

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

May 7, 1964

10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by MR. JOE K. WELLS, Vice-President, Austin National Bank.

The Mayor and Council greeted and welcomed MISS COOPER, and the Third Grade from MINNIE DILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, to the Council Meeting.

MRS. D. J. SIBLEY thanked the City for its wonderful cooperation and help at LaGuna Gloria. She announced the Fiesta to be held May 6th, beginning at noon, and presented tickets to the Council Members, City Manager and City Attorney. The Mayor expressed appreciation for the invitation, and was proud the City did participate in the program of LaGuna Gloria, because of its historical significance and of its being such a beautiful spot in Austin.

MRS. E. A. GRIMMER asked that the Council make an exception in the Pool Hall Ordinance pertaining to ages of children who may play pool. She and her husband are trying to start a "Teen-age" Club for the teenagers in the 10th, 11th, and 12th grades in Austin and surrounding towns. This will be a membership club not to keep certain children out, but to control them with certain rules. Representatives for each school brought out they would like a recreation room on the back of their club which will open at 3:30 P.M. and close at 9:00 P.M. during the week. There will be juke boxes, food, malts and drinks, on the week ends there will be dances with real bands, and these will be a date-affairs on the week ends. The Mayor stated the age now was 17 for boys and 18 for girls; and if they are accompanied by their parents there is no age limit. Councilman Shanks stated Mrs. Grimmer's father-in-law had spent a long time in developing this hunting proposition in Mason County for orphan boys; and now Mrs. Grimmer is developing a project of high importance to the youth's interest. Councilman Long suggested adding in "accompanied by parents" or "Chaperones". The Chief of Police said it was necessary to be cautious, as the purpose of the ordinance was

to eliminate the subterfuge under which pool halls were operated contrary to the law prior to the passage of the State Law. If this is tampered with too much a subterfuge could be provided which would circumvent the law as it now stands. Any age child can go in with a parent or guardian; or if a private club has a pool table in operation with their club and makes no charge for the pool table, and no one is permitted in there except members, it would be permissible. Mrs. Grimmer stated they would have to make the expense for the pool tables, and only members of the club would be able to get into the Recreation Room. Those playing would have to pay for the upkeep of the tables. The membership fee would be separate. The children decided they could afford to pay 15 cents a game. The Chief of Police explained the operation of the pool halls under guise of athletic clubs, etc. The City Attorney asked what change would the Council like to suggest. Councilman Long suggested setting it up so with certain specifics in the ordinance to allow a particular club with a specific number of members to operate, but not allow just a regular pool hall to operate under the same thing. She suggested a number of 50-100 membership, and real strict restrictions be placed before teenagers of certain ages could attend; and there should be a certain number of adults present at a particular time. The City Attorney stated it is hard to find a way that it could be made permissible for this private operation and not be permissible for another private operation. The Mayor asked if this were going to be open to the public. Mrs. Grimmer stated membership would be opened to any child in the City School System in the 10th, 11th, and 12th grades. Mrs. Grimmer described the proposed club, and stated it would be located on their property on Houston Street next to their place of business. Councilman Long stated the present law was working real nicely, and asked what other games did Mrs. Grimmer propose. She said this was what the teenagers want, and even though the ordinance is in effect, that does not mean that the children were not playing pool, because they had discussed this with a lot of them. She reported that the Pool Halls were letting these minors in to play. The Chief stated he would look into this. Councilman Shanks stated here was a case where something was being worked out for the children and maybe relieve the work of the Police, and the Grimmers are trying to make some type of arrangement whereby it can be handled and everything should be done to help them.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of April 23, 1964, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Present but not voting: Councilman Shanks

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 22.583 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE S. Q. WHATLEY AND THE THOMAS ELDRIDGE SURVEYS IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE. (Preswyck Hills, Sec. 3; portion of Rogge Lane; and unplatted areas)

Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin and set for public hearing at 10:30 A.M., May 21, 1964. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Long stated this ordinance included the peninsula, and she wanted this for the City Attorney's information that she was going to vote to set the public hearing, but that did not mean she was going to vote for the whole area because she did not want to take in this little peninsula.

The Council had before it an ordinance vacating one-half block of NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE from the State Capitol Grounds to 14th Street. The Mayor read a letter from MESSRS. W. W. PATTERSON and J. M. PATTERSON, JR., opposing the vacating of this portion of Congress Avenue, as Brazos and Colorado Streets had been closed at a great detriment to traffic circulation and downtown Austin, and they suggested that a public hearing, with full disclosure of the plans of the State of Texas, be held before any action is taken. MR. W. W. PATTERSON appeared stating he was well acquainted with this area as his office was in the area and the traffic is getting bad there and downtown. He noted the State wants to control this; but he believed it would be closed to the public later on. He asked the Council to check this carefully before any action is taken, and that a public hearing be held on this. Mayor Palmer stated several years ago the first proposal of the Capitol Plan was presented to the Council by Governor Shivers. Buildings were designated on Colorado and Brazos, and the Council knew those two streets would be closed. At that same time, plans were displayed that contemplated a Mall which would include North Congress Avenue. The Council was highly concerned about the cross-town streets, and the State went along with that idea as far as 15th Street was concerned. The Mayor said it was his understanding for the present time, the State request is for control of traffic and parking within the area, as it owns property on both sides of the Street. If it were desirable to prevent traffic from going around the Capitol the entrance could be closed off now. The Mayor stated the City had worked with the State in its building program and had cooperated with it. The City Attorney stated there was a public hearing held on the question of vacation, and the Minutes of the meeting of that public hearing contain a reference that Mr. Jay Patterson, Chairman of the Board of Control, believed these plans to be the best solution, involving the vacation of this half of the one block of Congress Avenue from 14th Street South. Mr. Patterson said that was his view then, but it is different now. Councilman Long stated at the hearing, there was no vote to vacate any street. The plan was discussed as to whether or not it was acceptable; even then, she had stated that she would never want to see the Capitol Grounds closed to the general public as far as traffic was concerned. She said the Council did vote to accept the plan; but each time that a street was to be closed the State would have to come back to the Council and ask for specific closing of that particular street. She maintained the streets were not closed nor vacated. The same people who drew that plan have changed it since they first drew it up. She said this was vital, and the State had not said that it must be done. The Mayor asked if the local citizens other than those working in the Capitol used either Guadalupe or San Jacinto. Mr. Patterson stated tourists would want to come to visit the Capitol. The City Attorney stated the State could close the street now without taking any action. This portion was included in the request for vacating Brazos and Colorado, but the State had not yet acquired two lots

fronting on that street. No action was taken at this time.

After explanation and discussion, Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING TO PUBLIC TRAVEL THAT CERTAIN AREA SHOWN AS "MORRIS STREET" ON SOME OF THE MAPS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, AND TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; RETAINING AN EASEMENT FOR PUBLIC UTILITY PURPOSES; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING TO PUBLIC TRAVEL THAT CERTAIN ALLEY TRAVERSING BLOCK 85 OF THE ORIGINAL CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, LOCALLY KNOWN AS EAST 7TH STREET ALLEY; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion,

seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The Mayor announced it was 10:30 A.M., and the hearing on the ordinance annexing RIVERBEND, SECTION 2 was open. No one appeared to be heard. Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its first reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 8.60 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE J. A. G. BROOKS SURVEY NUMBER 28, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.
(Riverbend, Section 2)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: (1) A PORTION OF THE SOUTH ONE-HALF (S-1/2) OF LOT 1, H. B. STARKEY ADDITION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1202 WEST LYNN, FROM "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT TO "C-2" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; (2) LOT 14 AND PORTION OF LOT 13, H. L. McENTIRE SUBDIVISION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 407-411 CUMBERLAND ROAD, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; (3) A 36,600 SQUARE FOOT TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE GEORGE W. DAVIS SURVEY, LOCALLY KNOWN

