

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

October 17, 1963

10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Absent: Councilmen Shanks

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND HAROLD ZIRKEL, Crestview Methodist Church.

The Mayor announced the bid opening on the sale of \$14,000,000 Revenue Bonds. Bids were received and opened as follows:

DREXEL & COMPANY (Representing 37 others)	3.284713 %
THE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION AND EASTMAN DILLON-UNION SECURITIES & COMPANY, Joint Managers	3.24609 %
LEHMAN BROTHERS, FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY, ACCOUNT MANAGERS AND ASSOCIATES (25 others)	3.2888 %
PHELPS, FENN & COMPANY, RAUSCHER, PIERCE & COMPANY, INC., AND ASSOCIATES (58 others)	3.2381 %
HALSEY, STUART & COMPANY, INC., SMITH BARNEY & COMPANY AND ASSOCIATES (50 others)	3.20905 %

MR. W. C. JACKSON, First Southwest Company, Fiscal Agent, MR. CURTIS ADRIAN, and MR. WILLARD HOUSER all stated with the type of market and with the maturities, these were excellent bids. The City Manager said, in May of 1962, Revenue Bonds were sold around 3.04%. MR. JACKSON stated in May, 1962, the Municipal Index was 3.00%; and the City received a 3.04% interest bid. The Bond Buyers' Index is 3.18% today; and the bid is 3.20% which, in comparison, the City is two points better off than it was last year. The Council referred the bids to the Finance Director and the Fiscal Agent for review and report back.

Later in the meeting, the City Manager reported the bids on the Bonds had been checked, and he recommended that the sale be made to the lowest bidder. Tabulation of bids is as follows:

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS
TABULATION OF BIDS
RECEIVED ON \$14,000,000 ELECTRIC, WATER AND SEWER REVENUE BONDS
OCTOBER 17, 1963

NAME OF BIDDER	MATURITY DATES	NOMINAL INTEREST RATE	GROSS INTEREST COST	PREMIUM OFFERED	NET INTEREST COST	EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE
1. HALSEY, STUART & CO., INC.	Oct. 1, 1964 thru Oct. 1, 1969	5 %				
SMITH BARNEY & CO., AND ASSO- CIATES (50 others)	Apr. 1, 1970 thru Oct. 1, 1980	3 %				
	Apr. 1, 1981 thru Oct. 1, 1983	3.10 %				
	Apr. 1, 1984 thru Oct. 1, 1985	3.20 %				
	Apr. 1, 1986 thru Oct. 1, 1988	3 1/4 %	\$7,701,871.25	\$13,860.00	\$7,688,011.25	3.20905 %
2. PHELPS, FENN & CO., RAUSCHER, PIERCE & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES (58 Others)	Oct. 1, 1964 thru Oct. 1, 1969	5 %				
	Apr. 1, 1970 thru Oct. 1, 1979	3 %				
	Apr. 1, 1980 thru Oct. 1, 1983	3.10 %				
	Apr. 1, 1984 thru Oct. 1, 1986	3 1/4 %				
	Apr. 1, 1987 thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.30 %	7,773,615.00	15,960.00	7,757,655.00	3.2381 %
3. THE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION AND EASTMAN DILLON- UNION SECURITIES & CO., Joint Managers	Oct. 1, 1964 thru Apr. 1, 1971	5 %				
	Oct. 1, 1971 thru Oct. 1, 1979	3 %				
	Apr. 1, 1980 thru Oct. 1, 1983	3.10 %				
	Apr. 1, 1984 thru Oct. 1, 1984	3.20 %				
	Apr. 1, 1985 thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.25 %	7,792,563.75	15,820.00	7,776,743.75	3.24609 %
4. DREXEL & CO. (Representing 37 Others)	Oct. 1, 1964 thru Oct. 1, 1970	5 %				
	Apr. 1, 1971 thru Oct. 1, 1977	3 %				
	Apr. 1, 1978 thru Oct. 1, 1980	3.10 %				
	Apr. 1, 1981 thru Oct. 1, 1984	3.20 %				
	Apr. 1, 1985 thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.30 %	7,870,842.50	1,573.00	7,869,269.50	3.284713 %
5. LEHMAN BROTHERS, FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY, ACCOUNT MANAGERS AND ASSOCIATES (25 Others)	Oct. 1, 1964 thru Oct. 1, 1969	5 %				
	Apr. 1, 1970 thru Oct. 1, 1970	3 1/2 %				
	Apr. 1, 1971 thru Oct. 1, 1981	3.10 %				
	Apr. 1, 1982 thru Oct. 1, 1984	3 1/4 %				
	Apr. 1, 1985 thru Oct. 1, 1988	3.30 %	7,879,851.25	840.00	7,879,011.25	3.2888 %

October 17, 1963

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith, Barney & Co. and Associates, acting by and through their duly authorized representative, R. E. Whitlock, this day submitted to the City Council the following proposal:

OFFICIAL BID FORM

Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Austin
Austin, Texas

October 17, 1963

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement", dated September 30, 1963, of \$14,000,000 CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1963, both of which constitute a part hereof.

