

Public Hearing CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 80

AGENDA DATE: Thu 05/27/2004

PAGE: 1 of 1

<u>SUBJECT:</u> Conduct a public hearing and consider action on an appeal by Mike McHone of the Planning Commission's decision to deny a conditional use permit for transitional housing at 5117 North Lamar.

AMOUNT & SOURCE OF FUNDING: N/A

FISCAL NOTE: There is no unanticipated fiscal impact. A fiscal note is not required.

REQUESTING Watershed Protection and **DIRECTOR'S**

DEPARTMENT: Development Review **AUTHORIZATION:** Joe Pantalion

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Haught, 974-2724; Martha Vincent, 974-3371

PRIOR COUNCIL ACTION: N/A

BOARD AND COMMISSION ACTION: Not Recommended by the Planning Commission.


PURCHASING: N/A

MBE / WBE: N/A

The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit to use an existing building as a transitional housing facility in a CS-CO-NP zoning district. Transitional housing is the use of a site for the supervision of detention of more than 15 residents who are making the transition from institutional to community living. This use includes pre-parole detention facilities and halfway houses for juvenile delinquents and adult offenders, and overnight shelters for the homeless. The applicant proposes "use as a Texas Department of Criminal Justice approved and funded facility to assist released female convicts in their re-entry into society." The proposed facility will provide a maximum of 52 beds.


Staff recommended the conditional use permit as complying with City regulations. The Planning Commission heard the case on March 23, 2004, and denied the conditional use permit 7-0. Mike McHone is appealing the Commission's denial.

RCA Serial#: 5218 Date: 05/27/04 Original: Yes
Published: Fri 04/30/2004
Disposition: Postponed~THU 05/27/2004
Adjusted version published:

















































City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review Dept. 505 Barton Springs Road • P.O. Box 1088 • Austin, Texas 78767-8835

APPEAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION TO APPROVE A CONDITIONAL USE SITE PLAN, SECTION 25-5-149

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: May 6, 2004

PROJECT NAME: Up-To-Me Transitional Housing

PROJECT LOCATION: 5117 N. Lamar Blvd., Austin, Texas

PROJECT OWNER/AGENT: Mike McHone

APPELLANT: Cobalt Partners, Inc. (by Mike McHone)

EXISTING ZONING: CS-CO-NP

CASE NUMBER: SPC-03-0022A

<u>REQUEST</u>: To conduct public hearing to consider an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to deny a Conditional Use Permit for Transitional Housing.

The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit to develop a Transitional Housing facility in an existing building within the CS-CO-NP zoning district.

Transitional Housing use is the use of a site for the supervision or detention of more than 15 residents who are making the transition from institutional to community living. This use includes pre-parole detention facilities and halfway houses for juvenile delinquents and adult offenders, and overnight shelters for the homeless [LDC 25-2-6 (45)].

The proposed facility will provide 52 beds. Applicant proposes "use as a Texas Department of Criminal Justice approved and funded facility to assist released female convicts in their re-entry into society".

BACKGROUND:

At the March 23, 2004 Planning Commission meeting residents and business owners in the immediate area of the proposed facility spoke in opposition.

Staff recommended the proposed plan because it met Code criteria for a conditional use permit. However, staff stated concerns about site limitations imposed upon the facility in part because the existing building is located across two zoning districts:

(1.) CS-CO-NP, which allows the proposed use, and (2.) LO-NP, which prohibits the proposed use.

The Planning Commission denied the Conditional Use Permit 7-0 for reasons cited in the attached minutes from the meeting held March 23, 2004.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

CITY STAFF: Kathy Haught, Case Manager 974-2724 (email: Kathy.haught@ci.austin.tx.us)

PLANNING COMMISSION SITE PLAN CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REVIEW SHEET

CASE NUMBER:

SPC-03-0022A

PLANNING COMMISSION DATE: March 23, 2004

ADDRESS:

5117 N. Lamar Blvd.

WATERSHED:

Waller (Urban)

AREA:

.40 Acres

PROJECT NAME:

Up-To-Me Transitional Housing

APPLICANT:

E. Mitch Ely Cobalt Partners 608 W. 24th Street Austin, Texas 78705

AGENT:

Mike McHone McHone Real Estate P.O. Box 8142 Austin, TX 78713 (512) 481-9111

EXISTING ZONING: Lots 1-7: CS-CO-NP, Commercial Services

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit to develop a Transitional Housing facility in an existing building within the CS-CO-NP zoning district. The existing building is 11,227 s. f., and spans two zoning districts: CS-NO-NP and LO-NP. Although Transitional Housing is a conditional use in CS-CO-NP, it is a prohibited use within that portion of the building located in the LO-NP zoning district. Therefore, the applicant proposes to use only 9,450 s. f. of the 11,227 s.f. building.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

Transitional Housing is the use of a site for the supervision or detention of more than 15 residents who are making the transition from institutional to community living. This use includes preparole detention facilities and halfway houses for juvenile delinquents and adult offenders, and overnight shelters for the homeless. Transitional Housing is a conditional use per the CS zoning district. The proposed facility will provide 52 beds. Applicant proposes "use as a Texas Department of Criminal Justice approved and funded facility to assist released female convicts in their re-entry into society".

Although the proposed plan meets Code criteria, staff has concerns that the site may not meet the following requirements from the contract with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (the Department):

- The facility shall be subject to the placement, by the Department, of approved offenders to include sex offenders and 3g offenders. Contractor shall be required to either submit a written certification that the site is not within a child safety zone or provide written disclosure that the site is within a child safety zone. See Attachment A (C.3.4 (C) Facility Requirements)
 - "Child Safety Zone" means the designated 500 feet as the distance that sex offenders must maintain from premises where children commonly gather, including schools, day-care facilities, playgrounds, public or private youth centers, swimming pools or video arcades. Any area within 500 feet of these types of premises is considered a child safety zone unless the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) or state statute modifies the distance requirement. See Attachment B
- An inside and outside recreational area for activities such as basketball, volleyball, etc. shall be provided. See Attachment C (C.3.4(K) Facility Requirements)
- ...Contractor shall in all events remain mindful that degree of unescorted access to the community must be guided by community attitudes regarding this matter...

