

## MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

December 5, 1957

10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Miller presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works.

Invocation was delivered by REV. JAMES STONE, Asbury Methodist Church, 3810 Cherrywood.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of November 27, 1957, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

MR. FRANK DENIUS filed with the City Clerk and with the Council the following notice of appeal from the ruling of the City Taxicab Commission:

"December 5, 1957

"The Honorable Mayor and  
Members of the City Council  
Austin, Texas

"RE: Roy's Taxi - Application for  
Additional taxicabs.

"On December 4, 1957, Roy Velasquez, applicant in the above-captioned matter, was notified in writing by the Taxicab Franchise Commission that said Commission was filing its recommendation to the City Council that the application of Roy Velasquez for sixteen (16) additional taxicabs be denied.

"Therefore, Applicant, pursuant to Section 31.65 of the City Code, hereby gives this his notice of appeal to the City Council in writing requesting said

council to consider, at its earliest convenience, the passage of an ordinance granting a franchise to applicant for the sixteen (16) additional taxicabs as originally requested by his application.

"(Sgd) Roy Velasquez  
Roy Velasquez  
(Sgd) Franklin W. Denius  
Franklin W. Denius  
Attorney for Applicant"

The Mayor read the following recommendation of the Taxicab Commission:

"December 4, 1957

"MEMORANDUM TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

"SUBJECT: Application for Additional Taxicabs in Excess of the Number Authorized Under a Franchise Granted By the City of Austin in the Name of Roy's Taxi Pursuant to Section 31.67 of the Austin City Code of 1954.

"The above captioned application for sixteen additional taxicabs by Roy's Taxi filed with the City Clerk on October 30, 1957 was referred to the Taxicab Franchise Commission and notice of a public hearing was duly published under Section 31.58 of the Austin City Code.

"Pursuant to such notice a public hearing was held at 4:00 P. M. on the 20th day of November, 1957, and written and oral testimony was presented to the Commission by the applicant and other interested parties appearing at the hearing.

"Upon the evidence and testimony presented to the Taxicab Franchise Commission at the public hearing, it is hereby the finding of the Commission that:

"(1) Applicant, Roy Velasquez, did not show or attempt to show to the Commission his ability to operate sixteen additional taxicabs in full compliance with the Code of the City of Austin. Applicant stated to the Commission at the public hearing that he did not know whether he would own or lease the sixteen additional cabs, and has not shown in writing or by oral testimony the probable age and condition of the vehicles proposed to be used as required by Section 31.53 of the Austin City Code.

"(2) Applicant's written admission states that his radio control is off the air between the hours of twelve or one o'clock at night and does not reopen until 6:00 A.M. in the morning, and that Roy's taxi service terminal facilities are located in the same building where applicant lives and that such terminal is closed at or about 1:00 A.M. in the morning. Under such conditions, the applicant cannot render an overall taxi service 24 hours a day as required by the Code of the City of Austin in that the applicant does not maintain a central place of business and keep the same open 24 hours a day for the purpose of receiving calls and dispatching taxicabs as required by Section 31.21 of the Austin City Code.

"(3) Several of the letters presented to the Commission in behalf of

Roy Velasquez stated that his taxicabs were used for delivery of food and merchandise which has no bearing on the public convenience and necessity for taxicab service to the citizens of the City of Austin, Texas.

"(4) Statistics presented to the Commission by the applicant show that the number of taxicabs now operating in the City is favorable in ratio to the number of taxicabs operating in other cities.

"(5) There was no evidence presented to the Commission to show an overall need for additional taxicab service in the City of Austin, but only that at certain times, during rainy weather and other peak periods, that additional taxicab service was required, and the evidence presented to the Commission showed that some customers of Roy's Taxi were required to wait 15 or 20 minutes for a cab but there was no evidence presented to the Commission which showed that the same condition exists among other taxicab companies or that the same condition exists with the general public when making calls for taxicabs.

"(6) Although there was a public notice of the meeting, no member of the general public, other than taxicab operators, and persons called by taxicab operators, appeared at the public meeting in support of the application or to oppose such application.

