

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

March 3, 1955
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor McAden presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Absent: Councilman Thompson

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Assistant Director of Public Works.

Invocation was delivered by FATHER EUGENE DORE, St. Ignatius Church.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of Feb. 24th be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman Thompson was absent due to illness.

The Mayor announced that the application of

MRS. B. E. GIESECKE,
ET AL

3301-3307 Guadalupe
505-07 West 34th Street
504-06 West 33rd Street

From "A" Residence 1st
To "BB" Residence 1st
NOT Recommended by the
Planning Commission

for change of zoning and advertised for rehearing this date, had been postponed by request of MR. SALES LEWIS. Mr. Lewis had requested that it be sent back to the Plan Commission. MR. GRADY CHANDLER objected to the Council sending this back to the Commission, as it was his understanding it was advertised for rehearing; that the application had been turned down, and the applicants had a right to initiate a new application, and the Council had nothing to do with it in sending it back to the Commission. No action of any kind was taken by the Council.

The Mayor announced that the ordinance fixing the telephone rates would not be brought up at this meeting as Councilman Thompson was not present.

MR. JULIUS SHUTZE, JR., Chairman Recreation Committee, Executive Committee A. N. McCallum Senior-Junior High, P.T.A., presented statement of favoring the proposed playground in Northwest Austin being considered by the Council. The Mayor presented a petition signed by 55 citizens with 71 children living in Allendale, expressing approval of the park-site and asking the Council to act upon it. Another petition was submitted by the Mayor with 26 names urging the Council to establish a public park out of excess acreage in the Austin Memorial Cemetery tract. MR. HUFF BAINS submitted a petition with over 400 names asking the Council to take immediate action in establishing a park in the section of the Austin Memorial Cemetery tract. MR. GENE ALVIS asked the Council to act on this matter, as it was felt the Council had all the facts at hand and that it felt that the future of Austin would best be served by this dedication. The Mayor filed 25 communications he received from citizens in various parts of the City who were in opposition. MRS. C. B. LYNN speaking in opposition to any dedication, referred to early instruments and minutes on file to show that it was the intent of the City Council that this land would be used for cemetery purposes. She read from the Minutes of March 13, 1941. She read a letter from Mr. Oswald Wolf opposing this move, and she quoted Mr. Simon Gillis that it was the intent of the Council that all of the Austin Memorial Park Cemetery grounds be used as a cemetery. MR. WALTER BARNES submitted figures to show that the cemetery was not scheduled to serve the needs for too many years according to population. He stated that MR. GEORGE SHEPHERD who had estimated the cemetery would last 298 years was Treasurer of the Memorial Hill Cemetery, which is a private enterprise. MRS. T. W. LACKIE, 2011 Alguna Road, spoke in opposition to the recreation area in the cemetery area, and asked the City to consider the 11 acres already dedicated for park area in Highland Park West. MR. ROY THOMAS, speaking on need for orderly development in the cemetery to reduce taxes for perpetual care, asked that the remaining 67 acres be developed and sold as cemetery lots, and a large portion be set aside for a trust fund for perpetual care to relieve the tax burden in this particular instance. He noted an ordinance passed February 17, 1955, to provide for enlargement of Evergreen Cemetery. The Mayor filed 25 letters opposing the dedication of land for park purposes in this area. MRS. C. E. ALVIS spoke in favor of dedicating the land for park purposes, as did MR. WALTER RICTOR. MR. AL CAPLAN, Insurance business, asked which was more important--thousands of living children, or a handful of dead people. MR. JOHN CHERNOSKY, who does private work in the cemetery, was opposed to a park so near by. Councilman Pearson outlined the development of the proposal for the past two years. The Park Board was trying to add to the Park by putting a buffer zone to protect the cemetery. He wanted to have a conference with the Park and Recreation Board and reevaluate their recommendation and see what else is available in that neighborhood and what could be done. He wanted a park in the neighborhood somewhere, and it might be possible to acquire some elsewhere, and he believed the Park Board, having heard the story from all sides, could take the facts and try to come up with the answer that will be best for all concerned. Councilman Long believed it would be a mistake to put a park in that area, as it would forever ruin the recreation program throughout the city, as there would be hundreds of people that would never again vote any kind of bonds for support for the Recreation Department, if this park were put in, because of the strong feeling and emotion. She wanted the people to have a playground or park, but right now there was no money to develop one

before next year; but before October some land should be secured, and people should be looking around in the area for another location. Councilman White was for a park somewhere in the area; and even if one were dedicated, there is no money in the Budget to do anything with; but he did want a park in northwest Austin, and he would go all the way for a park. Councilman Long had suggested sending this to the Plan Commission. Councilman Pearson thought since this had just been under discussion such a short time, even though it had been in the planning for some time, and since so many had been heard on both sides, he believed no one would want an immediate vote on it this morning. He thought it should go to the Plan Commission and a report back in a short time. He also wanted the Park and Recreation Board to be called in for a meeting with the Council. After more discussion, Councilman Long moved that this be sent to the Plan Commission for a study, evaluation and report back to the City Council within six weeks (this particular problem of the park and the cemetery). The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote;

