

AGENDA ITEM NO.: Z-19 AGENDA DATE: Thu 06/24/2004

PAGE: 1 of 1

SUBJECT: C14-03-0157 - Harris Ranch - Conduct a public hearing and approve an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as south side of Davis Lane, between Brodie Lane and Westgate Boulevard (Slaughter Creek Watershed-Barton Springs Zone) from development reserve (DR) district zoning and rural residence (RR) district zoning to community commercial (GR) district zoning for Tract 1; multi-family residence low density (MF-2) district zoning for Tract 2; and townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning for Tract 3. Zoning and Platting Commission Recommendation: To grant community commercial-conditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district zoning for Tract 1 and single family residence standard lot (SF-2) district zoning for the remainder of the property, with conditions. Applicants: Robert Brent Harris; John Weldon Harris and Darrow Dean Harris. Agent: Weynand Development, Ltd. (Mike Weynand). City Staff: Wendy Walsh, 974-7719.

REQUESTING DEPARTMENT:

Neighborhood Planning

and Zoning

**DIRECTOR'S** 

**AUTHORIZATION:** Greg Guernsey

RCA Serial#: 5593 Date: 06/24/04 Original: Yes

Disposition: Postponed--THU 06/24/2004

Published: Fri 06/11/2004

Adjusted version published:

#### ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-03-0157 Z.P.C. DATE: March 16, 2004

April 6, 2004 May 4, 2004 May 18, 2004

ADDRESS: South side of Davis Lane, between Brodie Lane and Westgate Boulevard

OWNERS AND APPLICANTS: Robert Brent Harris; AGENT: Weynand Development, Ltd.

John Weldon Harris and Darrow Dean Harris (Mike Weynand)

**ZONING FROM:** DR; RR **TO:** CS; MF-3; SF-6; SF-4A

\*\*\*AMENDED TO: GR; MF-2; SF-6\*\*\*

**AREA:** 103.400 acres \*\*\* **AMENDED TO**: 89.522 acres\*\*\*

#### SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION (AS AMENDED):

The staff's recommendation is to grant:

- community commercial conditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district zoning for Tract 1
  with the Conditional Overlay prohibiting the following uses: service station; automotive
  sales; automotive rentals; automotive repair service; automotive washing (any type); and offsite accessory parking;
- 2) multi-family residence low density (MF-2) district zoning for Tract 2; and
- 3) townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning for Tract 3, as shown in Exhibit B.

The Restrictive Covenant over the entire property would limit the site development to uses and intensities that will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the final TIA memorandum provided as Attachment A (April 27, 2004).

#### ZONING & PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

March 16, 2004: POSTPONED TO 4-6-04 AT THE REQUEST OF STAFF  $[J.M; J.G 2^{ND}]$  (8-0) K.J – ABSENT

April 6, 2004: POSTPONED TO 05/04/04 (NEIGHBORHOOD)
[J.M; J.D 2<sup>ND</sup>] (7-0) K.J – ABSENT

May 4, 2004: POSTPONED TO 5/18/04 (APPLICANT) [J.G; J.D 2<sup>ND</sup>] (5-0) J.M; C.H; J.P – ABSENT

May 18, 2004: APPROVED GR-CO FOR 8.04 ACRES; PROHIBITING ALL AUTO RELATED USES AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF; PROHIBIT PAWNSHOPS SERVICES, GUIDANCE SERVICES, RESTAURANT WITH DRIVE THROUGH SERVICES; SF-2 ZONING FOR THE REMAINDER OF PROPERTY; RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FOR THE TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS.

 $[B.B; J.P \ 2^{ND}] \ (6-2) \ J.G; \ K.J - NAY$ 

\* COMMISSION RECOMMENDED COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING PROCESS FOR THE GENERAL AREA

#### ISSUES:

Following the Zoning and Platting Commission meeting, the applicant's representatives met with members of the Cherry Creek on Brodie and Tanglewood Oaks neighborhood association to provide and discuss a conceptual site plan for the proposed multi-family and townhouse / condominium portions of the development. Please refer to Exhibit B-1.

The applicant would like to discuss the Zoning and Platting Commission's recommendation. The applicant is willing to enter into a Restrictive Covenant with the City that provides that at the time a site plan is submitted, it will include an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Plan and a landscape plan for the use of native and adapted plant materials.

On May 11, 2004, the applicant submitted revised field notes to exclude a 200 foot wide area (approximately 14.2 acres – 12.1 acres of land presently zoned RR; 2.1 acres of DR) along the entire length of the south property line. This 200' wide area will remain zoned DR and RR. NOTE: Subsequent to applicant's amendment of the zoning boundary, there is a petition of 3.98%. Prior to amending the zoning boundary, there was a valid petition of 28.70% filed by the adjacent property owners in opposition to this rezoning request.

The applicant is in agreement with the staff's alternate recommendation, as amended.

The applicant has met with the Cherry Creek on Brodie and Tanglewood Oaks neighborhood associations to discuss the proposed project.

The Watershed Protection and Development Review Department staff has clarified that the subject property is within the Barton Springs Zone, including both recharge and contributing zones, and is subject to Save Our Springs Ordinance. Please refer to Attachment C.

### **DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:**

The subject property is undeveloped; with the Brodie Lane frontage zoned development reserve (DR) district zoning and the remainder, located south of Davis Lane and east to West Gate Boulevard, is zoned rural residence (RR) district. Two hazardous pipelines, as recognized by City Code, traverse the property in a southwest to northeast direction. New development must be set back 200 feet from hazardous pipelines, unless approval is obtained from the Fire Chief or development complies with standards for construction near a pipeline as prescribed by the Fire Criteria Manual. Please refer to Exhibits A (Zoning Map) and A-1 (Aerial View).

#### Applicant's Request

The applicant has requested rezoning of the property to provide a mix of commercial, multi-family, townhouse / condominium and single family residential development. As shown in Exhibit B, (Applicant's Conceptual Zoning Tract Map), the applicant has requested four zoning districts, as follows:

• Tract 1: Community commercial (GR) district zoning at the southeast corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes (approximately eight acres) with the intent of providing three sit-down restaurants (15,000 square feet), and includes the option for a bank. The applicant is in

agreement with the staff recommended Conditional Overlay to prohibit automotive-related uses.

 Tract 2: Multi-family residence low density (MF-2) district zoning is proposed for approximately 19 acres along Davis Lane.

 Tract 3: Townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning is proposed for approximately 62 acres on the south side of Davis Lane to the east and southeast property lines.

### Staff recommendation

Staff supports the applicant's requested zoning districts as described above and as follows:

- For Tract 1, GR-CO zoning provides the opportunity for a commercial and retail component to serve the proposed development as well as the surrounding existing neighborhoods. GR zoning is also consistent with that existing on the north side of the property, footprint zoning to the west (north of Deer Lane), a proposed PUD on the west side of Brodie Lane that includes retail, restaurant and service uses, and clusters commercial development at the corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes and thus, at a distance from existing residential areas. Given the property's location within the Barton Springs Zone, the Conditional Overlay prohibits automotive-related uses.
- For Tract 2, MF-2 zoning provides a multi-family component to the housing opportunities envisioned for this development, is appropriately located along Davis Lane which is classified as a minor arterial, is consistent with that found to the north along Brodie Lane and West Gate Boulevard (MF-2-CO), and serves as a transition between the proposed commercial development and the proposed SF-6 and SF-2 districts.
- For Tract 3, SF-6 zoning further diversifies the housing types available within the general
  area by introducing the opportunity for townhouse and condominium development and is
  situated along Davis Lane and the extension of West Gate Boulevard, both of which are
  classified as arterial roadways.

#### **EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:**

	ZONING	LAND USES
Site	DR; RR	Two single family residences
North	GR-CO; RR; SF-1; SF- 2; P; MF-2-CO; LR-CO	Undeveloped; Radio tower; Single family residences – both large lot and standard lot
South	LO; SF-2; P; SF-4A- CO	Undeveloped; Single family residences – both standard lot and small lot; Child care; Drainage area
East	RR; SF-2	Elementary school; Single family residences
West	MF-2-CO; MF-1; GR; LR; SF-2; P; DR; I-RR	Apartments; Undeveloped; Fire station; City preserve land

AREA STUDY: N/A

TIA: Is required – Please refer to Attachment A

**WATERSHED:** Slaughter Creek –

**Barton Springs Zone** 

**DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE:** No

# **CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR:** No

# **SCENIC ROADWAY:** No

# **NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:**

12 - Brodie Lane Homeowners Association

217 - Tanglewood Forest Neighborhood Association

384 - Save Barton Creek Association

428 - Barton Springs / Edwards Aquifer Conservation District

465 - Cherry Creek on Brodie Neighborhood Association

627 - Onion Creek Homeowners Association

649 - Plantation Neighborhood Association

943 - Save Our Springs Alliance

967 - Circle C Neighborhood Association

327 - Neighborhood of Westgate

385 - Barton Springs Coalition

511 - Austin Neighborhood Council

918 – Davis Hills Estate HOA

# **SCHOOLS:**

Cowan Elementary School

Covington Middle School

Crockett High School

# **CASE HISTORIES:**

NUMBER	REQUEST	ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION / PLANNING COMMISSION	CITY COUNCIL
C814-04-0024	DR to PUD for retail uses, automotive repair service; convenience storage, 2 restaurants and 2 conservation easement areas on 30 acres	Pending consideration by the ZAP, subject to completing the Traffic Impact Analysis	Pending
C14-02-0118	LO-CO to GR	Recommended GR-CO with CO to prohibit Automotive washing (automatic or mechanical); Automotive repair services; Commercial off-street parking; Extermination services; Funeral services; Pawn shop services; and Service station; 2,000 trips; and maximum height of 40 feet	Approved GR-CO district zoning as recommended by ZAP. (7-31-03)
C14-00-2251	RR; DR; SF-6;	Recommended GR-CO	Approved GR-CO for

	OF CO. Th.	with CO for TYA	'F4 1
	SF-6-CO; LR;	with CO for TIA;	Tract 1 with the
	LR-CO; GR to	prohibit pawn shops;	following CO: drive-in
	GR; MF-2-CO	provide 50' wide	service is prohibited as
	1	vegetative buffer on the	an accessory use to
		east property line.	commercial uses;
			prohibit service station;
			automotive sales;
			automotive rentals;
			automotive repair
			service; automotive
			washing (any type); off-
			site accessory parking;
			communication service
			facilities; safety services;
			local utility services;
			pawn shops; FAR
			limited to 0.07847; FAR
			for general retail sales
			(general and
			convenience) is limited
			to 0.05336 to 1; and
			FAR for restaurant
			general is limited to
		į	0.04709 to 1.
			Approved MF-2-CO
			for Tract 2. CO limits
	,		property to 8 units per
	·	·	acre; 250' vegetative buffer along the north
			_
			property line and
			extending eastward to
			the northeast corner of
			the tract; 50' wide
			vegetative buffer along
			the east property line;
			improvements within
			buffer zones limited to
			drainage, underground
			utility improvements or
			those required by the
			City; construction of
		' ' ' ' '	water quality and
			detention facilities is
			prohibited within 50' of
			the entire north property
	<u> </u>		line. (10-4-01)
C14-97-0156	I-RR & I-SF-2 to	To Grant staff	Approved RR; SF-2; SF-
(Southland Oaks	RR; SF-1; SF-2;	recommendation	4A; LO; P with
MUD - Brodie at	SF-3; SF-4; SF-5;		conditions (6-25-98)
West Slaughter Lane)	SF-6; MF-1; MF-		
	2; MF-3, MF-4;		
		·	<u> </u>

	NO; LO; GO; LR; GR; CS; P		
C14-97-0155 (Southland Oaks MUD - Cameron Loop at Davis Lane)	I-RR & I-SF-2 to RR; SF-1; SF-2; SF-3; SF-4; SF-5; SF-6; MF-1; MF- 2; MF-3; MF-4; LR; GR; P	To Grant staff recommendation of RR; SF-1 through SF-6; MF-1 through MF-4; LR; GR; CS; P with conditions	Approved PC recommendation of SF- 2; SF-3; MF-2-CO; LR- CO; P (7-9-98)
C14-95-0025	SF-2, LR & GR to MF-2	To Grant MF-2-CO, w/conditions (4/18/95)	Granted MF-2-CO with CO limiting development to 17 units per acre. (6/1/95)
C14-94-0092	I-RR to SF-4A	To Grant SF-4A and SF- 2-CO with CO prohibiting direct access from Crownspoint to West Gate	Approved SF-4A-CO with CO requiring connection through the property between Crownspoint Drive and Firecrest Drive to West
			Gate shall incorporate a 90 degree turn before connection to West Gate (9-1-94)

#### **RELATED CASES:**

The DR portion of the property (Brodie Lane frontage) was annexed into the City limits on November 15, 1984. The RR portion of the property (the remainder, east to West Gate Boulevard) was annexed into the City's Limited-Purpose Jurisdiction on December 15, 1988 and into the City's Full Purpose Jurisdiction on December 31, 1997.

A Development Assessment of the property was provided to the applicant and agent in January 2001 (CD-01-0015). The Development Assessment identified the property as being located outside of the Barton Springs Zone. The agent has requested that the Assessment be made part of the staff packet and is provided as Exhibit C. Attachment C clarifies the location of the property within the Barton Springs Zone.

The City, Austin Independent School District (AISD) and the property owners have an executed (June 199) Memorandum of Understanding regarding the purchase, dedication of right-of-way and construction of West Gate Boulevard and Kentish Drive (the latter serving Cowan Elementary School). In accordance with Memorandum, AISD expects that the developer will be required to construct Westgate Boulevard upon completion of the subdivision platting process. This result is contemplated in the Memorandum and as well as assurances that the right-of-way will be dedicated to the City to effect the construction. Please refer to Attachment B.

There are no related subdivision or site plan cases on the subject property.