"After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented to the Commission and the foregoing findings of the Commission, the Taxicab Franchise Commission finds that the public convenience and necessity do not require the additional taxicab service requested by the applicant, Roy Velasquez doing business as Roy's Taxi.

"Therefore, the Taxicab Franchise Commission recommends to the City Council that the request of Roy Velasquez for additional taxicabs be denied.

"TAXICAB FRANCHISE COMMISSION

(Sgd) George Rogers

George Rogers

(Sgd) John A. Basford

John A. Basford

(Sgd) C. J. Taylor, Jr.

C. J. Taylor, Jr."

The Mayor read the following letter:

"December 5, 1957

"The City Council  
City of Austin  
Austin, Texas

"To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council:

"We have been advised that the Taxicab Commission, in response to an application by Roy's Taxi for 16 additional permits, has denied the application, after notice and public hearing, based upon several reasons, the principal reason being that the public convenience and necessity do not require additional taxicabs in Austin to serve the general public.

"This decision by the Taxicab Commission is final, but the applicant may, within 5 days after notice in writing, appeal to the City Council and ask

the Council to pass an ordinance contrary to the findings of fact made by the Taxicab Commission. (Sec. 31.65, City Code).

"While it is obvious that the findings of the Taxicab Commission are correct and are supported by all the facts introduced at the public hearing November 20, we are aware that applicant might appeal to the City Council and ask that an ordinance be passed anyhow giving him the additional cabs, whether needed by the public or not.

"In event an appeal should be taken, we desire notice and an opportunity to present evidence and argument in support of the Taxicab Commission's findings and decision. The law and the facts on this matter are clear, and there are many reasons under the Code why the application for more cabs was properly and correctly denied.

"In connection with the hearing before the Taxicab Commission, we filed a written protest in behalf of three franchise holders under authority of Sec. 31.59. During the proceedings before the Taxicab Commission we refrained from furnishing evidence or argument to members of the City Council, awaiting the time when the question should be properly before the Council. We are informed that the Commission's report will be filed with the City Council today.

"If any further consideration is given the application by the Council, we respectfully request that we be notified in advance in order that we may be heard in opposition to the application and in behalf of the findings and conclusions of the Taxicab Commission.

"Respectfully submitted,  
HOLLERS & O'QUINN  
By: (Sgd) Trueman O'Quinn  
Trueman O'Quinn  
Attorneys for the following  
franchise holders:  
Yellow Cab Company  
Checker Cab Company  
Owl Taxi Service"

The Council made several inquiries of Mr. Denius, Attorney, and of Mr. Velasquez. The Council was to set the hearing at the earliest possible date pending contacting Mr. O'Quinn.

~~Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption;~~

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found and determined that:

1. Certain expenditures by the City for the construction of capital public improvements were planned for the fiscal period beginning October 1, 1957, and ending September 30, 1958.
2. At the time of adoption of the budget of the City for such fiscal period, it was found that funds would be available within such period for said

expenditures, and provision was made therefor in said budget.

3. The Council now finds that the funds for said expenditures will be available within said fiscal period, but that certain projects have been scheduled to be started throughout the year, and that funds are not presently available for accelerating the scheduled commencement of projects.

4. That Humble Oil & Refining Company has requested the City to accelerate the scheduled commencement of its storm sewer project on South Lamar Boulevard in order to better drain an area in which certain property of said company is located, and has agreed to advance the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000.00) to the City for such work, provided the City will repay said company, without interest, on or before September 30, 1958.

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, is hereby authorized and directed on behalf of the City of Austin, Texas, to accept the advancement of funds from the Humble Oil & Refining Company in the amount of Eleven Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$11,000.00), and to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Humble Oil & Refining Company to refund the same, without interest, in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain contract exhibited to the City Council, a copy of which is attached to this Resolution and made a part hereof for all purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That there is hereby appropriated out of the General Funds of the City of Austin to be and to become available to the City within the current fiscal period, the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000.00) to pay the principal obligation, without interest, created by such contract with Humble Oil & Refining Company as the same becomes due and payable. The Director of Finance is hereby ordered, authorized and directed to safely keep the funds hereby appropriated and to apply the same to the purposes named and to none other.