AS 1208-1216 ANDERSON LANE, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; AND (4) (A) A PORTION OF OUTLOT NO. 5, DIVISION "C", LOCALLY KNOWN AS 815-817 (813) EAST 32ND STREET, AND (B) A 15,070 SQUARE FOOT TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 811-813 EAST 32ND STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "O" OFFICE DISTRICT; ALL OF SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: THE EAST 46 FEET OF LOT 60, OUTLOTS 6, 7 AND 8, ENFIELD A, SUBDIVISION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS REAR OF 1205 BAYLOR STREET, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman LaRue moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: WEST 10 FEET OF SOUTH 150 FEET OF LOT 4; SOUTH 150 FEET OF LOT 3; AND SOUTH 140 FEET OF THE EAST 60 FEET OF LOT 2, ALL IN BLOCK M, RIDGETOP, W. T. CASWELL'S ADDITION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1014-1022 EAST 51ST STREET AND 5100-5102 INTERREGIONAL HIGHWAY, FROM "LR" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to execute the agreement in connection with the setback on East 51st Street (51ST STREET CORPORATION, 1014-1022 East 51st Street and 5100-5102 Interregional Highway zoning). The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: LOT 4 AND SOUTH 9.876 FEET OF LOT 3, BLOCK 22, SWISHER ADDITION, FROM "C-1" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT TO "C-2" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman LaRue moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilmen Long, White

Mayor Palmer brought up the following zoning application deferred from last week:

THE NICKELL CORPORA- TION	2909-2913 West Avenue Additional Area 2907 West Avenue	From "BB" Residence 1st Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission
------------------------------	--	--

Councilman Shanks asked if this would be considered as spot zoning. The City Attorney stated it would. Councilman Long said it was no more a spot zoning than others that had been zoned, and the property is adjacent to "C" Commercial. Councilman LaRue stated several requests had been made for property in this same area to be changed from "BB" Residence to "B" Residence; and if that request is granted, there would be some obligation to grant the others. The City Attorney stated the critical question in this instance was the nearness in time and the comprehensiveness of plan which the Council had just finished less than two years ago. He stated he was not saying that this particular property, when considered in connection with its adjacent property, and to narrow the limits of the 34th Street-29th Street plan, would not be proper zoning; but in the absence of consideration of it in the area, it would be held as spot zoning. Councilman Long said there were four others turned down. Those were not adjacent to or abutting "C" Commercial. They were in a more intensified area. When the new zoning ordinance is brought in, the pattern will change there and there will be more density. The Planning Director stated there would be about 10% more density but this application is asking for 125%. Councilman Shanks again inquired about the establishment of a spot zone. Councilman Shanks moved that the Council sustain the Planning Commission recommendation and deny the change of zoning. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilmen Long, White

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

MR. BILL YOUNGBLOOD announced that Austin had been selected as the Host City for the National Championships of the KITE FLYING WATER SKI TOURNAMENT to be held on Town Lake in connection with the Austin Aqua Festival. The American Broadcasting Company has agreed to televise this event and broadcast it over their program, "Wide World of Sports". He expressed appreciation to the Council for its dedication and loyalty to the City for providing good government for all. He read a Resolution passed unanimously by the Board of Directors of the Austin Chamber of Commerce, commending the Council and its Administrative Officials for their efforts and assistance in promoting tourism; making possible this honor for the Austin Aqua Festival will be a significant step in making the Austin Festival one of the Nation's Summer attractions and further calling attention to Austin's resources. The Council expressed appreciation.

Resolution read by Mr. Youngblood is as follows:

"WHEREAS, the Austin Aqua Festival, an official non-profit project of the Austin Chamber of Commerce, has been honored by having one of its events, the National Water Ski Kite Flying Championship, selected for nationwide telecast by the American Broadcasting Company's program, "Wide World of Sports"; and

"WHEREAS, the said event will take place on Austin's Town Lake, July 31 through August 2, 1964; and

"WHEREAS, this honor comes as a direct result of the excellent cooperation of the City Council and the providing of facilities by the City of Austin; Now, therefore, be it

"RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Austin Chamber of Commerce by the adoption of this resolution does hereby commend the members of the City Council and its administrative officials for their efforts and valuable assistance in promoting tourism. Making possible this honor for the Austin Aqua Festival will be a significant step in making the Austin Aqua Festival one of the nation's outstanding summer attractions and further call attention to Austin's water resources.

"Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Austin Chamber of Commerce on this 5th day of May, 1964."

MR. BILL YOUNGBLOOD, in discussing tourism, stated the Chamber of Commerce was not requesting a donation, but requesting that the City participate with the Chamber in a program to attract a tourist industry in Austin, and that the Council enter into a contract with the Chamber of Commerce to provide a certain number of services for the citizens of Austin.

Councilman LaRue moved that MRS. JOHN BARROW be recognized. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MRS. JOHN BARROW listed ways in which the City is participating to a great extent in the Tourism, in that it receives only \$1.00 a year rent from the Chamber of Commerce, which is a large participation on the part of the City; the

City is already participating in the Aqua Festival in an amount of approximately \$30,000, and that large outlay from the City is a great help to tourism; the City provides a number of helpful things to the Chamber of Commerce--printing, etc., the City built a \$3,500,000 Auditorium which appeals to tourism; and spent \$2,000,000 on the Airport which brings in people. She stated the City had already more than matched the \$25,000 which the Chamber of Commerce was putting up. She stated the money could not be given outright under the Charter; and any item that is going to be spent must be in the budget, and the Budget is adopted in the fall. She stated the request seemed to be out of order. The Mayor explained the only way an item could be introduced in the budget would be by emergency vote by the Council. Councilman Shanks stated the time element made this an emergency.

Mr. Youngblood stated not only the Chamber of Commerce, but the citizens of Austin were grateful to the City Council for the help and assistance it had provided all of the people in the past. This is an additional program which the Chamber of Commerce felt was vital, and necessary, particularly in view of the State program and what other cities are doing. The Mayor asked Mrs. Barrow if the Council and City Manager in recommending, saw fit to put such an item in the budget, if she would have any objections to the City's participating with the Chamber of Commerce. Mrs. Barrow stated this does not benefit all of the tax payers, and it was her suggestion that those who realize the benefits should pay for them. The Mayor pointed out there were no conflicts of interest between the City and Chamber of Commerce, and stated by the location of the Chamber of Commerce adjacent to the Auditorium, the City is saved large sums in the handling of conventions and many things it would have to provide. He said he could enumerate many services the Chamber of Commerce performs for the good of Austin, that if the City were trying to do the same things, there would be quite an item. He pointed out much of the participation in the Aqua Festival was in the nature of services and; many of the things that have been done were those planned in the development and beautification of the Town Lake. He listed contributions made by other cities, ranging from \$140,000 public funds annually, to \$1,000,000 in Southern California. The City Attorney stated there were some unique differences, in that San Antonio had a specific Charter Amendment to allow this; Denver has a four cent sales tax to pay their appropriation and Phoenix has a two cent sales tax; and there are differences between the property owners' paying the tax and the tourists themselves paying it. Councilman LaRue mentioned some mechanics that were involved. Mr. Youngblood suggested a contract along the lines discussed erecting billboards, news media advertising, etc. The Mayor inquired if a five months' program could be discussed, and then the Chamber make a specific request for an item to be included in the Budget. Councilman Long stated she would like to have a Charter Amendment to see if the people wanted this sort of thing as she thought it was outside the real authority of the City. Councilman Shanks stated big utilities spend quite a bit on advertising and public relations, several times the amount mentioned here. He stated the City was in the Power Business in a big way. Councilman LaRue suggested that the City Attorney be requested to look into the Mechanics of this matter. Councilman Shanks wanted to come up with some kind of an answer today because the Chamber of Commerce had a time table, and all had wanted industry for Austin, and this was a big industry. Mr. Youngblood listed the items in which the City would participate--100,000 copies of a Tourist Promotion Brochure, \$5,000; Billboards adjacent to highway information centers at points of entry into Texas, \$4,800; General promotion through Tourists Information Centers with additional staff work, \$5,000; and a media advertising campaign and select travel publications, \$10,000.