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

(All petitions on file in City Clerk's Office under RECREATION - Parks)

LT. W. B. SCRINGEOUR appeared asking that the City repair Cameron Road, and asking for bus service in the new development, Delwood 4. Councilman Long stated the bus company limped along and did not have good service. They did have a franchise, and the City was supposed to see that they gave adequate service. They would not expand into the new areas until the area was completely developed. She suggested he take a petition that they could get 50-60 people to ride the bus every day, that the Company might expand out there. The City Manager stated this was a new subdivision that is just now being developed, and he knew of no plans at this time to repair the Cameron Road. Councilman Pearson stated he would be happy to look into the bus situation for him and let him know. Lt. Scringeour lived at 1613 Corona. Councilman Long inquired about the street lights in this area. The City Manager explained that he knew of no plans for street lights in this area, as there were areas all over town that did not have street lights. Lt. Scringeour stated this development would appreciate a little work here and there, as it would make the people feel that their taxes were being used for them.

MRS. DREXEL TURNER, Travis County Humane Society, wanted to give this Society's view to the Council for consideration if it submitted anything to the voters. They were neutral on a law for dogs, but were in favor of raising the standards of pet-owners, in favor of vaccinations and licensing of all dogs; and were in favor of confining dogs to their own yards, but she did want the voters to be aware that there were no adequate housing and facilities to care for the influx of animals that would have to be cared for at the shelter. They were concerned about the human care of the dogs that would be picked up if not confined. The Mayor asked if she thought stronger enforcement of the ordinance would help. She stated Captain Forrester was doing a wonderful job of enforcing the ordinance even with inadequate facilities. Her interest was to bring before the Council that they did not have adequate housing facilities even if the ordinance were enforced to its fullest. MR. W. P. ROSS, 1601 Holly, stated the dog catcher had told him there was only one, and he could not take care of all the cases himself. Councilman Long stated the Police Department had fallen down, as the head of the Police Department had not recommended to the Council its need for funds to take care of the dog situation; nor had a recommendation

come from the City Manager's office; and if they are short handed and not doing the job, it was because they had not gotten behind it and done something about it. She believed a law would have to be enforced if it were worth anything, and thought the men ought to be given certain authority. Mr. Ross stated the man said he could not go into a yard without the owner's permission to capture a dog. The City Manager stated there had been recommendations from the Police Department year in and year out, but due to inadequacy of funds, the budget had to be cut. Councilman White suggested putting on two more men to enforce the ordinance and pay them enough to live on, and he believed they could do a lot of good. The City Manager submitted a summary of the cost involved of the recommendation made last week. Councilman Long thought if a fee of \$2.00 were charged, then there would be \$60,000 to put back into that program each year; but in submitting this, it would not be what kind of ordinance but whether you were going to put the dogs on a leash or let them run loose. Councilman Pearson wanted the people to vote on the proposition and strengthen the ordinance on the results of the vote. The City Attorney brought up that the license year began April 1, and the present ordinance does not cover the licensing age of the dogs. After discussion, Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be asked to bring in an ordinance setting up the amount in the budget for the program as he has outlined (For the two dog wardens and fees for each dog and equipment). The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: Councilman Pearson

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman Pearson voting against it as he was not familiar enough with this right as this time--he believed they were getting the cart before the horse. Councilman Long believed there was an immediate need right now, putting on an active program of getting the dogs vaccinated.