(Contract attached)

THE STATE OF TEXAS }

:

COUNTY OF TRAVIS }

This agreement made and entered into by and between the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, situated in Travis County, Texas, and the Humble Oil & Refining Company, a corporation organized and doing business under the laws of the State of Texas, having its principal place of business in the City of Houston, Harris County, Texas, WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, certain expenditures by the City of Austin for the construction of capital public improvements along South Lamar Boulevard were planned for the fiscal period beginning October 1, 1957, and ending September 30, 1958, and at the time of adoption of the budget of the City for such period, it was found that funds would be available within such period for said expenditures, and provisions was made therefor in said budget; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found that the funds for said expenditures will be available within said fiscal period, but that funds are not presently available for accelerating the scheduled commencement of projects; and,

WHEREAS, the immediate construction of a storm sewer in South Lamar Boulevard west of Kinney Road has been requested by Humble Oil & Refining Company in order to better drain an area in which certain property of said company is located; and,

WHEREAS, the Humble Oil & Refining Company has agreed to advance to the City of Austin the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000.00) and by these presents does advance to the City of Austin the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000.00) in order that the City of Austin may proceed earlier than originally scheduled with the construction of such needed public improvement, and it is agreed that such money be, and it is hereby deposited with the Director of Finance of the City of Austin to be paid out by the City for the purpose of making such capital improvements;

Now, Therefore, in consideration of the premises, the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, hereby acknowledges that it owes and hereby promises to repay to Humble Oil & Refining Company the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000.00), without interest, on or before September 30, 1958.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said City of Austin, and the said Humble Oil & Refining Company, have hereunto caused their respective corporate names and seals to be hereunto subscribed and affixed by their respective officers first thereunto duly authorized this the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_.

ATTEST:

CITY OF AUSTIN

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

By \_\_\_\_\_  
W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager

ATTEST:

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Sales Manager

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"November 5, 1957

"From: S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.  
Director of Public Works

To: W. T. Williams, Jr.  
City Manager

"Subject: Construction of Storm Sewer in South Lamar Boulevard - Contract No. 57-D-37

"Following is a tabulation of bids received at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, November 5,

1957, for the construction of a Storm Sewer in South Lamar Boulevard from Kinney Road to Bluebonnet Lane Easement - Contract No. 57-D-37.

"Austin Engineering Co.	\$12,681.60
Karl B. Wagner Engr.Const. Co.	\$14,421.20
Wyman Construction Co.	\$14,616.60
Bland Construction Co.	\$22,113.60

"City's Estimate	\$ 9,400.00
------------------	-------------

"I recommend that Austin Engineering Company with their low bid of \$12,681.60 be awarded the contract for this project."

Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on November 5, 1957, for the construction of a Storm Sewer in South Lamar Boulevard from Kinney Road to Bluebonnet Lane Easement - Contract No. 57-D-37; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Austin Engineering Co. in the sum of \$12,681.60 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Austin Engineering Co. in the sum of \$12,681.60 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Austin Engineering Co.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

Mayor Miller introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE NECESSITY FOR AND ORDERING THE PAVING AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF CERTAIN STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, APPROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUCH WORK, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS, DIRECTING THE PREPARATION OF ESTIMATES, INVOKING THE ALTERNATE PROCEDURE PROVIDED BY ARTICLE I, SECTION 5 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND CHAPTER 106 OF THE ACTS OF THE FIRST CALLED SESSION OF THE 40TH LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS, DETERMINING THAT THE COST OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, PROVIDING A METHOD OF REIMBURSING THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR

A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS BY ASSESSMENT OF A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS AGAINST THE PROPERTY ABUTTING SUCH STREETS OR PORTIONS THEREOF TO BE IMPROVED, AND FOR THE FIXING OF A LIEN TO SECURE PAYMENT OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, STATING THE TIME AND MANNER PROPOSED FOR PAYMENT OF ALL SUCH COSTS, DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO CAUSE A NOTICE OF THE ENACTMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE TO BE FILED IN THE MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Later on in the meeting, the City Attorney pointed out that the assessments against each abutting property owner would be paid off in three years at 7% interest. After discussion, Councilman Long stated the Council was not aware of this until after the ordinance was passed, and that she wanted to express her objection to the 7% interest and made the following statement:

"I am opposed to the 7% interest (1) because the people are forced into this, and (2) because they may be assessed more or less than the flat rate or rate of paving because of assessment."