Councilman Long moved that MRS. LEON DONN be

May 7, 1964

heard. The motion was seconded by Councilman LaRue. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MRS. LEON DONN inquired just how non-profit was the venture of the Aqua Festival, and if the City Council had a check that the Aqua Festival is non-profit, as the prices that are charged are abominable. Mr. Youngblood stated the Aqua Festival was a non-profit organization and a group of them borrowed money to clear up their deficit for last year and the year before. He stated they had presented to the Council, and it is a matter of public information, the financial statement of the Austin Aqua Festival. Mrs. Donn stated if the Council had the privilege of checking those financial reports, that was all right with her, as she trusted the City Council. Mr. Youngblood stated the request this morning had nothing to do with the Aqua Festival, but was for the purpose of attracting the Tourism Industry. Councilman LaRue suggested because of the lateness of the season was there some possibility of reducing this amount this year yet having good results. The tourist season is on now, and the program would have to get underway immediately if results are obtained this year. Then later it might be taken up as a budget item. Mr. Youngblood stated in order of priority, the matter of billboards, the brochure, and the assistance in promoting these tourist information centers and staff are the first three major items. Councilman Long stated in the terms of an amount in the budget that an amendment to the Charter should be considered. If the City is going to do it, it ought to do it out right and not be giving money to certain organizations to spend. Mr. Youngblood stated the people of Austin were conditioned to this and had voted overwhelmingly in favor as approving public funds for the State to enter into this particular program. He asked the Council to do something at this point so they could get started with particular things that need to be done for the total community. The Mayor announced Austin was one of the fastest growing Capital Cities in the nation, and that people must realize new dimension for Austin had been reached. Advertising for the State is a new thing, as well as it is new as far as the City is concerned, and there are so many fine interests in Austin, that the tourists should stop and visit. Many times it has been said the tourists' dollars benefit only a few; but they do have a way of entering into the whole economics of Austin. He stated the Council would discuss this to see if there was some way it could work something out.

Later in the afternoon meeting, the Council discussed this request thoroughly. Councilman Shanks moved that the City Council instruct the City Manager to enter into a contract with the Chamber of Commerce in the amount up to \$25,000 for the express purpose of spending this money as previously outlined by the Chamber to the Council in an effort to further tourism for Austin, Texas. Councilman White seconded the motion, stating normally he did not go too strong on this thing, but he thought if these brochures were put out, and Austin advertised all over the country, that many more dollars would be brought back into Austin through the tourists.

Councilman Long stated it would be necessary to see if the funds were available in the budget. Councilman LaRue stated this would be a matter of mechanics, which had been discussed. The City Attorney said he would have to see what the contract provided, to see if the services provided for would be considered for municipal purposes; if it could the contract would be permissible. If it were not for a municipal purpose, it could not be done. The Mayor asked that the Council have an opportunity to see the contract and go into it; and within that time the City Manager would have an opportunity to see if funds were available. The Mayor stated the motion would be tentative approval with the understanding that it would be based upon finding the money, etc. Councilman

Long questioned the expenditure for these schools of instruction. The City Attorney stated Mr. Shanks' motion would still require the Council to approve the specific contract. Councilman LaRue asked Councilman Shanks if he would be agreeable to amending his motion to read "up to \$15,000" for this partial year, as that would get the job done. Finally, Councilman Shanks agreed to revise his motion as Councilman LaRue suggested that the amount be "up to \$15,000" instead of \$25,000. After more discussion, roll call on Councilman Shanks' motion that the City Council instruct the City Manager to enter into a contract with the Chamber of Commerce in the amount up to \$15,000 for the express purpose of spending this money as previously outlined by the Chamber to the Council in an effort to further Tourism for Austin, Texas, showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

Councilman Long made the following statement:

"When I get a report from the City Manager whether or not we have the money, what funds we are taking it out of; and when I see the contract, I will make up my mind as to whether I vote for or against it; but when we can not build a park out in South Austin for these people that have wanted it for several years, it seems to me that we are getting a little ahead of ourselves. I am voting 'No', at this particular time."

Mayor Palmer made the following statement concerning his vote:

"I am stating also that I am voting 'Aye' for the motion. It is a very, very good expenditure from the City's standpoint realizing just how much the tourist dollar is worth to the City and to the State; but I would like to, in the final vote, have the recommendation of the City Manager as to where the money is coming from and if it is available; and also the terms of the agreement of the contract when the Chamber of Commerce submits it to the Council for its consideration."

Mayor Palmer suggested in the future requests for services like this should be presented prior to the time the City Manager makes up the budget, so that the Council could consider it prior to the adoption of the budget. Councilman Long stated in the meantime she would like to see a Charter Amendment.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 4, 1964

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Friday, May 1, 1964, at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the installation of a 12-

inch cast iron water main in Longhorn Blvd. from Reid Drive to F.M. 1325. The purpose of this line is to reinforce the present system for fire protection in this Industrial Area. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room, Municipal Building, Austin, Texas.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Working Days</u>
Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated	\$7,307.60	8
Walter Schmidt	7,661.00	15
H & M Construction Company	7,775.00	10
Bland Construction Company	8,731.20	20
Austin Engineering Company	9,023.60	20
Bill Tabor Construction Company	10,491.00	20
R & B Construction Company	12,858.50	20
City of Austin (Estimate)	9,170.00	

"It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated on their low bid of \$7,307.60 with 8 working days.

"Yours truly,
s/ W. K. Hunkler, Jr.
W. K. Hunkler, Jr., Acting Superintendent
Water Distribution
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.
Victor R. Schmidt, Jr., Director
Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 1, 1964, for the installation of a 12-inch cast iron water main in Longhorn Boulevard, from Reid Drive to F.M. 1325; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of \$7,307.60, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated, in the sum of \$7,307.60, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized, to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

May 7, 1964

473

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 4, 1964

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Friday, May 1, 1964, at the Office of the Director of the Water and Sewer Department for the INSTALLATION OF A 16-INCH CONCRETE STEEL CYLINDER PIPE AND A 8-INCH CAST IRON PIPE IN MIDDLE FISKVILLE ROAD. The purpose of this installation is to reinforce the water system in what was formerly Water District Number 7. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Second Floor Conference Room, Municipal Building, Austin, Texas.

"The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>FIRM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>WORKING DAYS</u>
H & M Construction Company	\$49,940.60	20
Walter Schmidt	52,710.90	50
Ford-Wehmeyer, Incorporated	53,070.50	30
Bland Construction Company	57,235.00	60
Austin Engineering Company	57,344.00	45
City of Austin (Estimate)	58,705.00	

"It is recommended that the contract be awarded to the H & M Construction Company on their low bid of \$49,940.60, with 20 working days.

"Yours truly,
s/ W. K. Hunkler, Jr.
W. K. Hunkler, Jr., Acting Superintendent
Water Distribution
s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.
Victor R. Schmidt, Jr., Director
Water and Sewer Department"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 1, 1964, for the installation of a 16-inch concrete steel cylinder pipe and an 8-inch cast iron pipe in Middle Fiskville Road; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of H & M Construction Company, in the sum of \$49,940.60, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of H & M Construction Company, in the sum of \$49,940.60, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of

Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City with H & M Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 1, 1964

"TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT: Bids for four 16" magnetic flow meters with recorders for the Sanitary Sewer Division.