Discussion of submitting the proposition on the dogs in the election was held. Councilman Long moved that the question of keeping the dogs under restraint or letting them run loose be submitted to the people on April 2nd. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White

Noes: Mayor McAden

Absent: Councilman Thompson

MRS. G. W. BELL, 800 Block Pressler, inquired about the report on widening Pressler. The City Manager had the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, which included that of the Traffic Engineer. Councilman Long moved that the recommendation of the Public Works Department be accepted and that they be asked to go ahead on it and bring back a report on the cost of it as soon as possible. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

(Memo on file under STREETS - Construction)

Mayor McAden introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, JULY 17, 1941, AND RECORDED IN ORDINANCE BOOK "L", PAGES 152-174, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, WHICH ORDINANCE WAS AMENDATORY OF THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, APRIL 23, 1931, AND RECORDED IN BOOK "I", PAGES 301-318, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE AMENDATORY ORDINANCE HEREBY CHANGING THE USE DESIGNATION FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "BB" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT ON LOTS 4, 5 AND 6, BLOCK 14, WALSH PLACE, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 3711-3721 BONNIE ROAD AND 1507-1511 SCENIC DRIVE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE USE MAPS SO AS TO RECORD THE CHANGES HEREBY ORDERED; AND SUSPENDING THE RULES REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

MR. W. P. ROSS appeared again before the Council stating the people in that part of town had been neglected, as they had a petition for paving on file for some time. The City Manager reported on the paving situation, in that the funds were inadequate, and even though there was some left over that could be

diverted to paving, the City was confronted with the possibility that there might be some help from the Legislature, and the City would need some of that money to pay the city's cost. Mr. Ross's petition was for the paving of Holly from Comal West about 7 blocks to East Avenue. Councilman White suggested referring this matter to the City Manager to make a study and report back in two weeks.

Mayor McAden brought up the following ordinance for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 12.9 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE A. B. SPEAR SURVEY, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.

Mayor McAden

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Pearson moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

On the report of the Planning Commission on the Hill Estate partition, it was stated that the Attorneys were not ready on this.

Mayor McAden introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE WRITTEN STATEMENT AND REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS, SHOWING THE ESTIMATES OF THE TOTAL COSTS OF ALL THE IMPROVEMENTS, THE ESTIMATES OF THE COSTS PER FRONT FOOT PROPOSED TO BE ASSESSED AGAINST THE ABUTTING PROPERTY, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND THE ESTIMATES OF VARIOUS OTHER COSTS FOR THE IMPROVING OF PORTIONS OF WEST 35TH STREET, AND PORTIONS OF SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINBELOW DESCRIBED, AND OF OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO; DETERMINING AND FIXING THE PORTION OF SAID COSTS AND THE RATE THEREOF PROPOSED TO BE ASSESSED AGAINST AND PAID BY THE ABUTTING PROPERTY, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF; DETERMINING THE NECESSITY OF LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST SAID

ABUTTING PROPERTY, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF FOR THE PORTION OF SAID COSTS APPORTIONED TO THEM; ORDERING AND SETTING A HEARING AT 10:30 O'CLOCK A.M. ON THE 17TH DAY OF MARCH, 1955, IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER OF THE CITY HALL OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, AS THE TIME AND PLACE FOR THE HEARING OF THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND ALL OTHERS INTERESTED IN SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY OR IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND CONTRACT CONCERNING SAID ASSESSMENTS, PROCEEDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS, DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, TO GIVE NOTICE OF SAID HEARING AS REQUIRED BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS AND CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN; DECLARING AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL TAKE EFFECT IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Southern Union Gas Company has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction of its gas mains in the streets in the City of Austin hereafter named, and said maps or plans have been considered by the City Council; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

THAT Southern Union Gas Company be and the same is hereby permitted to

lay and construct its gas mains in and upon the following streets:

(1) A gas main in RIDGEHAVEN DRIVE, from Cameron Road easterly to Berkman Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said RIDGEHAVEN DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(2) A gas main in NORTHRIDGE DRIVE, from Cameron Road easterly to Ridgehaven Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said NORTHRIDGE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(3) A gas main in CEDAR AVENUE, from New York Avenue southerly 308 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said CEDAR AVENUE

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(4) A gas main in RIDGEVIEW STREET from a point 448 feet west of Rabb Road westerly to a point 150 feet west of Westridge Drive, 6 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said RIDGEVIEW STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(5) A gas main in WESTRIDGE DRIVE, from Ridgeview Street southerly 429 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6 feet east of and parallel to the west property line of said WESTRIDGE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(6) A gas main in ST. JOHNS AVENUE from a point 135 feet west of Northcrest Boulevard easterly to a point 125 feet east of East Crest Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said ST. JOHNS AVENUE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(7) A gas main in CROSLIN STREET from a point 35 feet west of Northcrest Boulevard easterly 1248 feet to a point 125 feet east of East Crest Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line