 See Attachment D (C.3.13 C. 7 Offender Monitoring)

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

- 120 Brentwood Neighborhood Association
- 283 North Austin Neighborhood Alliance
- 511 Austin Neighborhoods Council
- 687 North Loop Neighborhood Planning Team
- 937 Taking Action, Inc.
- 941 Northfield Neighborhood Association

APPLICABLE WATERSHED ORDINANCE: Current/ Comprehensive Watershed Ordinance

CAPITOL VIEW: N/A

T.I.A.: Not required

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of this conditional use site plan, based on the fact that it appears to meets Code criteria. However, please see the information that follows, provided by Mr. Greg Guernsey:

In order to address the CS-CO-NP and LO-NP zoning district boundary that currently bisects the existing building, the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department (NPZD) requests an additional condition of approval be recommended to the Planning Commission. This condition would require the applicant to provide a solid wall within the existing building where the zoning boundary bisects the building. NPZD does not object to fire exit doors being installed to allow

access out of the building and through the portion of the building zoned LO-NP during an emergency; however, the fire exit door must have a visual and audio alarm system that is activated whenever the door is open. In addition, this alarm system must be installed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, and the requirement to install and maintain the visual and audio alarm system shall be noted on the face of the site plan and on the building certificate of occupancy.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 974-2387.

Greg Guernsey, AICP, Manager Zoning Case Management Division Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

March 23, 2004: Denied 7-0

CASE MANAGER: Kathy Haught Telephone: 974-2724

kathy.haught@ci.austin.tx.us

PROJECT INFORMATION:

EXIST. ZONING: CS-CO-NP PROPOSED USE: Transitional Housing

ALLOWED F.A.R.: 2:1 EXISTING F.A.R.: .548:1 ALLOWED HEIGHT: 60' EXISTING HEIGHT: 18'

MAX, BLDG. COVERAGE: 95% EXISTING BLDG. CVRG: 9,450 sf (54%) MAX. (CS) IMPERV. CVRG.: 95% EXISTING IMP. CVRG.: 14,780 sf (86%)

REQUIRED PARKING: 14 Spaces PROVIDED: 14 Spaces

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lots 1-7, Block A, Murray Place

SUMMARY COMMENTS ON SITE PLAN:

Land Use: The site is currently developed with a vacant 11,227 s.f. building. The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit to develop a Transitional Housing facility in the existing building.

The adjacent lots are zoned LO-NP, and are not currently developed. The transitional housing use is prohibited in LO-NP, and therefore provides a buffer to the adjacent single family residential development.

Environmental: This site is located in the Waller Watershed and subject to urban watershed regulations.

Transportation: Existing site access is from N. Lamar Blvd. This site is located on the corner of Zennia St. and N. Lamar Blvd. There are no sidewalks on other side of Zennia St., and none are proposed.

SURROUNDING CONDITIONS:

Zoning/ Land use:

North: Zennia Dr., then CS-CO-NP (Car Stereo Shop. - Door Sales - Professional Offices)

West: N. Lamar Blvd, then MF-2 (multi-family residential)

East: LO-NP (portion of this building) and SF-3-NP (single family residential)

South: CS-CO-NP (Vacant building – Convenience Store)

Street .	<u>R.O.W.</u>	Surfacing	Classification
Lamar	90'	60'	Major Arterial
Zennia St.	50'	30'	Local

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REVIEW AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following evaluation is included to provide staff position on each point of the conditional use permit criteria. Section 25-5-145 of the Land Development Code states: "The Commission shall determine whether the proposed development or use of a conditional use site plan complies with the requirements of this section".

(B) Conditional Use Site Plan must:

- 1. Comply with the requirements of this title; Staff response: All improvements are existing.
- 2. Comply with the objectives and purposes of the zoning district; Staff response: The purpose of the CS zoning district is for development of commercial or industrial uses of a service nature that has operating characteristics or traffic service requirements that are incompatible with residential environments (LDC 25-2-103).

The proposed development complies with the objective of the CS zoning district which is a designation for commercial and industrial uses.

- 3. Have building height, bulk, scale, setback, open space, landscaping, drainage, access, traffic circulation, and use that is compatible with the use of an abutting site; The building height, bulk, scale, and setback are compatible with the abutting uses.
- 4. Provide adequate and convenient off-street parking and loading facilities; The parking requirement for this site is grandfathered to the Code which in effect at the time of construction. The requirement at that time was one space for each 4 employees (1 space) and 1 space for each 4 beds (13 spaces), for a total of 14 spaces. The number of spaces provided is 14.
- 5. Reasonably protect persons and property from erosion, flood, fire, noises, glare, and similar adverse effects; Staff response: All on-site development is existing.

(C) A Conditional Use Site Plan May Not:

1. More adversely affect an adjoining site than would a permitted use; Staff response: Although a LO-NP zoned tract is located between the subject tract and the adjacent SF-3-NP zoned property, the proposed transitional housing is not a permitted use on the LO-NP property.

Since it is a requirement of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice that "an inside and outside recreational area for activities such as basketball, volleyball, etc. shall be provided", this adjacent property would be rendered unusable. "Recreational" use by residents of the transitional housing would be prohibited even as an accessory use on the adjacent LO-NP property.

- 2. Adversely affect the safety or convenience of vehicular or pedestrian circulation, including reasonably anticipated traffic and uses in the area; Staff response: The site plan does not appear to adversely affect the safety and convenience or vehicular and pedestrian circulation. However, there are no sidewalks on either side of Zennia St. which abuts this site.
- 3. Adversely affects an adjacent property or traffic control through the location, lighting, or type of signs; Staff response: No signage or lighting is proposed that would affect adjacent properties or traffic control. Compatibility notes are on the plans to regulate lighting to shield adjacent property from adverse effects.

696-PS-46-C0070 ATTACHMENT "A" 5PE-03-002ZA Section C

receipt from the inspecting agency. When differences between applicable standards exist, the higher standard shall be followed.

- C. Contractor shall establish an emergency fire plan and written procedures for the safe evacuation of offenders and staff. Each new offender shall be briefed on evacuation procedures during orientation.
- Written emergency fire exit plans shall be posted in all major meeting rooms, dining rooms and hallways.
- E. Monthly fire drills requiring evacuation of the entire Facility shall take place with written documentation to include the time, date, amount of time to evacuate the building, and the number of offenders evacuated. Any problem areas identified during the drill shall also be noted on the report. This report shall be signed by Contractor's employee(s) conducting the drill.
- F. Contractor shall complete an injury report as provided by the Department in the event that any injury to an offender/employee results in one full day's loss of work or programmatic activity and/or that results in medical treatment. First aid shall not be deemed medical treatment. Contractor shall complete an injury report provided by Department and shall forward this report to Department's Contract Monitor within forty-eight (48) hours following said injury.

C.3.4 Facility Requirements

- A. The Facility site shall comply with all local, city and county ordinances. The Contractor shall be required to provide written documentation in regard to community acceptance and public bearing for Facility site in accordance with Texas Government Code § 509.010 and Local Government Code, Chapter 244. The Facility shall be licensed by TCADA to provide the appropriate level of treatment prior to the commencement of services. Each facility site shall be licensed by TCADA.
- B. The Facility site should be accessible to community resources.
- C. The Facility shall be subject to the placement, by the Department, of approved offenders to include sex offenders and 3g offenders. Contractor shall be required to either submit a written certification that the site is not within a child safety zone or provide written disclosure that site is within a child safety zone (Exhibit J.7).
- D. The Facility size shall meet the needs of the program and comfortably accommodate the number of offenders it serves. The Facility shall be kept clean and in good repair.

