## Zoning Ordinance Approval CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

## AGENDA ITEM NO.: 58

 AGENDA DATE: Thu 05/12/2005 PAGE: 1 of 1SUBJECT: C814-96-0003 - Pioneer Crossing PUD - Approve second/third readings of an ordinance amending Ordinance No. $970410-\mathrm{I}$ to add 138 acres to the Pioneer Crossing Planned Unit Development project and zoning the 138 acres from interim-rural residence (I-RR) district zoning to planned unit development (PUD) district zoning; to modify the land use plan, rezoning and changing the zoning map from planned unit development (PUD) district zoning to planned unit development (PUD) district zoning; and to approve the applicant's request to extend the zoning application for the property located generally in the vicinity of Parmer Lane south of Gregg Lane, east of Dessau Road, West and north of Cameron Road (Walnut Creek Watershed). First reading on April 24, 2003. Vote: 5-0, Council Member Alvarez off the dais, Mayor Garcia absent. Conditions met as follows: Conditional Overlay incorporates the conditions imposed by Council on first ordinance reading. Applicant: American Realty Trust, Inc., Art Collections, Inc., Anderson Development Corp. Agent: Prime Strategies, Inc. (Ralph Reed). City Staff: Greg Guernsey, 974-2387.

| REQUESTING | Neighborhood Planning | DIRECTOR'S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEPARTMENT: | and Zoning | AUTHORIZATION: Greg Guernsey |

## SECOND/THIRD READINGS SHEET

## ZONING CASE NUMBER: C814-96-0003

## REQUEST:

Approve second/third readings of an ordinance amending ordinance No. 970410-I to increase by 138 acres the boundaries of the project known as Pioneer Crossing Planned Unit Development project and zoning the 138 acres from interim-rural residence (I-RR) district zoning to planned unit development (PUD) district zoning; to modify the land use plan, rezoning and changing the zoning map from planned unit development (PUD) district zoning to planned unit development (PUD) district zoning; and to approve the applicant's request to extend the zoning application for the property located generally in the vicinity of Parmer Lane south of Gregg Lane, east of Dessau Road, West and north of Cameron Road (Walnut Creek Watershed).

APPLICANT: American Realty Trust, Inc. Art Collections, Inc., Anderson Development Corporation

AGENT: Prime Strategies (Ralph Reed)

## DEPARTMENTAL COMMENTS:

The Pioneer Crossing PUD was originally unanimously approved by the City Council in 1997 and at the time was the largest PUD of its kind. Preservation of the natural environment, high quality development, innovative design and adequate public facilities and services were all addressed in the initial proposal and will remain unchanged. The purpose of this submittal is to amend the approved plan to include another 138 acres (more or less) of land to allow for an additional public facility (an 18-hole golf course), which will reduce impervious cover and density in the area while adhering to pervious regulations set forth in the PUD.

The proposed amendment adds flexibility to the residential uses around the golf course to encourage a greater residential mix of housing and to also allow the option of small-scale neighborhood support commercial.

## DATE OF FIRST READING DATE \& ACTION:

April 24, 2003: The first reading of the ordinance for PUD was approved on Council Member Thomas's motion, Council Member Wynn's second on a 5-0 vote. Council Member Alvarez was off the dais. Mayor Garcia was absent.

CITY COUNCIL DATE: May 12,2005

## CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

## ORDINANCE NUMBER:




#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE N0. $970410-I$ APPROXIMATELY 138 ACRES THE BOUNISRIES OF RHE PROJECT KNOWN AS PIONEER CROSSING PLANYED UfIT DEYGULORYENT PROJECT, AND REZONING THE 138 ACRESYEBOM INTEKJM RÚRAL


 RESIDENCE (I-RR) DISTRICT TO PLANNED GIIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) DISTRICT; TO MODIFY THE LAND USE PLAN, REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP FROM PLANNED UNIT DEYAUOPMENT (PUD) DISTRICT TO PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) DI\$TRIG EQR THE PROPERTY LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE VICINITY OF PARMER GANE SOUTH OF GREGG LANE, EAST OF DESSAU ROAD, WHST:AND NQRTH OF CAMERON ROAD.
## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY GOUNCLL OFTHETHTY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. Pioneer Crossing planned intit deveropment is comprised of approximately 1,410 acres of land located generally withe vicifity of Pfimer Lane south of Gregg Lane, east of Dessau Road, and west and ndth of Catheron Rgad and more particularly described by metes and bounds in the Land Us ${ }^{\text {P }}$ lan in thporateg into Ordinance No. 970410-I.
 Ordinance No. $9 \times 04201 \mathrm{c}$
 change the base districtutron planne Uinít development (PUD) district to planned unit development (PUD) No. 970410-I assipproximatel, 3 , 10 acres of land, and to change the base district from interim rural residence (I-RR Tijstict to planned unit development (PUD) district on the property destinbed in Zoning ghaseno. C814-96-0003, as follows:

A 136065 acre tract ofland, more or less, consisting of a 75.39 acre tract and a 63.27 giacre tract out 6 the Mariquita Castro Survey No. 50, Abstract 160 in Travis Court ty of tracts of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds

the 1,548 acres being generally known as the Pioneer Crossing planned unit development, ("Pioneer Crossing PUD") locally known as the property located in the vicinity of Parmer Lane south of Gregg Lane, east of Dessau Road, west and north of Cameron Road, in the

City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and generally identified in he pap attached as Exhibit "A".

PART 4. This ordinance, together with the attached Exh bits A throtifot whall constit fe the land use plan for the Pioneer Crossing planned yồt develgpmentedistrit ("PUS") created by this ordinance. The PUD shall conform to the limigtions and contitiogs set forth in the ordinance and the Pioneer Crossing planned.aniydevelopment cand, (fe plan (the "PUD land use plan") on record at the Nei Ghbortood Planning and Zoning Department in File No. C814-96-0003. If this ordinance 符. The attached exhibits conflict,
 rules, regulations and ordinances of the City in effect of the enectivedate of this ordinance apply to the Pioneer Crossing PUD.

PART 5. Certain Terms Defined.
Area C means a 138.67 acre tract of lan 4 , more or letridescribed by metes and bounds and on the zoning map attached as Exhibit f.

Area B means a 332.72 acre tract etfand, pore or lefs, described by metes and bounds and on the zoning map attach exp as Exit A, lest Area C.



PART 6. The attachdexibits on file sith the City of Austin Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department intrile No. C81 466003 are incorporated into this ordinance in their entirety as though setifothtidly in teyext of this ordinance. Exhibits B, C, and D of
 this ordinance. Te attached theitso as follows:

Exhibit Description of fayditional 138.67 acres and zoning map showing Areas
A, B, and C
ExhibfB: Amended Piofheer Crossing PUD land use plan
Exhibtis 1 : Land Us Plan for Areas B \& C
Exhi 1t G Stite Development Criteria and Land Use Summery
Exhi4 14 U
Exhibredyd ditional Site Development Regulations Applicable to Mixed
Developmént Residential (a) and (b)
Exhibit D: Permitted Uses Table
Exhibit D-1: Permitted Uses Table for Areas B \& C

Exhibit E: Special Permitted Uses
Exhibit F: Hike and Bike Trail Plan for Areas B \& C
PART 7. In accordance with Section 25-2-411(A) (Pl pined Unit Jay Regulations) of the City Code, the following regulations apply the fip winstead of otherwise applicable City regulations.
(A) The following regulations apply to Areas $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ard} \mathrm{C}$.

1. Green Building. All residential develogntit Shall comply with Austin Energy Green Building Program (GBP) far a mindin one-star rating. All commercial development shall comply with the GBE fot thinimum one-star rating, or, shall be designed and buikwecotding to the $\}$ Green Building
 Building Rating System, Certifiedfevel. Criticationfirom either the GBP or the LEED ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ shall be met as specified by beetersion of the rating system current at the time of design.
2. At the time an applictllon for pproval/ff a site plan is submitted for development of the Propetty, or any portion.of the Property, an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plar shall be stubminted to the Watershed Protection and Develophent Revie Departmen fortiejuew and approval.
3. Thop phan shaticomply with the guidelines in Section 1.6.9.2 (D) and (F)
 covenant.
(B) The folloxing equplatons apply to Area C.

4. At the time an application for approval of a site blath submitted for development of the Property, or any portiof of the Broperty, a turfgrass management plan for a golf course shall ee submitted for Whe Watershe Protection and Development Review Departnent for rextew andappitoval.
5. Appendix A (Off Street Parking and Loo ing Refuirement) onchapter 25-6 of the City Code is modified to provide a fi 置, percent reduction inthe parking requirement if an applicant for a non-residentil use provides shower facilities. The parking requirement may not be reduced qo eithany 15 spaces.
6. Ten foot reduction setback.
(a) For a single family residencs ${ }^{2}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}$ frontage on street other than an arterial or collector street and with a garage, bleast 10 feet behind the building line of the residence, a 10 fogfreduction 4 the pinimum front setback is allowed. For a garage that facost the lot yront y ird the width of the garage may not exceed 50 percent of the Adth of the front tyade of the principal residence.
(b) If a garage does notace the fot fropyard it may be located seven feet behind the building lime end qualityfor the 00 foot reduction.
 (Bicy bicycle kathor requirentide five percent of required motor vehicle spaces. Fifty perce t mustibe comediblycle parking.
7. Apperdix A, Schedule M (Bicycle Facility Type Requirement) of Chapter 256 of 10 City Code C , modified to provide that bicycle facility type requirement for category B and Civt ype III.
*The following applies to Property that has an open waterway with an 4 stream drainagerarea between 64 acres and 320 acres:

The buildingsetback is 50 feet on either side of the centerline of an open ditte Cais required by the City of Austin or specifically authorized in this ordinance.
(D) The following applies to Parcels RA 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 4, and I5 in Areas B and $C$.

Prior to the approval of a development applichtion for a sthdivision or site $p$ an in a mixed development residential parcel, a and plan for the efitire parcel nust be submitted that identifies the areas that are

1. platted and developed with land uses,
2. platted and undeveloped with proposed hind uses, and 3. unplatted and undeveloped with propoged and ises.

The land plan must contain a site development tablithing the acreage and proposed square footage for the areas ydder mumbers 1,2 , and 3 .

PART 8. This ordinance takes effect on
 , 2005.

PASSED AND APPROVED

APPROVED:
WATTEST: $\qquad$
Shirley A. Brown
City Clerk

FF: \$19.00.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

THAT CONNIE LORRANNE SLADEK (the "Gremor"), for and un consideration of the sum of Ten and No/300 Dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) cash and other good and valuable consideration, the reccipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acionowledged, paod by AMERTCAN REAL. TY TRUST, INC. (the "Orantee"), whose address is 10670 Natih Central Expressway, Sutte 600, Dallas, Texas 75231, and tor the fiutiber consideration of the execution by Grantee of that certain Promissory Note ("Note") of even date herrowth in the original promeipal amount of $\$ 427,27500$ payable to the order of Gruntor, HAS GRANTED, BARGAMED, SOLD and CONVEYED, and by these proseats DOES GRANI, BARGANN, SELL and CONVEY unto Grameec all of that certain tract or tracts of land (he "Lebd") sinusted in Travis County, Texas, and described on Exhribit "A" which is attached hereto and ucorporated herem by reference for all purposes, together with my wid all buildmgs and other improvements, plants, trees end strvibbery now or hereater located on said Land and together with an and singular all rights and appurtenanoes pertanning to such Lend, bnchuding any rights title and interest of Grantor in and to edjacear roads, ztrects, alleys, easements or rights-of-way (with the Land, together with all such mpsovements, rights end apportenances benag collectively referred to herein as the "Property")

This conveyance is made subject only to the matiers set forth on Extibit "B" ettached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference for all purposes, to the extent the same are valid and subsusting and affect the Property

TOHAVE AND TO HOLD the Property unto Grantec, mod Grantee's sucoestors and assigns forcver, and Oramtor does hereby bind Grantor, and Grantor's anccessors mod assigne, to WARRANT and FOREVER DEFEND, all and singular the Property urio Orantoe and Oramec's successors and assigns, aganst every person whomsocver larwitlly claiming or to clain the same or ary part thereof. by, farrught or under Grantor, but not otherwise.

BUT IT IS EXPRESSLY AGREED, that the Veodor's Licn is setamed by Oramtor to secure the payment of the Note until the Nore is pald in full acoording to lts terms, at which time this conveyance shall be absohute.


EXECUTED this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2000

ORANTOR
 CONNIE LORRAINE SLADEK


This instrment was acknowiedged before me on May f, 2000 by CONNIE LORRANE SLADEK

My Commission Expires.
May 18 2002


Erhibit A
 Taxar, and being all of thet certain (63 305 Acre) tract conveyed to comice Iorratne sladek by deed mecorded in Volume 10530, Zage 671 of the leal Froperty Recordy of Irayis County, Texes, and being more partioularly described by metes and bound as follown.
 Lans at ehe forthrost corzer of theit cartain ( 63.305 Acre) tract comveyrd to Comple Lazraina Sledak by deed recordod in Volum 10530, page 671 of the kajal Praparty lecords of Irevis County, Taxas, and befrg st the Noreheast cornez of that certain ( 10 Acre) tract of land comvijed to Cication securitiles Corporation by daed recorded in Voltme 12392, Fage 1747 of the Leal Property lecords of Iravis County, Taxas, and being the Forthmest corver and HacE OF ExGuisinc of the harain dagaribad tract of land,

HiBNGE with the south right-of-why inn of Gregg Leme, the following three (3) cources

1) Souch 59 degraca 37 nimates 00 encoads Last, 35309 fete to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod set with plastic cap marked "Cercon and Bush Irofessional Survayors":
2) South 60 degrees 12 minutes 00 neconds East, 40019 feat 50 i $1 / 2$ inch iron red set with plantic cap marked "Carson and Bush Irofessional Surveyors".
3) Fourh 60 dagraen 06 minntes 00 saconds Enst, 539,44 fect to a $1 / 2$ dmeh Lron rod set with plastic cap marked "Caraon and Buth Irofessional Surveyors" at the Forthaast corner of said Sladok ( 63.305 Aere) tract and being. che Norchaset 00 ghor of chis exact:

TaEnce with the east line of said sladek ( 63305 Acre) tract, the following Lour (4) courses

1) South 30 degrees 32 minutes 24 seconds Wast, 172698 feat to a $3 / 8$ inch iron rod tound:
2) Worth 67 dagrees 02 mimucas 46 seconds west, 6380 Laet $t 0$ a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found:
3) 8 outh 30 degrees 29 miputem 48 secouds Fast, 28343 Eeet to a 60 D mail found in a 24 inch elm eroe,
4) South 30 degreas 10 minutes 05 aceovds Hest, 540.98 feet to $2 / 2$ Enah ixon rod found at the southeast cornex of ald sladek ( 63.305 dere) tract, and being a point in the morth line of that cartain (208 215 acre) "Tract $7^{2 "}$ corvoyrad eo Art Colleotion, Ine. by doed recordad in Voluma 13270. Zage 1369 of the Ral Froperty Encordy of Iravis Cousty, Tazaf, and beling the Southent coman of this eract;

THENCE with che north line of asid Art Collection, Int "Tract 2 " and the south


Exhibit a (Continued)
lino of said sladek ( 63.305 Acre) tract, the Following six (6) courses:
2) North 60 eagres 08 minutes 24 seconds West, 38888 test to $1 / 2$ lech iron pipe found, :
2) North 29 degrees 03 minuter 25 seconds tact at 46424 Leet pass a 60 D mail found and contiming on the same course 71.48 feet tore, for a total of 33572 fest, to $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found,
3) Forth 59 degrees 53 minutes 00 seconds West at 206.56 feet pase a 60 D mail found and continuing on the sara course 283.52 fact more, for a total distance of 490.08 tent, to 60 D na ll found:
4) Forth 37 degree 08 minutes 24 seconds West, 81.95 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron pipe forind;
3) Forth 15 degrees 37 mimes 34 second Vest. 31354 feet to a 1 inch iron pipe found,
6) North $\$ 1$ dagraea 01 minute 07 accord Fest, 37.23 feet to a 1 inch iron pipe found at the most westerly corner of ald Sladek ( 63305 Acre) trace, and being an angle point of said Art Collection, Inc "Tract $2^{\prime \prime}$, and being the most westerly comer of chis tract,

ThENCE with the west line of ald sladel ( 63305 Acre) tract, the following two (2) courses:

1) North 31 degrees 22 minutes 25 seconds East, 285.44 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron pipe found at the most northeasterly corner of geld Art Collection, Inc. tract, and being the couthest corner of said Citation securities tract,
2) Worth 30 degrees 12 minutes 31 seconds East, 2500.39 fact to the PLAGF of BEGIMNEEG and COSTAINIETG 63.279 Acres oE Land


cove


## EXHIBIT ${ }^{\text {HB" }}$

1. Standby fees, taxes and assessments by my taxing autionty for the year 2000 and subsequent years, and subsequent assessments for prior years due to change in Intend usage or ownership following the date hereof.
2. Wastewater easement granted to the City of Austin, by instrumem dated January 15, 1992, recorded in volume 11604, Page 551 of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, es shown on survey of Holt Carson, R.P L.S. \#5166, dated March 14, 2000.