For your legally issued bonds, as described in said Notice, we will pay you par and accrued interest from date of issue to date of delivery to us, plus a cash premium of \$13,860.00 for bonds maturing and bearing interest as follows:

October 1, 1964, through Oct. 1, 1969	5	%
April 1, 1970, through Oct. 1, 1980	3	%
April 1, 1981, through Oct. 1, 1983	3.10	%
April 1, 1984, through Oct. 1, 1985	3.20	%
April 1, 1986, through Oct. 1, 1988	3 1/4	%

Interest cost, in accordance with the above bid is:

Gross Interest Cost	\$7,701,871.25
Less Premium	13,860.00
NET INTEREST COST	\$7,688,011.25
EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE	3.20905%

Attached hereto is Cashier's Check of the American National Bank, Austin, Texas, in the amount of \$280,000.00, which represents our Good Faith Deposit, and which is submitted in accordance with the terms as set forth in the "Official Notice of Sale" and "Official Statement."

We desire that the Paying Agents for this issue be: _____,
New York, New York, and _____, Austin, Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

HALSEY, STUART & CO. Inc.

SMITH, BARNEY & CO.

AND ASSOCIATES (See Attached List)

By _____
R. E. Whitlock

Authorized Representative

ACCEPTANCE CLAUSE

The above and foregoing bid is hereby in all things accepted by the City of Austin, Texas, this the 17th day of October, 1963.

Lucia E. Palmer

Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

ATTEST:

City Clerk

APPROVED:

City Attorney, City of Austin, Texas

* * * * *

Return of Good Faith Deposit is hereby acknowledged: _____

By _____

\$14,000,000 CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS Electric Light and Power, Water-
works and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 1963 Date of Sale -
October 17, 1963

HALSEY, STUART & CO. Inc.
SMITH, BARNEY & CO.
HARRIMAN RIPLEY & CO., INCORPORATED
BLYTH & CO., INC.
GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO.
SALOMON BROTHERS & HUTZLER
JOHN NUVEEN & CO.
WEEDEN & CO.
BLAIR & CO., INCORPORATED
ESTABROOK & CO.
A. G. BECKER & CO., INCORPORATED
ALEX. BROWN & SONS
FIRST OF MICHIGAN CORPORATION
HALLGARTEN & CO.
W. E. HUTTON & CO.
BACON, WHIPPLE & CO.
G. H. WALKER & CO.
DALLAS UNION SECURITIES CO., INC.
ROOSEVELT & CROSS, INCORPORATED
SPENCER TRASK & CO.
McCORMICK & CO.
STERN BROTHERS & CO.
J. BARTH & CO.
BOETTCHER AND COMPANY
THE MILWAUKEE COMPANY

TRIPP & CO., INC.
FITZPATRICK, SULLIVAN & CO.
BLUNT ELLIS & SIMMONS
J. R. WILLISTON & BEANE, INCORPORATED
SECOND DISTRICT SECURITIES CO., INC.
DEMPSEY-TEGELER & CO., INC.
HALLE & STIEGLITZ
AUSTIN TOBIN & CO., INCORPORATED
SEASONGOOD & MAYER
BURNS, CORBETT & PICKARD, INC.
RUSS & COMPANY, INC.
MERRILL, TURBEN & CO., INC.
JAMES C. TUCKER & CO., INC.
WATLING, LERCHEN & CO.
McMASTER, HUTCHINSON & CO.
ROBERT K. WALLACE & CO.
WELLS & CHRISTENSEN, INCORPORATED
EVANS & CO., INCORPORATED
ALLAN BLAIR & COMPANY
CUNNINGHAM, SCHMERTZ & CO., INC.
IRVING J. RICE & COMPANY, INC.
THE WEIL, ROTH & IRVING CO.
A. WEBSTER DOUGHERTY & CO.
THE CHEROKEE SECURITIES CO.
HANNAFORD & TALBOT

and

WHEREAS, the said bid and proposal of Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith, Barney & Co. and Associates, was the most advantageous bid submitted to the City

Council for the purchase of the aforesaid bonds; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That said proposal of Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith, Barney & Co., and Associates, to purchase \$14,000,000.00 CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1963, As per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated September 30, 1963, at the price and upon the terms of said proposal be and the same is hereby accepted and Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith, Barney & Co., and Associates, be awarded the sale of said bonds; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell to Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith, Barney & Co., and Associates the \$14,000,000.00 CITY OF AUSTIN TEXAS ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1963, as per the City's Notice of Sale and Prospectus dated September 30, 1963, at par and accrued interest from date of delivery, plus a cash premium of \$13,860.00 according to the terms of said bid hereinbefore set out.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Shanks

MINUTES PERTAINING TO PASSAGE AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE
NO. 631017-A AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$14,000,000
"CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATER-
WORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 1963",
DATED OCTOBER 1, 1963

THE STATE OF TEXAS

CITY OF AUSTIN

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

ON THIS, the 17th day of October, 1963, the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, convened in regular session at the regular meeting place thereof in the City Hall with the following members present, to-wit:

LESTER E. PALMER
TRAVIS LaRUE
MRS. EMMA LONG
BEN WHITE

MAYOR
COUNCILMAN
COUNCILWOMAN
COUNCILMAN

and with the following absent: Louis Shanks

constituting a quorum; at which time the following among other business was transacted, to-wit:

The Mayor submitted and introduced an ordinance authorizing the issuance of \$14,000,000 "City of Austin, Texas, Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and

Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 1963" for the City Council's consideration, the caption of said ordinance being as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE by the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, authorizing the issuance of \$14,000,000 'City of Austin, Texas, Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 1963', for the purpose of extending and improving the City's combined Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System (being the fourth parcel or installment of a total voted authorization of \$50,000,000 bonds), as authorized by the general laws of the State of Texas, particularly Articles 1111 et seq., Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, 1925, as amended; prescribing the form of bonds and the form of interest coupons; pledging the revenues of the City's combined Electric Light and Power Waterworks and Sewer System to the payment of the principal of and interest of said bonds, after deduction of reasonable operation and maintenance expenses; enacting provisions incident and relating to the subject and purpose of this ordinance; and declaring an emergency."

