel

After a check had been made with Mr. Nalle to determine that the amendment or recommendation from the Planning Commission was satisfactory, Councilman LaRue moved that the Council grant "LR" Local Retail for 2905-2909 Manchaca Road and "C" Commercial for 2827 Manchaca Road. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "IR" Local Retail for 2905-2909 Manahaca Road and to " $C$ " Commercial for 2827 Manchaca Road and the City attorney was instructed to draw thennecessary ordinance to cover.

PAUL O. STMMS
By Sterling Sasser,
Sr.

707 Bast 10th Street

From "B" Residence and Hejght \& Area
To "C" Cormercial 2nd Height \& Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Councilman Long moved that the change to "C" Commercial 2nd Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Falmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial 2nd Height \& Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

THE SOUTHLAND CORPORATION
By Fred B. Werkenthin

4416 Manchaca Road

From "C" Commercial 6th Height \& Area
To "C-1" Commercial 6th Height \& Area
RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Iong inquired about the distance of this property from the School. It was stated there was no comment made by the Schools. Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "C-1." Commercial 6th Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial 6 th Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

MARVIN BERGSIROM 401-411 West 13th Street From "C" Commercial 3rd 1218-1222 Guadalupe Height \& Area

To "C-1" Commercial 3rd Height \& Area RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Long moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial 3rd Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-I" Commercial $3 x d$ Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

PHILIP and CHARLES
BASKARA, By Philip Bashara

401-405 East 45th Street From "A" Residence 4413-4415 Avenue H

To "LR" Local Retail RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

Councilman Long reported opposition by some of the people in the area, and stated the operator of the Misabet Ney Museum for the City of Austin objects to this zoning change; and Councilman Iong pointed out there was this drainage ditch that needed attention. Iater in the meeting, Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "IR" Iocal Retail be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Iong

Councilman Iong voting against the motion with the request that the Director of Public Works get with the Building Official and see that these people if they build out there, take care of that drainage and not flood those people that are already there.

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "LR" Iocal Retail and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

IELAND WIISON

Rear of 2704 Manor Road Rear of 3105-3107 Wainut Avenue

From "A" Residence 1st Height \& Area
To "B" Residence $2 n \mathrm{~d}$ Height \& Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "B" Residence lst Height \& Area

Councilman Shanks moved that the change be granted to " $B$ " Residence 2nd Height and Area. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height \& Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

ALFRED LEHTONEN

809-815 West Annie 1801-1807 South 4th Street

From "A" Residence To "B" Residence NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

Mr. Sam Perry represented Mr. John Nash, owner of the half block immediately to the east of this property protesting the change of zone as the property is primarily an isolated lot that is within a block otherwise residential and this is merely a spot zone. Opposition was expressed by MRS. RALPH ELLASON, MR. A. I. WHITE, and MRS. ROY BROCK on the grounds the street was only $30^{\prime}$ wide, and leads to the school; and with a 20 unit low rental apartment development there the traffic emanating from this development would be a serious problem; and that 700 people as school turned out would need the streets, the increased traffic from these apartments would congest the area. After discussion, Councilman Shanks' moved that the Council sustain the recommendation of the Planning Commission and deny the change of zoning on this property. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.
A. J. and GLADYS SMITH

ANDY KIVLIN

Tract 1
903-907 Morrow

Pract 2
901 Morrow

From "A" Residence lst Height \& Area
To "B" Residence and Height \& Area
NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "B" Residence lst Height and Area

Mr. Alvis Vandygriff represented the applicants asking for "B". Residence 2nd Height and Area zoning which would permit construction of efficiency apartments, which could not be done under "B" Residence lst Height and Area. Commercial "C" adjoins the property on two sides, and Mr. Vandygriff stated the property had ingress and egress; there would be no undue hardship, and "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area zoning would be a buffer. The development of efficiency apartments would accomodate employees from the Jefferson Chemical Company. The applicants own the property that exits; into Lamar. Mr. Vandygriff stated only 51 units were contemplated at this time, and ample off-street parking. Councilman Iong asked if it were contemplated to use that drive into Lamar. Mr. Vandygriff stated they owned the property 211 around this location and lamar would be the logical way to come into it. The Director of Planning stated 50 units could be constructed under "B" Residence lst Height and Area. He pointed out the limited street with $50^{\prime}$ right-of-way, and access to this property is over a street which serves a large residential area to the west, and a single family development in the area. MRS. KIVIIN described the development as low cost development for efficiency or one bedroom apartments which require less area as fax as construction is concerned and leaves more general area for parking and recreational facilities. Proposed rentals would be $\$ 69.50-\$ 79.50$ per unit with bills paid. She said their property on Iamar was already zoned commercial; but they had no intention of placing apartments there, but would retain a right-of-way or easement road for a drive-in and out of this area they want to hold the commercial for a cafeteria, cafe, or washateria or what may be needed to serve the housing development. She did not want to be limited to the 51 apartments on this much land. Councilman long asked if she would be willing to file an instrument with the City stipulating they would have a drive into this apartment unit area from Lamar. Mrs. Kivlin said offhand she would, but she would like to think it over. The Mayor stated the only way this could be handled would be for this to be a dedicated paved street. Extensive density in this residential neighborhood was discussed. Councilman Shanks stated it would be a good development for that particular section of the City. Councilman long wanted to see this tied in with a guarantee of a driveway, not necessarily a dedicated street, but a perpetual driveway that would give circulation. After discussion, the Conncil decided to go look at the area. The Council postponed action.

WILIIAM F. ZIDELL By Sidney Purser

1704-1710 West Avenue

> From "A" Residence lst Height \& Area
> Tb "B" Residence 2nd Height \& Area
> NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "B" Residence Ist Height \& Area
what they proposed was a logical development of this area; and that they would be glad to do what the City required as far as parking was concerned. "B" Residence lst Height and Area will permit only 23 units, and they could put in three bedroom apartments, which would defeat the density purpose. They want only one bedroom apartments which would accomodate two people instead of six and they want 38 units--34 one bedroom apartments and 12 two bedroom apartments, which would be 58 bedrooms. Councilman Shanks said they had asked for the area study. Councilman LaRue stated "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area was inevitable for that area, but it was a question of timing; and the only opposition received was from those that this property not be zoned "B" Residence and Height and Area unless theirs was zoned also. Mr. Zidell stated he would limit the number of apartments to 38. Councilman long expressed willingness to grant the "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area, and grant it for the rest of the people who wanted it in this area. The Director of Planning made a report of the status of the study, including the capital improvement plan. Former Senator Clint Small stated timing was most important on the sale of this property, depending on this zoning. The Mayor announced that decision would be made on December 17 th, and expressed regrets over the delay caused by other considerations that had to be taken into account.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of November 25, 1964, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:
"December 1, 1964
"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas
"Dear Mr. Williams:
"Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Friday, November 20, 1964 at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the construction of approximately 3,379 feet of 30 -inch and 1,108 feet of 24 -inch concrete steel cylinder water mains in OAK SPRINGS DRIVE AND SPRINGDALE ROAD. This constnuction will tie in the existing 30 -inch water main in Oak Springs Drive and the existing 24 -inch water main in Airport Blva. with the existing 24 -inch water main in Springdale Road and will provide additional service to the Northeast Area. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room, Municipal Building, Austin, Mexas.
"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

| Firm | Amount | Working Days |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 82,866.65$ | 30 |
| Bland Construction Company | $87,624.60$ | 45 |

"Walter W. Schmidt
Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated Austin Engineering Company

City of Austin
\$88,201. 35
93,373.05
50
98,881. 84
85,673.00
"It has been recommended that the contract be awarded to the $H$ \& $M$ Construction Corporation on their low bid of $\$ 82,866.65$.
"Yours truiy,
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr. Victor R. Schmidt, Jr., Director Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman Iong offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTIION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on November 20, 1964, for the construction of approximately 3379 feet of 30 -inch and 1108 feet of 24inch concrete steel cylinder water mains in Oak Springs Drive and Springdale Road; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of H and M Construction Corporation, in the sum of $\$ 82,866.65$, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That the bid of $H$ and $M$ Construction Corporation, in the sum of $\$ 82,866.65$ be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with $H$ and $M$ Construction Corporation.

The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:
"December 1, 1964
"TO: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager SUBJECT: Tabulation of Bids for Waterproofing of Coliseum Roof (Rebid)
"Bids were received for Waterproofing of Coliseum Roof at 2 P.M., Tuesday, December 1, 1964 in the Council Room. Tabulation of bids is as follows:
"Bidders
———
H. E. Little \& Company

Amount Bid

Austin Sandblasting \& Waterproofing Company $\$ 7,480.00$ Dux-Bak Waterproofing Company \$7,490.00 E. M. Durbin Roofing \& Sheet Metal \$8,397.00

Time (Calendar Days)
"H. E. Little and Company was low bidder at $\$ 5,892.00$ with a completion time of sixty calendar days.
"As noted in our previous memo of November 9, our estimate for this work was approximately $\$ 5,600.00$, funds for which are included in Mr. Vickers' budget.
"We join with Mr. Vickers in recomending the award of this contract to H. E. Little and Company for the lump sum price of $\$ 5,892.00$.