# **ABUTTING STREETS:**

STREET	RIGHT- OF-WAY	PAVEMENT WIDTH	CLASSIFICATION	DAILY TRAFFIC
Brodie Lane	90 feet	2 @ 24 feet	Arterial	19,260 (2002)
Davis Lane	Varies	Varies	Arterial	N/A
West Gate Boulevard	Varies	Varies	Arterial	N/A

- There are sidewalks along Brodie Lane, but not along Davis Lane or West Gate Boulevard.
- Capital Metro bus service is not available within 1/4 mile of this property.
- Brodie and Davis Lane are listed in the Bicycle Plan, but West Gate Boulevard is unlisted.

CITY COUNCIL DATE:

June 17, 2004

ACTION: Approved postponement request by the Neighborhood to June 24, 2004 – Neighborhood's first request (7-0)

June 24, 2004

**ORDINANCE READINGS:** 

1<sup>st</sup>

 $2^{nd}$ 


 $3^{rd}$ 


**ORDINANCE NUMBER:** 

CASE MANAGER: Wendy Walsh

e-mail: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

**PHONE:** 974-7719





HEODIE LANG (ROW WHEE) BRODE LANG EXLLIBIT B Applicant's Proposed ZONING TELCT MAP HANRAHAN • PRITCHARD ENGINEERING, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS HARRIS RANCH 8000 ANDERSON SQUARE ROAD, SUITE 110 HPE ZONING DELINEATION AUSTIN, TEXAS 78757 OFFICE: 512.459.4784 FAX: 512.459.4752 Infoshp-engcom

SHEET

01 of 01

 Job No.
 002-18
 Brupshot:
 ZOHING

 Boole (Hock:
 7-500\*
 Sonie (Mart):
 N/A

 Date:
 04/20/01.
 Checked Byl-H
 Drawn BycRP




EXHIBIT B-1

APPLICANT'S CONCEPTUAL

SITE PLAN FOR MUSIFAMILY

TOWNUMSE DEVELOPHENT

1000 NOT

#### DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REVIEW COMMENTS

CASE NUMBER: CD-01-0015

CASE MANAGER: Carl McClendon PHONE #: 499-2727

REVISION #: 0 UPDATE: 0
PROJECT NAME: HARRIS RANCH

SUBMITTAL DATE: 01/23/2001 REPORT DUE DATE: 02/20/2001 FINAL REPORT DATE: 02/05/01 REPORT LATE: DAYS

LOCATION: BRODIE LA AND DAVIS LA

IF YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE COMMENTS LISTED BELOW PLEASE CONTACT YOUR CASE MANAGER.

THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED PRIOR TO SUBMITTING A FORMAL PLAN TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT OR HIS/HER AGENT TO MODIFY THE SUBDIVISION PLAN SO THAT IT COMPLIES WITH THESE REQUIREMENTS.

INCORPORATED IN THE NUMBERING OF EACH COMMENT IS THE RELEVANT REVIEW SECTION. FOR THESE REFERENCES, THE FOLLOWING ABBREVIATIONS APPLY

SP - SITE PLAN CM - CAPITAL METRO

SR - SUBDIVISION CO - COUNTY
TR - TRANSPORTATION MD - MUD REVIEW
CN - CONSTRUCTION PH - PHONE COMPANY

DR - DRAINAGE PK - PARK

EG - ENGINEERING PO - POST OFFICE LR - LANDSCAPE REVIEW PW - PUBLIC WORKS AR - ARBORIST SD - SCHOOL DISTRICT

EV - ENVIRONMENTAL HE - HEALTH DEPARTMENT

WW - WATER AND WASTEWATER EL - ELECTRIC

FI - FIRE DEPARTMENT AD - TPSD - ADDRESS

HD - HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT DG - DRAFTING

REQUIREMENTS: Based on ordinances and written rules adopted by the City of Austin, Texas.

EXHIBITC

2001 DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT PREPARED FOR PROPERTY OWNERS AND ASSENT

# Engineering – JAY BAKER 499-2636

- 1. A Development Permit is required for proposed development (time limit is 3 years with a possible 1 year extension).
- 2. Fiscal will be required prior to issuance of the Development Permit for the subdivision proposed.
- 3. A construction inspection fee for construction within easements and/or R.O.W. shall be paid to the Department of Public Works and Transportation (D.P.W.T.) prior to site plan approval.
- 4. Fiscal will be required for erosion/ sedimentation controls prior to approval of this site development permit.

  Applicant must submit an estimate of e/s control quantities and costs to the environmental reviewer for approval.
- 5. An engineering report with a drainage study is required.
- 6. Detention is required for the 2, 10, 25 and 100 year storms.

# Environmental - LEE LAWSON 499-6341

- EV 1. This project is located in the Slaughter Creek Suburban Watershed, not over the Edwards Aquifer, or Contributing Zone.
- EV 2. Impervious Cover in the Upland Zone: for single-family residential use with a minimum lot size of 5,570 square feet may not exceed 50%, 60% with transfers. For multi-family 60%, 70% with transfers. For commercial 80%, 90% with transfers.
- EV 3. Erosion and Sedimentation Control, and Tree Protection Plan is required, with Fiscal posting for temporary and permanent erosion controls prior to approval of site plan.
- AR 1. Tree survey of trees 8" in diameter or greater for sites within the City of Austin is required.
- LR 1. Landscape Plans are required for all commercial and multi-family development, within the City of Austin. This site is located within the City of Austins Full Purpose jurisdiction.
- WQ 1. Water Quality Controls Requirements: Structural controls: Sedimentation & filtration basins are required for development exceeding 20% impervious cover and shall be designed and constructed to capture, isolate, and treat the first ½ inch of runoff from all contributing areas. Increased capture volume of 1/10 inch for each increment of 10% above 20% of impervious cover proposed to be captured, isolated, and treated as required above.

# WWW - PAUL URBANEK 322-2763

The landowner intends to serve the site with City water and wastewater utilities. Water and wastewater utility improvements are necessary to serve the site and each lot. The landowner will be responsible for all costs and for providing the utility improvements.

With the final plat, the landowner must pay the Subdivision Engineering Review Fee and may be required to post fiscal for some or all of the water and wastewater utility improvements.

No lot will be occupied until the structure is connected to City water and wastewater utilities.

The landowner must pay a Tap and impact Fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin Water and wastewater Tap Permit

All water and wastewater utility improvements must be in accordance to City design criteria and specifications. All plans must be presented to the city for review and approval. All construction must be inspected by the City.

# Land Status, Zoning, Transportation, Etc.

Land Status – A land status determination will be required in order to determine if the tract is a legal tract. Submit a land status determination application with copies of the current deeds for the property and copies of deeds prior to 1972.

Transportation – The owner will be required to dedicate right-of-way along Brodie Lane, Davis Lane, and Westgate Bv. prior to approval of a site plan, zoning case, or subdivision.

- TR 1. Davis Lane is proposed as a major arterial, undivided, with a right-of-way width of 70 feet according to the Austin Metropolitan Area Roadway Plan. The developer of the subject tract will be expected to dedicate 35 feet of right-of-way from the proposed centerline of Davis Lane.
- TR 2. No additional right-of-way is required to be dedicated along Brodie Lane.
- TR 3. Westgate Bv. is a major arterial and requires a right-of-way width of 90 feet. The developer will be expected to dedicate 90 feet of right-of-way along Westgate Bv., adjacent to the subject tract, in accordance with the Austin Metropolitan Area Roadway Plan.

Note: There is a memorandum of understanding among City of Austin, AISD, and John Harris, requiring dedication of the right-of-way for the future extension of Westgate Bv. (SP-99-0251CX). Verification of street dedication has not been provided at this point.


- TR 4. AISD may be responsible for dedication of right-of-way along Westgate Bv. AISD is not responsible, however, for posting fiscal or constructing roadway improvements because school districts are not required to subdivide property.
- TR 5. AISD has not responsible for posting fiscal for Westgate Bv.
- TR 6. There is not a Capital Improvement Project approved or proposed for upgrading Davis Lane, adjacent to the subject tract.
- TR 7. The developer will be expected to dedicate right-of-way and construct roadway improvements to City of Austin standards for the proposed New Road.
- TR 8. Tea Rose Trail may be required to be extended into the subject tract if compatible (single family residental) zoning is established.

# Land Use/Zoning

It's unclear whether staff will support the proposed rezoning request. There is no indication what type or classification of roadway is proposed for the New Road. Compatibility standards will apply to new development along the southern boundary of the subject tract. Compatibility standards are required as a result of the existing single family residential development abutting the southern boundary line of the tract.

### Miscellaneous

The developer may be required to extend utilities in order to obtain service to the tract prior to the City extending utilities. The proposed tract will not qualify for development incentives under the Smart Growth Program.



W.W. S.E.R. Number: 2062

# Proposed Harris Ranch Subdivision Water/WasteWater Service Extension Request

<u>Lot</u>	Land Use	Land Area Approximate	Homes/ Building Area	LUE
1	Multifamily	16+ Acres	320	224
2	Office Condo/ Retail	3.7+ Acres	20,000 SF 20,000 SF	7 12
3	Single Pamily SF 4A	12+ Acres	72	72
			But the state of the state of	٠
4	Homestead MF	9+ Acres	270	189
5	Single Pamily SF2	61.6+ Acres	270	270
6	Single Family SF 4A	6.7+ Acres	42	42
Total		109		816

11/13/03 13:02 FAX 512 533 1429	MILBURN LAND DEVELOPMENT	20008
	WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE EXTENSION REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION	; :
Name: Harris Ranch		Service Requested ; Wastewater
S.E.R. No. : 2062		Date Received : 03/07/2001
Location : Southeast section of Brodle La	ane and Davis Lane	<u></u>
Acres: 109 Land Use:	: Mixed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Alt. Utility Service or S.E.R. Number : Yes		
Qued1 : D-15,16 Qued	12 :	
Drainage Basin : Slaughter Creok	Pressure Zone : SW A	DDZ: Yes
Drainage Baein : Slaughter Creok  Flow : (Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flo		DIMPZ : No

Description of improvements:

Cost Participation:

Applicant will make 8-inch gravity wastewater connections to the existing 8-inch gravity wastewater line in Tea Rose Trell, the existing 8-inch gravity wastewater line in Kentish Drive and the existing 8-inch gravity wastewater line in Cohobe Lane. Applicant will also upgrade approximately 900 feet of existing 8-inch gravity wastewater line in Silk Oak Drive (manhole station 4+00 to 12+88.14 construction plan number C-93-519) to handle the proposed service flows.

%Within Limited Purpose: 0

% Within City Limits: 100

#### Conditions:

1)Completion and acceptance of the improvements described above.

\$0.00

2) Construction of all Service Extensions are subject to all environmental and planning ordinances.

3)Service Extensions are subject to the guidelines established in the Land Development Code, Section 25-9, Water and Wastewater Utility Service.

4) The level of service approved by this document does not imply commitment for land use.

5) The proposed wastewater (within right-of-ways or public easements) improvements will be dedicated to the City of Austin for ownership, operation, and maintenance.

9)The approved Service Extension will automatically expire 120 days after date of approval unless a development application has been accepted by the Development Review and inspection Department. The Service Extension expires on the date the development expires, or if approved, on the date the development application approval expires.

Division Manager, Engineering Asst, Director Engineering Program Director, Water & Wastewater/Utility UDS 10/98 PDOX:WWWSERBG.FGL Technical Review



Date:

April 27, 2004

To:

Wendy Walsh, Case Manager

CC:

Members of the Zoning and Platting Commission Brian Craig, P.E. Alliance Texas Engineering Co.

Carol Kaml, COA Fiscal Officer

Reference:

Harris Ranch TIA, C14-03-0157

The Transportation Review Section has reviewed the Traffic Impact Analysis for Harris Ranch, dated December 2003, and offers the following comments:

# TRIP GENERATION

Harris Ranch is a 103.5-acre development located in south Austin at the southeast intersection of Brodie Lane and Davis Lane. The TIA for the project was prepared by Brian Craig, P.E., Alliance Texas Engineering in December of 2003.

The proposed development consists of the following land uses:

- 135 Single Family dwelling units
- 357 Townhomes
- 355 Multi Family dwelling units
- 15,000sf of High turn over restaurants

The property is currently undeveloped and zoned Development Reserve (DR) and Rural Residence (RR). The applicant has requested a zoning change to Multi-Family Residence (MF-3), Commercial Services (CS), Single Family Residence (SF-4) and Townhouse and Condominium Residence (SF-6). The estimated completion of the project is expected in the year 2008.

Based on the standard trip generation rates established by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), the development will generate approximately 7,524 unadjusted average daily trips (ADT).

The table below shows the adjusted trip generation by land use for the proposed development:

	ble 1. Trip Gen		AM Peak		PM Peak	
LAND USE	Size	ADT	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Single Family	135du	1,292	25	76	87	49
Multi Family	355du	2,354	29	152	147	73
Townhomes	357đu	1,922	24	116	118	58
High-turnover Sit down Restaurant	15,000sf	1,955	72	67	91	75
Total		7,524	150	411	443	252

#### **ASSUMPTIONS**

1. Traffic growth rates provided by the City of Austin were as follows:

ATTACUMENT A

Table 2. Growth Rates per Year						
Roadway Segment	%					
All Roads	7 %					

2. In addition to these growth rates, background traffic volumes for 2003 included estimated traffic volumes for the following projects:

SP-01-0157C	Ridgeview Apartments Phase Two
SP-02-0055C	WW Brodie Multi Family Development
SP-02-0339C	Deerfield Commercial
SP-01-0428C	Mozingo Office
SP-02-0335C	Stepping Stone School
SP-00-2385C	Shady Hollow AKA Randall's Cherry Creek (Rev. 0, 1, & 2)
SP-03-0064C	CCR108 Development
SP-03-0099C	Shady Hollow Office
C8-03-0117.SH	Casas Grandes, SMART Housing
C14-03-0082	8400 Brodie Lane

3. No reductions were taken for pass-by, internal capture, or transit use.

### **EXISTING AND PLANNED ROADWAYS**

**Brodie Lane** – This roadway is classified as a four lane divided major arterial within the vicinity of the project. The traffic volume for Brodie Lane was approximately 19,260 vehicles per day (vpd) south of Silk Oak in 2002. The Austin Bicycle Plan recommends a bicycle route along Brodie Lane.

