## Zoning Public Hearing CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: Z-18
AGENDA DATE: Thu 11/04/2004
PAGE: 1 of 1

SUBJECT: C14-03-0140 - Champion Tract, City Park Road East - Conduct a public hearing and approve an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 6011-6411 City Park Road and 5801-6507 FM 2222 (West Bull Creek Watershed) from general office-conditional overlay (GO-CO) combining district zoning to general office-mixed use-conditional overlay (GO-MU-CO) combining district zoning. Zoning and Platting Commission Recommendation: To grant general office-mixed use-conditional overlay (GO-MU-CO) combining district zoning. Applicant: Champion Assets Ltd. (Josie Champion). Graves, Dougherty, Hearon, Moody (Michael Whellan). City Staff: Glenn Rhoades, 974-2775.

REQUESTING Neighborhood Planning
DEPARTMENT: and Zoning

DIRECTOR'S
AUTHORIZATION: Greg Guernsey

## ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

Z.A.P. DATE: November 18, 2003

October 19, 2004
C.C. DATE: August 28, 2003

February 26, 2004
March 25, 2004
November 4, 2004

## ADDRESS: 6011-6411 City Park Road and 5801-6507 FM 2222

OWNER/APPLICANT: Champion Assets Ltd.<br>(Josie Ellen Champion)<br>AGENT: Graves, Dougherty, Hearon (Michael Whellan)

## ZONING FROM: GO-CO

TO: GO-CO
Amended to GO-MU-CO
on $7 / 28 / 04$

AREA: 44.94 acres

## SUMMARX STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Without a Traffic Impact Analysis (T.I.A.) amendment, staff recommends denial of the request for GO-MU-CO, General Office-Mixed Use-Conditional Overlay Combining district zoning. If the zoning is granted, an additional 43 feet of right of way should be dedicated to the City.

## ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

November 18, 2003 - Approved GO-CO. General Office-Conditional Overlay district zoning. The Conditional Overlay limits trips to 2,000 per day. In addition. Commission recommended the dedication of right of way.

October 19, 2004 - Approved GO-MU-CO, General Office-Mixed Use-Conditional Overlay district zoning and to delete the existing vehicle trip limit and square footage limit. In addition, the applicant will update the T.I.A., the cost estimate for roadway improvements and post fiscal at the time of site plan. (Vote: 7-2, J. Martinez and M. Donisi - nay).

## ISSUES:

Stalf has received a request from the neighborhood to postpone the case until December 2, 2004 (see attached).

This case was considered by the City Council on March 25, 2004. The Council approved the recommendation made by the Commission. with the condition that staff conduct a trip limitation analysis with the other Champion properties in the immediate vicinity (see attached traffic memo dated $9 / 30 / 04$ ). The vote at Council was 4-3, with J. Goodman, R. Alvarez and D. Slusher voting nay.

The reason the applicant is bringing this case back to Commission, is because the request has been amended to GO-MU. In addition to the request to delete the shared 6,500 vehicle trip per day limit, the applicant wishes to delete the restriction that limits the property to 30,000 square feet of office.

On February 19, 2004, the applicants for this zoning case filed a lawsuit against the City. They claim. in part, that the application of City zoning ordinances enacted in 2000 violates a 1996 setulement agreement with the City because of the trip count Iimitation on this tract as well as three
other tracts. They seek declarations consistent with their position, as well as damages for breach of contract and inverse condemnation. That case is styled Josie Ellen Champion, Champion Assets, Ltd., A Texas Limited Partnership, Alma Juanita Champion Meier, Champion-Meier Assets, Ltd., a Texas Limited Partnership, Mary Margaret Champion Roberson, and Champion Legacy Partners, Ltd., a Texas Limited Partnership v. City of Austin, Cause No.GN400513, in Travis County District Court.

The subject tract was part of a zoning case considered and approved by City Council on March 9, 2000 . As a condition of zoning, a conditional overlay was approved that limited the total number of vehicle trips generated by this property and three other properties to 6,500 vehicle trips per day. These four properties were approved with various use and site development restrictions (see attached zoning ordinances and map).