"Bids were opened at 2:00 P.M. April 28, 1964 in the office of the Purchasing Agent for four 16" magnetic flow meters with recorders for the Sanitary Sewer Division. These units will facilitate the control and operation of existing permanent lift stations and will also be an integral source of better records for engineering studies. One unit will be installed at Shoal Creek Lift Station, two units at Canterbury Lift Station and one unit at East Bouldin Creek Sanitary Sewer Main.

"The bids received are as follows:

Mission Valley Machinery Company	\$21,204.26
Fisher & Poter	21,028.00
Smith Pump Company	20,462.00
Dixon Engineering Co.	21,910.00

"The low bid by Smith Pump Company of Austin meets all specifications.

"RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that Smith Pump Company be awarded the contract with the low bid of \$20,462.00.

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman Long inquired if these magnetic flow meters were something new, and if they were necessary. The City Manager stated they were new to the system. The Director of Water Utilities explained a sanitary sewer system has a considerable amount of infiltration; and in the process of trying to locate where this is happening, it is necessary to separate the four drainage areas so the effect of rainfall etc. on the sewer can be measured. The other alternative would be to dig up the sewer to try to find out where the excess of water is entering. She inquired what would be done with the information. MR. SCHMIDT said the areas in trouble would be corrected and repaired to stop this infiltration. Councilman Long asked if there would be others purchased, or just these four. MR. SCHMIDT stated these were the four drainage areas, and there are two of these meters which are very effective in pin-pointing difficulties. The City Manager stated these meters helped to locate the leaks into the pipes, so that something can be done about it. Councilman Long asked that some reports be made on just what these gadgets had done to save the City some money. Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 28, 1964, for four 16" magnetic flow meters with recorders for the Sanitary Sewer Division; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Smith Pump Company, in the sum of \$20,462.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Smith Pump Company, in the sum of \$20,462.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Smith Pump Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 5, 1964

"TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT: Bids on two sluice gates for Filter Plant No. 2.

"Bids were opened at 10:00 A.M. April 29, 1964 in the office of the Purchasing Agent for two sluice gates to be installed in Filter Plant No. 2 intake. Installation of subject gates is part of general expansion program of Filter Plant No. 2 and openings for gates are already present. The City's estimated cost of this equipment was \$12,000.00.

"The bids received are as follows:

	Net Total
Metal Products Division of Armco Steel Corp.	\$12,308.60
Rodney Hunt Machine Company	10,340.00
Chapman Division of Crane Company	<u>9,604.00</u>

"The low bid by Chapman Division of Crane Company meets all specifications.

"RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended the award be made to Chapman Division of Crane Company for \$9,604.00 as the lowest and best bid.

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 29, 1964,

for two sluice gates for Filter Plant No. 2 Intake; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Chapman Division of Crane Company, in the sum of \$9,604.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent and by the City Manager; Now Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Chapman Division of Crane Company, in the sum of \$9,604.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Chapman Division of Crane Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 5, 1964

"To: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager Subject: Reinforced Concrete Culvert

"Following is a tabulation of bids received at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, May 5, 1964, for the construction of a reinforced concrete culvert on West 51st Street at Waller Creek known as Contract Number 64-D-7.

Ed Page	\$7,505.00
Texas Bridge Company	\$7,909.60
Norman L. Larson	\$8,085.55
Bowden & Associates	\$8,773.80
Maufrais Brothers	\$8,890.60
City's Estimate	\$9,426.10

"I recommend that Ed Page with his low bid of \$7,505.00 be awarded the contract for this project.

"From: S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
Director of Public Works
Signed S. Reuben Rountree, Jr."

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 5, 1964, for the construction of a reinforced concrete culvert on West 51st Street at Waller Creek, known as Contract Number 64-D-7; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ed Page, in the sum of \$7,505.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

May 7, 1964

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ed Page, in the sum of \$7,505.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Ed Page.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"City of Austin Brackenridge Hospital Bid #B-6409

"Sealed bids open April 20, 1964 2:00 P.M.
 Tabulated by: W.C.Moffett Purchasing Agent

Bidder	Make	BTU	No. Units	Net Bid Price	Remarks
1. Stan's Heating & Air Cond.	Friedrich	13,200	90	22,396.00	Did not meet specs. BTU over requirements
2. Firestone Stores	Philco	10,000	90	17,182.40	Did not meet specs. Voltage wrong
3. A. W. Scott Co.	Norge	10,000	90	16,145.50	
4. George Smith, Inc.	Carrier	10,500	90	15,441.21	
5. Chas.P.Davis Hardware Inc.	Norge	10,000	90	15,194.90	
6. Willie Kocurek Co.	Fedders	10,000	90	15,003.70	
7. Alex McNair's Cen-Tex Appl.	Fedders	10,000	90	14,970.51	
8. Clyde Hill T.V. & Appl.	Fedders	10,000	90	14,840.10	
9. Wayne Dayton	Carrier	10,500	90	14,500.00	
10. Shepherd's T.V. & Appl.	Admiral	9,400	90	14,320.80	Did not meet specs. Under BTU requirements
11. Clyde Hill T.V. and Schmucker	Gibson	10,000	90	14,127.30	
12. Hoffman Home Center	Chrysler	9,600	90	14,040.00	Did not meet specs. Not a slide-out model
13. Don Weedon's, Inc.	Mathes	12,000	90	14,000.00	Did not meet specs. BTU Over requirements
14. Maytag Appliance Co.	Westinghouse	9,800	90	13,590.00	Did not meet specs. Could not deliver for inspection
15. Fulford's	G.E.	9,300	90	13,419.05	Did not meet specs. Under BTU requirements

"These bids were received after invitations were sent to 42 local dealers asking

for bids on 90 window units with cooling capacity not less than 9500 BTU nor more than 10,500 BTU, and various other specified features. We recommend award of contract to the lowest and best bidder meeting the specifications: Clyde Hill T.V. and Schmucker.

"S/ W. T. Williams, Jr.
W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager"

Councilman Long noted the lowest bidder was not recommended. The City Manager said there was specified a size unit which would properly fit the size rooms and best provide uniform temperature without too much cycling. The specifications called for a size of unit most appropriate to the room giving a 1,000 BTU lee-way, 9500 - 10,500. Councilman Long inquired if there were thermostats would it matter. The City Manager stated there were thermostats, but there would be fluctuation in the difference between temperatures the thermostats provide. The ideal situation is to get a machine because of its size and output would continue to operate with full load and still cool the room. Councilman Long asked if this Gibson was as good an air conditioner as the Chrysler? The City Manager stated the principal thing the engineers noted about the Gibson was the ease of maintenance. Councilman Long asked about trade-ins. It was stated as machines wear out they are kept for parts for repairs to other machines. The Council Members discussed each bid, inquiring in detail of the reasons each had not met specifications. Mayor Palmer, after the discussion, asked if there were any protests from any of the bidders that their machines did not meet specifications. None was received. He asked if each were evaluated on the amount of amperes the machine would pull. It was stated evaluations were made. The Council deferred action until the afternoon meeting. Later in the afternoon meeting, Mr. Tobias, Administrator of the Hospital, stated they were recommending this Commercial Gibson. Detailed discussion was held on specifications, providing spare parts, etc. Councilman Long stated she was not satisfied with the recommendation, but if the Council wanted to go on with this, that would be all right. Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 20, 1964, for ninety (90) window cooling units for Brackenridge Hospital; and

WHEREAS, the bid of Clyde Hill T.V. and Schmucker, in the sum of \$14,127.30, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent for Brackenridge Hospital, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Clyde Hill T.V. and Schmucker, in the sum of \$14,127.30, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Clyde Hill T.V. and Schmucker.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

Councilman Long made the following statement:

"I vote 'No' because I do not think this is the

lowest and best bid, according to the information I have received. \$14,127.30 is the bid you are accepting, and there are at least four bids under that and there is only one that I realize would not meet specifications and that is the one that does not have a slide out motor, and I am not convinced this one is the lowest and best bid."