696-PS-4-6-C0070

ATTACHMENT "B" SPC-03-0022A Section A

DEFINITIONS

"Additional Services" means those Additional Services required to be furnished by the Contractor pursuant to changes in TDCJ and TBCJ Policies from those in effect as of the date of this Contract, which changes are not required by changes in laws, government regulations, or Court Orders generally applicable to the TDCJ and which changes cause an increase in cost of operating and managing the Facility.

"Authorized Representative" means the person designated in writing to act for and on behalf of a party of this Contract, which designation has been furnished to the other party hereto as described in Section G.2.1.

"Base Salary" means the minimum salary, in the salary range, on the effective date of this Contract or as in effect at the time of renewal or extension thereof.

"Biennium" means any of the two (2) year periods beginning on September 1 and ending on August 31 of odd numbered years, which periods are used for budgetary purposes by the State of Texas.

"Child Safety Zone" means the designated 500 feet as the distance that sex offenders must maintain from premises where children commonly gather, including schools, day-care facilities, playgrounds, public or private youth centers, swimming pools or video arcades. Any area within 500 feet of these types of premises is considered a child safety zone unless the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) or state statute modifies the distance requirement. In accordance with the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, Article 42.12, Section 13D, the court may apply child safety zone restrictions to additional offenders if the nature of the offense warrants the establishment of a child safety zone.

"Cognitive Intervention" means a process whereby offenders are taught to identify their habits of thinking that lead to problems and to restructure the core beliefs involved.

"Community Outpatient Facility" means a Facility which provides outpatient counseling treatment services for offenders on community supervision, parole or mandatory supervision.

"Community Residential Facility" means a Facility which houses offenders on community supervision, parole or mandatory supervision pursuant to Texas Government Code, Sections 493.009 and 508.119 and the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, Article 42.12, Section 14.

"Compliance Standards" means contract requirements that have specific and clearly defined recomment strategies to ensure that Department does not pay for services that are not received.

"Contract Monitor" shall have the meaning set forth in Section G.2.3.

"Contract Term" means the duration of this Contract as specified in Section F.1.

"Contractor" means Up To Me, Inc.

ATTACHMENT "C" SPC-03-0022A Section C

696-PS-4-6-C0070

- E. Provisions shall be made for sleeping space, lounge areas, rooms for group and individual counseling of offenders, and office space for use by Department representatives while at the Facility.
- F. The number of square feet per occupant shall be in accordance with local building codes, but shall be at least 40 square feet per offender in sleeping areas. Closet spaces shall not be included in the 40 square foot living area. Bathrooms, built-in closets and hall space are not included in the 40 square foot requirements.
- G. Each offender shall have access to an individual locked storage area or drawer for private articles, which keys shall also be provided to both the offender and the Facility Director.
- H. There shall be at least one toilet (all of which must have seats), for every ten (10) offenders of the Facility. There shall be at least one wash basin for every eight (8) offenders of the Facility. There shall be at least one shower or bathtub for every fifteen (15) offenders of the Facility. The stated ratios shall be determined, based on the total Facility capacity, regardless of the number of actual residents. All of the bathroom facilities shall be maintained and in operating condition.
- Heat, air conditioning, light and ventilation shall be provided for in all rooms including hallways, bathroom, bedrooms, dining rooms and activity rooms.
- J. Separate activity rooms shall be large enough to accommodate all offenders in the Facility for the purpose of meetings and recreational activities, such as TV, radio, library, table games, game room, etc.; weight room, if provided, shall be separate to allow non-interference of activities. Seating shall be available for all offenders who wish to participate in activities. Smaller rooms shall be allowed to accommodate fewer people as long as all offenders have an equal opportunity to attend meetings or recreational activities.
- K. An inside and outside recreational area for activities such as basketball, volleyball, etc. shall be provided.
- -

- L. Extension cords shall not be used as a substitute for fixed wiring.
- M. Contractor shall provide architectural drawings, blueprints, floor plans and specifications of the Facility to the Department.

C.3.5 Maintenance, Remodeling, Damages and Condemnation

A. Contractor shall, at its own expense, maintain the physical structure of the Facility, and all tangible personal property contained therein in accordance with applicable standards, including all maintenance related to structural conditions or defects as well as ordinary routine maintenance. Contractor, in doing so, shall maintain, preserve, and keep the Facility in good repair, working order and condition, subject to normal wear and tear, and

ATTACHMENT "D" SPC-03-00 22A Section C

696-PS-4-6-C0070

the offender being away from the Facility provided, however, that Contractor shall in all events remain mindful that degree of unescorted access to the community must be guided by community attitudes regarding this matter; and

- approved educational classes.
- D. Contractor shall verify in writing, on a weekly basis, each offender's location as indicated on the sign-in/out sheet. Verification maintained in the offender's record shall include, but not be limited to, job search activity, employer and pass location.
- E. Contractor shall establish and utilize mandatory sign-in/sign-out procedures, which shall include:
 - the offender's complete name and destination, including the name, address and telephone number of the destination;
 - 2. the time the offender leaves the Facility and returns to the Facility;
 - 3. a weekly verification check, as applicable; and
 - 4. an authorized signature by the Facility staff member.


Sign-in/sign-out sheets shall be maintained in a central log.


F. Contractor shall develop, implement and document a daily system for physically counting all offenders assigned to the Facility assuring strict accountability for offenders who are working, going to school, on approved passes and participating in community service work and that at least one offender count occurs per shift.


C.3.14 Food Service

- Contractor shall provide wholesome and nutritious meals for all offenders in compliance with TDCJ policies and procedures and applicable legislation and court orders.
- B. Contractor shall plan and post menus in advance, and have them reviewed and approved by a registered dictitian or physician.
 - All meals shall meet the Recommended Daily Allowance requirements as outlined by the Texas Department of Health.
 - All meals shall be of sufficient portions to meet the needs (as defined by a registered dietitian) of the offender.
- C. Contractor shall be responsible for preparing and providing three (3) meals per day per offender.
- D. Special diets shall be available to offenders as prescribed by appropriate medical or dental personnel and meet recommended Daily Allowance requirements, unless otherwise specified by a physician and/or dentist.

SPC-03-0022A <u>UP-TO-ME TRANSITIONAL HOUSING</u> 5117 N. LAMAR BLVD.







