

Neighborhood Plan - Conduct and Consider CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 30 **AGENDA DATE:** Thu 04/15/2004 **PAGE:** 1 of 2

SUBJECT: Approve third reading of an ordinance amending the Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan by adopting the Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan for the area bounded on the north by Justin Lane and Anderson Lane, on the east by Middle Fiskville Road and Twin Crest Drive, on the south by 45th Street and Koenig Lane, and on the west by Burnet Road.

<u>AMOUNT & SOURCE OF FUNDING</u>: There is no fiscal impact associated with adopting the Brentwood/Highland Neighborhood Plan. An estimate of the fiscal impact to implement all of the recommendations in the plan is \$11,252,000. This plan is advisory and does not legally obligate the Council to implement any particular recommendation.

FISCAL NOTE: There is no unanticipated fiscal impact. A fiscal note is not required.

REQUESTING Neighborhood Planning**DIRECTOR'SDEPARTMENT:** and Zoning**AUTHORIZATION:** Alice Glasco

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Block, 974-7687; Lisa Kocich, 974-3509

PRIOR COUNCIL ACTION: The City Council directed the Planning Commission to consider neighborhood plans for the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhoods in a resolution effective February 27, 2003.

BOARD AND COMMISSION ACTION: Recommended with conditions by the Planning Commission.

<u>BACKGROUND</u>: The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan includes the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhood Planning Areas. Neighborhood stakeholders—including homeowners, renters,

business owners, non-profit organizations, and non-resident property owners worked with City neighborhood planning staff to develop the plan. Neighborhood planning began in Brentwood and Highland in December 2002. Initially, staff met with stakeholders in the neighborhood to orient them to the neighborhood planning process. In January 2003, the initial neighborhood planning survey was mailed to every resident, business and property owner in the planning area (approximately 8,000 surveys were sent). The results of the survey provided input from a wide range of people on issues that needed to be addressed during the planning process. The first neighborhood planning workshop was held on March 22, 2003. The results of the workshop laid the groundwork for developing the vision and goals for the plan. Between April and December, 2003 staff facilitated a series of focus groups to develop the content and recommendations for the plan.

In November 2003, a second survey with a comment form was sent to the entire community to get feedback on the draft plan. The second neighborhood planning workshop was held on Saturday November 15, 2003. The purpose of the workshop was to ensure that all of the stakeholders had a chance to review and comment on the draft neighborhood plan 5/6/2004. Meetings held second workshop were devoted to refining the plan and addressing any unresolved issues.



Neighborhood Plan - Conduct and Consider CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 30 **AGENDA DATE:** Thu 04/15/2004 **PAGE:** 2 of 2

The Brentwood/Highland Combined Plan recommends actions to be taken by the Neighborhood Plan Contact Team, neighborhood stakeholders, the City, and other agencies to preserve and improve the neighborhoods within the Brentwood and Highland Planning Areas. City departments have reviewed the plan, provided comments, and provided cost estimates for implementation of the plan.

The Plan estimates that it would cost the City \$11,252,000 to implement the 109 items in the Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan:

Tracking	IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY	# OF	ESTIMATED CITY
Chart RCA		ITEMS	COSTS
Reference #			

1Items to be implemented by the neighborhood at no cost to the City.	12	\$0
---	----	-----

2	Items to be implemented by the City with existing department resources (i.e. existing staff resources and/or programs.)	45	\$14,500	
---	---	----	----------	--

3 Items to be implemented by the City with operati or budget funds that are available now or will be available in the future.	•	\$35,500
---	---	----------

4	Items to be implemented by the City that require allocation of additional funds by City Council	6	\$11,000,000	
---	---	---	--------------	--

5 Items to be implemented by the City that requires funding through a Capital Improvement Project Bond	11	\$202,000
---	----	-----------

6 Items to be implemented by the City that requires a 0 change in current City policy.	\$0	
--	-----	--

7	Items to be implemented by the City with no cost information available.	21	unknown
---	---	----	---------



Neighborhood Plan - Conduct and Consider CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 30 **AGENDA DATE:** Thu 04/15/2004 **PAGE:** 3 of 2

8	Items to be implemented by other agencies	11	unknown
	TOTAL ITEMS	109	\$11,252,000

First-year implementation costs and/or future costs associated with implementation of the Brentwood/Highland Combined Plan are dependent upon funding availability. This does not include funding for existing City department staff that undertakes work on the Brentwood/Highland Combined Plan.

Bac 4	Description	Primary Resource	Crimited	Federal and Silv	Status Natur Comodetari	HCA REF #	Comments			 -					
LU811	•		Brian Block	Costo			will be completed with corpurert							 	
LUBIS	Established segle-family areas should retain SF-3 zoning	NPZD	974-7987	\$0	Pending	2	econing								
	Allow small-lot annesty in the Brentwood neighborhood	NP2D	Bitan Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	ivil de completed kinn concurrent reconing								
LU821	All ow the garage apartment special use option in the Brentwood Neighborhood	NPZD	Bitan Block 974-7987	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent recoming								
LU822	Allow the Urban home special use option in the Romenia Subdistrict	NP2D	Brian Block 974-7587	\$0	Percing	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
LUB31	Muntan residential zoning in the interior of the angliborhood	NPZD	Biten Block 974-7447	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent recording								
LU832	Mainteen commercial zoning on the corriders and in transitional areas between the corridors and residential areas	NHOU	Rean Binck 974-7587	30	Hending	2	Will be completed with a mountert recording								
L0823	All ow mixed use or, commercial condors	NPZD	Bran Block 974-7927	80	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent scening								
LU84 I	Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining Distinct on K.cenig and interior properties with commercial or office zoning	NP2D	Binan Nock 974-7597	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent scenning								
LU842	All ow neighborhood serwing commercial usis at intersections on Koenig west of Woodrow	NP2D	Bean Block 974-7587	3 0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent recording								
LU843	All ow neighborhood and community serving office uses on interior properties on Ecenig west of Woodrow	NP2D	Bean Block 974-7587	\$ 0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concument econing								
LUB44	All ow neighborhood and community serving office uses on 49 th Sheet perform Burnet and Lamar	NP211	Duan Nock 974-3947	80	Pending	>	Will be completed with concurrent recenting								
LU84 <i>%</i>	All ow neighborbood and community serving office uses and neighborhood serving commercial uses where appropriate in ranchood areas between Parmet Road and the interior single-family residential areas	NP2D	Diran Nocii 974-7587	30	Percing	2	(VII) be completed with a crownert recording								
LU851	Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining District on Burnet, Lamar, and Koenig Lane eart of Woodrow	NP2D	Bitan Block 974-7587	\$0	Periong	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
LU852	All ow the Neighboshood Urbar. Center in the area between Burnet Road and Burnet Lane and south of Jastn Lane	NP2D	Bran Bock 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
LU85 3	Focus higher intensity uses on Burnet Road and Lamar Blyd	NPZD	Brian Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
	Established single-family areas should retain SF-3 contra	NPZD	Binan Block 974-7587	80	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent sconing								
LUH12	All ow small-tot annesty in the Highland neighborhood to make legally created small lots available for single-family development	NPZD	Bean Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
LUH21	All ow the garage apartment special use option in the Highland Neighborhood	NPZD	Baan Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
	Allow the cottage lot special use option in the Highland South Sobdistant	NP2D	Brian Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent remning								
LUH23	All ow the urban home sproial use in the Highland North Subdistrict	NPZD	Baan Block #74-7%7	\$0	Pending	2	All be completed with concurrent econing								
LUH31	Muntain residential zoning in the interior of the neighborhood	NP2D	Bran Block 974-7987	\$0	Ponding	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
LUHD 2	Muntan commercial scenng on the corriders and in transitional areas between the corndors and ross dential areas	NPZD	Baan Block 974-7987	so So	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
LUHD 3	Allow muzed use on commercial considers	NP2D	Bitish Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	O'll be completed with concurrent versioning								
LUH41	Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining District on St Johns and interior properties with commercial or office zoning	NEZD	Baan Block 974-7387	30	Denaing	2	Arill be completed with concurrent reconing								
LUH4 2	All ow neighborhood serving commercial uses on St Johns, on the south side between Northerest and Lamar, and the north side between Marcel and Lamar	NP2D	Bitian Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	O'll be completed with concurrent according								

1

TUB43								 	 	 		 	 	 	
	All ow neighborhood and community serving office uses at intersections on St Johns On the south aide between Twincrest and Northorost, and the north aide between Twin Crest and Marcel	NP2U	Bnan Bock 974-7387	30	Hericing	2	Will be completed with concurrent recording								
	Add the Maxed-Use (MU) Combining District on Burnet, Lamar	NHZD	Ruin Block 974-7987	30	Fenang	2	All be completed with convenent receiving								
TUHS?	All ow the neighborhood Mixee Use Building Special Use on the south ade of St Johns between Lamar and Marcel	NPZD	Bitan Block 974-7987	80	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent recenting								
	All ow the Neighborhood Urbar. Center at Anderson and Lamar, Airport and Lamar, and Highland Mall	NPZD	Biran Bock 974-7587	80	Pending	2	N'll be completed with concurrent recenting								
	Focus higher intensity uses on Burnet Road and Lamar Blod	NPZD	Brian Block 974-7587	\$ 0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent reconing								
T81 I	Construct the priority residential sidewalks in the Brentwood neighborhood	TP3D	Janel Howard 974 2X9	.nkitoko	Pending	5									
T81 2	Construct stdewalks on the following readential streets in Breatwood	TPAN	Janel Howard 344-2659	Jikanan	Pending	6									
T81 3	Construct or improve sidewalks on the following arterial streets in Breatwood	TPSD	Janet Howard 974-2309	.ricius?i	Pending	6									
T81 4	Install wider a dewalks on major considers including Koenig Lane, Eumet R.d., and Lemer Elvd	TP3D	Janet Howard 974-2309	,nimeran	Pending	7									
T81 \$	Include a planter stop on sidewalks wherever possible	TP3D	Janet Howard 974-2969	unknown	Pending	2									
T 81 ¢	Înclude a pedrstn ar. safe area on Lanuar ifihe road is widened to six lanes	TP:3D	Janet Howard 974-2339	shaan	Pending	¢									
T81 7	Create safe podestnan creasings at the following locations	TP3D	Geration Dave 974-7228	nkatora	Pending	7									
T81 /	Investigate the possibility of creating an eastment through the commercial property on Burnet Road to connect to the North Loop Branch Library	TPSD	Janel Howard 974-2989	uninown	Pending	5									
T82 I	Censtruct a sidewalk on 49 th St from Burnst to Sunstane (South Side)	TPSD	Janet Howard 974-2989	.nkatawa	Pending	б									
T82 2	Create a safe crossing at the intersection of 49 th St. and Woodrow	TPSD	Gardon Dert 974-7258	rinavn	Pending	7									
T823	Improve the markings on the ramp at the northeast corner of 49 th S1 and Woodrow	TP3D	Janel Howard 974-2989	Jacob	Penaltig	7									
T 82 J	Improve the crosswalk at the bas stop on Sunshme connecting the Chris Cole Réhabilitation Center	TP3D	Gardon Dert 974-7228	,atknown	Pending	7									
T82 \$	Improve the crosswalk across 49 th St @ Sunshints	TPBD	Gendern Dærr 9774-7228	uni-manon	Pending	7									
T82 i	Include a planter stup on sidewalks wherever possible	TPGD	Jenet I loverd 974-2989	nkaan	Pending	2									
T 83 I	Install the following bike lanes as proposed in the City's Eike Master Plan	TP3D	Gally Kenakar 974-7346	\$5,00C	Penaing	2									
1832	Develop a bike path on Lumar between 45th St and Sunshme Dr (West Sids)	IPSU	Uolly Kradler 974-7546	\$2,000	Pending	6									
T84 I	Return Bus Route #5 to ils ong nal route	CNTA		80	Pending	8									
TB4 2	Study the three southbound bus stops on Larnar between Houston and Koenig to determine of all three are necessary	CNTA		\$ 0	Pending	8									
T843	Mover the southbound bus stop on Lanar and 51° street 100-200 feet to the north to avoid Recording and splashing problems	CNTR		\$ 0	Pending	8									
T 06 1	Make the following changes to AMATP	DENT	Ten Nit Marus 974-6447	80	Pending	2									
TASY	Improve the intersection of 49th St and Woodrow to address poor visibility	TP3D	uardon Lier 974-7228	,nimewn	Pending	7									
T96 I	Re-align the intersection of Jeff Davis and North Loop so that Jeff Davis intersect with Burnet R.d. rather than North Loop	TP3D	Gardian Dent o74-7328	unknown	Pending	T									
T85 I	Create a safe left turn from Koring Lane onto Arroyo Seco	TPED	Semiletn Nocelieri 974-2303	nkaan	Pending	8									
· · · · · ·	provide a second											 -			