The ordinance was read and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended which requires that no ordinance shall become effective until the expiration of ten days following the date of its final passage, and that such ordinance be finally passed and adopted at this meeting, and that for the reasons recited therein, said ordinance be passed as an emergency measure for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety of the citizens of Austin as permitted by the City Charter. The motion was seconded by Councilman LaRue and carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Palmer, Councilmen LaRue, and White, and Councilwoman Long.
Noes: None.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rules be further suspended and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure to its third reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman LaRue and carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Palmer, Councilmen LaRue, and White, and Councilwoman Long.
Noes: None.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed as an emergency measure. The motion was seconded by Councilman LaRue and carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Palmer, Councilmen LaRue, and White, and Councilwoman Long.
Noes: None.

The Mayor then announced that the ordinance had been finally passed and adopted.

MINUTES APPROVED, this the 17th day of October, 1963.

ATTEST:

s/ Elsie Woosley
City Clerk, City of Austin, Texas
(City's Seal)

s/ Lester E. Palmer
Mayor, City of Austin, Texas

At 10:30 A.M., the Mayor announced that bids would be received on equipment for the Holly Street Power Plant Unit No. 3. After the City Manager ascertained that all bidders had received the addenda, he opened the bids received for CLOSED HEATERS, Contract No. 105. Following is a tabulation of bids:

CONTRACT NO. 105 - CLOSED FEEDWATER HEATERS

Holly No. 3

Bids opened at 10:30 A.M. on October 17, 1963 at Council Meeting

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Bond</u>	<u>Item I 5 Closed Heaters</u>	<u>Item II Per Diem</u>	<u>Escalation</u>	<u>Drawings In Calendar Days</u>
A.M. LOCKETT & COMPANY	\$60,000	\$239,047	\$ 92	Firm	45
STROTHERS-WELLS CORPORATION	\$60,000	\$218,700	\$100*	Firm	14-21
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP.	\$60,000	\$213,600	\$115	Firm	30

* \$600 Minimum Charge.

Bids were then opened on the REMOTE BURNER CONTROLS, Contract No. 128. Following is a tabulation of bids:

CONTRACT NO. 128-A - REMOTE BURNER CONTROLS

Holly No. 3

Bids opened at 10:30 A.M. on October 17, 1963 at Council Meeting

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Bond</u>	<u>Item I Complete System</u>	<u>Item II Spare Parts</u>	<u>Item III Deduct.</u>	<u>Item IV Spare Parts Item III</u>	<u>Escala- tion</u>
BAILEY METER COMPANY	\$40,000	\$123,484	\$2,585	\$ 3,480	\$ 20	See Pro- posal Page 1
FORNEY ENGINEERING COMPANY	\$40,000	\$116,742	\$3,240	\$104,992	\$3,240	Firm
		<u>Drawings In Calendar Days</u>	<u>Item V Per Diem</u>			
BAILEY METER COMPANY		See Page 3 of Proposal	\$100			
FORNEY ENGINEERING COMPANY		98	\$100			

The bids on both items were referred to the Consulting Engineers, BROWN & ROOT, for evaluation and report back.

October 17, 1963

Later in the meeting the City Manager submitted the following recommendation from Brown & Root:

"October 17, 1963
File : M-245-D.V.B.

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Mgr.
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1160
Austin 64, Texas

"CLOSED FEEDWATER HEATERS - CONTRACT NO. 105
CITY OF AUSTIN
HOLLY STREET POWER STATION - UNIT NO. 3
OUR JOB E-192

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Brown & Root, Inc., has examined the bids opened by you at 10:30 A.M., October 17, 1963, in open Council meeting for the Holly Street Power Station, Unit Number Three, Closed Feedwater Heaters, Items CFWH-31 through CFWH-33, CFWH-35 and CFWH-36, Contract Number 105.

"Bids were submitted by:

A. M. Lockett & Co., Ltd.
Struthers-Wells
Westinghouse Electric Corporation

"All of the above bids were found to be in accordance with the specifications and a bid tabulation sheet is attached.

"On the basis of the lowest and best bid, firm prices and satisfactory drawing delivery, it is recommended that a contract for the Closed Feedwater Heaters be awarded to Westinghouse Electric Corporation for Item One for the total lump sum of \$213,600.

"Yours very truly,
BROWN & ROOT, INC.
s/ D. V. Boyd, Project Engineer

"APPROVED:
s/ D. C. Kinney, Director Electric Utility
City of Austin"

The City Manager said the Director of Electric Utilities and he concurred in the recommendation. Councilman Long moved that Contract No. 105 for Closed Feedwater Heaters be awarded to WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION for Item One for the total lump sum of \$213,600. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

October 17, 1963

The City Manager submitted the following recommendation of Brown & Root, Consulting Engineers:

"October 17, 1963

File: M-275-DVB

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Mgr.
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1160
Austin 64, Texas

"REMOTE BURNER CONTROLS & SPARE PARTS
CONTRACT NO. 128-A - CITY OF AUSTIN
HOLLY STREET POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3
OUR JOB E-192

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Brown & Root, Inc., has examined the bids opened by you at 10:30 A.M., October 17, 1963, in open Council meeting for the Holly Street Power Station, Unit No. 3, Remote Burner Controls & Spare Parts.