"From: A. M. Eldridge, Supervising Engineer Construction Engineering Division<br>Signed: A. M. Eldridge"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on December 1, 1964, for the waterproofing of Coliseum Roof; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of H. E. Iittle \& Company, in the sum of $\$ 5,892.00$, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Supervising Engineer, Construction Engineering Division of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That the bid of H. E. Iittle \& Company, in the sum of $\$ 5,892.00$, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with H. E. Iittle \& Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:
"November 30, 1964
"To: Mr. W. T. Williams, City Mangger Subject: Sale of Houses
"Bids were opened in my office November 30, 1964, at 10:00 A.M. for the sale of seven houses that Urban Renewal has turned over to us to demolish.
"Bids from six different individuals were received and a breakdown of the bidding is as follows:

1601 Washington
ATTAL RAMIREZ THOMPSON HAMILITON

1602 Washington
1607 Washington
1156 Salina
1603 Hackberry
1605 Hackberry
(Two Houses)

## $\$ 52.76 \quad 12.00$

8.00
12.00
5.00
40.00
201.95
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { MARBERGER } & \text { MEANS } \\ \$ 15.00 & \frac{\$ 37.50}{16.00} \\ 15.00 & \frac{26.00}{16.00} \\ 15.00 & \frac{16.00}{11.50}\end{array}$
"The high bid on each house is underscored in red. The bid sheets and deposits are attached.
"Due to the fact that these structures are dilapidated, and also the fact that it would cost this office several hundred dollars if we had to demolish them, it is recommended that these bids be accepted.
"If the bids are acceptable, the attached contracts should be signed by you and attested and returned to me in order that we may finlfill the contracts with the successful bidders.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { "From: } & \text { Dick T. Jordan } \\
\text { Signed: } & \text { Dick T. Jordan" }
\end{aligned}
$$

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTITION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin onllbvember 30, 1964, for the sale of seven houses that Urban Renewal had turned over to the City to demolish; and,

WHEREAS, the bids of J. H. Means, in the sums of $\$ 37,50$ for house located at 1601 Washington, $\$ 26.00$ for house located at 1607 Washington, $\$ 16.00$ for house located at 1156 Salina and $\$ 16.00$ for house located at 1603 Hackberry; the bid of Iom Attal, in the sum of $\$ 52.76$, for house located at 1602 Washington, and the bid of J. M. Thompson, in the sum of $\$ 201.95$ for two houses located at 1605 Hackberry, were the highest and best bids therefor, and the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Building official of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That the bids of J. H. Means, in the sums of $\$ 37.50$, \$26.00, \$26.00 and $\$ 16.00$; the bid of Tom Attal, in the sum of $\$ 52.76$, and the bid of J. M. Thompson, in the sum of \$201.95, for houses located at above locations, be and the same are hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts, on behalf of the City, with J. H. Means, Tom Attal and J. M. Thompson.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction of its underground telephone conduits in the streets in the City of Austin hereafter named and said maps or plans have been considered by the Director of Public Works; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
THAT the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company be and the same is hereby permitted to construct its underground telephone conduits in the following streets:
(1) An underground telephone conduit in WEST 21ST STREET ALIEY, from Rio Grande Street to Pearl Street; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall be 5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said WEST 21ST STREET ALJEY.
(2) An underground telephone conduit in PEARL STREET, from West 2list Street Alley southerly 303 feet; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall be 20 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said PEARL STREET.
(3) An underground telephone conduit crossing PEARL SIREET, from the point of intersection of a line 308 feet south of the westerly prolongation of the north property line of West 2lst Street Alley with a line 20 feet west of the east property line of Fearl Street, westerly to the west property line of Pearl Street; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall be 308 feet south of and parailel to the westerly prolongation of the north property line of West 2lst Street Alley.
(4) An underground telephone conduit in GUADALUPE STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of a line 33.5 feet east of and parallel to the west property line of Guadalupe Street with a line 8 feet north of and parallel to the north property line of East l9th Street, northerly to the point of intersection of a line 37.6 feet east of and parallel to the northerly prolongation of the west property line of East 19th Street with a line 14.1 feet north of and parallel to the easterly prolongation of the south property line of West 2lst Street.
(5) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of the centerline of West loth Street Alley with a line 34.4 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of Lavaca Street to the point of intersection of the centerline of West llth Street Alley with a line 28.6 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said Lavaca street.
(6) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of the centerline of West Ilth Street Alley with a line 28.6 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of Lavaca Street to the point of intersection of the
centerline of West l2th Street Alley with a line 27.1 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said LAVACA STREET.
(7) An underground telephone conduit in IAVACA STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of the centerline of West l2th Street Alley with a line 23.4 feet west of and parailel to the east property line of Iavaca street to the point of intersection of a line 8 feet south of and parallel to the south property line of West 15 th Street with a line 28 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said LAVACA STREET.
(8) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of a line 8 feet south of and parallel to the south property line of West l5th Street with a line 28 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of Lavaca Street to the point of intersection of a line 40 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of West l5th Street with a line 23.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said IAVACA STREET.
(9) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of a line 40 feet north of and parailel to the south property line of West 15 th Street with a line 23.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of Lavaca Street to the point of intersection of a line 11 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of West 15 th Street with a line 28 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said IAVACA STREET.
(10) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREBT; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of a line 11 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of West 15 th Street with a line 28 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of lavaca Street to the point of intersection of a line 3 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of West 17 th Street with a line 28.6 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said LAVACA STREET.
(11) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREET; the centerline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of a line 3 feet south of and parallel to the north line of West 17 th Street with a line 28.6 feet west of and
parallel to the east property line of Lavaca Street to the point of intersection of a line 124 feet north of and parallel to the north line of West 18th Street with a line 28.6 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said LAVACA STREET.
(12) An underground telephone conduit in LAVACA STREET; the ceaterline of which underground telephone conduit shall extend from the point of intersection of a line 124 feet north of and parallel to the north property line of West l8th Street with a line 28.6 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of Lavaca Street to the point of intersection of the easterly prolongation of the north property line of West 18th Street Alley with a line 24.1 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said LAVACA STREET.

THAT the work and construction of said underground telephone conduits, including the excavation of the streets and the restoration and maintenance of said streets after said underground telephone conduits have been constructed, shall be under the supervision and direction of the City Manager and in accordance with the ordinances and regulations of the City of Austin governing such construction.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the Council approve the withdrawal of the following zoning application as requested by the attorney representing Mr . Grimmer:
E. A. GRIMMER 823-829 Houston Street From "C" Commercial To "C-1" Commercial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Attorney reviewed the matter of the purchase of three acres of land with 19 rental units from Mr, Andre Abbate. The Alcoholics Anonymous Foundation owned $2 \frac{1}{2}$ acres between the three acres and the railroad track. It was hoped there would be enough left in a triangular piece of property after the plans were fully developed for the Foundation to have a home. The Foundation was aware that it might be necessary to develop plans for a full interchange at that point consuming not only all of their property but part of the City property. They also knew the building of an overpass according to the plan outlined would not prevent them from continuing to use and occupy their house, but the building of the boulevard might require the property, and they were going to allow the City to go ahead and develop the overpass and engineering plans for the balance of the tract with the hope they would have a tract left. The City Attomey said
written assurances of the situation were wanted that the City would not do certain things and would do some things the Foundation wanted done. In the meantime there has been a change in decision by that group that they would prefer for the City not to do what previously had been understood the City could do, and that the City not do any work on their property until there had been a complete settlement on the matter. The City Attorney said they had been told if there were to be a complete settlement, there would have to be a complete transaction of acquiring the property. In answer to Councilman Shanks' question, the City Attorney stated he had tried to negotiate for this property, and that the Mayor had the lowest acceptable figure. It was stated there were no firm plans, and only a two lane highway was assumed; but if there is to be a three land highway, it would have to be moved over an additional 20'. Mayor Palmer explained the method by which the association came up with a $\$ 198,595$ value, and outlined the offer submitted by Mr. Trueman $0^{\prime}$ Quinn that if they could retain the triangle with a 20' driveway off of 35 th street and one curb break into the roadway, that they would be willing to deduct the $\$ 85,000$ and come up with a net totel of $\$ 113,595$ plus the remaining portion of their land. Gouncilman Long moved that the offer be regected and offered the following resolution and moved its adoption

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found that public necessity requires the improvement of West 35 th Street by the construction of an overpass in the vicinity of the intersection of West 35th Street with proposed Missouri Pacific Boulevard, and the construction of a high-speed interchange and access roads to inter-connect West 35 th Street with the proposed Missouri Pacific Boulevard in order to provide for the free and safe flow of traffic in the indicated area within the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that the public necessity requires the acquisition of the hereinafter described tract of land for right-of-way to permit the construction of improvements hereinabove mentioned together with the necessary utility reloeation caused by such construction; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has been unable to agree with the owner of the hereinafter described tract of land as to the fair market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filled against the owner a suit in eminent domain to acquire title to the hereinafter described property, to-wit:

Being out of and a part of the George W. Spear League in Austin, Travis County, Texas, and being 2.427 acres of land out of a certain 9.73 acre tract of land heretofore conveyed to Mark L. Wiginton, and being described by metes and bounds a. follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at the southeast corner of said 9.73 acre tract at a stake and mound at the intersection of the west line of the I. \& G.N.R.R. right-of-way with the north line of the Austin-Burnet Road and Bull Creek Road from which a liveoak tree $20^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter marked $X$ bears N 54-3/4 W. 3 vrs.;

THENCE, with the north line of said road N 76 W 180 feet to a stake for a corner;

THENCE, N $8^{\circ}$ and $44^{\prime}$ E 599 feet to a stake in fence on north line of said 9.73 acre tract;

THENCE, $S 62^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 198$ feet along said north fence and boundary line of a stake and mound in the west line of said I. \& G.N.R.R. right-of-way, from which a liveoak $14^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter marked $X$ bears $571-1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} .5-3 / 5$ varas;

THENCE, along the west side of said railroad right-6f-way S. $10^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. 552.22 feet to Place of Beginning; and containing 2.427 acres of land, more or less, and being the same property described in the general warranty deed of Mark L. Wiginton êt ux to Homer C. DeWolfe, dated April 29, 1935, recorded in Volume 520, Pages 494-495, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas. Being the same tract of land conveyed by Mrs. Ruyel DeWolfe, a widow, to the Suburban Alcoholic Foundation by deed dated July 18, 1955 and recorded in Volume 1604, page 441 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Councilman lakue asked for a comparison of this $\$ 87,000$ to that paid for the other property. The City Attorney stated the Foundation has $2 \frac{1}{2}$ acres with one house; the other was three acres with 19 rental units, and the City had about a third more frontage on its property.