3 Temporary Working Space easement granted to the Cay of Austin, by instrument dated January 15, 1991, recorded in volume 11604, Page 557 of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, as shown on survey of Holt Cursor, R.P L.S. \#5166, dated March 14, 2000.
4. Encroachments/Protrisions of fences as shown on survey of Hot Carson, R P.L.S. \#5166, dated March 14, 2000.
5. Rights of Mum Beck and Robert Beck under oral month-to-month lease, terminable by lessor or lessee upon 30 days notice, relative to 3407 Gregg Lade

## FILED AND RECORDED OFFICIAL PUBLIC RECORDS



Recorders Memorandum-At the tame of recondition This nditumem was found to be tredequate for the best reproduction, because of illegibility carbon or photocopy, discolored paper, Ans AH block outs, addeliors ind changes wore present at the tree the sumtrumert whee filed and recorded
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THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

KNOW ALLMENBYTHESE PRESENTS:

THAT ANDERSON DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a Texas corporation (the "Granter"), for and in consideration of the sum of Ten and No /100 Dollars (\$10.00) cash and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, pard by AMERICAN REALTY TRUST, INC., a Georgia corporation (the "Grantee"), whose address is 1800 Valley View Lane, Suite 300, Dallas, Texas 75234, HAS GRANTED, BARGAINED, SOLD and CONVEYED, and by these presents DOES GRANT, BARGAIN, SELL and CONVEY unto Grantee all of that certain tract or tracts of land (the "Land") stated in Travis County, Texas, and described on Exhibit " $A^{n}$ which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference for all purposes, together with any and all buildings and other improvements, plants, trees and ahrubbery now or hereafter located on sad Land and together with all and singular all rights and appurtenances pertaining to such Land, including any rIght, title and interest of Grantor in and to adjacent roads, streets, alleys, easements or nghts-of-way (with the Land, together with all such improvements, rights and appurtenances being collectively referred to herein as the "Property").

This conveyance is made subject only to the matters set forth on Exhibit " $B$ " attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference for all purposes, to the extent the same are valid and subsisting and affect the Property.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the Property unto Grantee, and Grantee's successors and assigns forever, and Granter does hereby bind Granter, and Grantor's successors and assigns, to WARRANT and FOREVER DEFEND, all and singular the Property unto Grantee and Grantee's successors and assigns, against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof, by, through or under Granter, but not otherwise.
[signature and acknowledgment page follows]


EXECUTED this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2002.

## GRANTOR:

ANDERSONDEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a Texas corporation

By:


THE STATE OF Texas
COUNTY OF Tereus
8
8
8

This instrument was acknowledged before me on this 14 of May, 2002 by Jennifer Jo Bird, the President of ANDERSON DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a Texas corporation, on behalf of such corporation


## EXHIBIT "A"

Metes and Bounds Description<br>75.39 Acres<br>Mariquita Castro Survey Number 50, Abstract 160<br>Travis County, Texas

BEING a tract containing 75.39 acres of land situated in the Marquita Castro Survey Number 50, Abstract 160 of Travis County, Texas being all of a 9.03 acre tract of land described in 2 deed to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 9831, Page 204, of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas (D.R.T.C.T.), all of a called 1053 acre tract of land described in a deed to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 5604, Page 1808, D.R.TCT. and all of a called 56.28 acre tract of land described in a deed to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 9831, Page 166, Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, (R.P.R.T.C.T) Said 75.39 acre tract being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows with all bearings referenced to the Texas State Plain Coordinate System, Central Zone;

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set at the southwest comer of the Jack Martin subdivision, as recorded in Volume 56, Page 28, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas (P.R.T.C.T.), being the northwest comer of said 903 acre tract, being on the northeasterly line of a called 63.279 acre tract of land described in a deed to American Realty Trust Inc., recorded in Document Number 2000071860, (R.P.R.T C T.) and being the most southerly northwest corner of the herein described tract;

THENCE, along the line common to said 9.03 acre tract and sard Jack Martin subdivision, the following two (2) calls.

1. South $63^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 208.75 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set at the southeast comer of said Jack Martin Subdivision, for an interior corer hereof;
2. North $27^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ East, at a distance of 203.70 pass a $1 / 2$ inch ron rod found at the northeast comer of sard Jack Martin subdivision, continuing for a total distance of 208.70 feet to a. $1 / 4$ meh iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Surveon Inc." set in the south line of Gregg Lane ( 50 foot wide) for the most northerly northwest comer of this tract;

THENCE, South $62^{\circ} 56^{\circ} 10^{\prime \prime}$ East, along said south line of Gregg Lane, a distance of 1028.97 feet, to a $1 / 4$ inch ron pipe found for the northwest comer of a called 1.00 acre tract referred to in Volume 9831, Page 167, R.P.R.T.C.T for the most northerly northeast comer of the herein described tract from sard point a $1 / 4$ inch iron pipe found for the northeast comer of said 1.00 acre tract bears, South $63^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 104.81 feet;

THENCE, leaving said south line of Gregg Lane and along the easterly line of said 56.28 acre tract,

the following five (5) calls:
1 South $30^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 38^{n}$ West, a distance of 418.89 feet to a $3 / 4$ inch iron pipe found for the southwest comer of said 1.00 acre tract and being an inside comer hereof;
2. South $62^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ East, along a line of chain link fencing marking the south line of said 1.00 acre tract, a distance of 104.07 feet to a $3 / 4$ inch iron pipe found for the southeast comer of said 1.00 acre tract being the most southerly northeast comer hereof, and being in the west line of a called 788 acre tract as recorded in Volume 5336, Page 1987, (D.R.T.C.T.);
3. South $30^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 1166.85 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc "set for an angle point in the westerly line of a called 500 acre tract as recorded in Volume 9064, Page 270, R P R.T.C.T.;

4 South $31^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 346.37 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set for an angle point in the westerly line of a called 36.45 acre tract as recorded in Volume 9064, Page 276, R.P.R.T C T.;
5. South $30^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 842.68 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch ron pipe found for the southeast comer of said 56.28 acre tract being in the north line of a called 47.720 acre tract as recorded in Volume 12512, Page 2266, R.P.R T C.T., and being the southwest comer of said 36.45 acre tract from said point a 1 inch iron pipe found in the south line of said 3645 acre tract, bears South $49^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 150.67 feet;

THENCE, along the south line of sad 56.28 acre tract, the following six (6) courses:

1. North $42^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ West, at a distance of 234.49 feet pass a $3 / 4$ inch iron pipe found for the northwest corner of the said 47.720 acre tract, same being the northeast corner of a called 40.00 acre tract is recorded in Volume 2270, Page 378, R.P.R T.C.T. in all a total distance of 541.81 feet to a $n$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Surveon Inc." set for an angle point;
2. North $42^{\circ} 1521^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 14.37 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set for an angle point;
3. North $13^{\circ} 49^{\circ} 09^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 39.09 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch ron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set for an angle point;
4. North $72^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 166.36 feet to a $1 / 2$ meh iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set for an angle point;

5 North $61^{\circ} 36^{\circ} 12^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 69.02 feet to a $1 / 2$ mech ron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set for an angle point;
6. North $80^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 2 3}$ feet to North $80^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 125.23 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch mon rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc" set for an angle point, from said point a $1 / 4$ inch ron rod found in the west line of sard 40.00 acre tract, and being in the east line of a called 208.215 acre tract as recorded in Volume 13270, Page 1369, R P.R.T.C.T.;

THENCE, continuing along said south line of the 56.28 acre tract, the following three (3) courses.
1 North $34^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 45^{\prime}$ East, a distance of 10.03 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "Survcon Inc." set for an angle point;
2. North $54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 278.36 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for an angle point;
3. North $57^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 69.52 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch ron rod found for the southeast comer of said 63.279 acre tract, being the southwest comer hereof;

THENCE, along the lune common to said 63.279 acre tract, sadd 56.28 acre tract and said 903 acre, the following four (4) courses:

1. North $27^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 541.08 feet to a $1 / 2$ unch iron rod stamped "SURVCON, INC." set for an angle point;
2. North $27^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 282.93 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for an exterior corner hereof;
3. South $70^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 63.74 feet to a $1 / 4$ inch ron rod found for an interior corner of said 9.03 acre tract;
4. North $27^{\circ} 377^{\prime \prime} 2$ East, a distance of 1518.66 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and contarning a computed area of 75.39 acres of land.


## EXHIBIT "B"

## Permitted Exceptions

1. Standby fees, taxes and assessments by any taxing authonty for the year 2002, and subsequent years, and subsequent taxes and assessments by any taxing authonty for prior years due to change in land usage or ownership.
2. The following restrictive covenants of record: Volume 3055, Page 19, Deed Records; and Volume 9722, Page 599, Real Property Records, Travis County, Texas.
3. Electric and telephone lines and systems easement to Lower Colorado River Authority, dated August 16, 1939, executed by C. C. Kuempel, recorded in Volume 629, Page 125, of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.
4. Wastewater line easement to the City of Austin, dated September 12, 1988, executed by Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 10788, Page 553, of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas.

5 Terms, conditions and stipulations of the pipeline easement granted to Enserch Corporation, dated September 22, 1988, executed by Anderson Development Corp., recorded in Volume 11378, Page 231, Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas.

## FILED AND RECORDED



## Tract One <br> Metes and Bounds Description 295,86 Acres Marquita Castro Survey Number 80, Abstract 150 Travis County, Texas

BEING a tract containing 295,66 acres of land altustod in the Mariquita Castro Survey Number 50, Abstract 160 of Travis County, Texas and being all of the land described as Tract 2 and a portion of the land described as Tract 3 both in a deed to Art Collection, Inc., recorded io Volume 13262, Page 145 and corrected by deed recorded in Volume 13270. Page 1369 of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas (R.PR.T.C.T.). Said Tract One also being all of a oiled 63.279 acre tract of lend described in a deed to Ameriosn Realty Trust floc, recorded in Docupoont Number 2000071860, R.P.R.T.C.T., a portion of a celled 9.03 acre tract of land described in a deed to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 9831, Page 204, of the Travis Count Dood Records (T.C.D.R), a portion of a 56.28 macro tract of land desaibod in a dod to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 9831; Page 166, R.P.R.T.C.T. and a portion of the land currently coupled by Pager Lase, 1 variable width right-of-way. Said 295.66 acre tract being more particularly described by metes and bounds es follows:
 Tract 3 being in the northwest lithe of a called 54.40 ate tract recorded in a deed to J. Tim Brown in Volume 4533, Page 1981 of the Truth County Deed Records, (T.C.D.R.) and on the northerly right-of-way fine of Pumper Lave;

TEAENCE, along the northerly lite rightofway line of Purger Lane and the south line of axil Tract 3, North $60^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 677.26 feet to a 1 -hthoh tron rod found;

THENCE, across said Tract 3 f he followtirg three (3) contos:

1. North 26"51'40" Eats, a distance of 340.07 feet to a 3 -inch iron rod found;
2. North 27"50'43" West, a distance of 111.95 feet to an anglo point;
3. North $53^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ Wert a distance of 344.29 fort to an angle point for the beginning of a tangent curve to the right;

THENCC, contiming mos sad Trot 3 and across said Yeager Lane, 180.73 feat along the
 and a Chant Bearing and Distance of North $32^{\circ} 24^{\prime \prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East, 180.50-feet to an angle polar at the cad of aid curve and being on the northerly right-of-way line of enid Huger Lame;

THTNCEE, along aid northerly rigat-of-way line of Yeager Lane, North 62"40'24" West, a distance of 550.80 feet to an anglo point
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THENCB leaving arid northerly right-oi-way line of Yeager Lane, and across said Tract 3, the following eleven (11) courses:

1. South $65^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 97^{\prime \prime}$ West, $a$ distance of 239.58 feet to an angle polit;
2. South $12^{\circ} 30$ ' $31^{\prime \prime}$ West, $a$ distance of 29.40 feet to an macle point;
3. South $21^{\circ} 18^{\prime \prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 40.11 feet to an angle point
4. South $25^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 33^{n}$ West, 1 distance of 67.45 feet to an angle point;
5. South $35^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ Wert, a distance of 50.25 feet to an angie point
6. South $51^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 51.93 feet to en angle point;
7. South $57^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ Worst, a distance of 74,66 feet to an angle point;
8. South $65^{\circ} 46^{\circ} 01^{\prime \prime}$ Wert, a distance of 7 . 46 feet to an angle point;
9. South $68^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 00^{n}$ Wert; a distance of 9.06 feet to a th agile polit
10. South $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 43^{\text {n }}$ West, a distance of 89.92 ficteto an angle polit
11. South 53934 $32^{44}$ West, adstance of 32.77 feet to an angle point and being on the northerly fightoofoway lithe of wald Palmer Late;

THENCE, along maid northerly tightot-way line of said Palmer Lane, North $60^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ Wert, e distance of $\$ 49.26$ feet to en siple polit;

THIENCE leaving said northerly right-of-way line of scald Palmer and across said Tract 3, the following five (5) courses:

1. North $27^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 01^{13}$ East, a distance of 258.80 feet to an angle point for the beginning of a tangent curve to the right;
2. 51.78 feet along the are of ald curve to the eight, having a Radius of 165.48 feet, a
 51.57 feet to an angle point at the and of said curve;
3. North $43^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 20.63 feet to an mage point;
4. North $62^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{3 n}$ Wert, a distance of 224,31 feet to an angle point;
5. North $26^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 394.34 feet to ad anglo polit on the southerly reju-0.-way line of Yager Lane;

THENCE, along maid southerly right-ofway line of aid Yeager Lane and the northerly line of said Trot 3, South $61^{\circ} 42^{\prime \prime} 58^{n}$ East, a distance of 282.74 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found;

THENCE, leaving ald southerly right-of-way line of Yeager Lime, South $82^{\circ} 16^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 23.47 foes to min anglo point baling on at ald northerly right-of-way line of Yeager Laps and the southenty line of a called 15159 acre trot recorded in a deed to Texas Commerce Bank-Austin in Volume 11109, Page 579, RP.R.T.C.T

THENCE, continuing along tho northerly sight-of-way fine of said Xager lane and the southerly the of ald Texas Commerce Bank-Austin, South 62032'58" East, a distance of 84.79 feet to a $5 / 8$-inch iron god found an the wortiwest line of said Tract 2 and belug the northeast comer ald 151.59 tare Texas Commerce Banle-Austin tract;

THENCE, with the eomeheast line of aid Texas Commerce Bark-Austin tract and the nortincest line of said Tract 2, North 26"59'00" East, a distance of 1,524.96 feet to a $1 / 4$-inch tron pipe found;

ITHENCE, continuing with the southeast line of ald Texas Commerce Bank-Austin tract and the northwest line of enid Trace 2, North 29^47'18" East, a distance of 84.43 feet to a $\%$-inch tron pipe found for the northeast conner of ald Twas Comonerce Bank-Auxtin tract and the gondi comer of a called 211.373 tart tract recorded in a deed to Roy MaNair in Vohurge 11814, Page 1317, RPR.T.C.T.;

THENCE, with the southeast line of said MaNat tract and the northwest line of ald Tract 2, North 2701\% ${ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 2,132.40 feet to a 60 d na ll found in fie southerly line of The Thompson Subdivision W2, as recorded la Book 74 Page 10 of the Plat Records of Travis Comity, Texas (PRT.C.T.);

THENCE, with the northeast line of said Tract 2 and the souther line of arid Thompson mbdivision South 55"29'42" East, a distance of 41095 feat to a Mr-lnch iron rod found for the mouth comer of sild Thompson subdivision and the southwest corner of a called 4.435 acre tract recorded in a deed $s 0$ Arthur J. Hopkins in Volume 8657, Page 837 R.R.RT,C.T;

THENCE, with the southwest line of ald Hopkins tract and the southwest line of a called 9.01 acre tract recorded it 1 deed to Robert Rosier in Volume 9724, Page 581 R.P.R.T.C.T. South $55^{\circ} 4824^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 432.68 feet to 1 . 1 inch tron pipe found for an interior conifer of a called 8.39 acre test recorded tin $\mathbf{x}$ deed to Reuben L. Gum in Volume 3952, Page 467 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas (D.R.T.C.T.);

TEIENCE, with the southerly northwest line of said Cum tract, South $3^{\circ} 5^{\circ} 25^{\prime \prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ Wast, a distance of 214.08 feet to a 1 -inch iron pipe found for an interior corner of and Tract 2 ;

TIENNCE, with the southerly sosithwest line of said Gum tract and the northwest line of a ailed 10 marat tract worded in a deed to Clarion Securities Corporation In Volume 12197, Page 60, R.PR.T.C.T. South $38^{\circ} 40^{\circ} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East, 2 distance of 569.06 feet to a $3 / 4$-inch tron pipe
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found for the south comer of said Citation Securities tract and being to the wostenty line of said American Realty Trust Inc, tract;