The City Manager stated only one of those lower bids on the N.E.M.A. rating, taken on the energy consumed, would be low on an evaluated basis. The N.E.M.A. rating would knock out all of them. Councilman Long stated Don Weedon had told her the Mathis would not take any more, and it had been tested and was admitted by the Department upstairs.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"CITY OF AUSTIN BIDS ON AUTOMOBILES

Sealed bids opened at 2:00 P.M. April 17, 1964

Tabulated by: O.G. Brush, Purchasing Agent

Bid No.	Department	Description	Minimum Wheelbase	Quan.	Trade-Ins	Simmons Motor Co.
1220	Electric Distribution	2-Door Sedan	115"	3 ea.	3 ea.	\$4,673.00
1221	Electric Distribution	2-Door Sedan	115"	1 ea.	1 ea.	1,441.00
1222	Electric Distribution	4-Door Sedan	119"	2 ea.	2 ea.	C-3,087.00
1223	Parks & Recreation	4-Door Sedan	119"	1 ea.	1 ea.	C-1,666.00
1224	Water & Sewer	4-Door Sedan	115"	2 ea.	2 ea.	- -
1225	Water & Light	2-Door Sedan	115"	3 ea.	3 ea.	3,887.00
1226	Tax	2-Door Sedan	115"	1 ea.	None	1,689.00
1227	Parks & Recreation	Pick-Up Truck		1 ea.	1 ea.	- -

Manufacturer:

Plymouth

Armstrong-Johnson	P. K. Williams	Smith Bros.	Charlie Partlow	Capitol Chevrolet	P. K. Williams, (Alternate)	International Harvester
\$4,956.00	B-\$4,668.70	\$4,890.00	B-\$4,409.58	\$4,890.84	A-\$4,374.72	- -
1,642.00	1,477.00	1,590.00	B- 1,419.86	1,605.28	A- 1,379.84	- -
3,354.00	- -	- -	- -	3,208.60	- -	- -
1,744.00	- -	- -	- -	1,680.50	- -	- -
2,882.00	B- 2,732.24	2,944.00	B- 2,648.38	3,018.26	A- 2,528.40	- -
4,108.00	4,061.12	4,124.00	3,917.68	4,194.44	A- 3,767.12	- -
1,684.00	B- 1,634.64	1,673.95	B- 1,626.56	1,731.48	A- 1,536.64	- -
1,391.00	- -	- -	- -	1,721.05	- -	\$1,388.66
Ford Fairlane	Rambler Classic	Plymouth	Rambler Classic	Chevrolet Chevelle	Rambler American	International Harvester

LOWEST BIDDER MEETING SPECIFICATIONS

Simmons Motor Company - Plymouth

Simmons Motor Company - Plymouth

Capitol Chevrolet - Chevrolet

Capitol Chevrolet - Chevrolet

Armstrong-Johnson - Fairlane

Simmons Motor Company - Plymouth
Smith Bro's. - Plymouth
International Harvester - Harvester

"NOTE: All prices shown are net or if there are trade-ins net difference.

- A - Wheel base Rambler American 106" does not meet specifications of 115" minimum.
- B - Wheel base Rambler Classic 112" does not meet specifications of 115" minimum.
- C - Wheel base Plymouth 116" does not meet specifications of 119" minimum.

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend lowest bidder meeting specifications as noted.

W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager"

Councilman Long studying the specifications inquired why there were 115" wheel bases, and two 119" wheel bases and not 106" and 112". The Assistant City Manager stated the Departments, with the approval of the Purchasing Agent, and the City Manager's Office, are allowed to choose the size of the car most suitable to their needs. He explained their needs, in that some believe the smaller cars are suitable for their traveling out over the rough country; some state that getting in and out of the car, makes it difficult to use the small cars. Councilman Long asked specifically about the 119" wheel base. The Assistant City Manager stated this could be either Ford, Chevrolet or Dodge. Councilman Long asked if the small compacts were written out. The City Manager stated they were in this particular set of bids. The Assistant City Manager explained the Rambler could be bid on the smaller cars; however, in this series of bids, there were 15 requests from the Departments, and only one Department asked for the small size. He said the regular Rambler could submit bids on the intermediate specifications. Manufacturers were making large, small, and intermediate cars, with wheel bases from 119" all the way down. Councilman Long inquired about the Departments asking for 4-door sedans, and if there were four or five people in the cars, or just one. It was stated there was equipment carried in these inspection cars, and this type of car suited the operation better and more economical than trucks. The Building Inspector stated they drove a car for 10 years. Councilman Long noted there was a whole group of cars bought, which was a good idea, but inquired why some Departments buy a car without having a bid brought before the Council, and just get quotations on it. It would seem a car could be purchased cheaper if it were included in a group. The Assistant City Manager said most of the individual purchases were results of break-downs, and the man would not have transportation to make his route. Even though he does borrow a car, it would not take care of the situation for four to six months. Councilman Long stated she would like to see how those individual purchases compare with these. The Assistant Purchasing Agent stated rarely were individual cars purchased except in cases where there were major overhauls; and in cases where it would be more economical to make an individual purchase, and each purchase is handled just exactly as these bids. Councilman Long inquired how many cars have been purchased this year on a one-at-a-time basis. The Assistant Purchasing Agent stated not many cars were purchased last year; and this year, there was a total of three. Councilman Long asked if the prices on these would be submitted to her for comparison.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 17, 1964 for

fourteen (14) various types of automobiles for use by departments of the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the total bid of Simmons Motor Company in the sum of \$10,001.00 and seven (7) trade-ins for seven (7) Plymouth 2-Door Sedans; the total bid of Capitol Chevrolet in the sum of \$4,889.10 and three (3) trade-ins for three (3) Chevrolet Chevelle 4-Door Sedans; the bid of Armstrong-Johnson in the sum of \$2,882.00 and two (2) trade-ins for two (2) Ford Fairlane 4-Door Sedans; the bid of Smith Bro's. in the sum of \$1,673.95 for one (1) Plymouth 2-Door Sedan; and the bid of International Harvester in the sum of \$1,388.66 and one (1) trade-in for one (1) International Harvester pick-up truck, were the lowest and best bids therefor, and the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the total bid of Simmons Motor Company in the sum of \$10,001.00 and seven (7) trade-ins; the total bid of Capitol Chevrolet in the sum of \$4,889.10 and three (3) trade-ins; the bid of Armstrong-Johnson in the sum of \$2,882.00 and two (2) trade-ins; the bid of Smith Bro's. in the sum of \$1,673.95; and the bid of International Harvester in the sum of \$1,388.66 and one (1) trade-in, be and the same are hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the City with Simmons Motor Company, Capitol Chevrolet, Armstrong-Johnson, Smith Bro's. and International Harvester.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: Councilman Long

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE NECESSITY FOR AND ORDERING THE PAVING AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF CERTAIN STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, APPROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUCH WORK, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS, DIRECTING THE PREPARATION OF ESTIMATES, INVOKING THE ALTERNATE PROCEDURE PROVIDED BY ARTICLE I, SECTION 5 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND CHAPTER 106 OF THE ACTS OF THE FIRST CALLED SESSION OF THE 40TH LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS, DETERMINING THAT THE COST OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, PROVIDING A METHOD OF REIMBURSING THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS BY ASSESSMENT OF A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS AGAINST THE PROPERTY ABUTTING SUCH STREETS OR PORTIONS THEREOF TO BE IMPROVED, AND FOR THE FIXING OF A LIEN TO SECURE PAYMENT OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, STATING THE TIME AND MANNER PROPOSED FOR PAYMENT OF ALL SUCH COSTS, DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO CAUSE A NOTICE OF THE ENACTMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE TO BE FILED IN THE MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. (East 19th Street)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, after an engineering and traffic investigation, the City Council has found that the circumstances are such that the maximum reasonable and safe speed for the operation of vehicles at the following locations is less than thirty miles per hour on school days during the hours of 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. when pedestrians are present; and,