"Bids for the Remote Burner Controls and Spare Parts (Item I and II) in the amounts indicated were received from:

Bailey Meter Co.	\$126,069.00
Forney Engineering Co.	\$119,982.00

"Both of the above bids were found to be in accordance with the specifications. On the basis of the lowest and best bid, firm prices and satisfactory drawing delivery, it is recommended that the contract for Remote Burner Controls and Spare Parts be awarded to Forney Engineering Company, for Items I and II of Contract No. 128-A, for the total lump sum of \$119,982.00.

"Yours very truly,
BROWN & ROOT, INC.

s/ D. V. Boyd, Project Engineer

"APPROVED:

s/ D. C. Kinney, Director Electric Utility
City of Austin"

The City Manager stated the Director of Electric Utilities and he concurred in the recommendation. He pointed out the City would reserve the option to take advantage of the deduction if it saw fit. Councilman White moved that Contract No. 128, Remote Burner Controls and spare parts, be awarded to FORNEY ENGINEERING COMPANY in the sum of \$119,982. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

At 11:00 A.M., the Mayor stated the Council would hear the group who was proposing the ordinance creating a Human Rights Commission and stated REV. ROBERT L. ROWE would represent the group. Speaking in favor of the ordinance were REV. ROWE, Ebenezer Baptist Church; MR. STERLING HOLLOWAY, Chairman and President of an organization of some 300 Austin citizens that cut across all lanes of business, organizations, race, religions, color - The Austin Commission of Human Relations; REV. LEE FREEMAN, University Baptist Church, representing the Austin Council of Churches; MR. M. J. ANDERSON, State President, United Political Organization of Texas; REV. BRANDOCH LOVELY, First Unitarian Church; MR. AL MENDEZ, Political Association of Spanish Speaking Organizations; FATHER VICTOR GOERTZ, representing the Catholic Diocese of Austin; DR. BASSETT MAGUIRE, Professor at The University of Texas and member of the Board of Trustees of the American Civil Liberties Union; REV. DAVID STITT, Austin Presbyterian Seminary; MR. CHESTER SNYDER, Temple Beth Israel and as a business man; DR. EMMA SCHWEPPE, representing the Travis County Democratic Women's Committee; MR. BOB OVERTON, President of the Austin Branch of the National Association of the Advancement of Colored People; DR. WILLIAM A. CLEBSCH, American Association of University Professors at the Episcopal Seminary; MR. BOB BRYAN, Protestant Workers Association of the University of Texas; MR. GUS KOPKA, Chairman of the Religious Workers Association of the University; AUSTIN TRADES COUNCIL by communication; REV. WOOD; ASSOCIATIONS OF WOMEN'S CLUBS; and COMMUNITY WELFARE ASSOCIATION by communication.

DR. JOHN WITHERSPOON, Professor of Law, qualifying himself as a trial lawyer, appellants lawyer, counselor of law, and a lawyer's lawyer, and as consultant to the legal departments of the Humble Oil Company, Dow Chemical, Mobil Oil Company, Long Star Gas Company and others, explained the drafting of the ordinance and discussed the ordinance in lengthy detail. Others speaking in favor of the ordinance were REV. S. L. DAVIS; MRS. E. L. COLLINS; MRS. CHRISTIAN, Community Welfare Association; and MISS EUNICE PARKER.

Opposition to the ordinance was expressed by MR. AMOS HEROLD; MR. VERNON LUPER; and MR. MAURICE QUIGLEY who emphasized he was not speaking in opposition to the people here, but he was against any new bureau to tell a private citizen and business and professional men how to conduct their businesses; and he urged the Council not to adopt this ordinance.

MR. IRVIN SPEAR; MR. B. T. BONNER; REV. SIMMS; MR. WILLIAM SPEARMAN, Campus Interracial Committee; REV. CHRISTIAN, Mt. Olive Baptist Church; and others spoke in favor of the ordinance.

COUNCILMAN LaRUE stated he had listened with respect and had considered everything that had been said, but to leave the discussion at this point would be a great injustice and an unintended disservice to the community. He said all of the City's facilities were integrated, and this should be the knowledge of people who read the proceedings that have taken place today. He pointed out that integration of the schools started ten years ago in Austin. The hotels, motels, theaters, restaurants, and cafeterias are integrated -- not 100%, but in no circumstances would anything else be 100% true except perhaps in mathematics or some of the exact sciences. Councilman LaRue emphasized that Austin was a good community, and the people who spoke today did not mean to imply that it was not. REVEREND WOODS stated it should be pointed out that not a single one of these things was done voluntarily; that there was pressure brought at every point.

COUNCILMAN LONG stated this had been a wonderful meeting, and all had learned some things they did not know; but she was not for rushing an ordinance through today that is as important to all citizens as this one is. She said the

Council had been through this, and it had been having conferences with some of the people here; but the people as a whole had not been in these conferences, and they should have a right to know what is going on, to think about it, and get conditioned for it. She said that today was not the day to vote on it. She said the presentation had been beautifully made, and she appreciated it very much and expressed hope that the group understood the procedure had to go through the Democratic processes.

COUNCILMAN WHITE said he was for everything they were for, but he was not saying he was for this ordinance. He said ever since he had been on the Council he had acted and voted from the dictates of his conscience. He said if there was no ordinance passed, he thought, it would all be taken care of; and he asked the group to just wait, not 100 years but just a little while longer, and it would all be taken care of. He said he was not ready to vote today; but when the time comes, he was going to vote one way or the other.