12. Site Plan

SPC-03-0022A - UP-TO-ME TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Conditional Use

Permit:

Location:

5117 N. Lamar Blvd., Waller Creek Watershed, North Loop NPA

Owner/Applicant: COBALT PARTNERS, INC. (E. MITCH ELY)

Agent:

MCHONE REAL ESTATE (MICHAEL MCHONE)

Request:

Approval of Conditional Use Permit

Staff Rec.:

RECOMMENDED

Staff:

Kathy Haught, 974-2724, kathy.haught@ci.austin.tx.us

Watershed Protection & Development Review

Kathy Haught, NPZ, presented the staff recommendation for the Conditional Use Permit.

PUBLIC HEARING

IN FAVOR

Mike McHene representing the applicant stated that the facility was built in 1961 as a convalescent nursing home which is still the current certificate of occupancy. This enables the proposed transitional housing use to utilize the site and comply with grandfathered parking requirements. From a zoning perspective a portion of the site is zoned LO which does not permit transitional housing. This portion of the site will be walled-off and will not be used for transitional housing.

The proposed project meets all the code requirements. The facility will be highly monitored and regulated by the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

The applicant received a letter from the North Loop Neighborhood Planning team in August 2003 supporting this type of facility in this location.

Patricia Jennings Executive Director of Up-To-Me. Jerome Carter President of the Up-To-Me Board. **Derrick Evans** Lisa Bettor staff of Up-To-Me.

IN FAVOR (NOT SPEAKING)

Edward Downing Rose McDonald **Barbara Williams**

AGAINST

Lauren Greer resident of the adjacent neighborhood pointed out that the letter of support for the transitional housing project was from the North Loop Neighborhood Planning Team not the Northfield Neighborhood Association which is neighborhood association for the area where the project is proposed. She stated that the North Loop NPT did not contact the Northfield

Facilitator: Katie Larsen 974-6413 kntie.larsen@ci.austin.tx.us

March 23, 2004

Neighborhood Association. She pointed out that this area already includes a 80 bed men's transitional housing facility located at 6222 N. Lamar, the Day Labor site at I-35 and 51st Street, the State Hospital on Guadalupe just south of the area. If the proposed 52 bed facility at 5117 N. Lamar is added she felt that the Northfield neighborhood will carry a disproportionate share of these type of facilities.

Paul Hilfer **Brian Barry** Christina Baker Jay Reddy Mark Owens **Gerald Patrick** J.R. Monaghan Jack Jones **Candace Elsass** Doris Turner Burden Michael Randall Alison Randall David Hilfer John Young Karen Rheudasil Floyd Schkade Steve Hampton Steve Moore Mike O'Krent Mary Patrick Claudia Ballah

AGAINST (NOT SPEAKING)

Maryann Schkade
Bill Bayne
Dorothy Monaghan
Rose Marie Jones
Damon Arhos
Marianne Smith
Beth Rumancik
Charles Birden
Jonathan Goldsmith
Fred Hilfer
Frenny Hilfer

A number of neighborhood residents spoke about an illegal halfway house that operated at this site in the last few years and pointed out numerous problems with that facility.

Facilitator: Katie Larsen 974-6413 katie larsen @ci.austin.tx.us

and Alcohol Abuse. Residents do not have cars, parking is for staff or visitors only. Visitation is weekends only and times are scheduled and staggered. She emphasized that the proposed use is not a halfway house and is strictly supervised. Comparisons to the illegal halfway house that occupied this site are not valid.

Commissioner Armstrong requested information on the number of residents and the average number of staff.

Ms. Bettor replied 54 is the maximum number of residents and that a minimum of one staff monitor per twenty residents are required during waking hours, and approximately three to four counselors would also be at the facility.

Commissioner Ortiz asked how many beds were permitted under the contract with TDCJ, whether the contract has been awarded and if the location is specified in the contract.

Ms. Jennings replied that 46 beds are specified in the contract, the contract has been awarded and the location is not specified.

Commissioner Ortiz requested additional information on the proposed wall between the LO and CS zoned property.

Mr. McHone stated that the wall will completely separate the two portions of the building but will permit exiting for safety purposes. The wall will also cross the open space to the rear. The LO zoned property will be eventually used for some type of office space. Up To Me may lease the space for office use only.

Commissioner Armstrong stated that once a Conditional Use Permit is granted it runs with the land. This could permit a similar organization to use the site if Up To Me ceased operation.

MOTION: CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING

VOTE: 7-0 (DS-1st, NS-2nd)

DISCUSSION

Commissioner Sullivan asked Marty Terry if a site plan expires.

Facilitator: Katie Larsen 974-6413 katie.larsen@ci.austin.tx.us

Marty Terry, Law Department, explained that the commission could put conditions on the site plan, for example limiting the length of time that the use may operate.

Commissioner Spelman asked Greg Guernsey to address the issue of splitting the building along zoning district lines.

Greg Guernsey, Manager, Zoning Division, NPZ reviewed the zoning and land use history for the property and described the grandfathered parking requirements. He stated that the LO portion of the site does not permit transitional housing as either a principal or accessory use. The LO portion of the site will be walled-off from the transitional housing except for emergency exiting.

Ms. Terry reviewed the types of conditions that may be imposed on a CUP under LDC Section 25-5-146 including yard requirements, open space, landscaping, signage, limitation on hours, measure to preserve the public health, safety and welfare.

Commissioner Riley asked what the term of the contract between Up To Me and TDCJ.

Ms Jennings replied it is a three contract with an option to renew for another three years.

Commissioner Sullivan made a motion to deny the Conditional Use Permit, Commissioner Armstrong seconded the motion.

Commissioner Sullivan stated that the test to be applied is whether the conditional use more adversely affects adjoining sites than a permitted use and he stated that the commission has heard testimony that previous transitional housing type uses have caused significant problems in the past. The current applicant was not part of that and may be able to control those problems but changes in the economy or the State rules governing the use could change while the site could remain in use for transitional housing after this applicant has moved on. In summary, he stated that the proposed transitional housing use had the potential to have much more adverse impacts on adjoining sites than a permitted use and emphasized that the Planning Commission needed to spend time developing standards for the location of transitional housing.

Commissioner Spelman stated that the use was sorely needed but the proposal to wall off a portion of the site based on zoning districts caused her significant concern.

Commissioner Moore stated that the applicant had discussed the issue with the neighborhood planning team and that the facility to north had no demonstrated problems. He felt that neighborhoods need to identify where these types of uses should go within each neighborhood.

Commissioner Sullivan concurred with the need to identify sites for these types of uses but also pointed out that some neighborhoods already had a number of these types of uses including this area.