Iater in the meeting Mr. Trueman $0^{\prime}$ Quinn came into the Council Meeting to discuss further the City's acquisition of the Alcoholics Anonymous Association's property. Mayor Palmer reported to Mr. O'Quinn that he had brought up the conversation they had, giving the figure that he had submitted, and the Council had felt that condemnation should be started, and it authorized the proceeding. Councilman long stated that was the fastest way the matter could be resolved, and the City had to get started right away. Mr. O'Quinn inquired about the condemnation and chances of negotiating. The City Attorney stated when condemnation suits were authorized, the usual practice was always to try to negotiate if at all possible. Mr. O'Quinn stated he had reported the opinion of the Board of Trustees of the Foundation, in that they wanted no further encroachment until there was some sort of agreement of the understanding or some attempt to negotiate for the purchase of the property and this was the information he had given in writing. He said normally the City would make an offer, but in this case the Foundation was asked to make an offer, which they did. The City Attorney explained the reason was in ordinary circumstances a value of property was agreed upon, and purchased before a project began. In this case the project was begun and there was an unwritten understanding that every effort would be made to let the Foundation have enough remaining property to locate in that area on other property which the City owns; and that the initial phase of the work would not impair the usefulness of the property which the Foundation was making of it and those matters could be resolved after final engineering studies and the first phase of construction had been completed. It was the Foundation's desire to remain there if possible on other City land and not to sell their property outright. When the Foundation decided not to permit any further work to be done until after a final solution he and Mr. O'Quinn stated to each other it appeared the only thing that could be done would be for them to make an offer which could be accepted quickly, in reverse to the ordinary situation, and that Mr. $O^{\prime}$ Quinn meet with his Board
and submit an offer which the City could accept. He went back to the Board and got the offer and transmitted it to the Mayor. Mr. O'quinn stated as far as actually taking possession of the property or using it for construction purposes other than for readjustment of utilities and a temporary drive, there had been no encroachment or possession, and they thought the line should be drawn. The Foundation felt they had a location there for seven or eight years. Now the engineers have come up with this interchange. If any consideration is to be given to their efforts to remain in that area, they will need to know on the ground approximately where the line will be.

Councilman Long inquired if there could be an exchange of property. The matter was discussed in thorough detail, covering possible requirement for a three lane expressway south, change of median line which would require more than the City's property; the present need for only enough space to put in some fill to provide a ramp to the bridge, without affecting the Foundation's property except moving the entrance farther west; that there are no funds or no plans; no contract for State participation; and it will not be known for five or six years just what will be needed; and there should be no interference with the Foundation's property for that time. Mr. O'Quinn pointed out some inter-ferences--the change in the normal use of their driveway; the plan to tear off half of their yard and remove half of the trees; and stakes have been set showing the distance that will be involved in this; and the Board's requesting until some better understanding about timing, and compensation that further encroachment not be permitted. The City Attorney explained the desirability of purchasing the entire property and at a later date making mutually agreeable arrangements for the City's property. He explained the locating of the stakes was in connection with construction of telephone lines, gas and water lines. Councilman IaRue stated it was of mutual benefit to the City and Foundation to operate as agreed. The City felt it must have the property to continue the construction of the by-pass and the Foundation wanted to stay there as long as it could and the City had no alternative except to purchase the property. Mr. O'quinn stated it may be if they were unable to negotiate to the point where the Foundation felt it had something that the City would say they would eventually pay them a certain amount and give them an option on what they had left, it may be the only thing to be done is to bring the condemnation action under the circumstances. The city Attorney stated they may be able to do exactly what Mr. O'quinn had said. Mr. O'Quinn reported the Foundation was being served this afternoon, and that he did not want to proceed. The City Attorney stated there was no reason why negotiations could not proceed. The Mayor inquired if Mr. O'Quinn had said he was not authorized to make any other offer. Mr. O'Quinn stated the Board authorized him within certain limits to represent them in negotiating the purchase price on this property. The City Attorney repeated that every effort to work with Mr. O' Quinn would be made to try to prevent disrupting any function the Foundation has, as all recognized the good work the organization does. Finally after lengthy discussion, the Mayor stated if"this could be resolved and an amount could be agreed upon before it went before a commission that should be done. The City Attorney stated every effort to do so would be made. Councilman IaRue asked that the City Attorney keep the Council informed and up to date on this. Councilman Iong stated the City Attorney had been authorized to try to work this out and to keep the Council informed; and the reason the Council voted to go into condemnation was to have a chance to move the project along. She said she personally thought the $\$ 298,000$ was a very unfair price to be offered for that property. Mayor Palmer asked Mr. O'Quinn to discuss this with the City Attorney, who would advise the Council of any type of offer; and if there is any agreement that can be reach ed the Council would be as fair as it could to the Foundation; and it wants to pay the fair market value. The Mayor thanked Mr. O'quinn and stated it was hoped this could be resolved in a satisfactory manner.

The Council recessed until 2:30 P.M.

RECESSED MEETING
2:30 P.M.
At 2:30 P.M., the Council resumed its business.

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

HENRY W. HALJ

403 Braker Lane, Lot A, Land
Wm. Gephart subd.plus adj. $110 \times 50$ ft. John Applegate Survey
Parcel No. 9246230510

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
Council Action

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: CouncilmenIaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman lafue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
J. M. ODOM AND ASSOCIATES, By E. D. McMuliten
I.R.S. Center, 15 Acres Santiago Del Valle Grant Parcel. No. 311000303

|  | Assessed Value <br> Fixed by Boerd |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Council Acti |  |
| Iand | $\$ 67,500$ | $\$ 1,56,090$ |
| Improvements | $1,627,540$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 1,695,040$ | $\$ 1,683,630$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Lakue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

VIRGIA LO CAGE

01d Iockhart Fiwy. and Chunn Lane, 96.969 Acres Santiago Del Valle Grant Parcel No. 9421030117

|  | Assessed Value <br>  <br>  <br> Fixed by Board | Council. Action |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Iand | $\$ 36,690$ | $\$ 32,980$ |
| Improvements | 2,140 | 2,140 |
| Total | $\$ 38,830$ | $\$ 35,120$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

FORREST HTMES

1408 Norwalk Iane, S. 80 ft. of E. 139 ft . of Iot 5, Block 8, Westfield A Parcel No. 113060814

Iand Improvements

Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

| $\$ 6,260$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 17,570 |  |
| $\$ 23,830$ | $\$ 6,260$ |
| 17,570 |  |
| $\$ 23,830$ |  |

\$23,830

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Nges: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

EDWARD JOSEPH

Adjacent to Nelson Field 21.5 Acres James P. Wallace and 3.4 Ac . Willis Avery Survey
Parcel No. 9228210102
Rundberg Lane, 47.82 Acres John Applegate Survey Parcel No. 9241191201

Anderson Lane', 77.31 Acres James P. Wallace Survey Parcel No. 9232210201

Rutherford Lane, 2 Acres James P. Wallace Survey Parcel No. 9232210106

Rutherford Iane, 79.41 Ac. William Wilkes Survey Parcel No. 9232210105

Iand
Improvements
Total

Iand
Improvements
Total
Iand
Improvements

Total.

Iand
Improvements
Total

Iand
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board

| $\$ 22,410$ |
| ---: |
| $\$ 2,410$ |

\$35,870
-0\$35,870
\$108,200 4,850
\$113,050

$$
\$ 1,880
$$

$$
-0
$$

$$
\$ 1,880
$$

$$
\$ 59,560
$$

$$
1,110
$$

$$
\$ 60,670
$$

Council Action
\$18,680
-
$\$ 28,680$
\$35,870
$-0$
\$35,870
\$101,470
4,850
\$106,320
\$ 1, 500

- $-0-$
\$ 1,500
\$59,560
1,110
$\$ 60,670$

EDWARD JOSEPH (Continued)

Rutherford Lane, 34. 49 Ac . James P. Wallace Survey Parcel No. 9232210104

Iand
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board Council Action
$\$ 25,870$

$-0-025,870$$\quad$| $\$ 25,870$ |
| :--- |
| $\$ 25,870$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

FANNIE U. L. BROWN
Assessed Value Fixed by Board Council Action


The motion, seconded by Councilman long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

JOE H. DAYWOOD, By J. R. Darrouzet

1900 East 6th Street Land
Improvements

Total

Land
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value

Fixed by Board
$\$ 8,550$

4,080 $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
$\$ 7,480$ <br>
2,460 <br>
\hline$\$ 12,630$