MAYOR PALMER said this question had weighed heavily on the conscience of all of the Council. He said he believed from the discussion here that most all agreed there was no area in conflict in what was trying to be accomplished; but the conflict gets into what method - by ordinance, voluntary, or some other method. He reminded the group also that every public facility of the City was integrated and stated he believed progress on a local level had been made. This would take lots of study, and he told the group it would not be satisfied with a delay, but this was such an important issue that the Council is doing what is right and what is for the welfare of the people of Austin. He believed what they wanted could be brought about without legislating what is almost an established fact. The Mayor said it was fortunate Austin today did not need an ordinance when the facilities that serve 80% of the people are already integrated. He told the group that the Council would study this ordinance carefully, and it would make up its mind with a lot of study and careful consideration.

REVEREND WROE, DR. WITHERSPOON, DR. J. J. SEABROOK, and MR. HOLLOWAY made further statements.

COUNCILMAN LONG suggested setting another meeting for a public hearing so that it would be announced in advance, and this could be continued and a conclusion reached. The MAYOR asked if a night meeting would be preferable and suggested checking with the Auditorium Manager and letting them know later.

(COPY OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE ON FILE IN CITY CLERK'S OFFICE.)

The Council recessed until 3:30 P.M.

RECESSED MEETING

3:40 P.M.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of October 3, 1963, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None
 Absent: Councilman Shanks

The Council heard the following tax appeals made by MR. TED WENDLANDT, representative.

ALLEN C. BRYANT - Eberhart Lane, 67.66 acres out of the William Cannon League.

	Full Value by Tax Dept. 1962	Full Value by Tax Dept. 1963	Assessed Value by Tax Dept.	Value Rendered by Owner	Assessed Value Fixed by Board
Land	\$ 40,596	\$ 40,596	\$ 30,450	\$ 20,298	\$ 30,450
Improvements	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 40,596	\$ 40,596	\$ 30,450	\$ 20,298	\$ 30,450

Mr. Wendlandt, representing Mr. Allen C. Bryant, stated he was paying more taxes in propostion to any of the other property owners in Austin. The property is in the City as well as in the Water District. He compared the value of this property to the value of the property on South 1st Street and stated one half of this land on Webberville Road is swamp land when it rains. Mr. Wendlandt said the Tax Department claimed it made an allowance on that. He stated property directly across the street (Maufrais property) was one and one half times better than this particular property.

AUSTIN ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED - 315 Guadalupe Street, Lot 12 and west 1.62 feet of Lot 11, Block 27, Original City.

	Full Value by Tax Dept. 1962	Full Value by Tax Dept. 1963	Assessed Value by Tax Dept.	Value Rendered by Owner	Assessed Value Fixed by Board
Land	\$ 28,136	\$ 28,136	\$ 21,100	\$ 21,100	\$ 21,100
Improvements	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 28,136	\$ 28,136	\$ 21,100	\$ 21,100	\$ 21,100

Mr. Wendlandt represented Austin Enterprises, Inc., on the property located at 315 Guadalupe Street on which was placed a value of \$28,000. He stated he is offering this for sale for \$24,000, but there are no buyers; and there are lots of vacancies in that area.

MAYOR PALMER stated the Council would go look at these properties and give Mr. Wendlandt an answer at the earliest possible moment.

The MAYOR called the Council's attention to a petition representing 122 citizens of Northwest Austin requesting a traffic light at the intersection of Hancock Drive and Shoal Creek Boulevard. Councilman LaRue moved that the petition be referred to the City Manager and that he be asked to investigate this and report back as soon as possible. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None
 Absent: Councilman Shanks

Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE GRANTING TO TEXAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY A FRANCHISE TO CONSTRUCT, MAINTAIN AND OPERATE A NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTING PLANT AND SYSTEM IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, AND PRESCRIBING THE TERMS, CONDITIONS, OBLIGATIONS AND LIMITATIONS UPON AND UNDER WHICH SUCH FRANCHISE SHALL BE EXERCISED", WHICH ORDINANCE WAS PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN ON THE 12TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1948, AND IS RECORDED AT LENGTH IN ORDINANCE BOOK "N", PAGES 254-267, INCLUSIVE OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 620719-A OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BY AMENDING SECTION 10, SECTION 11, AND SECTION 21 OF SAID ORDINANCE OF AUGUST 12, 1948.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING TO PUBLIC TRAVEL THAT CERTAIN ALLEY IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, LOCALLY KNOWN AS WEST 6TH STREET ALLEY; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried

by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

COUNCILMAN LONG announced there was a delegation of people coming in from Port Lavaca, Saturday at 5:00 P.M., via the Lockhart Highway to go to the Coliseum; and they requested a permit to parade and to have a police escort. Councilman Long moved subject to the City Manager's working out the usual details that the permit be granted for the parade for this delegation from Port Lavaca. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