2

Tâń I								 	 	 		 	 	 	
	Prikabit front yard parking in Brentwood	NP2D	Brian Block 974-7587	\$ 0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
THE	Construct the following priority responsed ridewalks in the Highland neighborhood	TP3D	Janet Howard 974-2309	unkatekn	Pending	\$									
	Censtruct sidewalks on the following readential sidewalks in Highland	TP3D	ianen mixikario 1974-2379	,nknown	Pending	6									
71413	Construct adewalks on the following arterial streets in Highland	TP3D	Janet Houard 974-2989	unkitakin	Pending	\$									
TH2 I	Install the following bike lanes as proposed	TP3D	Colly Kreidler 974-7245	\$8,000	Pending	3									
TH2 2	in the City's Bike Master Plan Create a bike route on Avenue F between	TP3D	Colly Kradler 974-7346	\$1,500	Pending	2								 	
TH2 3	Koonig and Skyview	TPGD	Cally Keidler	\$15,000	Pending	3								 	
TH2 I	Do not allow care to park in bile lanes Return the #7 bus to its Koenig route after constructs on on Koenig 11 complete	CNTR	974-7346	80	Pending	8									
TH3 2	Install benches and shelters at all stops at St Jours and Guadatupe	CMIA		\$0	Pending	8									
TH3 3	Post schedules and maps at each bus stop	CNIR		3 0	Pending	8									
	Request shelters at every stop on Lamar (the #1/101) sponfically at Lamas and Donson, southbound	CMTA		\$0	Pending	*									1
	Increase the frequency of the #1 on Lancar	CMTR TP3D	Ten NcMarus	ŝn	Pending	2								 	
	Recommend 2-lane divided for St. Johnson the AMATP		974-6447	\$0		-									
	Evaluate if additional traffic controls are needed when the train crosses Koeing Lane	CMIR		\$0	Pending	e									
	IF Auroport is expanded to a 6-Jane divided road, drivide it with a raised median with dedicated tom bays	TP3D	Samiléh Nozafan 974-2309	.nkman	Pending	T									
	Initial a curb out to property on Guadalupe at the northeast corner of Skyview and Guadalupe	Public Bons	.ine Ramos 974-8765	Juntako	Penaing	4									
	Probabilit front yard parking in the Highland nr. ghbo:hood	NPZD	Bitan Block 974-7587	\$0	Pending	2	Infli be completed with concurrent econing								
P81 I	Improve security at Breatwood Park	PARD	Sarah Campixell 974-6765	\$8,000	Pending	2									
P81 2	Plant addits onal trees in the Pask	DPAR	Sarah Campixell 974-6765	\$12,509	Pending	3									
	Develop a greenbelt with a hike and bike trail along Arroyo Seco	PARU	stran Campolii 974-6765	January	Miniang	(
P82 2	W. den the green space along Arroyo Seco	PARD	Sarah Campixell 974-6765	Jakataka	Pending	7									
P82 7	Connect the greenbelt west to Shoal Greek	PARD	Sarah Compiloil 974-6765	Jakaten	Pending	٢									
	Study the possibility of creating a prestman and bake trossing on Arroyo Seco under Koenig Lane	PARD	59rah Campbell 974-6765	.Neiden'i	Pending	т									
	Study the possibility of extending the greenhelt south to Furnet Roadman North Leop	DFA9	Sarah Campioeli 974-6785	uninana.	Pending	ĩ									
P83 I	Ensure that there are adequate lighted sports fields in or near the neighborhood	PARD	Sarah Compioell 974-6795	Jakingka	Pending	7									
	Create an agreement with the City of Austin Create an agreement with the City of Austin to allow the neighborhood rouse and number to the space on the cast s de of Woodrow at Theckla as astitung area with mees, a lenck and other landscaping	G FA9	Sarah Campbali 974-6765	,nknawn	Pending	7									
P851	Encourage the State of Texas to preserve the Sunstant: Community Gardens	State of Texas		Jriknown	Pending	e									
P96 I	Investigate and address erosion and mosquito issues for the dramage channel that runs along Geower and put cast of Geover (between McCallum High School and Mogena	WPDR	Stave Sun o74-3143	\$2,000,000	Pending	4									
	Investigate and address street drainage issues in the following locations	WPDR	Steve Sun 974-2383	\$4,000,010	Pending	4									
	Address dramage usues on Lamar to improve mobility on the indewalki	WPDR	38eve Sun 974 2383	\$5,000,010	Pending	4									
PHII	Muntan and subance the playscaper at T. A. Brown Elementary School Park and Reilly Elementary School Park	PARD	Sarah Campbell 1974-6765	\$200,000	Pending	6									


з

PHIY	Develop an improved connection between the Skyview Neighborhood and the park at Relly Elementary wa Waller Creek	WPDR	Jean Freu 974-2272	Jakannan	Pending	7									
PH2 I	Reilly Diementary via Waller Creek	PARD	Sarah Cemptoell	,mknown	Pending	7					 		 		
	Develop the City-owned at the intersection of St. Johns and Northcrest into a public park		074-6705												
PHBI	Create an agreement with the City to allow the neighborhood to beautify, use and maintain the 2 green spaces/traffic triangles for Creat and	QFA9	Sarah Campbeli 974-6765	\$0	Percing	2									
PHB 2	Develop a deage for the space to include native and easy to maintain plants, table and heighes and signs to be placed in the planning bods	NPCT	lothe determined	\$0	Percing	1									
PHAI	It is serve the tootbridge that crosses Waller Greek on Skywiew Road and tear up the street between the bridge and Guadal ape for use as green space	Public olorix	Jae Ramos 974 8765	\$0	Pending	2									
PH4 2	Build a trail along Walter Creek	PARU	Sarah Campoel 974-6765	malam	Penang	(
PH4 3	Investigate the possibility of an agreement with the property owner at Pampa & Airport to utilize space for a trial along the treek at the rear of their property	PARD	Sarah Campbell 974-6765	Alfred and	Pending	7									
PHSI	Censult a bridge across the treek re connect Redly Elementary School Park to the	QFA9	Sarah Campbell 974-6765	,nknown	Periding	7									
PHS 2	City owned detention por d on Dillard Cir	WPDR	Jean Drew 974 2272	Johnson	Pending	4					-	 			
PH5 7	Braukly the detention area at Reilly	WPDR	Jean Drew	.nknown	Pending	4									
PH61	Create a Walk the Creek consultee	NPCT	974-9779 Jobe determined	80	Pending	1									
	Support the development of the Upper Boggy Creek Hake and Bake trail through the Highland Neighborhood along the railroad tracks				-										
	Encourage property owners to follow the design guidelines when renovating or constructing new homes	NPCT	tobe determined	80	Pending	1									
U0812	Apply the Neighbothood Plan Design Tools, including the impervious Cover(Parking Pacement, Garage Placement, and Front Porch Setback tools, in the Breatwood Neighborhood	NPZD	Baan Nock 974-7927	\$0	Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent econing								
V0821	Create an agreement with the City of Austan to all ow the nughborhood to beautify large nght-of-way triangles in the neighborhood	NPCT	to be determined	\$0	Pending	1									
	All ow the neighborhood to place neighborhood markers in the right-of-way at kry entry points to the neighborhood	NPCT	lone determined	\$0	Pending	1									
	Encourage street tree planbog on Burnet. Lamar and Kcenig	NPCT	to be determined	\$ 0	Pending	1									
UDB24	Protubit new billboards	NPCT	to be determined	\$0	Pénding	1									
V0601	Identify and preserve historic properties in the nonarborhood	TP3D	Sleve Sadousky 974-6164	\$ 0	Percing	2									
	Encourage property owners to follow the design guidelines when renovating or constructing new homes	NPCT	tobe determined	80	Pending	1									
	Apply the Neighborhood Plan Design Tools, including the impervious CoveriParling Parenter, Garage Placement, and Proor Parch Setback tools, in the Highl and Neighborhood, except along \$7. Johns	NPZD	Binan Block 974-7597	\$0 *	Pending Pending	2	Will be completed with concurrent recoming								
UDH21	All ow the neighborhood to place neighbo:hood markers in the right-of-way at kry entry points to the neighborhood	NPCT	to be determined	\$0	rending	1									

4

UNH\$?	Plant, maintain and enhance trees or shrubs	NPCT	lone determined	\$0	Pending	1									
	Mong & most Blad between raise adverter														
	along Arport Blvd, between railroad tracks and Arrost B.vd, between Lanar and														
	Koeng	1				1									
UDH23		NPCT	to be determined	\$0	Pending	1									
	Plant shrubs and/or low growing plants on														
	east side of Airport Blyd, along the sidewaks														
UNH24	contra disapon bir q acag at accurate	NPCT	to be determined	\$0	Pending	1									
	Encourage commercial properties to inhance their sites with plannings and green space														
NDH\$ 1	Identify and preserve instoric properties in	TP3D	Sleve Sedousky	\$0	Pencing	2									
	the neighborhood		074-0154												
	RCA RE#	INPLEMENTATION STRATEGY													
	1	lamete he				+		<u> </u>					 <u> </u>		
		mplemented by the neighborhood al no													
		neighborhood al no													
		rad In he City				1							L		
	1 .	femste be inclomoniad by the				1									
		City with existing													
		department													
		resources, i.e. existing staff													
		recources													
	1	temste be				-							-		
		inplemented by the													
		City with operating or capital budget funds													
		that are available row													
		or sell be available in the future													
		a lo icialio													
		lemste be inclemented by lhe													
		3by that require													
		allocation of													
		eskiboneltunde by City Council													
	<u> </u> ,	bemoto be				+	 -					 	 -		
		inclemented by the				1									
		Dity that require funcing through a		1		1	1							1	
		functing through a													
		Capital Improvement Project Band													
	1	bernato be													
		inclemented by the				1									
		inclemented by the City that require a change in current City				1									
		potey	1			1	1								
	,	benato be implemented by the													
		City with no cost				1									
		ritemator available		1		1	1							1	
				1		1	1							1	
	\$	femste be													
		implemented by other operates	1	1		1	1							1	

5



ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE AUSTIN TOMORROW COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BY ADOPTING THE BRENTWOOD/HIGHLAND COMBINED NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. Findings.

- (A) In 1979, the City Council adopted the "Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan."
- (B) Article X, Section 5 of the City Charter authorizes the City Council to adopt by ordinance additional elements of a comprehensive plan that are necessary or desirable to establish and implement policies for growth, development, and beautification, including neighborhood, community, or area-wide plans.
- (C) In December 2002, the Brentwood/Highland neighborhood was selected to work with the City to complete a neighborhood plan. The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan followed a process first outlined by the Citizens' Planning Committee in 1995, and refined by the Ad Hoc Neighborhood Planning Committee in 1996. The City Council endorsed this approach for neighborhood planning in a 1997 resolution. This process mandated representation of all of the stakeholders in the neighborhood and required active public outreach. The City Council directed the Planning Commission to consider the plan in a 2003 resolution. During the planning process, the Brentwood/Highland Neighborhood Planning Team gathered information and solicited public input through the following means:
 - (1) neighborhood planning team meetings;
 - (2) collection of existing data;
 - (3) neighborhood inventory;
 - (4) neighborhood survey;
 - (5) neighborhood workshops;

- (6) community-wide meetings; and
- (7) a neighborhood final survey.
- (D) The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan recommends action by the neighborhood planning team, the City, and by other agencies to preserve and improve the neighborhood. The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan has fourteen major goals:
 - (1) Preserve and enhance the single-family residential areas and housing opportunities for persons with disabilities;
 - (2) Maintain existing civic and community institutions;
 - (3) Encourage a mixture of compatible and appropriately scaled business and residential land uses in the neighborhood and mixed-use development on major corridors to enhance diversity of uses;
 - (4) Preserve locally owned small businesses in the neighborhood and encourage new ones that are within walking distance of residential areas and serve the needs of the neighborhood;
 - (5) Focus higher density uses and mixed-use development on major corridors, and enhance the corridors by adding incentives for creative, aesthetically pleasing, pedestrian-friendly redevelopment;
 - (6) Improve affordability of home-ownership and rental properties;
 - (7) Maintain a traffic pattern that provides easy access to neighborhood destinations, while keeping through-traffic off of interior streets by creating safe and efficient corridors and arterials;
 - (8) Create a bicycle and pedestrian network that is safe and accessible for people of all ages and mobility levels, by improving routes and facilities for walkers and cyclists;
 - (9) Provide accessible public transit options;
 - (10) Preserve and enhance existing parks, green spaces, and recreation facilities and add new parks and green spaces to ensure that all residential areas of the neighborhood have a park or green space nearby;
 - (11) Improve drainage along neighborhood creeks and streets, and using natural materials prevent erosion;

- (12) Preserve the diversity, character, and scale of homes in the neighborhood by encouraging renovations and new development compatible with existing homes;
- (13) Improve the appearance of major corridors by reducing and improving signage, improving lighting, and adding trees, landscaping and public art; and
- (14) Preserve historic properties identified as contributing to neighborhood character.
- (E) On February 24, 2004, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan and recommended adoption of the Plan.
- (F) The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan is appropriate for adoption as an element of the Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan. The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan furthers the City Council's goal of achieving appropriate, compatible development within the area. The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan is necessary and desirable to establish and implement policies for growth, development, and beautification in the area.
- PART 2. Adoption and Direction.
 - (A) Chapter 5 of the Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan is amended to add the Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan as Section 5-18 of the Comprehensive Plan, as set forth in Exhibit A to this ordinance, which is incorporated as part of this ordinance.
 - (B) The city manager shall prepare zoning cases consistent with the land use recommendations in the Plan.
 - (C) The city manager shall provide periodic updates to the City Council on the status of the implementation of the Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan.
 - (D) The specific provisions of the Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan take precedence over any conflicting general provision in the Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan.

PART 3. This ordinance takes effect on	, 2004.
PASSED AND APPROVED	
, 2004	§ § Will Wynn Mayor
APPROVED:	ATTEST:
David Allan Smith City Attorney	Shirley A. Brown City Clerk



The Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan

An Amendment to the City of Austin's Comprehensive Plan

The Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan

Chapter 5 Section 5-18 Exhibit A

May 13, 2004

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



CITY COUNCIL

Mayor Will Wynn

Mayor Pro Tem Jackie Goodman

CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Raul Alvarez Betty Dunkerly Daryl Slusher Brewster McCracken Danny Thomas

CITY MANAGER

Toby Futrell

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER

Lisa Y. Gordon

NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

Alice Glasco, Director

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

By adopting the plan, the City Council demonstrates the City' s commitment to the implementation of the plan. However, every action item listed in this plan will require separate and specific implementation. Adoption of the plan does not begin the implementation of any item. Approval of the plan does not legally obligate the City to implement any particular action item. The implementation will require specific actions by the neighborhood, the City and by other agencies. The Neighborhood Plan will be supported and implemented by

- City Boards, Commissions and Staff
- City Departmental Budgets
- Capital Improvement Projects
- Other Agencies and Organizations
- Direct Neighborhood Action.

Acknowledgements

The following individuals, organizations, and businesses made significant contributions to the creation of this neighborhood plan:

.

The following businesses and organziations also contributed to the success of the planning process:

- All participants in the Neighborhood Planning Process
- Northwest Baptist Church
- Hope Chapel
- North Austin Lions Club
- Reilly Elementary School

City Staff Acknowledgements

Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Staff for this plan were:

Brian Block, Lead Planner Lisa Kocich, Planner Kristen Strobel, Planner Annick Beaudet, Zoning Planner Ricardo Soliz, Neighborhood Planning Manager Alice Glasco, Director, Neighborhood Planning and Zoning

Other NPZD Staff that contributed to this plan include:

Tom Bolt Jackie Chuter Gladys Clemons Matthew Christianson Kelly Crouch Greg Guernsey Sonya Lopez Annie Pennie Glen Rhoades Steve Rossiter Mark Walters Kathleen Welder Scott Whiteman

Other City Staff that contributed to this plan include:

Steve Barney, NHCD Sarah Campbell, PARD Susan Daniels, TPSD Gordon Derr, TPSD Stuart Hersch, NHCD Susan Daniels, TPSD Janet Howard, TPSD Greg Kiloh, TPSD Jana McCann, TPSD Samileh Mozafari, TPSD Ryan Robinson, TPSD Laura Watkins, TPSD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	Page 2
Vision and Goals	Page 6
Top Ten Priorities	Page 8
Demographic Profile	Page 10
History	Page 16
Land Use	Page 24
Future Land Use – Sub Area Descriptions	Page 30
Future Land Use – Sub Area Descriptions	Page 30
Transportation	Page 65
Transportation Parks, Open Space and Environment	-
-	
Parks, Open Space and Environment	Page 79 Page 90
Parks, Open Space and Environment Urban Design and Historic Preservation	Page 79 Page 90 Page 93
Parks, Open Space and Environment Urban Design and Historic Preservation Rapid Transit Project	Page 79 Page 90 Page 93 Page 121

Appendix Two: Initial Survey Results	Page 132
Appendix Two: Final Survey Results	Page 137
Appendix Three: Affordability Impact Statement	Page 139

.