$\quad$

$\$ 9,940$
\end{tabular}

\$ 3,870
9,220
\$13,090
Council Action
\$3,390
7,470
$\$ 10,860$ 203090409

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
G. R. and LOIS PETERSON

|  |  | Assessed Value Fixed by Board | Council Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 9 | Land | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250101 | Total | \$300 | \$ $\$ 50$ |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 8 | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250102 | Total | \$300 | \$150 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Int 7, | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Imppovements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250103 | Tbtal | \$300 | \$ $\$ 150$ |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 6, | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250104 | Tbtal | \$300 | \$ $\$$ |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 5, | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250105 | Total | \$300 | \$ $\$ 150$ |
| Wedgewood Drive, Int 4, | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250106 | Tbtal | \$300 | \$150 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Iot 3, | Iand | \$300 | \$250 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250107 | Total | \$300 | \$ 250 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 2 | Land | \$300 | \$250 |
| Block A, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250108 | Totel | \$300 | \$150 |
| Corner Wedgewood Drive and | Iand | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Lane, Lot 1, Block | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| A, Valley Side Hgts. | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Parcel No. 9245250109 |  |  |  |
| Wedgewood Drive, Iot 1, | Iand | \$300 | \$2.50 |
| Block E, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250201 | Total | \$300 | \$ ${ }^{2} 50$ |
| Wedgewood Drive, Iot 2, | Iand | \$300 | \$ 250 |
| Block E, Vailey Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250202 | Total | \$300 | \$ 150 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Iot 1 | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block D, Vailey Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250301 | Total | \$300 | \$150 |

G. R. and LOIS PETERSON (Continued)

|  |  | Assessed Value Fixed by Board | Council Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 2, | Land | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block D, Va,lley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250302 | Total | \$300 | \$150 |
| Braker Lane, Lot 8, Block | Iand | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250401 | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Lane, Iot 7, Block | Innd | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250402 | motal | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Lane, Lot 6, Block | Land. | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250403 | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Lane, Lot 5, Block | Iand | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Heights | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250404 | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Lane, Lot 4, Block | Land | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Heights | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250405 | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Lane, Iot 3, Block | Land | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Heights | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250406 | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Braker Iane, Lot 2, Block | Iand | \$450 | \$450 |
| C, Valley Side Heights | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250407 | total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Corner Braker Lane and | Lend | \$450 | \$450 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot l | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Block C, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9245250408 | Total | \$450 | \$450 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 9, | Iand | \$300 | \$150 |
| Block C, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9245250409 | Total | \$300 | \$150 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 1 , | Land | \$300 | \$250 |
| Block H, Valley Side Hgts. | Imppoxements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9246260301 | Total | \$300 | \$150 |
| Wedgewood Drive, Lot 1, | Land | \$300 | \$250 |
| Block G, Valley Side Hgts. | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Parcel No. 9246260401 | Total | \$300 | \$150 |

G. R. and LOIS PETERSON (Continued)

Wedgewood Drive, Iot 2, Block G, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260402

Wedgewood Drive, Iot 3, Block G, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260403

Wedgewood Drive, Iot 4, Block G, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel. No. 9246260404

Wedgewood Drive, Lot 5, Block $G$, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260405

Wedgewood Drive, Lot 6, Block G, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel. No. 9246260406

Wedgewood Drive, Lot 7, Block G, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 924626407

Wedgewood Drive, Iot 11, Block A, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260501

Wedgewood Drive, Iot 10, Block A, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260502

Wedgewood Drive, Lot l, Block F, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260601

Wedgewood Drive, Iot 2, Block $F$, Valley Side Hgts. Parcel No. 9246260602

Braker Iane, 19.46 Acres Thomas May Survey and 5.6 Acres J.C. Harrelson Sur. Paxcel No. 9247230402

Iand
Improvements
Tatal
Iand
Improvements Total

Iand Total

Land Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Impoovements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

| \$300 | \$150 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$300 | \$ $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |
| \$300 | \$250 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| \$300 | \$250 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$300 | \$ 150 |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| -0- | -0- |
| $\$ 300$ | \$ $\mathbf{S}^{50}$ |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| \$300 | \$ $\mathbf{2} 50$ |
| -0. | -0- |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| \$300 | \$150 |
| -0- | -0- |
| $\$ 300$ | \$150 |
| \$300 | \$1.50 |
| -0- | -0- |
| $\$ 300$ | $\$ 150$ |
| \$300 | \$250 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$300 | \$ $\$ 50$ |
| \$11,280 | \$11,280 |
| 4,430 | - 4,430 |
| \$15,710 | \$25,710 |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

FRANK W. RIFFE

903 West 3rd Street, .61
Acres of Lots 1, $2 \& 3$,
Block 7, Outlot 11, Division
Z, Raymonds Plateau
Parcel No. 106020202

| Assessed Value |
| :--- |
| Fixed by Board |

Land Improvements Total
\$ 6,260
900
$\$ 7,160$

Council Action
\$4,580
, 400
5,480

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

AVON M. GARRETT HARRELL, By Robert C. Sneed

|  |  | Assessed Value Fixed by Board | Council Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2521 Quarry Road, Center | Iand | \$ 5,630 | \$ 3,910 |
| 50 ft . of Lot 12, Block 2, | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Westfield A | Total | \$5,630 | \$3,910 |
| Parcel No. 113060611 |  |  |  |
| 2515 Quarry Road, East | Iand | \$13,820 | \$ 5,780 |
| 117 ft . of Lot 12, Block | Improvements | 4,140 | 4,550 |
| 2, Westfield A | Total | \$ $\$ 17,960$ | \$10,330 |
| Parcel No. 113060612 20,330 |  |  |  |
| 2513 Quarry Road, West | Land | \$ 7,900 | \$ 3,040 |
| 60 ft . Lot 11, Block 2, | Improvements | -0- | -0- |
| Westfield A. | Total | \$7,900 | \$3,040 |
| Parcel No. 113060613 |  |  |  |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

CAL MARSHALI

|  |  | Assessed Value Fixed by Board | Council Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4700 Springdale Road | Iand | \$ 4,620 | \$4,620 |
| 2.5 Acres Henry Warnell Sur. Parcel No. 215210101 | Improvements Total | $\begin{array}{r} 7,740 \\ \$ 12,360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,740 \\ \$ 12,360 \end{array}$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

ELIZABETH RICHARDS and JOE W. NEAL, By Robert C. Sneed
Assessed Value Fixed by Board Council Action
U.S. Hwy. 183 North
5.026 Acres, George W. Davis Survey
Parcel No. 9245070504

Land
Improvements Ibtal
\$18,410
$\$ 18,410$
$\$ 18,410$
$-0-$
$\$ 18,410$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

HENRY SASSE

Braker Iene and Fiskville Road, 158 x 200 ft. John Applegate Survey or Iot 8 B unrecorded Iudwig Subd. Parcel No. 9246230504
Iend
Improvements
motal

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

KARL B. WAGNER

Highway 35 North off Powell Lane, 12.19 Acres James P. Wallace Survey Parcel No. 9235160701

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board

## Land

 Improvements Tbtal$\$ 36,430$
11,420
$\$ 47,850$

Council Action
$\$ 31,740$
11,420
$\$ 43,160$

KARL B. WAGNER (Continued)

Rutherford Lane East of Hwy. \#35, 82.775 Acres James P. Wallace Survey Parcel No. 9235170101

West of North Lamar on Research Blvd., 21.19 Acres George W. Davis
Parcel No. 9240090212
Research Blvd. between Burnet \& N. Lamar, Lot 1 Research Blvd. Commercial Area, Parcel No. 9241100402

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

| $\$ 69,580$ | $\$ 69,580$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 28,560 | 28,210 |
| $\$ 98,140$ | $\$ 97,790$ |

Iand
Improvements Motal
Iand
Improvements
Total

Iend
Improvements Total

Council Action
\$69,580
$\$ 97,790$
\$57,120
\$57,120
\$27,850
\$27,850
$-0-$
$\$ 27,850$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, wite, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
N. J. WONSLEY, By M. B. Braswell
U.S. Hwy. 183, Wonsley

Dr . and Interstate Hiwy. 35, 41.57 Acres, James P. Wallace Survey Parcel No. 234150102
U. S. Hwy. 183 West of Interstate Hwy. 35, 7.86
Acres, James P. Wallace Parcel No. 234150301

Iand improvements Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board
\$137,250
3,020
\$140,270

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 14,740 \\
-0- \\
\$ 14,740
\end{array}
$$

Council Action
\$137,250
3,020
40,270
$\$ 14,740$
$-0-$
\$24,740

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

DELWOOD CENTER, INC., By Rogan B. Giles

|  |  | Assessed Value <br> Fixed by Board | Council Action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riverside Drive, 6.7 | Iand | Tmprovements | $\$ 46,720$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman laRue: moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
D. L. WELCH

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
Council Action

| $\$ 94,990$ | $\$ 85,490$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $-0-$ |  |
| $\$ 94,990$ | $-0-$ |
| $\$ 43,720$ | $\$ 85,490$ |
| $-0-$ | $\$ 39,340$ |
| $\$ 43,720$ | $-0-$ |
| $\$ 39,340$ |  |

Land
Improvements Totel

Iand
Improvements Totel
D. L. Welch

3000 Villa Lane, Lot 1 , Block B, Garden Oaks, Section 1
Parcel No. 405060601
3002 Villa Lane, Iot 2 Block B, GardenOaks, Section 1
Parcel No. 405060602
3004 Villa Lane, Iot 3
Block B, Garden Caks Section 1
Parcel No. 405060603
3006 Villa Lane, Lot 4
Block B, Garden Oaks,
Section 1
Parcel No. 405060604

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements rotal

Land.
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

\$ 590
\$ 5

| $\$ \quad 590$ |
| :--- |
|  |
| $\quad \begin{array}{r}-0-\end{array}$ |
| $\$ 990$ |

$\begin{array}{r}\$ \quad 790 \\ -\quad-0- \\ \hline \$ \quad 790\end{array}$
\$ 640
$-0-$
$\$ 640$
\$ 590
$-0-$
$\$ 590$
\$ 590
$-0=$
$\$ 590$

| $\$$ | 590 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $-0-$ |
|  | 590 |