MAYOR PALMER opened the public hearing on the ordinance pertaining to the annexation of 1.81 acres of land out of the James P. Wallace Survey No. 57. No one appeared to be heard. Councilman Long moved that the hearing be closed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its first reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 1.81 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THAT CERTAIN 90 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES P. WALLACE SURVEY NUMBER 57, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The City Manager made a report on the ordinance that pertained to annexing WESTERN TRAILS, SECTION 8, stating Western Trails lies in the jurisdiction of Sunset Valley and nothing further could be done until an agreement with Sunset Valley is made on the jurisdiction question. The City Attorney explained the new law regarding annexation of areas between cities stating this particular subdivision is in Austin's extraterritorial jurisdiction as it lies within five miles of Austin and one half mile from Sunset Valley. Any agreement between the two cities would have to be approved by the Councils of both cities. The City Manager stated the City would initiate the matter, and it would come before the Council for approval. Final passage of this ordinance will be delayed until the agreement is reached and approved.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA AND CHANGING THE USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: (1) THE SOUTH 20 FEET OF LOT 4 AND ALL OF LOT 5, GLENRIDGE ADDITION FROM "LR" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT TO "GR" GENERAL RETAIL DISTRICT; (2) TWO IRREGULAR SHAPED TRACTS OF LAND ABUTTING WHEELLESS LAND AND CONTAINING AN AREA OF 5.26 ACRES, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 2111-2139 AND 2126-2208 WHEELLESS LANE, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "GR" GENERAL RETAIL DISTRICT AND SIXTH HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT; AND (3) LOTS 5 AND 6 AND THE EAST 3.5 FEET OF LOT 7, BLOCK 78, ORIGINAL CITY OF AUSTIN, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "O" OFFICE DISTRICT; ALL OF SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The Council postponed decisions on the following zoning applications pending from last week:

EDGAR S. DAUGHERTY By Richard Baker	1913 Anita Drive 1701-1707 Bluebonnet Dr.	From "C" Commercial To "C-1" Commercial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission
R. G. KUYKENDALL, ET AL By Johnson & Baker	1100-1102, 1106-1108 West 22nd Street 2200-2204 Leon Street Additional Area: 1104 West 22nd Street	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission RECOMMENDED "B" Resi- dence 1st Height & Area
THALBERT R. THOMAS	2901 (2906) Rio Grande 2911 Salado Street Additional Area: 2908 Rio Grande Street 2909 Salado Street	From "BB" Residence To "IR" Local Retail NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission
W. N. ALEXANDER	2149 South Lamar Boulevard	From "C" Commercial To "C-1" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission

The Council had before it consideration of amendments to the GARBAGE COLLECTION ORDINANCE. Councilman White reported Mr. Brown of Western Auto had been paying \$4.00 for having his garbage collected at the Capitol Plaza location, and the price went up to \$12.00. The City Manager stated any commercial or industrial concern has the privilege of having someone else to haul it if they would do it cheaper. The City Manager stated tentative rates had been set for the commercial hauls, and the people were not compelled to use the City service.

The City Manager explained the proposed amendment to the Garbage Collection Ordinance stating it provided for licensing and regulating persons engaged in the business of hauling garbage, rubbish, etc. The ordinance would require those engaged in the business to apply for a permit or license, that they indicate what vehicles they will use, that they have drivers who are properly licensed to operate commercial vehicles, and that they indicate where they intend to dispose of the trash and rubbish they collect, etc. Councilman Long inquired how much the City would lose or gain under the new ordinance. The City Manager explained that since the goal was set to try to recoup the cost of operation, the charge was provided to offset the cost of the operation, but it failed to do that by \$50,000 a year; but since that was the approach to it in the first place, to lose business is not to lose money; and if it costs as much to do business as the City is getting out of it, the failure to do business does not cost anything. He explained it cost more to make individual stops at each

individual unit 40 or 50 feet apart than it did to make one stop and pick up 8, 10, or 30 at one time. The residents are paying on the low side of the collection. He suggested it would be advisable, in order not to lose a large number of these customers, that the City be more competitive where the owner would have the option to do business with the City or a private hauler, and that it set up new rates which he listed. DR. EMMA SCHWEPPE believed the charge to one person in a duplex was unreasonable based on comparison of amount of collection from other residences where there is a large family.

Councilman LaRue asked if a thorough study had been made to see if commercial haulers would do this job which is a loss to the City of \$50,000. The City Manager reported discussing this with a number of people who inquired about the complete service. There are a number of problems in cities that have tried this service, one being the private concern is not as responsive to the citizen's complaint. The Public Works Director and his Department have not found anyone that would perform this service for the charge which the City is making, as a person engaged in private enterprise would have to have a profit and would be subject to a number of taxes to which public agencies are not. After more discussion, Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 13.9; 13.11; AND
13.16 OF CHAPTER 13 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF
1954 PERTAINING TO THE COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND
RUBBISH. AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long*
Absent: Councilman Shanks

*Councilman Long voting against the motion stating she was opposed to the ordinance but noting this was an improvement.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 10 OF ORDINANCE
NO. 600721-C SO AS TO RESET THE TIME FOR THE
PUBLIC HEARING THEREIN PRESCRIBED CONCERNING
PAVING OF CERTAIN PORTIONS OF SCENIC DRIVE;
AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion,

seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager stated the AUSTIN NATIONAL BANK was proposing, during the Automobile Show, to let automobiles be parked on their property which is the recessed area under their second floor. In order to park these vehicles on that location, they request permission to mask one of the parking meters in order to have a place to drive the vehicles across the sidewalks to this recessed area. Councilman LaRue moved that the Council grant the request made by the Austin National Bank. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks
Not in Council Room when the roll was called: Councilman Long

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, an easement for sanitary sewer purposes was granted the City of Austin by instrument dated August 30, 1963, of record in Volume 2647 at pages 286-288 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, for a ten (10.00) foot strip of land out of a part of the Santiago Del Valle Grant in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of said premises have heretofore granted an easement at a more desirable location; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of said premises have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the above described sanitary sewer easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that said easement in, upon and across the following described property is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a release of the following described sanitary sewer easement, to wit:

A strip of land ten (10.00) feet in width, out of and a part of that certain tract of land out of and a part of the Santiago Del Valle Grant in Travis County, Texas, which certain tract of land was conveyed to Olga Theresia Schneider by Warranty Deed dated April 22, 1942, of record in Volume 695 at page 420 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; the centerline of said strip of land ten (10.00) feet in width being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the east line of said Schneider Tract of land, and from which point of beginning the southeast corner of said Schneider Tract of land, said southeast corner being also a point in the north line of Old Burleson Road, bears S 29° 07' E 357.27 feet;

THENCE, N 72° 43' W 588.3 feet to point of termination in the west line of said Schneider Tract of land, same being also the east line of Metcalfe Road (Old Burleson Road).