The ordinance for the subject tract (case C14-98-0162) was approved in March of 2000 for GO-CO zoning on 45 acres of land. The conditional overlay limited the property to 30,000 square feet of office space, a 100 -foot buffer is to be maintained along the southern property line and to a 6,500 trip limitation to be shared with 3 other properties. With this application the applicant is requesting to eliminate the shared 6,500 -vehicle trip limitation (see exhibit A locating the other 3 properties). The applicant would then like to restrict the subject tract to a total of 2,000 trips per day. The request could potentially raise the number of shared vehicle trips on the other 3 tracts.
Just to the northwest of the subject tract, the applicant has filed another zoning case with almost the same request. That property, case C14-99-0077 was apart of the original four zoning cases that were tied together by the 6.500 -vehicle trip limit. The new case on the property to the north, $\mathrm{Cl} 4-02-0181$, the owner is requesting a change from LR-CO and DR to LR-CO. With that zoning case, the applicant is requesting two things: 1) to rezone a portion of the tract from DR to LR-CO, and 2) to eliminate the collective 6,500 -vehicle trip limit and to place a 2,000 trip limit on the property. Case C14-02-0181 was considered by this Commission on January 14, 2003 and recommended approval of the applicant's request, in addition to eliminating a trip limit entirely. The case was postponed indefinitely at City Council on August 28, 2003.

It has been determined by the Watershed Protection and Development and Review Department that an amendment to the T.I.A, that was required and reviewed by the City with the four earlier cases, will need to be performed with the existing application. The applicant does not wish to amend the T.I.A. at this time. Therefore, staff recommends denial of the proposed zoning because of the lack of traffic information.

## EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | GO-CO | Undeveloped |
| North | LR-CO <br> GO-CO <br> MF-1-CO | Commercial <br> Under Development <br> Apartments |
| South | MF-2 <br> SF-6 | Single Family <br> Undeveloped, Condominiums |
| East | Not Zoned | Capitol of Texas Hwy |
| West | LR-CO | Undeveloped |

AREA STUDY: Bull Creek
TIA: Required. but not completed.

WATERSHED: West Bull Creek
CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

\#098 - Lakewood Homeowners Association
\#180 - Austin City Parks Neighborhoods
\#184 - Bull Creek Homeowners Association
\#382 - Shepherd Mountain Homeowners Association
\#426 - River Place Residential Community Association

## DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: No

HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: Yes

## CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | PLANNING COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-97-0162 | LR to GR | Approved staff rec. of GR-CO for tracts 1, 2 and 3. Tract one limited to Dry Cleaning as only GR use plus all LR uses. Tracts 2 and 3 limited to Restaurant (general) as only GR use plus all LR uses (Vote: 9-0). 1/13/98 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approved P.C. rec. (Vote: } 7-0 \text { ). } \\ & 2 / 26 / 98 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| C14-98-0161 | DR to SF-2-CO | Approved SF-2-CO (Vote: 8-0). 8/31/98. See attached ordinance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approved SF-2-CO (Vote: 5-0). } \\ & 3 / 9 / 99 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| C14-98-0162 | SF-2 to GO-CO | Approved GO-CO (Vote: 8-0). 8/31/98. See attached ordinance | Approved GO-CO (Vote: 5-0). 3/9/99. |
| C14-99-0076 | DR and SF-2 to <br> MF-1-CO and <br> GO-CO | Approved MF-1-CO and GOCO (Vote: 5-2-1). 8/31/98. See attached ordinance. | Approved MF-1-CO and GO-CO <br> (Vote: 5-0). 3/9/99 |
| C14-99-0077 | DR to LR-CO | Approved GR-CO (Vote: 7-1) 8/31/98. See attached ordinance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approved LR-CO (Vote: 5-0). } \\ & \text { 3/9/99. } \end{aligned}$ |
| C14-02-0181 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LR-CO to LR- } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Approved applicant's request to remove trip limit (Vote: 6-2). 1/14/03. | Pending |

## ABUTTING STREETS:

| NAME | ROW | PAVEMENT | CLASSIFICATION | DAILX TRAFFIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Park Road | varies 80 <br> $115^{\circ}$ | $2 @ 12^{\circ}$ | Arterial | 3,110 |
| R.M. 2222 | varies $225^{\circ}-$ <br> $300^{\circ}$ | $4 @ 12^{\circ}$ | Highway | 35,000 |

March 25, 2004

November 4, 2004

ORDINANCE READINGS: 1st

CASE MANAGER: Glenn Rhoades

## ORDINANCE NUMBER:

ACTION: Postponed to 2/26/04 by applicant Indefinitely (Vote7-0).