GARDEN OAKS COMPANY, By D. L. Welch (Continued)

3008 villa Lane, Lot 5, Block B, Garden Oaks, Section 1
Parcel No. 405060605
EAGIE PROPERTIES, INC., By D. L. Welch

6814 Galindo Street
Lot 27, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160127
6802 Galindo Street
Lot 33, Block 11
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160133
6800 Galindo Street
Lot 34, Block 11 , Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160134
6712 Gelindo Street
Lot 35, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160135
6710 Galindo Street
Lot 36, Block 11 ,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160136
6708 Galindo street
Lot 37, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160137
6706 Gelindo Street
Lot 38, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160138
6704 Galindo street
Lot 39, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160139

Land
Improvements Total
Land
Improvements
Tbtal

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements
Total

Land
Improvements Total

Innd
Improvements Totel

Iand
Improvements Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board


Assessed Value Fixed by Board


530
2,890
$\$ 3,420$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
530 \\
\$ 2,820 \\
\hline \mathbf{\$}, 350
\end{array}
$$

\$ 530
2,890

\$ 530
2,820
$\begin{array}{r}530 \\ 2,820 \\ \hline \$ 3,350\end{array}$

EAGLE PROPERTIES, INC. By D. L. Welch (Continued)
Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
Council Action

6702 Gelindo Street
Lot 40, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160140
6700 Galindo Street
Lot 41, Block 11 ,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160141
6612 Galindo Street
Lot 42, Block 11 ,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160142
6610 Galindo Street
Lot 43, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160143
6608 Galindo Street
Lot 44, Block 11,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160144
6601 Galindo street
Lot 1, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160301
6603 Galindo Street
Lot 2, Block l2,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160302
6605 Galindo Street
Lot 3, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160303
6607 Galindo Street
Lot 4, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160304
6609 Galindo Street
Lot 5, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160305

Land Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Lend
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total.

Iand
Improvements Totel

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements
Total

Iand
Improvements Total
$\$ 330$
$\$ 3,220$
$\$ 3,750$

530
$\$ 3,220$
$\$ 3,750$

530
$\$, 220$
$\$ 3,750$

530
$\$ 3,660$
$\$ 4,190$

530
$\$ 3,790$
$\$ 4,320$

640
$\$ 2,950$
$\$ 3,590$

520
$\$ 2,930$
$\$ 3,450$

530
2,950
$\$ 3,480$
$\$ 490$
3,020
$\$ 3,510$
$\begin{array}{r}\$ 490 \\ 3,020 \\ \hline 3,510\end{array}$
530
2,890
$\$ 3,420$
$\$ 330$
2,890
$\$ 3,420$
\$ 530
$\frac{2,890}{3,420}$

530
$\$ 3,280$
$\$ 3,810$
\$
530
3,390
$\$ 3,920$
\$ 640
$\frac{2,640}{\$ 3,280}$

520
2,620
$\$ 3,140$

530
2,640
$\$ 3,170$
$\begin{array}{r}\$ 490 \\ 2,700 \\ \hline \$ 3,190\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{r}490 \\ 2,700 \\ \hline 3,190\end{array}$

EAGIE PROPERTIES, INC. By D. L. Welch (Continued)

6613 Galindo Street
Iot 7, Block 12, Chernosky No. 17 Parcel No. 308160307

6615 Galindo Street
Lot 8, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160308
6617 Gelindo Street
Lot 9, Block 17,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160309
6701 Galindo Street
Lot 10, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160310
6703 Galindo Street
Lot 11, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160311
6705 Geilindo Street Lot 12, Block 12, Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160312
6707 Galindo street
Lot 13, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160313
6709 Galindo street
Lot 14, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160314
6711 Galindo Street
Lot 15, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160315
6713 Galindo Street
Jot 16, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160316

Iand
Imppovements Total

Iand
Improvements Iotal

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand.
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
3,860 \\
\$ 4,350
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
3,580 \\
\hline \$ 4,070
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 99 \\
\$ 3,250 \\
\$ 3,740
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
3,160 \\
\$ 3,650
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
3,130 \\
\$ 3,620
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
\$ 3,230 \\
\$ 3,720
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
\$ 3,130 \\
\$ 3,620
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 490 \\
\$ 3,210 \\
\hline 3,700
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 490 \\
3,160 \\
\hline \$ 3,650
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
490 \\
\$ 3,130 \\
\$ 3,620
\end{array}
$$

Council Action
$\$ \quad 490$
$\$ 3,460$
$\$ 3,950$

490
$\$ 3,210$
$\$ 3,700$
$\$ 990$
2,910
$\$ 3,400$
$\begin{array}{r}490 \\ 2,830 \\ \hline \$ 3,320\end{array}$
\$ 490
2,810
$\$ 3,300$
$\begin{array}{r}490 \\ 2,900 \\ \hline \$ 3,390\end{array}$
$\$ 490$
2,810
$\$ 3,300$
$\begin{array}{r}\$ 490 \\ 2,880 \\ \hline 3,370\end{array}$
\$ 490
2,830
$\$ 490$
2,810
$\$ 3,300$

EAGIE PROPERTIES, INC. By D. L. Welch (Continued)

6801 Galindo Street
Lot 17, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160317
6803 Gelindo Street
Lot 18, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160318
6611 Galindo street
Lot 6, Block 12,
Chernosky No. 17
Parcel No. 308160306

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land No Apperl
Improvements Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board
$\$ 490$
3,130
$\$ 3,620$

490
$\$, 160$
$\$ 3,650$

Council Action
\$ 490
2,810
$\$ 3,300$
\$ 490
2,830
$\$ 3,320$
\$ 490
$\frac{2,700}{3,190}$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Jong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
L. H. HUTCHIEON, JR., By W. W. Patterson

East Side of Manor Road
at Loyola Iane, 70.03 Ac . J.C. Tannehill Ieague and . 95 Ac. Brooks Survey Parcel 9221250402

Assessed Walue
Eixed by Board
Assessed Walue
Eixed by Board
Land
Improvements Total
$\$ 41,910$
\$41,910
\$41,910
\$41,910

Council Action
J. D. CONNOLLY, By W. W. Patterson

Oid Manor Rd. at Springdale 107.46 Acres H.T. Davis Sur. and 87.58 Ac. J.C. Tannehill L8. Parcel No. 9224270101

Iand Improvements Total
\$工10,480
5,630
$\$ 216,110$
\$110,480
\$110,480
N. E. CARTER, By W. W. Patterson
S.E. Corner Interstate
\#35 and Loop Rd. 111
. 89 Acres James P.Wallace Sur.
Parcel No. 9232160601

Land
Improvements
Total
$\$ 5,010$
$\$ 5,010$
$\$ 4,260$
$-0-$
$\$ 4,260$
E. C. McCLURE, By W. W. Patterson

Loop Rd. 111 and Interstate Fwy. 35, 109.647 Acres James P. Wallace Sur. Parcel No. 9232160501

Iand
Improvements Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board
\$153,090
$\$ 153,540$

Council Action
\$153,090
450
\$153,540
C. R. HAMILION, By W. W. Patterson

East Side of Hky. 183 West of Baicones, 7.026 Acres James Rogers Sur. Parcel No. 9154030302

East Side of 183 West of Balcones, 12.5 Ac . James Rogers Survey
Parcel No. 9154030304
Hwy. 183 near Duval Rd. 19.16 Acres James Rogers Survey Parcel No 9260010516

Hwy. 183 near Duval Ra. 25.83 Acres, James Rogers

Survey Parcel No. 9260010518
W. W. PATIERSON

3 年品 Monte Vista Drive Lot 12, Block Y, Balcones Park, Section 8
Parcel No. 126060703
2607 University Avenue
Lot 5 and 6, Block 11 01s. 15,16 \& 17, Div. D, Whitis Parcel No. 215030616

Bird Farm West Missouri Pacific R.R., 151.42 Ac . James Rogers Survey
Parcel No. 9256080108
Kramer Iane East of Illano
Spur, 33.56 Acres, James
Rogers Survey
Parcel No. 9256080312

Lond
Improvements Totel

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Tbtal

## Land

Improvements Ibtal

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

\$ 12,960
\$ 12,960
231,790
\$244,750

$$
\$ 45,430
$$

$$
\$ 45,430
$$


$\$ 45,430$
\$45,430
\$22,650
$\frac{-0}{}+22,650$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Pailmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the falues on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

SIGMAN W. HAYES, M.D.

| Patterson Road, 62 Acres | Land | $\$ 28,300$ | $\$ 18,300$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| J.C. Tannehill | Ieague | Improvements | 290 |
| Parcel No. 9220320101 | Total | $\$ 18,590$ | 290 |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman lakue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

SPENCER SCOTM

Interregional and Braker
In., 51.77 Acres J.C. Harrelson Survey Parcel No. 9247230601

800 Block Brazos Street All Block 85, Original City Parcel No. 206031301

Middle Fiskville Road 20.91 Acres, John Applegate Sur. Parcel No. 9241190501

Middle Fiskville Road, 18 Acres, John Applegate Survey Parcel No. 9241190804

Somerset Avenue, Iot 9
Block N, North Acres, Sec. 1 Parcel No. 9240250102

Applegate Drive Lot 29, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9240250203

Somerset Avenue, Lot 28, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9240250204

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total.

Land
Improvements Itatal
land
Improvements Ibtal

Lend
Improvements Total.