of said CROSLIN STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(8) A gas main in ODELL STREET from a point 40 feet west of Northcrest Boulevard easterly to East Crest Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said ODELL STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(9) A gas main in EAST CREST DRIVE from St. Johns Avenue northerly to a point 185 feet north of Croslin Street, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said EAST CREST DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(10) A gas main in TAYLOR GAINES STREET from a point 108 feet east of Summit Street easterly to a point 150 feet west of Parker Lane, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said TAYLOR GAINES STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(11) A gas main in BELLAIRE DRIVE from Taylor Gaines Street northerly to Elmhurst Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said BELLAIRE DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(12) A gas main in ELMHURST DRIVE, from Summit Street easterly 437 feet to a point 125 feet east of Bellaire Drive, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said ELMHURST DRIVE.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(13) A gas main in ST. ELMO ROAD, from a point 137 feet west of James Casey Street westerly 168 feet to Vinson Switch Road, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of said ST. ELMO ROAD.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(14) A gas main in REDD STREET from a point in the east line of said REDD STREET and 5 feet north of the centerline westerly 77 feet the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said REDD STREET.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

(15) A gas main in VINSON SWITCH ROAD from St. Elmo Road southerly 617 feet, the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said VINSON SWITCH ROAD.

Said gas main described above shall have a cover of not less than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

THE Southern Union Gas Company is hereby put upon notice that the City of Austin does not guarantee that the space assigned above is clear from other underground utilities, but is based upon the best records we have at hand, and that the minimum depth stated does not have any reference to the fact that greater depths may not be required at special points. When the Southern Union Gas Company requires definite information upon the ground as to elevations or working points from which to base the location of their assignments, they shall apply to the Department of Public Works not less than three (3) days before such information is required. The Southern Union Gas Company is further put upon notice that they will be required to bear the expense of repairs or replacement of any underground utility damaged during the construction of lines named in this resolution.

AND THAT whenever pavement is cut in the vicinity of a fire plug, water must be used at intervals during the course of backfilling of the ditches.

THAT the work and laying of said gas mains, including the excavation in the streets, and the restoration and maintenance of said streets after said mains have been laid shall be under the supervision and direction of the City Manager, and under all the pertinent terms and conditions of the certain franchises granted to said company by the City of Austin.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, G.F.B. Construction Company is the Contractor for the demolition of a building located at 200 West 9th Street and desires a portion of the sidewalk and street space abutting the north 78 feet of Lot 7 and 8,

Block 99, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, during the demolition of the building, such space to be used in the work and for the storage of materials therefor; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

1. THAT space for the uses hereinabove enumerated be granted to said G F B Construction Company, the boundary of which is described as follows:

Sidewalk and Street Working Space

Beginning at the north west corner of the above described property; thence in a northerly direction and at right angles to the centerline of West 9th Street to a point 8 feet north of the south curb line; thence in an easterly direction and parallel with the centerline of West 9th Street 92 feet to a point; thence in a southerly direction and at right angles to the centerline of West 9th Street to the north east corner of the above described property.

Thence in an easterly direction and at right angles to the centerline of Colorado Street to a point 8 feet east of the west curb line; thence in a southerly direction and at right angles to the centerline of Colorado Street 68 feet to a point; thence in a westerly direction to the south east corner of the above described property.

2. THAT the above privileges and allotment of space are granted to the said G F B Construction Company, hereinafter termed "Contractor", upon the following express terms and conditions:

(1) That the Contractor shall construct a 4-foot walkway within the outer boundaries of the above described working space, such walkway to be protected on each side by a guard rail at least 4 feet high and substantially braced and anchored, and without wood strips or obstructions of any kind along the pavement within the walkway, and at any time in the opinion of the City Officials it becomes necessary for any reason to install a board floor within the walkway, the Contractor shall upon notice from the Building Inspector immediately place such a wood floor and substantially support same to prevent sagging under load.

(2) That the Contractor is permitted to construct in his working space a substantial gate which shall be kept closed at all times when not in use, and at all times that such gate is open, the Contractor shall maintain a person at this gate to warn pedestrians and vehicles of approaching trucks. This gate is not to open out so as to impede vehicular or pedestrian traffic.

(3) That no vehicles in loading or unloading material at the working space shall park on any part of the street outside of the allotted working space.

(4) That "No Parking" signs shall be placed on the street side of the barricades.

(5) That the Contractor is permitted to construct a temporary work office within such allotted working space provided such work office is not within 25 feet of any corner street intersection.

(6) That the Contractor shall in no way obstruct any fire plugs or other public utilities in the construction of such barricades.

(7) That provisions shall be made for the normal flow of all storm waters in the gutter and the Contractor will be responsible for any damage done due to obstruction of any such storm water.