Approved GO-CO (Vote: 4-3, Goodman, Slusher and Alvarez - nay).
$2^{\text {nd }}$
$3^{\text {rd }}$




## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Without a Traffic Impact Analysis (T.I.A.) amendment, staff recommends denial of the request for GO-MU-CO, General Office-Mixed Use-Conditional Overlay Combining district zoning.

## BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

It has been determined by the Watershed Protection and Development and Review Department that an amendment to the T.I.A, which was required and reviewed by the City with the four earlier cases, will need to be performed with the existing application. The applicant does not wish to amend the T.I.A. at this time. Therefore, staff recommends denial of the proposed zoning because of the lack of traffic information.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Site Characteristics

The property is currently undeveloped.

## Transportation

The trip generation under the requested zoning is estimated to be 79,836 trips per day, assuming that the site develops to the maximum intensity allowed under the zoning classification (without consideration of setbacks, enviroumental constraints, or other site characteristics). This tract was included with the original TIA for the Champion properties and was slated 526 vehicles per day under the approved TIA. A 2,000 trip limitation would increase the projected trip generation by approximately 1,500 trips. Therefore a TIA addendum is required.

An Addendum to the original Traftic Impact Analysis (TIA) is required but has not been received. A zoning application is not complete until the required TIA has been received. This delay in the submittal of the TIA may result in a delay in the scheduling of this zoning change request on a Land Use Commission agenda. The TIA addendum must be submitted at least 26 calendar days (18 working days) prior to consideration of this case by the Commission. Please contact the assigned transportation reviewer for this case. [LDC, 25-6-113]

RM 2222 is classified in the Bicycle Plan as a Priority 2 bike route. (Route \#434)
There are no existing sidewalks along RM 2222
The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan calls for a total of 86 feet of right-of-way for City Park Road. If the requested zoning is granted, then 43 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the existing centerline of City Park Road in accordance with the Transportation Plan. [LDC, Sec. 25-$6-51$ and 25-6-55).

## Impervious Cover

The site is not located over the Edward's Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the West Bull Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, and is classified as a Water Supply Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. Under the current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

| Development Classification | \% of Net Site Area | \% NSA with Transfers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One or Two Family Residential | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Multifamily Residential | $40 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Commercial | $40 \%$ | $55 \%$ |

Development within a Water Quality Transition Zone may not exceed $18 \%$ impervious cover.

## Environmental

According to flood plain maps, there is flood plain in, or within close proximity of, the project location. Based upon the close proximity of the flood plain, offsite drainage should be calculated to determine whether transition zone exists within the project location. If transition zone is found to exist within the project area, allowable
impervious cover within said zone shall be limited to $18 \%$.
The site is located within the endangered species survey area and must comply with the requirements of Chapter 25-8 Endangered Species in conjunction with subdivision and/or site plan process.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding existing trees and other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to providing structural sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention.

At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals which would preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

## Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City water and wastewater utilities. If water or wastewater utility improvements, or system upgrades, or offsite main extension, or utility adjustment, or relocation are required, the landowner will be responsible for all costs and providing. Also, the utility plan must be in accordance with the City;' utility design criteria. The utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the City of Austin Water and Wastewater Utility.

## Stormwater Detention

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in stormwater runoff will be mitigated through on-site stormwater detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program if available.

## Compatibility Standards

Most of this tract is located in the Hill Country Roadway Corridor because it is located within $1000^{\prime}$ for Capitol of Texas Hwy (Loop 360).