THENCE, along the northwest line of said American Realty Trust Inc, tract, North 2701703" East, a distance of 1500,39 feet to an angle point being the most northern comer of ald Aberionen Reality Trust lice. tract and the southerly right-of-way line of Gregg lane (with varies);

THENCE, along the northeast line of said American Reality Trust Inc. tact and the northerly sught-of-way line of Gree Lapp, the following three (3) courses:

1. South $62^{\circ 3} 326^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 353.09 feet to an anglo polit
2. South $63^{\circ} 0726^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of $\mathbf{4 0 0 . 1 9}$ feet to en angle point
3. South $63^{\circ} 0126^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 539.44 feet to an angle. point for the northeast comer of said American Realty Trust Inc. tract;

THBNCE, along the line common to said Amerionn Realty Trust hoc. tract and said 9.03 more Anderson Development Corporation tract, the following three (3) courses:

1. South $27^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of $1,726.99$ feet an ingle point;
2. North $69^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 63,80 feet to an mile point;
3. South $27^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 15^{n}$ West, a distance of 283 ,43 feet to an angle point,

THENCE, along the line common to said American Reality Trust Inc. tract and said 56.28 sacra Anderson Development Corporation tract, South 27 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 541 ,49 feet to a 1 -mich ito rod forme for the most southerly comer of said American Realty Trust Inc. tract and being on a northeasterly line of said Tract 2;

THENCE, along the line common to said 56.28 acre Anderson Development Corporation tract and said That 2 , the following two (2) courses;

1. South $57^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 69.56 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch Iron 10 d found;
2. South $55^{\circ} 455^{\prime \prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 278.36 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for the northwest comer of a called 40 acre tract recorded in 1 deed to Everett $\mathbf{R}$. Ryder et ur in Volume 2270, Page 378 D.R.T.C.T. and being the mort easterly comer of said Tract 2;

THENCE, with the northwest line of said Ryden tract and the southeast line of aid Tract 2 the following six (6) courses:

1. South $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime 2} 29^{n}$ West, a distance of 24.74 feet to an $3 / 8$ iron rod found;
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2. South $36^{\circ} 34^{\prime 1} 8^{\prime \prime}$ Wert, a distance of 10.45 feet to 8 SOd nail found;
3. South $29^{\circ} 0612^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 165.87 feet to a $\%$-inch iron pipe found;
4. South $29^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of $1,124.11$ feet to a 12 -inch iron pipe found;
5. South $29^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 554.94 feet to a $1 /$-inch lion pipe found;
6. South 2902925" West, a distance of 707.52 feet to a Horah tron rod found for the southwest comer of said Ryder Tract, the northeast comer of said Tract 2 and in the .. northerly right-of-way flue of Wager Lame;

THENCE, with the southerly line of said Tract 2 and the northerly right-ofiway line of Yeager Lane, North $61^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 592.25 feet to $1 / 1 /$-inch iron rod found;

THENCE, across mid Yeager Lane, South 77a $11^{\prime} 10^{n}$ West, a distance of 79,88 feet to a 1tech tron pipe formed for the northwest comer of ald 54,40 acre Brown trot;

THENCRE, with the northwest tine of ald I. Tim Brown tract, and the southeast line of said
 and coutasining a computed area of 295.66 after of land.

This metes and bounds description was based on a combination of field surveying and trivemation from seconded deeds. Bearings, distances and ares mellowly to change after a complete on-the-ground survey.

# Tract Two <br> Area " $B$ " <br> Metes and Bounds Description <br> 10.09 Acres <br> Sport 2 ot 4 <br> Travis County, Tex us 

BEING a tract combining 10.09 acres of land situated lo tho Marquita Castro Survey Number 50, Abstract 160 of Travis County, Texas and being a portion of a 9.03 acre tract of land described in a deed to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 9831, Page 204, of the Travis County Deed Records (T.C.DR.), a patton of a 10.53 acre tract of land described in a deed to Anderson Development Cospasation, recorded in Volume 5604, Page 1808, T.C.D.R. and a portion of a.56.28 acre tract of land described In a dead to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume 9831, Page 166, R.PRT.C.T. Said 10.09 sere rato belay more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

COMMENCING of the northeast comer of said American Realty Trust Inc. tract;
THENCE, along the line common to said American Realty Trust Inc. tract and said 9.03 core tract, South $27^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 58^{\circ \prime}$ West, a distance of 328.22 feet to an ogle point;

THENCE, leaving the line common to said American Realty Trust Inc. tract and arid 9.03 gate tract, South 621951" East, a distance of 41.61 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

TIJENCE, over and across sold 10.53 acre tract and said 9.03 acre tract, the following seven (7) calls:

1. 216.99 feet along the are of said curve to the right, having a Radius of $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 0}$ feet, a Delta Angle of $165^{\circ} 46^{\circ} 06^{n}$ and a Chord Bearing and Distance of North 7944'23" East, 148.84 feet to en angle point at the end of said curve;
2. South $17^{\circ} 2^{\prime 2} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 812.30 feet to en angle point;
3. South $10^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 559.42 feet to an angle point for the begitaing of a tangent curve to the aught;
4. 506.81 feet along the are of said curve to the rIght, having a Radius of 162.46 feet, a Delta Angle of 178 ${ }^{\circ} 43^{\prime 4} 43^{\prime \prime}$ and a Chord Bearing and Distance of South $.78^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ West, 324.92 feet to an angle point it the chat of said curve;
5. Nor $12^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 44^{n}$ Wert, a distance of 548.17 feet to an angle point;
6. North 07053'12" West, a distamos of 28,94 feet to an angle point;
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7. North $03^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distames of 801.05 foct to san sagle point being the PONT OF BEGINNLNG and contrining a computed atea 10.09 acres of land.

This smetes and bounde description was based on a combination of field murveytag and information firm zecordod deeds. Beatings, distances and area ere likely to change after a complete ornthe-ground survey.

# Tract Three <br> Metes and Bounds Description 16.03 Acres Mariquita Castro Survey Number 50, Abstract 160 Travis County, Texas 

BEDNG a tract contanoing 16.03 acres of land situated in the Matriquits Castro Survey Number 50, Abstract 160 of Travis County, Texas mad being a portion of 4 called 9.03 acre trust of hind described in a deed to Anderson Development Corporation, recorded in Volume
 ere tract of lind described in a deed to Anderson Development Corporation, secured in Value 9831, Page 166, RPR.T.C.T. Sale 16.0 as acre tract being moke particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

EEGINNING at a Frisch tron rod found for the most southerly comer of a called 63279 acre tract of land described in a deed to American R fatty Trust Inc., recorded in Docurnent Number 2000071860, of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas (RP.R.T.C.T.), man being the sombluecterly conner of maid 5628 ce Anderson Development Corporation tract and being on a northeasterly line of a called Tract 2 described in a deed to Art Collection, inc., recorded to Volume 13262, Page 145 and conncrtad by deed recorded la Volume 13270, Rage 1369 of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas (RPR.T.C.T.).

TEIENCE, along tho line common to said American Realty Trust Fine. trot, said 56.28 acres Anderson Development Corporation tract and said 9.03 pare Anderson Development Corporation tract, tie following two (2) courses:

1. North $27^{\circ} 16{ }^{\prime} 32^{n}$ East, a distance of 541,49 feet to an angle point;
2. North 2702015" East, a distance of 249.68 feet to al angle point;

THENCE, leaving fine common to said American Realty Trust lac, tract and ald 9.03 acre Anderson Development Corporation tract and raid 56.28 sere Anderson Development Corporation tract, South $46^{\circ} 28^{\prime 2} 20^{\circ \prime}$ East, a distance of 38228 fret to an angle point being the beginning of a tangent curve to the right;

THENCE, ceros said 56.28 aero tract, the following $\tan (10)$ courses;

1. 216.81 feet along the arc of mid curve to the right, having a Radius of 75.00 feet, a Delta Angle of $165^{\circ} 3745^{\prime \prime}$ and a Chord Bearing and Distance of South 36\%2033" Wrest, 148.82 feet to an mangle point at the end of said euro;
2. North $60^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathbf{4 7}^{\prime \prime}$ West, 8 distance of 343.01 feet to an angle point;
3. South $27^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of $\mathbf{2 4 . 1 5}$ feet to an stifle point being the beginning of a tangent curve to the sight;
4. 393.75 feet along the are of said curve to the right, having a Rediur of 162.49 feet, a Delta Angle of $138^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ and a Chord Bearing and Distance of South 13'29'48" West, 304.25 feet to an angle point at the end of ald curve;
5. North $89^{\circ} 1027^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 225,21 feet to an angle polit being the beginning of a tangent curve to the right;
6. 180.63 feet along the arc of wald curve to the right, having a Radius of 175.00 feet, $\varepsilon$ Delta Angie of $59^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ and a Chord Bearing and Distance of South 75 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime 2} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East, 172.71 feet to an angle point at the end of ald ourvo;
 of a tangent expo to the right;
7. 144.35 feet along the are of said curve to the night, having a Radius of 210.93 feet, a
 East, 141,55 feet to an angle point at the end of said curve;
8. North $38^{\circ} 50^{\prime 2} 22^{\prime \prime}$ East, distance of 688.01 feet to an angle point
9. South $76^{\circ} 21^{10} 5^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 169.66 feet to an angle point on the easterly line of ald 56.28 aero tract and being an the westerly lino of a called 5.00 a ore tract, conveyed to John Bird et.rec, recorded in Volume 9064, Page 270, D.R.T.C.T.;

THENCE, along the easterly line of aid 56.28 acre tract the following two (2) courses:

1. South $26^{\circ} \mathbf{4 3}^{\prime} 01^{n}$ West, a distance of 346.37 feet to an angle polit;
2. South $26^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ Wast, a distance of 842.68 fact to an angle point being the Southeast comer of etd 5628 acre tract and the Northeast comer of a called 40.00 acre tract conveyed to Everett R, Ryder et. ix., recorded in Volume 2270, Page 378, DRT.C.T:

THENCE, along the common line of and 36.28 acre tract and said 40.00 acre tract, the following six (0) courses:

1. North $48^{\circ} 39^{\prime \prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 341.81 feet to an angle point;
2. North $48^{9} 08^{\prime \prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 14.37 feet to an angle point;
3. North $19^{\circ} 42^{\prime 2} 9^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 39.09 feet to an angle point
4. North 78039's9" Wert, $a$ distance of 166.36 feet to an angle point;
5. North $67^{\circ} 2959^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 69,02 feet to en angle point;
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6. North $85{ }^{\circ} 3712{ }^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 125.24 feet to an acgigle point beige the Northwest comer of scald 40.00 acre tract and the mont easterly cancer of ald Tract 2;

THENCE, along the line common to ald 56.28 mere Anderson Development Corporation tract and said Tract 2, the following two (2) coursers:
?

1. North $55^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 278.36 feet to a i/z-inch iron rod found;
2. North $57^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ Wert a distance of 69.56 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNLNG and containing a computed area of 16.03 antes of land.

This metes and bounds description was based on a combination of field surveying and information from recorded deeds. Bearings, distances and area are holy to change after a complete on-the-pround survey.

Tract Four Meter and Bounds Description 10．94 Acres Mariquita Castro Survey Number 60，Abstract 160 Travis County，Texas

BEING $a$ tract containing 10.94 acres of land situated to the Matiquith Castor Survey Number 50，Abstract 160 of Travis County，Texas and being a portion of the land dosenibed es Tract 3 tiro a deed to Att Collection，Ina，recorded in Volute 13262，Page 145 and corrected by deed recorded ta Volume 13270，Page 1369 of the Real Property Records of Travis Comity，Texas RR．R．T．C．T．）．Said 10.94 were tract being more particularly described by metes and bounds es follows：

BEGINNANG at a Vi－nach iron rod found an the southerly rightofiwny line of amid Yager Lane end the northerly line of said Tract 3 ，from which a $y_{2}$－inch hon rod found bears，North $61^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ West，a distance of 1960.76 feet

THENCR，along maid southerly right－0f－way lino of said Yager Lane and the northerly line of said Tract 3，the following four（4）courses：

1．South 38＂21＇4 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ East，a distance of 24.29 feet to a $1 / 2$－inch Iron rod found；
2．South $21^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ E est，a distance of 334.13 feet to a $1 / 2$－inch iron rod found；
3．Booth $49^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ Enact，$a$ distance of 22.57 feet to $\equiv 1 / 2$－inch iron rod found；
4．South 62057＇21＂East，a distance of 395.99 feet to a $1 / 2$－inch iron rod found；
THENCE，leaving said soothed right－ot－way line of Wager $工$ ac，and saros all Tract 3． the following eleven（11）courses：

1．South $65^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West，a distance of 65.92 feet to an angle point；
2．South $12030 \% 31^{\prime \prime}$ Wets，a distance of 29,40 feet to an angle point；
3．South $21^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 30^{n \prime}$ West， 4 distance of 40.11 fiber to an agile point；
4．South $\mathbf{2 5 " 0}^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime} 33^{n}$ West，a distance of $\mathbf{6 7 , 4 5}$ feet to an anglo polit；
5．South $35^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ West，a distance of 50.25 fact to an angle point；
6．South $51^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West，a distance of $\$ 1.93$ feet to an angie point；
7．Sort 57009＇39＂West，a distance of 74.66 feet to an angle point；
8．South $65^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ West，a distance of 72.46 feet to an anglo point；
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9. South $68^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 9.06 feet to an angie point;
10. South $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 89.92 foot to an angle point;
11. South $53^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ West, 2 distance of 32.77 feet to an eagle point and being on the northerly right-ofway live of and Paboce Lane;

THENCE, dong said northerly right-of-way line of said Palmer Lane, North $60^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 549.26 feet to an angle polar;

THENCE, le ming maid northerly right-of-way line of said Palmer and across said Tract 3, tho following five (5) courses:

1. North $27^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 258.80 feet to an ample point for the beginning of a tangent ore to the right;
2. 51.78 feet along the arc of sild curve to the sight, having a Radius of 165.48 feet,
 East, 51,57 feet to an anglo point in the end of said curve;
3. North $43^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of $20.63^{\prime \prime}$ fest to an angle points
4. North $62^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ Wert a distance of 224.31 feet to an angle point;
5. North $26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 394.34 feet to an angle point on the evertherly right-of-way line of Pager Lame;

THENCE, along ald southerly dight-of-way line of sid Yeager Lane ted the northerly line of said Tract 3, South $61^{4} 42^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East a dratance of 282.74 feer to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing a computed area of 10.94 acres of land.

This meter and bounds description was based on a combination of field surveying and Information from seoordod deeds. Bearings, distances and area are likely to change aster a complete ondthe-ground array.
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## RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

OWNER: Art Collection, Inc., a Nevada corporation
ADDRESS: One Hickory Center, 1800 Valley View Ln., Ste, 300, Dallas TX 75234
CONSIDERATION: Ten and No/100 Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration paid by the City of Austin to the Owner, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged.

PROPERTY: $\quad 1,410$ acres of land, more or less, consisting of various tracts of land out of the Samuel Cushing Survey and the Mariquita Castro Survey, in Travis County, the tracts of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in a Correction General Warranty Deed recorded in Volume 13270, Page 1369, of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas; and

138 acres of land, more or less, consisting of a 63.279 acre tract and a 75.39 acre tract out of the Mariquita Castro Survey, Travis County, the tracts being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Special Warranty Deeds recorded, respectively, in Document No. 2000071860 and Document No. 2002097364, Official Public Records of Travis County, Texas.

WHEREAS, the Owner of the Property and the City of Austin have agreed that the Property should be impressed with certain covenants and restrictions;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is declared that the Owner of the Property, for the consideration, shall hold, sell and convey the Property, subject to the following covenants and restrictions impressed upon the Property by this restrictive covenant. These covenants and restrictions shall rum with the land, and shall be binding on the Owner of the Property, its heirs, successors, and assigns.

1. A site plan or building permit for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the completed development or uses of the Property, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds the total traffic generation for the Property as specified in that certain Traffic Impact Analysis ("TIA") prepared by Alliance-Texas Engineering Company, dated September 13, 2002, or as amended and approved by the Director of the Watershed Protection and Development Review Department. All development on the Property is subject to the recommendations contained in the memorandum from the Transportation Review Section of the Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, dated April 20, 2005. The TIA shall be kept on file at the Watershed Protection and Development Review Department.
2. If any person or entity shall violate or attempt to violate this agreement and covenant, it shall be lawful for the City of Austin to prosecute proceedings at law or in equity against such person or entity violating or attempting to violate such agreement or covenant, to prevent the person or entity from such actions, and to collect damages for such actions.
3. If any part of this agreement or covenant is declared invalid, by judgment or court order, the same shall in no way affect any of the other provisions of this agreement, and such remaining portion of this agreement shall remain in full effect.
4. If at any time the City of Austin fails to enforce this agreement, whether or not any violations of it are known, such failure shall not constitute a waiver or estoppel of the right to enforce it.
5. This agreement may be modified, amended, or terminated only by joint action of both (a) a majority of the members of the City Council of the City of Austin, and (b) by the owners) of the Property subject to the modification, amendment or termination at the time of such modification, amendment or termination.

