

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

February 3, 1955
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor McAden presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Absent: None

Present also: W. E. Seaholm, City Manager; W. T. Williams, Jr., City Attorney; C. G. Levander, Director of Public Works.

Invocation was delivered by REV. JOHN LEE SMITH, Highland Park West Baptist Church.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of the Special Meetings of December 16th (Auditorium), January 7th (Auditorium), January 10th (Telephone Hearing), January 13th (Interview of HAROLD WISE); and the Regular Meeting of January 27th be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Thompson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The Council discussed in detail the proposed policy of participation by the city in paving costs. Councilman Long made a statement that she had opposed the city's going to just 10% participation; that all the citizens that have put their money in the general till and have participated in the paving of other people's streets are entitled to the same participation when they get their streets paved; that she felt the 10% participation was unfair. Councilman Thompson felt that Mrs. Long's position in regard to people who have already bought their paving will be mistreated is in error for the reason that when everybody that bought their paving on the city's 40% participation, every other taxpayer in town, whether he had paved streets or not, through the payment of his taxes, participated up to 40% in the cost of their paving, and they are now paying back a little bit, and he believed it was an even

deal. The City Manager recalled that prior to 1932, it was the policy of the City to participate just as is being set up now. It was brought out the maximum amount per foot, including curb and gutter would be \$5.44 under the new policy for the property owner. Councilman Pearson believed the people wanted paving so badly that they want to go on and make a private contract and pay all the costs; that he had checked other cities, and all of them are paying even less than proposed here, as the majority of the cities were participating only up to 10% of everything. He wanted to see the paving program started, and spend several thousand dollars each year in paving instead of maintenance. He hoped all Councils would have a concrete program each year and get the revolving fund where they could continue paving so many blocks each year. The Mayor stated the subdivision ordinance was contributing a lot to the paving. Councilman Thompson then offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a need exists for the paving and improvement of many streets in the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, funds available for the improvement of streets are limited; and,

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the City Council to provide the greatest possible amount of paving with the funds which are available, Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That hereafter the costs of street paving and improvement in the City of Austin shall be allocated as follows:

On all commercial and industrial streets, regardless of width, or type of paving, all costs of curb and gutter, and 9/10ths of all other costs of the improvements, including excavation, pavement, engineering, drainage, adjustment of manhole castings, and other accessory work, but not including the costs of utility changes, shall be assessed or charged to the abutting property and the owners thereof, and the remaining costs shall be paid by the City of Austin.

On residential streets where pavement 30 feet in width is being installed, all costs shall be apportioned in the same manner as commercial and industrial streets, except for the adjustment hereinafter provided for side street frontages of corner lots.

On residential streets of widths of more than 30 feet, where residential type pavement is installed the costs for 30 feet of width shall be apportioned in the same manner as residential streets 30 feet in width, and the costs for the additional width shall be divided equally between the City and the abutting property (and the owners thereof), the same adjustment being provided for the extra width as is provided for the first 30 feet of width for side street frontages of corner lots.

On residential streets of widths of more than 30 feet where thoroughfare or industrial type pavement is installed, the costs for 30 feet

of width shall be apportioned in the same manner as residential streets 30 feet in width, and all costs for the additional width shall be paid by the City.

On any corner lot, the street abutting the longest dimension of the lot shall be considered the side street, and if the street dimensions of the lot are all equal, the last one paved shall be considered the side street. Upon the pavement of any residential side street, the City shall pay all of the front foot cost of all improvements for 1/3rd of the side street frontage or 50 feet, whichever is the lesser, in addition to the portion of the costs to be paid by the City as hereinabove provided for the remainder of the side street frontage.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Pearson, Thompson, White* Mayor McAden

Noes: Councilman Long

*Councilman White made the following statement concerning his vote:

"I have been checking this. There has been a question in my mind for some time. Mr. Thompson has advocated this for some time. I have been checking up since this resolution was written a week or ten days ago, and I am not getting any unfavorable reaction on the set up like this is, and I am going to vote 'aye'."

Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves as a filling station site the property located at the north corner of the intersection of South Lamar Boulevard, West Mary Street and Hether Street, which property fronts 200 feet on South Lamar Boulevard, 200 feet on West Mary Street and 27.52 feet on Hether Street, and being known as a portion of Lots 8 and 9, Fredericksburg Road Acres No. 2, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and hereby authorizes the said Sinclair Refining Company to construct, maintain and operate a drive-in gasoline filling station and to construct curbs, ramps and sidewalks in conjunction therewith, subject to the same being constructed in compliance with all ordinances relating thereto, and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations and plans; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the operation of this filling station after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution, and said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present, and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper Police, Traffic and Fire regulations; and the right of revocation is retained, if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Sinclair Refining Company has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations, and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"February 3, 1955

"Mr. Walter Seaholm
City Manager
Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"We, the undersigned, have considered the application of the Sinclair Refining Company for permission to construct, maintain and operate a drive-in gasoline filling station and to construct commercial driveways in conjunction therewith upon the property located at the north corner of the intersection of South Lamar Boulevard, West Mary Street and Hether Street, which property fronts 200 feet on South Lamar Boulevard, 200 feet on West Mary Street and 27.52 feet on Hether Street, and being known as a portion of Lots 8 and 9, Fredericksburg Road Acres No. 2, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and the property upon which this filling station is to be located is owned by the Sinclair Refining Company and we hereby advise that the following conditions exist.

"The property upon which this filling station is to be located is designated as "C" Commercial Use District upon the zoning maps of the City of Austin.

"All drainage, natural or otherwise, from this filling station is to be disposed of in such a manner that such drainage will not flow across the sidewalk area into the street and furthermore, shall not create a nuisance to others in the neighborhood and it is understood that the disposal of such drainage shall be entirely the responsibility of the property owner. Any waste connection to a storm sewer which empties into an open drainageway shall be discontinued if the waste becomes a nuisance or damages any property or rights of others in the vicinity of the open drainageway.

"We recommend that the Sinclair Refining Company be granted permission to construct, maintain and operate said drive-in gasoline filling station and to construct curbs, ramps, and sidewalks in conjunction therewith, subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That all buildings and equipment shall be placed inside of the property line, correct lines to be obtained before construction starts or equipment is installed. Lines and grades to be obtained from the Department of Public Works for entrances and driveways, building lines to be approved by the City Building Inspector. That the applicant shall confer with the Department of Public Works as to future grades of the sidewalks and gutters on the adjacent streets before he starts any construction relative to the filling station.

"(2) That only underground tanks shall be used and that all pumps shall be so located that it will be impracticable to service motor vehicles therefrom while said motor vehicles are standing on any part of a sidewalk, street or alley.

"(3) That the gasoline tanks, pumps, and all equipment used in connection with the storage and handling of gasoline shall be an approved

type and shall bear the label of Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. and that all construction of the filling station improvements shall be in accord with the Building Ordinance, the Zoning Ordinance, the Filling Station Ordinance, and in accordance with the ordinance prohibiting the disposal of commercial water or oils upon the City Streets.

"(4) That the grades of the station shall be such that no waste water or oils or any floor washings shall ever pass over the City sidewalk area and that all of said oils and water shall be concentrated into a combined grease and sand trap which shall be constructed in accordance with our standard plan 2 - H - 146.

"(5) That all filling station improvements, pump islands, driveways, ramps, gutters, sidewalks and curbs shall be constructed of concrete at the expense of the applicant as set forth upon the plan hereto attached, which plan bears the Department of Public Works file number 2 - G - 406.

"(6) Expansion joints shall be constructed as shown upon the plan hereto attached marked 2 - G - 406 and shall be of the pre-moulded type.

"(7) When the owner considers that he has complied with all the requirements of the City of Austin for filling stations, he shall apply for a final inspection and upon approval, the Building Inspector shall issue a Certification of Operation before such filling station can be put into service.

"Respectfully submitted,
(Sgd) C. G. Levander
Director of Public Works
(Sgd) J. C. Eckert
Building Inspector"

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Bob Allen is the Contractor for the alteration of a building located at 912 Congress Avenue and desires a portion of the sidewalk and street space abutting the south 1/2, (one half) of Lot 4, Block 110, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas during the alteration of the building, such space to be used in the work and for the storage of materials therefor; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

1. THAT space for the uses hereinabove enumerated be granted to said Bob Allen, the boundary of which is described as follows:

Sidewalk and Street Working Space

Beginning at the south east corner of the above described property; thence at right angles to the center

line of Congress Avenue to a point 4 feet east of the west curb line; thence in anortherly direction and parallel with the centerline of Congress Avenue approximately 23 feet to a point; thence in a westerly direction and at right angles to the center line of Congress Avenue to the north east corner of the above described property.

2. THAT the above privileges and allotment of space are granted to the said Bob Allen, hereinafter termed "Contractor", upon the following express terms and conditions:

(1) That the Contractor shall nonstruct a 4-foot walkway within the outer boundaries of the above described working space, such walkway to be protected on each side by a guard rail at least 4 feet high and substantially braced and anchored, and without wood strips or obstructions of any kind along the pavement within the walkway, and at any time in the opinion of the City Officials it becomes necessary for any reason to install a board floor within the walkway, the Contractor shall upon notice from the Building Inspector immediately place such a wood floor and substantially support same to prevent sagging under load.