Portions of this tract that are less than 540' from adjacent single-family zoned property will be subject to Compatibility development regulations. The following regulations will apply to this property:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- For a structure more than 100 feet but not more than 300 feet from the property line, a structure may attain a height of 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet if distance in excess of 100 feet from the property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive.
- For a structure more than 300 feet but not more than 540 feet from the property line, a structure may attain a height of 60 feet plus one foot for each four feet if distance in excess of 300 feet from the property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line
- A fence, berm or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.
Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

Glenn, I have canvased the CONA team and they would all like to have the cases postponed until the DECEMBER 2nd date if at all possible. This would enable us to inform all of the neighborshoods of the pending discussion with the Council.

Please advise if approved.
Thanks as always.


# MEMORANDUM 

To: Glenn Rhoades, Case Manager<br>Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department<br>From: George Zapalac<br>Watershed Protection and Development Review Department<br>Date: September 30, 2004<br>Subject: Champion Tract Zoning Cases<br>C14-02-0181, C14-03-0140, C14-04-0115, C14-04-0116

The following information is provided regarding traffic issues related to the Champion Tract zoning cases.

## Background

Zoning cases were originally filed on these properties in 1998, and a traffic impact analysis (TIA) was prepared which analyzed all four tracts. An update to the TLA was submitted in 1999. Based on the assumptions of that TIA, the project would have generated a total of 14,808 trips per day (unadjusted). The TIA indicated that most of the affected intersections could achieve an acceptable level of service with various road improvements, including the expansion of RM 2222 to 6 lanes between Loop 360 and City Park Rd. When the case was heard by Council, the zoning was approved with a limitation of 6500 vehicle trips per day on all four tracts and without the expansion of RM 2222.

In 2002 and 2003, the owner filed new applications on two of the tracts to request that the limitation of 6500 trips per day be increased. These cases were heard by Council on March 25, 2004, and approved on first reading with instructions to staff to return on second and third readings with a proposal for an alternative trip limitation. Subsequently, the owner filed new zoning applications for the remaining two tracts requesting to remove the trip limitation on those tracts as well.

Staff did not originally recommend the applicant's request to remove the trip limitation because Council had previously imposed it and the applicant had provided no new information to justify an increase in the number of trips. Staff recommended that the applicant provide an updated TIA to evaluate the effect of the increased traffic. At the March 25 meeting, Council did not require
the applicant to prepare a TIA but asked staff to provide further analysis of the traffic to determine an appropriate limitation on the number of trips. Council also asked the staff to look into adding additional capacity to RM 2222 to accommodate traffic growth in the area. In the absence of a new TIA, previous studies can provide a basis for an alternative recommendation.

## Regional Development Study: RM 2222/Bull Creek/West Bull Creek Watershed Area

This study, prepared by the City in November, 1993, analyzed long-term growth trends for the Bull Creek Watershed, a major contributor of traffic to RM 2222. One conclusion of the study was that the existing 4-lane roadway had insufficient capacity to accommodate full build-out of all the development that had already been approved by the City at the time. It was estimated that a 4- to 6-lane parkway or expressway would eventually be needed to accommodate projected travel demand in the corridor. As of 1992, traffic volumes along the section of RM 2222 between RM 620 and Loop 360 ranged from 13,000-16,000 vehicles per day. By 2002, those volumes had increased to $28,000-37,000$ vehicles per day. Capacity of the road is $31,500-$ 39,000 vehicles per day, meaning that it is currently operating at $89-95$ percent of capacity.

## Previous Champion Tract Traffic Impact Analysis

The TIA prepared for the Champion Tract in 1999 was based on the following land use and traffic assumptions. All traffic numbers are adjusted to compensate for internal trips between different land uses and for pass-by traffic that is already on the abutting streets.

| Tract | Land Use | Size | 24-hour Traffic | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | General Office | $350,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | 3,854 | 546 | 522 |
|  | Multi-family | 570 units | 3,779 | 291 | 354 |
|  | Shopping Center | $60,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | 2,945 | 71 | 269 |
|  | Single-family | 11 units | 136 | 17 | 14 |
| 2 | Restaurant | $6,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | 469 | 33 | 39 |
|  | Single-family | 3 units | 41 | 12 | 5 |
| 3 | General Office | $30,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | 526 | 72 | 113 |
| 4 | Restaurant | 6,000 | 469 | 33 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 12,219 | 1075 | 1355 |