EXECUTED this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2005.

## OWNER:

Art Collection, Inc., A Nevada corporation

By: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

## Assistant City Attorney

City of Austin

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF $\qquad$ 8

This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the $\qquad$ day of 2005 by $\qquad$
 , of Art Collection, Inc., a Nevada corporation, on behalf of the corporation.

After Recording, Please Return to:
City of Austin
Department of Law
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas 78767-8828
Attention: Diana Minter, Legal Assistant

Date:
April 20, 2005
To: Greg Guernsey, Case Manager
CC: $\quad$ Michael Heath, P.E., Alliance Transportation Group
Reference: Pioneer Crossing TIA Amendment, C814-96-0003

## BACKGROUND

The Pioneer Crossing PUD was originally zoned in 1997. The PUD proposed a mix of residential, school, retail, industrial and park uses. The assumed adjusted trip generation for the original PUD was 140,343 vehicle trips per day. This equated to 14,923 adjusted PM peak-hour trips per day.
In December of 2001, an addendum to the TIA was submitted in order to incorporate 138.68 acres of additional property north of Parmer Lane. In addition, the addendum addressed the realignment of SH 130 out of the proposed PUD and its replacement with Arterial A. Modifications to the original land uses were also assumed. Resulting 24-hour adjusted trip generation for the amended TIA is 109,424 vehicle trips per day, or 10,172 PM peak-hour trips - a sizable decrease in trip generation from the 1997 TIA.

The original phasing agreement has been amended to incorporate the changes assumed in the TIA addendum.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. In accordance with the Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan, dedication of 114 feet pf right-of-way for Gregg/Howard Lane must be completed at the earlier of the following: 1) notification from the City of Austin or Travis County that final alignment, design and right-of-way maps for Gregg/Howard Lane are complete and a legal description of the right-of-way is available, or 2) at the time of final plat for the adjoining property.
2. Two copies of the final TIA are required to be submitted prior to 3rd Reading at Clty Council.
3. Development of this property should be limited to uses and intensities which will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the TIA addendum, including peak hour trip generations, traffic distribution, roadway conditions, and other traffic related characteristics.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 974-2628.






Pioneer Crossing
Exhibit C-1

## Slte Development Criteria

Land Use Summary

## for PUD Areas B and C

10/11/04

| Parcel | Land Use | Total Grose Acres | Floodplain (Acres) | Maximum Unita/Acre of F.A.R. for TIA | E of Unitersquare Footage for TLA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential theters |  |  |  |  |  |
| RA-3 | Mbred Devolopment Realdential (a) | 6.36 | 0.17 | 12 | 76.3 |
| RA-4 coe notas | Mbeod Devolopment Residentide (a) | 9.39 | 0 | 12 | 112.7 |
| RA-5 see notes | Mbsed Development Resideritial (b) | 33.02 | 8.00 | 18 | 694.4 |
| RA-9 | Mbxed Dovelopment Residentisf (b) | 29.26 | 1.52 | 18 | 626.7 |
| RA-10 | Mbeed Development Residentiol (b) | 22.28 | 6.08 | 18 | 400.7 |
| RA-11 | Mbsed Devolopment Residentisal (a) | 8.65 | 3.10 | 12 | 70.8 |
| RA-12 | Mbred Development Residentiol (b) | 15.49 | 0.00 | 18 | 278.8 |
| RA-14 seo notes | Mbred Dovolopment Realdentlal (b) | 29.43 | 4.85 | 18 | 529.7 |
| RA-15 see notes | Mheed Development Residentiol (b) | 44.09 | 1.59 | 18 | 793.6 |
| Sutitotal |  | 185.85 | 25.31 |  | 3,392.7 |
| Non-restacrital ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| RA-1 | CR Gol Public | 149.9 | n/a | 0.05 | 326,482,20 eq. |
| RA-2 | GR/ Comm/Retall | 5.5 | 1.09 | 0.39 | 93,436.20 89.ft |
| RA-6 | GRKCS-1 Comm/Retal | 7.31 | 0 | 0.3 | 95,527.08 eq.ft. |
| RA-7 | P Public Park | 7.65 | 2.76 | 12 | Na |
| RA-8 | CS - Comm/Redal | 3.16 | 0 | 0.3 | 41,294.88 8q. |
| RA-13 | GR Comm/Retsoil | 3.55 | 0 | 0.3 | 46,391.40 eq. $n$ |
| RA-16 | GR Comm/Retain | 1.04 | 0 | 0.3 | 13,590.72 89.1 l |
| Subtotal |  | 178.11 | 3.85 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 374.08 | 29.16 |  |  |

NOTES

1. Mbed Development Reaidential (a) is defined as a single designation for low to moderate density reaidential asas. The purpose of the derignation to an encourage a varioty and distribution of residential types to be specifically determined during the aubdivision process. The district allows flealbility in tho layout end design of reaidential units and lots with criteria similer to the City's SF-2, SF-3, SF-4A, SF-4B, SF-S and SF-6 zaning districts. Tho pross denaity for each parcel may range fom a minimum of I unit par tore to a maxinum of 12 units per acre tuch that the pross sum of all residentil units on all parcels within a single tract shall not erceed the groes sum for reaidential units estabished for that tract. Lats designated at the time of subdivision the townhouse or condominium residenco bhall require site plan approval prior io issuance of a building permit.
2. Mised Dovelopenent Rexidential (b) is defined as a single designation for higher denaity reaidential usea The parpoec of the designation is to ancourase a variety and distribution of residential types to be apecifically determined during the mubdivision proopse. The district allowa flexibility in the layout and deaign of reaidential units and lots with criteria similur to the City's SF-3, SF-4A, SF-4B, SF-5, SF-6, MF-1, and MF-2 maing districts. The gross density for each parcel may range from a minimum of 3 units per scre to a maxinum of 18 units per acre guch that the gros aum of all
 time of abdivision for townhouse or condominium residence shall require site pian approvil prior to ibsuance of a beilding permit.
3. Stte regulations applicable to Conner Store, Neighborhood Mised-Use Building. Uban Home, Cotage, and Secondqry Apertonent epecial uses in eccordance with Exhiblt E.
4. See Exhibit C-2 for oher specific site development regulations applicable to Mixred Development Residential (a) \& (b).
Exhlish Cas
ADDTHONAL RTE DEVELOPNMENT REGULATTONS APPLICABLE TO MIXED DEVELOPWINT RESHDENIAL (a) and (b)
FOR PUD AREAS B \& C


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sinde Funty Repitinin } \\ \text { Stended lot } \\ \text { (SF-2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fanily Recidace } \\ \text { (SK-3) } \end{gathered}$ | Sam Let Sindin Fanily Revina (SR-MA) |  | UFion Ftany Rocidotiod Towname (SF-S) |  |  | Muti F nily Residond Low Denains (NIF-2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM LOT Sizs (aqure teel) | 5750 | 5750 | 3600 | ${ }^{* *}(2)$ | 3600 | 3600 | 0000 | (000) |
| MINTMUM COENGL LOT AREA (turest fen) |  |  | 4500 | ${ }^{* \infty}$ (2) | - | - | - | - |
| mindmum lot witit | so | 50 | 40 | ${ }^{4 *}(2)$ | So | 50 | 50 | So |
| MINIMUM COMNES LOT WHETH |  |  | 50 | ${ }^{* *}$ (2) | 50 | - | - | - |
| MAXIMUM DWELIMNG UNITS PER LOT | 1 | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{* *}(2)$ | - | - | ${ }^{* 30 *}(6)$ | -***(4) |
| MAXIMUM FIEIGET | 35 | 35 | 35 | ${ }^{* *}(2)$ | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 or 3-4matay |
| MINTMUMSESECKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FINONT YARD <br> STR <br>  <br> RLAR YA易 |  | ${ }^{00}(5) 23$ | * 1125 | $4{ }^{4}(2)$ | 10 | 10 | 25 | 25 |
|  | 13 | 15 | 10 | *) (2) | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
|  | 5 | 5 | \%) | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 3 | 5 | ${ }^{4}$ (1) | ${ }^{06}(2)$ | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| MAXGMUM EUTIDNG COVEAGE | 40\% | 45\% | 55\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 45\% | 50\% |
| MAXINIUM DMITEVIOUS COVER | 45\% | 45\% | 65\% | 60\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 60\% |
|  |  |  | drace Dturter Artionam | atac (bus). |  |  |  |  |

Exhlblt D-1

## Revised Parmittod Usee Table

For PuD Areas B \& C


 adeded on the dete of this docurnent, ere intended to be the permitiod ueee for that Pirces within the Ploneer Croselng PUD Land Use Plen, with certain

 wheth ertiotia shrimar to the Clys SF-2 through 8F-8 for MDR (a) low to moderate, and 8F-3 through MF-2 for MDR (b) high.

| Farcel Mo. | Dme District | Additional Permitied Uece | Excluded thees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RESIDENTAAL |  |
| R41 | CR | None | None |
| R42 | CR | Postal Facilly, Plant Nursery | Norne |
| 173 | WRRR (n) low/mod |  Realdentiel, Dupiax Recidential, Townhouee Realdential, Two Froriuy Realdential, 8inglo Femily-Alteched Retciential, Retroment Hoving (emal) alio) Bed end Breaktest Realdential Proup I i II, Cotscop Lormexcondary Apartmentes, Emall Lot 8 inglo Famly Reakiontiai Group Horme Cieee IA II, , Single Fornly Peediential (maxdmum lot <br>  MDR(a) munt be reerved for ueee other than detached $8 F$ reskidential. | N/ |
| "R+4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDR (e) } \\ & \text { how / mod } \end{aligned}$ |  Realdentilal, Dupiex Realdontial, Townhouse Rechiential, Two Fanlly Repidential, Binglo Femby-Alleched Recidentlal, Retitwont Howing (minali alio) Bed and Brealdact Realdertilal (Oroup I\& II, Cotisge Lote Secondary Apertmantes, small Lot Single <br>  8400 ct .), Rellgious Aasembly, Famly Home. A minlmum of 20\% of NSA for MDP(a) mulat te reserved for usee other than datached SF reeldential. | N/ |
| T46 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MDR (D) } \\ \text { hinh } \end{gathered}$ | Outcoor Eportz and Recreition Services (Swera), WuibFamily Reedidential, Town House Realdential, Condominlum Roskiential, Religious Aveembly, Group Horme Cless I All, Farnily Horme. A minimum of $60 \%$ of NSA in reserved for uses bther then $8 F$ detached residential. |  |
| W0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRwh CS-1 } \\ & \text { footprln: } \end{aligned}$ | None | Vehicio Storege, Ecrap and 8elvage Repourco Extraction, Kennets, Agrloyture Sales end Bervione, Advil Ortented Bualness, Autornotive Salos, Autornotive Reparir, Automotive Rental, Autornotive Washer, off atie cocmasory perking. |
| Bu7 | P | None | ny ron-parks and rocreation 50. |
| 178 | Cs | Nont | None |
| TH0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDR (b) } \\ & \text { FHgh } \end{aligned}$ | Oudoor Eporta end Recruation Servicess (General), Nulto-Family Residential, Town Houpe Realdential, Condominkum Reeidentid, Rediglous Aveembly, Group Home Clasa 1 and if, Ferily Horne. A minhmum of $50 \%$ of MSA is meenved for ueet other than $8 F$ detectived reidentital. |  |
| 1780 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WDR (b) } \\ & { }_{\text {Hanh }} \end{aligned}$ |  Howe Reakdentied, Condorninkum Reaidentes, Religlown Amembly, Group Home Class 1 and M, Famly Horne. A minhmum of $60 \%$ of NSA is meerved for lesee other than SF deteched meidential. |  |
| F-14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDR (a) } \\ & \mathrm{how} / \mathrm{mod} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  <br>  Famliy Reakdentied Group Home Class IA I, 8ingle Family Readiential (meodmurn iot abze 8400 s f ), Rellolonas Aetembly, Farmily Horne. A mininum of 20\% of NSA for MDR(a) it reeerved for usee other than deteched 8 F reekieritial. | N/A |
| 1012 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MDR (D) } \\ \text { Hoh } \end{gathered}$ | Outboor Eports and Recrention Eervices (General), MultuF winly Reefidential, Town Houee Readdental, Condominhum Reskental, Religious Acmembly, Group Horme Cleas 1 and II, Femlly Home. A rinimum of 60\% of NSA is reserved for usee other than \&F detechad meidential. A minimam of 50\% of RSA is reserved for uses other than SF detached raidential. |  |
| R-13 | GR | None | Nore |
| 2-14 | MDR (b) High | Outsoor Eports and Recreation Eervicees (General), Mulit-Farnity Reardentish, Town <br>  and II, Famy Home. A minlmum of 50\% of NSA for MDR(a) it leserved for uses other than datarteal SE reabianttal |  |
| P4-16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDR (D) } \\ & \text { Hbh } \end{aligned}$ | Outboor sports end Recruation Sorvicei (Genera), Mulb-Femily Residential, Town House Reakdential, Condominhum Realdential, Religlowis Aceambly, Group Home Ciase I end 11 , Farilly Home. A minlmum of B0\% of NBA for MDR(a) Io reserved for ueen ather than defmertedSE maldantial |  |
|  |  | NONFESIDENTAL |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RAB } 8,14 \\ \text { and is } \end{gathered}$ | MDR (b)/LR |  of not alis ares) ere permitied, exomet as indicited under Excluded Usee. |  |
| -2RA-4 | MDR (a)/LR |  not elve area) ene permitiod, except ea findicated under Excluded Unees. |  |

- 


en The Devolopment may allow for top to 20\% of Non-Residential uece on theee MDR parcole whin thin PUD
 4, $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{9}, 14$ and 15 in accordanee wht Extibh "E"

## EXHIBIT E

## SPECLAL PERMITTED USES FOR PUD AREAS B AND C

"Cottage Lot", "Corner Store", "Neighborhood Mixed Use Building", "Secondary Apartment" and "Urban Lot" are special ases permitted, at the option of the developer, on certain Parcels in the Pioneer Crossing PUD ander specific site development regulations.

The non-residential special ases, specifically the Corner Store and Neighborhood Mixed Use Builling special nses, are limited to Parcels RA-4, RA-5, RA-9, RA-10, RA-14, and RA-15. Up to ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of the net site area of each of Parcels RA-5, RA-9, RA-10, RA-14, and RA-15 may be developed with Corner Store and/or Neighborhood Mixed Use Building uses. Up to twenty percent ( $20 \%$ ) of the net site area of Parcel RA-4 may be developed with Corner Store and/or Neighborhood Mixed Use Ballding uscs.

The residential special uses, specifically the Cottage Lot, Secondary Apartment, and Urban Lot uses, shall be permitted on those Parcels specified in Exhibit D to the Ordinance.

The definitions and site development regulations applicable to the Optional Special Uses are as set out below.

1. "Cottage Lot" special ase is the use of a site of limited size for a single family residential dwellings on lots of at least 2500 equare fect in size. (25-2-1403 [B] [3]) ."

A Cottage Lot special ase development may not exceed two acres in ize. For a Cottage Lot apecial ase development of more than eight lots, 250 equare feet of community open. space is required for each lot. (25-2-1443)

For a Cottage Lot special use:
(1) the minimam lot width is 30 feet;
(2) the maximam height of a structure is 35 feet;
(3) the minimam front yard setback is 15 feet;
(4) the minimum street side yard setback is 10 feet;
(5) the minimam interior alde yard setback is 5 feet
(0) the minimum rear yard setback is 5 feet;
(7) the maximum building coverage is 55 percent; and
(8) the maximum impervions coverage is $65 \%$. (25-2-1444 [A])

The minimum lot area for a Cottage Lot special ase is:
(1) 2,500 square feet; or
(2) 3,500 square fect for a lot that is located in an SF-3 district; and
(a) is a corner lot; or
(b) adjoins a lot that is:
(i) zoned SF-3;
(ii) has a lot area of at least 5,750 equare fect; and
(3) is developed as a single-family residence. (25-2-1444 [B])

For a Cottage Lot special ase with a front driveway, a garage, if any, must be located at least 20 feet behind the building facade. (25-2-1444 [C])

For: Cottage Lot apecial use, other than a driveway, parking is not permitted in a front yard. (25-2-1444 [D].

For a Cottage Lot special use, the main entrance of the principal structure must face the front lot line. (25-2-1444 [E])

For a Cottage Lot special use a covered front porch is required. The minimum depth of the porch is five feet. The minimam width of the porch is 50 percent of the width of the front facade. (25-2-1444 [F])

For a Cottage Lot special use 200 square feet of private open space is required for each dwelling. (25-2-1444-[G].
2. "Corner Store" special use is the use of a site to provide good or zervices to local residents.