AND IN ADDITION thereto a temporary working space easement twenty (20.00) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to the north and south sides of the above described easement.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The City Manager stated the Building Official had reported that the Building Code Committee had met and decided to meet every second and fourth Wednesday night. These dates conflict with MR. PETE McNEILL's schedule, and he asks to be relieved of his appointment. This leaves ten others on the committee which would be enough, or perhaps the Council would want to appoint another. The Mayor stated he would check with Mr. McNeil to see if he had a suggestion.

The Director of Public Works made a report on the ST. ELMO RAILROAD CROSSING stating he had received a communication from Mr. Baker approving the liability policy with a few minor corrections; and when those are made, the work will begin. WESTERN UNION is scheduled to start raising its lines Monday morning. Mayor Palmer inquired about the smoothing job on the railroad track on GUADALUPE STREET asking if the City was doing this work or the Railroad. The City did the asphalt work on the Guadalupe Street crossing. The Director of Public Works stated he had talked to the one in charge of the work in the Austin area and was told they would be back on Colorado and Lavaca in the next ten days. He reported SOUTHERN PACIFIC is to take out a portion of their line from Neches Street to Congress Avenue and repave. They were to do that about 30 days ago. They had written a letter stating they wanted to do that work right away if there were no other plans for paving, and he had told them he would work with them; but so far they have not started work.

The City Manager stated an offer had been received from MR. ANDREW VISCARDI who owns some lots in the area of EAST 51ST STREET west to Old Manor Road, which lots the City needs. He listed the amount of the offer. Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to enter into a contract with Mr.

Viscardi to purchase this property as recommended by the City Manager. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

The City Manager made a report on the controversy between UNITED GAS COMPANY and COASTAL STATES GAS PRODUCING COMPANY. The City has selected MR. REUBEN GOLDBERT as its Counselor in Washington, D. C., on this matter. The City Manager stated the City Attorney had been in contact with Mr. Goldberg, and he had recommended that the City not file for permission to intervene in the matter now as that would have the effect of recognizing the pendency of a matter that should not be pending in the Federal Power Commission anyway; and since Mr. Goldberg had acquainted the Commission with the fact that the City is definitely interested and concerned, possibly the best immediate approach would be for Coastal States to ask for dismissal of the whole thing. The City Attorney gave a brief report of the technicalities.

The City Manager made a report on the status of negotiations on the purchase of Water Districts which the Council had authorized on Travis-Williamson County Water District No. 1, Travis County Water Districts No. 5, 6, 7, 8, and 13:

TRAVIS-WILLIAMSON COUNTY NO. 1. The Board has successfully arranged for the surrender of their bonds as set out in the conditions; it has passed an order levying \$2.68 tax, and its statements have been mailed. The only remaining factor before completing the negotiations will be to prepare the contract and to verify some of their records.

TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 7. This District has indicated it would like to proceed, and it has already met the conditions set up in that their bonds are recallable. All that is necessary now is for the City to give them notice that it wants to take them up on the interest paying date. The assets more than balance the liabilities, and no tax would have to be levied in order to balance the accounts. The problem the District will have will be the enlargement of the lines in its system. If the City takes the District over, that will be the City's problem. This district is operating at a profit.

TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 6. This District will either have to get a commitment from the bondholders or levy a tax. The question of the possible incorporation of the town of Pilot Knob would have to be disposed of, since the City would not want to acquire a system that was about to be in a separate town. When that is disposed of, all that would be necessary would be to complete the inventory and put it into a bill of sale. This district is operating at a profit.

TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 5. The City Manager stated the Board probably was not unanimous, and it might not want to go through this sale this year but may want to take it up again next year.

TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 13. This District is not ready to consider the matter, but it hoped to do some work in the winter and spring with the people in the District as all would be in a better position if they would comply with the City's conditions of sale.

TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 8. is about ready to levy a tax of \$3.05.

TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 12. As to Water District No. 12, the City Manager stated the City did not operate the system, and it does not have any information on the district. There has been some contact with them, but it will be some time before the City gets in a position to take it over; but it will start operating the system for them.

