## Zoning Public Hearing <br> CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: Z-4
AGENDA DATE: Thu 11/17/2005 PAGE: 1 of 1

SUB.JF.CT: C14-05-0148-SCI Tract - Conduct a public hearing and approve an ordınance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by zoning property locally known as Interstate Highway 35 North and West Howard Lane (Walnut Creek Watershed) from interım-rural residence (I-RR) district zoning to general commercial services-mixed use-conditional overlay (CS-MU-CO) combining district zoning Zonıng and Platting Commission Recommendation To grant general commercial services-mixed useconditional overlay (CS-MU-CO) combining district zoning Applicant CSI Texas Funeral Services, Inc /Capıtal Parks Land Investments, Inc (Ray A Gıpson) Agent Bury \& Partners, Inc (Jon C Kanak) City Staff Sherri Sirwatis, 974-3057

| REQUESTING | Neighborhood Planning | DIRECTOR'S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEPARTMENT: | and Zoning | AUTHORIZATION: Greg Guernsey |

## ZONING CIIANGE REVIEW SHEET

ADDRESS: North IHI-35 and West Howard Lane
OWNER/APPIICANT: SCI Texas Funeral Services, Inc /Capital Parks Land Investments, Inc (Ray A Gipson)

AGENT: Bury \& Partners, Inc (Jon C Kanak)
ZONING FROM: I-RR TO: CS-MU AREA: 31178 acres

## SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff recommends CS-MU-CO, General Commercial Services-Mixed Use-Conditional Overlay District, zoning The conditional overlay would limit the development intensity to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day

## ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

10/18/05 Approved staff's recommendation for CS-MU-CO zonıng, with 2,000 vehicle trıp lımit, by consent (9-0), J Martınez-1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$, J Gohil- $2^{\text {nd }}$.

## DEPARTMENT COMMFNTS:

The applicants are requestıng CS-MU, General Commercial Services-Mixed Use Combinıng District, zoning for the property in question because they would like to have the ability to develop the site with a mixture of commercial and residential uses in the future The property will take access to the North III-35 Service Road Northbound and to Howard Lane through a proposed roadway in the Northtown Municipal Utility District (Future ROW Exhibit - Attachment A)

The staff is recommending CS-MU-CO zoning for the site because the property is located adjacent to CS-CO zoning to the south and west and planned multifamily uses in the Northtown Municipal Utility District (MUD) to the east The property meets the intent of the CS district as it will have access to two major artenal roadways, North Interstate Highway-35 and IIoward Lane

The applicant agrees with the staff's recommendation

## EXISTING ZONTNG AND I,AND USES:

|  | ZONING | LAND USES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | I-RR | Undeveloped |
| North | I-RR | Undeveloped |
| South | SF-2, CS-CO | Cemetery, Limited Warehousing and Distribution |
| East | County | Undeveloped |
| West | CS-CO | Undeveloped |

[^0]TIA: Waived

WATERSHED: Walnut Creek
CAPITOL, VIEW CORRIDOR: N/A

DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes
HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: N/A

## NEIGIBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

114 - North Growth Corridor Alliance

## CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-04-0212 | I-RR to MF-2, LI | 3/29/05• Approved staff's recommendation for MF-2-CO zoning for Tract 1 and LI-CO zoning for Tract 2, w/ 2,000 vtpd limit, by consent (9-0) | 4/28/05 Granted MF-2-CO for Tract 1 and LI-CO for Tract 2 (5-0-McCracken/Wynn-off the das), all 3 readıngs |
| C14-03-0125 | SF-2 to CS | 6/1/04 Approved GR-CO zoning with the following prohibited uses Automotive Reparr Services, Drop-Orf Recycling Collection Facility, Pawn Shop Scrvices (8-0, Pinnelli-absent | 6/17/04 Granted CS-CO as recommended by staff, with additional conditions agreed to between the applicant and the neighborhood association the applicant and the neighborhood association (7-0), $1^{\text {st }}$ reading <br> 3/24/05 Approved CS-CO with TIA conditions (public restrictive covenant) on $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {nd }}$ readings ( $7-0$ ) |
| C14-02-0111 | TR1 GR-CO to GR <br> TR2 CS-CO to CS | 10/15/02 Approved staff's rec of GR-CO, CS-CO zoning with added condition to prohibit Automotive Sales, by consent (7-0, D Castanedaabsent | 12/5/02 Granted GR-CO for Tract 1 and CS-CO for Tract 2 on all 3 readngs (7-0) |
| C14-98-0212 | I-RR to CS | 12/15/98 Approved GR-CO w/conditions for front to match existing GR and CS-CO for remainder of property, prohibit auto repar and auto washing except for on-site tenants (5-2, GW/DS-Nay) | 1/14/99 Approved PC rec of GRCO w/ conditions 97-0), $1^{11}$ reading <br> 4/15/99 Approved CS-CO w/ conditions ( $7-0$ ), $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {nd }}$ readings |
| C14-98-0076 | I-RR to LI | 7/14/98 Approved W/LO-CO w/conditions (7-1, SA-Nay) | 10/8/98 Approved CS-CO w/many conditions (6-0), $1^{\text {st }}$ reading <br> 4/1/99 Approved CS-CO w/ conditions ( $7-0$ ), $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rl }}$ readings |
| C14-98-0069 | I-RR to CS | 7/14/98 Approved GR (8-1, RR-Nay) | 8/13/98 Approved CS-CO (6-0), $1^{\text {st }}$ reading <br> 10/1/98 Approved CS-CO w/ conditions (7-0), $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |


| C14-96-0131 | SF-2 to GR | 12/10/96 Approved staff rec of GR (9-0) | 1/9/97 Approved GR-CO w/ conditions (7-0), $1^{\text {st }}$ reading 3/20/97. Approved GR-CO w/ conditions ( $7-0$ ), $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-95-0195 | GR to CS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1/23/96 Approved CS-CO } \\ & (7-0) \end{aligned}$ | 7/18/96 Approved CS-CO subject to conditions (6-0), all 3 readings |
| C14-93-0055 | SF-2 to GR | 5/18/93 Approved GR by consent (9-0) | 6/3/93 Approved GR (5-0-1), all 3 readings |
| C14-93-0047 | SF-2 to CS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4/27/93 Approved GR-CO } \\ & (6-0) \end{aligned}$ | 5/6/93 Approved GR-CO and CS-CO w/conditions (6-0), $1^{\text {st }}$ reading <br> 6/17/93 Approved GR-CO and CS-CO (7-0), $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| C14-93-0005 | SF-2 to LO | 2/16/93 Approved LO (6-0) | 3/4/93 Approved LO (6-0), $1^{\text {st }}$ reading <br> 5/27/93 Approved LO, $2^{\text {ml }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |

RELATED CASES: There are no pending related cases

## ABUTTING STREETS:

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Howard Lane | $140^{\circ}$ | Varies | Arterial |

CITY COINCIL DATE: November 17, 2005
ORDINANCE READINGS: $1^{11}$
ORDINANCE NUMBER:
CASE MANAGER: Sherri Surwatis

ACTION:
$2^{\text {nd }} \quad 3^{\text {rd }}$

PIIONE: 974-3057,
sherri sirwatis@ci austin tx us


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends CS-MU-CO, General Commercial Services-Mixed Use-Conditional Overlay District, zoning The conditional overlay would limit the development intensity to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day

## BASIS FOR RECOMLMENDATION

1 The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought
The Gencral Commercial Services (CS) zoning district is intended for commercial or industrial use of a service nature that has operating characteristics or traffic service requirements that are incompatible with residential environments

The purpose of a mixed use (MU) combining district is to allow office, retanl, commercial, and residential uses to be combined in a single development

2 The proposed zoning should promote consistency, and orderly planning
The CS-MU-CO zoning district would be compatible and consistent with the surrounding uses because there is CS-CO zoning to the south and west of this site and adopted multifamily uses in the Northtown MUD to the east

3 The proposed zoning should allow for a reasonable use of the property
The CS-MU-CO zoning distnct would allow for a farr and reasonable use of the site The site exceeds the minimum size requirements for commercial development in the Gencral Commercial Services district

CS-CO zoning is appropnate for this location because of the commercial character of the area along Interstate Highway- 35 The property will take access to two major artenal roadways, the III-35 Northbound Service road and to Howard Lane

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Site Characteristics

The property in question is a large undeveloped tract of land that relatively flat and sparsely vegetated

## Impervious Cover

The maximum impervious cover allowed by the CS zoning district would be 95\% However, because the Watershed impervious cover is more restrictive than the zoning district's allowable impervious cover, the impervious cover on this site would be limited by the watershed ordinance Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits

| Development Classification | \% of Net Site Area | \% with Transfers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Family <br> (minimum lot size 5750 sq ft ) | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Other Single-Famıly or Duplex | $55 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Multfamıly | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Commercial | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

In the Water Quality Transition Zones, impervious cover is limited to $30 \%$
Note The most restractive impervious cover limit apphes.