The Mayor stated, regarding the paving program, that subdivisions were in an entirely different category; as when people bought in subdivisions, they were buying the paving. The subdividers had an incentive under the fiscal plan. When property owners who have been on streets 50 to 75 years that have never been paved, that is a different situation. In certain areas where there is a good base and other things existing, the Council could look into that and study it. The Mayor stated it would be necessary to call in the paving contractors and talk with them in a public meeting. The weather conditions here had been unusual. During the drouths there was very little frost and ice to undermine the streets; and if the weather is rainy in January and February, there will be

many complaints about the streets. He suggested that the program be gradually worked out. He then called a meeting with the contractors for 3:00 P.M. December 12, 1957.

Councilman White moved that J. M. ODOM be granted a two months' extension of time for street working space at 6th and Congress Avenue (Littlefield Building). The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized on behalf of the City of Austin to execute a License Agreement for a sewer easement with the Texas and New Orleans Railroad Company, in accordance with the terms and conditions of such license agreement contract exhibited to the City Council. *(49th and Red River)*

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized on behalf of the City of Austin to execute a License Agreement for approach lighting system at Municipal Airport with the United States of America, in accordance with the terms and conditions of such License Agreement exhibited to the City Council.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The City Manager gave a progress report on the water and sewer projects. The Council asked that he submit a map showing the proposed lines and the completed parts, and listing the streets involved.

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

FRANK WORTHAM, et ux	2604 Paramount Street	From "A" Residence
By Alvis Vandygriff,		To "B" Residence
Attorney		RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

No opposition appeared. The Mayor asked those who wished to grant the change to "B" Residence to vote "aye"; those opposed to vote "no". Roll call showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance.

- - - - -

SYLVESTER & JESSIE	1405 Walnut Avenue	From "A" Residence
BRADFORD		To "B" Residence
		RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

Mr. Bradford represented himself. No opposition appeared. The Mayor asked those who wished to grant the change to "B" Residence to vote "aye"; those opposed to vote "no". Roll call showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance.

- - - - -

LAMAR BUILDERS SUPPLY, INC.	5216 Avenue G	From "A" Residence
	205-07 East 53rd	To "LR" Local Retail
	Street	RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

The Mayor asked those who wished to grant the change to "LR" Local Retail to vote "aye"; those opposed to vote "no"; Roll call showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance.

- - - - -

STUART WATT

902-04 East 50th Street From "C" Commercial  
5001-03 Airport Boulevard To "C-1" Commercial  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Watt represented himself. MR. H. P. ALLEN expressed opposition. The Mayor asked those who wished to grant the change to "C-1" Commercial to vote "aye"; those opposed to vote "no". Roll call showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance.

-----

A. Y. HUTTO

1511 Ullrich Avenue  
5713 Arroyo Seca

From "A" Residence  
To "BB" Residence  
NOT Recommended

Mr. Hutto represented himself. Opposition was expressed by MR. G. W. REID and MR. LEWIS D. GILLET, as they did not want anything in this "A" zone change to a lower classification. The Council wanted to make a personal inspection of the area and action was deferred until next week.

-----

ROY BEDICHEK, et al

2103-09 Oldham Street  
801-05 Manor Road  
2102-08 Swisher Street  
807-11 Manor Road

From "C" Commercial 2nd  
To "BB" Residence 2nd  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

MR. BEDICHEK represented those who wanted the area changed back to "BB" Residence as the plans for C & S did not materialize. MR. LEO HUGHES, MRS. VIRGINIA SCHARBROUGH, and MR. BOBBY L. CRUTCHFIELD appeared with Mr. Bedichek in wanting the property changed back to "BB". MR. RAYMOND LITTLEFIELD explained the change of plans from an efficiency apartment house under "BB" to selling the property under a "C" Commercial zone to C & S Sporting Company, to the present plan for a 20-unit apartment house, and a 14-unit apartment house later, which would need the "C" zone. MR. AHLGRIMM represented MISSES IDA and MINNIE ALBRECHT and MR. SCHARATH in opposition to changing the zone back. The Mayor asked Mr. Littlefield to bring in his plans. The Council wanted to make a personal inspection of the property before next week. Action was deferred until next week.