A Corner Store special use is limited to the following commercial uses: (1) consumer convenicnce scrvices; (2) consumer repair services; (3) food sales; (4) general retail sales (convenience); (5) personal scrvices; (6) restanrant (general); and (7) restanrant (limited). (25-2-1483 [A])

A Corner Store special use must be located at a street intersection and may not be located within 600 feet of another Corner Store. (25-2-1484)

A Corner Store is subject to the following site development regulations:
(A) For a Corner Store special use:
(1) the minimum lot area is 5,750 square feet;
(2) the minimum lot width is $\mathbf{5 0}$ feet;
(3) the maximum building height is 50 feet;
(4) minimum front yard setback is 5 feet;
(5) the maximum front yard setback is 15 feet;
(6) the minimum street yard side setback is 10 feet;
(7) the minimum interior side yard setback is 5 feet;
(8) the minimum rear yard setback is 10 feet;
(9) the maximum bailding coverage is the lesser of 55 percent or 3,000 sq. feet; and
(10) the maximum impervious coverage is $65 \%$.
(B) A Corner Store may not include a drive through facility.
(C) A Corner Store may not be open to the public between the hours of 11 pm and 6 am.
(D) Exterior lighting:
(1) must be hooded or shielded so that the light source is not directly visible across the source property line; and
(2) may not exceed 0.4 foot candles across the source property line.
(E) A building facade:
(1) may not extend horizontally in an unbroken line for more than 30 feet;
(2) must be include windows, balconies, porches, stoops, or similar architectaral features;
(3) must have awnings along at least 50 percent of the length of the groand floor facade; and
(4) at least 50 percent of the wall area of the ground floor facade mast consist of doors or clear or lightly tinted windows.
(F) A street yard of 1,000 square feet or less is not required to be landscaped, and a parking area with 12 or fewer parking apaces is not required to have landscaped islands, peninsulas, or medians. (25-2-1485)
3. "Neighbortood Mized Use Building" is the use of a building for both commercial and residential uses". (25-2-1403 [B] [4])
(A) Neighborhood Mixed Use Building use is permitted in MDR (a) zoning base districts;
(B) A Neighborhood Mixed Use building use may contain dwelling units:
(1) above the ground floor; and
(2) in not more than 50 percent of the gross floor area of the ground floor. (25-2-1503)
(C) The Neighborhood Mixed Use Building ase is subject to the following regulations:
(1) the maximum site area ts one acre;
(2) the minimum lot size is $\mathbf{5 . 7 5 0}$ square feet;
(3) the minimum lot width is 50 feet;
(4) the minimum street side yard setback is 10 feet;
(5) the minimum front yard setback is 5 feet; and
(6) the madmum front yard setback is 10 feet. (25-2-1504 (A]).
(D) For a Neighborhood Mired Use Building use adjacent to a roadway with not more than two lanes, the building height may not exceed 40 feet. (25-2-1504 [B]).
(E) The bullding facade of a Neighborhood Mired Use Bullding use :
(1) may not extend horizontally in an unbroken line for more than 30 feet;
(2) must Include windows, balconies, porches, stoops, or other similar architectural features;
(3) must have awnings along at least 50 percent of the length of the groand floor facade; and
(4) at least 50 percent of the wall area of the ground fioor facade mast consist of doors or clear or lightly tinted windows. (25-2-1504 [C])
(F) The Neighborhood Mized Use Building ase is subject to the following parking requirements:
(1) For the commercial portion of the Neighborhood Mired Use Bullding use, one vehlcle parldng apace for each $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ square fect of gross floor area is required;
(2) For the residential portion of a Neighborhood Mixed Use Building ase, parling requirements of Chapter 25-6, Appendix A, Schedule A of the Austin City Code applies;
(3) Parding in front of a Nelghborhood Mixed Use Bullding use, other than on a street, ts prohibited; and
(4) At least 50 percent of the parling must be located to the rear of the bullding. (25-2-1504 [D])
(F) Exterior lighting for a Neighborhood Mized Use Building ase must be shielded so that the light source is not directly visible across the source property line and may not exceed 0.4 candies across the zource property line. (25-2-1504 [E])
(G) A atreet yard of 1,000 square feet or less is not required to be landscaped, and a parking area with 12 or fewer parking apaces is not required to have landscaped islands, peninsulas, or medians. (25-2-1504 [F])
4. "Secondary Apartment " is the ase of a developed single family residential lot for a second dwelling. (25-2-1403 [B] [6])
(A) Secondary Apartment use is permitted in MDR (a) zoning base districts.
(B) A Secondary Apartment is not permitted in combination with a Cottage Lot or Urban Lot use; (25-2-1463 [A])
(C) A Secondary Apartment must be located in a structure other than the principal structure. The apartment may be connected to the princtpal atructure by a covered walkway; (25-2-1463 [B])
(D) A Secondary Apartment must be located at least 15 to the rear of the princlpal structure or above a garage; (25-2-1463 [C])
(E) A Secondary Apartment may not exceed 850 square feet of gross floor area; (25-2-1463 [D])
(F) The entrance to a Secondary Apartment must be on the alde of the atructure that is the greatest distance from the corresponding side lot line. (25-2-1463 [E])
(G) One parking space ts required in addition to the parking otherwise required for the principal ase. (25-2-1463 [F])
5. "JJrban Lot" use is the use of a site for a single family residential dwelling on a lot of at least 3,500 square feet in alze. (25-2-1403 [B][7]).
(A) Urban Lot is permitted in MDR (a) zoning base districts.
(B) For an Urban Lot use development of more than eight lots, 250 square feet of commanity open is required; (25-2-1423)
(C) The minimum lot size is 3,500 square feet;
(D) The minimam lot width is 40 feet;
(E) The maximum height of a structure is 35 feet;
(F) The minimum street side yard setback is 10 feet;
(G) The minimam Interior side yard setback is 5 feet;
(H) The minimum rear yard setback is 5 feet;
(I) The maximam bullding coverage is $55 \%$; and
(J) The maximam impervious coverage is $65 \%$. (25-2-1424[A])
(K) Except as otherwise provided herein, the minimam front yard setback is 20 feet:
(1) If Urban Lot ases are proposed for the entire length of a block face, the minimum front yard retback is 15 feet;
(2) For an Urban Lot use that adjoins a legaly developed lot with a front yard setback of bess than 25 feet, the minimum front yard setback is equal to the average of the front yard setbacks applicable to adjoining lots. (25-2-1424 [B]).
(L) For an Urban Lot ase with a front driveway:
(1) The garage, ti any, must be at least five feet behind the front facade of the principal atructure; and
(2) For a garage within 200 feet of the front facade, the width of the garage may not exceed 50 percent of the width of the front facade; (25-2-1424

## [C].

(M)Other than in a driveway, paring is not permitted in a front yard;
(N) The main entrance of an Urban Lot use must face the front lot line; (25-2-1424 [E])
(0) A covered front porch is required for an Urban Lot use. The minlmum depth of the porch is five feet. The minimum width of the porch is $\mathbf{5 0}$ percent of the width of the building facade; (25-2-1424 [F])
(P) Two handred square feet of private open space is required for each dwelling. (25-2-1424 [G])


## ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C814-96-0003
Z.A.P. DATE: February 25, 2003

March 4, 2003
March 11, 2003
March 25, 2003
ADDRESS: The property located generally in the vicinity of Parmer Lane south of Gregg Lane, east of Dessau Road, West and north of Cameron Road (Walnut Creek Watershed).

OWNER/APPLICANT: American Realty Trust, Inc. Art Collections, Inc., Anderson Development Corporation

AGENT: Prime Stratigies (Ralph Reed)
ZONING FROM: PUD, I-RR
TO: PUD
AREA: Approximately, 1548 acres (acres amended approximately 236 of the original 1,410 acres; new; land to be added is approximately 138 acres)

## SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff's recommends the proposed amendment to the Poineer Crossing PUD, Planned Unit Development district zoning, subject to the dedication of 114 feet of right-of-way for Gregg Howard Lane, dedication of parkland and dedication of land for a the Austin Fire Department/Emergency Medical Services Department and other conditions summarized below:

## Land Use

The applicant agrees to the following:

- To provide a mix of housing opportunities, including large lot single family, standard lot single family, small lot single family, multi-family and townhouse development.
- Provisions to allow compatible mixed use buildings in certain parcels and compatible neighborhood and community support services.
- To require all residential within the entire PUD area to meet Austin Energy Green Building Program standards at a minimum rating of "One Star." (Approximately, 787 acres of this PUD allows residential uses.)
- To require all commercial development within the entire PUD to meet Austin Energy Green Building Program standards at a minimum "certified level." (Approximately,

365 acres of this PUD allows commercial uses, excluding golf course areas, parkland and street right-of-way).

- To provide the option of a $5 \%$ reduction in required off-street parking for commercial development, if shower facilities are provided for employees on the site.
- To require bicycle parking for multi-family development, $50 \%$ of which is covered.
- To require garages of the single family residential development to be located at least ten (10) feet behind the front facade of a home, if the minimum front yard setback is reduced from 25 feet (standard front yard setback) to 15 feet. This 10 foot setback requirement may be reduced to seven (7) feet, if the front of the garage does not face the front yard.
- To dedicate two (2) acres of land for the Austin Fire Department prior to $3^{\text {rd }}$ reading of the PUD ordinance, subject to the current and normal dedication requirements as recommended by the City of Austin. (dedication has been completed)
- To dedicate land for a greenbelt and parkland uses, subject to current and normal dedication requirements as recommended by the City of Austin. In addition, the revised area and new area includes park and trails.
- To meet current Code if not otherwise indicated on the Land Use Plan, PUD ordinance or any other Exhibit of the PUD.
- Under the proposed amendment residential density will decrease in the original PUD area; however, with the addition of approximately 138 acres of land the overall residential units will increase by a total of approximately 800 units. The overall commercial square footage will decrease by approximately 115,649 units; and with the addition of the golf course use the overall impervious cover will decrease.


## Environmental/Water Ouality

"The Environmental Board recommendation is attached as Exhibit "F" of this report.
Environmental Staff recommends the request based on the following benefits of the proposal over and above the standard zoning and subdivision recommendations.

- There will be an Intergrated Pest Management Plan (IPM) for the land areas of the original PUD as well as the amended and new acreage;
- A turf grass management plan is required for the proposed golf course (to be submitted at the site plan stage).
- The applicant has agreed to a minimum "one star" and "certified" rating per Austin Energy's Green Building Program standards for residential and commercial development respectively; and
- The applicant has agreed to a minimum Critical Environmental Feature (CEF) buffer (or conservation easement) of fifty ( $50^{\prime}$ ) from the stream centerline be applied to all waterways draining from 64 to 320 acres.

An IPM for all new development will provide valuable water quality benefits in the form of source pollutant reduction at minimal cost. A residential IPM plan can be prepared now, while the details of commercial IPM's can be worked out at the site plan stage. The Watershed Protection and Development Review (WPDR) Department is compiling data concerning the effect of golf course runoff on water quality. Based on this data, we are requiring a turf management plan for the golf course, which will produce water quality and environmental benefits superior to that required by current code. In keeping with the recommendations of the Environmental Review Management staff, we have requested a minimum 50' setback from streams draining from 64 to 320 acres in order to provide continuous rather than segmented buffers to encourage riparian corridors.

The applicant is not requesting any variances from code for the amended and new area of the PUD and is not changing any of the previous language over what is described above for the original acreage of the PUD.

## Transportation

The proposed 160 -acre golf course will generate approximately 806 vehicle trips per day. Total overall trips generated by the PUD are 109,424.

For information: an amendment to the original TIA has been submitted to address the realignment of SH 130 out of the area of this development and the additional acreage north of Parmer Lane. An administrative amendment to the Phasing Agreement for this development will be required to reflect the findings of the amended TIA. Overall adjusted trip generation for the PUD has decreased from 140,343 in the original TIA to 109,424 in the amended TIA.

In accordance with the Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP), dedication of 114 feet of right-of-way for Gregg/Howard Lane must be completed at the earlier of the following:

1) Notification from the City of Austin or Travis County that final alignment, design and right-of-way maps for Gregg/Howard Lane are complete and a legal description of the right-of-way is available.
2) At the time of final plat for the adjoining property
*Provide this right-of-way requirement information as a note on the PUD Land Use Plan.

## List of Amendments:

Exhibit B \& B-1 Land Plan
Exhibit C-1 \& C-2 - Site Development Criteria
Exhibit D-1 - Permitted/Prohibited Use Table
Exhibit E - Special Permitted Special Uses - Areas B \& C
Exhibit F - Environmental Board Minutes
Exhibit G -Hike \& Bike Plan - Areas B \& C
Exhibit H - Manor ISD letter

## ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION (ZAP) RECOMMENDATION:

2-25-03: Postponed to March 4, 2003 (ZAP)
3-4-03: $\quad$ Postponed to March 11, 2003 (Applicant)
3-11-03: Postponed to March 25, 2003 (Applicant)
3-25-03: Approved staff's recommendation of PUD. Vote: 8-0, JM - absent
EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING | LAND USES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Site | PUD, I-RR | Undeveloped |
| North | Varies | Mostly undeveloped and residential |
| South | PUD, LI-PDA, <br> County | Undeveloped, Light Manufacturing |
| East | County | Mostly undeveloped and residential |
| West | Varies | Mostly undeveloped and residential |

AREA STUDY; No.
TIA: Yes.
WATERSHED: Walnut Creek
DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes.
CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No.
HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: No.

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS / INTERESTED PARTIES:

North Growth Corridor Alliance
Austin Neighborhoods Council
North East Action Group
Taking Action Inc.
Bennic and Gail Hammett
Ron Goodengough
Jack Gullahorn
Ron Kinney

## SCHOOLS:

A support letter from Manor Independent School District is enclosed as Exhibit H.

## CASE HISTORIES:

Two notable case histories are the LI-PDA (C14-96-0007) site, approximately 300 acres, located in the approximate center of this PUD. The site is used for light manufacturing and is now an out parcel of the PUD and C7A-02-0007 (annexation case).

## RELATED CASES:

C8-98-115.10A Pioneer Crossing West Sec. 1 Recorded 07-16-02; plat was purely an extension of Braker Lane.

C8-98-0115.9A Pioneer Crossing East Sec. 1. Recorded; plat was purely an extension of Samsung Blvd.

C8-85-098.04.1A Pioneer Crossing Phase A, Sec. 3 Recorded 06-04-02; 11-single-family lots, two commercial lots.

C8-78-115.7A Pioneer Crossing West Sec. 2, Recorded 11-19-02; 101 single-family lots and associated R.O.W.

SP-02-0209D - Released utility line plan

ABUTTING STREETS:

| Name | Right- <br> of- <br> way | Pavement | Classificatio <br> n | Daily <br> Traffic | Sidewalks | Bus <br> Service | Bicycle <br> Route |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dessau Road | $114^{\prime}$ | 2@ '36 | Major <br> Arterial | 24,640 <br> ('01) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Parmer Lane | $200^{\prime}$ | Varies | Major <br> Arterial | 21,300 <br> ('01) | No | No | Yes |
| Braker Lane | $90^{\prime}$ | Varies | Major <br> Arterial | 8,700 <br> ('01) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gregg/Howard <br> Lane | $54^{\prime}$ | $26^{\prime}$ | Local | N/A | No | No | No |

CITY COUNCIL DATE: May 12,2005

ACTION:

## ORDINANCE READINGS:

$1^{\text {st }}$ 4-24-05

$2^{\text {ACTION: Approved first reading of the ordinance for PUD }}$ \& $3^{\text {rd }} \quad$| was approved on Council Member Thomas's motion, Council |
| :--- |
| Member Wynn's second on a 5-0 vote. Council Member |
| Alvarez was off the dais. Mayor Garcia was absent. |

ACTION:

## ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER: Gregory Guemsey
EMAIL: greg.guemsey@ci.austin.tx.us
PHONE: (512) 974-2387


## Land Use

The applicant agrees to the following:

- To provide a mix of housing opportunities including large lot single-family, standard lot single-family, small lot single-family, multi-family and town home development.
- Provisions to allow compatible mixed use buildings in certain parcels and compatible neighborhood and community support services.
- To require all residential within the entire PUD area to meet Austin Energy Green Building Program standards at a minimum rating of "One Star". (Approximately 787 acres of this PUD permits residential uses).
- To require all commercial development within the entire PUD area to meet Austin Energy Green Building Program standards at minimum "certified level". (Approximately 365 acres of this PUD permits commercial uses, excluding golf course areas, parkland, and right of way).
- To provide the option of a $5 \%$ reduction in required off site parking for commercial development, if shower facilities are provided for employees on the site.
- To require bicycle parking for multifamily development, $50 \%$ of which is covered.
- To require garages of single-family residential development to be located at least 10 feet behind the front facade of a home if the minimum front yard set back is reduced from 25 feet (standard front yard setback) to 15 feet. This 10 -foot garage set back requirement may be reduced to 7 feet if the front of the garage does not face the front yard.
- To dedicate approximately two acres of land for the Austin Fire Department prior to or at the time of $3^{\text {rd }}$ reading of the PUD ordinance, subject to the current and normal dedication requirement as recommended by City of Austin. (dedication has been completed)
- To dedicate land for greenbelt and parkland uses, subject to the current and normal dedication requirements as recommended by City of Austin. In addition, the revised area and new area includes a neighborhood park and trails.
- To meet current code if not otherwise indicated on the Land Use Plan, PUD Ordinance, or any other Exhibit of the PUD.
- Under the proposed amendment residential density will decrease in the original PUD area, however with the addition of 137.8 acres the overall residential density will
increase by a total of 1035 units. The overall commercial square footage will decrease by approximately 115,649 units; and with the addition of the golf course use the overall impervious cover will decrease.