Commissioner Ortiz stated that the proposal to use only a portion of the site for transitional housing while the LO portion could be utilized for office uses by the same applicant made her

Facilitator: Katie Larsen 974-6413

katie.larsen@ci.austin.tx.us

PLANNING COMMISSION

March 23, 2004

question the appropriateness of the site for this use and the potential negative impacts on neighbors.

MOTION: DENY THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT BASED ON CRITERIA (C)(1) OF LDC 25-5-145. VOTE: 7-0 (DS-1st, MA-2nd)

Commissioner Sullivan asked Ms. Terry to advise the applicant about appeal rights.

13. Resubdivision: C8-04-0017.OA.SH - RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 7, 8, 34 & 35.

BLOCK H, LOTS 1 -24, BLOCK I, LOTS 1-29, BLOCK J AND

LOTS 7-30, BLOCK K OF THE VIEWPOINT AT

WILLIAMSON CREEK, PHASE 1 (S.M.A.R.T. HOUSING)

Location: ,5700 VIEWPOINT DRIVE, WILLIAMSON CREEK Watershed,

SOUTHEAST / COMBINED NPA

Owner/Applicant: STASSNEY CROSSING L.P. (TY CUNNINGHAM)

Agent: LONGARO-CLARKE ENGINEERS (ALEX CLARKE)

Request: APPROVAL OF RESUBDIVISION

Staff Rec.: RECCOMMENDED
Staff: Javier V. Delgado, 974-7648, javier.delgado@cj.ar

Javier V. Delgado, 974-7648, javier.delgado@ci.austin.tx.us Bill Andrews, 974-7649, bill.andrews@ci.austin.tx.us

Watershed Protection & Development Review

MOTION: APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION BY CONSENT.

VOTE: 7-0 (MA-1st, NS-2nd)

14. Resubdivision: C8-04-0029.OA - RESUBDIVISION OF A PORTION OF LOT 2,

BLOCK 2, BUDDINGTON SUBDIVISION

Location: 609 WEST 37TH STREET, Waller Creek Watershed, WEST

UNIVERSITY NPA

Owner/Applicant: ROBERT LEE

Agent: LOPEZ-PHELPS & ASSOCIATES (AMELIA LOPEZ-PHELPS)

Request: STAUTORY DISAPPROVAL

Staff Rec.: RECCOMMENDED FOR DISAPPROVAL

Staff: Javier V. Delgado, 974-7648, javier.delgado@ci.austin.tx.us

Bill Andrews, 974-7649, bill.andrews@ci.austin.tx.us

Watershed Protection & Development Review

MOTION: APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION BY CONSENT.

VOTE: 7-0 (MA-1st, NS-2nd)

Facilitator: Katie Larsen 974-6413 katie larsen@ci.austin.tx.us

5108 Duval St Austin, TX 78751 September 3, 2003

Transportation, Planning & Sustainability Department Attn: Planning Commission Coordinator P.O. Box 1088 Austin TX, 78767

Dear Ms. Ortiz and Members of the Planning Commission:

At our August 27th North Loop Planning Team meeting, the eleven planning team members in attendance unanimously endorsed the proposal from Up To Me, Inc. for a conditional use permit on the property at 5117 N. Lamar for the purpose of a transitional housing treatment center for women.

Prior to the August 27th meeting, members of the planning team and other neighbors spent considerable time and energy considering the matter:

- We visited the existing treatment center for men at 6222 N. Lamar, hosted by Patricia Jennings, Administrator, and her staff.
- We discussed the matter with Ms. Jennings and other Up To Me, Inc. guests at two prior neighborhood meetings, one in May and one in July.
- We discussed the matter in numerous email messages, frequently by means of our neighborhood listsery.
- We discussed the matter extensively with Kathleen Welder, cur COA representative from the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department.
- We checked with the Austin Police Department about their experiences with the existing treatment center.
- We contacted the Brentwood Neighborhood Association about the 6222 N. Lamar facility.
- We consulted the North Loop Neighborhood Plan for guidance on appropriate uses.

After considerable debate, we agreed in July not to endorse the original Up To Me proposal for a zoning change to an SF-3 property at 5413 Guadalupe. Instead, we welcomed Ms. Jennings' efforts to locate the center at 5117 N. Lamar on a property already zoned CS on a commercial corridor, well-suited for a group living situation, and located across the street from a bus stop. In fact, no one at the August 27th meeting spoke against the Up To Me conditional use permit.

In conclusion, our endorsement of the Up To Me proposal comes after extensive discussion and thought. The proposal is consistent with our stated Neighborhood Vision: "Linked to the desire for a variety of activities, services, and destinations within walking distance, is the neighborhood's commitment to creating a lively mix of uses within the North Loop Neighborhood Planning Area." We hope, therefore, that you will take into account this recommendation as you consider the Up To Me application.

Sincerely,

Bill Yoder

Chair, North Loop Planning Team

512-302-3927

http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/zoning/north_loop.htm

cc: Jay Reddy Chair, Northfield Neighborhood Association 5507 Avenue G Austin, TX 78751

cc: Kathleen Welder
City of Austin Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department
505 Barton Springs Road
PO Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767

Texas Department of Criminal Justice

[FY 2002 Statistical Report Table of

FY 2002 Statistical Report

Glossary of Terms

"36" Offense refers to the offenses listed in Article 42.12, Section 3G of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure. Offenders convicted of a "3G" offense encounter highly restrictive conditions of discretionary release, including a higher percentage of calendar time served before being considered for release. Examples of "3G" offenses are murder, capital murder, indecency with a child (with sexual contact), sexual assault of a child, aggravated kidnapping, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated robbery, use of a deadly weapon, and any offense with an affirmative weapon finding.

County of Conviction is the county that correlates with the offense of record.

<u>Discharge Releases</u> are Institutional Division offenders who are released from TDCJ either by full expiration of their sentence or by court order.

Institutional Division Offenders are offenders who are sentenced to imprisonment in the Institutional Division of TDCJ after being convicted of a capital, first degree, second degree, or third degree felony. This category includes transfer offenders.

<u>Intelligence Quotient Score (IQ)</u> is the individual's score obtained from a group administered IQ test. For treatment purposes, additional tests are used to identify mentally impaired offenders.

Mandatory Supervision Releases are offenders who are released from TDCJ to the supervision of the Parole Division. Mandatory Supervision eligibility generally requires that calendar time served, plus good time conduct earned, equal the offender's total sentence. Offenders convicted of "3G" offenses committed on or after September 1, 1987 are not eligible for this type of release. Historically, this type of release was not dependent on the approval of the Board of Pardons and Paroles, but recent legislation has provided for Discretionary Mandatory Supervision Releases for "non-3G" offenses committed after September 1, 1996.

Mandatory Supervision Violators are prison offenders returned to TDCJ after their supervision has been revoked due to conviction of a new offense or technical violation.