**Slaughter Lane** — This roadway is classified as a four lane divided major arterial. The traffic volume was approximately 21,365vpd in 2000. A bicycle route is recommended for Slaughter Lane per the Austin Bicycle Plan.

**Manchaca Road** — Manchaca is currently a four line divided major arterial carrying approximately 25,802vpd. The Austin Bicycle Plan recommends a bicycle route along Manchaca Road.

**Davis Lane** – This roadway is currently classified as a two lane minor arterial. The Austin Bicycle Plan recommends a bicycle route along Davis Lane.

Camerón Loop – This roadway is classified as a two lane collector. Traffic volumes along Cameron Loop are approximately 2,012vpd north of Davis Lane ('03).

**Deer Lane** — Deer Lane is classified as a two lane minor arterial that carries approximately 4,143vpd ('03). The Austin Bicycle Plan recommends a bicycle route along Deer Lane.

**Curlew Drive** — This roadway is classified as a two lane collector. The Austin Bicycle Plan recommends a bicycle route along Curlew Drive.

# TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

The impact of site development traffic on the existing area roadways was analyzed. Two time periods and travel conditions were evaluated:

- 2003 Existing Conditions
- 2006 Phase I Build-Out Conditions
- 2008 Phase II Build-Out Conditions

# INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS)

The TIA analyzed 15 intersections, 4 of which are signalized. Existing and projected levels of service are as follows, assuming that all improvements recommended in the TIA are built:

Table 4. Level of Service						
Intersection	2003		Phase I 2006		Phase II 2008	
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
Davis Lane & Brodie Lane*	С	В	E	D	С	D
Deer Lane & Brodie Lane*	В	Α	С	В	D	С
Slaughter Lane & Brodie Lane*	E	Ε	F	F	F	F
Manchaca Road & Davis Lane*	С	С	F	D	E	D
Curlew Drive & Davis Lane	Α	Α	Α	Ä	A	В
Cameron Loop & Davis Lane	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
Davis Lane & West Gate Boulevard	Α	Α	Α	Α	В	Α
Brodie Lane & Site Road #1			A	Α	Α	Α
Brodie Lane & Site Road #2			Α	Α	A	Α
Brodie Lane & Site Road #3			Α	A	Α	Α
Davis Lane & Site Road #4			Α	Α	Α	A
Davis Lane & Site Road #5			Α	Α	Α	Α
Davis Lane & Site Road #6			Α	Α	Α	Α
Davis Lane & Site Road #7			A,	· A	A	Α
West Gate Boulevard & Site Road #8			Α	Α	Α	Α

<sup>\* =</sup> SIGNALIZED

# **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1) Prior to 3<sup>rd</sup> reading at City Council, fiscal is required to be posted for the following improvements:

Intersection	Improvements	Total Cost	Pro Rata Share %	Pro Rata Share \$
	300' westbound right turn lane	\$39,422	1.15%	\$454
Brodie Lane & Slaughter Lane	400' northbound and southbound left turn lanes	\$104,451	4.3%	\$4,491
Bradie Lone 9 Davie Lone	250' westbound right turn lane	\$36,097	4.9%	\$1,769
Brodie Lane & Davis Lane	150' northbound right turn lane	\$28,751	8.6%	\$2,473
Brodie Lane & Site Road #1*	150' southbound left turn lane	\$28,751	100%	\$28,751
Manchaca Road & Davis Lane	400' eastbound left turn lane	\$39,222	2.39%	\$937_
Total		\$276,715		\$38,875

<sup>\*</sup> At the time of construction, plans for the southbound left turn lane at Brodle and Site Road #1 will be required to be approved by the COA Transportation Planning and Sustainability Department.

2) If at the time of subdivision access to Tea Rose Trail is assumed an addendum to the TIA will be required at that time. The addendum will be required to include neighborhood impacts along Tea Rose Trail and Silk Oak Drive, appropriate changes to the distribution and intersection impacts, and analysis

- of the intersection of Silk Oak Drive and Brodie Lane. If at the time of subdivision access to Tea Rose Trail is not assumed a variance to section 25-4-151 will be required to be approved by the Zoning and Platting Commission.
- 3) For information: Three copies of the final version of the TIA incorporating all corrections and additions must be submitted prior to final reading of the zoning case.
- 4) Development of this property should be limited to uses and intensities assumed in the TIA. The use and intensities will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the TIA, including peak hour trip generations, traffic distribution, roadway conditions, and other traffic related characteristics.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 974-2788.

Emily M. Barron

Transportation Review Staff

Watershed Protection and Development Review

# **Austin ISD**

Date: 3/31/2004

To: Wendy Walsh, City of Austin, Development Review and Inspection

Cc: Curt Shaw, Director of Construction Management

From: Dan Robertson, Director of Planning Services

RE: Development Review of: C14-03-0157

I have reviewed the zoning application for the zoning change proposed for the Harris Tract, which changes the tract from DR to a combination of CS, MF-3, SF-4A and SF-6. The District is in favor of the zoning request. We have adequate capacity in Cowan Elementary School, which is adjacent to the tract under consideration.

As I have noted in previous discussions, it is our expectation that the developer will be required to construct Westgate Blvd when the platting is completed for this project. We have a Memorandum of Understanding on file (previously supplied for your records) between the City of Austin, the owners of the tract and AISD which contemplates that result and ensures that the right of way will be dedicated to the City to effect the construction.

DR

6/10/2003

attachment B

1



Watershed Protection and Development Review Department P.O. Box 1088, Austin. Texas 78767

April 5, 2004

David Armbrust Armbrust and Brown 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300 Austin, Texas 78701

Re: Harris Ranch Zoning Case

Dear Mr. Armbrust:

Thank you for working with my staff on the delineation of the Edwards Aquifer recharge and contributing watershed areas in relation to the Harris Ranch zoning tract. For property located within 1500 feet of the boundary of the recharge zone, the director may require a certified report from a geologist or hydrologist verifying the boundary (LDC Section 25-8-2). I appreciate the work your client's geologist, Chock Woodruff has done in this regard. It is my understanding that Mr. Woodruff and the City's staff geologist, Nico Hauwert, agree that your client's site is geologically and topographically located in the Barton Springs Zone and that it includes areas of both recharge and contributing zones. Based on this information, the site is subject to the requirements of the Save our Springs water quality regulations.

I understand that the 2001 development assessment for this property failed to reference the need for an assessment of the boundary, and instead merely referenced the City map showing the property outside the Barton Springs Zone boundary. I apologize for any confusion or inconvenience this may have caused your client.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 974-3413.

Sincerely,

Joseph G. Pantalion, P.E., Director

Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

JGP:JPM:ss

cc: John Lohr, D.R. Horton

Lisa Y. Gordon, Assistant City Manager Pat Murphy, Environmental Officer, WPDRD

Nancy McClintock, WPDRD Tammie Williamson, WPDRD

ATTACHMENTC

### SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION (AS AMENDED):

The staff's recommendation is to grant:

1. community commercial – conditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district zoning for Tract 1 with the Conditional Overlay prohibiting the following uses: service station; automotive sales; automotive rentals; automotive repair service; automotive washing (any type); and off-site accessory parking;

2. multi-family residence low density (MF-2) district zoning for Tract 2;

 townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning for Tract 3, as shown in Exhibit B.

The Restrictive Covenant over the entire property would limit the site development to uses and intensities that will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the final TIA memorandum provided as Attachment A (April 27, 2004).

#### BACKGROUND

The subject property is undeveloped; with the Brodie Lane frontage zoned development reserve (DR) district zoning and the remainder, located south of Davis Lane and east to West Gate Boulevard, is zoned rural residence (RR) district. Two hazardous pipelines, as recognized by City Code, traverse the property in a southwest to northeast direction. New development must be set back 200 feet from hazardous pipelines, unless approval is obtained from the Fire Chief or development complies with standards for construction near a pipeline as prescribed by the Fire Criteria Manual.

#### Applicant's Request

The applicant has requested rezoning of the property to provide a mix of commercial, multi-family, townhouse / condominium and single family residential development. As shown in Exhibit B, (Applicant's Conceptual Zoning Tract Map), the applicant has requested four zoning districts, as follows:

- Tract 1: Community commercial (GR) district zoning at the southeast corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes (approximately eight acres) with the intent of providing three sit-down restaurants (15,000 square feet), and includes the option for a bank. The applicant is in agreement with the staff recommended Conditional Overlay to prohibit automotive-related uses.
- <u>Tract 2</u>: Multi-family residence low density (MF-2) district zoning is proposed for approximately 19 acres along Davis Lane.
- Tract 3: Townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning is proposed for approximately 62 acres on the south side of Davis Lane to the east and southeast property lines.

Staff recommendation

Staff supports the applicant's requested zoning districts as described above and as follows:

• For Tract 1, GR-CO zoning provides the opportunity for a commercial and retail component to serve the proposed development as well as the surrounding existing neighborhoods. GR zoning is also consistent with that existing on the north side of the property, footprint zoning to the west (north of Deer Lane), a proposed PUD on the west side of Brodie Lane that

includes retail, restaurant and service uses, and clusters commercial development at the corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes and thus, at a distance from existing residential areas. Given the property's location within the Barton Springs Zone, the Conditional Overlay prohibits automotive-related uses.

- For Tract 2, MF-2 zoning provides a multi-family component to the housing opportunities envisioned for this development, is appropriately located along Davis Lane which is classified as a minor arterial, is consistent with that found to the north along Brodie Lane and West Gate Boulevard (MF-2-CO), and serves as a transition between the proposed commercial development and the proposed SF-6 and SF-2 districts.
- For Tract 3, SF-6 zoning further diversifies the housing types available within the general area by introducing the opportunity for townhouse and condominium development and is situated along Davis Lane and the extension of West Gate Boulevard, both of which are classified as arterial roadways.

#### BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

The proposed community commercial (GR) district is intended for office and commercial uses serving neighborhood and community needs, including both unified shopping centers and individually developed commercial sites, and typically requiring locations accessible from major trafficways.

The multi-family residence – low density (MF-2) district is intended to accommodate multifamily use with a maximum density of up to 23 units per acre, depending on unit size. This district is appropriate given its location near supporting transportation and commercial facilities. The multi-family tract is located on Davis Lane, classified as a major arterial. Commercial zoning and development supporting the multi-family component is located along Brodie Lane.

The townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district is intended as an area for moderate density single family, duplex, two-family, townhouse and condominium use. The applicant intends to develop the property with a condominium project consisting of detached units.

- 2. Zoning changes should promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses.
  - For Tract 1, GR-CO zoning provides the opportunity for a commercial and retail component to serve the proposed development as well as the surrounding existing neighborhoods. GR zoning is also consistent with that existing on the north side of the property, footprint zoning to the west (north of Deer Lane), a proposed PUD on the west side of Brodie Lane that includes retail, restaurant and service uses, and clusters commercial development at the corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes and thus, at a distance from existing residential areas. Given the property's location within the Barton Springs Zone, the Conditional Overlay prohibits automotive-related uses.
  - For Tract 2, MF-2 zoning provides a multi-family component to the housing opportunities envisioned for this development, is appropriately located along Davis Lane which is classified as a minor arterial, is consistent with that found to the north along Brodie Lane and

West Gate Boulevard (MF-2-CO), and serves as a transition between the proposed commercial development and the proposed SF-6 and SF-2 districts.

For Tract 3, SF-6 zoning further diversifies the housing types available within the general
area by introducing the opportunity for townhouse and condominium development and is
situated along Davis Lane and the extension of West Gate Boulevard, both of which are
classified as arterial roadways.

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

#### Site Characteristics

The site is undeveloped and generally slopes to the south.

#### Impervious Cover

The maximum impervious cover allowed on the property will be 15% within the boundaries of the Recharge Zone and 25% within the boundaries of the Contributing Zone. Both of these figures are based on the more restrictive watershed regulations described below.

#### Environmental

The site is in the Slaughter Creek Watershed. The applicant's geologist and the City's staff geologist are in agreement that that the property is located in the Barton Springs Zone, and that it includes areas of both recharge and contributing zones. Based on this information, the site is subject to the requirements of the Save Our Springs water quality regulations. Please also refer to Attachment C.

the second second second

According to flood plain maps, there is no flood plain in, or within close proximity of, the project location.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding existing trees and other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following water quality control requirements:

Structural controls: Sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention.

#### **Transportation**

A traffic impact analysis is required and has been received. Additional right-of-way, participation in roadway improvements, or limitations on development intensity may be recommended based on review of the TIA. [LDC, Sec. 25-6-142]. Comments are provided in Attachment A.

### TPSD Right-of-Way

The scope of this review is limited to the identification of needs for dedication and/or reservation of right-of-way for funded Capital Improvement Program (C.I.P.) Roadway Construction Projects and Transportation Systems Management (T.S.M.) Projects planned for implementation by the City of Austin. No aspect of the proposed project is being considered or approved with this review other than the need for right-of-way for City projects. There are separate right-of-way dedication and reservation requirements enforced by other Departments and other jurisdictions to secure right-of-way for roadway improvements contained in the Austin Metropolitan Area Roadway Plan, roadway projects funded by County and State agencies, and for dedication in accordance with the functional classification of the roadway.

We have reviewed the proposed rezoning case and anticipate no additional requirement for right-ofway dedication or reservation for funded C.I.P. or T.S.M. projects at this location.

# Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City water and wastewater utilities. If water or wastewater utility improvements, or system upgrades, or offsite main extension, or utility adjustment, or relocation are required, the landowner will be responsible for all costs and for providing. Also, the utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility. The plan must be in accordance with the City's utility design criteria.

#### **Compatibility Standards**

This site is subject to the Hazardous Pipeline Ordinance. Development in a restricted pipeline area is regulated by Sec. 25-2-516. Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the north, south and east property line, the following standards apply:

- · No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line adjacent to SF-5 or less restrictive.
- · No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- · No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- · No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.

Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

To:

From: Brian Judis [bjudis2000@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2004 9:34 PM

wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us Subject: Harris Ranch - C14-03-0157

Ms. Walsh, as an affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment.

Brian J. Judis/Rebecca E. Judis

9310 Lightwood Loop

Austin, Texas 78748

(512)619-9721

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Search - Find what you're looking for faster.

From:

John J. Thompson [jthompson3@austin.rr.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, March 10, 2004 2:51 PM

To: Subject: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us Subject: Case #C14-03-0157

Dear Ms. Walsh:

WE are residents and homeowners of Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane and strongly oppose any redistricting of the Harris Ranch property. WE request that no changes be made to the current zoning.

JOHN J. & JOAN A. THOMPSON 9514 Tea Rose Tr. Austin, TX 78748

From:

Paul Velez [pvelez78748@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, March 10, 2004 10:22 PM

To:

wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Cc:

jmcortez@hotmail.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; jay@jaygohilrealty.com;

chammondl@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com; bbaker@austintexas.org;

kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject:

Zoning file number C14-03-0157, to be heard March 16, 2004

Ms. Walsh and Zoning and Platting Commissioners: I am a homeowner affected by the development referenced above, and I am against the development in it's present form.

The increase in traffic, the negative effect on the Edwards Aquifer, and the incompatiblity with surrounding developments are reasons that I strongly opppose the approval of the zoning request.

My number is 282-3567 if you need further information.

Thank you.

Best, Paul

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Search - Find what you're looking for faster

http://search.yahoo.com

From: Sent: Henry Cowen [HCowen@rosco.com] Thursday, March 11, 2004 8:09 AM wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

To: Subject:

Harris Ranch: zoning case C14-03-0157

Importance:

High

Ms. Walsh, as affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning changé because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment.

Henry, Lorretta, Alix, and River Cowen 9334 Lightwood Loop Austin,TX 78748 h 512-292-9739

From: Loretta Cowen [gogi@mail.utexas.edu]

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2004 8:24 AM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject: Harris Ranch Development

Dear Mrs. Walsh,

I own a home in Cherry Creek off of Brodie Lane. The Harris Ranch property is directly behind the fence in my backyard. I am writing this note to inform you of my protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157 (Harris Ranch). The current zoning change that has been proposed/requested is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. In addition, I am against the current proposed zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note my opposition and do not hesitate to contact me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Loretta Cowen

From: Sent: Cyril Statum [cybar@earthlink.net] Thursday, March 11, 2004 8:34 AM wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

To: Subject:

Harris Ranch / Protest

Ms. Walsh, as affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment.

Cyril Statum 9300 Silk Oak Cove Austin, Texas 78748

From: Sent: To: BBernhar@austin.isd.tenet.edu Thursday, March 11, 2004 9:14 AM wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us Proposed Zoning Change

Subject:

Ms. Walsh, as affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment. --

Beth Bernhard 8805 Peppergrass Cove Austin, TX 78745 (512) 282-4177 BBernhar@austin.isd.tenet.edu

Confidentiality Notice: This email message, including all attachments, is for

the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and contains confidential student

information. Unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited under the federal

Family Education Rights & Privacy Act (20 U.S.C. & 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99).

you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please contact the sender immediately by reply

email or by contacting (512) 414-9813 and destroy all copies of the original

message, including attachments.

and the second second second

From: Sent:

Russeli Park [crp@ccla.com] Thursday, March 11, 2004 1:18 PM

To:

wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject:

zoning case C14-03-0157 " Harris Ranch"

Ms. Walsh, as affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment.

Russell and Jenny Park 9322 Lightwood Loop Austin, TX 78748 crp@ccla.com 512-565-0191

March 8, 2004

PO Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835

Dear Madam or Sir,

We as residents of Cherry Creek are firmly opposed to any re-zoning in this area under consideration. Please act to maintain the current zoning. The Austin City Council is under the obligation, in my opinion, of supporting family values and the family unit and that equates to good neighborhoods and upholding the value of those neighborhoods. The Zoning & Platting Commission should follow suit to uphold these same traditional neighborhoods. That means keeping the existing zoning in this File C14-03-0157-WW. We ask you to uphold the current zoning and please support a neighborhood that will shelter people, because what better use of land than to help someone realize the dream of home ownership? A real home, not a duplex, condo, or multi-family unit, that has a lower return on their investment, and ultimately pulls the value of other investments (homes) in the area. And we certainly DO NOT SUPPORT another gas station, convenience store, service station or some such other urban blight. Certainly not lying right in the middle of a neighborhood as lovely as Cherry Creek? Remember this developed 100 plus acres is going to be a large section and a reflection of value as a hole in Cherry Creek. Housing developed under the current zoning would maintain the neighborhood value in Cherry Creek and would impact future selling and buying power in a positive way. If the current zoning is upheld, the equation is simple; As home property values increase in the area, including the new housing, this equates to increased property taxes for the home owners over the next decade and beyond. By keeping the neighborhood intact, this ensures climbing property values, and increased revenue for the receiving local government bodies that rely on this income. Austin ISD, City of Austin. Travis County, ACC, and the residents are worth it. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mr. And Mrs. Erik D Foster

From: Sent:

To:

tracy.perez@bakerbotts.com Friday, March 12, 2004 10:00 AM wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject:

Oppositionto Proposed Zoning Change (Case C14-03-0157)

Ms. Walsh,

As an affected property owner we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." Using the words of a fellow concerned and affected property owner:

"The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer. "

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Joseph and Tracy Perez 3104 Cohoba Drive Austin, Texas 78748

<mailto:tracy.perez@bakerbotts.com> tracy.perez@bakerbotts.com

From: iww

jwwheels [jwwheels@sbcgiobal.net]

Sent:

Saturday, March 13, 2004 9:42 PM

To:

wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; jmcortez@hotmail.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com;

jay@jaygohilrealty.com; chammond1@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com;

bbaker@austintexas.org; kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject: Harris I Importance: High

Harris Ranch Zonning Case +\*\*\*+

My wife and I live at 9324 Lightwood Loop in the Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane sub-division. Our property runs adjacent to Harris Ranch.

We strongly oppose the development as currently proposed. The development, as currently proposed, is incompatible with existing, previously approved and planned development. The proposed development will definitely impact our quality of life, traffic, safety, and the environment.

The three neighborhoods involved will be very grateful if you would reconsider the development as currently proposed.

Thank you.

C. E. and Jo Anne Wheeless

From: Jpcjjj@aol.com

Sent: Sunday, March 14, 2004 8:21 AM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; jmcortez@hotmail.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com;

jay@jaygohilrealty.com; chammond1@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com;

bbaker@austintexas.org; kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject: C14-03-0157 Rezoning

A STATE OF THE STA

Hello,

lam a resident of the Cherry Creek Neighborhood.On Tuesday of this week you will be reviewing a the above case that effects my family, my environment, my investment in my home and my quality of life.So to make it very short, PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW the passing of this rezoning, Right now it is RR and DR. Something I can live with and I think if you were in my position something you could live with.

So I ask for your help here ,Please help us and vote no.
Thank You for your Time, Joan Cooper 3305 Silkgrass Bend.

From: Sent: drwilliams.2@netzero.net

Sent: To: Sunday, March 14, 2004 9:39 PM wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject:

OPPÓSITION TO HARRIS RANCH DEVELOPMENT PLANS

ATTENTION WENDY WALSH AND ALL MEMBERS OF THE PLATTING AND ZONING COMMISSION:

WE HAVE BEEN A HOMEOWNER IN CHERRY CREEK ON BRODIE LANE FOR APPROXIMATELY 11 YEARS. WE WOULD LIKE TO REGISTER OUR STRONG OPPOSITION TO THE CURRENT PLANS TO DEVELOP THE HARRIS RANCH PROPERTY ADJACENT TO OUR SUBDIVISION.

THANK YOU,

LYNDA AND BUTCH WILLIAMS 9316 LIGHTWOOD LOOP AUSTIN, TEXAS 78748 282-9528

From: Phyllis Puryear [PPuryear@heritage-title.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2004 8:53 AM

To: 'wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us'

Cc: 'jmcortez@hotmail.com'; 'jdonisi@austin.rr.com'; 'jay@jaygohilrealty.com';

'chammond1@austin.rr.com'; 'pinnelli@tlash.net'; 'apsinc@bga.com'; 'bbaker@austintexas.org';

'kbjackson@pbsj.com'

Subject: Harris Ranch Zoning Case File # C14-03-0157

Ms. Walsh, as an affected property owner I am writing this note to inform you of my extreme protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, I am against the current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Ms. Phyllis Puryear Phone: 512-505-5040 To:

Zoning and Platting Commission Assistant Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department P.O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767-8835

RE; Case # C14-03-0157 Southeast Corner of Brodie and Davis Lane

I am writing to indicate my opposition to the zoning of the above referenced property as "CS". This property backs directly to my property and the designation as "CS" would result in development near my home that would harm my home value. I have been a resident in this home since it was built in 1995 and my home represents my most significant personal investment. This area has seen tremendous growth with businesses and apartments and the zoning of this property to allow town homes and condominiums would significantly impact the quality of life we enjoy in this area. I would urge you to only consider single family residential only and ensure that the lot and home sizes are consistent with our existing neighborhood.

Bryan Collier Ladonna Collier 9330 Lightwood Loop

Duna Collis



## City of Austin, Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department 505 Barton Springs Road / P.O. Box 1088 / Austin, Texas 78767-8835

# NOTICE OF ZONING & PLATTING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING FOR A PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE

Este aviso es para informarles de una junta pública tocante a un cambio en el uso de la propiedad indicada así abajo. Si quiere una copia de este aviso en español, hable al teléfono (512) 974-2680.

Mailing Date of this Notice: March 5, 2004
Mailing Date of First Notice: October 30, 2003

File Number: C14-03-0157

ADDRESS AND/OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE (See Map) Southeast corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes

#### PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE:

FROM:

DR--Development Reserve district is intended to prevent isolated, inappropriate, or premature uses from locating on lands for which adequate public services and facilities are unavailable, or for which a determination of the most appropriate zoning district is precluded by a lack of data customarily utilized in establishment of permanent district classifications, or for which land use and urban development policies have not been completed. RR--Rural Residence district is intended as an area for very low density residential use, with a minimum lot size of one acre. This district is appropriate for selected locations where rural characteristics are desired, or where terrain or public service capacities necessitate very low densities.

TO: CS-General Commercial Services district is intended predominately for commercial and industrial activities of a service nature having operating characteristics or traffic service requirements generally incompatible with residential environments. MF-3--Multifamily Residence (Medium Density) district is intended to accommodate multifamily use with a maximum density of up to 36 units per acre, depending on unit size. This district is appropriate for multifamily residential areas located near supporting transportation and commercial facilities, generally in more centrally located areas, and in other selected areas where medium density multiple use is desirable. SF-6--Townhouse and Condominium Residence district is intended as an area for moderate density single family, duplex, two family, townhouse, and condominium use, without the spacing and locational requirements for townhouses and condominiums that apply in SF-5. This district is appropriate for areas in which unusually large lots predominate with access to other than minor residential streets, and in selected areas where a transition from single-family to multifamily use is appropriate. SF-4A--Single-Family Residence (Small Lot) district is intended as an area predominately for medium density single-family residential use, with a minimum lot size of 3,600 square feet. In appropriate locations, small lot single-family use is permitted under standards which maintain single-family neighborhood characteristics.

OWNERS: Robert Brent Harris, John Weldon Harris & Darrow Dean Harris
AGENT: Weynand Development, LTD (Mike Weynand)
PHONE: (512) 282-1377
PHONE: (512) 848-1450

ZONING & PLATTING COMMISSION HEARING DATE: March 16, 2004 TIME: 6:00 PM LOCATION: 505 Barton Springs Road, One Texas Center 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Training Room #325, Austin

If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact Wendy Walsh at the City of Austin, Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department, (512) 974-7719. Office hours are 7:45 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. Please be sure to refer to the File Number at the top of the page when you call. See enclosed sheet for more information on public hearings.

You may send your written comments to the Zoning & Platting Commission Assistant, Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.