The TIA determined that the level of service at affected intersections could be improved with the following improvements:

| Location | Improvement | Total Cost | Applicant's <br> Share (\%) | Applicant's <br> Cost |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loop 360 <br> RM 2222 | $\&$ | Eastbound and westbound through <br> lanes; northbound left turn lane | $\$ 377,126$ | 14 | $\$ 52,798$ |
| Loop 360 $\&$ Dual left turn lane; shared <br> through/right on Lakewood 55,014 <br> Lakewood    | 6 | 3,301 |  |  |  |
| Loop 360 <br> West | $\&$ | Left turn, left/through shared land, <br> right turn lane on West Courtyard | 35,895 | 5 | 1,795 |


| Courtyard |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| City Park Rd. <br> \& RM 2222 | Eastbound and westbound through <br> lanes; dual left turn lanes and <br> through/right shared lane | $\$ 332,630$ | 16 | $\$ 53,221$ |
|  <br> RM 2222 | Eastbound and westbound through <br> lanes. | 303,143 | 6 | 18,189 |
| Total |  | $\$ 1,103,808$ |  | $\$ 129,304$ |

These cost figures have not been reviewed by TXDOT and have not been updated since they were prepared in 1999.

Council did not adopt these recommendations with the original zoning case and chose instead to limit the amount of traffic to 6,500 trips per day.

## Recommendatious

If Council wishes to change the original condition of approval on this property, staff recommends the following:

1. The applicant should post fiscal surety for the improvements identified in the original traffic impact analysis as listed above. The cost estimates should be updated and reviewed by TXDOT, and the fiscal should be posted prior to third reading of the zoning.
2. Council should initiate an amendment to the Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan and the CAMPO plan to add two lanes to RM 2222 between Loop 360 and City Park Rd.
3. Development of the tract should be limited to an intensity that will not exceed or significantly vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the 1999 traffic impact analysis. Such assumptions include peak-hour trip generation, traffic distribution, roadway conditions, and other traffic characteristics.

Please contact me at 974-2725 if you have any questions.


George Zapalac
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

## AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 25-2 OF THE CITY CODE AS FOLLOWS:

A 13.499 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES JETT SURVEY NO. 1 , FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE (DR) DISTRICT TO NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (LR-CO) COMBINING DISTRICT, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 6100-6404 CITY PARK ROAD AND 6509-6909 F.M. 2222 ROAD, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section 25-2-191 of the City Code is amended to change the base district from Development Reserve (DR) district to Neighborhood Commercial-Conditional Overlay (LR-CO) combining district on the property described in File C14-99-0077, as follows:

A 13.499 acre tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1, in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit "A" incorporated into this ordinance, (the "Property")
commonly known as Tract 2, locally known as 6100-6404 City Park Road and 6504-6909 F.M. 2222 Road, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and as more particularly identified in the map attached as Exhibit "B".

PART 2. The Property within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. A site plan or building permit for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the completed development or uses of the Property and the property described in Exhibits C, D, E, F, and G, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds 6,500 adjusted trips per day.
2. Retail development may not exceed 4,000 square feet of gross floor area.

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the Neighborhood Commercial (LR) base district and other applicable requirements.

PART 3. The Council waives the requirements of Section 2-2-3, 2-2-5, and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 4. This ordinance takes effect on March 20, 2000.

PASSED AND APPROVED

| March $9 \ldots, 2000$ | $\text { § } 1 \text { ¢M, }$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Kirk Watson Mayor |
| APPROVED: Giarew hanto | ATTEST: Suerlec Qfirion |
| Andrew Martin City Attorney | Shirley A. Brown City Clerk |



## AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 25-2 OF THE CITY CODE AS FOLLOWS:

A 45.208 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES JETT SURVEY NO. 1 , FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE STANDARD LOT (SF-2) DISTRICT TO GENERAL OFFICE-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (GO-CO) COMBINING DISTRICT, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 6011-6411 CITY PARK ROAD AND 5801-6507 F.M. 2222 ROAD, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section 25-2-191 of the City Code is amended to change the base district from Single Family Residence Standard Lot (SF-2) district to General Office-Conditional Overlay (GO-CO) combining district on the property described in File C14-98-0162, as follows:

A 45.208 acre tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1, in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit "A" incorporated into this ordinance, (the "Property")
commonly known as Tract 3, locally known as 6011-6411 City Park Road and 5801-6507 F.M. 2222 Road, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and as more particularly identified in the map attached as Exhibit "B".