New Receives are Institutional Division offenders admitted to a

611 A Jennie St. Austin J. 78751 Marel 29, 2004 Transportation, Planning + Sustainability Depr P. B. Box 1088 Sustin, It 78767 Other: Mr Ostig A brief note to that you and member of the planning commission for given Jennia Street residents the opportunity to speak out on the proposed transitional housing project. My neighbor and myself are gratiful the commission registed UTMs application. If UTM appeals to the city commission we will be there ogain to protect our neighborhood and the value of our home. Sincerely Jan D. Janes cc. Cothy Hought Manks for huping us ported on the meetings and the good presentation you made Jel



Gity of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review 505 Barton Springs Road / P.O. Box 1088 / Austin, Texas 78767-8835

NOTICE OF PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

Mailing Date of this Notice: March 12, 2004 File Number: SPC-03-0022A The Watershed Protection and Development Review Department has received the following described application for development. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners within 300 feet of a proposed development and affected neighborhood organizations be notified that an application for development has been filed. OWNER: Cobalt Partners, Inc. (Mitch Ely) PHONE: (512) 476-1976 AGENT: McHone Real Estate (Mike McHone) PHONE: (512) 481-9111 PROJECT NAME: Up-To-Me, Inc. Transitional Housing PROJECT ADDRESS AND/OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION: (See map) 5117 North Lamar Blvd. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit for transitional housing and associated improvements. PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING DATE: March 23, 2004 TIME: 6:00 PM LOCATION: 505 Barton Springs Road, One Texas Center 3rd Floor, Training Room #325, Austin If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact Kathy Haught at the City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, (512) 974-2724. Office hours are 7:45 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. Please be sure to refer to the File Number at the top of the page when you call. You may send your written comments to the Planning Commission Assistant, Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. File # SPC-03-0022A-KH Planning Commission Hearing Date: March 23, 2004 Name (please print) DAMON ARHOS I I am in favor Address 610 ETNIA STREET AUSTIN 7875 1 1 lobject

March 17, 2004

Planning Commission Assistant
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767-8835

File No. SPC-03-0022A-KH

Planning Commission Hearing Date: March 23, 2004

Dear Planning Commission Members:

I write to you today to express my opposition to the request for a conditional use permit for transitional housing and associated improvements for Up-To-Me, Inc.

I oppose the location of this facility for several reasons. As someone who lives within 300 feet of the proposed facility, I understand the impact that it could have on my neighborhood.

Not long ago, the site was used for a similar purpose – and during that timeframe:

- I often had trouble turning down the street because of the number of cars parked along the sides.
- Many cars in the parking lot and on the street were abandoned / never moved.
- Groups of residents often gathered to work on their cars or socialize, blocking traffic and endangering themselves.
- The building and the grounds / landscaping were not adequately maintained.
- Often, garbage littered the ground and progressed down the street (sometimes into my yard).

As well, I drive past the current Up-To-Me location on my way to and from work every day, and have noticed similar issues with their facility for men.

I appreciate your willingness to consider my opinions about this issue. And again, I encourage you to deny Up-To-Me, Inc.'s application.

Sincerely,

Damon W. Arhos 610 Zennia Street

Austin, Texas 78751

Janua W. arles

(512) 454-6457

You may send your written comments to Development Review Department, P. O	the Planning Commission Assistant, Watershed Protection and Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.
File # SPC-03-0022A-KH	Planning Commission Hearing Date: March 23, 2004
Name (please print) JAMES R.	IND DOROTHY MONAGHAN I I am in favor
Address 6 15 ZENNIA ST	Austin 78751 Al Object
as a long time resident of to transitional housing per Lamar only 2 hones are	his neighborhood we are opposed to a let being issued for business at 5117 Korth between our home and that property. Les of parking problems— lue undorstand care and problem viettors, we see to eighborhood if this business is permitted (over)
Shere would be disturbed Done of residents will have benefit whatever for our n	egiborhood if this business is persutted

to sperate and the above location. Your natice said only transitional housing best did not say what kind of people would be in transition there who did you not put that in the natice. I had is a very important question that we evidently shouldn't know. Well the type of people change from one month to the next ? How will we know will we know who is living there?

James R. & Lorothy Monaghan



City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review . 505 Barton Springs Road / P.O. Box 1088 / Austin, Texas 78767-8835

NOTICE OF PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

Mailing Date of this Notice: March 12, 2004 File Number: SPC-03-0022A

The Watershed Protection and Development Review Department has received the following described application for development. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners within 300 feet of a proposed development and affected neighborhood organizations be notified that an application for development has been filed.

OWNER: Cobalt Partners, Inc. (Mitch Ely)

PHONE: (512) 476-1976

AGENT: McHone Real Estate (Mike McHone) PHONE: (512) 481-9111

PROJECT NAME: Up-To-Me, Inc. Transitional Housing

PROJECT ADDRESS AND/OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION: (See map) 5117 North Lamar Blvd.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit for transitional housing and associated improvements.

PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING DATE: March 23, 2004 TIME: 6:00 PM

LOCATION: 505 Barton Springs Road, One Texas Center 3rd Floor, Training Room #325, Austin

If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact Kathy Haught at the City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, (512) 974-2724. Office hours are 7:45 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. Please be sure to refer to the File Number at the top of the page when you call.

You may send your written comments to the Planning Commission Assistant, Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.

File # SPC-03-0022A-KH Planning Commission Hearing Date: March 23, 2004

Name (please print) Young - yo Murphy

I am in favor

Address 613 Zennia Austin, TX 78751 XI object



Texas Department of Criminal Justice

Gary L. Johnson Executive Director

October 29, 2003

Patricia Jennings Up To Me, Inc. 6222 N. Lamar Austin, Texas 78752

Re: Residential and Outpatient Substance Abuse Treatment Services, Contract No. 696-PS-4-6-C0070

Dear Ms. Jennings:

It has been brought to my attention that Up To Me, Inc. does not have a sign posted out front of the proposed location for the new women's facility, advising the neighbors of said intentions.

In Local Government Code, Chapter §244.002, Notice of Proposed Location, 2d lists the requirements for posting an outside notice at the proposed location. These requirements were sent to you in a letter dated October 6, 2003, from Ms. Sheila Gaskins. Failure to abide by the statutory requirements will subject the female site to not be considered.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (936) 437-7116, by facsimile number (936) 437-7099 or by email at cheryl.cowart@tdcj.state.tx.us.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Cowart, CTPM Contract Administrator

xc: Marsha McLane, Private Facilities Division Riley Tilley, Programs and Services Division

Contract file



City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review Department 505 Barton Springs Road / P.O. Box 1088 / Austin, Texas 78767-8835

SITE PLAN APPEAL

If you are an applicant and/or property owner or interested party, and you wish to appeal a decision on a site plan application, the following form must be completed and filed with the Director of Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, City of Austin, at the address shown above. The deadline to file an appeal is 14 days after the decision of the Planning Commission, or 20 days after an administrative decision by the Director. If you need assistance, please contact the assigned City contact at (512) 974-2680.