The City Manager brought up the matter of purchasing the LOTT PROPERTY, and the City Attorney suggested that the Council could authorize him to negotiate the purchase of it. The City Attorney stated another appraisal had been made, and some other provisions had been discussed, that would be desirable from the City's point of view; the Lott Estate had accepted it; it was to accept the City's offer of \$52,500, give title to the City, and the Estate retain possession of the houses until the City needs them. The Estate will lease the houses, collect the rents, etc. The City Attorney listed the needs for this property but stated it was not known just how soon it would have to take possession. Discussion was held on the length of time the agreement would run that the Lott Estate would have possession of the houses. Councilman LaRue suggested that there be a cut-off time with the possibility of renegotiating at the end of that time. The City Attorney explained the reduction in price of the land was more or less dependent upon the Estate's being able, through the rental of the houses, to recoup the difference between the \$52,500 and the price they first had offered realizing, of course, that the City could take the property at any time when it needed it. Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager negotiate for this property as set out on a two-year cut-off period; but if he is unable to work it out on this basis, that he have the authority to extend that period to three years. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Shanks

The City Manager discussed the matter of a GENERAL NEIGHBORHOOD RENEWAL PROGRAM which was suggested by the Fort Worth office in connection with the Glen Oaks Project which he had mentioned last week. He discussed in detail the advantages of such a plan and factors that should be taken into account. He explained if the City had a project and had money spent in this project which would provide services to an area outside of the project, the City would get full participation if it were in this General Neighborhood Renewal Program. Not only in having a project in one of these programs would the City be able to get full credit if the area served by the expenditures was already within the General

Neighborhood Renewal Program, but money spent outside of the project and in the area could be captured as credits for projects within the whole Program which gives more flexibility. The City Manager displayed on a map the area affected by Boggy Creek and showed boundaries of a proposed Neighborhood Renewal Program and two suggested additional extensions of the boundaries. He explained the Council would initiate the request and ask the Agency to apply for a General Neighborhood Renewal Program. The Council reviewed this thoroughly with the City Manager, the Director of Planning, and Urban Renewal Director. The Director of Urban Renewal noted that credits for about fifteen items might be captured, plus the Power Plant and Town Lake, in the next few years. It was decided that the Council would meet with the Urban Renewal Commission at 3:00 P.M., Thursday, October 24th.

Mayor Palmer asked what the findings were about the closing of A. F. DeLoney's street - 38TH STREET. The City Manager stated action was taken by City Manager Adam Johnson's administration in 1927 or 1928. The Mayor reported Mr. DeLoney said the City took away access to his land. It was stated no change had been made since 1930 when the street was barricaded and the bridge was condemned.

The Mayor inquired about setting a date for hearing on the Ordinance to create a HUMAN RELATIONS COMMITTEE. The City Attorney stated it would not make any difference, but that he had not had an opportunity to brief the Ordinance, and it would take quite a while to do the research on it that the Council would like to have. Councilman Long suggested that the hearing could be held without the City Attorney's study; that he could study it to a certain extent. Councilman LaRue stated the hearing could be held, but the Council should have the City Attorney's report on the matter before the Council voted on it. No date for the hearing was set.

Councilman Long moved that the request of Colonel Vance Murphy for authority to have a VETERANS' DAY PARADE on November 11th be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Shanks

Councilman Long announced that the Senator from Hawaii will be in Austin on Saturday, arriving at 11:55 A.M., and will be received by COLONEL FRANCIS from the Adjutant General's Office and others.

The City Manager announced that the following zoning applications had been referred to the Planning Commission and set for public hearing before the Council for 11:00 A.M., November 7, 1963:

CHESTER & MARY KOOCK	811-817 West Live Oak St.	From "A" Residence
By J. Malcolm Robinson	811-817 & 901 West Live	& "LR" Local
	Oak St. (Rear of)	Retail
	2201-2203 South 4th Street	To "C-1" Commercial

ETHEL GIBSON STANDIFER	5100-5202 Bolm Road 1101A-1107A Shady Lane	From "A" Residence To "D" Industrial
MARY BELL C. HILL ET AL By A. B. Beddow	1516-1562, 1600-1634 State Highway No. 71	From "A" Residence To "C-1" Commercial
J. C. KING By Walter Carrington	5613 Adams Street	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
CHARLES & WALTER WENDLANDT	500-504 East 51st Street 5100-5104 Evans Avenue 503-507 Bruning Avenue	From "C" Commercial To "C-1" Commercial
W. A. DARTER	713 West 38th Street	From "O" Office To "GR" General Retail
B. C. HAEHNEL By Don E. Legge	1142 $\frac{1}{2}$ -1148 Springdale Rd. 1142-1142 3/8 Spring- dale Road (Rear of) 3701-3815 Oak Springs Rd.	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
VILLA CAPRI ASSOCIATES LTD., MARTIN C. SCHWAZWALDER By William Kay Miller	2336 Interregional Hwy. 907-909 East 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ Street	From "C-1" Commercial 5th Height & Area To "C-2" Commercial 5th Height & Area
F. J. BROCKMAN By A. B. Shierlow	2300 Anderson Lane 7900-7902 Brockman St.	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
NAOMI WASSEL By Joe Gilbreth	1601-1603 Taylor Gaines Street 1601-1609 Summit Street	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
LINDEN JONES By Richard Baker	3107-3117 West Avenue 709-715 West 32nd Street	From "BB" Residence To "B" Residence
LAWRENCE C. JOHNSON	3701 Harmon Avenue (Rear of) 3518-3520 Interregional Highway (Rear of)	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "C" Commercial 5th Height & Area
NASH PHILLIPS	1426-1652, 1742-1926 State Highway 20 (U.S. Highway 290)	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "GR" General Retail 6th Height & Area
SPUR LAND COMPANY By Allen Russell	1601-1629, 1701-1743 East Live Oak Street 1631-1647 East Live Oak Street (Rear of) 2301-2321 South Inter- regional Highway	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "GR" General Retail 5th Height & Area

There being no further business, Councilman LaRue moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Shanks

The Council adjourned at 6:45 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

Samuel E. Palmer
Mayor

ATTEST:

Olivia Woosley
City Clerk