WHEREAS, after said investigation the City Council has found that the maximum reasonable and safe speed for the operation of vehicles is twenty (20) miles per hour on such days and during such hours at the following locations:

<u>ON STREET</u>	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
Montopolis Drive	Club Terrace	Porter Street

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be authorized and instructed to record this finding in Section 33.39 of the Traffic Register; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:

That the City Clerk be authorized and instructed to mark as deleted from Section 33.39 of the Traffic Register that certain entry made prior to this date establishing a twenty (20) mile per hour zone on Montopolis Drive from Crumley Lane to 300 feet south of Montopolis School on school days during the hours of 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. when pedestrians are present.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, by instrument of record in Volume 2682 at Pages 262-264 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, an easement for sanitary sewer purposes was granted the City of Austin in, upon and across the East twenty (20.00) feet of Lot 39A of Woodlawn, a subdivision out of and a part of the Isaac Decker League, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Woodlawn of record in Book 3 at Page 129 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of said premises have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the above described sanitary sewer easement; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of said premises have heretofore granted an easement at a more desirable location; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that said easement in, upon and across the following described property is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described sanitary sewer easement, to-wit:

In, upon and across the east twenty (20.00) feet of Lot 39A of Woodlawn, a subdivision out of and a part of the Isaac Decker League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Woodlawn of record in Book 3 at Page 129 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lot 39A of Woodlawn together with other property, was conveyed to Alvin L. Boyd, et ux, by warranty deed dated May 7, 1963, of record in Volume 2597 at page 310 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas;

AND IN ADDITION thereto a temporary working space easement ten (10.00) feet in width to cover the period of original installation is to be retained adjacent and parallel to the west line of the above described easement.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Council had before it the Meat Inspection Ordinance. Mayor Palmer stated it was his understanding that anyone that wanted to go under this ordinance could, and that there would be no additional cost to the City. Mr. Hargis, Sanitary Engineer, said any who met the standards, could choose to operate under the ordinance. The City Attorney reviewed the matter which was brought to the Council about 60-90 days ago and discussed thoroughly. The meat processors stated they were at a disadvantage of selling their products outside the City, and called this to the attention of the Council and asked that the ordinance be

amended. A review had been made with the Health Department, with the Legal Counsel of the State Health Department, as well as with the Staff of the State Health Department. The ordinance would allow meat processors and meat products processors to take advantage of the requirements made by the State Health law and sell their products in other cities. It is optional as far as meat processors are concerned. This ordinance adopts by reference the State law on the subject. One provision has to do with slaughtering; the other covers processing. The abattoir not only complies with the City and State laws but it also has Federal Inspection. The ordinance imposes some obligations on the processors to qualify. MR. SLAUGHTER had converted his plant to comply with the provisions, and said there are three processors that want the Inspector, and they will pay his time. The City will pay the employee and bill the processors for the amount. MR. KNIPPA, meat processor, spoke in favor of this ordinance, giving Bergstrom Field as an example of out-of-town business. Councilman Long inquired about the City employee. It was explained he would be paid by the City, and the processors billed for his time. Mr. Hargis recommended this amendment. Councilman White wanted to wait until next week on this, as he would like to read the ordinance over and study it a bit. The Council postponed action until the following week.

RECESSED MEETING

3:00 P.M.

At 3:00 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

The Council took under consideration the Ordinance regulating Private Detectives. MR. JOHN REED represented people who were in the Private Detective and Night Watchmen occupation.

Police Chief Miles stated the Council was aware that this occupation is so closely related to police service and the welfare of the City that it does require some regulations. At this point there is no control other than such controls as the Police Department had been able to exert by persuasion. He said the Police Department is entitled to know, and the Reputable Agencies are entitled to know that other agencies operating in the City and should be able to determine whether or not the people who are going into this type of business are reputable. The City needs to control the type of uniform; and it needs to be able to check for the Agencies on the records of people who are employed by them. This is now being done as a matter of courtesy, but it should be done regularly, and a certificate issued. The Police Chief outlined the controversy, in commissioning certain people as special officers so that they could carry arms, in that it runs afoul of the state minimum salary law for Police in cities under Civil Service, and the penal laws for carrying arms. MR. JOHN REED, Attorney for the Private Detectives and Night Watchmen, stated they agreed 100% on the need for the ordinance, and qualifications and standards, and there were no objections to the qualifying and permits. The carrying of arms was a matter of discussion. The City Attorney said the City did not have the power to grant the right to carry arms. The City's Police Officers are permitted to carry arms under State Law, which permits them to carry arms if they are regularly commissioned police officers. Mr. Reed was in opposition to Section 41.17; and in 41.10 pertaining to permitting the third party to sue a surety company direct without getting a judgment against a person. Detailed discussion of the bond was held. MR. REED stated an employer rather than having a \$50,000 blanket bond to cover his employees, would better to have a policy of insurance for each of his employees for \$10,000. The City Attorney stated the policy might be sufficient for the purposes; and after reading Section 41.10, he explained the City would then have to sue in order to enforce the obligations that they owe the City. He said it might be well to have two things--a liability insurance policy of \$100,000 or \$200,000 would not be unreasonable for a big detective agency, or maybe a half million dollars and a bond to protect the City so that it could look to the bonding company rather than the individual. MR. REED stated the \$10,000 bond was the standard amount most cities use. The Mayor suggested going to \$10,000 bond and \$300,000 insurance, or perhaps \$250,000. The Mayor asked the Detective Agencies if this was reasonable. All agreed, except one whose company was strictly a Burglar Alarm Company who noted this ordinance related them to a patrol or night watching service, but they were in the watching business with mechanical devices. MR. REED concluded his presentation, stating there was a bad need for an ordinance; there was a need for these men to be commissioned as auxiliary police or have the right to carry arms while they are in uniform and patrolling the area assigned. The City Attorney pointed to Charter Amendments in that the Chief of Police is no longer the City Marshal who could commission Deputy Marshals; and the statutory laws concerning pay and civil service requirements which make it impossible for the Council to commission the auxiliary police. The City Attorney pointed out the benefits of this ordinance. After discussing the matter of carrying arms, the City Attorney stated when one looks at this ordinance, and sees what is authorized and what is required, and notes how closely it comes to being a police function, his theory was if this ordinance does not negative the idea that the carrying of arms is authorized, that it would

be construed as an intention on the Council's part to authorize the carrying of arms, and would invalidate the ordinance. The Mayor noted there was no objection of the \$10,000 bond on each individual and \$250,000 liability on the operator. The Mayor announced that the Council would look this ordinance over, and asked that Mr. Reed, Mr. Eskew, and Chief Miles get together and see what they come up with.

The Council had under consideration the expansion of Brackenridge Hospital. Mayor Palmer stated the Hospital Consultants, the Committee of the Community Council, the Hospital Board, and the Medical Staff each recommended that Brackenridge Hospital should remain in its present location and be expanded in that area. The Mayor said the recommendations to the Council from those who work closely with the Hospital were that the Hospital remain in this location, and he asked if the Council were ready to determine and to state that future plans on the expansion of Brackenridge Hospital in meeting the City's hospital and health needs should be made, and that the location be at the present site, and facilities be enlarged from that location. Councilman Long moved that the Council accept the survey made by its consultants, and that it accept the present location for Brackenridge Hospital and its expansion, and that planning for the future expansion be started. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Long said in the near future, she hoped the Council would start talking about the architects. DR. S. H. DRYDEN, Chief of Staff, understood there was some money available for an architectural firm, and he understood there was quite a problem in hiring one who was qualified or trained in expanding a hospital. Dr. Dryden said the Hospital Board had made a recommendation in this regard. The City Manager read a memorandum from the Administrator stating at the last Board of Trustees Meeting on April 24th, the Board passed a resolution to be conveyed to the City Council in that it authorize the City Manager, with a committee that he would select, to recommend an architect on an evaluation basis recommended by the American Institute of Architects, taking into account the qualification of the architectural firms, and its abilities at this time to draw up plans and specifications promptly for the expansion of Brackenridge Hospital. Councilman Long stated she was ready to do it direct.