## Environmental/Water Ouality

* The Environmental Board recommendation is attached as Exhibit D of this report.

Environmental Staff recommends the request based on the following benefits of the proposal over and above standard zoning and subdivision requirements:

- There will be an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM) for the land areas of the original PUD as well as the amended and new acreage;
- A turf grass management plan is required for the proposed golf course;
- The applicant has agreed to a minimum one star and certified rating per Austin Energy's Green Building Program standards for residential and commercial development respectively; and
- The applicant has agreed to a minimum Critical Environmental Feature (CEF) buffer (or conservation easement) of $50^{\prime}$ from the stream centerline be applied to all waterways draining from 64 to 320 acres.

An IPM for all new development will provide valuable water quality benefits in the form of source pollutant reduction at minimal cost: A residential IPM plan can be prepared now, while the details of commercial IPM's can be worked out at the site plan stage. The Watershed Protection and Development Review (WPDR) Department is compiling data concerning the effect of golf course runoff on water quality. Based on this data, we are requiring a turf management plan for the golf course, which will produce water quality and environmental benefits superior to that required by current code. In keeping with the recommendations of the Environmental Review Management staff, we have requested a minimum 50' setback from streams draining from 64 to 320 acres in order to provide continuous rather than segmented buffers to encourage riparian corridors.

The applicant is not requesting any variances from code for the amended and new area of the PUD and is not changing any of the previous language over what is described above for the original acreage of the PUD.

## Transportation

The proposed 160 -acre golf course will generate approximately 806 vehicle trips per day. Total overall trips generated by the PUD are 109,424.

For information: an amendment to the original TIA has been submitted to address the realignment of SH 130 out of the area of this development and the additional acreage north of Parmer Lanc. An administrative amendment to the Phasing Agreement for this development
will be required to reflect the findings of the amended TIA. Overall adjusted trip generation for the PUD has decreased from 140,343 in the original TIA to 109,424 in the amended TIA.

In accordance with the Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP), dedication of 114 feet of right-of-way for Gregg/Howard Lane must be completed at the earlier of the following:
3) Notification from the City of Austin or Travis County that final alignment, design and right-of-way maps for Gregg/Howard Lane are complete and a legal description of the right-of-way is available;
4) At the time of final plat for the adjoining property. Provide this right-of-way requirement information as a note on the PUD Land Use Plan.

## List of Amendments:

Exhibit B \& B-1 Land Plan
Exhibit C-1 \& C-2 - Site Development Criteria
Exhibit D-1 - Permitted/Prohibited Use Table
Exhibit E-Special Permitted Special Uses - Areas B \& C
Exhibit F - Environmental Board Minutes
Exhibit G-Hike \& Bike Plan - Areas B \& C
Exhibit H - Manor ISD letter

## BACKGROUND

The Pioneer Crossing PUD was originally unanimously approved by the City Council in 1997 and at the time was the largest PUD of its kind. Preservation of the natural environment, high quality development, innovative design and adequate public facilities and services were all addressed in the initial proposal and will remain unchanged. The purpose of this submittal is to simply amend the approved plan to allow for an additional public facility (an 18-hole golf course), which will reduce impervious cover and density in the area while adhering to pervious regulations set forth in the PUD.

The proposed amendment adds flexibility to the residential uses around the golf course to encourage a greater residential mix of housing and to also allow the option of small-scale neighborhood support commercial.

## BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought. The purpose statement of the PUD zoning district is below:

Planned unit development (PUD) district is the designation for a large or complex single or multi-use.

Development that is planned as a single contiguous project and that is under unified control. The purpose of a PUD district designation is to preserve the natural environment, encourage high quality development and innovative design, and ensure adequate public facilities and services for development with a PUD. A PUD district designation provides greater design flexibility by permitting modifications of site development regulations. Development under the site development regulations applicable to a PUD must be superior to the development that would occur under the conventional zoning and subdivision regulations. A PUD district must include at least 10 acres of land, unless the property is characterized by special circumstances, including unique topographical constraints.

The ways in which the proposed PUD amendment meets the above statement are summarized below:

The site proposes a mix of large-scale residential, commercial, and industrial uses planned as a single contiguous project under unified control. Upon approval it will be subject to the regulations and restrictions set forth in the related exhibits (Land Use Plan, Permitted/Prohibited Use Chart, Site Development Regulations, Park Network Plan and PUD Agreement/Ordinance, and any other Exhibits deemed appropriate). Because the site was planned in a comprehensive manner with careful attention to land use compatibility, land use variety, environmental and water quality elements, density, and transportation elements, it is staff's opinion that the resulting development would be superior to what could be accomplished via current development regulations.

In addition, due to the location of this site on the fringe of the urban core City of Austin Smart Growth principals are key to providing responsible growth within the Austin area. This proposal meets the following Smart Growth principals numbered below:

1. Provide a variety of housing for a variety of generation and income level.

There are two residential districts applied to the PUD: Mixed Density Residential (MDR), Low/Moderate, and High. Mixtures of residential uses are permitted within each MDR parcel ranging from standard lot ( 5,750 square foot maximum) to multifamily, town home and retirement housing development. To assure a mix of housing choices at least $20 \%$ and $50 \%$ of the net site area of each MDR (Low/Mod) and MDR (High) parcel respectively must be developed with a residential use other than single family detached.
2. Develop new communities that give residents the option of living, working, shopping and playing in walkable neighborhoods.

The option of neighborhood friendly and compatible commencial and retail uses are provided for in close proximity to the designated residential parcels. Most parcels will be connected by a hike and bike trail that parallel the main arterial roadway through the amendment area of the PUD. In addition, the PUD regulations allow for small percentages of neighbortood support services within residential parcels, if desired, to promote options for services and employment close to home.

## 3. Encourage both sustainable and quality building practices.

A summary of the benefits to the agreed upon Austin Energy Green Building Program standards for both residential and commercial development is provided below:

Development and construction practices are significant contributors to the depletion of natural resources and a major cause of air and water pollution, solid waste, deforestation, toxic wastes, health hazards, global warming, and other negative consequences. Building construction, operations and demolition directly or indirectly consume over 40 percent of all U.S. energy and 66 percent of all U.S. electricity. Building use 25-30 percent of all the world's wood and raw materials, 25 percent of water, and account for $35-40$ percent of municipal solid waste ( $28 \%$ of this coming from construction and demolition debris). In addition, buildings are a major source of the pollution that causes urban air quality problems, and the pollutants that many scientists believe cause climate change.

The built environment has a profound impact on our natural environment, economy, health and productivity. Green building practices provide the framework and tools to build in an efficient, healthy, and ecologically responsible manner. Encouraging green building practices is in the public's interest because these techniques maximize environmental, economic and social benefits. Specific benefits include:

## Environmental Benefits

- Minimization of local ecological degradation (habitat, air, soil, and water) by enhancing and protecting natural habitats through efficient site and building design, sustainable construction practices, and low impact building materials and operational practices.
- Improved air and water quality.
- Reduction of solid waste.
- Conservation of energy, water and other natural resources.


## Economic Benefits

- Monthly savings to building owners and tenants through reduced operation costs and increased operation and maintenance efficiencies.
- Enhanced asset value and profits.
- Improved employee productivity and satisfaction.
- Keeping money in the local economy and creation of new local industries and jobs.
- Reduction of public infrastructure costs related to development


## Social Benefits

- Improved air, thermal, and acoustic environments.
- Enhanced occupant comfort, well-being and health.
- Strengthened existing goals related to increased density, mixed use and transit-oriented development, storm water and erosion control, brownfield development, and increased bicycle and pedestrian access.
- Contribution to community health, vitality and aesthetics

4. Promote and foster distinctive, attractive places with a strong sense of place.

An urban design feature requiring residential garages to be located at least 10 feet behind the front façade of a structure has been incorporated into this PUD agreement. If the garage does not face the front of the lot then the setback may be reduced to 7 feet. This feature takes focus away from the automobile for stronger focus on the home and more attractive architectural features usually associated with the residential structure such as roof pitches, porches, windows, etc.
5. Implement transportation improvements that reduce congestion while encouraging alternatives to the automobile.

A main arterial roadway is planned for the amended and new area of the PUD. In addition, there is a parallel hike and bike trail network that will provide options for bicycling and walking for transportation. Bicycle parking is required for all multifamily development, 50\% of which must be covered, in order to encourage this mode of transportation.

## 6. Incorporate civic uses within the development.

Conveyance of ownership of parkland and land for City of Austin Fire/EMS services will be dedicated to the City of Austin upon approval of this PUD zoning.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Site Characteristics

The site is mostly undeveloped with gently rolling terrain.

## Environmental

Subject to an Integrated Pest Management (IPM)/Turf Management Plan. IPM Plan recommended by the Environmental Board on January 16, 2003. Exhibit F.

## Transportation

The proposed 160 -acre golf course will generate approximately 806 vehicle trips per day. Total overall trips generated by the PUD is 109,424.

For information: an amendment to the original TIA has been submitted to address the realignment of SH 130 out of the are of this development and the additional acreage north of Parmer Lane. An administrative amendment to the Phasing Agreement for this development will be required to reflect the findings of the amended TIA. Overall adjusted trip generation for the PUD has decreased from 140,343 in the original TIA to 109,424 in the amended TIA.

In accordance with the Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP), dedication of 114 feet of right-of-way for Gregg/Howard Lane must be completed at the earlier of the ; following:
5) Notification from the City of Austin or Travis County that final alignment, design and right-of-way maps for Gregg/Howard Lane are complete and legal description of the right-of-way is available.
6) At the time of final plat for the adjoining property

* Provide this right-of-way requirement information as a note on the PUD Land Use Plan.

| Name | Right-ofway | Pavement | Classificatio n | Daily Traffic | Sidewalks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bus } \\ & \text { Service } \end{aligned}$ | Bicycle Route |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dessau Road | 114' | 2@ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 36 | Major Arterial | $\begin{gathered} 24,640 \\ (' 01) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Parmer Lane | 200' | Varies | Major Arterial | $\begin{gathered} 21,300 \\ (01) \end{gathered}$ | No | No | Yes |
| Braker Lane | 90' | Varies | Major Arterial | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 7 0 0} \\ & \text { ('01) } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gregg/Howard Lane | 54' | 26' | Local | N/A | No | No | No |

## Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the tract with City of Austin water and wastewater utility service. If water or wastewater utility improvements are required, the landowner will be responsible for all cost and for providing the utility improvements.

## Stormwater Detention

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in stormwater runoff will be mitigated through on-site stormwater detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program if available.

## Compatibility Standards

Current code requirements regarding compatibility will apply to development within the PUD. A variance from the requirements of the Compatibility Standards for development in a PUD may only be granted by the land use plan or by amendment of the land use plan. [Sec. 25-2-412].





## Ploneer Crossing

Exhibit C-1

## Site Development Criterla

Land Use Summary
for PUD Areas B and C
10/11/04

| Parcel | Lend Use | Total Gross Acres | Floodplain (Acres) | Madmum Unltelacre of FAR fortia | - of Unitissquare Footage for THA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAA-3 | Mixed Developmert Residential (a) | 6.36 | 0.17 | 12 | 76.3 |
| RA-4 see notes | Mbeed Development Residental (a) | 0.30 | 0 | 12 | 112.7 |
| RAFs see notes | Midad Dovelopment Rosiderifal (b) | 33.02 | 8.00 | 18 | 694.4 |
| RA-9 | Mbead Development Residerilal (b) | 20.28 | 1.62 | 18 | 226.7 |
| R $\mathrm{P}-10$ | Miosed Devolopment Rasidential (b) | 22.26 | 6.08 | 18 | 400.7 |
| RA-11 | Mbesd Development Resldental (a) | 6.5 | 3.10 | 12 | 70.8 |
| R4-12 | Mideed Development Reslderdal (b) | 15.48 | 0.00 | 10 | 278.8 |
| RA-14 800 notes | Mibed Development Restdential (b) | 20.43 | 405 | 18 | 629.7 |
| PA-15 eee notes | Mixed Development Residertiol (b) | 44.09 | 1.69 | 18 | 703.6 |
| Sutitotal |  | 195.85 | 25.31 |  | 3,3927 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RA-1 | CR Goff Puble | 149.9 | n/a | 0.05 | 326,482,20 89. 1. |
| BA-2 | GR/Comm/Retall | 6.5 | 1.09 | 0.38 | 93,436.20 89. R |
| RA-6 | GR/CS-1 Comm/Retal | 7.31 | 0 | 0.3 | 95,627.08 \%9. fl |
| RA-7 | P Public Perk | 7.6 | 2.78 | 12 | Na |
| RA-8 | CS -CommRetail | 3.96 | 0 | 0.3 | 41,204.88 29.18 |
| RA-13 | GR Comm/Retal | 3.65 | 0 | 0.3 | 48,391.40 eq. R. |
| RA-16 | GR CommRetall | 1.04 | 0 | 0.3 | 13,690.72 99.f1 |
| Subtotal |  | 178.11 | 3.85 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 374.06 | 29.16 |  |  |

NOTES
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## Rovised Permitted Uses Table

For PUD Areas B \& C





家线
$\hat{i}$

| Pared Ho. | Eave Dratrict | Additionel Patritted Uece | Eroluded Ures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . | RESIDENTMAL |  |
| Mal | CR | None | None |
| Ther | GR | Fontal Facmity, Prenk Nursery | Now |
| 176 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDK/a } \\ & \text { twon/mod } \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  <br>  dere 8400 sif ), Raliglows Aceanbly, Farnly Atome. A minhrum of 20\% of NSA tor <br>  | W/ |



## EXHIBIT E

## SPECLAL PERMITTED USES FOR PUD AREAS B AND C

"Cottage Lot", "Corner Store", "Neighborhood Mixed Use Bnilding", "Secondary Apartment" and "Urban Lot" are apecial uses permitted, at the option of the developer, on certain Parcels in the Pioneer Crossing PUD ander specific aite development regulations.

The non-residential special uses, specifically the Corner Store and Neighborhood Mired Use Building apecial uses, are limited to Parcels RA-4, RA-5, RA-9, RA-10, RA-14, and RA-15. Up to ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of the net site area of each of Parcels RA-5, RA-9, RA-10, RA-14, and RA-15 may be developed with Corner Store and/or Neighborhood Mired Uae Bullding nses. Up to twenty percent ( $20 \%$ ) of the net site area of Parcel RA 4 may be developed with Corner Store and/or Neighborhood Mixed Use Bullding uses.

The residential special nses, specifically the Cottage Lot, Secondary Apartment, and Urban Lot uses, shall be permitted on those Parcels specified in Exhibit D to the Ordinance.

The definitions and site development regulations applicable to the Optional Special Uses are as set out below.

1. "Cottage Lot" special use is the use of a site of limited size for a single family residential dwellings on lots of at least 2500 equare feet in size. (25-2-1403 [B] [3]) ".

A Cottage Lot special use development may not exceed two acres in size For a Cottage Lot special use development of more than eight lots, 250 equare feet of community open . space is required for each lot. (25-2-1443)

For a Cottage Lot apecial ase:
(1) the minimnm lot width is 30 feet;
(2) the maximum height of a structure is 35 feet;
(3) the ininimam front yard setback is 15 feet;
(4) the minimum itreet aide yard setback is 10 feet;
(5) the minimum fiterior side yard setback is 5 feet
(6) the minimum rear yard setback is 5 feet;
(7) the maximum building coverage is 55 percent; and
(8) the marimum tmpervious coverage is 65\%. (25-2-1444 [A])

The minlmum lot area for a Cottage Lot apecial use is:
(1) 2,500 square feet; or
(2) $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 0}$ square feet for a lot that is located in an SF-3 district; and
(a) is a corner lot; or
(b) adjoins a lot that is:
(i) zoned SF-3;
(ii) has a lot area of at least $\mathbf{5 , 7 5 0}$ square feet; and
(3) is developed as a single-family residence. (25-2-1444 [B])

For a Cottage Lot special use with a front driveway, a garage, if any, must be located at least 20 feet behind the building facade. (25-2-1444 [C])

For: Cottage Lot apecial use, other than a driveway, paridng is not permitted in a front yard. (25-2-1444 [D].

For a Cottage Lot apeclal use, the main entrance of the principal structure must face the front lot line. (25-2-1444 [E])

For a Cottage Lot apecial use a covered front porch is required. The minimam depth of the porch is five feet. The minimum width of the porch is $\mathbf{5 0}$ percent of the width of the front facade. (25-2-1444 [F])

For a Cottage Lot special use 200 square feet of private open apace is required for each dwelling. (25-2-1444-[G].
2. "Corner Store" apeclal use is the ase of a site to provide good or services to local residents.