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
Council Action
\$50,640
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 50,640}$
\$397,070
\$397,070
2,730
\$399,800
$\$ 11,760$
$\$ 11,760$
$-0-$

$\$ 11,760$ | $\$ 11,760$ |
| ---: |
| $\$ 11,760$ |

\$26,200
$\frac{-0}{} \$ 16,200$
$\$ 1,530$
$\$ 1,530$
\$ 1,350
$\frac{-0-1}{\$ 1,350}$
$\$ 1,530$
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,530}$

SPENCER SCOIT (Continued)

Somerset Avenue, Iot 26A, Resub of Lots 24A,25 and 26, Block M, North Acres, Section 1 Paxcel No. 9240250206

Somerset Avenue, Iot 25A
Resub.of Lots $24 \mathrm{~A}, 25$ and 26 , Block M, North Acres,Section 1 Parcel No. 9240250207

Newport Avenue, Lot 5, Block S, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9240250404

Newport Avenue, Lot 4, Block S, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9240250405

Newport Avenue, Iot 3, Block S North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9240250406

908 Applegate, Lot 12, Block B North Acres, Section I
Parcel No. 9242230101
1004 Applegate, Iot 8, Blゅck I, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230203

1002 Applegate, Iot 7, Block I North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230204

Somerset Avenue, Lot 23, Block M, North Acres Resib.
Parcel 9242230302
Somerset Avenue, Iot 21,
Block M, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230304
Newport Avenue, Lot 10, Block E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231104

Newport Avenue, Lot 11, Block E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231105

Land
Improvements Total

Iand Tmprovements Total

Iand
Improvements Ibtal

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements
Total
Land
Improvements Ibtal

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iend
Improvements Tbtal

Iand
Improvements Total

Iapd
Improvements Total.

Iand
Improvements Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action
\$ 1,450
\$1,450
\$ 1.,460
1,400
$\$ 1,460$
\$ 1,540
\$ 1,540
$\$ 1,540$
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 1,020 \\ \$ 1,020\end{array} \quad \$ 1,020$
\$ 1,810
\$1,810
\$ 1,370
$\frac{6,000}{7,370}$
\$ 1,320
12,770
\$14,090
\$ 1,320
$\frac{5,630}{6,950}$
\$ 1, 470
$\stackrel{-0-}{\text { \$1, }}$
\$ 1, 520
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,520}$
\$ 990

$\$ 1,030$
$\$ 1,030$
$\$ 1,030$
$\$ 1,030$

Newport Avenue, Iot 1, Iand Block K,North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231201

Newport Avenue, Lot 2, Block K, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231202

Newport Avenue, Lot 3,
Block K, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231203

Newport Avenue, Iot 4, Block K,North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231204

Newport Avenue, Iot 5, Block K, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231205

Newport Avenue, Lot 6
Block K, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242231206
Newport Avenue, Lot 7,
Block K, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242231207
Newport Avenue, Lot 8, Block K,North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231208

Newport Avenue, Lot 9,
Block K, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242231209
Newport Avenue, Lot 9,
Block S,North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242231212
Applegate Drive, Lot 20,
Block B, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210604
Applegate Drive, Lot 21
Block B, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210605
Applegate and Middle Fiskville
Road, Lot 22, Block B, North
Acres, Sec. 1
Parcel No. 924321606

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Ibtal

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements rotal

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Motal

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements
Ibtal
Iand
Improvements Total

| \$ 1,030 | \$ 1,030 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$1,030 | \$1,030 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 990 | \$ 990 |
|  | \$ 1,180 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 1,180 | \$1,180 |
| \$ 1,300 | \$ 1,300 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 1,300 | \$1,300 |
| \$ 1,230 | \$ 1,230 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$1,230 | \$1,230 |
| \$ 1,140 | \$ 1,140 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$1,140 | \$1,140 |

Applegate Drive, Lot 2,
Block C, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210702
Applegate Drive, Iot 3,
Block C,North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210703
Salem Lane, Lot 1, Block D, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210801
Salem Lane, Lot 2, Block D,
North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210802
Salem Lane, Lot 3, Block D, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210803
Applegate Drive, Lot 1B, Resub. of Lot 1, Block N,
North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230613
Applegate Drive, Iot 1A,
Resub. of Iot 1 , Block $N$, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230614
Newport Avenue, Lot 12,Block D
North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230706
Newport Avenue, Lot 13, Block
D, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230707
Newport Avenue, Iot 6, Block
0 , North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230806
Newport Avenue, Iot 7, Block 0,North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230807

Newport Avenue, Lot 8, Block
0 , North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242230808

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Innd
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements
Total
Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
Council Action

| \$ 1,330 | \$ 1,330 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$1,330 | \$1,330 |
| \$ 1,320 | \$ 1,320 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$1,320 | \$ 1,320 |
| \$ 1,200 | \$ 1,200 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$1,200 | \$1,200 |
| \$ 1,320 | \$ 1,320 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 1,320 | \$ 1,320 |
| \$ 1,320 | \$ 1,320 |
| -0- | -0. |
| \$1,320 | \$1,320 |
| \$ 850 | \$ 850 |
| -0- | -0- |
| \$ 850 | \$ 850 |

\$ 930
\$ $\quad-0-1$
\$ 1,370
$-0-$
\$ 1,320
\$1,320
\$ 1,030
\$ 1,000
\$ 990
\$ $\quad-0-10$
\$ 990
\$ $\quad 990$

Newport Avenue, Lot 9, Block 0 , North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230809

Walnut Bend Drive, Lot 1, Block P, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230901

Applegate Drive, Iot 2, Block $P$, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230902

Applegate Drive, Lot 4, Block P, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230904

Hewport Avenue, Iot 6, Block P, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230906

Newport Avenue, Iot 7, Block $P$, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230907

Newport Avenue, Lot 8, Block P, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230908

Newport Avenue, Lot 9, Block P, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230909

Walnut Bend Drive, Iot 10, Block P,North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230910

Walnut Bend Drive, Iot 13, Block P, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230913

Newport Avenue, Lot 7, Block
E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231101

Newport Avenue, Lot 8, Block
E, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9242231102
Newport Avenue, Lot 9, Block E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242231103

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action
Iand
Improvements
Total

Land
Tmprovements
Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements
Total
Iand
Improvements Tbtal

Land
Improvements
Totel
Land
Improvements
Total
Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Tbtal

Iand
Improvements Total
Land
Improvements

Total
Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

| $\$ \quad 990$ |
| :--- |
|  |

\$ 1,680
\$1,680
$\$ 1,510$
$-9-$
$\$ 1,510$
\$ 1,390
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,390}$
\$ 1,190
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,190}$
\$ 1,030
\$1,030
\$ 1,030
\$1,030
\$ 1,070
\$1,070
\$ 1,080
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,080}$
$\$ 1,380$
\$ 1,380

\$ 990
\$ 990
\$1,680
$\$ 1,680$
\$1,510
$-0-$
$\$ 1,510$
\$ 1,390
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,390}$
\$ 1,190
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,190}$
\$ 1,030
\$ 1,030
\$1,030
\$1,030
\$ 1,070
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,070}$
\$ 1,080
\$ 1,080
\$ 1,380
$-0-$
$\$ 1,380$
$\begin{array}{r}\$ \quad 990 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$
\$
990
$-0-$
\$ 990
$\begin{array}{r}\text {-0- } \\ \hline\end{array}$

Somerset Avenue, Lot 18, Block M, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230307

Somerset Avenue, Lot 17, Block M, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230308

Applegate Drive, Lot 16, Block M, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230309

Hollybluff Street, Lot 1, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230310

Hollybluff Street, Lot 2, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230311

Hollybluff Street, Lot 3, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230312

Hollybluff Street, Lot 4, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230313

Hollybluff street, Lot 5, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230314

Hollybluff street, Lot 8, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230317

Hollybluff street, Iot 9, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230318

Hollybluff Street, Lot 13, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230322

Hollybluff Street, Lot 14, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230323

Hollybluff street, Iot 15, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230324

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements
Total
Iand
Improvements Total

Assessed Value
Council Action
\$ 1,760
-0-
$\$ 1,760$
\$1,530
\$1,530
\$ 1, 410
$\$ 1, \frac{-0-}{410}$
\$ 1,170
$\$ 1,170$
\$ 1,240
\$1,240
\$ 1,170
$-0-$
$\$ 1,170$
$\$ 1,100$
\$ 1,100
\$ 1,100
-0-
\$ 1,100
$\begin{array}{r}1,100 \\ \hline 1,100\end{array}$
\$ 1,190
\$1,190
\$ 1,280
\$ $\frac{-0-280}{}$
\$ 1,280
\$1,280
\$ 1,120
\$1,120

Hollybluff street, Lot 32, Block M, North Acres Resub. Farcel No. 9242230326

2106 Hollybluff Street, Lot 33, Block M, North Acres Resub. Parcel No. 9242230327

Somerset Avenue, Lot 2, Block N, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230602

Somerset Avenue, Lot 3, Block N, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230603

Somerset Avenue, Iot 6, Block N, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230606

Applegate Drive, Lot 14, Block N, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230610

Applegate Drive, Lot 15, Block N, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230611

Applegate Drive, Lot 16, Block N, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9242230612

Salem Iane, Lot 6, Block $D$, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210806

Newport Avenue, Lot 19, Block D, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210809

Newport Avenue, Lot 20, Block D, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210810

Newport Avenue, Iot 21, Block D, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210811

Newport Avenue, Lot 22, Block D, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210812

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements
Total
Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements
Total
Land
Improvements Total

Iand.
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements
Total.
Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board