-----

PLANNING COMMISSION

Hancock Drive, Shoal-  
wood Avenue and  
Crestmont Drive

From "C" Commercial  
To "A" Residence  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

Mr. Mike Mahoney made a report on this recommendation and the Council

laid it over for a week for further study.

- - - - -

AGNES S. BURROWS

2316-2318 Longview Street  
1201-03 West 24th Street  
(2314 Longview Street, being  
known as Lot 2 & portion  
of Lot 3, withdrawn by  
Attorney.)

From "B" Residence  
To "O" Office  
RECOMMENDED by the  
Planning Commission

MR. FRANK DENIUS represented the applicant. MR. CLAY SIMPSON, 2309 Longview, would not object to a doctor's office, but was interested in knowing what was to be put here. MISS CRAIG expressed opposition for her sister, Marguerite, and for DR. CAROLINE CROWELL. The Mayor suggested that Mr. Denius get with his client and see what kind of lease they want to make, and let these neighbors know. Action was deferred until the following week.

- - - - -

CARLTON JOHNSON

1801 West 35th Street

From "A" Residence  
To "GR" General Retail  
NOT Recommended by the  
Planning Commission

No one appeared. The Mayor asked those who wished to grant the change to "GR" General Retail to vote "aye"; those opposed to vote "no". Roll call showed the following vote:

.

Ayes: None

Noes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

- - - - -

The City Manager submitted the following:

"December 3, 1957

"From: S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.  
Director of Public Works

To: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager

"Subject: Voluntary Paving Contract No. 57-V-41 - West 35th Street

"Following is a tabulation of bids received at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, December 3, 1957, for the construction of Voluntary Paving Contract No. 57-V-41, on West 35th Street from Mt. Bonnell Road to Jefferson Street.

"Giesen & Latson Const. Co.	\$69,602.55
McKown & Sons	70,143.47
Collins Construction Co.	86,991.70
Ed H. Page	87,976.09

"City's Estimate	\$68,387.60
------------------	-------------

"I recommend thatt Giesen and Latson Construction Company with their low bid of \$69,602.55 be awarded the contract for this project."

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on December 3, 1957, for the construction of Voluntary Paving Contract No. 57-V-41, on West 35th Street from Mt. Bonnell Road to Jefferson Street; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Giesen & Latson Construction Company in the sum of \$69,602.55 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Giesen & Latson Construction Company in the sum of \$69,602.55 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Giesen and Latson Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Palmer, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

The Council took no action on the application of ANTHONY A. MACALUSO for permit to alter his shore line at 2702 Scenic Drive, as it wanted to make a personal inspection of the property.

Councilman Long moved that the Regular Council Meeting be held on Monday, December 23, 1957. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted a request from the Texas Military District that on January 2, 1958, when they hold a Ceremony in front of the building, that parking be eliminated on 8th Street between Colorado and Lavaca and that traffic be stopped from about 10:15 until 10:45 A.M. until after the Ceremonies. Councilman Long moved that this request be granted. The motion, seconded by

Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

Councilman Long moved that an extension of 15 more days on the bids for the Auditorium seats be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

The City Attorney submitted a request for right-of-way to straighten out a 90° angle turn on Riverside Drive as it ran into Summitt. (Property of IVA BAKER'S) The right-of-way can be obtained for \$4,850, with the property owner retaining the house. Councilman Palmer moved that the purchase of this property from Mrs. Baker be authorized. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:


Ayes: Councilman Long, Palmer, Pearson, White, Mayor Miller  
Noes: None

There being no further business, the Council adjourned at 1:20 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

  
Mayor

ATTEST:

  
City Clerk