PART 2. The Property within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. A site plan or building permit for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the completed development or uses of the Property and the property described in Exhibits C, D, E, F, and G, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds 6,500 adjusted trips per day.
2. Office development may not exceed 30,000 square feet of gross floor area.
3. A building or structure may not be constructed or maintained within 100 feet of the southern property line.

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the General Office (GO) base district and other applicable requirements.

PART 3. The Council waives the requirements of Section 2-2-3, 2-2-5, and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 4. This ordinance takes effect on March 20, 2000.

PASSED AND APPROVED

March 9 2000


APPROVED:
$\frac{\text { Qualuew Mantor attest: }}{\text { Andrew Martin }}$ City Attorney


## AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP

 ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 25-2 OF THE CITY CODE AS FOLLOWS:THREE TRACTS OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES JETT SURVEY NO. 1, FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE (DR) DISTRICT AND SINGLE FAMIILY RESIDENCE STANDARD LOT (SF-2) DISTRICT TO MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE LIMITED DENSITY-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (MF-1-CO) COMBINING DISTRICT FOR TRACT ONE, NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (LRCO) COMBINING DISTRICT FOR TRACT TWO AND GENERAL OFFICECONDITIONAL OVERLAY (GO-CO) COMBINING DISTRICT FOR TRACT THREE, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 5800-6802 F.M. 2222 ROAD AND 6100-6712 CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY NORTH (LOOP 360), IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section 25-2-191 of the City Code is amended to change the base districts on the property described in File C14-99-0076, as follows:

Tract 1: From Development Reserve (DR) district and Single Family Residence Standard Lot (SF-2) district to Multi-Family Residence Limited DensityConditional Overlay (MF-1-CO) combining district.

A 98.467 acre tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1 in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit "A" incorporated into this ordinance;

Tract 2: From Single Family Residence Standard Lot (SF-2) district to Neighborhood Commercial-Conditional Overlay (LR-CO) combining district.

A 13.93 acre tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1 in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit " $B$ " incorporated into this ordinance;

Tract 3: From Development Reserve (DR) district and Single Family Residence Standard Lot (SF-2) district to General Office-Conditional Overlay (GO-CO) combining district.

A 28.794 acre tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1 in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit "C" incorporated into this ordinance, (the "Property")
commonly known as Tracts 1B, 1C and 1D, locally known as 5800-6802 F.M 2222 Road and 6100-6712 Capital of Texas Highway North, (Loop 360), in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and as more particularly identified in the map attached as Exhibit "D".

PART 2. A site plan or building permit for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the completed development or uses of the Property and the property described in Exhibits E, F, and G, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds 6,500 adjusted trips per day.

PART 3. The property identified as Tract 1 within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. Development shall comply with the Townhouse and Condominium Residence (SF-6) site development regulations and performance standards, except as provided for in Subpart 2.
2. A building or structure may not exceed a height of 40 feet above ground level.

PART 4. The property identified as Tract 2 within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. Retail development may not exceed 40,000 square feet of gross floor area.
2. Prior to site plan approval, clean-up of lead deposits on the property must be completed.

PART 5. The property identified as Tract 3 within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. Office development may not exceed 230,000 square feet of gross floor area.
2. Prior to site plan approval, clean-up of lead deposits on the property must be completed.

PART 6. Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the respective base districts and other applicable requirements.

PART 7. The Council waives the requirements of Section 2-2-3, 2-2-5, and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 8. This ordinance takes effect on March 20, 2000.

PASSED AND APPROVED

March 9 2000


APPROVED:

$\frac{\text { Wudnew Mantises }}{\text { Andrew Martin }}$City Attorney
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# AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 25-2 OF THE CITY CODE AS FOLLOWS: 

A 3.157 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES JETT SURVEY NO. 1, FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE (DR) DISTRICT TO SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE STANDARD LOT-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (SF-2-CO) COMBINING DISTRICT, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 6507 WINTERBERRY DRIVE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section 25-2-191 of the City Code is amended to change the base district from Development Reserve (DR) district to Single Family Residence Standard Lot-Conditional Overlay (SF-2-CO) combining district on the property described in File C14-98-0161, as follows:

A 3.157 acre tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1, in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit "A" incorporated into this ordinance, (the "Property")
commonly known as Tract 1A, locally known as 6507 Winterberry Drive, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and as more particularly identified in the map attached as Exhibit "B".