File # C14-03-0157-WW	Zoning & Platting Commission Hearing Date: March 16, 2004
Name (please print) Bryow Colkier  Address 9330 Lisht	I am in favor  (Estoy de acuerdo)  I object
Address	I object (No estoy de acuerdo)

You may send your written comments to the Zoning & Platting Commission Assis Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.	stant, i	Neighborhood Planning &
File # C14-03-0157-WW Zoning & Platting Commission	Hear	ing Date: March 16, 2004
Name (please print) ELIZABETH M. STERLING Address 2960 COLOBA DR. 78748	<b>™</b>	I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object
1	<u> </u>	(No estoy de acuerdo)
You may send your written comments to the Zoning & Platting Commission Assist Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.	tant, N	eighborhood Planning &
File # C14-03-0157-WW Zoning & Platting Commission	Heari	ng Date: March 16, 2004
Name (please print) Francis n. Welsky		I am in favor
Address 9200 Linkmeadow Pr. Bushit To Troup	×	I object (No estoy de acuerdo)
1		
You may send your written comments to the Zoning & Platting Commission Assist Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.  File # C14-03-0157-WW Zoning & Platting Commission		ng Date: March 16, 2004
Name (please print) Hilung G. Rogers Address (property) 9319 Listwood Loop, Austin Tk 78748	⊔ Ø	I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object
(current midence) 1607 S. 15th Place, Rosen AR 72758		(No estoy de acuerdo)
You may send your written comments to the Zoning & Platting Commission Assist Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.  File # C14-03-0157-WW Zoning & Platting Commission Name (please print) Peter Menker  Address B306 Riney Creek Bend 78745	Heari	

File # C14-03-0157-WW	Zoning & Platting Commis	sion Hear	<b>ing Date: M</b> arch 16, 2004
Name (please print) DAVID 4	Pelberian Ratten		I am in favor
Address 8810 Peppergrass (	W Austra TX 78745	<b>a</b>	(Estoy de acuerdo) I object
	1		(No estoy de acuerdo)
		<del></del>	
You may send your written comments Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, a	to the Zoning & Platting Commission A Austin, TX 78767-8835.	Assistant, N	Neighborhood Planning &
File # C14-03-0157-WW	Zoning & Platting Commiss	sion Hear	ing Date: March 16, 2004
Name (please print) Brent Bro			I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo)
Address 9401 Lightwood	<u>ل</u> ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	×	I object
•	1		(No estoy de acuerdo)
	<u> </u>	<del></del>	
	to the Zoning & Platting Commission A	assistant, N	Neighborhood Planning &
Coming Department, P. O. Box 1088, A  File # C14-03-0157-WW  Name (please print) Eckyly	Austin, TX 78767-8835.  Zoning & Platting Commiss		
Coming Department, P. O. Box 1088, A  File # C14-03-0157-WW  Name (please print) Eckyly	Austin, TX 78767-8835.  Zoning & Platting Commission Fostol		ing Date: March 16, 2004 I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object
Coning Department, P. O. Box 1088, A File # C14-03-0157-WW Name (please print) Erik + Vi Address 2959 Cohoba D	Zoning & Platting Commission A Austin, TX 78767-8835.	sion Hear	ing Date: March 16, 2004  I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)
Coming Department, P. O. Box 1088, A File # C14-03-0157-WW Name (please print) Eck + Vi Address 2959 Cohoba C	Zoning & Platting Commission A  to the Zoning & Platting Commission A	sion Hear	ing Date: March 16, 2004  I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)  Heighborhood Planning &  ing Date: March 16, 2004
Coning Department, P. O. Box 1088, A File # C14-03-0157-WW  Name (please print) Erk + Vi Address 2959 Cohoba D  You may send your written comments Coning Department, P. O. Box 1088, A	Zoning & Platting Commission A Austin, TX 78767-8835.	sion Hear	I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)  Heighborhood Planning &  ing Date: March 16, 2004 I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo)
Vou may send your written comments.  You may send your written comments.  You may be a comment of the comments.  You may send your written comments.  You may be a comment of the comments.  You may send your written comments.	Zoning & Platting Commission A Austin, TX 78767-8835.	sion Hear	ing Date: March 16, 2004  I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)  Heighborhood Planning &  ing Date: March 16, 2004 I am in favor

•

			leighborhood Planning
File # C14-03-0157	7-WW Zoning & Platting C	Commission Heari	ing Date: March 16, 2
Name (please print) Address946		_ <b>*</b>	I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo
	1		· .
You may send your w	vritten comments to the Zoning & Platting Comm	ission Assistant.	Neighborhood Plannin
You may send your w Zoning Department, l	vritten comments to the Zoning & Platting Comm P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.	nission Assistant, I	Neighborhood Plannin
Zoning Department, l File # C14-03-0157-	P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.  WW Zoning & Platting (	`	ing Date: March 16,
Zoning Department, I  File # C14-03-0157-  Name (please print)	P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.	`	

From: Sent: BBernhar@austin.isd.tenet.edu Monday, March 22, 2004 8:07 AM

To:

jmcortez@hotmail.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; jay@jaygohilrealty.com; chammond1

@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com; bbaker@austntexas.org;

kbjackson@pbsj.com

Cc: Subject: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us proposed zoning change

As an affected property owner, I am writing this note to inform you of my protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, I am against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note my opposition, and do not hesitate to contact me in the event you need additional information or comment. --

and the second of the second

Beth Bernhard

8805 Peppergrass Cove Austin, TX 78745 512-282-4177 BBernhar@austin.isd.tenet.edu

and the second of the second of the second

1

Ms. Wendy Walsh City of Austin Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department 505 Barton Springs Road P. O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767-8835

RE: File Number C14-03-0157

Dear Ms. Walsh:

As an affected property owner, I am writing this note to inform you of my protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, I am against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aguifer.

Sincerely,

Olga Puryear

3120 Cohoba Drive

Austin, Texas 78748

Phone: 291-1141

From: Alfonso Delgado [Alfonsosd@ponche2.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2004 5:41 PM

To: Wendy.Walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject: "Harris Ranch" zoning case # C14-03-0157

Ms. Walsh, as affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment. --

Leticia&Alfonso Delgado

9314 Lightwood Lp

Austin Tx 78748

IncrediMail - Email has finally evolved - Click Here



## City of Austin, Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department 505 Barton Springs Road / P.O. Box 1088 / Austin, Texas 78767-8835

## NOTICE OF ZONING & PLATTING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING FOR A PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE

Este aviso es para informarles de una junta pública tocante a un cambio en el uso de la propiedad indicada así abajo. Si quiere una copia de este aviso en español, hable al teléfono (512) 974-2680.

Mailing Date of this Notice: March 5, 2004 File Number: C14-03-0157 Mailing Date of First Notice: October 30, 2003 ADDRESS AND/OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE (See Map) Southeast corner of Brodie and Davis Lanes the first of the second second second PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE: FROM: DR-Development Reserve district is intended to prevent isolated, inappropriate, or premature uses from locating on lands for which adequate public services and facilities are unavailable, or for which a determination of the most appropriate zoning district is precluded by a lack of data customarily utilized in establishment of permanent district classifications, or for which land use and urban development policies have not been completed. RR-Rural Residence district is intended as an area for very low density residential use, with a minimum lot size of one acre. This district is appropriate for selected locations where rural characteristics are desired, or where terrain or public service capacities necessitate very low densities. TO: CS-General Commercial Services district is intended predominately for commercial and industrial activities of a service nature having operating characteristics or traffic service requirements generally incompatible with residential environments. MF-3-Multifamily Residence (Medium Density) district is intended to accommodate multifamily use with a maximum density of up to 36 units per acre, depending on unit size. This district is appropriate for multifamily residential areas located near supporting transportation and commercial facilities, generally in more centrally located areas, and in other selected areas where medium density multiple use is desirable. SF-6-Townhouse and Condominium Residence district is intended as an area for moderate density single family, duplex, two family, townhouse, and condominium use, without the spacing and locational requirements for townhouses and condominiums that apply in SF-5. This district is appropriate for areas in which unusually large lots predominate with access to other than minor residential streets, and in selected areas where a transition from single-family to multifamily use is appropriate. SF-4A--Single-Family Residence (Small Lot) district is intended as an area predominately for medium density single-family residential use, with a minimum lot size of 3,600 square feet. In appropriate locations, small lot single-family use is permitted under standards which maintain single-family neighborhood characteristics. OWNERS: Robert Brent Harris, John Weldon Harris & Darrow Dean Harris PHONE: (512) 282-1377 AGENT: Weynand Development, LTD (Mike Weynand) PHONE: (512) 848-1450 ZONING & PLATTING COMMISSION HEARING DATE: March 16, 2004 TIME: 6:00 PM LOCATION: 505 Barton Springs Road, One Texas Center 3rd Floor, Training Room #325, Austin If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact Wendy Walsh at the City of Austin, Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department, (512) 974-7719. Office hours are 7:45 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. Please be sure to refer to the File Number at the top of the page when you call. See enclosed sheet for more information on public hearings. You may send your written comments to the Zoning & Platting Commission Assistant, Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. File # C14-03-0157-WW Name (please print) Leticia i Alfonso Delgado

Address 93/4 Lightwood Loop

Austin, TX 78748 Zoning & Platting Commission Hearing Date: March 16, 2004 ☐ I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)

From: Megan Wisdom-Larkin [mewl2000@austin.rr.com]

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 11:13 PM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us Subject: Harris Ranch Zoning change

Dear Ms. Walsh,

As an affected property owner, I am writing this note to inform you of my opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, I am against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer. I personally work very hard to use no chemicals, pesticides or herbicides on my lawn in order to protect this very sensitive area from damage.

I realize the property owner has rights and would not personally object to the property being zoned the same as our neighborhood, SF2. I do not think this is unreasonable since the Harris Ranch property immediately abuts our subdivision.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Megan Larkin 9508 Tea Rose Trail Austin, TX 78748 280-2066

From: Laura Cardosa [lcardura@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2004 2:24 PM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject: Protest and Opposition to Pending Harris Ranch Zoning Case

Ms. Walsh,

As affected property owners we are writing this note to inform you of our protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhoods and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Please note our opposition, and do not hesitate to contact us in the event you need additional information or comment.

Thank you,

Chad and Laura Cardosa

3000 Cohoba Drive, Austin, TX 78748 Number 512.291.1541 or 512.462.1378 Laura at Work Contact Home

This e-mail was cc to all the members on the Zoning and Platting Committee.

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Finance Tax Center - File online. File on time.

From:

Barbara Statum [bstatum@hfhslaw.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 02, 2004 10:16 AM

To:

chammond1@austin.rr.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; bbaker@austintexas.org;

apsinc@bga.com; wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; pinnelli@flash.net; jmcortez@hotmail.com;

jay@jaygohilrealty.com; kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject:

Zoning File # C14-03-0157

I am writing to voice my strong objections to the application for rezoning of the Harris Ranch. I am a homeowner in Cherry Creek on Brodie, residing at 9300 Silk Oak Cove and my property backs to the Harris Ranch.

I am particularly opposed to any commercial or multi-family construction on the property.

Please help us maintain the integrity of the single family neighborhoods surrounding the property by voting against the zoning change.

Sincerely, Barbara Statum 9300 Silk Oak Cove Austin, Texas 78748

From: Skdprocks@aol.com

Sent: Monday, April 05, 2004 12:08 PM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.bx.us; jmcortez@hotmail.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com;

jay@jaygohilrealty.com; chammond1@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; bbaker@austintexas.org;

kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject: Harris Ranch Zoning Case

Hello. As affected property owner, I am writing this note to inform you of my protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch". The current proposed requested zoning change is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to existing neighborhood and existing and recently approved new development for the area. The proposed zoning change would also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure. Finally, we are against the proposed current zoning change because of the negative impact it will have upon the environment and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

Carrier Commence

Sincerely, Frances M. Davis 3219 Silkgrass Bend Austin, TX 78748

From: Nancy Miller [nancymiller1000@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2004 12:14 PM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Cc: jmcortez@hotmail.com

Subject: C14-03-0157 100 acre Harris Ranch

Ms. Walsh, since the last time we spoke over the phone, I've moved into my new home at 3004 Cohoba Drive. It seems as there are still some undetermined zoning and platting issues regarding my neighbor's, Robert Harris, proposed Harris Ranch Development. I appreciate being given the opportunity to voice my concerns as an adjoining property owner. As both an adjoining property owner, a real estate broker, and 20 year resident of this particular area of town, I know how important quality development will be in order to maintain the uniqueness and integrity of our neighborhoods. I as well as many of my neighbors have signed a petition in protest and opposition to the proposed zoning change for the property mentioned in zoning case C14-03-0157, known as the "Harris Ranch." I look forward to seeing everyone at the Hearing on Tuesday, April 6th!

From: NANCY BROWN [ncpuryearbrown@earthlink.net]

Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2004 10:49 AM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; jay@jaygohilrealty.com;

chammond1@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com; bbaker@austintexas.org;

kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject: Zoning Case #C14-03-0157 (Harris Ranch Development)

As a concerned homeowner of the Tanglewood Oaks subdivision, I protest the zoning application currently proposed for the Harris Ranch Development. I live on Bradner Drive which runs into Cohoba Drive and I believe the quality of our neighborhood will be greatly diminished by the current proposal. I submit the following issue for your consideration:

When Milburn Homes (now D.R. Horton) erected the wooden fence along Westgate Boulevard for Tanglewood Oaks, this neighborhood was considered a "pocket neighborhood" because Westgate was not going to be a through street. The current proposed zoning of the Harris Ranch Development, which includes extending Westgate Boulevard, will cause increased traffic and noise to our neighborhood. I believe a reasonable solution to part of this problem would be to replace the current fence with a masonry wall like that erected for the Sendera subdivision at Slaughter Lane and Mopac.

Thank you for your consideration.

NANCY BROWN
ncpuryearbrown@earthlink.net
Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

From: Phyllis Puryear [PPuryear@heritage-title.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2004 9:58 AM

To: 'wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us'; 'jdonisi@austin.rr.com'; 'jay@jaygohilrealty.com';

'chammond1@austin.rr.com'; 'pinnelli@flash.net'; 'apsinc@bga.com'; 'bbaker@austintexas.org';

'kbjackson@pbsj.com'

Subject: Zoning Case #C14-03-0157 (Harris Ranch Development)

As a very concerned homeowner of the Tanglewood Oaks subdivision, I protest the current zoning application currently proposed for the Harris Ranch Development. I reside on Cohoba which is the street adjoining the proposed development, and there are a couple of issues that I consider important for your consideration:

- 1. When Tanglewood Oaks was first built by Milburn Homes (now D.R. Horton), the community fence along Westgate Boulevard was of little concern because the area was considered a "pocket" neighborhood in that Westgate Boulevard was not a thru street. The current zoning of the Harris Ranch development, along with the extension of Westgate Blvd., will surely cause increased traffic, noise and danger to the quiet neighborhood that we have the privilege of enjoying now. With that said, it seems only fair to say that Tanglewood Oaks should be able to have an **upgraded community fence** along Westgate Boulevard constructed of the same or similar type material that is currently used in the Sendera subdivision at Slaughter Lane/Mopac (which was also built by Milburn Homes).
- 2. For those residents on Cohoba there is the issue of the 40 ft. water easement behind our homes and the threat and worry of **flooding** which may occur due to the eventual building and development behind our property. Currently, some of the Cohoba residents have already witnessed 3 to 4 ft. high water levels in the easement during heavy rains.