(2) That the Contractor is permitted to construct in his working space a substantial gate which shall be kept closed at all times when not in use, and at all times that such gate is open, the Contractor shall maintain a person at this gate to warn pedestrians and vehicles of approaching trucks. This gate is not to open out so as to impede vehicular or pedestrian traffic.

(3) That "No Parking" signs shall be placed on the street side of the barricades.

(4) That the Contractor shall in no way obstruct any fire plugs or other public utilities in the construction of such barricades.

(5) That provisions shall be made for the normal flow of all storm waters in the gutter and the contractor will be responsible for any damage done due to obstruction of any such storm water.

(6) That the Contractor shall place on the outside corners of any walkway, barricades or obstructions, red lights during all periods of darkness and provide lighting system for all tunnels.

(7) That the Contractor shall remove all fences, barricades, loose materials and other obstructions on the sidewalk and street immediately after the necessity for their existence on said sidewalk or street has ceased, such time to be determined by the City Manager, and in any event all such sidewalk, barricades, materials, equipment and other obstruction shall be removed not later than March 15, 1955.

(8) That the City reserves the right to revoke at any time any and all the privileges herein granted or to require the erection or installation of additional barriers or safeguards if the conditions demand it.

(9) That the use and enjoyment of the spaces herein granted shall not be exclusive as against public needs, and the City, in making such grant reserves the right to enter and occupy any part or all of said space any time with its public utilities, or for other necessary public purposes.

(10) That any public utility, or public or private property disturbed or injured as a result of any of the activities necessary for the completion of the construction work for said building projects, whether done by the Contractor, City Forces, or public utilities, shall be replaced or repaired at the Contractors expense.

(11) That the Contractor shall furnish the City of Austin a surety bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1000), which shall protect, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Austin from any claims or damages to any person or property that may accrue to or be brought by any person by reason of the exercise or abuse of the privileges granted the Contractor by the City of Austin and shall guarantee the replacement of all sidewalks, pavement and all other public property and public utilities disturbed or removed during the construction work and shall further guarantee the construction of a walkway and other safeguards during the occupancy of the space.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Thompson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

Councilman Pearson introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6, of the Charter of the City of Austin:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF
CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN
AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL
TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 3.76 ACRES OF LAND,
SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF A CERTAIN
5.47 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES P.
WALLACE SURVEY NO. 57 IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS,
WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT
TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF
THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE
ORDINANCE.

*St. Anthony
Village*

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Proposals for the installation of sprinkler systems in Highland Park School and Perry Park Grounds, Reed Park, Rosewood Park, Zaragosa Park, and Zilker School and Playground, were received in the office of the Design

Engineer, Water Department until 10:00 a.m., February 1, 1955, and then publicly opened and read.

"The following proposals werereceived:

"Austin Engineering Company	\$ 31,182.90	65 working days
Karl B. Wagner Engineering Construction, Inc.	\$ 31,871.50	70 working days
Joe Bland Construction Co.	\$ 34,471.50	60 working days

"The Water Department's estimate was \$31,900.00

"The above proposals have been checked and analyzed. It is my recommendation that the contract be awarded to the Austin Engineering Company as the lowest and best bid received.

"Beverly S. Sheffield, Director
AUSTIN RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Approved:

"(Sgd) W.E.S.
City Manager"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on February 1, 1955, for the installation of sprinkler systems in Highland Park School and Perry Park Grounds, Reed Park, Rosewood Park, Zaragosa Park, and Zilker School and Playground; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Austin Engineering Company in the sum of \$31,182.90 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of the Austin Recreation Department, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Austin Engineering Company in the sum of \$31,182.90 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. E. Seaholm, City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with the Austin Engineering Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Proposals for the installation of 1722 feet of 12" Cast Iron Water Main in Brazos Street from East 6th Street to East 11th Street were received until 2:00 P.M., January 31, 1955 and then publicly opened and read.

"The following proposals were received:

"Joe Bland Construction Company	\$14,633.00	60 working days
Karl B. Wagner Engineering		
Construction, Inc.	\$15,193.40	45 working days
Austin Engineering Company	\$19,940.34	35 working days

"The above proposals have been checked and analyzed. It is my recommendation that the contract for installation of this water main be awarded to Joe Bland Construction Company as the lowest and best bid received.