A Corner Store spectal use is limited to the following commercial uses: (1) consumer convenlence services; (2) consumer repair services; (3) food sales; (4) general retall sales (convenience); (5) personal aervices; () restaurant (general); and (7) restaurant (limited). (25-2-1483 [A])

A Corner Store apecial use must be located at a street intersection and may not be located within 600 feet of another Corner Store. (25-2-1484)

A Corner Store is aubject to the following site development regulations:
(A) For a Corner Store special use:
(1) the minimum lot area is 5,750 square feet;
(2) the minimam lot width is 50 feet;
(3) the maximum building height is 50 feet;
(4) minimum front yard setback is 5 feet;
(5) the maximum front yard setback is 15 feet;
(6) the minimam street yard side setback is 10 feet;
(7) the minimum interior side yard setback is 5 feet;
(8) the minimum rear yard setback is 10 feet;
(9) the maximum ballding coverage is the lesser of 55 percent or $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$ eq. feet; and
(10) the maximam impervious coverage is $65 \%$.
(B) A Corner Store may not belude a drive through facility.
(C) A Corner Store may not be open to the public between the hours of 11 pm and 6 am.
(D) Exterior lighting:
(1) must be hooded or shielded so that the light source is not directly visible across the source property line; and
(2) may not exceed 0.4 foot candles across the source property line.
(E) A building facade:
(1) may not extend horizontally in an unbroken line for more than 30 feet;
(2) must be include windows, balconies, porches, stoops, or similar architectaral features;
(3) must have awnings along at least 50 percent of the length of the ground floor facade; and
(4) at least 50 percent of the wall area of the groand floor facade must consist of doors or clear or lightly tinted windows.
(F) A street yard of 1,000 aquare feet or less is not required to be landscaped, and a parking area with 12 or fewer pariding apaces is not required to have landscaped tslands, peninsulas, or medians. (25-2-1485)
3. "Neighborhood Mhzed Use Bullding" is the ase of a building for both commercial and residential uses". (25-2-1403 [B] [4])
(A) Neighborhood Mized Use Ballding use is permitted in MDR (a) zoning base districts;
(B) A Neighborhood Mixed Use bullding nse may contain dwelling units:
(1) above the ground floor; and
(2) in not more than 50 percent of the gross floor area of the ground floor. (25-2-1503)
(C) The Neighborhood Mired Use Ballding use is subject to the following regulations:
(1) the maximum site area is one acre;
(2) the minimum lot size is 5.750 square feet;
(3) the minimum lot width is 50 feet;
(4) the minimum atreet side yard setback is 10 feet;
(5) the minimum front yard setback is 5 feet; and
(9) the maximum front yard setback is 10 feet. (25-2-1504 [A]).
(D) For a Neighborhood Mired Use Building use adjacent to a roadway with not more than two lanes, the bailding height may not exceed 40 feet. (25-2-1504 [B]).
(E) The bullding facade of a Neighborhood Mired Use Builling ase :
(1) may not extend horizontally in an unbroken line for more than 30 feet;
(2) must include windows, balconies, porches, atoops, or other similar architectural features;
(3) must have awnings along at least 50 percent of the length of the ground floor facade; and
(4) at least 50 percent of the wall area of the ground floor facade must consist of doors or clear or lightly tinted windows. (25-2-1504 [C])
(F) The Neighborhood Mixed Use Building use is subject to the following paridng requirements:
(1) For the commercial portion of the Nelghborhood Mized Use Bullding use, one vehicle parldng apace for each $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ equare feet of gross floor area is required;
(2) For the residential portion of a Neighborhood Mixed Use Bullding use, parding requirements of Chapter 25-6, Appendix A, Schedule A of the Austin Clty Code applies;
(3) Parking in front of a Neighborhood Mixed Use Bullding use, other than on a street, is prohibited; and
(4) At least 50 percent of the parking must be located to the rear of the building. (25-2-1504 [D])
(F) Exterior lighting for a Neighborhood Mized Use Building ase mast be shielded $s o$ that the light source is not directly visible across the source property line and may not exceed 0.4 candies across the source property line. (25-2-1504 [E])
(G) A street yard of 1,000 square feet or less is not required to be landscaped, and a parding area with 12 or fewer paridng apaces is not required to have landscaped tslands, peninsulas, or medians. (25-2-1504 [F])
4. "Secondary Apartment " is the ase of a developed alngle family residential lot for a second dwelling. (25-2-1403 [B] [G])
(A) Secondary Apartment use is permitted in MDR (a) zoning base districts.
(B) A Secondary Apartment is not permitted in combination with a Cottage Lot or Urban Lot use; (25-2-1463 [A])
(C) A Secondary Apartment must be located in a structure other than the principal atructure. The apartment may be connected to the principal atructure by a covered walkway; (25-2-1463 [B])
(D) A Secondary Apartment must be located at least 15 to the rear of the principal atructure or above a garage; (25-2-1463 [C])
(E) A Secondary Apartment may not exceed 850 square feet of gross floor area; (25-2-1463 [D])
(F) The entrance to a Secondary Apartment mast be on the alde of the atructure that ts the greatest distance from the corresponding side lot line. (25-2-1463 [E])
(G) One pariding space is required in addition to the parising otherwise required for the princtpal use. (25-2-1463 [F])
5. "Orban Lot" ase is the use of a afte for a single family residential dwelling on a lot of at least 3,500 square feet in aize. (25-2-1403 [B][7]).
(A) Urban Lot is permitted tạ MDR (a) roning base districts.
(B) For an Urban Lot ase development of more than eight lots, 250 square feet of commanity open is required; (25-2-1423)
(C) The mintmum lot nize is 3,500 square feet;
(D) The anintmam lot whdth is 40 feet;
(E) The maximum height of a stracture is 35 feet;
(F) The vinimum street alde yard setback ts 10 feet;
(G) The minimum interior alde yard retback is 5 feet;
(H) The minimum rear yard setback is 5 feet;
(I) The maximum building coverage is $55 \%$; and
(d) The maximum impervious coverage is $65 \%$. (25-2-1424[A])
(K) Except as otherwise provided hereln, the minimam front yard setback is 20 feet:
(1) If Urban Lot ases are proposed for the entire length of a block face, the minimum front yard setback is 15 feet;
(2) For an Urban Lot ase that adjoins a legaly developed lot with a front yard setback of less than $\mathbf{2 5}$ feet, the minimum front yard setback is equal to the average of the front yard setbacks applicable to adjoining lots. (25-2-1424. [B]).
(L) For an Urban Lot use with a front driveway:
(1) The garage, if any; must be at least five feet behind the front facade of the principal atructare; and
(2) For a garage within 200 feet of the front facade, the width of the garage may not exceed 50 percent of the width of the front facade; (25-2-1424

## [C]).

(M)Other than in a drlveway, parking is not permitted in a front yard;
(N) The main entrance of an Urban Lot use must face the front lot line; (25-2-1424 [E])
(O) A covered front porch is required for an Urban Lot nse. The minimum depth of the porch is Inve feet. The minimam width of the porch is 50 percent of the width

(P) Two hundred square feet of private open space is required for each dwelling. (25-2-1424 [G])


## ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD MOTION 011603-C1

Date: January 16, 2003
Subject: $\quad$ Pioneer Crossing PUD Amendment
Motioned By: Tim Jones Seconded By: Dr. Mary Gay Maxwell

## Recommended Action

The Environmental Board recommends conditional approval of the addition to Pioneer Crossing PUD

Conditions
The board recommends all staff conditions as follows:

1. At the time of site plan or final plat a $50-\mathrm{fl}$ wide buffer zone whall be established on either side of the centerline of the waterways that drain 64 to 320 acres on Parcels RA-1 through RA-15. Only development allowed within the CWQZ as defined in section 25-8-261 of the LDC shall be allowed within such buffer zones. The buffer zones will be left in or restored to a native vegetative stato and no fertilizers or pesticides will be used therein. For golf course related development, the width of the buffer zone may vary, but shall not be less than 20 ft and shall average 50 ft . Golf course rights of way (cart/service paths) shall be allowed to cross the buffer zones. The northern extension of Samsung Blvd. and other roadways meeting the requirements of LDC 25-8-262 (CWQZ crossings) shall be allowed to cross the buffer zonies.
2. The applicant shall provide an Integrated Pest Management Plan for all proposed Subdivision and Commercial construction within Parcels RA-1 through RA-15.
3. The applicant shall provide a Turf Management Plan for the proposed golf course.
4. The applicant has agreed to a minimum one star and certified rating per Austin Energy's Green Building Standards for residential and commercial development respectively.

## Rationale

The Board believes that, with the incorporation of the above conditions (to which the applicant has agreed), a superior environmental result will be achieved. Of particular importance is the added setback protection for headwaters streams which drain 64 to 320 acres. Without the PUD condition, only streams with drainage areas of more than 320 acres would be afforded this protection.

Vote 6-0-1-2
For: Almanza, Ascot, Jones, Leffingwell, Maxwell, Morris
Against: None
Abstain: Moncada
Absent: Anderson, Watson

Approved By.


Ms. Amuck Beaudet
City of Austin
Neighborhood Planning \&\& Zoning Department
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas 78767

# Ret C8-14-02-0010 City of Austin Toning Case <br> Pioneer Crossing 

Dear Ms. Beaudet:
I have reviewed the referenced zoning case with the applicant and have no objections.
Yours for quality education,

$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ZONING REVIeW } \\ \text { SHF. EXHB } \\ \text { EH" }\end{array}\right)$
$+\times 1$
P. O. Box $859^{\circ}$ Manor, Texas $78653^{\circ}$ Phone: (512) 978-4000 • Fax (512) 978-4017
oral: Superintendent - handwick (3) manorisd, net
Nancy Rows - Secretary - ross©manorisd.net
P. O. BOX 150365

AUSTN, TEXAS 78715
MAY 23, 2002

MS. ANNICK BEAUDET
CITY OF AUSTIN, NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING \& ZONING DEPT. 505 BARTON SPRING ROAD
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767
DEAR MS BEAUDET,
I AM WRITING THIS LETTER IN REFERENCE TO NOTICE
OF FILING OF APPLICATION FOR REZONING, FILE NUMBER: C814-96-0003, OWNER AMERICAN REALTY, TRUST, INC. (MICHAEL E. BOGEL).

THIS PROJECT DOES ABUT THE METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF MOUNT SALEM CEMETERY, 3 ACRES. THE INCLOSED MAP SHOWS THE LOCATION OF THESE TWO AJOINTING PROPERTIES.

THE CEMETERY WAS ESTABLISHED, ON MARCH 25, 1882. IT RECEIVED ITS DECLARATION OF DEDICATION OF AN OFFICLAL HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY ON AUGUST 2, 1999.

WE HAVE FOR 50 YEARS ACCESSED THIS CEMETERY VIA THE CRISWELL ROAD AND FRED MORSE PROPERTY. HOWEVER, PRIOR TO THAT, AFTER THE CEMETERY AND CHURCH WERE ESTABLISHED IN 1882, THE ACCESS WAS FROM CAMERON ROAD AT WALNUT CREEK.

OUR CONCERN IS THAT THIS HISTORIC CEMETERY MUST HIAVE A PUBLIC ACCESS FROM EITHER THE AMERICAN REALTY, TRUST, INC PROPERTY OR THE FRED MORSE PROPERTY THIAT IS BEING DEVELOPED BY MILBURN PROPERTIES.

ENCLOSED YOU WILL FIND OUR DOCUMENTATION FROM THE TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION.

I AM AVAILABLE TO DISCUSS THIS MATTER WITH YOU AT YOUR CONVIENCE, PHONE NO. 512-447-3185.


Texas

August 3, 1999
Mr. Thomas Maok Madison
7801 Keswick Drive
Austin, TX 78745
RE: TV-C04: Official Historic Texas Cometery Designation: Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemerery, Travis County, Texas

Dear Mr. Madison:
$\therefore$
This letter is in reference to our recelpt and roview of the application for an Official Historic Texas Cernetery Designation for the Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemetery in Travis County. Wo have reviewed the submitted material and find that the Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemetery meots our oriteria for deslgnation as a historic cemetery and thereby approve the application. I am enclosing a Declaration of Dedication along with "Attachment A" ro be recorded in the Travts County Deed Records indexed to the owners of lands that elther surround or share common borders with the cemetery: Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemetery: Ctty of Austin c/o Real Estate Division; Moe Rose \& Jerry D. Davidson; Fiestas Patrias of Austin, Inc.; Fred C. Morse, et el.; Arthur B. Strong \& Mortis R. Strong Trs \& WBS Ivory.

Under this program the Declaration of Dedication can be considered to be a cemetery easement. Please secure a certified copy of the applicable filed for record document(s) Indicating the volume and page number or other reference number of each recordation and send itthem to the Texas Historical Commission". Check with the County Clerk to determine the foes that may be associated with this request. Wo will send you an Official Historic Texas Cemetery Designation certificate upon receipt of the certified copy or copies.

Please feel free to contact mo if you have any questions about the preservation of this historic oemetery.

F


Cemetery Preservation Coordinator Taxas Historical Commission

OSH/nre
Enclosures
-Wo have found that countien vary in the way that they handle thise recordations. Some County Clerks may only require one Docleration of Dodication which they will index to each of the adjacent property owners while others will require a separato Declaration of Dedication for each edjecent property owner. If there are muldiple property ownera we have provided the appropriate aumber of docurnents on the chance that they will bo required, but if cee Decienation of Dedication will auffico you mey dispose of the eaxtras.

# DECLARATION OF DEDICATION <br> OF THE <br> METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF MOUNT SALEM CEMETERY 

THE STATE OF TEXAS 8
8 KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: $\boldsymbol{f}$

## COUNTY OF TRAVIS

That the Texas Fistorical Commission, an agency of the State of Texss, whose purpose tis to provide leadershlp and coordinate services in the fields of archeology and historic preservation, does tereby certlify and declare:

That the Methodist Eplscopal Charch of Mount Sajem Cemetery, in the County of Travis, Tease, more particularty described tu Exhiblt A attached hereto, has been set aside anid" dedicated Bor cemetery purposes through historic uso and that such property is now occupled by human graves and is a cemetery.

That the following property owners own lands that have common borders with the Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemetery: City of Austin do Real Eutate Divislon; Moe Rose \& Jerry D. Davidson; Fiestas Patrias of Austin, Inc.; Fred C. Morse, et al.; Arthur B. Strong \& Morris R. Strong Trs \& WBS Ivory.

That the Texas Historical Commission has duly considered the evldence of existence and hastoric use of sald cemetery and has listed it as an Official Eistoric-Tewas Cemetery, worthy of preservation.

That this dedication is subject to all the laws, rules, and regulations of the State of Texas regarding cemeteries now in effect and to thiose adopted aiter the effective date of this dedication, and lis made in accordance with 13 Tex, Admln. Code: 5 21.30.

Tuls Deciaration or Dedication is slgaed and executed pa the


THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS


BEFOREME, the underaigned authority; on thls day personally appeared
F. Liwerence Oaks: if known to me to be:a credible:person, whose name is above subscribed, and ald person swore to me thie atatements. contalned herefo.are true and correct.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME Dondis! 2hd I day of Anighst 1999.

[^0]



Lucas Munos Survey 55 A- 513
Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemetery: Book 52, page 150-
152, Travis County Deed Records
Norwood Plot: Vol. 305, page 503 Travis County Deed Records
Methodist Episcopal Church of Mount Salem Cemetery

FIID AD REMOED
OFFICICL PUBLIC RECORDS
AmaCateatraic
00-11-199910:23.AM-1999085071
Dana Dobedumor county clerk
$\qquad$

Retum:
$\square$
$\begin{array}{ll}\cdot \\ ; & :\end{array}$ $\qquad$ $\therefore$
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You may send your written comments to the Zoning \& Platting Commission Assistant, Neighborhood Planning \& Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.

File \# C814-96-0003-AB
Zoning \& Plotting Commission Hearing Date: February 25, 2003

\& I am in favor
(Estoy de acuerdo)
I object
(No estoy de acuerdo)
1

You may send your written comments to the Zoning \& Plating Commission Xissistant, Neighborhood Planning \& Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.
File \# C814-96-0003-AB
Zoning \& Plattlag Commission Hearing Date: February 25, 2003
Name (please print) Klepsul Careinsiol
Address 143 Musstan S. Asmi, Tx, 75754 .
1

March 3, 2003

Zoning and Platting Commission Assistant
Neighborhood Planning \& Zoning Department
P.O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8835
Re: File \# C814-96-0003-AB
To Whom It May Concern:
I was out of the country when the hearing was held regarding the above file, which refers to a change in zoning for " 1500 acres located on Gregg Lane, Cameron Road, Dessau Road, and approximately 2000 feet to the west of Cameron Road, excluding the Samsung property". Since I just purchased a new home in the Pioneer Estates subdivision, I would most definitely NOT be in favor of such a zoning change because large or complex developments, which sounds to me like apartment and condo buildings would most likely decrease the value of my property. In addition, I purchased a new home in that area due to the relative lack of development near there and its proximity to the Pioneer Farms preserve area.
. Please show me on your records as being opposed to this change in zoning.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment about this proposed change in zoning, and 1 would be interested in knowing the outcome of this proposal.