Your consideration of these issues would be very much appreciated.

Ms. Phyllis Puryear Heritage Title Company of Austin, Inc. 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 1500 Austin, Texas 78701

Phone: 512-505-5000 Fax: 512-380-8840

From: trumprop@juno.com

Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 8:27 AM

To: jklarkin@austln.rr.com

Cc: bbaker@austintexas.org; Wendy.Walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; josephamartinez@yahoo.com;

jay@jaygohilrealty.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; chammond1@austin.rr.com; Pinnelli@flash.net;

apsinc@bga.com; kbjackson@pbsj.com; pgbrown@mac.com; bjudis2000@yahoo.com;

bjudi@allstate.com

Subject: Re: C14-03-0157

Hi John,

FYI, we are Tanglewood Oaks Owners Association (TOOA). I checked the letter and it has our correct name.

Thanks, GaryT

On Tue, 4 May 2004 12:23:19 -0500 "John Larkin" < iklarkin@austin.rr.com > writes:

Greetings Chairman Baker, Honorable Commissioners, and Ms. Walsh,

I would like to inform you that I have been designated as the primary speaker for the Valid Petitioners and the Cherry Creek on Brodie Neighborhood Association and, as such, respectfully request five minutes to present our perspective before the Zoning and Platting Commission.

the second second

I would also like to take this opportunity to present an electronic copy of a letter prepared and jointly signed by the Presidents of Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane and Tanglewood Oaks Neighborhood Associations. They will be presenting the signed copy to Ms. Wendy Walsh at the hearing this evening for placement within the case file.

Sincerely, John K. Larkin Vice President Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane NA (CCOBNA) CCOBNA Development Committee Chair Liaison for Case C14-03-0157 Valid Petitioners

9508 Tea Rose Trail Austin, Texas Cell 970-8157 Home 280-2066 Greetings Honorable Chairman Baker and fellow Zoning and Platting Commissioners,

We are writing on behalf of the Cherry Creek on Brodie Neighborhood and Tanglewood Oaks Owners' Associations in the following case on your agenda for May 4, 2004:

4. C14-03-0157 - HARRIS RANCH, By: John Weldon Harris, Darrow Dean Harris and Robert Brent Harris; Weynand Builders, Ltd. (Mike Weynand), South side of Davis Lane between Brodie Lane and Westgate Boulevard. (Slaughter Creek - Barton Springs Zone). FROM DR; RR TO GR; MF-2; SF-6; SF-2, AS AMENDED. ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION: GR-CO, MF-2, SF-6, SF-2; WITH CONDITIONS. City Staff: Wendy Walsh, 974-7719. POSTPONED FROM 3-16 (STAFF), 4-6 (NEIGHBORHOOD).

Since receiving the postponement of this case on April 6, we have set up three meetings with the applicants to attempt to reach an agreement prior to going before ZAPCO again. We offered three separate scenarios for their consideration. One scenario was what we are seeking in our valid petition, which the city has certified: that the property be zoned for rural residential for development of large-lot homes. Two scenarios we offered moved significantly off of that petition in an effort to give the developers something to work with and something we could take to our petitioners and other property owners for consideration.

The applicants initially told us that they thought our positions were "obviously well-thought-out and something they could work with." We set up a meeting for a few days later to get their specific response. However, at that meeting, they did not give us any specific plans as promised; in fact, they had changed their position and said our proposals were unreasonable. Thus, with the May 4 hearing drawing close, we have been left empty-handed despite our efforts to work with the applicants for a mutually agreed-upon proposal. We have not heard from them since that last meeting on April 22 and are very disappointed that our requested postponement of this case has not yielded the hoped-for results.

We held a meeting of local community stakeholders today, May 2, and agreed to inform ZAPCO prior to the hearing that we oppose any rezoning of the subject tract and we wholeheartedly endorse the valid petition submitted by overwhelming margins of our affected property owners. We specifically, in addition to and apart from all other considerations, oppose any MF zoning at all. We will have representatives speak at the hearing, but wanted to give you a heads-up as to where we stand.

Sincerely yours,

Phil Brown, President, Cherry Creek on Brodie Neighborhood Association Gary Trumbo, President, Tanglewood Oaks Owners Association

From: J R Tamsitt [jtam121419@austin.rr.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2004 9:23 PM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; jay@jaygohilrealty.com;

chammond1@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com; bbaker@austintexas.org;

kbjackson@pbsj.com

Subject: Harris Branch & Westgate Blvd. extension

#### TO:

Wendy Walsh, City of Austin Case Manager, <u>wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us</u> or (974-7719)

John Philip Donisi, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, jdonisi@austin.rr.com or (472-8021)

Jay A. Gohil, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, jay@jaygohilrealty.com or (258-4248)

Clarke Hammond, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, <u>chammond1@austin.rr.com</u> Janis Pinnelli, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, <u>pinnelli@flash.net</u> or 478-7816

Melissa Whaley, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, <a href="mailto:apsinc@bga.com">apsinc@bga.com</a>
Betty Baker, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, <a href="mailto:bbaker@austintexas.org">bbaker@austintexas.org</a> or 442-5371 - Chairperson of ZAPCO

Keith Jackson, Zoning & Platting Commissioner, <a href="mailto:kbjackson@pbsj.com">kbjackson@pbsj.com</a> or 327-6840

TANGLEWOOD OAKS' HOMEOWNER *RE*ZONING HEARING "HARRIS RANCH" DEVELOPMENT

Our TOOA President, Gary Trumbo, has listed the following negotiating points regarding the "Harris Ranch" development, for which I am in favor:

- 1) We prefer that Westgate not go through, but if it must then we like the two lane idea (a la Deer Lane), want 4-way stop signs at Cohoba, Nesbit, and Kentish, stoplights at Davis/Westgate and Slaughter/Westgate, and traffic speed control devices on Westgate.
- 2) We want a pedestrian under/overpass on Westgate that is well lit.
- 3) We want Milburn to fund and actually do the work of improving our trail and bridge to Cowan Elementary to a commercial level.
- 4) Residents of Tanglewood Oaks get full access (at no charge or the same

charge as Harris Ranch residents) to all Harris Ranch amenities (pool, trails, lakes, parks, tennis, etc.).

- 5) Sales of their SF/MF residential living units are limited to 1 per individual or entity to prevent investor/rental activity.
- 6) While Harris Ranch is under construction (preferably at the onset)
  Milburn is to replace our community fence along Westgate with a masonry wall
  of the same/similar type as they have used in Sendera along Slaughter Lane,
  and elsewhere. (Of course the reason we need them to do this is for safety
  reasons, sound abatement, and to try and maintain the quality of life we now
  have that will be greatly diminished with the increased traffic, noise,
  pollution, danger, etc. that will come with the opening up Westgate.) In
  lieu of this they could cut us a check for \$150,000.00, and we could have
  Fencecrete do it for us. For their doing this we could agree to take title
  to the retention ponds that they are now stuck with as well as the lot on
  Lagerway Cove and the monument lot.
- 7) Written assurances that the residents on Cohoba will not be subject to flooding when it rains.
- 8) A streetlight on Sanford south of Crownspoint roughly equidistant from the ones to the north and the south.

Your attention is appreciated.

J R Tamsitt
9313 Bradner Dr.
Austin Tx 78748
Tel 512 280-9858
<a href="mailto:jtam121419@austin.rr.com">jtam121419@austin.rr.com</a>

From: GREG SMITH [smithg12@texas.net]

Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 2:30 PM

To: wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Subject: C14-03-0157

Wendy,

I do not support the new zoning request as stated. Any changes should bless all involved.

Thanks, Liz Smith 9204 Linkmeadow Dr. Austin, TX 78748 291-1893

From: Sent: Henry Cowen [HCowen@rosco.com] Tuesday, May 11, 2004 9:15 AM

To:

wendy.walsh@ci.austin.tx.us; jdonisi@austin.rr.com; jay@jaygohilrealty.com; chammond1

@austin.rr.com; pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com; bbaker@austintexas.org;

kbiackson@pbsi.com

Subject:

Opposed to zoning case number C14-03-0157 -May18th 2004-

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing you all personally to log my opposition to zoning case number C14-03-0157 as it is presently requested by the developer. I live on the southern border of the proposed tract and am part of a coalition of neighbors that has been attempting to work out a reasonable solution with the developer. As of,4/27/04 the developer has no longer been willing to meet with our group, and has now amended this previous zoning application to be mostly sf6 condos and mf2 apartments, with small 200ft strip of sf2 homes along southern boundary, intentionally leaving NO buffer between the development and our homes. Previously the developer had more homes planned but at least the proposal included a buffer along the border with our neighborhood (Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane).

I see this as most who live on the border of this land would... spiteful, unfriendly strong arm tactics to scare the neighborhood into giving in to the developers original plans. We as a group are NOT opposed to developing the land, we just want smart measured growth in our area, and want to be a part of the solution, not the ones left to live with the problems created by it. Given the tremendous amount of activity in the area we feel careful consideration is required to maintain the quality of life in our community.

This hearing has been rescheduled for May 18th and I will be there in person but wanted to send you a note in advance to file my opposition, and ask you to please deny the zoning application for zoning case number C14-03-0157 as it is presently submitted.

The state of the state of the state of

Thank you for you time. Best Wishes, Henry

Henry Cowen 9334 Lightwood Loop Austin, TX 78748 512-292-9739 (h)

## RECEIVED

MAR 2 9 2004

Neighborhood Planning & Zoning

## PETITION

Date: March 29, 2004
File Number: C14-03-0157

Address of

Rezoning Request: Davis Lane between Westgate Blvd. & Brodie Lane (see attached Notice of Filing of Application for Rezoning)

BRIAN JUDIS - 241-3005

619-972

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than Rural Residential zoning.

We are against the current proposed requested zoning change because it is incompatible with the existing surrounding zoning classifications, including but not limited to the neighborhoods and development. The proposed zoning change, if granted, will also overburden the already congested roads and infrastructure, thereby creating safety concerns. Finally, we are against the proposed zoning change because of the substantial negative environmental impact it will have upon the surrounding neighborhoods, and the water quality of the Edwards Aquifer.

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	Address
Carl tent	Carla Trantwein	9424 Lightwood Loop
Ken Irantives	Ken Trautwein	9424 Lightwood Loop
Cotinulant	Colten Smith	9412 Lightwood Loop.
achaly	Cari Peabody	9405 Lightwood Loop
Journe Steller	Tammy Stickley	9401 Lightwood Loop
But Ba		9401 Eightwoodle
Huylan	Henry Cowen	9)34 Lightand Lp
Lord Ca	LocataCouser	9309 Lightine Cp.
Kellye John	en Kellye Johnso	n 9332 Lightwood LP
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·

<u>**PETITION**</u>
File Number: C14-03-0157

Paul Vila	PAUL Velez	9308 SILK OGLCOVE
Sally Willy	Sally Veler	9308 SILKOHKCOVE
Continue -	Cyzil M. Statum	9300 SILK Oak COVE
		um 9300 Silk Oak Cove
Sundalize Mood	Ly Guadakupe Mood	1 932051/KOAX e ove
James James	JAMES Gesest	9309 SILK OAK CV - 9309 SILK OAK CV.
Lands Ball	DANIEL E. Busc	H 9307 SILK OAK CV -
My Moule	Alex Morales	9309 SilkOAK CU.
Shruff room	_ Sherrill Morales	9309 SULPALOU.
Karland	RAJESM PATEL	93/3 Sink ball cove
	NIPA PATE	931351/k Oak Core
Tina Harburga	Nina Marburge	v 9317 SAK Oak Cove
By lave)		·
Have Johnson	David Johns	
	FELIPE VEGA	•
Jos Mily	les MEKAY	9301) Tea lose 9305 Tea Rose
Maurice Hamil	Maurice Hamel	9305 Tea Rose
J's Hamel	Liz Hamel	Same
Billie Wayns	Billie DAvis	9307 Tearcose
Kichael Blow	Richard PAUIS	9301 TEAROSE TIL.
Belinda Smith	Belinda Smith	9309 Tea Rose TR

, nnmaron		
PETITION  File Number: C14-03-0157	16 1- UR.	Ozna) zu n.l
Chronia H. Kupas	Christine H. Rioga	4325 hightwood Loop
Degardo Riogas	Gerardo Riojas	9325 Lightwood Loop
Vale Smile	Valerie L. Smith.	9404 Lightwood Loop
Mel My	Michael Najera	9408 Lightwood Loop
MoutahSel	Mountaly Solem	9425 Lightwood 2004
Mile Kelly	- Mike Kelley	9429 Lightwood Loop
hintselles	- Lynn Kelley	9429 hightwood Loop
Fran July	FRAZPANKEN'	9440 Lightway Love
Jank Jaka	JANET PARKEL	9.440 LIGHTWAND LOOP
Allofasilan	Melisca Padillado	9428 Lightrud Corp
100	- Kabalan salem	9425 LIGHT WOOD 209
Off Realist	Jeff Peabody	9405 Lightwood Logo
Janas Smith	Lamar Smith	9404 Light wood Loup
andy of all	Author m. Jhasa	9332 Lightwood Lesp
	David Ryssom	9317 Lightwood Loop
Alales Lusion	Melissa husson	937 Lightwoodhoop
Conthia hopal	CYNTHIN Nyland	9433 Lightwood Loop
Donald & Pyland	DOWARD E MYLAND	9433 LIGHTWOOD LA
Aprilly	JOSEPH YICK	9932 bothond LP
Lynda Willian	22 LYNDA Williams	9316 Lightwood Loop
(Ima hlasan	•	9308 Rightwood
Derri Blain	Terri Blaine	9302 Lightwood Coop