Figure One: Brentwood/Highland Combined Neighborhood Planning Base map

INTRODUCTION

The Planning Area

The Brentwood/Highland Combined Planning Area includes the Brentwood and Highland Planning Areas. The boundaries of the Brentwood Planning Area are Burnet Road on the West, Lamar Blvd. on the east, Justin Lane on the north, and 45th Street on the south. The boundaries of the Highland Planning Area are Lamar Blvd. on the west, Middle Fiskville on the east, Anderson Lane on the north, and Koenig Lane/2222 on the south.

The Neighborhood Planning Process

Over the course of eleven months, City staff worked with community stakeholders to develop the Brentwood/Highland Neighborhood Plan. Concurrent with fieldwork, Neighborhood Planning staff researched area demographics and collected background information on land use, existing conditions, and current or proposed City of Austin Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) affecting the neighborhood.

Beginning in December 2002, staff held several outreach meetings with established neighborhood associations and institutions in the area. These meetings were held to provide information about the neighborhood planning process and to ask for assistance with outreach efforts to all neighborhood stakeholders.

The Initial Survey

In January 2003, an initial neighborhood planning survey was mailed to every resident, property owner and business owner in the planning area. The response to the survey was excellent (10.2% response rate), and the results provided input from a wide range of people on issues that needed to be addressed and goals for the neighborhood plan. The results of the survey provided a starting point to begin the planning process. See Appendix Two on page 125 for initial survey results.

Workshop One

The official "kick-off" of the planning process was held on March 22, 2003. Nearly 150 people attended, and the workshop was a great success. During the first half of the workshop City staff provided an overview of neighborhood planning including its purpose, and the details of the planning process. City staff also provided demographic data, information on current land use and zoning, and the results of the initial survey. The second half of the workshop was a chance for city staff to hear from the neighborhood. The participants broke up into five small groups. Neighborhood stakeholders provided more detail on issues that were brought up in the survey and discussed issues and ideas that provided the groundwork for developing the vision and goals for the neighborhood plan.

Focus Groups

The survey and the workshop emphasized identifying issues and ideas that would help guide the plan. The next phase of the planning process took place between April and October, and consisted of a series of focus groups to develop the content and recommendations for the plan. The topics for the meetings corresponded to the major components of the plan, which are:

- · Vision and Goals
- Land Use and Zoning
- Transportation
- Parks, Open Space and Environment
- Urban Design and Historic Preservation

Using information from the initial survey and Workshop One as a starting point, participants worked with staff during the focus groups to create a vision and goals for the plan, develop a Future Land Use Map (FLUM), develop zoning recommendations to implement the land use plan, and craft recommendations to achieve the goals for each component of the plan.

Land use and zoning is the most significant component of the plan and considerably more time was spent discussing land use and zoning than the other components. The planning process included 21 focus groups, and 15 focused on land use and zoning issues.

Final Survey

In November, a draft plan and final survey were mailed to every resident, business owner, and property owner in the planning area. Information gathered through the survey was used to refine the plan. The response rate for the survey was four percent (4%), and eighty-four percent (84%) of the respondents expressed their support for the plan. See Appendix Three on page 130 for final survey results.

Workshop Two

Workshop Two was held on November 15, 2003, and more than 50 people attended. At the workshop staff presented the draft neighborhood plan and participants asked questions and commented on the draft plan. Information gathered at the workshop was used to refine the plan.

After two workshops, twenty-one focus groups, and other meetings with neighborhood associations and other interested parties, the plan was finalized.

Record of Public Meetings

Meeting Date	Purpose	Attendees
3/22/2003	Workshop 1 - Provide an overview of neighborhood planning, present demographic data, and the results of the survey. Gather input from Neighborhood stakeholders to help guide the development of the plan.	125
4/22/2003	Vision and Goals Focus Group – Develop a vision statement and goals to guide development of the plan	36
5/6/2003	Land Use Focus Group #1 – Provide education on land use planning	43
5/20/2003	Land Use Focus Group #2 – Discuss the desired future land use for the planning area	47
6/3/2003	Land Use, Small Area - North Brentwood – Discuss desired future land use	15
6/4/2003	Zoning Education – Provide education on zoning regulations	32
6/9/2003	Land Use, Small Area – Highland - Discuss desired future land use	6
6/10/2003	Land Use, Small Area - South Brentwood - Discuss desired future land use	10
6/16/2003	Land Use, Small Area - South Brentwood #2 - Discuss desired future land use	9
6/17/2003	Land Use Focus Group #3 – Review and discuss land use recommendations from small area groups	37
6/24/2003	Koenig Lane Land Use – Discuss desired future land use	44
6/30/2003	Zoning Focus Group #1 – Present and discuss the initial zoning recommendations for the plan	22
7/9/2003	Skyview Neighborhood Meeting – Discuss land use, transportation and parks in the Skyview neighborhood	8
7/15/2003	Zoning Focus Group #2 – Present and discuss initial conditional overlay recommendations, and discuss special use options	56
7/30/2003	Koenig Lane Land Use and Zoning – Present and discuss the initial land use and zoning recommendations for Koenig Lane	64

8/12/2003	Transit Planning Workshop – Presentation on potential light rail routes and stations and long range transit plan	14
8/27/2003	Services Forum – Representatives form the City available to talk to residents about day-to-day service needs	19
9/10/2003	Transportation Focus Group – Discuss and make recommendations on transportation issues.	22
9/23/2003	Land Use and Zoning Wrap Up – Discuss outstanding land use and zoning issues	42
10/7/2003	Property Owner Meeting – Property owners ask questions and provide feedback on land use and zoning proposals for their property	83
10/16/2003	Romeria Land use Meeting – Discuss the land use and zoning recommendations for the Romeria Subdistrict	20
10/21/2003	Parks, Open Space, and Infrastructure Focus Group – Discuss and make recommendations on parks, open space and environment issues	25
11/15/2003	Workshop 2 – Present the recommendations In the draft plan and gather stakeholder feedback	58
12/4/2003	Urban Design and Historic Preservation – Discuss and make recommendations on urban design and historic preservation issues.	24
12/17/2003	Wrap Up Meeting – Review the results from the workshop and survey, any changes to plan recommendations, and the plan document.	47

VISION AND GOALS

Vision

The Brentwood/Highland neighborhoods will be clean, safe, attractive, well maintained communities that will preserve and enhance their existing diverse characters of affordable, single-family, owner-occupied homes and unique businesses that are built to scale. The neighborhoods will encourage limited mixed-use development, create parks and green spaces, build a strong sense of community, and provide accessibility for all means of transportation.

Goals

Land Use Goals

- 1. Preserve and enhance the single-family residential areas and housing opportunities for persons with disabilities.
- 2. Maintain existing civic and community institutions.
- 3. Encourage a mixture of compatible and appropriately scaled business and residential land uses in the neighborhood and mixed-use development on major corridors to enhance this diversity.
- 4. Preserve locally owned small businesses in the neighborhood and encourage new ones that are walkable and serve the needs of the neighborhood.
- 5. Focus higher density uses and mixed-use development on major corridors, and enhance the corridors by adding incentives for creative, aesthetically pleasing, pedestrian-friendly redevelopment.
- 6. Improve affordability of home-ownership and rental properties.

Transportation Goals

- 1. Maintain a traffic pattern that provides easy access to destinations, while keeping thru-traffic off of interior streets by creating safe and efficient corridors and arterials.
- 2. Create a bicycle and pedestrian network that is safe and accessible for people of all ages and mobility levels, by improving routes and facilities for walkers and cyclists.
- 3. Provide public transit options and accessibility.

Parks, Open Space, and Environment Goals

- 1. Preserve and enhance existing parks, green spaces, and recreation facilities and add new parks and green spaces to ensure that all areas of the neighborhood have a park or green space nearby.
- 2. Improve drainage along neighborhood creeks and streets and prevent erosion by using natural materials.

Urban Design and Historic Preservation Goals

- 1. Preserve the diversity, character and scale of homes in the neighborhood by encouraging renovations and new development to be compatible with existing homes.
- 2. Improve the appearance of major corridors by reducing and improving signage, improving lighting, and adding trees, landscaping and public art.
- 3. Preserve historic properties identified as contributing to neighborhood character.

TOP TEN PRIORITIES

Brentwood Neighborhood

- 1. Established single-family areas should retain SF-3 zoning
- 2. Focus higher intensity uses on Burnet Road and Lamar Blvd.
- 3. Construct the priority residential sidewalks in the neighborhood
- 4. Prohibit front yard parking in the Brentwood Neighborhood
- 5. Encourage the State of Texas to preserve the Sunshine Community Gardens.

Highland Neighborhood

- 1. Prohibit front yard parking in the Highland neighborhood
- 2. Preserve the footbridge that crosses Waller Creek on Skyview Road and tear up the street adjacent to the bridge on the Guadalupe side for use as green space.
- 3. Maintain commercial zoning on the corridors and in transitional areas between the corridors and residential areas.
- 4. Established single-family areas should retain SF-3 zoning.
- 5. Allow the Neighborhood Urban Center at Anderson and Lamar, Airport and Lamar, and Highland Mall.




Figure Two City of Austin City Limits and Urban Core Neighborhood Planning Areas

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

Population

Between the 1990 and 2000 Census, the city of Austin population increased by fortyone percent (41%), nearly 200,000 people. During the same period, Austin's Urban Core area grew by twenty-two percent (22%), an increase of 64,590 people. Population growth in The Brentwood/Highland Planning Area was significantly lower than growth in Austin's Urban Core (see map on page 9). The Brentwood Neighborhood grew by three percent (3%), and the Highland Neighborhood grew by nine percent (9%).

Area	1990	2000	% Change
Austin/San Marcos MSA*	846,227	1,249,763	+48%
Austin	465,622	656,562	+41%
Urban Core**	291,423	365,042	+25%
Combined Brentwood/Highland Planning Area	11,983	12,567	+5%
Brentwood Neighborhood	7,827	8,041	+3%
Highland Neighborhood	4,156	4,526	+9%

Source: 1990 and 2000 Census

*The MSA (metropolitan statistical area) includes Bastrop, Caldwell, Hays, Travis, and Williamson Counties

**See map on page 9

Racial Makeup

	1	Brentwo	od		Highlan	ıd	Urban Core				
	1990	2000	% change	1990	2000	% change	1990	2000	% change		
White	74%	72%	-2%	67%	56%	-11%	54%	43%	-11%		
Black	3%	2%	-1%	7%	5%	-2%	15%	13%	-2%		
Hispanic	22%	20%	-2%	24%	34%	+10%	28%	40%	+12%		
Asian	2%	3%	+1%	2%	2%	0%	3%	4%	+1%		

Percentage (%) of Population

	E	Brentwoo	bd		Highlan	d
	1990	2000	Change	1990	2000	Change
White	5,759	5,758	-1	2,780	2,554	-226
Black	218	198	-20	276	240	-36
Hispanic	1,696	1,634	-62	983	1,547	+564
Asian	122	212	+90	87	91	+4

The trends in the Highland Planning Area and Austin's Urban Core are nearly identical. In both areas the percentage of the population that is White and Black decreased, while the percentage that is Hispanic increased.

Between the 1990 and 2000 census, both areas saw an eleven percent (11%) decline in the White percentage and a two percent (2%) decline in the Black percentage, at the same time both had an increase in the Hispanic percentage, with Highland's percentage increasing by ten percent (10%) and the Urban Core's by twelve percent (12%). These changes in percentages in the Highland Neighborhood were caused by an increase in the number of Hispanic people in the area (+564) and decreases in the number of White (-226) and Black (-36) people in the area.

The trends in the Brentwood Neighborhood are significantly different than the Highland Neighborhood and the Urban Core. While the other areas had a declining white population and an increasing Hispanic population, the overall racial makeup of Brentwood remained relatively unchanged.

Between the 1990 and 2000 Census, the Brentwood Neighborhood had a two percent (2%) decline in the White percentage, a one percent (1%) decline in the Black percentage, and a two percent (2%) decline on the Hispanic percentage. The largest increase was in the other category, which increased by three percent (3%). This increase is likely due to the change in the 2000 Census that included a multiple race/ethnicity category that allowed people to identify themselves as more than one.

	E	rentwo	bod		Highla	nd	U	rban C	ore
	1990	2000	% change	1990	2000	% change	1990	2000	% change
Under 5 years	7%	5%	-2%	8%	6%	-2%	7%	7%	0%
5 - 17 years	12%	9%	-3%	14%	12%	-2%	14%	14%	0%
18 to 24 years	17%	15%	-2%	14%	13%	-1%	22%	22%	0%
25 to 44 years	42%	45%	+3%	40%	42%	+2%	36%	36%	0%
45 to 54 years	6%	13%	+7%	8%	12%	+4%	7%	10%	+3%
55 to 64 years	6%	5%	-1%	7%	6%	-1%	6%	5%	-1%
65 to 84 years	10%	8%	-2%	9%	8%	-1%	7%	6%	-1%
85 Plus years	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%

Age

The age makeup in the Brentwood and Highland neighborhoods is very similar. They differ from the urban core in that a higher percentage of the population in Brentwood and Highland is between 25 and 44, while a lower percentage is between 18 and 24.

The trends in age makeup are also very similar in the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhoods. Between the 1990 and 2000 census both neighborhoods had a small decrease in the percentage of the population under 24 years of age, a moderate increase in the percentage between 25 and 54, a small decrease in the percentage between 55 and 84, and no change in the percentage over 85.

In contrast to Brentwood and Highland, the percentage of the population under 24 years of age, and between 25 and 44, remained unchanged in the Urban Core.

Income

	Brentwood	Highland	Urban Core
Median Household Income 1990*	\$30,931	\$25,060	\$34,323
Median Household Income 2000	\$35,510	\$32,306	\$42,689
% Change in Household Income	15%	29%	24%
Median Family Income 1990*	\$39,039	\$25,023	\$45,758
Median Family Income 2000	\$42,616	\$33,306	\$54,091
% Change in Family Income	9%	33%	18%

*1990 Income adjusted for inflation

The median household income in Brentwood is slightly higher than Highland, while median family income is significantly higher in Brentwood. Household and family Income in both neighborhoods is lower than the urban core.


Between 1990 and 2000 the percentage increase in household and family income in Highland was twenty-nine (29%) and thirty-three percent (33%) respectively. This was significantly higher than both Brentwood and the Urban Core. The Urban Core increased by twenty-four percent (24%) and eighteen percent (18%), Brentwood increased by fifteen percent (15%) and nine-percent (9%).

Housing

	Brentwood			Highland			Urban Core		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Total Housing Units	4,150	4,275	3%	2,094	2,092	0%	142,582	150,469	6%
Vacant Units	379	102	-73%	251	68	-73%	18,853	5,708	-70%
%Owner Occupied	36%	39%	3%	41%	45%	4%	32%	33%	1%
% Renter Occupied	64%	61%	-3%	59%	55%	-4%	68%	67%	-1%

Between 1990 and 2000 total housing units increased by six percent (6%) in the urban core. Brentwood had a three percent (3%) increase in housing units, while Highland remained unchanged.

Both neighborhoods and the Urban Core had a substantial decrease in vacant housing units. The number of vacant units decreased by seventy-three percent (73%) in Brentwood and Highland and seventy percent (70%) in the Urban Core.



Owner/Renter Occupancy

Between 1990 and 2000 the percentage of owner-occupied units increased in both the Brentwood and Highland neighborhoods. In Brentwood the percentage increased by three (3%) percent, from thirty-six percent (36%) to thirty-nine percent (39%). In Highland the percentage increased by four percent (4%), from forty-one (41%) to forty-five percent (45%). The percentage of owner-occupied units increased slightly in the Urban Core from thirty-two percent (32%) to thirty-three percent (33%).

	Brent	wood	High	land	Urban Core	
-	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Average Household Size	2.0	1.9	2.3	· 2.2	2.2	2.3
Average Persons per Acre	7.76	7.97	4.99	6.16	5.76	7.42

Average Household Size and Density


The average household size in Highland and the Urban Core are approximately the same (2.2 and 2.3 respectively), while the average size in Brentwood is slightly lower (1.9). Between 1990 and 2000 household size remained relatively unchanged in both neighborhoods and the urban core.

The density in the Urban core is 7.42 persons per acre. The density in Brentwood is slightly higher at 7.97 persons per acre, while the density in Highland is lower than the urban core at 6.16 persons per acre. Between 1990 and 2000 the density in Brentwood remained relatively unchanged, while the density increased in Highland and the Urban Core.

HISTORY

1881 – The Austin & Northwestern railroad line, now Southern Pacific, is constructed between the cities of Austin and Burnet, dissecting what are now the northern and southern sections of the Highland Neighborhood. The first passenger train is boarded in 1882.

1917 - The Texas School for the Blind and Visually Impaired was established by the legislature in 1856. The school opened in 1856 with seven students at 2310 San Gabriel as the Asylum for the Blind. The school was then moved to a seventy-three-acre tract in the northwestern section of Austin. In 1905 the legislature changed the name to Blind Institute, and in 1915 the name Texas School for the Blind was adopted. In 1917 the School was moved to its present location on 45th Street at the southern edge of the Brentwood Neighborhood.



NEW TEXAS SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND, AUSTIN, TEXAS


Above: Drawing of the New School for the Blind Campus, 1917 Below: Photo of the School for the Blind main building, 1944



19

29 – The ButterKrust Bread Factory is built at what is now 5800 Airport Blvd. ButterKrust Bread was made by the Austin Baking Company, which was founded by the Richter family in 1924.

In 2001, Hoovers Inc., a high tech company, retrofitted the factory and moved its headquarters to the site. Hoovers decided on an industrial style of interior design. That afforded architects, interior designers, and engineers the advantage of retaining many of the former ButterKrust Bread factory's authentic touches such as exposed steel girders, six-foot-square wall exhaust ventilator propeller fans, the steel ceiling, and other existing industrial features.




ButterKrust Factory, 1933

1933 – Threadgill's Restaurant is located at 6416 North Lamar in the Brentwood Neighborood. The historical information included here is from the Threadgills' website and was written by the current owner, Eddie Wilson.


In 1933 a 22-year-old country music lover and enterprising bootlegger Kenneth Threadgill opened a Gulf gas station on what was then known as the Dallas Highway just north of the Austin city limits. After the county voted to "go wet" that year, Kenneth stood in line all night to be the first to get a beer license. Travis County Beer License #01, issued on December 6, 1933, belonged to Threadgill for the next 40 years. In **1935**, Threadgill's gas station and beer joint was open 24 hours a day and gaining fame as an after-hours joint. Musicians working the dancehall circuit hung out here for latenight gambling and jam sessions. In 1942, a curfew was enacted and Kenneth Threadgill finally had to get a key for the front door; the place had never been locked before. Threadgill's went through a slow period during World War II while Kenneth worked for the Corps of Engineers. In 1948, the city limits jumped north and Threadgill's became part of Austin. Kenneth Threadgill gave up on selling gas and Threadgill's became strictly a beer joint. In 1974, Kenneth's wife Mildred died, and Kenneth closed Threadgill's. The city of Austin almost had the place demolished because it had become an eyesore. I wanted to try the Southern cooking thing on a bigger scale, so in 1979, I bought the deserted Threadgill's from Kenneth. The place had been gutted by a fire and needed a whole lot of work, but with Kenneth's encouragement, I dug in and started restoring the place. Almost two years later, Armadillo World Headquarters closed its doors on New Year's Eve, 1980. The next day, January 1, 1981, Threadgill's opened for business as a restaurant. Kenneth Threadgill passed away on March 20, 1987. On September 12, 1987, Kenneth Threadgill's birthday, Threadgill's hosted the first annual Austin Musicians' Appreciation Supper, where any musician in the city could eat free. In 1988, Jimmie Dale Gilmore revived the old Wednesday night music tradition, now called the Sittin', Singin' and Supper Sessions. Threadgill's isn't just a famous Southern-style restaurant. It's also a shrine to Kenneth Threadgill, the Father of the Austin music scene, and to Armadillo World Headquarters and country music and blues and to all the music and art that makes Austin a must-see place to visit.

Excerpted from the Threadgills' website. For more Threadgill's (and Austin) History visit <u>www.Threadgills.com</u>



Threadgill's original Gulf gas station, 1933

Threadgill's restaurant





Jimmie Dale Gilmore at a Threadgill's supper session


1946 – Most of the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhoods are annexed by the City of Austin. The area of the Brentwood Neighborhood North of Koenig Lane and west of Arroyo Seco, and the area of the Highland Neighborhood North of Crestland Drive are not included in this annexation.

1951

- The remainder of the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhood is annexed by the City of Austin.
- Brentwood Elementary School Opens for the 1951-1952 School Year
- Brentwood Park is acquired by the City of Austin

Welcome to-

BRENTWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL



OPEN HOUSE

2 - 5 p.m. Sunday, October 19, 1952


6700 Arroyo Seca



Brentwood Elementary School, 1952



Tree Planting dedication in Brentwood Park, 1952




Brentwood Swimming Pool, 1952

1953 – T.A. Brown Playground is acquired by the City of Austin

1954 - Reilly Elementary School Opens in the Highland Neighborhood

1958 - T.A. Brown Elementary School Opens in the Highland Neighborhood



1964 – Reilly Playground is acquired by the City of Austin

1982 – The Austin Community Garden was founded in 1975 as a non-profit program of the University YWCA and Travis County. The original garden was located on Texas Department of MHMR property at 4903 Guadalupe in "The Triangle." In 1982 the Gardens were moved to their current location on Sunshine Drive.



A University of Texas student gardening at the original Austin Community Garden location, 1979


LAND USE

Existing Conditions

	Brentwood Highland		Urban Core	
於W/注意就要加加+能的完整。例			注意 图表和 26	
Single-Family	44%	31%	27%	
Multi-Family	6%	3%	7%	
Commercial	10%	23%	7%	
Office	7%	12%	3%	
Industrial	1%	2%	8%	
Civic	11%	4%	7%	
Open Space	1%	1%	5%	
Transportation/ROW/Utilities	19%	22%	21%	
Undeveloped	1%	1%	13%	
Other	1%	0%	1%	

Existing Land Use

Land use in both the Brentwood and Highland neighborhoods is primarily single-family residential with commercial development on the major corridors. Both neighborhoods are fully developed, with only one percent 1% of the land in each area remaining undeveloped. Highland has a very large percentage of commercial land due to the fact that Highland Mall is located in the neighborhood. Both neighborhoods have a significantly higher percentage of office space than the urban core. This can be explained by the presence of large state office complexes in both areas. The Brentwood area contains the School for the Blind and the Department of Health, while Highland contains the Department of Public Safety. The Brentwood neighborhood has considerably higher percentage of civic land than either Highland or the urban core. This is due to the fact that the Brentwood neighborhood contains McCallum High School as well as numerous churches. It is also important to point out that only 1% of the land in each neighborhood is reserved for open space, which is a much lower percentage than the urban core.




	Brentwood	Highland	Urban Core	
· 使着。其中的时候,并且是一个				
Single Family	61%	43%	43%	
Multifamily	5%	3%	8%	
Office	3%	1%	3%	
Commercial	20%	41%	15%	
Industrial	0%	2%	16%	
Misc*	13%	10%	13%	
Mixed Use	0%	0%	3%	

Existing Zoning

*Misc includes Planned Unit Development and Development Reserve, Public District, Aviation Services, Unzoned, Unknown and Long Lake acres

There are a few notable differences between the land use and the zoning in both Brentwood and Highland. The amount of single-family zoning is significantly higher than the amount of single-family land use. The reason for this is most of the schools, parks, and churches are zoned single-family, but their use is civic. Another difference is that the amount of office zoning is significantly lower than the amount of office land use. One reason for this is because the state offices are almost exclusively un-zoned which appears in the misc. zoning category rather than the office category. Another factor is that a significant amount of the land with commercial zoning is used for offices. This is also one of the reasons why the amount of commercial zoning is significantly higher than the amount of commercial land use. With another factor being that some of the land that is zoned commercial is used for multi-family.




Land Use Goals

- 1. Preserve and enhance the single-family residential areas and housing opportunities for persons with disabilities.
- 2. Maintain existing civic and community institutions.
- 3. Encourage a mixture of compatible and appropriately scaled business and residential land uses in the neighborhood and mixed-use development on major corridors to enhance this diversity.
- 4. Preserve locally owned small businesses in the neighborhood and encourage new ones that are walkable and serve the needs of the neighborhood.
- 5. Focus higher density uses and mixed-use development on major corridors, and enhance the corridors by adding incentives for creative, aesthetically pleasing, pedestrian-friendly redevelopment.
- 6. Improve affordability of home-ownership and rental properties.

	Brentwood Existing	Brentwood Proposed	Highland Existing	Highland Proposed	Urban Core
	and an end of the second s				
Single-Family	44%	43%	31%	29%	27%
Multi-Family	6%	5%	3%	4%	7%
Commercial	10%	0%	23%	5%	7%
Mixed-Use	0%	13%	0%	29%	0%
Office	7%	5%	12%	6%	3%
Office, Mixed-Use	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%
Industrial	1%	0%	2%	0%	8%
Civic	11%	10%	4%	2%	7%
Open Space	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%
Transportation/ROW/Utilities	19%	19%	22%	22%	21%
Undeveloped	1%	0%	1%	0%	13%
Other	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%


Proposed Land Use



Future Land Use – Sub Area Descriptions

Single-Family Areas

One of the most important goals, and the number one priority recommendation in the neighborhood plan relates to preserving established single-family residential areas. In keeping with this goal the Future Land Use Map designates all of the established single-family areas for single-family uses. The neighborhood plan also attempts to accommodate new growth within the single-family areas by allowing secondary apartments as well as single-family homes on smaller lots in certain areas.




Single-Family home in the Brentwood Neighborhood



Single-Family home in the Highland Neighborhood


Major Corridors

Another important goal of the neighborhood plan is to focus higher-density uses and mixed-use on the major corridors, mainly Burnet Road and Lamar Blvd. One purpose of this goal is to accommodate new residential growth in the neighborhood while still maintaining the existing character and scale of the interior single-family areas. Another purpose is to encourage pedestrian-oriented commercial and mixed-use redevelopment on these major corridors. In keeping with this goal the Future Land Use Map designates Burnet and Lamar as commercial mixed-use. The neighborhood plan also provides incentives for mixed-use redevelopment by allowing the Neighborhood Urban Center special use in certain locations



Burnet Road looking North from 49th Street

Currently the major corridors in the neighborhood have lowdensity commercial uses. The businesses are often setback from the street with parking lots in front. The billboards and excessive signage make the corridors unattractive.



These photos of Hillcrest Village in St. Paul, Minnesota illustrate the desired transformation.

Mixed-use buildings built closer to the street with parking in the rear would improve the character of major corridors in the neighborhood and make them more pedestrianoriented. The addition of street trees and the removal of excessive signage and billboards would also make the major corridors more attractive.

Brentwood Neighborhood

Koenig Lane


Koenig Lane is one of the most important land use issues in the Brentwood Neighborhood. Koenig Lane has two distinct segments in Brentwood. Woodrow Ave divides the two segments, with one running east of Woodrow to Lamar, and the other west of Woodrow to Burnet. The character of the two segments is significantly different. Most of the segment that is west of Woodrow was platted or subdivided into smaller lots (approximately 6,000 - 8,000 square feet) that were suitable for single-family homes. Historically most of this segment was used as single-family residential land uses. The segment that is east of Woodrow has larger lot sizes. Historically this segment was used as office or commercial uses.

As Koenig Lane started to carry more traffic in the 1980's and 1990's some of the properties in the segment that is west of Woodrow began to convert to office and commercial uses. These conversions were done in a piecemeal fashion creating a mixed land use pattern including single-family and office uses, as well as some commercial uses. This created a mixed land use pattern and in some cases created situations where adjacent uses were incompatible. The neighborhood stakeholders were very eager to have an opportunity to plan all of Koenig Lane in a comprehensive way.



Small office in the western segment of Koenig Lane

This single-family home that has been remodeled and converted into a small office is an example of the type of office uses that are desired for the mid-block properties in the western segment of Koenig Lane (between Burnet and Woodrow).




ī.

Western Segment


The vision for the western segment of Koenig Lane is to create a land use pattern that provides a range of viable uses, ensures that uses are compatible with the nearby single-family residential areas, and accommodates some neighborhood serving commercial uses where appropriate. Today, Koenig Lane carries 25,000 – 30,000 vehicle trips a day, and almost all of the stakeholders agree that this type of traffic is incompatible with low-density single-family land uses. However, the plan does encourage some higher-density residential on this segment of the street.

The Future Land Use Map designates the mid-block properties as Office Mixed-Use. The desired land use on mid-block properties is for small-scale office uses and some residential preferably above the office use.

The Future Land Use Map designates the intersections as Commercial Mixed-Use. The desired land use at intersections west of Woodrow is for neighborhood and pedestrianoriented commercial and some residential preferably above the commercial use. West 6th Street, between Lamar and Mopac, with its mix of small retail, office and apartments is a model for the type of pedestrian-oriented mixed-use that is desired for the western segment of Koenig Lane. Like West 6th Street the types of uses that are desired for the commercial properties in this part of Koenig Lane includes small and locally-owned businesses such as restaurants, bakeries, art galleries, and antique stores.



Locally-owned small business at an intersection in the western segment of Koenig Lane.



Sweetish Hill Bakery on West 6th Street


Eastern Segment

The eastern segment of Koenig Lane currently consists of commercial uses including auto sales, service stations, restaurants and offices. Many of the current uses are automobile-oriented.

The Future Land Use Map designates the eastern segment of Koenig Lane as Commercial Mixed-Use. The plan envisions a transition for this segment as existing businesses leave and redevelopment occurs. The desired uses for the area are pedestrian-oriented commercial as well as some residential preferably above the commercial uses.



First Texas Honda is the dominant business in this segment of the street.



An example of the desired transition for this area is this Mixed Use Building with retail on the ground floor and residences above in. Portland, OR

South Brentwood Mixed Residential

In the southern part of the Brentwood Neighborhood there are several areas that have a mix of single-family and multi-family uses. Some of the areas are primarily single-family with some multi-family mixed in, while others are primarily multi-family with some single-family mixed in. These mixed residential areas generated a lot of discussion during the planning process. Some neighborhood stakeholders wanted to create a more consistent pattern of land uses by designating each of the mixed-areas as either single-family or multi-family depending on the primary use in the area. Other stakeholders wanted to maintain the diverse pattern of single-family and multi-family in these mixed residential areas.


The stakeholders eventually decided that mixed-residential areas were desired. The mixed-residential land use pattern was chosen to encourage a diversity of housing types as well as residents that have diverse incomes, ages, and lifestyles. It is important to stress that the plan recommends maintaining the existing character and scale of each of the mixed-residential areas.

Single-Family Areas


The areas that are primarily single-family are established single-family areas, and the existing multi-family is generally very small, with a scale and character that is compatible with the single-family homes. The plan strongly recommends the maintenance of this character. The Future Land Use Map designates this area as a mix of single-family and multi-family. However, the FLUM specifically attempts to prevent numerous adjoining multi-family properties in order to prevent the possibility of large apartment buildings and complexes. The plan also strongly encourages new multi-family developments in this area follow the design guidelines for multi-family projects. These guidelines help ensure that multi-family developments will be consistent with adjacent single-family homes (Urban Design Objective 3, page 116).



This triplex is example of the type of small multi-family that currently exists in the Jim Hogg and Joe Sayers areas. Any redevelopment of the multi-family properties should be in keeping with the current scale and character of each area.




Jim Hogg Area



Joe Sayers Area


Roosevelt Multi-family Area



The Roosevelt area is primarily multi-family. It has some medium size apartment buildings, but it does not have large apartment buildings or complexes. In addition, the single-family homes in the area bring diversity, and make it feel more like a neighborhood. The plan strongly recommends the maintenance of this character. The Future Land Use Map designates this area as a mix of single-family and multi-family.

The FLUM specifically attempts to maintain the pattern whereby the single-family properties are interspersed among the multi-family properties in order to prevent large apartment buildings and complexes. The plan also strongly encourages new multi-family developments in this area follow the design guidelines for multifamily projects. These guidelines help ensure that multi-family developments will be consistent

with adjacent single-family homes (Urban Design Objective 3, page 116).



Single-family home in the primarily multi-family Roosevelt area

Clay and Adams


Burnet Road is laid out on an angle as it goes through Brentwood, and this has caused some land use issues in the neighborhood. Clay Street and Adams Street are primarily residential streets that run in the north/south direction. However. due to the angle of Burnet road they also intersect with Burnet Road. Where these two streets intersect with Burnet Road they create a commercial node. The problem this creates is a mix of single-family homes and commercial uses on the same street.

Despite the heavy commercial zoning that is allowed on Adams and Clay Streets many of the current uses are small businesses that are compatible with the adjacent single-family homes.



However, some of the heavier uses that are allowed also exist in the area. The plan attempts to designate future land uses that ensures compatibility between the commercial and residential uses.


The Future Land Use Map designates the commercial node primarily as commercial mixed-use. The objective of the plan is to create a gradual transition from the highest intensity uses at the intersection of Burnet and Adams to lower intensity uses on Adams as it transitions to single-family residential, and the lowest intensity uses on Clay Street which is directly across the street from single-family residential.



These warehouses on the west side of Clay Street are the dominant business on the street. They take up most of the commercial property that fronts onto Clay Street.



Single-family homes on the east side of Clay street across the street from the commercial properties on the west side of the street.




Small office building on Adams Street




Warehouse on Adams Street

Burnet Lane

Burnet Lane serves as a transitional area between Burnet Road and the single-family residential in the interior of the neighborhood. The current uses on Burnet Lane are primarily warehouses, building contractors, printing shops and other similar commercial businesses. The vision for this street is a pedestrian-oriented commercial street that would serve as a transition between the higher intensity commercial uses on Burnet Road and the single-family residential in the interior of the neighborhood. The neighborhood plan recommendation takes into account the current uses on the street, and fact that this area will likely take a significant amount of time to develop into a pedestrian oriented mixed-use area. The Future Land Use Map designates this area as Commercial Mixed-Use. The goal for this area is for a transition to pedestrian-oriented retail and residential if existing businesses leave and redevelopment occurs. The objective of the plan is to continue to accommodate the commercial uses that exist on the street, while also working towards the desired transition. The plan attempts to accomplish this by encouraging mixed-use development and preventing additional uses that are inconsistent with the vision for the street.




These warehouses on Burnet Lane are an example of the types of businesses that currently exist on the street. Other common uses on the street include building supply companies and auto repair.



Romeria Gateway

The Romeria Gateway is a node near the intersection of Lamar Blvd. and Romeria Street that serves as one of the primary eastern entrances to the Brentwood Neighborhood.



Commercial


The Future Land Use Map designates the properties along Lamar as Commercial. Most of the commercial properties front onto Lamar and the objective for these properties is for retail and other commercial that serves the neighborhood as well as the larger community. There are also a few commercial properties that front onto Romeria, and the desired use for these properties is pedestrian-oriented retail that serves the neighborhood. The objective of the plan is to discourage auto-oriented uses and heavier commercial uses in the Romeria Gateway, especially for the properties that front onto Romeria.



The current businesses in the Romeria Gateway that front onto Romeria include auto repair and the appliance sales and service business that is pictured here.

Multi-family Residential

The Future Land Use Map designates the first two residential properties on both sides of the street along Romeria within the Romeria Gateway as Multi-Family. The objective for these two residential properties is to encourage redevelopment, create a vibrant entryway and serve as a transition into the single-family interior of the neighborhood. The plan purposefully halts the multi-family land uses after these first two lots in the residential area, and the plan does not support any expansion of multi-family land use along Romeria. The plan also strongly encourages new multi-family developments in this area follow the design guidelines for multi-family projects. These guidelines help ensure that multi-family developments will be consistent with adjacent single-family homes (Urban Design Objective 3, page 116).



This apartment building in the Romeria Gateway does not face the street, instead it has a parking lot along the front. The plan encourages redevelopment that is pedestrian-oriented and compatible with the adjacent single-family residential area.

Single-Family Residential

Most of the single-family properties in the Romeria Gateway are duplexes. While some of the duplexes are of the same character and scale as the other residential areas in the neighborhood, some of the duplexes are poorly maintained, do not face the street, or have carports as the dominant feature of the building facade. The plan encourages redevelopment of these structures. The Future Land Use Map designates the properties on both sides of the street between the multi-family and the creek as singlefamily, and also allows the urban home special use in this area. The objective for this area is to encourage redevelopment, continue the vibrant entryway a little further into the neighborhood, and serve as an additional transition into the heart of the single-family interior of the neighborhood.



This duplex in the Romeria Gateway does not face the street and has parking in the front and along side of the building


North Loop


The segment of North Loop between Burnet Road and Woodrow is one of the few streets in the neighborhood where non-residential uses enter into the interior part of the neighborhood. This segment of the street currently has a mix of commercial, office, and multi-family uses. All of these uses are of a very small scale and a character that is compatible with its location in the interior of the neighborhood. The vision for this area is to take advantage of this mixed land use pattern by encouraging a diverse, pedestrian-oriented node that accommodates neighborhood serving commercial uses. It is important to stress that the plan recommends maintaining the existing character and scale of the area.

The Future Land Use Map designates this area as a mix of commercial mixed-use, office mixed-use, and multi-family. The objective of the plan is to accommodate small neighborhood serving retail, small neighborhood offices, and small multi-family. The plan also strongly encourages new multi-family developments in this area follow the design guidelines for multi-family projects. These guidelines were designed to help ensure that multi-family developments would be compatible with adjacent single-family areas, but they also help accomplish the goal of pedestrian-orientation (Urban Design Objective 3, page 116).



This single-family home that has been remodeled and converted into a small office is an example of the type of office uses that currently exist in the area. Any new offices should be of a similar scale and character.







This beauty salon is an example of the type of small, locallyowned business that currently exists in the area. Any new retail should be pedestrian-oriented retail that serves the neighborhood and is of a similar scale and character.

49th Street

49th Street between Burnet and Grover serves as a transitional area between the office uses to the south, the State of Texas properties to the south and east, and the interior single-family part of the neighborhood to the north. 49th Street currently has a mix of single-family, multi-family and office uses. The Future Land Use Map designates this area as Office Mixed-Use. The objective of the plan is to allow the single-family properties, which are isolated from the established single-family area to the north, to transition to office or other residential uses.





This segment of 49th Street is directly across the street from the main Texas Department of Health building. Girling Health Care is the dominant business on the North side of the street. The company has offices on both corners (Grover and Woodrow), and they own most of the properties in between.

Highland

St. Johns

St. John's is a very important land use issue in the Highland Neighborhood. Nearly all the lots on St. Johns were created for single-family uses. These properties have always been used as single-family homes and this condition still exists today.

St. John's has had a significant amount of traffic for some time. The last time that St. John's was analyzed it was determined to carry about 9,000 vehicle trips a day. The Highland neighborhood stakeholders believe that the traffic on St. Johns is increasing. Some of this is due to general increases in traffic in Austin, and some appears to be related to the closure of the Anderson Lane exit on IH-35. The neighborhood stakeholders are concerned that with this increasing amount of traffic, St. Johns is becoming incompatible with low-density single-family land uses. The stakeholders are further concerned that if low-density single-family is not viable, but it is the only land use that is allowed, properties will not be invested in, and they will deteriorate.


The vision for St. Johns is to encourage investment in the properties on the street by allowing some higher density residential uses that will be viable for the long term, while also ensuring that those uses are compatible with adjacent single-family homes. The plan also recommends small office uses at intersections, as well as a node of neighborhood serving retail.

Townhouses and Condominiums

The Future Land Use Map designates all of the mid-block properties on St. Johns as High-Density Single Family. The desired land use for mid-block properties is for small-scale townhouses and condominiums




This is an example of the type of townhouses that are desired on St. Johns.



Small Offices

The Future Land Use Map designates all of the properties at intersections on St. Johns as Office, Mixed-Use. The desired land use for intersections is for small offices, and residential.



This house that has been converted into a small office is located in the Highland Neighborhood on Denson Drive. This is an example of the type of office that is desired at intersections on St. Johns.

Neighborhood Retail

An important goal for the neighborhood is to accommodate retail that serves neighborhood needs, and is within walking distance of homes in the interior of the neighborhood. In order to accommodate this the Future Land Use Map designates a small segment of St. Johns near Guadalupe as Commercial Mixed-Use. The desired land use on this segment of St. Johns is for neighborhood and pedestrianoriented commercial and some residential preferably above the commercial use.



This small neighborhood-serving retail in Portland, OR is an example of the type of uses that are desired for the commercial properties on St. Johns.

Lamar-Airport Triangle


The Lamar-Airport Triangle is the area between Lamar Blvd., Airport Blvd., and Gaylor Street. This area currently contains a mix of industrial and commercial uses. The industrial uses are in the northern part of the triangle adjacent to the railroad tracks. The commercial uses, which include construction and building supply companies and auto repair shops, are between the industrial area to the north and the single-family homes to the south.

In considering the future land use of this area it is important to consider some of the other long-range planning efforts that will likely affect this area. Some of the most important plans for this area relate to transportation. Capital Metro's long-range transportation plan for Austin (see page 86) includes commuter rail, light rail, express bus, and HOV lanes. Two of those elements, commuter rail and light rail, would have a very large impact on this area. The proposed commuter rail would run along the rail line along Airport Blvd. through this area. The proposed light rail route would run along Lamar Blvd through his area, with a stop proposed for this location. The two proposed routes cross at the intersection of Lamar and Airport, which is at the tip of the Lamar-Airport Triangle area. If both plans are implemented this would be an obvious location for a multi-modal stop connecting commuter rail and light rail. There is no doubt that this would have a profound impact on this area.


The vision for this area is for a transformation from an industrial and heavy commercial area into a more dense, mixed-use, transit-oriented development node. The Future Land Use Map designates this area as Commercial Mixed-Use.

Southern Section

The southern section is the commercial area along Shirley and Williams Streets. This is between the industrial uses to the north and the single-family homes to the south. This area is being treated differently than the northern section due to its proximity to single-family homes. The objective for this area is to continue to accommodate the commercial uses that exist on the street, while also working towards the desired transition. The plan attempts to accomplish this by encouraging mixed-use development and preventing additional uses that are inconsistent with this vision.



Relatively small commercial mixed-use, like this two story building in Portland, OR, would be appropriate in the southern section of the triangle.



Northern Section

The northern section is the industrial area in the northern part of the triangle adjacent to the railroad tracks. Larger commercial mixed-use would be appropriate in the northern section of the triangle. The objective of the plan for this area is to slowly work towards the desired transition by continuing to accommodate all commercial uses, but preventing any new industrial uses.



Mixed-use development at 6th Street and Lamar



Mixed-use development in Dallas, TX

Guadalupe Mixed Residential Area

The Guadalupe mixed-residential area is along Guadalupe between Kenniston and St. Johns, as well as the smaller streets off of Guadalupe, which include Kenniston, Swanee, and Kawnee. This area currently has a mix of single-family and multi-family uses. The existing single-family is primarily duplexes, and the existing multi-family is primarily small buildings with 3 or 4 units rather than large buildings or complexes. The vision for this area is to encourage re-development, a diversity of housing types, and residents that have diverse incomes, ages, and lifestyles. It is very important to stress that the plan recommends maintaining the existing character and scale of the area.


The Future Land Use Map designates this area as a mix of High-Density Single-Family and Multi-Family. However, the FLUM specifically attempts to prevent numerous adjoining multi-family properties in order to prevent the possibility of large apartment buildings and complexes. The plan also strongly suggests that new multi-family developments in this area follow the design guidelines for multi-family projects. These guidelines help ensure that multi-family developments will be consistent with adjacent single-family uses (Urban Design Objective 3, page 116).




This triplex is example of the type of small multi-family that currently exists in the area.



This recently constructed four-unit apartment building is an example of the type of small multi-family that is in keeping with the current scale and character of the area.




Northcrest Gateway



Commercial

The Northcrest Gateway is a node near the intersection of Anderson Lane and Northcrest Blvd. that serves as the primary northern entrance to the Highland Neighborhood. The Future Land Use Map designates the properties along Anderson as Commercial. The objective for the commercial properties in the gateway is for retail and other commercial that serves the neighborhood as well as the larger community including general retail and restaurants. Moreover, the objective of the plan is to discourage auto-oriented uses and heavier commercial uses in the Northcrest Gateway.



The truck repair business behind the bank is the type of heavy commercial use that the plan discourages in the Northcrest Gateway. The plan encourages a transition to general retail.

Residential

The Future Land Use Map designates the residential along Northcrest within the Northcrest Gateway as Multi-Family. The objective for these residential properties is to encourage redevelopment and create a node of higher-density residential development that will create a vibrant entryway and serve as a transition into the single-family interior of the neighborhood. The plan purposefully halts the multi-family land uses a few lots into the residential area, and the plan does not support any expansion of multi-family land use along Northcrest.




Most of the single-family properties in the Northcrest Gateway are duplexes that are poorly maintained and out of character with the rest of the neighborhood. The plan encourages redevelopment of these structures and provides an incentive by allowing multi-family uses.



These condominiums in the Northcrest Gateway are an example of the type of high-quality development that is desired at the Northcrest entrance to the neighborhood.

Dillard Circle

Dillard Circle serves as a transitional area between Airport Blvd. and the Skyview neighborhood, which is exclusively single-family residential. The current uses in the area are primarily warehouses, building supply companies, and other similar businesses. The vision for this street is for retail and other commercial that serves the neighborhood as well as the larger community including general retail and restaurants. The neighborhood plan recommendation takes into account the current uses on the street, and fact that this area will likely take a significant amount of time to develop into a commercial mixed-use area. The Future Land Use Map designates this area as Commercial Mixed-Use. The goal for this area is for a transition to pedestrian-oriented retail and residential if existing businesses leave and redevelopment occurs. The objective of the plan is to continue to accommodate the commercial uses that exist on the street, while also working towards the desired transition. The plan attempts to accomplish this by encouraging mixed-use development and preventing additional uses that are inconsistent with the vision for the street.





Building supply business on Dillard Circle



Austin American Statesman Warehouse on Dillard Circle



Vacant land on Dillard Circle adjacent to the single-family homes in the Skyview neighborhood

Brentwood Land Use Objectives and Recommendations

Land Use Objective B1: Preserve single-family residential areas


Recommendations:

- 1. Established single-family areas should retain SF-3 zoning
- 2. Allow small-lot amnesty in the Brentwood neighborhood to make legally created small lots available for single-family development.

Land Use Objective B2: Allow single-family special use options that encourage affordable housing and preserves the character of the single-family residential areas

Recommendations:

1. Allow the seceondary apartment special use option in the Brentwood Neighborhood



2. Allow the Urban home special use option in the Romeria Subdistrict

Example of a secondary apartment in the Hyde Park Neighborhood

Land Use Objective B3: Ensure that there is a mix of residential and commercial zoning to accommodate both housing and the services resident's need in the neighborhood, and that commercial zoning in each area is appropriate for its location.

Recommendations:

- 1. Maintain residential zoning in the interior of the neighborhood.
- 2. Maintain commercial zoning on the corridors and in transitional areas between the corridors and residential areas.
- 3. Allow mixed use on commercial corridors.

Land Use Objective B4: Encourage the development of neighborhood serving businesses and offices by maintaining and adding neighborhood commercial and limited office on smaller corridors and in transitional areas between corridors and residential areas where appropriate.

Recommendations:

- 1. Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining District on Koenig and interior properties with commercial or office zoning.
- 2. Allow neighborhood serving commercial uses at intersections on Koenig west of Woodrow.
- 3. Allow neighborhood and community serving office uses on interior properties on Koenig west of Woodrow.
- 4. Allow neighborhood and community serving office uses on 49th Street between Burnet and Lamar
- 5. Allow neighborhood and community serving office uses and neighborhood serving commercial uses where appropriate in transitional areas between Burnet Road and the interior single-family residential areas.

Land Use Objective B5: Focus higher density uses on major corridors and add special use options to enhance the corridors

Recommendations:

- 1. Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining District on Burnet, Lamar, and Koenig Lane east of Woodrow.
- 2. Allow the Neighborhood Urban Center in the area between Burnet Road and Burnet Lane and south of Justin Lane.
- 3. Focus higher intensity uses on Burnet Road and Lamar Blvd.

Highland Land Use Objectives and Recommendations

Land Use Objective H1: Preserve single-family residential areas

Recommendations:

- 1. Established single-family areas should retain SF-3 zoning.
- 2. Allow small-lot amnesty in the Highland neighborhood to make legally created small lots available for single-family development.

Land Use Objective H2: Allow single-family special use options that encourage affordable housing and preserves the character of the single-family residential areas

Recommendations:

- 1. Allow the secondary apartment special use option in the Highland Neighborhood.
- 2. Allow the cottage lot special use option in the Highland South Subdistrict.



3. Allow the cottage lot special use in the Highland North Subdistrict

Example of smaller lot single-family homes in Houston

Land Use Objective H3: Ensure that there is a mix of residential and commercial zoning to accommodate both housing and the services resident's need in the neighborhood, and that commercial zoning in each area is appropriate for its location.

Recommendations:

- 1. Maintain residential zoning in the interior of the neighborhood.
- 2. Maintain commercial zoning on the corridors and in transitional areas between the corridors and residential areas.
- 3. Allow mixed use on commercial corridors.

Land Use Objective H4: Encourage the development of neighborhood serving businesses and offices by maintaining and adding neighborhood commercial and limited office on smaller corridors and in transitional areas between corridors and residential areas where appropriate.

Recommendations:

- 1. Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining District on St. Johns and interior properties with commercial or office zoning.
- 2. Allow neighborhood serving commercial uses on St. Johns, on the south side between Northcrest and Lamar, and the north side between Marcel and Lamar.
- 3. Allow neighborhood and community serving office uses on St. Johns. On the south side between Twincrest and Northcrest, and the north side between Twin Crest and Marcel.

Land Use Objective H5: Focus higher density uses on major corridors and add special use options to enhance the corridors


Recommendations:


- 1. Add the Mixed-Use (MU) Combining District on Burnet, Lamar.
- 2. Allow the neighborhood Mixed Use Building Special Use on the south side of St. Johns between Lamar and Marcel
- 3. Allow the Neighborhood Urban Center at Anderson and Lamar, Airport and Lamar, and Highland Mall.


TRANSPORTATION

Transportation Goals

- 1. Maintain a traffic pattern that provides easy access to destinations, while keeping thru-traffic off of interior streets by creating safe and efficient corridors and arterials.
- 2. Create a bicycle and pedestrian network that is safe and accessible for people of all ages and mobility levels, by improving routes and facilities for walkers and cyclists
- 3. Provide public transit options and accessibility.








Roadway Transportation Network: AMATP and CAMPO 2025 Plans

There are two major organizations that plan roadways in Austin. The first is the Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO), created by federal mandate and charged with developing an integrated transportation plan for the regional area of Central Texas. Federally mandated Metropolitan planning organizations exist all over the country and are expected to conduct exhaustive data analyses in preparation for their roadway and transportation plans. The CAMPO 2025 Plan serves as a guide for long-range planning for federally funded transportation projects and serves as a comprehensive transportation plan for the governmental jurisdictions within the CAMPO area. These include the Texas Department of Transportation, Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority, nineteen municipalities, and all of Travis, Williamson, and Hays counties.

The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP) is intended to guide arterial roadway network decisions for approximately the next twenty-five years. The AMATP does not mandate a schedule for roadway construction projects, but rather identifies a proposed future major roadway system. It uses the CAMPO 2025 Plans as its foundation and adds alternative recommendations and additional data where the AMATP planning team deems appropriate.

Roadway	Segment	Existing	Proposed	Required ROW	Existing ROW
2222/Koenig	Burnet - N. Lamar	MAU 4	MAD 4	114	<100
2222/Koenig	N. Lamar - Airport	MAU 4	MAD 4	114	80
Airport Blvd.	N. Lamar - RM 2222	MAD 4	MAD 6	140	<138
Justin Ln.	Burnet - Woodrow	MNR 2	MNR 4	86	60
Justin Ln.	Woodrow - N. Lamar	MNR 2	MNR 2	86	60
Lamar Blvd.	US 183 - Airport	MAD 4	MAD 6	140	100
Lamar Blvd.	Airport - Justin	MAD 4	MAD 6	140	80
Lamar Blvd.	Justin - Guadalupe	MAD 4	MAD 6	140	80
North Loop Blvd.	Burnet - N. Lamar	MNR 4	Existing		




Brentwood Neighborhood Transportation Recommendations

<u>**Transportation Objective B1:**</u> Improve pedestrian safety and mobility in the Brentwood Neighborhood

Recommendations:


- 1. Construct the following priority residential sidewalks in the neighborhood:
 - #1: Woodrow Complete the gap between Koenig Lane and North Loop blvd.
 - #2: Grover Complete the gaps multiple small gaps between Koenig Lane and Justin Lane
 - #3: Romeria Laird to Arroyo Seco and Grover to Lamar



Woodrow is the primary collector street in the Brentwood Neighborhood. The street has a bus route, bike lanes, and a sidewalk that is continuous through most of the neighborhood. Completing the sidewalk gap on Woodrow is one of the top priorities in the neighborhood plan

- 2. Construct sidewalks on the following residential streets in Brentwood:
 - Houston Street Complete the gap between Aurora and Grover
 - Arroyo Seco Complete the gap on the east side of the street between Ruth and Justin
 - Alguno Between Arroyo Seco and Woodrow
 - Laird and Hardy Between Koenig Lane and Justin lane
 - Burnet Lane Between Burnet Road and Justin Lane

- 3. Construct or improve sidewalks on the following arterial streets in Brentwood:
 - Justin Lane Complete the gap between Woodrow and Reese Lane
 - Koenig Lane South side of the street between Burnet and Lamar
 - Lamar Blvd. Complete the gaps on both sides of the street between 45th St. and Airport Blvd.
 - Eliminate rolled curbs and curb cuts to prevent sidewalk flooding on Lamar.
- 4. Install wider sidewalks on major corridors including Koenig Lane, Burnet Rd., and Lamar Blvd.



5. Include a planter strip on sidewalks wherever possible.

Planter strips allow for street trees and other landscaping between pedestrians and automobile traffic. The landscaping keeps pedestrians further from the street, provides shade during the summer, and can slow traffic by creating the perception that the road is narrower than it actually is.

- 6. Include a pedestrian safe area on Lamar if the road is widened to six lanes.
- 7. Create safe pedestrian crossings at the following locations:
 - Across Koenig Lane at Arroyo Seco.
 - Across Lamar between Koenig and North Loop. (possibly at Houston Street)
- 8. Investigate the possibility of creating an easement through the commercial property on Burnet Road to connect to the North Loop Branch Library.

<u>**Transportation Objective B2:**</u> Improve pedestrian safety and mobility for blind and visually impaired pedestrians in the Brentwood Neighborhood

Recommendations:

1. Construct a sidewalk on 49th St. from Burnet to Sunshine (South Side) to address safety issues for blind and visually impaired pedestrians

Note: The neighborhood plan would not normally recommend a sidewalk on a residential street that already has a sidewalk on one side of the street. The reason that the neighborhood plan is including this recommendation is for the safety of the blind and visually impaired pedestrians. Blind and visually impaired pedestrians use this street frequently and there is no safe way for them to cross 49th St. at Woodrow. Also, dips in the sidewalk on the north side make it difficult for wheelchairs to navigate.

2. Create a safe crossing at the intersection of 49th St. and Woodrow. Explore the option of adding a traffic signal at this intersection that is timed with the light at Burnet Road to implement this recommendation.

Note: The neighborhood plan would not normally recommend a traffic signal as we are aware that traffic signals are typically installed if they meet warrants. The reason that the neighborhood plan is including this recommendation is for the safety of the blind and visually impaired pedestrians. Blind and visually impaired pedestrians use this street frequently and due to the alignment of the intersection there is no safe way for them to cross 49th St. at Woodrow.

- 3. Improve the markings on the ramp at the northeast corner of 49th St. and Woodrow by adding a marker that designates the end of the sidewalk. Currently the ramp blends into the street and it is difficult for blind and visually impaired pedestrians to notice that the sidewalk is ending and the street is beginning.
- 4. Improve the crosswalk at the bus stop on Sunshine connecting the Chris Cole Rehabilitation Center with the bus stop and sidewalk on the west side of the street. For this crossing use raised pavement, flashing lights, and a sign indicating that state law requires cars to stop like the crossing on 45th St. at Shipe Park.
- 5. Improve the crosswalk across 49th St. @ Sunshine.
- 6. Include a planter strip on sidewalks wherever possible, especially those near the School for the Blind and the Chris Cole Rehabilitation Center. The planter strip keeps blind and visually impaired pedestrians further from the street in case of a slip, and allows them to navigate intersections more easily.

<u>**Transportation Objective B3:**</u> Improve bicycle safety and mobility in the Brentwood Neighborhood

Recommendations:

- 1. Install the following bike lanes as proposed in the City's Bike Master Plan:
 - Woodrow from Koenig Lane to 49th St.
 - North Loop from Lamar Blvd. to Burnet Rd.
- 2. Develop a bike path on Lamar between:
 - 45th St. and Sunshine Dr. (West Side)



Example of a shared sidewalk and bike path.

Transportation Objective B4: Improve the accessibility of public transit

Recommendations:

- 1. Return Bus Route #5 to its original route Woodrow to 49th St. to Sunshine Dr.
- 2. Study the three southbound bus stops on Lamar between Houston and Koenig to determine of all three are necessary
- 3. Move the southbound bus stop on Lamar and 51st street 100-200 feet to the north to avoid flooding and splashing problems.

Transportation Objective B5: Improve automobile safety and efficiency

Recommendations:

- 1. Make the following changes to AMATP
 - Maintain 2-lane minor arterial on Justin Lane between Burnet Rd. and Woodrow.
 - Recommend 2-lane divided on North Loop between Lamar and Burnet to accommodate two lanes of auto traffic, a turn lane, and bike lanes.
 - Support the current AMATP recommendation for 4-lane divided on Koenig Lane
- 2. Improve the intersection of 49th St. and Woodrow to address poor visibility
- 3. Re-align the intersection of Jeff Davis and North Loop so that Jeff Davis intersect with Burnet Rd. rather than North Loop.
 - As an interim measure prohibit left turns from Jeff Davis onto North Loop.
- 4. Create a safe left turn from Koenig Lane onto Arroyo Seco

Transportation Objective B6: Prohibit front yard parking

Recommendations:

1. Prohibit front yard parking in Brentwood

Highland Neighborhood Transportation Recommendations

<u>**Transportation Objective H1:**</u> Improve pedestrian safety and mobility in the Highland Neighborhood

Recommendations:

1. Construct the following priority residential sidewalks in the neighborhood:

#1: Huntland Dr. – fill gaps: North side (1.west of Brenda and 2. east of Twin Crest), South side (east of Twin Crest).

#2: Crestland - From Eastcrest to Lamar

Crestland provides an east/west connection to Lamar as an alternative to the dangerous St. Johns sidewalks. The segment between Northcrest and Eastcrest is important because many children who ride the bus get off at a stop on Northcrest and use Crestland and Eastcrest to get to St. Johns to go to Webb MS. The segment between Guadalupe and Northcrest is important because it is on a bus line with 2 stops.

#3: Intersection of Northway and Meadowview - fill gaps around the cul-de-sac



Northcrest Blvd. in the Highland Neighborhood is an example of a street that is ideal for pedestrians, cyclists and transit users. Northcrest has a bus route, a bike lane, and sidewalks. The street also has large trees that provide shade for pedestrians and cyclists, as well as traffic calming to slow automobile traffic.

- 2. Construct sidewalks on the following residential sidewalks in Highland:
 - Avenue F between Koenig and Skyview
 To connect the Skyview neighborhood to North Loop area south of Koenig Lane
 - Skyview between Avenue F and the Creek This would provide a route for children going to Reilly Elem. School.
 - Guadalupe between Denson and Airport (west side)
 - Eastcrest & Prince between Northcrest and Croslin
 - Northcrest between Crestland and St. Johns (west side)
- 3. Construct sidewalks on the following arterial streets in Highland:
 - St. Johns Complete gaps on both sides of the street between I-35 and Airport. Setback from the street
 - Lamar Blvd –Complete gaps on both sides of the street between Airport and Anderson.
 - The neighborhood supports the Koenig Lane Expansion's plans to construct sidewalks along both sides of Koenig Lane between Lamar and Airport.

Transportation Objective H2: Improve bicycle safety and mobility in the Highland Neighborhood

Recommendations:

- 1. Install the following bike lanes as proposed in the City's Bike Master Plan:
 - Denson from Airport to Lamar
 - St. Johns from Lamar to twin Crest
- 2. Create a bike route on Avenue F between Koenig and Skyview
- 3. Do not allow cars to park in bike lanes



Cyclist on Northcrest Blvd. in the Highland Neighborhood

Transportation Objective H3: Improve the accessibility of public transit

Recommendations:

- 1. Return the #7 bus to its Koenig route after construction on Koenig is complete.
- 2. Install benches and shelters at all stops at St. Johns and Guadalupe.
- 3. Post schedules and maps at each bus stop.
- 4. Request shelters at every stop on Lamar (the #1/101) specifically at Lamar and Denson, southbound.
- 5. Increase the frequency of the #1 on Lamar.

Transportation Objective H4: Improve automobile safety and efficiency

Recommendations:

- 1. Recommend 2-lane divided for St. Johns in the AMATP.
 - A continuous center turn lane is preferred.
- 2. Evaluate if additional traffic controls are needed when the train crosses Koenig Lane.
- 3. If Airport is expanded to a 6-lane divided road, divide it with a raised median with dedicated turn bays.
- 4. Conduct a study to investigate impacts and solutions for speeding, cut-thru and large truck traffic on Burns.
- 5. Install a curb cut to property on Guadalupe at the northeast corner of Skyview and Guadalupe.

Transportation Objective H5:_Prohibit front yard parking

Recommendations:

1. Prohibit front yard parking in the Highland neighborhood

PARKS, OPEN SPACE AND ENVIRONMENT

Parks, Open Space, and Environment Goals

- 1. Preserve and enhance existing parks, green spaces, and recreation facilities and add new parks and green spaces to ensure that all areas of the neighborhood have a park or green space nearby.
- 2. Improve drainage along neighborhood creeks and streets and prevent erosion by using natural materials.

Parks and Open Space in the Brentwood/Highland Planning Area

There is very little community open space in the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhoods. Only one percent (1%) of the land in each neighborhood is used for open space, which is a much lower percentage than the urban core average of five percent (5%). Additionally, in each neighborhood only one percent (1%) of the land area is undeveloped. This means that there is not only a lack of parks and community open space, but also very limited opportunities for additional spaces to be developed.

Fortunately, there are several opportunities in each neighborhood for new open spaces, and even a new park to be developed. In each of these cases, the land is vacant, it is of the right size and location for the desired use, and already owned by the City as either public right-of-way, or property that is no longer needed for its original purpose.

Brentwood

The primary community open space in the Brentwood Neighborhood is Brentwood Park. This is a large (9.26 acres) and heavily used neighborhood park that has a full range of amenities including a swimming pool, a wading pool, baseball and multi-purpose fields, lighted basketball and tennis courts, a playground and numerous picnic tables. Along with the adjacent Brentwood School and Arroyo Seco, Brentwood Park is a key gathering place in the neighborhood and one of the key spaces that contribute to the sense-of-place in Brentwood. Brentwood Park is a critical amenity for the neighborhood, which should be protected and enhanced.

The Brentwood Neighborhood is very large, stretching for one and one half (1 ½) miles from north to south, and Brentwood Park is at the northern edge of the neighborhood. The southern part of the Brentwood Neighborhood does not have any parks or other community open spaces. Residents in this area are up to a mile and a half away from Brentwood Park, and they have to cross Koenig Lane to get there. Two important goals for the southern part of the neighborhood are creating improved routes to Brentwood Park that are safe and accessible, and developing additional community open spaces wherever possible.

The neighborhood plan recommends a safe pedestrian crossing across Koenig Lane at Arroyo Seco to improve access to the park. The neighborhood plan also identifies unused City-owned right-of-way in the southern part of the neighborhood that would be an ideal location for a small community open space.

Highland

The primary community open spaces in the Highland Neighborhood are the playgrounds at Reilly and T.A. Brown Elementary Schools. Both of these parks are jointly owned with AISD, have limited amenities, and are located on the edges of the neighborhood. Reilly Playground is a 4.32-acre park at the southern edge of the neighborhood with amenities including two multi-purpose fields, a basketball court, a multi-purpose court, a volleyball court, and a playground and picnic tables. Reilly Playground does not have public restrooms. T.A. Brown Playground is a 2.29-acre park at the northern edge of the neighborhood with amenities including a softball field, a volleyball court, a playground and one picnic table. The Highland Neighborhood does not have a park with a swimming pool. Neither of the public parks in the Highland Neighborhood, and neither is a key space that helps create a sense of place in the neighborhood.

The Highland Neighborhood has two important goals for creating additional community open space in the neighborhood. The most critical immediate need is for community open-space in the northern part of the neighborhood. The northern part of the neighborhood is near T.A. Brown Playground, but this park is very small, has few amenities, and is primarily used by elementary school children. As a short-term goal the neighborhood plan identifies the Crestland Triangles, as locations that are ideal locations for small community open spaces. The Crestland Triangles are unique sites that were designated by the subdivision developer as small parks. They are currently owned and maintained by the City. The Crestland Triangles provide an opportunity to create some much needed open space immediately.


The long-term goal of the neighborhood plan is to develop a public park in the Highland Neighborhood that serves as a gathering place and helps create a sense of identity for the neighborhood. The City-owned land at St. Johns and Northcrest provides a rare opportunity to develop a new public park in a central city neighborhood. This site is centrally located in the neighborhood, and it is large enough to make a great neighborhood park.

Brentwood Neighborhood

Parks and Open Space Objective B1: Maintain and improve Brentwood Park

Recommendations:

- 1. Improve security at Brentwood Park:
 - Install security lighting that does not negatively impact adjacent homes
 - Request additional park police patrols after 10:00pm
- 2. Plant additional trees in the Park



There is very little community open space in the Brentwood Neighborhood. Brentwood Park is the only park in the neighborhood. This is a critical amenity for the neighborhood, which should be protected and enhanced.

Parks and Open Space Objective B2: Create a greenbelt and hike and bile trail along Arroyo Seco

Recommendations:

- 1. Develop a greenbelt with a hike and bike trail along Arroyo Seco.
- 2. Widen the green space along Arroyo Seco.
- 3. Connect the greenbelt west to Shoal Creek
- 4. Study the possibility of creating a pedestrian and bike crossing on Arroyo Seco under Koenig Lane
- 5. Study the possibility of extending the greenbelt south to Burnet Road near North Loop



A greenbelt and trail along Arroyo Seco would provide an improved connection to Brentwood Elementary School and Brentwood Park, and a recreational amenity for the community. Arroyo Seco currently has two northbound lanes and two southbound lanes, which is significantly more capacity than is needed on this small collector street. One side could be converted into two lanes of traffic and the other could be used for additional greenspace and a hike and bike trail.

Parks and Open Space Objective B3: Ensure that there are adequate park

facilities in or near the neighborhood

Recommendations:

- 1. Ensure that there are adequate lighted sports fields in or near the neighborhood.
- 2. The neighborhood stakeholders are interested in a dog park for the neighborhood. There is no specific proposal, but the neighborhood would like to investigate options for the future.

Parks and Open Space Objective B4: Develop the area on the east side of Woodrow at Thecla into a community open space.

Recommendations:

1. Create an agreement with the City of Austin to allow the neighborhood to use and maintain the space on the east side of Woodrow at Theckla as a sitting area with trees, a bench, and other landscaping.



The southern part of the Brentwood Neighborhood does not have any parks or other community open spaces. The unused City right-of-way at Woodrow and Theckla is located at a bus stop on the main collector street through the neighborhood. This location has good access via sidewalks and a bike lane, and is situated across the street from McCallum High School and between two apartment buildings. This would be an ideal location for a community open space.

Parks and Open Space Objective B5: Preserve the Sunshine Community Gardens

Recommendations:

1. Encourage the State of Texas to preserve the Sunshine Community Gardens.



The Sunshine Community Gardens provides the community with an opportunity to grow food and experience nature in the middle of the city. Preserving the Sunshine Gardens is one of the top priorities in the neighborhood plan.

<u>Parks and Open Space Objective B6:</u> Improve drainage in creeks and on neighborhood streets

Recommendations:

- 1. Investigate and address erosion and mosquito issues for the drainage channel that runs along Grover and just east of Grover. (between McCallum High School and Alegria.
- 2. Investigate and address street drainage issues in the following locations:
 - Romeria from Grover to Lamar
 - Romeria at Woodrow
 - Palo Duro at Woodrow
 - 51st and Lamar
- 3. Address drainage issues on Lamar to improve mobility on the sidewalks.

Highland Neighborhood

Parks and Open Space Objective H1: Maintain and improve T. A. Brown Elementary School Park and Reilly Elementary School Parks.

Recommendations:

- 1. Maintain and enhance the playscapes at T. A. Brown Elementary School Park and Reilly Elementary School Park.
- 2. Develop an improved connection between the Skyview Neighborhood and the park at Reilly Elementary via Waller Creek. Investigate the option of utilizing the DPS property at the Northeast corner of Guadalupe and Skyview to make this connection.

<u>Parks and Open Space Objective H2:</u> Develop a public park in the Highland Neighborhood.

Recommendations:

1. Develop the City-owned at the intersection of St. Johns and Northcrest into a public park.



There is very little community open space in the Highland Neighborhood. The land at St. Johns and Northcrest provides a rare opportunity to develop a new public park in a central city neighborhood. This site is centrally located in the neighborhood, it is large enough to make a great neighborhood park, and it is already owned by the City of Austin.

Parks and Open Space Objective H3: Develop the Right-of-Way Triangles on Crestland into the Crestland Greens

Recommendations:

- 1. Create an agreement with the City to allow the neighborhood to beautify, use and maintain the 2 green spaces/traffic triangles on Crestland.
- 2. Develop a design for the space to include native and easy to maintain plants, table and benches, and signs to be placed in the planning beds.



The Crestland Triangles in the northern part of the Highland Neighborhood are unique sites that could provide the area with much needed open space, and serve as an important community-gathering place.

<u>Parks and Open Space Objective H4:</u> Create a trails and additional greenspace along Waller Creek

Recommendations:

1. Preserve the footbridge that crosses Waller Creek on Skyview Road and tear up the street between the bridge and Guadalupe for use as green space.




The Skyview Footbrige is a critical bicycle and pedestrian connection for residents to get to Reilly Elementary School as well as cyclists traveling through the neighborhood. Tearing up the unused segment of road adjacent to the bridge would provide a small open space for the community

- 2. Build a trail along Waller Creek starting at Croslin to connect to Reilly ES. If a trail cannot exist right along the creek for the entire length, pursue a trail along the creek where possible.
- 3. Investigate the possibility of an agreement with the property owner at Pampa & Airport to utilize space for a trail along the creek at the rear of their property.



The northern segment of Waller Creek runs from Airport Blvd. to Croslin St. connecting the Crestland Triangle to the City-owned land at St. Johns and Northcrest.



The southern segment of Waller Creek runs from Airport Blvd. to Koenig Lane connecting the Skyview Neighborhood to Reilly Park and Elementary School.

<u>Parks and Open Space Objective H5:</u> Maintain and improve detention ponds and creeks in the Highland Neighborhood


Recommendations:

- 1. Construct a bridge across the creek to connect Reilly Elementary School Park to the City owned detention pond on Dillard Cir.
- 2. Beautify the detention area at Reilly.
- 3. Create a Walk-the-Creek committee


Objective H5: Support the expansion of the Upper Boggy Creek Hike and Bike Trail

Recommendations:

1. Support the development of the Upper Boggy Creek Hike and Bike trail through the Highland Neighborhood along the railroad tracks.




A trail along the railroad tracks could connect the neighborhood with parks, bicycle routes, and commercial areas throughout Central and East Austin



URBAN DESIGN AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Urban Design and Historic Preservation Goals

- 1. Preserve the diversity, character and scale of homes in the neighborhood by encouraging renovations and new development to be compatible with existing homes.
- 2. Improve the appearance of major corridors by reducing and improving signage, improving lighting, and adding trees, landscaping and public art.



3. Preserve historic properties identified as contributing to neighborhood character.

The addition to this home in Brentwood adds a second story, but maintains the scale and character of the street by stepping the second story back from the street. This is an example of a renovation that is compatible with the existing homes on the street.

Brentwood Neighborhood

Urban Design Objective B1: Preserve the character of the neighborhood

Recommendations:

- 1. Encourage property owners to follow the design guidelines when renovating or constructing new homes
- 2. Apply the Neighborhood Plan Design Tools, including the Impervious Cover/Parking Placement, Garage Placement, and Front Porch Setback tools, in the Brentwood Neighborhood.

<u>Urban Design Objective B2</u>: Improve the appearance of major corridors and right-of-way areas.

Recommendations

- 1. Create an agreement with the City of Austin to allow the neighborhood to beautify large right-of-way triangles in the neighborhood including the triangles at Koenig and Sunshine, 49th Street and Burnet and other similar locations.
- 2. Allow the neighborhood to place neighborhood markers in the right-of-way at key entry points to the neighborhood.
- 3. Encourage street tree planting on Burnet, Lamar and Koenig.
- 4. Prohibit new billboards

<u>Urban Design Objective B3:</u> Preserve historic properties in the neighborhood

Recommendations

1. Identify and preserve historic properties in the neighborhood.

Highland Neighborhood

Urban Design Objective H1: Preserve the character of the neighborhood

Recommendations:

- 1. Encourage property owners to follow the design guidelines when renovating or constructing new homes.
- 2. Apply the Neighborhood Plan Design Tools, including the Impervious Cover/Parking Placement, Garage Placement, and Front Porch Setback tools, in the Highland Neighborhood, except along St. Johns.

<u>Urban Design Objective H2:</u> Improve the appearance of major corridors and right-of-way areas.

Recommendations

- 1. Allow the neighborhood to place neighborhood markers in the right-of-way at key entry points to the neighborhood.
- 2. Plant, maintain and enhance trees or shrubs along Airport Blvd, between railroad tracks and Airport Blvd, between Lamar and Koenig.
- 3. Plant shrubs and/or low growing plants on east side of Airport Blvd, along the sidewalks (ex. Jack in the Box on Highland Mall Blvd at Airport Blvd).
- 4. Encourage commercial properties to enhance their sites with plantings and green space.

Urban Design Objective H3: Preserve historic properties in the neighborhood

Recommendations

1. Identify and preserve historic properties in the neighborhood.

RAPID TRANSIT PROJECT


Transportation Planning Background

The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) develops and updates our region's long-range, transportation plan. (See *www.campotexas.org*) The five major elements of the CAMPO Plan are:

- 1. Major New or Improved Roadways
- 2. High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes and Toll Roads
- 3. Express Bus System with Park & Ride Facilities
- 4. Intercity Passenger Rail System (90-mile, Austin San Antonio Regional Rail)
- 5. Intracity Passenger Rail System (52-mile, Austin area system)

The 52-mile passenger rail network shown has been included in the CAMPO Plan since the 1990s and is adopted by the City of Austin in the form of the Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP) each time the CAMPO Plan is updated. (See

http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/transplan/amatp_summary.htm)




Brentwood Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan DRAFT

Rapid Transit Project Background

The Rapid Transit Project (RTP) is a partnership between the City of Austin and Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Cap Metro) for the planning and integration of a high-capacity transit system serving the Austin area. The project is examing a variety of transit modes including light rail. The Rapid Transit Project began in August 2001 with the development of engineering and environmental analysis of the first segment of light rail: the "starter line". Phase one of the starter line, called the "Central Line," will create the spine or backbone for the transit system and connect neighborhoods with major destinations and employment centers such as The University of Texas, the State Capitol Complex and Downtown.

(See www.rapidtransitproject.org)

NOTE: All illustrations and designs seen or described herein are preliminary concepts and will evolve with further study, engineering and public input once the Central Line is approved for implementation. No commitment is made at this time to take any implementation steps or acquire property.




Central Line Light Rail Alignment and 2003 Neighborhood Planning Areas

Rapid Transit Project Goals

- 1. Improve corridor mobility.
- 2. Develop facilities & services based on community input.
- 3. Protect & enhance community & environmental resources.
- 4. Provide an efficient & balanced transportation system.
- 5. Develop a rapid transit system that is cost effective & affordable.

Light Rail Central Line Project Milestones



A series of City-wide, public workshops were conducted during Fall 2001 which resulted in the establishment of a priority transit corridor to implement - the Central Line - and the most appropriate technology for that corridor - Light Rail Transit or LRT).

The September/October 2001 **System Alignment Workshops** received public input on the proposed alignments – or routes - for the various transit corridors in the overall proposed, high-capacity transit system. These transit corridors served Central, Northwest, East and South Austin neighborhoods.

The October 2001 **Vehicle Technology Workshop** presented the pros and cons of various types of trains and buses that could serve the highcapacity transit corridors. Light rail technology was chosen to serve many

of these corridors, due to its ability to carry many passengers with high frequency at a comparably low cost.

The November 2001 **Station Planning Workshop** helped to define station locations and types for the overall system. This workshop proposed that the system would have 26 stations, spaced about ½-mile to 1-mile apart and include four different "station types":

- Neighborhood Station
- Destination Station
- Park & Ride Station
- Bus Transfer Station

Subsequent meetings and worksessions in 2002 and 2003 with the University of Texas and State Capitol public safety team led to revisions to the light rail alignment and station locations in their respective areas.

Light Rail Station Planning in the Brentwood / Highland Neighborhood Planning Area


Timely collaboration between the City of Austin, Capital Metro and neighborhoods is a key component to the success of the Rapid Transit Project. For this reason, neighborhood planning areas along the Central Line were given priority by the City Council in the City's neighborhood planning process, in order to leverage Cap Metro's transit planning efforts with those of the City in developing a more integrated neighborhood plan.

To this end, a transit station planning workshop was conducted by City and Cap Metro staff for the Brentwood / Highland Neighborhood Planning Area on August 12, 2003, to receive input on light rail station types and locations, to better understand neighborhood priorities for transportation connectivity, conservation of historic and cultural resources, possible public art ideas, etc. Representatives from the North Loop and Hyde Park Neighborhoods, which border on the Triangle Site were also invited to the workshop.

Four light rail stations are located along the borders of the Brentwood and Highland Neighborhoods. Two of these stations (Anderson at Lamar and Lamar at Airport) fulfill the function of transfer stations between light rail, buses and possibly commuter rail, and may have substantial facilities for drop-off and parking of automobiles. Two are neighborhood stations (Lamar at Koenig and Triangle – Guadalupe at 46th) and consist of little more than a platform, overhead shelter and pedestrian amenities.

November 2001 Station Planning Workshop


In November 2001, station location plans were reviewed at a city-wide workshop. The feedback received from this workshop was used in subsequent planning for the July 2003 Workshop. Two station locations within the adjacent Crestview / Wooten NPA were discussed. At that time, the light rail alignment was proposed to utilize the Cap Metro owned Giddings-to- Llano Railroad that runs through the middle of The Crestview and Wooten Neighborhoods. Utilizing this existing freight line for light rail has always been controversial and generally has not been popular in these neighborhoods. In 2001 a station was located on the railroad rightof-way just south of Anderson Lane. This location was criticized for not being very accessible from the adjacent neighborhoods. The existing pedestrian crossing of the railroad at Wooten Drive, north of Anderson Lane was recommended to be retained.



Brentwood Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan DRAFT


November 2001 Map of Anderson Lane Station

The station located at Lamar and Airport was also criticized for poor accessibility. Participants suggested working with the adjacent Huntsman Chemical Company to improve access and that better pedestrian and bicycle connections from the north and east were needed.




November 2001 Map of Airport Boulevard Station

The station at Lamar and Koenig lane was recommended to be south of the intersection to reinforce redevelopment opportunities recommended in the North Loop Neighborhood Plan at the south-east corner of the intersection. Participants also requested improved pedestrian and bicycle access to the intersection.



November 2001 Map of Koenig Lane Station

Participants recommended that the 45th Street Station be renamed the 46th Street Station and that plans for Rapid Transit should be coordinated with the planned development of the State Triangle Property.



November 2001 Map of Triangle Station


RTP's Guiding Principles for Light Rail Station Planning:

- 1. Locate and design stations that are compatible with the Neighborhood Plan's Vision.
- 2. Minimize property acquisitions, impacts.
- 3. Assure all modes of transportation are well-connected to the station: sidewalks, bike lanes, bus stops/pullouts.
- 4. Provide for safe and convenient transfer between all transportation modes.
- 5. Assure auto traffic and access to properties is maintained and balanced with effective transit operations.

How RTP's Principles Translate into Design

Pedestrian Access and Crossing of LRT Tracks

Pedestrian access to stations is critical for a successful rapid transit system. Improved sidewalks and shade tree plantings in the immediate vicinity of stations are important elements of a station area plan. Pedestrian crossings of LRT tracks must be controlled for safety reasons. In some cases, where there are many pedestrians crossing a street, fencing or other barriers such as planted medians are used to direct pedestrians to controlled crossings. Station platforms are typically located between intersections with traffic lights where pedestrians can cross in designated crosswalks as they would on any other street. Because signal-controlled intersections are spaced to suit automobile traffic, they are often spaced too far apart to be convenient for pedestrians. In such cases, other means of providing safe pedestrian crossings may be employed between signal-controlled intersections. One such device is a "Z-crossing", which induces a pedestrian to turn facing in the direct view of an on-coming train, before turning again to cross the track. Sometimes gates and lights are also employed either in conjunction with, or instead of, "Z-crossings".



Portland: Pedestrian Z-Crossing

Bus Routes and Connections to Light Rail Transit (LRT)

Generally speaking, Capital Metro will continue most bus service along the light rail routes under consideration. Capital Metro has planned growth of the bus system (2-3% per year) throughout the development of a rapid transit system and into the years of operation of the system. A rapid transit system would serve as a complement to the existing bus lines, and these will be coordinated with light rail station locations.

Bike Access

The Austin Bicycle Plan (1997) was used as a guiding document in determining where bicycle facilities would be required in conjunction with changes to streets along the light rail alignment. Recommended facilities on streets leading to stations are also shown where appropriate.

Automobile Traffic and LRT

Safe and efficient operation of light rail on city streets is facilitated by dedicating exclusive lanes or "trackways" rather than allowing other vehicles to share the "trackways". Raised curbs, buttons, and distinctive paving are often used to discourage other vehicles from wandering onto the tracks. In most cases, light rail tracks are located in the center of streets to eliminate conflicts with right turning vehicles accessing adjacent businesses or side streets. Left turns, U-turns and cross traffic are usually limited to crossing the "trackway" at signalized intersections.

Impacts on traffic will be considered as part of the subsequent stage of the Preliminary Engineering and Environmental Impact Statement process.


Light rail will help reduce the growth of traffic congestion, but it is only one part of the CAMPO 2025 plan (which includes high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, roadway improvements, new roads, and commuter rail) that has been developed to meet the Austin area's future transportation demands. Neighborhood workshop participants emphasized the importance of further studies on traffic impacts and the careful integration of traffic within the Transit Station plans.

RTP Team Presentation at Light Rail Station Planning Workshops


Transportation Connections Maps

These maps describe the connections between all modes of transportation in the Brentwood / Highland NPA in the vicinity of proposed light rail stations. Accessibility to transit stations by various modes of travel is critical to the success of any good transit system, and is of great interest to adjacent neighborhoods. Connections to and from US 183 to the proposed station locations and roadway upgrades in the AMATP were examined to understand automobile access issues. Bike routes and pedestrian crossings of the existing Cap Metro-owned railroad are also shown. A proposed commuter rail system on the existing railroad is shown, intersecting with the proposed Central Line light rail line at Airport and Lamar. This station and the northern terminus station at Anderson and Lamar are also shown.

Brentwood Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan DRAFT



Transportation Connections at Anderson and Airport Stations



Transportation Connections at Koenig Lane Station

Existing & Future Bus Routes Existing & Future Bike Routes Houston with Light Rai realignment of Nelray crossing; Possible Install pedestrian | | Light Rail Route Light Rail Station **IDENNOD NO** gnals at 46th & 47 with Light Rai ۲ Pedestrian Crossing Signalized Intersection The Triangle Station SOULDOU ossible realignment of 6th St. with Light Rail

Brentwood Highland Combined Neighborhood Plan DRAFT

Transportation Connections at Triangle Station

Conceptual Station Plans


The following conceptual station plans and associated cross sections were presented at the Transit Station Planning Workshop. *NOTE: All illustrations and designs seen or described herein are preliminary concepts and will evolve with further study, engineering and public input once the Central Line is approved for implementation. No commitment is made at this time to take any implementation steps or acquire property.*

Anderson @ Lamar Station (see Draft Anderson @ Lamar Station Plan) This station is the is the Phase One proposed terminus of the Central Line. This proposed station location has been moved to the intersection of Anderson and Lamar to take advantage of the better accessibility to US 183, and to provide more options for future light rail extensions, if warranted. This new alignment adjacent to Lamar Boulevard has the added benefit of freeing up the Cap Metro owned tracks for an early implementation and use by a commuter rail line from Leander to Downtown.

The Anderson @ Lamar station would also serve the bus transfer functions presently located at the North Lamar Transfer Center on the other side of US 183. Moving the transfer center to the south side of US 183 would improve accessibility from the highway and allow direct transfers between bus and light rail. This location could also accommodate a "Kiss-and-Ride" drop-off and a "Park-and-Ride" lot with direct access from US 183. A bike commuter station and other passenger amenities could be also be accommodated on this large site.

Many Workshop participants commented that this location was better than the previous one further west on Anderson Lane, but were concerned about traffic impacts at an already chaotic intersection. A traffic control plan and traffic modeling would be required in future Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and final engineering design phases.

The light rail alignment in this location is proposed to run on the west side of Lamar and the north side of Anderson Lane, minimizing the disruption to the existing busy arterials and intersections.



Draft Anderson @ Lamar Station Plan

Lamar Boulevard @ Airport Boulevard Station (see Draft Station Plan) This station is located in the northwest quadrant of the intersection of Lamar Boulevard and Airport Boulevard. A commuter rail station is shown on the existing railroad right-of-way to the southwest of the light rail station. This commuter rail station would likely only be built in the case of both commuter rail and light rail systems operating at the same time. The two stations would allow transfers for passengers whose destination is somewhere north of Downtown, and who would find transferring to light rail more convenient than riding commuter rail all the way through East Austin and into the south part of Downtown on 4th Street. A small transit plaza between the two stations improves the pedestrian environment for transferring passengers and provides a shaded waiting area. There is also potential for "Kiss-and-Ride", "Park-and-Ride" and off-street bus transfer near the platforms.

Bus pull-outs at the intersection of Lamar and St. Johns are envisioned to facilitate transfers between rail and bus. Although this transfer would require a short walk, all of the buses at this location would also meet the light rail line at the Anderson and Lamar Station, where additional transfers to buses would also be available.

The light rail trackway is shown to the west of the existing curbline, between two rows of existing trees. This minimizes disruption to traffic lanes during construction and operation. The trackway transitions to the center of Lamar after passing through the traffic-signal controlled intersection at Justin Lane. This configuration maintains automobile access to commercial properties on both sides of Lamar.