(8) That the Contractor shall place on the outside corners of any walkway, barricades or obstructions, red lights during all periods of darkness and provide lighting system for all tunnels.

(9) That the Contractor shall remove all fences, barricades, loose materials and other obstructions on the sidewalk and street immediately after the necessity for their existence on said sidewalk or street has ceased, such time to be determined by the City Manager, and in any event all such sidewalk, barricades, materials, equipment and other obstructions shall be removed not later than April 30, 1955.

(10) That the City reserves the right to revoke at any time any and all the privileges herein granted or to require the erection or installation of additional barriers or safeguards if the conditions demand it.

(11) That the use and enjoyment of the spaces herein granted shall not be exclusive as against public needs, and the City, in making such grant reserves the right to enter and occupy any part or all of said space any time with its public utilities, or for other necessary public purposes.

(12) That any public utility, or public or private property disturbed or injured as a result of any of the activities necessary for the completion of the construction work for said building projects, whether done by the Contractor, City Forces, or public utilities, shall be replaced or repaired at the Contractor's expense.

(13) That the Contractor shall furnish the City of Austin a surety bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.) which shall protect, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Austin from any claims of damages to any person or property that may accrue to or be brought by any person by reason of the exercise or abuse of the privileges granted the Contractor by the City of Austin and shall guarantee the replacement of all sidewalks, pavement and all other public property and public utilities disturbed or removed during the construction work and shall further guarantee the construction of a walkway and other safeguards during the occupancy of the space.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, T. A. Webb is the Contractor for the remodeling of a building located at 200 East 6th Street and desires a portion of the sidewalk and street space abutting Lot 1, Block 68, of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, during the remodeling of the buildings, such space to be used in the work and for the storage of materials therefor; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

1. THAT space for the uses hereinabove enumerated be granted to said T. A. Webb, the boundary of which is described as follows:

Sidewalk and Street Working Space

Beginning at the north west corner of the above described property; thence in a westerly direction and at right angles to the centerline of Brazos Street to a point 12 feet west of the east curb line; thence in a southerly direction and parallel with the centerline of Brazos Street 128 feet to a point; thence in an easterly direction and at right angles to the centerline of Brazos Street to the southwest corner of the above described property.

Thence in a southerly direction and at right angles to the centerline of East 6th Street to a point 4 feet south of the north curb line; thence in an easterly direction and parallel with the center of East 6th Street 46 feet to a point; thence in a northerly direction and at right angles to the center of East 6th Street to the south east corner of the above described property.

2. THAT the above privileges and allotment of space are granted to the said T. A. Webb, hereinafter termed "Contractor", upon the following express terms and conditions:

(1) That the Contractor shall erect and maintain continuously in good condition during the use of said space hereby allotted, a substantial walkway at least 4 feet wide in the clear on the inside and at least 8 feet high, the same to be covered solidly on the street side with boards to a height of 4 feet above pavement and on the building side to be covered solidly with boards to a height of 8 feet. The top of the walkway shall be covered solidly with boards at least 2 inches thick, which shall be supported at intervals sufficiently close to prevent sagging of the roofing boards. The roof of said walkway shall be covered with a roofing material which will prevent leaking of the roof and provide a dry walkway at all times. No wood strips or obstructions of any kind shall be permitted along the pavement within the walkway, and at any time in the opinion of the City Officials it becomes necessary for any reason to install a board floor within the walkway the Contractor shall upon notice from the Building Inspector immediately place such a wood floor and substantially support same to prevent sagging under load.

(2) That the Contractor is permitted to construct in his working space a substantial gate which shall be kept closed at all times when not in use, and at all times that such gate is open, the Contractor shall maintain a person at this gate to warn pedestrians and vehicles of approaching trucks. This gate is not to open out so as to impede vehicular or pedestrian traffic.

(3) That no vehicles in loading or unloading material at the working space shall park on any part of the street outside of the allotted working space.

(4) That "No Parking" signs shall be placed on the street side of the barricades.

(5) That the Contractor is permitted to construct a temporary work office within such allotted working space provided such work office is not within 25 feet of any corner street intersection.

(6) That the Contractor shall in no way obstruct any fire plugs or other public utilities in the construction of such barricades.

(7) That provisions shall be made for the normal flow of all storm waters in the gutter and the Contractor will be responsible for any damage done due to obstruction of any such storm water.

(8) That the Contractor shall place on the outside corners of any walkway, barricades or obstructions, red lights during all periods of darkness and provide lighting system for all tunnels.