CASE NO. SPC - 03 - 0022A	DATE APPEAL FILED 3/24/04
PROJECT NAME UP-70-Me INC.	YOUR NAME Michael & Mittake
TRANITIONAL HOUSING	SIGNATURE Willed & Millions
PROJECT ADDRESS 5//7 N. LAMAR	YOUR ADDRESS P.O. Box 8/42
	AUSTIN, TX 78713-8142
CITY CONTACT CI	YOUR PHONE NO. (5/2) 49/- 9/// WORK
KN7HY HAUGHT	(512) <u>554-8440</u> HOME
INTERESTED PARTY STATUS: Indicate how you qual following criteria: (Check one) I am the record property owner of the subject proper I am the applicant or agent representing the applican I communicated my interest by speaking at the Plant I communicated my interest in writing to the Directo copy of dated correspondence).	ty t ning Commission public hearing on (date)
In addition to the above criteria, I qualify as an interested I occupy as my primary residence a dwelling located I am the record owner of property within 500 feet of I am an officer of a neighborhood or environmental of feet of the subject site.	within 500 feet of the subject site. the subject site.
DECISION TO BE APPEALED*: (Check one)	
☐ Administrative Disapproval/Interpretation of a Site P	
□ Replacement site plan ★ Planning Commission Approval/Disapproval of a Sit	Date of Decision: 3/23/04
□ Waiver or Extension	Date of Decision:
Planned Unit Development (PUD) Revision	Date of Decision:
O Other:	Date of Decision:
*Administrative Approval/Disapproval of a Site Plan may	y only be appealed by the Applicant.
STATEMENT: Please provide a statement specifying the not comply with applicable requirements of the Land Develop See ATTACHE	oment Code:
(Attach additional pag	e if necessary.)
Applicable Code Section:	

Statement Specifying Reasons for Appeal of Decision for SPC-03-0022.A

The staff recommendation to grant the Conditional Use Permit should have been supported by an affirmative vote of the Planning Commission.

In spite of the staff recommendation, staff failed to include in the" back up" materials or in the staff presentation to the Planning Commission support materials and information which are critical to this case. The first and perhaps the most important is a letter from the North Loop Planning Team endorsing the site at 5117 N. Lamar for use as transitional housing. This letter of support was used by the current owner as evidence of support which he used to justify the purchase of the property and the lease with option to purchase for the operator Up-To-Me Inc. The Planning Team's letter speaks very clearly as to the reasons for its support. (Letter attached)

Additionally, staff failed to include the sign up sheet and information about the public hearing held by the Texas Department of Criminal Justice held in the neighborhood on November 19, 2003. This required meeting was held to inform the local residents of the proposed facility. Many of the people who spoke at the planning commission had also signed up and spoke at the November hearing. However at the planning commission meeting, the impression was made that they were just now hearing about the proposed change. In fact Up-To-Me Inc. has been in continuous communications with the adjacent neighbors answering their questions in an attempt to explain the facility. The implication to the planning commission that this was a "surprise" was not accurate. (Sign in sheet attached)

Also city staff took upon itself the role of Texas Department of Criminal Justice Contract Compliance Officer and stated to the Planning Commission that this facility would not meet the requirements of provisions of the contract between Up-To Me Inc. and the TDCJ.

The Planning Commission erred in their motion to deny because their motion to deny did not fit their stated findings that this facility would more adversely affect an adjoining site than would a permitted use. All the testimony including every one of the opponents stated that they had never experienced any problems with the men's facility operated by Up-To-Me Inc. located .8 miles to the north in the Crestview Neighborhood. That facility has been there for 12 years. Across the rear fence is a single family home. The facility at 5117 N. Lamar would house women and be operated in the same manner.

Planning Commission's statements to locate Transitional Housing away from all residential populations is impractical and in conflict with the goals of integrating these clients back into society. (See attached article)

JFE & MOVIES



ies speaks

lent's adviser tells eligion helped her ther White House

USINESS

(s surge

t about economic 1 helps investors doidrums as Dow

C1

IIS SECTION

ing support

rats — including Bill and Howard Dean hind John Kerry at Inner. AS

WEATHER

Chance of showers.

High: 78 Low: 67 Details, 86 and online at

Details, 86 and online at statesman.com/weather

INDEX

Business	
Deaths	B4
Editorials, Opinion	A14-15
Metro & State	B1-6
Life & Movies	E1+12
Sports	D1-12
World & Nation	A2-24
mi - 416 - 41-	#

For home delivery, call 445-4040

4) 2004, Austin

COMING SATURDAY Beyond scraps

Jane Greig stitches together the basics of scrapbooking.

in Life & Arts



Released inmates find it hard to fit in

Study finds few programs to help with transition from prison

By Mike Ward

AMERICAN-STATEMAN STAFF

The trip home usually starts in Huntsville: The ex-convicts leave with at least \$50 in cash and a set of hand-me-down clothes that may or may not fit, or match.

By the time they arrive in Travis County, it's quickly apparent they're societal misfits.

Many apartments won't house them. Many employers won't hire them. Families may not want them around, and, with no money, no job and no place to stay, they stand a better-thanaverage chance of becoming homeless. Health care, counseling and job-training programs are tough to come by, especially if the ex-convicts have finished their sentences instead of coming out on parole.

Against such odds, the chances they will return to crime can become a sure bet.

A new Urban Institute study
— "A Portrait of Prisoner Reentry in Texas" — describes the
exodus. The study is the first
phase of a three-year effort to
examine what happens when
felons leave prison in Texas and
elsewhere. In Austin, a community effort is under way to address problems felons face when
they return to life outside prison.

The research by the nonpartisan, Washington-based group shows that most of the 2,342 released prisoners coming to Travis County in 2001 whose addresses could be tracked

See PRISONERS, A12

OTV

PRISONERS: Travis looking for ways to improve integration of freed felons

A SECURIT OF SECURITY OF SECUR

Forty-six percent of the men and women coming to Travis County had been imprisoned for

County had been imprisoned for properly offenses, 22 percent for drug offenses and 15 percent for violent crimes.