Council Action
\$ 1, 120
$\$ 1,120$
$\$ 1,120$
\$ 1,120
11,730
$\$ 12,850$
\$ 1,420
$\$ 1,420$
$\$ 1,440$
$\$ 1,440$
\$1,440
$\$ 1,490$
$\$ \frac{-0 m}{\$ 1,490}$
\$ 1,510
$\frac{-0}{\$ 1,510}$
$\$ 1,590$
\$1,590
$\begin{array}{rr}\$ 1,540 \\ -0- \\ \$ 1,540\end{array} \quad \$ 1,5400$-0- $\begin{aligned} \$ 1,540\end{aligned}$
$\$ 1,320$
$\$ 1,320$
\$1,320
$\$ 1,320$
\$ $\frac{-0-320}{}$
$\$ 1,320$
\$ 1,320
\$ 1,320
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 1,320}$
$\$ 1,190$
$\begin{array}{r}\text {-0 } \\ \hline 1,190\end{array}$

SPENCER SCOTTP (Continued)

Newport Avenue, Lot 1, Block E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210901

Newport Avenue, Lot 2, Block E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210902

Newport Avenue, Iot 3, Block
E, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210903
Newport Avenue, Iot 4, Block
E, North Acres, Section 1
Parcel No. 9243210904
Newport Avenue, Lot 5, Block E, North Acres, Section 1 Parcel No. 9243210905

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Total

Land
Improvements Iotal

Iand
Improvements Total

Iand
Improvements Ibtal
\$ 1,190
$\frac{-0}{\$ 1,190}$
\$ 990

\$ 990
\$- $-\mathbf{0 -}$

$\left.\$ \quad \begin{array}{r}990 \\ -0- \\ \hline\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}990\end{array}\right]$
\$1,190
$\frac{-0}{}+1,190$
\$ 990
\$ 990

| $\$ \quad 990$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | -0- |


| $\$ \quad 990$ |
| :--- |
|  |
| $\$ \quad 990$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

ARTHUR CEDER, By Oscar Ceder

Old Manor Road between
Ferguson Iane \& Railroad 148.16
Acres H.T. Davis Survey
Parcel No. 9226300302
OSCAR CEDER
Morris Lane South of Daffan Iane, 25.12 Acres, H.T.Davis and 76.7 Ac . Lacas Munos, 34.4 Acres James Burleson Survey Parcel No. 9226300504

## Land

Improvements Tbtal

Iand
Improveraents Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 50,000 \\
\$ 10 \ldots
\end{array}
$$

Council Action
\$50,000
\$50,000
\$42,930
3,560
46,490

RAUL CEDER, By Oscar Ceder

Morris Lane South of Daffan Iane,29.92 Acres H.T. Davis, 67 Acres Lucas Munos, 39.7
Iand
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value

Fixed by Board

Council Action
$\$ 45,740$
2,340
$\$ 48,080$

$$
\$ 45,740
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,340 \\
\$ 48,080
\end{array}
$$

Total
Acres James Burleson Survey
Parcel No. 9226300501
EVELYN B. YOUNGQUIST, By Oscar Ceder

Morris Lane South of Daffan
Iane, 24.3 Acres, James Burleson,
76.66 Ac. Iucas Munos,5.95 Acres
H.T. Davis Survey,

Parcel No. 9226300505

Land
Improvements Iotal
\$32,220
$\frac{2,620}{\$ 34,840}$
\$32,220
$\frac{2,620}{34,840}$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

STECK COMPANY, By Harry Whittington

Steck Avenue and Missouri Pacific R.R., 36.40 Acres James M. Mitchell Survey

Iand
Improvements Total

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board Council Action
$\begin{array}{r}\$ 81,900 \\ 476,330 \\ \hline \$ 558,230\end{array}$
\$68,250
476,330
$\$ 544,580$ Parcel No. 9244010402

|  | Assessed Value <br> Fixed by Board |  | Council Action |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 81,900$ |  | $\$ 68,250$ |
| Iand | $\$ 76,330$ |  | 476,330 |
| Improvements | $\$ 55,230$ |  | $\$ 544,580$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
D. R. SAMUELSON

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board Council Action

4400 Balcones Drive, Lot 1 Block $J$, Resub. of Balcones Park 2, Lots 5 and 6, Block H,

## Land

 Improvements Total\$ 5,440
18,810
\$24,250
\$ 5,230
18,810
\$24,040 Lots 1 and 2,Block I, and Iot 1 , Block J Parcel No. 126060212

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

RICHARD AVENT

1302 Norwailk lane, Lot 2A Resub. of Parts Iots 1 and 2, Block 8, Westfield A Parcel No. 113060808

Assessed Value Fixed by Board Council Action

| Iand | $\$ 6,820$ | $\$ 6,820$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Improvements | 30,190 | 27,110 |
| Total | $\$ 37,010$ | $\$ 33,930$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Counciiman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
W. E. WARNER

Assessed Value

Fixed by Board
Council Action
No Appeal
Land
Improvements Total
\$15,000
4,790
$\mathbf{6 2 9 , 7 9 0}$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Hhite, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

MOTON H. CROCKETTT, JR.

|  | Assessed Value <br> Fixed by Board |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Council Action |

Between Interregional and Middle Fiskville Ra. 1.621 Acres John Applegate Sur. Parcel No. 9241210201

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
\$10,560
$\$ 20,640$

9,600
-0-

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pailmer Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

HUGH L. McMATH
Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action
N.E. Corner Rutherford Lane \& Interregional, 6.59 Acres James P. Wallace Survey
Iand
Improvements
Total

No Appeal

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 21,010 \\
-0 \ldots \\
\$ 21,010
\end{array}
$$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

LEON LEBOWITZ

3403 Cascadera Drive, Iot 6,
Block D, Balcones Park Edgemont, Section 2 Parcel No. 124060154
Iand
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board Council Action

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
R. C. ARMSTRONG
Assessed Value
Fixed by Board

Council Action
$\$ 3,330$
$-0-$

$\$ 3,330$$\quad$| $\$ 1,060$ |
| :--- |
| $-0-$ |

Corner Parkway and Rainbow
Bend, North 116 ft. avg. Iot 37, Enfield D
Parcel No. 1 1301 1004

Iand
Improvements Total
$\$ 4,380$
19,820
$\$ 24,200$
\$ 3,940
19,820
$\$ 23,760$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Paimer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
Z. T. SCOTT, By Trueman $O^{\prime}$ Quinn

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action
3700 Windsor Road, 6.68 Acres
Daniel J. Gilbert Survey
Parcel No. I 19080320

Iand Improvements Ibtal
$\$ 37,080$
\$26,300
25,420
\$62,500

25,420
$\$ 51,720$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:
O. B. KELLEY, By F. M. Pfaefflin

North Interregional, 1.044 Acres John Applegate Sur. Parcel No. 9241210109

Between North Interregional \& Middle Fiskville Road 4.089 Acres John Applegate Parcel No. 9241210204

| Iand | $\$ 3,270$ | $\$ 3,270$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Improvements | $-0-$ | $-0-$ |
| Itotal | $\$ 3,270$ | $\$ 3,270$ |
|  |  |  |
| Iand | $\$ 13,070$ | $\$ 13,070$ |
| Improvements | $\$ 380$ | 380 |
| Iotai | $\$ 13,450$ | $\$ 13,450$ |

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Pailmer
Noes: None

Councilman IaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

ROBERT P. TOOMEY, By Joe Crow

1600 Barton Springs, 3.954
Acres Isaac Decker League Parcel No. 105020407

Iand
Improvements Ibtal

Assessed Value
Fixed by Board
\$39,260
10,860
$\$ 50,120$

Council Action
\$39,260
10,860
$\$ 50,120$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman laRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following figures:

CARRINGTON'S UNIVERSITY HILLS, By Richard Baker
Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Off Loyola LaneRiver Bend
Section 1, 28.18 Acres,
J.A.G. Brooks

Parcel No. 224240602

Iand
Improvements Total
$\$ 42,270$
$\frac{-0-}{\$ 42,270}$
\$25,360
\$25,360

HOLIDAY REALTY COMPANY, INC., By Richard Baker

Webberville Road, 36 Acres
J.C. Tannehill League Parcel No. 9214270101

Iand
Improvements Total.
$\$ 24,300$
$\$ 24,300$
\$24,300
\$24,300

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Council at the following fijgures:

JACOB BAUERLE

2005 South Lamar, W. 50 It. Iot 6 Iand and E. 10 ft. Iot 7, Block 1, Fredericksburg Rd. Acres Parcel No. 100050304

1604 Gerner Street, Lot 27,

$$
\$ 1,030
$$ Block A, Barton Heights B Annex Parcel No. 100060436

2115 Oxford Avenue, $54 \times 112.5$ ft.avg.Iot 19, Block C, Cak Hills Addn.
Parcel No. 401070803
2110 Kinney Avenue, $75 \times 124$
ft. Lot 19, Block C, Oak Hill Parcel No. 401070806

2520 South 6th street, Lot I, Block D, LaPerla Parcel No. 403050208
Iand
Improvements
Total
Iand
Improvements
Total

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action
$\$ 2,440$
11,400
$\$ 13,840$
$\$ 2,440$
Improvements Total
$\$ 13,840$
11,400
$\$ 13,840$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 1,030 \\
4,240 \\
\$ 5,270
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4,240 \\
\hline 5,270
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 880 \\
2,720 \\
\hline \$ 3,600
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 880 \\
2,720 \\
\hline \text { 暴 } 3,600
\end{array}
$$

Iand
Improvements Tbtal.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 1,410 \\
2,580 \\
\hline \$ 3,990 \\
\$ \quad 840 \\
3,530 \\
\$ 4,370
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 1,410 \\
2,580 \\
\hline 3,990 \\
\$ \quad 840 \\
3,530 \\
\hline \$ 4,370
\end{array}
$$

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the values on land and improvements be set by the City Counc91 at the following figures:

JOE CROW

Interregional at Little Walnut Creek, 28.984 Acres, James P. Wallace Survey
Parcel No. 9236170302

Assessed Value Fixed by Board

Council Action

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 73,480 \\
\$ 73,480
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 73,480$
\$73,480

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Iong, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The Mayor stated before the tax rolls were closed, that there were about three others who wanted to discuss their taxes; and if the Council would approve the meeting with them next Thursday, he would call them.