"Albert R. Davis , Superintendent
Water and Sewer Department

"Approved:
City Manager"

Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 31, 1955, for the installation of 1722 feet of 12" cast iron water main in Brazos Street, from East 6th Street to East 11th Street; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Joe Bland Construction Company in the sum of \$14,633.00 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Superintendent of the Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Joe Bland Construction Company in the sum of \$14,633.00 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. E. Seaholm, City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Joe Bland Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Councilman Long submitted ordinances in effect in El Paso, Dallas, and model ordinances from the Humane Society regarding dogs, and she wanted to get something ready to submit to the people in the election in April. She suggested two proposals to submit: (1) to have an ordinance providing for vaccination, and for tags at \$2.00 and let them run at large; (2) the other one is to have an ordinance to pen the dogs up. She asked the City Attorney to take these ordinances she had received and investigate what some other cities were doing and draw up an ordinance similar to the El Paso Ordinance. Councilman Long suggested increasing the fee to \$2.00 for male dogs and possibly \$3.00 for female dogs, and requiring them to be vaccinated. Councilman Thompson felt this would deny children of some of the working men the opportunity to afford the enjoyment of a puppy or dog, and he believed this was a matter

for the Council to decide and not a matter to be submitted to the people. Councilman Pearson suggested getting the ordinance drawn up for the Council to consider--either passing it themselves, or submitting it to the people.

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning application was publicly heard:

FRANK BARRON

3701-03 Manorwood Road
3130-38 Manor Road
3700-02 Manorwood Road
3112-3118 Manor Road

From "B" Residence
To "O" Office 6th
Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

The Mayor asked those who wished to uphold the recommendation of the Plan Commission to vote "aye"; those opposed to vote "no". Roll call showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office 6th Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

The Council discussed the request of the Telephone Company for a rate increase at length. Councilman Thompson stated there was some evidence presented that convinced him that the telephone company had a legitimate claim to some increase in revenues, and he was not arbitrarily going to vote against the telephone company. It had served the country well and had done a good job, and he felt that the Austin people would want to pay their fair share of the costs of telephone services, and it was up to this Council to come to the conclusion of what the fair share would be. Mayor McAden explained the Council had to listen to the company's plea for relief and at the same time protect the citizens to keep this in balance, and he was interested in the Austin citizens to see that they received the best possible service. He believed the 41% rate increase was too much of a step for the people of Austin, and he recommended not over a ten percent increase, of \$3,989,258. Councilman Long stated according to the discussion with Mr. Honaker, his suggestion of charging \$12.00 on the business phone (now \$10.50) and a 5% increase on the miscellaneous, would give \$210,000 total earnings, and that was all she would be willing to go. That would be a 6% earning. Councilman Pearson proposed to put a 16-17% on the business phones and bring them on up to \$12.00 which would include the PBX operations, and put 5% or less on the other lines which would only mean on a one party a 25¢ increase; on a two party a 20¢ increase and on a three party line a 15¢ per month increase, which should give them a rate of return that might be acceptable; and at the same time be as fair as possible to the people of Austin. He stated the Company wanted a fixed figure on the return, and he believed this would hold for the next few years. Councilman Thompson stated some increase could be obtained by raising the pay telephone from a nickel to a dime. The Mayor had proposed a nine percent increase and had figured earnings on that basis, which would bring in \$360,000 gross. After very much detailed discussion, Councilman Pearson moved that the amount of \$360,000 and \$210,000 be suggested to the Telephone Company to report back on where they would

recommend that it be applied for this Council's consideration. The motion, seconded by Councilman Thompson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long*, Pearson, Thompson, Mayor McAden
Noes: Councilman White**

*Councilman Long voted for the motion with the statement she was voting to submit it for exploratory purposes.

**Councilman White voting against the motion with the statement that he did not want to have anything to do with it; that it was not the way he saw it, and he did not want to have anything to do with it.

Councilman White favored deciding what to do and give it to the Company. Councilman Long asked that when the Telephone Company is asked to make their application of rates, that they figure it on the business and miscellaneous and PBX rather than on a whole rate schedule. (In discussion, Councilman Long read from Minutes of Informal Meeting of January 10th)

The City Manager presented information concerning five houses which the city had to acquire along with property necessary in the development of the recreation program in the Zavalla area, as follows:

OWNER A ADDRESS	DATE OF ACQUISITION and RECITAL CONSIDERATION	TAX DEPT. FULL VALUE (Appraisal 12-30-52)	OWNERS PROPOSITION
Elias Gomez 2001 East 4th St.	Vol. Page Date W.D. 894 414 6-10-45 \$4.95 Fed 4500.00	Land 1314 Bldg.#1 2929 Bldg.#2 250 Total 4493	8600.00
Richard Machuica 2017 East 4th St.	W.D. 965 349 10-1-49 5600.00	Land 1314 Bldg. 3860 Total 5174	5800.00
T.W. Turner 2012 East 3rd St.	W.D. 9-20-43 (Vacant Lot) 375.00	Land 854 Bldg.#1 487 Bldg.#2 1784 Total 3125	5000.00
Genaro Esparza 2016 East 3rd St.	W.D. 976 460 4-25-49 5900.00	Land 854 Bldg. 4036 Total 4890	9500.00
Estanislao Y. Flores	W.D. 1042 317 9-11-50 \$6.60 Fed. 6000.00	Land 854 Bldg. 4013 Total 4867	9500.00

He stated there were three propositions that were in line, but the last two for \$9,500.00 each, are far in excess; and if accepted, the others would

not be treated on the same basis. He felt the value on the last two would be \$6,250, to be on the same basis as the others. He asked the Council to authorize the acceptance of the first three propositions and condemnation on the last two. The Council examined pictures and information on each house. After discussion, Councilman Thompson moved that the recommendation of the City Manager be accepted, that the proposition of Elias Gomez for the house at 2001 East 4th Street, of \$8,600.00; of Richard Machuica for the house at 2017 East 4th Street, of \$5,800.00; and of T. W. Turner, for the house at 2012 East 3rd Street, of \$5,000.00 be accepted; and that the proposals of Genaro Esparza for the house at 2016 East 3rd Street, at \$9,500.00; and of Estanislao Y. Flores of \$9,500.00 be turned down; and if settlement cannot be reached, that the property be condemned. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted his recommendation regarding closing an alley between Cole Street and East Avenue, just south of East 30th Street. His recommendation was that the alley be closed, and the strip of land between the alley and East Avenue be sold for one-half the difference between the value of the property fronting on East Avenue and the value of the property fronting on the alley strip, which on a fifty foot lot would be \$1,250. After discussion, Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be instructed to proceed with the plan he has just presented to the Council on the property at Cole and East 30th abutting the East Avenue Highway, to share equally in the appraised value of the property. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The City Manager announced Open House at the New Water Plant, Sunday February 6, 1955, from 1:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The Council received notice from the City Manager that the following applications for change of zoning had been referred to the Plan Commission and set for public hearing for 11:00 A.M., February 10, 1955:

JACK ANDREWARTHA	2101-2117 Interregional Highway	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "LR" Local Retail 6th Height & Area
THEO A. BURKLUND	5300 Avenue "F"	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "O" Office 6th Height & Area
EVELYN AKIN & MARGARET LOUISE HILL	1605-07 Evergreen Ave.	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "C" Commercial 1st Height & Area

W.J. PANNEL
By L.J. Struhall

3101-35 Manor Road &
2101-37 Airport Blvd.

From "A" Residence
1st Height & Area
and "C" Commercial
2nd Height & Area
TO "GR" General Retail
1st Height & Area

KATHLEEN WALSH
BELSHAN, KATHLEEN
BELSHAN FULLER,
EDWARD J. BELSHAN &
A.J. FULLER By A.G.
Gannaway

2711-21 Bonnie Road &
1507-11 Scenic Drive

From "A" Residence
1st Height & Area
To "BB" Residence
1st Height & Area

DR. T. R. McNEELY

2905-07 Duval &
501 Bellvue Place

From "A" Residence
1st Height & Area
To "O" Office
1st Height & Area

JACK H. KEY
By Herman Jones

3202 So. Congress Ave.

From "C" Commercial
6th Height & Area
To "C-1" Commercial
6th Height & Area

J.E. HARRISON,
C.D. WILSON, D.M.
BRYANT, By L. J.
Struhall

2201-29 Post Road &
201-207 East Live
Oak Street

From "C" Commercial
2nd Height & Area
and "A" Residence
1st Height & Area
To "GR" General Retail
1st Height & Area

D. L. WELCH

2308-16 So. 5th St. &
2400-14 So. 5th St.

From "A" Residence
1st Height & Area
To "LR" Local Retail
6th Height & Area

TAYLOR GLASS
JAMES C. COCHRAN
ROLAND MOORE,
By Trueman O'Quinn

127-153 Riverside
Drive

From "C-2" Commercial
2nd Height & Area
To "D" Industrial
2nd Height & Area

There being no further business the Council adjourned subject to the
call of the Mayor.

APPROVED


Mayor

ATTEST:


City Clerk