The City Manager reported he had attended the Staff Meeting and the Hospital Board Meeting, where the item of location was being discussed. The only serious question he had heard at either of these meetings was the one regarding the question whether enough land could be acquired in this area and whether or not a plan could be developed which would be suitable for the future needs in that area. Some work had been done on that. The Planning Department prepared a plan which shows one way that the area between 12th and 19th Streets and the Interregional Highway and Waller Creek could be developed into a hospital and health center. He reviewed the plan, stating this illustrates only one way this complex could be put together to provide all of the facilities needed. He located the present hospital, the north wing of the present hospital which the consultants had recommended even in the year 1980 be retained for convalescents, the parking garage, nursing home, mechanical area, laundry, etc; a

possible location for private enterprise to build a small motel for the out of town patients' relatives; a space for private doctors' offices, nursing school facilities, etc., and an area for a future medical school. Initially, until land is acquired, buildings that would be needed for the hospital would be on the land already on hand. As the complex develops and additional buildings are needed, multiple parking garages would be erected. The Director of Planning stated these plans which were the fifth revision, were to keep Red River, 15th and 19th as key streets, and that other streets be closed or revised. He discussed lack of parking spaces for the plan, stating there will be a requirement for 2,000 parking spaces directly in relation to the Hospital. Parking would ultimately have to be provided for in structures. He described the ability of the facilities of the whole area to take care of 500 patients, 1500 employees and patients' visitors, those in the nursing school and associated facilities and private offices. The City Manager stated this plan did not mean the City would acquire all of this land, as part of it would be developed by private enterprise. There would be no reason for the City to own land where doctors' offices would be. The plan indicates where in that complex those things should go. The Mayor wanted it to be made clear that this was only a suggested plan, and that no one owning land in the area now should be under the impression the City was going to buy the property, and the owners wanting it to buy it now. He suggested that the architect also may come up with an expanded plan as to how the area could be developed. Until the City is in a position to know what it is going to acquire, and is in the position of acquiring it he did not want to freeze any property for several years. Councilman Shanks said it was highly essential that some kind of master plan be adopted for this Hospital complex. The City Manager said the people who operate the hospital and doctors who work in hospitals are probably more familiar as to how certain rooms should be related to each other, etc., than almost anyone else. The Administrator has a Committee composed of Chiefs of several services, maintenance, etc., and the Medical Staff has a group to work with the Hospital Staff in trying to come up with some basic concepts, which would be turned over to an Architect.

It would be hard to expand with the first phase except toward the west on City owned land. When construction begins on that land, there has to be some parking areas. Discussed was the immediate amount of money needed for land, and it was stated this expansion should be in operation no later than 1970. The City Manager discussed the financing of this project by 1970, recommending that there be two projects, one to get under way as quickly as possible and another to follow as soon as this one is completed, in order to get completion by 1970. He discussed the funds for the architect and discussed acquisition of land, mentioning the Caswell Property which was already being purchased. The City Attorney stated if the Council has determined this is the area in which the expansion is to occur, then building permits in that area should not be issued without the Council's approval. The Mayor stated that was correct. Mayor Palmer said there would be some suggestions from some of the professional engineers who claim 40% of the work in a hospital is purely engineering and some have indicated the Council should select its own engineer and its own architect. In most cases, this does not necessarily work out for the best. The Council will discuss these details among itself, and then decide about calling for proposals.

The Council had under consideration the sale of the two houses located at 2304 Haskell Street to TOM ATTAL in a combined bid of \$404.52. Councilman Long inquired if these were going to be rehabilitated, and where they were going to be located.

Later the Building Official reported on his call to Mr. Attal, stating Mr. Attal was moving these buildings out to his farm outside the city limits. Councilman LaRue moved that the Council accept the bids of Tom Attal for the two houses located at 2304 Haskell Street for \$404.52. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to enter into a contract to purchase the property at 904 Midway for \$1,000. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager inquired of the desire of the Council concerning the buildings at the corner of 51st and Cameron Road--if they should be repaired and rented, or sold. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the improvements at 5003 Cameron Road be sold. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Long

The City Attorney inquired of the Council's wishes about the building at 5009 East 51st Street, which needed \$3,000-\$4,000 repairs before it could be rented. The Mayor suggested that a firm estimate of the costs be obtained to see how much it would be to put the building in condition to rent it.

Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to negotiate for the property on 908 West Avenue, up to the suggested price. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found that public necessity requires the creation of a north-south thoroughfare located generally in the right-of-way of the Missouri Pacific Railroad between West First Street and Northland Drive in the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition of the hereinafter described tract of land for right-of-way to permit the creation of such thoroughfare; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owners of said tract of land and has been unable to agree with such owners as to the fair cash market

value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owners and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire title for said purposes to the following described tract of land:

All of that certain lot, tract, or parcel of land lying and being situated in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, which is shown as the Sam Skinner lot on the plat of Skinner Addition, according to the map or plat of such addition of record in Volume 7, Page 138 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Mayor Palmer asked if it were at all possible for the City Manager and all departments to check to see whether or not there will be any need to consider or acquire Professor Goldstein's home as he wants to make some improvements. The City Attorney reported this had been checked out with the consulting engineer, the Traffic Engineer, and the Director of Public Works, and there was not known of any need for this property. They could make a double check on it. The Mayor asked that the double check be made, and that Mr. Goldstein be advised.

The City Manager stated the City Attorney had a proposal from MR. FRED W. ADAMS to trade his property at the southwest corner of Lake Austin Boulevard and Deep Eddy Boulevard for some that the City owns on 27th and Guadalupe. The Mayor stated there was some thought that the City would actually need that property. The City Attorney stated it did need it. The Mayor said it would be highly desirable for the City to purchase that for a better entrance in and out of Deep Eddy. He said without taking any official action on it that the City Manager see if it could be negotiated.

The Council discussed the purchase of property in connection with the 15th Street Cut-off. The City Attorney listed the appraisals and offer. The City Manager stated this was a project which would be anticipated in the next 10-15 years, but it is necessary to protect the right-of-way; and when there is an opportunity of acquiring property lying in the path of one of these projects, the right-of-way should be protected. After discussing the property and rentals, Councilman LaRue moved that the property at 808 East 14th Street and 900 East 14th Street be purchased as discussed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager said the Director of Public Works had a report about the retaining wall at 2706 Mountain Laurel. The Director of Public Works said MR. JACK WISWELL was building his home some 10-11' higher than the street, and wants to build a sidewalk next to the curb, 5' in width. Due to the terrain, he requests permission to build a brick wall right behind the walk about 16" from the property line to his driveway. The Director of Public Works stated there was a 10' curb basis, and 5' would be on city property. Mr. Wiswell had been told he had planted his shrubbery at his own risk, and that construction of the rock wall would be at his own risk. Councilman Shanks moved that the requested permission be granted with the understanding this will be put in at Mr. Wiswell's own risk; and if and when the street needs to be widened, there will be no reimbursement for the rock wall and sidewalk. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager had a recommendation from the Town Lake Study Committee pertaining to the Earl Jackson Apartments, Wilbur Clark Hotel; and Festival Beach Improvements. The Town Lake Committee approved the layout for the proposed apartments on Riverside Drive, with the exception of the driveway adjacent to the bridge. The Committee requested that the builder landscape the areas along Riverside Drive and also on the lake front, and that the Committee indicated it would prefer to see less intense development along the shoreline, but registered no formal objection with the builder. The Building Official stated Mr. Jackson was willing to comply with the recommendation of the Town Lake Committee. He showed the plans for the 180 apartments. After discussion, Councilman White moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the Town Lake Study Committee on the Earl N. Jackson Project. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman Shanks

The City Manager read the recommendation of the Town Lake Committee regarding the WILBUR CLARK HOTEL, in that it approved the proposal by Wilbur Clark Hotels for a contract to maintain the city owned land between the proposed hotel and Town Lake as outlined. The City Attorney had pointed out to the Committee that the Council had indicated it wanted a walkway constructed, and that the formal landscape plan should be submitted to this committee for study. Councilman Long wanted to see what kind of a contract that was submitted and asked what claim the hotel would have on the property. The City Attorney read an excerpt of the contract which provided for a period of five years that the owner would maintain, beautify and improve said area at the owner's sole expense; but for the use and benefit of the general public.