(9) That the Contractor shall remove all fences, barricades, loose materials and other obstructions on the sidewalk and street immediately after the necessity for their existence on said sidewalk or street has ceased, such time to be determined by the City Manager, and in any event all such sidewalk, barricades, materials, equipment and other obstructions shall be removed not later than April 30, 1955.

(10) That the City reserves the right to revoke at any time any and all the privileges herein granted or to require the erection or installation of additional barriers or safeguards if the conditions demand it.

(11) That the use and enjoyment of the spaces herein granted shall not be exclusive as against public needs, and the City in making such grant reserves the right to enter and occupy any part or all of said space any time with its public utilities, or for other necessary public purposes.

(12) That any public utility, or public or private property disturbed or injured as a result of any of the activities necessary for the completion of the construction work for said building projects, whether done by the Contractor, City Forces, or public utilities, shall be replaced or repaired at the Contractor's expense.

(13) That the Contractor shall furnish the City of Austin a surety bond in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5000.) which shall protect, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Austin from any claims or damages to any person or property that may accrue to or be brought by any person by reason of the exercise or abuse of the privileges granted the Contractor by the City of Austin.

and shall guarantee the replacement of all sidewalks, pavement and all other public property and public utilities disturbed or removed during the construction work and shall further guarantee the construction of a walkway and other safeguards during the occupancy of the space.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approves the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by James P. Hart, the same being Tract #7 and the east 1/2 of tract #8 in the Bruton Springs Subdivision, as listed in the Travis County Deed Records, and hereby authorizes the same James P. Hart, to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Inspector is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said James P. Hart, has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations, and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"March 3, 1955
Austin, Texas

"Mr. W. T. Williams
City Manager
Austin, Texas

"Dear Sir:

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of James P. Hart, owner of a piece of property located upstream from the Austin City Park, the same being described as tract #7 and the east 1/2 of tract 8 in the Bruton Springs subdivision as listed in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately 32 feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I therefore recommend that if James P. Hart is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions:

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction

and that no structure shall be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, ware and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the Lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

"Respectfully submitted,
(Sgd) J. C. Eckert
Building Inspector"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on February 25, 1955, for the excavation and base on West Oltorf Street, from the east property line of the I. & G.N. R.R. to the west property line of the La Perla Addition; and

WHEREAS, the bid of J. R. Canion in the sum of \$6,430.65 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of J. R. Canion in the sum of \$6,430.65 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with J. R. Canion.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman Long moved that the fire works ordinance be submitted to the people. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White
 Noes: Mayor McAden
 Absent: Councilman Thompson

The City Attorney suggested that the Council be furnished ordinances on the dogs and fireworks next week, so that there will be record of Council action. The City Manager stated it would be well to have an ordinance calling the election setting out the propositions.

The Council discussed the Budget of the Recreation Department as recommended to be adjusted as follows:

RECREATION DEPARTMENT CAPITAL BUDGET - 1954-1955

<u>Project</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Adjusted Budget</u>
1. Reed Park	\$23,000	**\$ 23,300
2. Zaragosa		
water system	\$ 6,065.28	
construction	500.00	
electrical	1,200.00	
engineering	<u>234.72</u>	
	\$ 8,000	**\$ 8,000
3. Montopolis	\$ 9,000	
4. Perry		
water system	\$ 9,102.22	
engineering	<u>397.78</u>	
	\$ 9,500	**\$ 9,500
5. Rosewood		
water system	\$ 8,239.52	
swimming pool	63,000.00	
engineering	<u>1,760.48</u>	
	\$73,000	**\$ 73,000
6. Zilker		
lights	\$1,000.00	
water	**6,047.22	
tennis courts	5,000.00	
top soil	<u>952.78</u>	
	\$10,000	\$ 13,000
7. New Golf Course		
planning	\$ 5,000	

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Adjusted budget</u>
8. East Austin Recreation Center		
Building		\$160,000
Land development		
tennis courts	\$5,000	
lights	8,000	
earth work	2,000	
water	5,000	
fence	3,000	
concrete slab	1,000	
playground apparatus	1,000	
outdoor stage	1,000	
engineering	1,000	
		\$ 27,000
Building equipment		
folding chairs - 400 @\$3.00	1,200	
stage	1,200	
lights	800	
ping pong tables	100	
furniture	3,000	
De Walt saw	450	
storage dollys	150	
mats	400	
boxing equipment	500	
piano	200	
		\$ 8,000
	\$100,000	*\$ 195,000
9. Brentwood		
tennis courts		*\$ 5,000
10. Land Purchases		
Area 17	\$ 12,464	
Area 26	10,000	
Area 40	10,000	
Area 43	10,000	
Area 33	10,000	
Area 25	65,000	**\$ 65,000
Area 31		**\$ 17,000
Golf Course - payments	20,000	**\$ 20,000
11. Downs Field		**\$ 3,000
12. Miscellaneous	\$ 95,000	\$ 38,164
TOTAL	\$469,964	\$ 469,964