Sincerely Yours, Richard folk
Richard Hoeth 1636 Payton Falls Drive
Austin, Texas 78754
Home Phone: 339-8319

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Betty Baker, Chair and Members of the Zoning and Platting Commission
FROM: Dora Anguiano, Zoning and Platting Commission Coordinator Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department

## DATE: April 7,2003

SUBJECT: Zoning and Platting Commission Summary
Attached is a Zoning and Platting Commission summary, which will be forwarded to the City Council.

## CASE \# C814-96-0003

4. C814-96-0003 - AMERICAN REALTY, TRUST, INC. (Michael E. Bogel), ART COLLECTIONS, DNC. (Bruce Edendyk), ANDERSON DEVELOPMENT CORP. (Jemnifer Byrd), PRIME STRATEGIES, INC. (Ralph Reed), By: Plamed Enviromments Inc. (Jim Vater), Henry Gilmore, Approximately 1500 acres located on Gregg Lane, Cameron Road, Dessau Road and approximately 2000 feet to the west of Cameron Road, excluding the Samsung Property. (Walnut Creek). FROM I-RR-PUD TO PUD. RECOMMENDED WTHH CONDIIIONS. City Staff: Annick Beaudet, 974-2975. POSTPONED FROM 2-25 (ZAP), 3-4 (NEIGHBORHOOD), 3-11 (APPLICANT).

## SUMMARY

Commissioner Baker - "Why is this a discussion item? Is there someone in opposition?"
A gentleman stood up stating that he was in opposition.
Commissioner Baker - "You're in opposition sir, to the change in zoning from Interim RR PUD to Plamed Unit Development?"
[maudible]
Commissioner Baker - "Can we have a presentation please?"
Amick Beaudet, staff - "This is a PUD amendment; the original PUD was approved in 1996. This amendment is to revise 236 -acres of the original, approximate 1,410 -acres. The prevision also inctudes the addition of approximately 138 -acres. So the total area revision of this PUD; the original, plus the new land, is 374 -scres. Staff recommends this amendment with conditions; and the applicant agrees with the staff recommendation. The conditions in summary are: The amendment area assures a mix of residential development including single-family and multi-family; it assures land dedication for parkland, open epace and greenways; it also assures land dedication for a now fire and EMMS Station within the original boundaries of the PUD. It will also require Green Building Standards for the entire acreage of the PUD for residential and commercial development. There will be language for the new area pertaining to Urban Design elements. Integrated Pest Management Plan would be required for the entire area, as well as a Turf Management Plan for the golf area, which is majority or a good part of the revised area. Last, they are going to dedicate right-of-way for Gregg Howard Lane at the cooner of notification of final aligmment of that roadway or at final subdivision of the area adjacent to the roadway. The overall traffic in the TIA for the approved area is decreasing. I'd like to make one correction to my staff report, in the Land Use section, I stated that the overall residential density will increase by 1,035 units; the actual mumber is 800 units because of recent agreements to dedicate more parkland. The area that is dedicated as parkland was originally designated as a residential arean.

Commissioner Pinnelli -"Is the applicant agreeable to the 8002"

Ms. Beaudet - "Yes".
Commissioner Baker - "And the applicant concurs with the staff"s recommendation?"
Ms. Beaudet - "Yes".
Commissioner Baker - "Before the applicant comes up; could we hear from the gentleman who wishes to speak that way it might make this a little shorter".

## OPPOSTTION

Jack Gullahorn, President of Great Neighborhood Association - "I am not here to speak in opposition, as much as I am to present to you some things that are very important to our neighborhood, which I hope you'll keep on your radar screen as you consider this application. Our neighborhood is facing considerable construction pressure; we're in the desired development zone of the city. We got a two-lane county residential road, which is Gregg Lane. Our road is scheduled to become at some point, Howard Lame extension. The concerns of our neighborbood are not the use of the property; as it is the traffic infrastructure. I was here about 1-year ago and talked to you about the problems that we were facing because of Harris Branch; and because of the action that you took as a commission more than 1-year ago, you saved Howard Lane as a major east/west artery for the City of Austin. I think that it's very important that you understand the pressures that are out. Today, I'm here with limited priorities. We are have been working with the developers and staff. Let me tell you, there's a lot of difference between this and a previous project that I worked on. The developers have been very interested in this; and staff has bent over backwards in looking at it; but, from our stand point we believer there are three things that are critical. First, when you're granting PUD approval, what is superior development? I believe that staff has done a good job at qualifying superior development for this project. We ask that you hold them to that, we ask that you holp us define that because Superior Development is often in the oyes of the beholder. It is critical that when you're dealing with projects like this, that that be something that gives us a real opportunity to have a project that is going to be build better than it would have been built otherwise. So the key elements that the staff put in here are very important. Secondly, the transportation infrastructure is critical; the developer has agreed to dedicate the right-of-way for the expansion of Howard Lane at the time that the County comes forward. We would also ask you to consider, if possible, to work with the developers and to require that at the time the county comes in to build that road, them to post fiscal; not now, but when that road is build, which is probably somewhere between 2005 and 2007". "Finally, construction limitations; our road is a two-lane road and if construction is going to enter the Gregg Lane side of that property, for construction staging or for development with heavy construction equipment, it's going to be a nightmare. We're already facing between 15 and 25 thousand trips per day extra, along our two-lane road. Until it's a four-lane road, if you can't limit construction access, that at least you limit it to the mumber of cuts and I would suggest one on Gregg Lane; and to limit construction traffic on Gregg Lane".

Commissioner Hammond - "What do you want the fiscal posted for?"
Mr. Gullahom - "The problem that we have is trying to figure out how to get the money to pay for Howard Lane. I'm told that the county is going to ask the developers along Howard Lane, as they are developing, to post fiscal and help pay for their half Howard Lane as it comes up. So we're trying to assist them in that; we're working with the county and with the city".

Commissioner Cortez - "It's eventually going to tie into Texas 130, is that right?"
Ms. Gullahom - "That's what we're working on right now. This would eventually tie into 290 ; it's going to cross 130 . .that's what we're working on right now".

Commissioner Cortez - "Why would you want to get on 130?"
Mr. Gullahom - "There are a few east/west comers; from our stand point, this has been in the CAMPO Plan for a long time. This is going to be one of the major east/west anteries. Parmer Lane is already there; it is primarily residential and commercial". "The cut needs to made on 130 because if it's not, that traffic is going to come off either at Cameron Road or at Parmer Lane; and it's going to detour down to Gregg Lane or Howard Lane".

Commissioner Baker - "Will you need to subdivide?"
Henry Gilmore, applicant - "We will eventually need a subdivision at the final plat".
Commissioner Baker - "At that time, right-of-wsy, posting of fiscal and everything would be required?"

Mr. Gilmore - "That's the normal rule".
Commissioner Baker - "I understand, I just wanted to clarify something".
Mr. Gilmore - "This is a 1400 -acre PUD in northeast Austin in the city's desired development zone that was unanimously approved by City Council back in 1997 and was supported by 8 neighborhood associations. All we're doing with this amendment is adding about 137 -acres, to add a golf course to this PUD and to add aome additional residential development. By adding a golf course, we're actually eliminating about 70,000 square feet of commercial and industrial apace that was already approved; and about 30,000 trips per day". "Our development is superior to the ordinances that you could build under nomal; for example, wo have two traditional or urban village town centers incorporated into our project, that was in 1997, three years before the city adopted its own traditional neighborhood design regulations. We have a PUD wide hike and bike trail network; we exceed the parkland requirement by $18 \%$ and adding a new 7 -acre parkland site to the amendment area. We've agreed to establish buffer zones in the amendment area for drainage ways or waterways that drain 64-acres or greater. Those waterways are 5 times smaller than what the ordinance currently requires. We've agreed
to the Green Builder Program for the entire PUD for commercial and residential. We've agreed to IPM Plan for the entire PUD. And our golf course has also been design to minimize impact on repairing woodlands. It'll have at least 9 constructive wetlands and itll have a turf grass management plan that will produce water quality and environmental benefits superior to the current code. As far as Howard Lane is concern, we did get a chance to meet with Mr. Gullahorn. We have no problem with Howard Lane/Gregg Lane, unlike some of the other cases you've seen before you in the past year. We just don't want to have to commit to it prematurely. We have agreed in language that we have worked out with staff, to dedicate the right-of-way upon the earlier of the time that we're ready to final plat it or when there's a final alignment and the design is set for Howard Lane. This thing could move, depending upon environmental conditions. We don't want to be locked in dedicating right-of-way; and then have to turn around and undedicated it, if the road moves. We have worked out that language with staff, and we're not in opposition to Howard/Gregg Lane in any way. We're actually reducing trips per day with this amendment".

## FAVOR

Thomas Madison - Spoke in favor. "I want to make the community and the developers aware that there is a cemetery. It has been designated as an official historical cemetery and right now it does not have a public access. I'm looking into getting public access and well as protection for this cemetery".

Commissioner Whaley - "Where is the cemetery in relation to the PUD?"
Commissioner Baker - "It's right at the tip, see the little square? (Showing it on the map)".

Mr. Madison - "According to the map that was sent to me, the cemetery abuts the property that they want to develop on".

Commissioner Cortez - "You said that there isn't an access for that cemetery now?"
Mr. Madison - "There's a road that no one wants to identify or own; the city shift it off tot he county and the county shift it off to the city. That only goes half way from Cameron Road to the cemetery. So we use Mr. Morrison's property to access the cemetery".

Commissioner Baker - "Is this still an active cemetery?"
Mr. Madison - "Yes".
Commissioner Baker - "By the action of this applicant, his action is not necessarily land locking your property, is it?"

Mr. Madison-"I don't know".

Commissioner Baker - "You have the church that also comes down to his property; is the cemetery next to the church?"

Mr. Madison - "The church and the cemetery were joint; the church burnt down in the late 50 ' $s$ ".

Commissioner Baker - "Mr. Gillmore, can you help us out with the cemetery?"
Mr. Gillmore - "As far as we know, that is not on our property. It may be abutting us, but we've done Phase Ones on the entire property and we're aware of where there are cemetery issues. As far as we know, that is not on our property". .

Commissioner Baker - "I realize that, my question is, can you provide access some way? ${ }^{n}$

Commissioner Jackson - "lt would require a bridge and some kind of road through the park and bridging Walnut Creek".

Commissioner Baker - "So it's adjacent to the park land?"
Commissioner Jackson - "Yes".
Commissioner Baker - "Mr. Madison, I would respectfully suggest that you contact the Parks Department".

Commissioner Jackson - "What's the problem with access on Criswell Road?"
Commissioner Baker - "It doesn't go to Criswell Road, they no longer own that; that burnt, so this is all they have left (showing on the map)".

Commissioner Jackson - "Well, if that's the case, if the church doesn't own this and this is the land that the applicant owns, it docsn't even abut the applicant's land".

Commissioner Baker - "Mr. Madison, when you come down to the point on the map there (speaking of the map before the commission); the cemetery is where? Where is the church owned property? The church that burned?"

Mr. Madison - Showed the commission on the point.
Commissioner Baker - "Why isn't there access to Criswell Road? The church fronts that property, abuts Criswell Road. If the church still owns that property, why wouldn't there be access there, sir?"

Mr. Madison - "Well, because I believe, I don't have any documentation on it, that before in the old days, if you crossed the bridge in Onion Creak and go for about $1,000-$
feet, there's a gate. The use to go in that gate up to the cemetery. Well what happened in 1950, that access was no longer available, 80 they started using Criswell Road and then they got permission from the Morris Family to go ahead and cross their property".

Commissioner Jackson - "Can the cemetery be access via Criswell Road?"
Mr. Madison - "Criswell Road doesn't go all the way to the cemetery".
Commissioner Baker - "It goes to the property, according to our map".
Mr. Madison - "If you check with the county and city, they will not do anything to that road beyond a certain distance from Cameron Road".

Commissioner Cortez - "So the road terminates before it gets to the cemetery?"
Mr. Madison - "That's right".
Commissioner Baker - "Look at A4-29 in the back-up, that's what I'm looking at".

## REBUTAL

Mr. Gilmore - "The only other thing I can add on Mr. Madison's comments; I'm told that access to that cemetery was actually addressed as part of the Morris Tract PUD. I'm not $100 \%$ sure of that, but that's what l'm told. In order for us to do it, we'd have to cross Williamson Creek, and that's about a 40' bluff there. It's not something that I believe the city would be in favor of having another bridge across Walnut Creek; but it is about a 40 foot drop off in height".

Conmissioner Whaley and Jackson moved to close the public hearing.
Commissioner Cortez - 'I'm just hesitant because I'm confused about the access to that historic site; especially if the cemetery is still in use. I was wondering if it's appropriate for us to postpone this a week so they can get it sorted out?"

Commissioner Baker - "This is the fourth time on the agenda. I would ask Mr. Gilmore if he has spoken to Mr. Madison prior to this evening?"

Mr. Gilmore - "No, I have not".
Conmissioner Baker - "So you were not aware of this issuc?"
Mr. Gilmore - "No".
Commissioner Baker - "Would a two-week postponement, in any way impede the process of your case so that you could look into it?"

Mr. Gilmore - "We've been in this process for over 12 -months now and we're very anxious to get out of the process. If that's the only way we can get a recommendation, then obviously we'll support a postponement; but it is something that we can look at between now and Council, to see if there's sctually already a solution for it".

Commissioner Jackson - "I'd like to ask staff if anybody can lend or shed any light on the Criswell Road issue. Whether it's a county road, a city road, what do we know about that? Or the other PUD?"

Mr. Beaudet - "It is in the original portion of the PUD; and we do not have any information if it's city maintained; if it's a public road; or if it's a county road, not at this point".

Commissioner Baker - "I'd like to suggestion a postponement to April $8^{\text {du }}$; and let staff try to address these issues. If someone would like to make that motion?"

Commissioner Cortez - "So move".
Commissioner Gohil - "Second".
Commissioner Baker - "I'm sorry, but I don't think it's fair to us tonight to respond to this without some additional information".

Susan Villarreal, staff - "I would just like to offer that we could quickly go find out the issue of whether it's a city or county road; and sce if the right-of-way has been dedicated to this tract".

Commissioner Baker - "How quickly?"
Ms. Villarteal - "About 15 minutes".
Commissioner Jackson - "Could you find the Morris PUD too, and soe if it's addressed in that?"

Ms. Villarreal - "I could certainly try".
Commissioner Baker - "Then could we have a motion to table, please?"
Commissioner Whaley - "I'd like to make a substitute motion to table".
Commissioner Jackson - "Second".
Aye! (8-0)
[Item Tabled.]

Annick Beaudet, staff - "Access to the cemetery is provided by another project called Pioneer Hill, which has not yet come to this commission. It's a traditional neighborhood design project. The case manager is Sherri Gager. I pulled the plans to date for that project, and they are providing an extension to Criswell Road, which will also have access off the extension of Criswell Road to the cemetery and the church site". "Criswell Lane is going to be continued through this Pioneer Hill Project. It has gone to the Envirommental Board; it has not yet come to this commission or approved by Council. We suggested to the gentleman that he follow up with Ms. Gager on this case because it is not final until the case is finalized by Council".

Commissioner Baker - "Mr. Madison is very tenacious, he'll follow up. Okay commissioners, what is your pleasure on item \#4?"

## Motion

Susan Villarreal, staff - "There really isn't any frontage, they hit at an angle. The right-of-way is not dedicated all the way to the cemetery tract; but it's shown on some of the maps".

Commissioner Baker - "After this other subdivision there will be access to the church site and cemetery?"

Mrs. Villarreal - "Correct".
Commissioner Jackson - "I make a motion to approve the PUD zoning with staff recommendations, with the additional recommendation that the right-of-way for Howard Lane be dedicated at the earlier of platting or upon commencement of construction or prior to actual construction of Howard Lane".

Commissioner Whaley - "I'll second that".
Commissioner Baker - "I'll comment that dedication of park land and the dedication for the right-of-way would have to occur prior to the finalization of zoning".

Commissioner Jackson - "That's part of staff recommendation?"
Commissioner Baker - "Yes".
Commissioner Jackson - "Okay".
Commissioner Baker - "So you don't need that additional condition".
Commissioner Jackson - "Well, Howard Lane, that covers Greg Howard Lane?"
Commissioner Baker - "Yes".
Commissioner Jackson - "Okay".

Commissioner Baker - "I think the staff recommendation is what you're saying".
Commissioner Jackson - "Okay".
Commissioner Baker - "We have a motion and a second on A4 for staff recommendation. All in favor say aye".

Aye.

COMMISSION ACTION: MOTION:

AYES:

ABSENT:

JACKSON, WHALEY
APPROVED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF PUD
ZONING.
PINNELLL, CORTEZ, GOHII, BAKER, JACKSON, WHALEY, DONISI, HAMMOND
MARTINEZ

MOTION CARRIED WITH VOTE: 8-0.
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