<u>PETITION</u> File Number: C14-03-0157

ann O Senton	Ann V. Denton	9300 Lightwood Loop
	John Anguiano	9305 Lightwood Loop
Heich mach	Keith Rech	9303 Lightwood Loop
and Rech	· Cininy Rech	9303 Lightwood Loop
Cindy Brown	Cindy Brown	9306 Lightwood Loop
Sarch Weier	Sarah Weier	9307 Lightwood Coop
William Weier		9307 Lightwood loop
Philphia	PHILIP NAVEHTON	
		9312 Lightwood Loop
John Gracewall	Camy Horaco	eno 3107 Silkskass Bond
June Mores	w Terry More	10 3107 Sitksvass Beyl
Jac Morem	Joe A. MOR	end 3107 Silkspass Bond
Sing Greent	JENNIFER HOPKINS	GRANT 3108 SILKGRIPSS BEND
- Change Johnson	CHARLES HEM	TL 3136 SILKGREC SEND
Michael Strank	MICHAEL S. JACK	SGIJ 3132 SILKERAS BEND
Haven Darksen		Cson 31325: 1 Kgruss Bend
toobel too	Isabel Lee	3123 Silkgross Bol
WM (Reb) young	WR (Rech) young	3119 Sukgross Bence
Ma Broke	Marcy Brook	2 3115 Silkgress Bench
Tured Chap		rg, 9414 Lightwow CU.
GH. Dhinak Pi		stale 9412 Lightwood Cove

<u>PETITION</u>
File Number: C14-03-0157

And the Control of Control of the Co

File Number: C14-03-0157
Hystirck Lawrence Gyalinck 9412 Lightwood Cove
Golf D. Flagl Quin 9404 Lightwood Cove
Junda Haylos LINDA HAJJAR 9402 LIGHTWOOD COUE
VI Fry miguel Victor MARROQUIN 9400 Lightwood Cove
Mojar, Manguin Nova E. Marroguin 9400 Lightwood Cou
Laurie Ronk 9403 Lightwood Cove
Hick Egyman Vicki Eggimann 9407 Lightwood Cove
Patricia Patricia Ellis 9410 Lightwest CV.
Dembaldrer JOHN WALDNER 3131 5,1Kgrass Bed
Mena Walete RONAE WALDNON "
Chrystel Hovestol 3128 Silkgrass Bend
Stephen Housstol 3128 Silkswass Bend.
David Villasana JE. 9308 Lightwood Loop DR.
Josh Rea 3104 Silkorass
Housey Ralland RANDY MALLORY 9309 LIGHTWOODY
Chiffand Rank 9322 /cghtwood. La.
FRANCES FIGH 9436 Lightwoodloop
Silver Trea Gilbert High 9436 Eightwood Cop
Richard S Jones Jr 9437 Cightwood Coop
Myglith Chill Elizabeth Juith 9412 Lightwood Loop.
Patry Freeman PATS4 FREEMAN 9326 LIGHTWOOD LOOP

PETITION  File Number: C14-03-0157		
Christine Latlamme	Dayline for any	e 9331 /interpolo
R. Pettroln	Robert Peterser	9329 Lightwood Losp
Cha Rhation	Charlotte L. Peterren	9329 Lightwood Loop
	Bryan Collier	9330 Lightweet Loup
Delaying Malle	LADONNA Collia	9330 Lightwas lap
	John Eades	9328 Lightmord Losp
Kelly Standly	Kelly Standley	9327 Lightwood Loop
Ty Standley	Ty Standley	9327 Lightwood Loop
Jollane Wheeles		055 9324 Legetwood Loop
CE Whalem	CE. Wheeless	932+ Lightwood Louis
Shy Easts	Sherry Pades	9378 LIGHTWOOD Wip
Calabet	AbelRockique	9321 Lightha Stop
Adria Rodrigue	EDNA RODRIGUEZ	9321 (1647WOOd Long)
Parry Xolinette	Polly Robinette	9320 Lightwood Losp
fat Just	Patrick Robinette	9320 Lightwood Loop
Am Carrie	DON LAIRY	7318 Lightwood Loup
lat Land	Pot Laird	9318 Lightwood Lo
Dant with	DAVID WILLIAMS	9316 Light wood forth
Ways J. L.J	ando Altonia.	9314 Lightwood LA.
Tetura I Delgado	Leticia B Delga	()' • (
Gelyan Sthemande	Gilux Sovary Herrande	2 9420 Laighwood Joop
Charlia Herralde	7	9420 Laighwood Loop

Laura Poliako	Laura PoliaKoff	3008 Çohoba Dr.
Wal and Bolingst	Michael Poliakoff	- 3008 Cohoba Dr.
the tale	Chris McFarland	
faluce mitel	Rebecca MForland	
Joseph Py	JOSEPH D. PEREZ	3/04 Cohobs DR
Mary E. Flerer	TRACI E. PEREZ	
Dregory & Saur	Gregory 5 Sowin	3112 Cohoba Or
Exply 6-Soming	Edyta B Sawin	3112 Cohoba a
Olas Puryan	/	PAR 312 COhobADA.
A Brestist rungen		R 3124 Cohoba DR.
CIGATIVE O		3116 Cohdner
226		9704 Brakner
Juga Walton		9204 Bradner
Wha	GARY RAYGOR	9201 Bradner
Taralaygor	TARA RAYGOR	(1)
Laura Cardesa	Laura Cardosa	3000 Cohoba Drive
	Chad Cardusa	3000 Cohoba Drive
The en Such	Karen Lewis	3116 Cohoba Dove
Joel Dormand	loel Hernandez	3123 Cohola WP.
Stylpan	Stephanie Parker	9201 Linkmeadow 122
Boh	Bman Parker	9201 Linkmeadan Br
W.	J	

<u>**PETITION**</u>
File Number: C14-03-0157

Pute I Vilongue RUBEN I VELASQUEZ 8819 DANDERION TAGEL
Junia P Xulas que Tim P. Velasquez 8819 DANdelion Tr.
Jamy li La Rosa James La Noran 88071 Japac son
Refor Menke 8807 Drudchion T Refor Menke 8806 Ringy Cnk Bend
Meule Peter Menke 8806 Ringy Cnx Bend
I Mich & Mark CHRISTIMENT 8806 PINEY CUREN
Imday Men he Lindsey Manke 8805 Piney KrBene
Luda Lopez - 8812 Dandelion Jesse Lopez - 8812 Dandelion
Jesse lappez - °
CW Downey C. W. Downey 880   PeppengRASS
Veroxica Downey Veronica Downey 8801 Peppergrass
Rukay Ober RICHARD OSEN 3306 DAVIS LAKE
Camille Oben Camille Olsen 3306 Davis Lane
EIRO, Pot Erik D Foster 2959 Cohola Dr.
Kraugsenfoster Virginie Foster "
Johnny Lang 8806 Dandelow To
Michelo Loro
Bould Draw Rosalinda Prez 8809 Peppergrass (ova
fried Park PARZ " "
DAVID PATTEN BBIO PEPPERGRASS CV.
Rullful Jobe Rebetch Rotten 8810 Perpegnass CV
Beth Benhar luck bunhar of 8805 Peppergnass Cu

A Commence of the state of

<u>P E T I T I O N</u> File Number: C14-03-0157

Art Koening	s Ant Kan	9312 Tea Rose Try
	S Leny hor	nugs 9312 Tea Pose Til
MCHARD HAMERSLEY	Kitch Hames	lay 9306 Peakose Trail
DIANE BARNEY	Whene Barn	e 3309 Silkgruss Brud
Joan Wagner	2008L	9605 Kangaros In 9403 Light also CV ALS, TX
Acr form	Cyhl	9403 cylchamo CV AJS, TX
Allison Quinn.	alleson Duin	9404 Lightwood CU Austin. TX
Joe A. Mareno, Jr.	Sel-Moreus	2 3107 Silks ress ben forthe
Julie Jenkins	Stille Brokins	3112 Siekgran Bera 78745
HIDTED ISHIDA	Hala	9432 Lightwood Loop
Jenny Park	Jan Pah	9322 Lythundhoup.
William Weier	William K Whien	9367 Lightwood Loop
	Saoh Wenn	9307 Lightwood Copp
AREA P. DAVIS (	know A. Advis	. 9304 Lightwood Loop
Linda Davis	Lido Dais	9301 Lightened Lasy
PAILESTON BAKURY	1	9302 Tea Rose Tel.
SHARON BARNEY	Shu on Bary	9302 Tea RoseTKI.
LINDON Jamos	Luida James	9300 Texfor Ly,
IlIANA C. RUIZ		9303 TEA PLOSE TRE
1 .1. 1	John & Vihats	7 9304 TEAROSTEAL
Marlene Schatte	Marlene Schatt	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$
Mary Well	Nancy Miller	3004 Cohoba Drive
	)	

<u>PETITION</u> File Number: C14-03-0157		0
Chapter Dorla	ELIZABETH STEELNS	2960 Col
Market Lote Ton	1 Motosto DelaTom	3/08 Cahaba Or
Rid Ital	Richard Litter!	3132 Cohoba Austin TX 78748
Linkson	Kihard Woehl	3100 CohobA Dr. AUSTIN TX 78
Francy A Wales	Francis R. Walsky	Buttin TX 78745
Aflow Wolsez	ALROPA Flores-Wolsky	Austin, TX 78748
Tamana Gates	Tumara Gates	9200 Bradner 18745
Jennifer Gates	Jennifer Gates	9200 Bradner Austin 78748
Chris 3 Daniella Bono	Mvis 3 Daniella Bono	3128 Conoba DX Lystin, TX 78148
<del></del>		
		<del> </del>
		·

Same to the state of the state of the state of

<u>PETITION</u> File Number: C14-03-0157
Rasteeda Hosei Rasheeda Hosein 9416 lightwood Gop
SHERIFF ALI 9416 lightwood bosp
1) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (
Claudia Hernandez. 9420 Lightwood Loop Robert J. ROIZ 9307 TEA ROSETRE
Kobet Ruiz Robert J. ROIZ 9307 TEA ROSETKE
Spring Is BRIAN I U.S. 9310 Cyltanologo Lebecca E. Judis 9310 Lightwood Loop
Lebecca E. Judis 9310 Lightwood Loop
9
<del></del>
<u></u>

を のうかいかいかい しゃかんのかいしいのできる 大学 (本語の)

Contraction of the Contraction

.

## **PETITION**

Case Number:		Number: C14-03-0157		April 13, 2004
Total Area within 200' of subject tract: (sq. ft.)		t tract: (sq. ft.)	<u>1,950,078.75</u>	
1	04-2223-0209	DELAROSA JAMES & TAMMY	2,676.65	0.14%
•	04.0005.0440	PATTEN DAVID &	40 407 70	0.0401
2	04-2225-0112	REBEKAH	12,487.72	0.64%
3	04-2225-0114	PAEZ RICHARD JR & ROSALINDA	10,531.84	0 540/
4	04-2225-0114	BERNHARD BETH A	2,936.11	0.54% 0.15%
4	04-2223-0110	SANCHEZ VERONICA	2,930.11	0.13%
5	04-2225-0118	& C W DOWNEY	227.76	0.01%
•	04-2220-0110	MENKE PETER A &		0.0170
6	04-2225-0120	CHRISTI L	13,626.87	0.70%
_	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	VELASQUEZ RUBEN		
7	04-2225-0201	ISMAEL	13,609.63	0.70%
		OLSEN RICHARD C &		
8	04-2225-0506	CAMILLE L	20,924.76	1.07%
		MALLORY RANDALL		
9	04-2425-1101	RAY & NANCY KA	7,657.23	0.39%
		RUSSOM MELISSA		
10	04-2425-1102	CHENEY	3,818.82	0.20%
		RIOJAS GERARDO B &		
11	04-2425-1106	CHRISTINE H	3,356.65	0.17 <u>%</u>
		STANDLEY TY & KELLY		
12	04-2425-1146	J	4,105.05	0.21%
49		PETERSEN ROBERT J	4.040.04	0.000/
13	04-2425-1147	& CHARLOTTE LAFLAMME	4,248.04	0.22%
14	04-2425-1148	CHRISTINEB	5,248.75	0.27%
17	<u> </u>	CARDOSA CHAD &	5,240.75	U.21 76
15	04-2425-1201	LAURA Y	21,641.19	1.11%
	OT-2-72-0-12-01	POLIAKOFF MICHAEL	21,041.10	1.1170
16	04-2425-1203	C & LAURA E	9,139.42	0.47%
		BICKFORD REBECCA		
17	04-2425-1204	& CHRISTOPER	9,357.05	0.48%
18	04-2425-1205	WOEHL RICHARD A	9,105.85	0.47%
		PEREZ JOSEPH D &		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19	04-2425-1206	TRACY E	9,519.79	0.49%
		DE LA TORRE FRANK		
20	04-2425-1207	A & NATASHA	9,331.40	0.48%
21	04-2425-1208	SAWIN GREGORY S	9,412,29	0.48%
22	04-2425-1209	LEWIS KAREN S	9,273.17	0.48%
00	04 0405 4040		0.000.00	0.470/
23	04-2425-1210	PURYEAR OLGA RUTH	9,260.22	0.47%
24 25	04-2425-1211	PURYEAR PHYLLIS F	12,547.36	0.64%
25	<u>04-2425-1212</u>	BONO CHRISTOPHER	21,944.54	1.13%