The Mayor thanked the members of the Tax Department for their work. Councilman Shanks commended it for a job well done. Brief discussion was held on appointment of a citizens committee to review the fringe, unimproved properties. Councilman Shanks expressed his opinion that the committee would turn into a body that would defend the appraisals as set by the Department. Councilman long inquired if it would be possible to have materials more available to people, especially the section maps. The Tax Assessor stated the matter involved in posting current values was a much larger job than the people requesting such realize. Councilman Shanks stated it would run into thousand and thousands of dollars, and he did not believe the people of Austin would want this. The Tax Assessor stated there was information available, but not as concise as this that was made up particularly for the Council on these appeals.

The Mayor read a letter from the Chamber of Commerce signed by Mr. Tom Perkins submitting a sketch of proposed displays, which they would like to place in the Airport Terminal and the Municipal Auditorium. The displays would promote Austin's activities and facilities--Austin's Funtier Capital of texas on one side, and Austin Scientifically Oriented on the other side. No action was taken.

The City Manager submitted a matter in regard to the Ohlen Road Railroad crossing. The Director of Public Works reported they had been trying to get this crossing, stating this was one the City agreed to pay for the plank crossing and flashing signals. He explained the delay was due to completion of a subdivision, and a delay in the Houston office. On November 25 th, the Houston office mailed an estimate of $\$ 12,266$ for the plank crossing, flashing signals and gates. The Southern Pacific now has made a policy that went into effect six or eight months ago that they would provide gates on the old crossings, and that gates would be required on all new crossings. Breakdown in the estimate is as follows:

Plank Crossing
Flashing signals
Gates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 1,361.00 \\
& 5,000.00 \text { (both in line with the crossing } \\
& \text { at } 45 \text { th and Denson) }
\end{aligned}
$$

5,905.00
$\$ 12,266.00$

The Director of Public Works stated on two other crossings, the actual costs were about $70 \%$ of the estimate. He estimated if this runs true to form, the cost would be around $\$ 8,000$. He stated it was his recommendation to the engineer that the storm sewer be placed in the paving contract instead of the utility contract. If this estimate is acceptable to the City, he would get in touch with the Railroad Engineers for permission to proceed. He stated his recommendation was that the estimate based on what the actual cost had been on the preceding crossings be accepted. Councilman IaRue moved that the recommendation of the Public Works Department be accepted, and the City Manager be authorized to proceed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

The City Attorney brought up for consideration the purchase of the Bullard property at 16 th and Sabine, a tract of 6,762 square feet, 49' $\times 148^{\prime}$. He listed the price, and Mr. Bullard had indicated a willingness to accept deferred payments on the balance. Comparison of prices paid for other property in the area was made. After discussion, the Council decided to go back by and look at this property.

The Council deferred action on acquiring the property at l3th and Red River, owned by Morin Scott until the following week.

Councilman IaRue asked the City Manager to run a survey on this hospital area and find out the number of square feet the Council is talking about, the estimated cost of this so that it would be known how many millions of dollars are involved. The City Manager reported he had this information and stated in connection with the immediate development of Phase I of Brackenridge Hospital, $\$ 2,000,000$ would be needed, and that amount does not include all of the land that would be needed for future development and related activities. The matter was deferred until the following weel.

The City Manager reported a call from a representative of the I.C.R.A., stating if the City intended to file a protest in this application before the Water Board on the Colorado River Development, that December 8th was the last date. Application had been made to build dams and divert water into some other area. The City of Austin has a permit for removal from the river a considerable quantity of water. Removal of water upstream would immediately affect the City's future rights to take water from the river to which the City already has a permit Councilman LaRue said the Council should protest taking water from the Colorado and the City of Austin because of the commitments downstream. Councilman Iong stated if the water were being diverted that was a different thing; but if they
were building dams for conservation, she saw no objection. Councilman Shanks said everything should be done to protect the City of Austin and the surrounding area; and if it is a detriment to Austin, the Council should protest it with 2.11 their heart. Finally, after discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the Council join the L.C.R.A. and protest this. The motion, seconded by Councilman laRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Present but not voting: Councilman Iong
Councilman Long stated she had not studied the survey and she knew Statewide the Governor was trying to conserve water throughout the state, and she had seen no plan nor had any information presented to her to study. Councilman White stated he wanted to protect the water for the City of Austin.

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found that public necessity requires the construction of a sanitary sewer line in thecity of Austin to provide for the safe elimination of sewage in the Walnut Creek water shed in the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition of an easement fifteen (15.00) feet in width across the hereinafter described tract of land for right of way to permit the construction of the aforesaid sanitary sewer line; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and had been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value of such easement; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTITN:
That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed, against all owners and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire the hereinafter described easement for sanitary sewer purposes across the hereinafter described tract of land;

A strip of land fifteen (15.00) feet in width, same being out of and a part of that certain tract of land out of and a part of the J. C. Tannehill Ieague in Travis County, Texas, which certain tract of land was conveyed to Lena M. Horner by warranty deed dated June 20, 1929, of record in Volume 440 at page 191 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; the centerline of such strip of land fifteen (15.00) feet in width being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the east line of said Iena M. Horner tract of land and from which point of beginning an intersection point of the east line of said Lena M. Horner tract of land and the west right of way line of State Highway Loop III, said intersection point being at Highway Station No. 654 f 44.20 , bears North $29^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ East 30.93 feet;

THENCE, North $60^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ West 344.22 feet to point of termination in the west line of the said Iena M. Horner tract of land, and from which point of termination the Southwest corner of said Lena M. Horner tract of land as fenced bears South $31^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ West 658.25 feet;

AND IN ADDITION thereto a temporary working space easement forty (40) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to each side of the above described easement.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Iong, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the Community Council of Austin and Travis County be requested to act in behalf of the entire community of Austin, Texas in rendering the following services:

1. Study in full the Community Action Plan as set forth in the so-called "War on Poverty" Program. When complete, the study will be used by the Austin City Council in determining the feasibility of implementing all or any part of such program as may fall under the jurisdiction of the City.
2. Study in full the Youth Work Program as set forth in the so-called "War on Poverty" Program. When complete, the study will be used by the Austin City Council in determining the feasibility of implementing all or any part of such program as may fall under the jurisdiction of the City.
and that certain funds provided by the City of Austin be made available to the Community Council of Austin and Travis County so as to enable them to set up an adequate staff in order that the studies requested may be conducted in an efficient manner. The motion was seconded by Councilman IaRue.

In discussion of the motion, Councilman Iong stated a certain sum would have to be set up, and not just say "sums of money". The Mayor said the study would indicate how much money would be needed. Councilman laRue said the County Commissioners Court and the School Board would join in with the City, and they would also contribute funds making this a joint effort.

Councilman Iong made a motion to amend the motion to include a representative from the City Council, the Commissioners Court and School Board on the Community Council Board to work with them in making this study and determining these studies and bringing back the recommendation. Councilman Shanks objected to the motion, stating he wanted the Comunity Council to recommend as an individual body and to study it on an individual basis and come back to the Council with a report. Councilman LaRue read the list of members on the Committee, stating this gives one individual from all of the legislative bodies and almost all of the official bodies in Travis County. Councilman Iong stated this was not the Community Council, but a committee, and the Council was putting this on
the Community Council and not a committee.
Councilman Shanks moved that the amendment be tabled. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen IaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

Roll call on Councilman Shanks' original motion showed the following vote Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Director of Planning asked clarification of the motion, about the funds; as in order to prepare a communty action project there will be a cost involved to the Community Council, before any funds are advanced at the Federal. level. There will be staff and administrative costs. Councilman Shanks stated that is what the motion included, and in the interest of expediency, the Council had not been able to set a budget, but it was going to proceed. The Mayor pointed out after the Community Council submitted a program, it would report back to the Council and it would be known how much the program would cost, and how many more people would be necessary to administer it. Councilman Shanks said if the Community Council has to increase the staff to give a competent report, the City will have to put up the money. The Mayor suggested that the Council ask them to submit an estimate of what it would cost to make this study. The City Manager said they would ask what they needed in the way of assistance. The Planning Director was under the impression the Neighborhood Youth Program would be prepared by the City Administrative Staff. Councilman Shanks said the Community Council would take over the proposal of the whole thing. Counclilman LaRue believed the School Board and the Commissioners Court would participate in this also. Councilman Shanks stated the reason the Council was proposing this now was in the way of expediency.

Councilman Iong made a motion that the City Council set up a Human Relations Committee and appropriate money for a paid staff, since it has a recommendation from the Community Council for this Commission, and one from a group of negro citizens that had been filed with the City Clerk, although she had not seen it yet. The City Manager stated the people that filed the petition had asked that it be held up. The Mayor asked if she would include in the motion that the same committee appointed before would be appointed. Councilman Iong stated she would not. The Mayor called for a second to the motion but none was received. The Mayor then announced the motion died for lack of a second. Councilman long asked if the Council ever planned to take the subject up again or if this was the end. Councilman LaRue stated he was in favor of appointing the Human Relations Committee, but was not in favor of the motion as it was stated, to include a paid staff. Councilman Long stated in order to make it function, it would need a paid staffe.

The Council went into Executive Session to discuss appointments to the Advisory Committee on Mental Retardation.

There being no further business the Council adjourned at 5:55 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.


ATTEST:


