

Briefing CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 74 AGENDA DATE: Thu 05/27/2004 PAGE: 1 of 1

<u>SUBJECT POSTING:</u> Update on the activities of the Community Action Network.

COA STAFF AT MEETING: David Lurie; Bob Corona

DESCRIPTION: briefing by CAN

DEPARTMENT: CMO / Agenda Office

Community Action Network

Presentation To City Council May 27, 2004

What Is Can?

- Public-private partnership w/focus on HHS
- Provides accurate and timely information
- Identifies strategic direction for HHS
- Leverages resources and actions
- Customers decision makers
- Roles catalyst for action, assessment and planning, raise awareness, coalition building, public policy, forums, resources

Can Bodies

- Issue Area Groups HHS issue stakeholders
- Resource Council Policy level leadership
- Administrative Team Executive leadership
- Community Council grass roots members
- Executive Comm general oversight
- Assessment and Planning data oversight
- Marketing Committee
- Public Policy Committee

CAN Successes since 2001

- Published bi-monthly Community Overview, assessments on Education and Victim's of Violent Crime, data updates in all CAN issue areas
- Held community Forums on HIV, Budget, Youth, Aging and Victim's of Violent Crime
- Supported new collaboratives for Literacy, Youth, Aging, Victim's Services, and Asset Building
- Host a monthly Travis County TV show "*Dialogue*"
- Conduct presentations about health and human service issues(over 1,000 people attended in 2003)

Going Forward

- Products FAQs, Comm. Condits., Web
- CAN Structure
- CAN Partner Organizations
- Issue Area Groups
- Public Policy
- Communications and Decision Making
- Liaison Structure

Opportunities CAN Brings to Work Together

- Credible information for decision making
- Structure for collaborative community process and solutions
- Generate public/private support
- Catalyze actions
- Increase community awareness and involvement
- Forum for change

CAN Presentation for City of Austin

CAN Customers

• CAN's key customer is decision makers including: CAN partners, community leaders, social service providers, and recipients of service The ultimate customer is the full community.

Tangible Results hoped to be accomplished by CAN

- Useful updated assessments and plans identifying community need
- Broad agreement regarding solutions and resources gathered for the solutions
- Coalition building
- More efficient and collaborative service delivery
- Increased community awareness of health and human services issues
- Increased and/or more efficient use of funds

Intangible results expected of CAN

- Legitimacy/solid reputation
- Political and public/private support
- Structure for data gathering, networking and problem solving
- Raised community awareness about issues
- Advocacy

Roles or Functions for CAN to be Successful

- Serve as a catalyst for action
- Continue assessment and planning process and promote specific strategies
- Convene forums for community input, collaborative problem solving, leadership, communication and specific issues
- Build coalitions around specific issues and clusters
- Provide opportunity for active participation/buy-in of CAN Partners
- Create community awareness of data and issues
- Promote public policies through advocacy

How will we know if CAN is effective?

- Additional resources will be identified and all resources will be used more effectively
- Issues and needs will be raised and responses implemented
- People stay at the table and recognize a benefit

Roles of Each CAN Group

Resource Council (Policy level leadership of CAN partners):

- Provides governance of the CAN structure
- Provides strategic direction
- Sponsors issues (assessments, plans and/or specific implementation strategies)
- Develops policies that support the implementation of chosen strategies
- Identifies resources
- Ensures that resources are being used effectively
- Ensures that CAN Partner organizations are informed and supportive of CAN activities and actively participate in chosen solutions, where appropriate
- Provides leadership and advocacy

Administrative Team (Executive level leadership of CAN Partners)

- Focuses on and sponsors implementation of CAN recommended strategies
- Looks at systemic impacts of decisions and ways to make a systemic difference
- Ensures staff support for intermediary role in the assessment and planning process through the CAN working groups
- Develops CAN policies and procedures for Resource Council approval

CAN Community Council (community experts, providers, users, interested citizens)

- Provides forum for community input and ensures grass roots perspective in CAN processes
- Assists in community awareness and encouraging the community to mobilize its resources to support CAN recommended strategies
- Identifies health and human service areas needing additional attention
- Recommends, and where appropriate, implements actions to address identified needs

CAN Executive Committee

- Oversight of Routine CAN business
- Guide CAN Staff pursuant to CAN Resource Council policy direction

CAN Assessment and Planning Committee

- Coordinates assessment and planning activities witht eh CAN Issue Area Groups (IAG's)
- Reviews, ensures the credibility and uscability, and recommends for approval the assessment and planning documents developed by the Issue Area Groups

CAN Marketing Committee

• Provides direction for, oversees and implements all CAN related marketing efforts

CAN Issue Area Groups

- Provide accurate and timely assessment and planning information about their issue
- Develop, prioritize strategies and generate support for addressing their issue
- Monitor and report recommendation implementation progress

CAN Staff

- Provide support to the CAN organization
- Facilitate communication among the CAN bodies and provide them with information to fulfill their roles and functions

• Support and convene community groups as requested within CAN's scope

CAN Areas of Focus following October 2003 Retreat:

- 1. Role Clarity
- 2. Process for Prioritization
- 3. Inclusion (in CAN's work)
- 4. Public Policy Advocacy

What have we done to address these areas?

- ٠
- Reviewed the CAN structure and identified opportunities for refinement and improvement
- Met with each CAN body to clarify its role
- Started process of meeting with each CAN partner organization to define their needs and expectations of CAN and CAN's expectations of them
- Met with the Issue Area contacts and Planners to discuss how to create a better connection and synergy among the issue area groups and with the CAN bodies
- Decided that the CAN structure should focus on the issue area groups and their concerns and opportunities
- Created the public policy advocacy committee which is defining the way issues can be navigated and supported through the CAN structure
- Continued to work with Dr Tommy Darwin from the UT Graduate Studies Department to create a more streamlined communication flow process for CAN groups to interact and make decisions
- Surveyed individual CAN members to identify their interests to implement a "liaison" structure with CAN Issue Area Groups

Next Steps:

- 1. Complete meetings with CAN partner organizations
- 2. Finalize the "flow of communication" process to clarify how issues are raised and processed through the CAN system
- 3. Implement the "liaison" structure with a Champion for each Issue Area Group
- 4. Define public policy and discern how CAN participates in public policy
- 5. Meet with CAN Issue Area leaders and decide how to present information in a way that will yield the most effective results
- 6. Provide opportunities for people to learn how to connect with CAN and the Issue Area groups
- 7. Capture and quantify the results of all the work by the issue area groups