26	04-2425-1213	LITTRELL RICHARD G	11,174.09	0.57%
	<u> </u>	BROWN BRENT A &		
27	04-2425-1235	TAMMY STICKLEY	5,298.24	0.27%
		PEABODY JEFFREY &		0.2.70
28	04-2425-1236	CARI	2,921.08	0.15%
		SALEM KABLAN &		
29	04-2425-1237	MOUNTAHA	6,108.87	_0.31%
		TRAUTWEIN KENNETH		
30	04-2425-1245	J & CARLA	4,311.13	0.22%
31	04-2425-1246	HERNANDEZ GILMER J	, 21,265,32	_1:09%
	<del></del>	ALI SHERIFF &		
32	04-2425-1247	RASHEEDA HOSEIN	15,481.66	0.79%
		SMITH COLTEN W &		
33	04-2425-1248	ELIZABETH C S	12,020.75	0.62%
34	04-2425-1249	NAJERA MICHAEL	10,394.58	0.53%
		SMITH CURTIS L &		
35	04-2425-1250	VALERIE L	10,024.27	0.51%
		COWEN HENRY A &		_
36	04-2425-1252	LORETTAA	8,601.26	0.44%
A==		JOHNSON ANTHONY	<b>.</b>	
37	04-2425-1253	MICHAEL	8,511.25	0.44%
	0.1.0.105.105.1	COLLIER BRYAN L &		
38	04-2425-1254	LADONNA K	<u>8,381.03</u>	0.43%
20	04.0405.4055	EADES JOHN W JR &	0.40=.00	0.444
39	04-2425-1255	SHERRY L	8,485.28	0.44%
40	04 0405 4056	FREEMAN ROBERT P	9 670 00	0.4457
40	04-2425-1256	& PATSY R FRE	8,676.22	0.44%
		WHEELESS CARROLL		
41	04-2425-1257	E & JO ANNE W	8,588.93	0.44%
71	04-2420-1201	BRACEWELL CAREY H	0,300.93	0.4476
42	04-2425-1258	& NADA K	8,578.21	0.44%
	07 2 120 1200	ROBINETTE PATRICK	0,070.21	0.44 70
43	04-2425-1259	G & PAULINE		0.43%
		WILLIAMS DAVID	-1	
44	04-2425-1261	ROSS & LYNDA DI	8,537.50	0.44%
•	<del></del>	DELGADO ALFONSO S		
45	04-2425-1262	& LETICIA B	9,103.78	0.47%
		NAUGHTON PHILIP &	<del></del>	
46	04-2425-1263	JAN	10,191.82	0.52%
•		JUDIS BRIAN &	<del></del>	
47	04-2425-1264	REBECCA	10,973.22	0.56%
		VILLASANA DAVID JR		
48	04-2425-1265	& ANNA	8,569.54	0.44%
		DAVIS RICHARD L &		
49	04-2425-1273	BILLIE	1,172.88	0.06%
•		HAMEL ELIZABETH D &		
50	04-2425-1274	MAURICE F	8,531.78	0.44%
,		RUIZ ROBERT JOE &		
51	04-2425-1275	ILIANA C	8,988.01	0.46%
52	04-2425-1276	MCKAY LESLY S	11,145.06	0.57%

53	04-2425-1277	JAMES LINDA KAY	10,521.26	0.54%
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	BARNEY PAUL &		
54	04-2425-1278	SHARON	8,518.93	0.44%
		SCHATTE JOHN B &		
55	04-2425-1279	MARLENE J		0.43%
		HAMERSLEY RICHARD		
56	04-2425-1280	A & CHERYL C	1,223.69	0.06%
		STERLING ELIZABETH		
57	04-2425-1302	MAE	13,752.46	0.71%
		MORALES SHERRILL L		
58	04-2428-0106	& ALEX G	5,218.87	0.27%
59	04-2428-0108	BUSCH DANIEL EMIL	12,282.88	0.63%
		STATUM CYRIL		
60	04-2428-0109	MANSELL JR & BARB	10,300.71	0.53%
		VELEZ PAUL & SALLY		
61	04-2428-0111		9,054.94	0.46%
		Total Are	ea of Petitioner:	Total %
		, I Otal Ale	a of Latitional'	I Utai /a
	Stacy Meeks	_	<u>255,381.56</u>	28.70%


.

.

·


•

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} +$ 



# **PETITION**

Case N	Number:	C14-03-0157	Date:	May 12, 2004
Total Area within 200' of subject		ect tract: (sq. ft.)	<u>1,868,656.06</u>	
		PATTEN DAVID &		
1	04-2225-0112	REBEKAH	12,487.72	0.67%
		PAEZ RICHARD JR &		
2 _	04-2225-0114	ROSALINDA	10,531.84	0.56%
3	04-2225-0116	BERNHARD BETH A	2,936.11	0.16%
		SANCHEZ VERONICA		
4	04-2225-0118	& C W DOWNEY	227.76	0.01%
_		MENKE PETER A &		
· 5 _	04-2225-0120	CHRISTI L	13,626.87	0.73%
	. ,	VELASQUEZ RUBEN		
6 _	04-2225-0201	ISMAEL	13,609.63	0.73%
		OLSEN RICHARD C &		
7 _	04-2225-0506	CAMILLE L	20,924.76	1.12%
8 _				0.00%
9 _				0.00%
10				0,00%
11 _				0.00%
12				0.00%
13				0.00%
14				0.00%
15				0.00%
16				0.00%
17 _				0.00%
18				0.00%
19				0.00%
20				0.00%
21				0.00%
22 _				0.00%
23 _	·			0.00%
24				0.00%
25				0.00%
- 26				0.00%
27	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			0.00%
28 _		e di	<del></del>	0.00%
		Total Ar	ea of Petitioner:	Total %
	Stacy Meeks		74,344.69	3, <u>98%</u>



ŀ

Ms. Betty Baker Chair Zoning and Platting Commission c/o Wendy Walsh, Planning Department April 1, 2004

Honorable Chairman Baker, Case Manager Wendy Walsh,

I am writing on behalf of Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane Neighborhood Association in opposition to the applicant's request for rezoning as described within the Notice of Filing of Application for Rezoning (File Number: C14-03-0157).

The development plan, as currently proposed, is incompatible with existing, previously approved, and planned development in the contexts of traffic, safety, quality of life, zoning classifications, and adherence to the City of Austin's environmental standards relating to impervious cover in Critical Water Quality and Contributing zones of the Barton Springs Edward's Aquifer.

We have met several times with Mr. Weynand and his associates to discuss the development proposal and our concerns, and we have suggested discussing the project in terms of the hydro-geological data and BSEACD Recommended Recharge Map guiding staff's recommendation, which effectively reduces the realistic scope of the project.

MAY 4, 2004

Even though we are opposed to the current proposal and have a valid petition pending confirmation, we would like to request a four week postponement of the ZAPCO hearing in an effort to meet with the applicant and revisit the rezoning request in light of current development guidelines and input from the various departments contributing to the Zoning staff's recommendation.

We thank you in advance for your consideration. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call or email me using the information provided below.

Sincerely,

John K. Larkin,

John Dalin

Vice President CCOBNA

Development Committee Chair

9508 Tea Rose Trail Austin, TX 78748 512-280-2066 home 512-970-8157 cell

From: John Larkin [jklarkin@austin.rr.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 4:14 PM

To: jklarkin@austin.rr.com; bbaker@austintexas.org; Wendy.Walsh@ci.austin.tx.us

Cc: josephamartinez@yahoo.com; jay@jaygohilrealty.com; jdonisi@austin.rr.com;

chammond1@austin.rr.com; Pinnelli@flash.net; apsinc@bga.com; kbjackson@pbsj.com; Phil

Brown; trumprop@juno.com; Brian Judis; 'Judis, Brian'

Subject: C14-03-0157

Greetings Chairman Baker, Honorable Commissioners, and Ms. Walsh,

I would like to inform you that I have been designated as the primary speaker for the Valid Petitioners and the Cherry Creek on Brodie Neighborhood Association and, as such, respectfully request five minutes to present our perspective before the Zoning and Platting Commission.

Sincerely,
John K. Larkin
Vice President Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane NA (CCOBNA)
CCOBNA Development Committee Chair
Liaison for Case C14-03-0157 Valid Petitioners

9508 Tea Rose Trail Austin, Texas Cell 970-8157 Home 280-2066

#### Guernsey, Greg

From: Marissa Atkinson [mail@marissaatkinson.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 7:52 PM

To: Wynn, Will; Goodman, Jackie; Slusher, Daryl; Thomas, Danny; Alvarez, Raul; Dunkerley, Betty;

McCracken, Brewster

Cc: Guernsey, Greg

Subject: Harris Ranch (Zoning Case # C14-03-0157 Planned for Thurs June 17th Council Meeting

Importance: High

Thank you Council Members for taking time to consider what **Tanglewood Oaks** homeowners have to say about the **impending zoning change** to **Harris Ranch**. Because I am unable to attend the meeting on **Thursday 17<sup>th</sup>**, I am hoping you will take this notice into account when considering the proposed changes.

I live in the neighborhood split by Westgate south of Davis Lane and north of Slaughter. I know any development will open up Westgate through to Davis. We are concerned about the **safety of the children walking to Cowan Elementary** but know this will be unavoidable.

I, along with my neighborhood, have one major concern about the developers' plans: **APARTMENTS**. This little area cannot handle that much business. We already have Tanglewood Apartments on Aftonshire and duplexes that have caused issues for Tanglewood Forest & **Kocurek** Elementary. Plus Brodie already has plenty of apartments **one block** north of Harris Ranch. There are plenty of places along Brodie that don't dump into neighborhoods across from **elementary schools** along very **poor visiblity** streets like Davis. Speaking of which, does the developer have a plan to help the City **pay** for improving Davis? Even with the speed limit of 30mph, it is very **dangerous** at times because of the hill it is on. If the development didn't dump onto Brodie, traffic would either flow through our neighborhood or onto Davis. Sounds like a **law suit** waiting to happen either way.

We are reasonable homeowners. We know we can't fight all developers. But this one **misled** us by 1<sup>st</sup> telling Tanglewood & Cherry Creek they were putting in nice town homes w/commercial facing Brodie & maybe some duplexes. **Then** they led us to think they would put up Single Family like ours. That was less desirable because they would be smaller homes and might bring down our values because **smaller=cheaper=investment property=renters**.

Now they are totally **ignoring** the **Planning and Zoning** Committees recommendation and going to you with their idea of apartments, shopping strips, and duplex/triplexes or whatever else they feel they can squeeze into 8 acres and forget what everyone else has said is best for this area. I feel like they are **wasting your time** & flexing their big business muscles by going to the Council with this. I understand it is their right to do so. I just feel it is disrespectful.

To summarize, the homeowners in this area are mostly concerned about the safety and amount of traffic apartments and multi-family units would bring to a very residentially zoned area. We understand commercial along Brodie. Our second concern is the value of our property with multi-family. I know that is a huge concern all the time but there is a profitable product this developer can put into such a small space that would actually raise our values, look tasteful and be a very appropriate transition from business Brodie Lane to Residential Westgate Lane. Last little thought- is it a good idea to put that many people on top of the Longhorn Pipeline? I thought there was already too much liability there.

Thank you again for your time,

Marissa Atkinson 9340 Notches Drive Austin, TX 78748 (512) 554-2596 www.marissaatkinson.com

From:

john.larkin@amd.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 16, 2004 9:23 AM

To:

Guernsey, Greg

Cc:

Walsh, Wendy

Subject: Harris Ranch Case C14-03-0157

Hello Grea.

I would like request a one week postponement of the Harris Ranch case on behalf of the Cherry Creek on Brodie Lane neighborhood. That would put the case on the June 24th agenda.

I would also like to request that the Harris Ranch and Brodie31 PUD cases be placed sequentially on the agenda if possible. For example, if one case is Z9, we would like the other case to be Z10.

Our reasoning is that we are attempting to focus the council's attention on the collective impact of the proposals on the local community. I know that this may not be possible, but thought I would ask just in case! We of course will be making our pitch for at least a focused planning effort on the roughly 150 acres represented by these cases. The second secon

Thanks.

John

970-8157

From:

Guernsey, Greg

Sent:

Wednesday, June 16, 2004 7:51 PM

To:

Walsh, Wendy

Subject:

FW: Harris Ranch (Zoning Case # C14-03-0157)

----Original Message----

From: Edyta Sawin [mailto:ebsawin@austin.rr.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 9:39 PM

To: Wynn, Will; Goodman, Jackie; Slusher, Daryl; Thomas, Danny; Alvarez,

Raul; Dunkerley, Betty; McCracken, Brewster; Guernsey, Greg

Subject: Harris Ranch (Zoning Case # C14-03-0157)

#### Dear City of Austin Council Members:

My husband and I live at 3112 Cohoba Drive and are directly affected by this case (The Harris Ranch Development). WE ARE AGAINST the proposed zoning changes and instead support the alternative recommendation(s) of: 1st) the valid petitioners (Rural Residential), or 2nd) Cherry Creek on Brodie Homeowners Association, or 3rd) the recommendation of ZAPCO in that order. We are deeply concerned with the magnitude of local development and our accompanying desire to properly plan current and future development on our local community. We are also cognizant of the accompanying safety and environmental issues that will arise from a development of this density within our local community, as well as the impact to our local property values and quality of life.

#### Sincerely,

Edyta & Gregory Sawin 3112 Cohoba Drive Austin, Texas 78748 512 292 9913

From: john.larkin@amd.com

Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2004 3:33 PM

To: Guernsey, Greg; Walsh, Wendy

Cc: jklarkin@austin.rr.com; john.larkin@amd.com

Subject: Harris Ranch and Brodie31 PUD

Hello Greg,

#### Regarding:

Harris Ranch (Zoning Case # C14-03-0157)

Brodie31 Planned Unit Development (Zoning Case # C814-04-0024)

I would like to change our request for postponement of the Harris Ranch zoning request to reflect our desire to have both the Harris Ranch and Brodie 31 PUD zoning applications reviewed concurrently. The local community feels that it is imperative for the council to consider both at the same time to effectively discuss the pending uses in the holistic context necessary to reach a determination that properly reflects the impact to our local community.

and the second of the second of the second

Thanks,

John

Cherry Creek on Brodie

970-8157