** Items under construction

* Items in planning stage

After detailed information was submitted by the Director of Recreation, Councilman Long moved that the recommendation as submitted be accepted and an ordinance be drawn. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

A municipal corporation of the State of Texas, that W. T. Williams, Jr., said corporation's City Manager, be and he is hereby duly authorized and fully empowered to enter into and execute, for and in the name of said corporation, one certain written agreement, dated February 21, 1955, between said corporation and Guy A. Thompson, Trustee, International-Great Northern Railroad Company, Debtor, herein called Carrier, respecting among certain things the construction, ownership, maintenance and use of two (2) certain aerial power transmission lines, 69,000 volt and 12,500 volt, respectively, crossing Carrier's premises at Engineer's Chaining Stations 9207/38 (12,500 volt) and 9207/68 (69,000 volt), at or near Austin, Travis County, Texas, all as more particularly set out in said agreement, to which reference is made the same as if fully set out herein.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Action on the ordinance authorizing contract with the State Highway Department providing for reimbursement of City's cost of utility changes at Interregional Highway and Bergstrom Spur was postponed until the following week.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Dr. Joe Rude, M.D., has served as Radiologist and Chief of the X-Ray Department at Brackenridge Hospital since February 26, 1953; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of Brackenridge Hospital and the Administrator of Brackenridge Hospital have recommended that the contract of Dr. Joe Rude, M.D., as Chief of the X-ray Department of Brackenridge Hospital be renewed for two years; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be authorized and directed to execute a renewal contract for the services of Dr. Joe Rude, M.D. in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Trustees and the Hospital Administrator. (Oral report)

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids on the Sale of Revenue Bonds of the City of Austin at 10:00 o'clock, A.M., March 24, 1955, as follows:

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND
POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS ; ; . . . \$3,750,000.00

Advertisement for such bids shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE OF SALE

\$3,750,000

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, REVENUE BONDS

The City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, will receive sealed bids at the City Hall until 10:00 o'clock, A.M.,

MARCH 24, 1955

for the purchase of THREE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$3,750,000.00) Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series No. 3, dated April 1, 1955.

These bonds were authorized at a special election held for the purpose on January 30, 1954. This sale is for the second parcel or installment of the total authorization of TWENTY MILLION DOLLARS (\$20,000,000.00) voted at that time, Fifteen Million Dollars (\$15,000,000.00) of the total authorization having been issued and sold by the City in March, 1954.

The ordinance authorizing the issuance of the bonds will contain the various covenants and provisions relating to their security and payment.

The bonds herein offered are coupon bonds of \$1,000 denomination, to be dated April 1, 1955; to be numbered consecutively from 1 to 3,750, both inclusive, and to mature as follows:

\$250,000 - April 1, 1960 to 1974, inclusive;

with bonds maturing on or after April 1, 1965, to be subject to prior redemption, in whole or in part and in their inverse numerical order, on April 1, 1964, or on any interest payment date thereafter, at par and accrued interest to the date fixed for their redemption, plus a premium of two (2%) per cent of the principal amount. Notice of redemption will be given by publication at least thirty (30) days prior to the date fixed for redemption.

Principal and semi-annual interest (April 1st and October 1st of each year, commencing October 1, 1955) will be payable at The National City Bank of New York, in the City of New York, New York, or at the option of the holder at The American National Bank of Austin, Austin, Texas.

The bonds herein offered for sale are for the purpose of constructing extensions and improvements to the City's combined Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System, and together with the outstanding "City of Austin, Texas, Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series No. 2" dated April 1, 1954, issued in the amount of \$15,000,000, shall constitute special obligations of the City of Austin, each payable as to both principal and interest and equally secured by a first lien on and pledge of the revenues of the City's combined Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System, after deduction of reasonable expenses of operation and maintenance.

The City agrees that it will not sell additional utility revenue supported bonds prior to January 1, 1956, but will reserve the right at any time thereafter to issue the balance of the aforesaid TWENTY MILLION DOLLAR (\$20,000,000.00) authorization, as well as such additional revenue bonds as may be later voted, all on a parity with the bonds herein offered for sale, but only pursuant to certain conditions precedent which will be recited in the ordinance authorizing these bonds, including one for at least one and three-fourth ($1\frac{3}{4}$) times coverage for the requirements of the now outstanding bonds and the issue now proposed, plus the requirements of any such additional bonds.