Black people accounted for 28 percent of the prisoners coming to Travia County, while blacks accounted for only 9 percent of the county's residents, Hispanica accounted for

Dallas, which received 7.971 or

Dallas, which received 7,971 or 14 percent.
Texas was included in the study because it accounted for one in nine state prisoners released in the United States during 2001, Urban Institute officials said.
"More prisoners nationwide

and 28 percent of residents, whites accounted for 88 percent of residents. According to the Urban Institute, 45 percent of residents. According to the Urban Institute, 45 percent of residents. According to the Urban Institute, 45 percent of all Texas convicts released from prison in 500, were the said, 32 percent were white and 34 percent were white and 34 percent were white and 34 percent were within and to countaise that include four of Texas, largest metropolitan areas — Dallas, Houston, Fort Worth and San Antonio, Most went to Houston, which got 1,128 or 25 percent, followed by Dallas, which recaived 7,971 or

Such programs in Texas have historically been underfunded or unfunded altogether, and or unfunded altogether, and grograms inside prisons to pre-pare convicts for release do not include everyons. According to the report, only 25 parcent of potential releasees participated in the prison system's primary

work readiness program, 42
percent were in basic education programs, and only percent programs and only percent power in the programs and only percent power telessed.

For local and state officials, exconvicts entering communities signal a growing issue—as lawmakers and proloce look for ways to stop the cycle of crime.

Last week two sints Capital hearings focused on whether Texas could beet up its parote and probation programs to best ter reintegrate exaffenders in communities as a way to be smarter about crime.

"It's all about money," and Travis County District Attorney ponent of rehabilitation and aitornative so prison programs.

"We may be at the point of the state of the good folks in the community."

Jamie Watson, lead author of Jamie Watson, lead autho

the study, said the benefits of a solution are imany.

"A well-dastigned re-entry system can make neighborhoods safer, slow the revolving door leading back to prison, control ourretions spending and help prisoners return to a place in the community," was said. In the end, everyone write, returning prisoners, their families and their neighbors."

Gary Johnson, executive tirector of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, which operates the pitsch and parola systems and holps fund local probation agencies, said he hopes the study provise actalyst for increasing awareness of the

for increasing awareness of the issue.

issue,
"We are certainly open to new
ideas and approaches that will
bring about positive changes in
the criminal justice system,"
Johnson said.



5108 Duval St Austin, TX 78751 September 3, 2003

Transportation, Planning & Sustainability Department Attn: Planning Commission Coordinator P.O. Box 1088 Austin TX, 78767

Dear Ms. Ortiz and Members of the Planning Commission:

At our August 27th North Loop Planning Team meeting, the eleven planning team members in attendance unanimously endorsed the proposal from Up To Me, Inc. for a conditional use permit on the property at 5117 N. Lamar for the purpose of a transitional housing treatment center for women.

Prior to the August 27th meeting, members of the planning team and other neighbors spent considerable time and energy considering the matter:

- We visited the existing treatment center for men at 6222 N. Lamar, hosted by Patricia Jennings, Administrator, and her staff.
- We discussed the matter with Ms. Jennings and other Up To Me, Inc. guests at two prior neighborhood meetings, one in May and one in July.
- We discussed the matter in numerous email messages, frequently by means of our neighborhood listsery.
- We discussed the matter extensively with Kathleen Welder, our COA representative from the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department.
- We checked with the Austin Police Department about their experiences with the existing treatment center.
- We contacted the Brentwood Neighborhood Association about the 6222 N. Lamar facility.
- We consulted the North Loop Neighborhood Plan for guidance on appropriate uses.

After considerable debate, we agreed in July not to endorse the original Up To Me proposal for a zoning change to an SF-3 property at 5413 Guadalupe. Instead, we welcomed Ms. Jennings' efforts to locate the center at 5117 N. Lamar on a property already zoned CS on a commercial corridor, well-suited for a group living situation, and located across the street from a bus stop. In fact, no one at the August 27th meeting spoke against the Up To Me conditional use permit.

In conclusion, our endorsement of the Up To Me proposal comes after extensive discussion and thought. The proposal is consistent with our stated Neighborhood Vision: "Linked to the desire for a variety of activities, services, and destinations within walking distance, is the neighborhood's commitment to creating a lively mix of uses within the North Loop Neighborhood Planning Area." We hope, therefore, that you will take into account this recommendation as you consider the Up To Me application.

Sincerely,

Bill Yoder

Chair, North Loop Planning Team

512-302-3927

http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/zoning/north_loop.htm

cc: Jay Reddy Chair, Northfield Neighborhood Association 5507 Avenue G Austin, TX 78751

cc: Kathleen Welder
City of Austin Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department
505 Barton Springs Road
PO Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767

UP-TO-ME, INC. Public Hearing Agenda November 19, 2003

UP-TO-ME, INC. 6222 N. Lamar Blvd. Austin, Texas 78752 7:90pm—9:00pm

Community Prayer

Introduction

Presentation of UP-TO-ME, INC.

Questions and Answers

Registered Speakers

 Note persons who have registered to speak will be called in the order in which they signed in on the attendance log.

UP-TO-ME, INC. is committed to providing substance abuse treatment services to undeserved individuals and families that are in the criminal justice system.

UP-TO-ME, INC. Public Hearing Certification

All guidelines were followed and a fair public hearing was held on November 19, 2003 at 7:00pm-9:00pm at 6222 N. Lamar Austin, Texas 78752. The purpose of the hearing was to elicit community discussion of the intent to provide Substance Abuse Treatment for women. The following was the subject of the public hearing:

Residential Treatment Facility for Women 5117 N. Lamar Blvd
Austin, Texas 78751
Travis County

Patricia Jennings

Administrator

Date

UP-TO-ME, INC. Public Hearing November 17, 2003

Name	Address	For	Against
JAN Johnson	205 redays pate 10	X	
Kothonin Talloch	Kothonin Tulloch 709 NEURAY AUSTINITY	A control of the cont	Thereserves and the second second
Have HELFER	HAUL HELFER SINS N. LAMAR		×
FRED EHILA	FRED EHILFER 5115 W. LAWAR		X
STEVE HAMPIEN	5209 N CANAMA	With the second	X
Oheisthe Modracy A	Theisthy Cookey Admyd Monble. Falls TX	Α.	
FLOYD SCHKADE	FLOYD SCHKADE SZSZ W LAMAR AUSTIN	Anthrodom .	With the state of
MANIMUS MKAdo 5253 N.LAMA F	5253 N.LAMA r	The state of the s	¥
Kole Berlen	5006 Warm #125		-
Luc 1 6.45	1300 Aust	0186.955	X
Kut Cail	5212 N. Kamar Thud	Annual desirate and an	×
LawenGreen	HOY Zenna St		4
Paril Paym	1)	rinoratori	+
WHILL PATHING	1/2/ / mm/ 5212 Huis Asha P/4541975	21.61	×
PLL YORR	YOIRE STOR DUME ST	X	
	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·