The contract would also provide for their putting in the walk and submitting their plans to the Council. The contract extends beyond the five year period in five year increments, and the City Attorney discussed briefly the provision of sale, should the City decide to sell. He stated the City had the privilege of using the property at any time for public use. Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City of Austin owns certain unimproved land abutting the Colorado River, between the extended West line of Brazos Street and the extended West line of Congress Avenue; and,

WHEREAS, Clayton E. Blakeway of Austin, Texas, owns land to the North of such City-owned land and desires to beautify and maintain at his own expense the abutting City property for the use and benefit of the public; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager, W. T. Williams, Jr., be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract between the City of Austin and Clayton E. Blakeway authorizing the improvement and beautification at Clayton E. Blakeway's own expense, and to authorize for a period of five (5) years, said Clayton E. Blakeway, or his assigns, to improve, beautify, and maintain at his or their sole expense, but for the use and benefit of the public, land owned by the City between the shoreline of Town Lake and an extension of the West line of Brazos Street and the East line of Congress Avenue, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the Southwest corner of Block 180 of the Original City of Austin, said corner being in the East line of Congress Avenue;

THENCE, in a Southerly direction with the East line of Congress Avenue, to a point for a corner where the South face of the Northerly abutment of the Congress Avenue bridge crossing the Colorado River intersects the East line of Congress Avenue;

THENCE, Westerly with the South face of said Northerly bridge abutment 120 feet to a point in the West line of Congress Avenue;

THENCE, in a Southerly direction with the West line of Congress Avenue, to the North edge of the waters of the Colorado River;

THENCE, in a Southeasterly direction with said North edge of said waters with their meanders to a point at which said meanders are intersected by a straight prolongation Southerly of the West line of Brazos Street;

THENCE, in a Northerly direction along the extended West line of Brazos Street, to the Southeast corner of Block 180 of the Original City of Austin, said point being in the West line of Brazos Street;

THENCE, North 55° 52' West 352.30 feet along the South line of said Block 180 to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Building Official showed plans of the Wilbur Clark Hotel on East 1st Street and Congress Avenue, stating they had shown places where they would like to plant trees in the sidewalk area. The Mayor stated there should be the \$300,000 liability to cover the City. The Building Official showed the location of the swimming pool, they would cantilever over a wall they will build about 12" about two to three feet above the ground level. After discussion, Councilman LaRue moved that the Council approve the planting of trees in the locations as shown on the plans, and approve the construction of the swimming pool as explained and pointed out on the plan. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager read the recommendation of the Town Lake Committee pertaining to plans for seating and for a boat dock at Festival Beach. Councilman LaRue moved that the seating arrangements and boat dock at Festival Beach be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the Junior Chamber of Commerce be granted permission to use the parking lot at the Coliseum on May 23, 1964, for the Teen Agers' Safe Driving Rodeo. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager reported that MR. JAMES WILSON, Lawyer, stated representatives of the Westinghouse Company will be in San Antonio, June 8, and would like to stop in Austin on June 9th to meet with the city officials regarding the anti-trust suit, and the City Manager asked if this day could be left open for this meeting. Councilman Shanks moved that the Council hear the group. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager stated the Public Works Department had made an inspection of the Disch Field Grandstand. It was concluded some work probably needed to be done but generally the facilities are not in any worse condition than they were last year, however, at the end of the season the roof should be removed. Councilman Long inquired about replacing the roof. Councilman LaRue inquired about the amount it would take to replace the roof. The Director of Public Works estimated it would be about \$12,000 to \$15,000. The use of the field was discussed. The City Manager stated there was some work considered to be needed immediately in order to keep the property in safe condition, and it will cost about \$300 and this work was being done.

The City Manager brought up the recommendation from the Parks and Recreation Board which had been sent to the Council last week, regarding a request from MR. BOB LANDIS ARMSTRONG in behalf of the operator of the Bait House, who wants to enter into a long-term lease. The recommendation from the Parks and Recreation Board was to the effect that no leases be made in this area, for this purpose; and at the earliest date possible the building that is there now should be removed. The City Manager stated it was necessary to give Mr. Armstrong and his client an answer. Councilman Long suggested Mr. Armstrong look at the Master Plan for the Town Lake and review the bait-house areas that are set out in that plan and see if they can find a location. The Building Official stated the Town Lake Committee had asked Mr. Armstrong to bring in another plot plan, as they were not satisfied with the present one. Councilman LaRue stated the Council should hold up on this matter.

The City Manager submitted the name of MR. EDWARD ROBINSON for reappointment to the Civil Service Commission, for a term extending to May 6, 1967, subject to confirmation by the Council. Councilman Shanks moved that the Council confirm the reappointment of MR. EDWARD ROBINSON as a member of the Civil Service Commission for the three year period extending to May 6, 1967. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager nominated the following as members of the Plumbing Board in the respective classifications:

MR. JAMES C. MIDDLETON	Master Plumber
MR. FRANK GREEN	Licensed Journeyman Plumber
MR. JOHN KAVANAUGH	Appliance Dealer
MR. FRANK GERLING	Mechanical Engineer
MR. ROY THOMAS	Architect
MR. ED BLOOMQUIST	Air-conditioning Contractor
MR. J. W. SCARBROUGH	Gas Utility Man
MR. CLYDE C. CRAVEN	Property Owner

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council confirm the nominations of the City Manager to the Plumbing Board. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The City Manager stated the Council had adopted the first four chapters of the Building Code, and those chapters include a provision for the creation of a Board of Appeals under the Building Code. He stated one member would be appointed for one year, one for two, one for three, one for four and one for five years; and each year thereafter one member is appointed. He said the Building Official had written a memorandum pointing out the Building Code Committee which is now working on this Building Code and which is familiar with it, would be a group from which to select this Board initially, and some of those members if appointed would serve. Suggested were MR. JACK ANDREWARTHA, Home Builders; MR.

CHARLES MILLHOUSE, Architect; MR. W. CLARK CRAIG, Structural Engineer; MR. B. SEGALL, Mechanical Engineer, and MR. CHARLES MORTON, Heavy Construction Engineer. The Building Official had suggested this would be a group that could be appointed initially, as they are familiar with the Building Code as being adopted. Councilman Long wanted to study this over, and stated she was not ready to vote on this Board today.

Mayor Palmer called attention to about 20 letters written from a third grade from DILL SCHOOL requesting a zoo in Zilker Park.

The Mayor referred to a letter from MR. LEONARD KESSELMAN, Director, Zoological Planning Associates, which specializes in planning, designing and developing zoos. The letter was referred to the Recreation Department.

Mayor Palmer stated at the last work session, last Tuesday, the Council went over two or three plans, and Mrs. Long had her plan typed out for the Council. He called another work session for the following Tuesday at 10:00 A.M. to see if everything could be worked out.

There being no further business, Councilman Long moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 7:00 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

Leo E. Palmer
Mayor

ATTEST:

Elen Woodley
City Clerk