PART 2. The Property within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

A site plan or building permit for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the completed development or uses of the Property and the property described in Exhibits C, D, E, F, and G, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds 6,500 adjusted trips per day.

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the Single Family Residence Standard Lot (SF-2) base district and other applicable requirements.

PART 3. The Council waives the requirements of Section 2-2-3, 2-2-5, and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 4. This ordinance takes effect on March 20, 2000.

PASSED AND APPROVED



# AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 25-2 OF THE CITY CODE AS FOLLOWS: 

A TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE JAMES JETT SURVEY NO. 1 AND THE T. J. CHAMBERS GRANT, FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE STANDARD LOT (SF2) DISTRICT TO COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (GRCO) COMBINING DISTRICT, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 5618-5628 F.M. 2222 ROAD, 6200-6320 NORTH LAKEWOOD DRIVE, 6702-6710 NORTH LAKEWOOD DRIVE, 6401-6713 CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY NORTH AND 6201-6203 CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY NORTH, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section 25-2-191 of the City Code is amended to change the base district from Single Family Residence Standard Lot (SF-2) district to Community Commercial-Conditional Overlay (GR-CO) combining district on the property described in File C14-98-0163, as follows:

> A tract of land out of the James Jett Survey No. 1, in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibits A1, A, B, and C incorporated into this ordinance, (the "Property")
commonly know as Tract 5, locally known as 5618-5628 F.M. 2222 Road, 6200-6320 North Lakewood Drive, 6702-6710 North Lakewood Drive, 6401-6713 Capital of Texas Highway North and 6201-6203 Capital of Texas Highway North, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and as more particularly identified in the map attached as Exhibit "D".

PART 2. The Property within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. A site plan or building permit for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the completed development or uses of the Property, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds 782 adjusted trips per day.
2. Along the eastern property line a building or structure may not be constructed or maintained within 75 feet of a slope with a gradient of 25 percent or more (generally identified as the bluff).
3. A 50 foot wide undisturbed vegetative buffer shall be provided and maintained along the eastern property line. Development within the buffer shall comply with the screening requirements of Section 25-2-1027 (Visual Screening) of the City Code, Section 2.9.2 (Special Screening Standards for Hill Country Sites) and Appendix A (Special Revegetation Criteria for Hill Country Roadway Sites), of the Environmental Criteria Manual. Restoration and replacement of destroyed or diseased vegetation is permitted as necessary.
4. Vehicular access from the Property to Lakewood Drive is prohibited. All vehicular access to the Property shall be from other adjacent public streets or through other adjacent property.
5. A building or structure may not exceed 6,000 square feet of gross floor area.
6. Cut and fill in excess of 4 feet is not permitted on the Property.
7. Highly reflective materials may not be used on the Property.
8. The noise level of mechanical equipment may not exceed 70 DBA at the eastern property line.
9. A building or structure may not exceed a height of 28 feet above ground level.
10. The following uses of the Property are prohibited:

Automotive Sales
Automotive Repair Services
Commercial Off-Street Parking
Service Station
Financial Services

> Automotive Rentals
> Automotive Washing (of any type)
> Off-Site Accessory Parking
> Exterminating Services
> Restaurant (Drive-in, Fast Food)
11. Exterior lighting must be hooded or shielded so that the light source is not directly visible from adjacent property.

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the Community Commercial (GR) base district and other applicable requirements.

PART 3. The Council waives the requirements of Section 2-2-3, 2-2-5, and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 4. This ordinance takes effect on March 20, 2000.

PASSED AND APPROVED

March 9 2000


APPROVED:

Ahchoo thar attest: Andrew Martin City Attorney

## Aw sell A Bun Shirl\&y A. Brown <br> City Clerk