BIDDING CONDITIONS

Bidders are invited to specify the rate or rates of interest which the bonds herein offered for sale will bear, in multiples of not less than ONE-FOURTH OF ONE PER CENT ($\frac{1}{4}$ of 1%), and no more than four rates nor any rate which exceeds the FOUR PER CENTUM (4%) voted maximum will be accepted.

No bid for less than par and accrued interest to the time of delivery, nor for less than all of the bonds herein offered, will be accepted.

The City will furnish, without cost to the purchaser, the usual certified copy of all proceedings necessary to the issuance of the bonds, the printed bonds duly executed and registered, and the legal approving opinion of the Attorney General of the State of Texas, along with the market opinion of Messrs. Gibson, Spence & Gibson, Bond Attorneys, Austin, Texas, and Messrs. Wood, King & Dawson, Bond Attorneys, New York, New York.

The bonds will be delivered in Austin, Texas, at The American National Bank of Austin, and it is anticipated that such delivery can be made within thirty (30) days from the date of purchase.

Each bid must be accompanied by a cashier's or certified check drawn to the order of the City of Austin, Texas, in the amount of \$75,000.00 as evidence of the bidder's good faith. The check of the successful bidder shall be held uncashed by the City pending completion of the bidder's contract and payment for the bonds at his agreed purchase price. Such check will be returned to the successful bidder upon full performance of all conditions, but if such bidder should fail or refuse to fully perform, such check will be cashed by the City as full and complete liquidated damages for such failure. Checks of unsuccessful bidders will be returned immediately after the award is made.

The City reserves the right to reject any or all bids, and may, at its

option, refuse to consider a bid not made on the prescribed form.

Bids will be opened in public session of the City Council at its regular meeting place in the City Hall at 10:00 o'clock, A.M., on the 24th day of March, 1955.

For bid forms, copies of this notice, and additional information, please address your request to Mr. J. D. Huffman, Jr., Director of Finance, City of Austin, Room 105, City Hall, Austin, Texas.

This notice of sale is ordered by resolution of the City Council.

W.T. WILLIAMS, JR. CITY MANAGER
CITY HALL, AUSTIN, TEXAS

Such advertisement shall be published at least once in The Austin Statesman, Austin, Texas, and in The Bond Buyer, New York, New York. As indicated in the form of notice herein set forth, the right shall be reserved to the City of Austin to reject any and all bids, and such advertisement shall direct the filing of sealed bids to be opened in public session of the City Council at its regular meeting place in the City Hall at the time and date hereinbefore set forth.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote.

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman Long moved that the polling place of precinct 323 be changed from JERRY JOSLIN SCHOOL to MOLLY DAWSON SCHOOL. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

Councilman Pearson moved that all annexed properties be placed in the adjacent precincts. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

The City Manager reported on the voluntary paving of Palo Dura Road, that three of the property owners had not put up their money--two were out of the city, and the other might come on in on the program. Councilman Long moved that the City go ahead and move out on the paving of Palo Dura Road. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman Thompson

The City Manager stated there had been an agreement with Mr. Bert Brown that North Loop would be extended. In the purchase of the right-of-way it was necessary to purchase two houses for \$15,000. He recommended purchasing a tract of land, using part of it for right of way, moving the houses on the other part, and selling the other lots with the houses. The matter would meet all requirements of the subdivision ordinance. After much inquiry and discussion, Councilman White moved that this recommendation be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman Thompson

The City Manager reported on the Cole Street Alley proposition, in that the city would charge the owners one-half of the enhancement in value of the lots. Some of the owners were not financially able to pay and some did not want to pay what was being asked. He did not recommend vacating the alley until the decisions were made on the purchases. He recommended selling to those that wanted to pay and vacate their part of the alley only.

The Council received notice from the City Manager that the following applications for change of zoning had been referred to the Plan Commission and that they were tentatively scheduled for public hearing at 11:00 A.M. March 31, 1955:

IDA DYKE LEDBETTER By Odas Jung	2356-2374 Rosewood Ave. 1150-1160 Hargrave St.	From "A" Residence 1st Hgt & Area and "C" Commercial 2nd Hgt & Area To "GR" General Retail 6th Hgt & Area
DAN L. DRISCOLL Temple B. Mayhall	504 W. 29th Street	From "B" Residence 2nd Hgt & Area To "C" Commercial 2nd Hgt & Area

There being no further business the Council adjourned at 2:30 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED


Mayor

ATTEST:


City Clerk