## Environmental

The site is not located over the Edward's Aquifer Recharge Zone The site is in the Harrns Branch Creck Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code

According to flood plain maps, there is no flood plain in, or within close proximity of, the project location

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment

At this tıme, site-specific information is unavailable regarding existıng trees and other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sunkholes, and wetlands

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following water quality control requirements

Structural controls Sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention

At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any pre-existing approvals, which preempt current water quality or Code requirements

## Transportation

The trip generation under the requested zoning is estimated to be 58,078 trips per day, assuming that the site develops to the maximum intensity allowed under the zoning classification (without consideration of setbacks, environmental constraints, or other site characteristics)

A traffic impact analysis was waived for this case because the applicant agreed to limit the intensity and uses for this development If the zoning is granted, development should be limited through a conditional overlay to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day [LDC, 25-6-117]

Existmg Street Characteristics

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Iloward Lane | $140^{\prime}$ | Varies | Arterial |

There are existıng sidewalks along Howard Lane
Howard Lane is classified in the Bicycle Plan as a Prionty 1 bike route
Capital Metro bus service is not avatlable along Howard Lane

## Right of Way

The scope of this review is limited to the identification of needs for dedication and/or reservation of nght-of-way for funded Capital Improvement Program (C I P ) Roadway Construction Projects and Transportation Systems Management (T S M) Projects planned for implementation by the City of Austin No aspect of the proposed project is being considered or approved with this review other than the need for nght-of-way for City projects There are scparate nght-of-way dedication and reservation requirements enforced by other Departments and other jurisdictions to secure right-of-way for roadway improvements contanned in the Austın Mctropolitan Area Roadway Plan, roadway projects funded by County and State agencies, and for dedication in accordance with the functional classification of the roadway

We have reviewed the proposed subdivision, site plan, or zoning case and anticipate no additional requirement for night-of-way dedication or reservation for funded C I P or T S M projects at this location

## Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing the water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extension, system upgrades, utility relocation, and adjustments The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utulity The plan must be in accordance with the City design criteria The water and wastewaler utility construction must be inspected by the City The landowner must pay the associated and applicable City fees

## Stormwater Detention

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property Any increase in storm water runoff will be mitigated through on-site storm water detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program, if avalable

## Comnatibility Standards

The site is subject to compatibility standards Along the southwestem property line, the following standards apply

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed withn 50 feet of the property line
- No structurc in excess of three stones or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line
- A fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection
- Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted



## ORDINANCE NO.

## AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING INITLAL PERNANENT ZQNITG FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT IH-35 NORTH AND AEST HOWARD 1 gen AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP FROM INTERI RURAI RESIDENCH(HRR) DISTRICT TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL ${ }^{2}$ SERVICES-MXIED CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (CS-MU-CO) COMBININGDISTRICT. <br> BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL $\mathcal{F}$ FHHCOTY OF AUSTIN:




 Zoning Department, as follows:

 and bounds in Exhibit "A" incowfored idt this ordinance (the "Property"),
 of Austin, Travis county, Texaty "B".

PART 2. The Property


A site plansor building thennity for the Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the c6mpleted develowne in or uses of the Property, considered cumulatively with all existing orpreviously authorized development and uses, generate traffic that exceeds 2,000 trips per day.

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the general commercial services (CS) base districtand other applicable requirements of the City Code.
"
PART 3. This ordinance takes effect on
 , 2005. PASSED AND APPROVED , 2005

APPROVED: $\qquad$


David Allan Smith City Attorney Gity Clerk
31.178 ACRES

CAPITAL PARKS TRACT
RIVERSIDE RESOURCES INVESTMENTS, LTD.

FN. NO. 05-274 (CLW)
BPI JOB NO. 470-15.92
MAY 5, 2005

## DESCRIPTION

OF A 31.178 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE L.C. CUNNINGHAM SURVEY NO. 68, SITUATED IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, BEING THAT CERTAIN 31.172 ACRE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO CAPITAL PARKS LAND INVESTMENTS, INC. BY DEED OF RECORD IN VOLUME 9555, PAGE 325 OF THE REAL PROPERTY RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; SAID 31.178 ACRES BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron pipe found in the southerly line of that certain 29.714 acre tract of land conveyed to Capital Parks Land Investments, Inc. by deed of record in Volume 9511, Page 261 of said Real Property Records, being the northwesterly corner of that certain 139.803 acre tract of land conveyed to Beal Bank, S.S.B. by deed of record in Document No. 2003124090 of the Official Public Records of Travis County, Texas and the northeasterly corner of said 31.172 acre tract of land, for the northeasterly corner hereof;

THENCE, along the westerly line of said 139.803 acre tract, for the easterly line of said 31.172 acre tract, for the easterly line hereof, the,following six (6) courses and distances:

1) $S 27^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 517.71 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron pipe found, for an angle point hereof;
2) $S 27^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 339.23 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with cap set for an angle point;
3) $S 27^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 418.60 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with cap set for an angle point;
4) $S 27^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 428.97 feet to a $1 / 2$ Inch iron rod with cap set for an angle point;
5) $S 27^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} W$, a distance of 515.29 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for an angle point;
6) $527^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 292.24 feet to a $1 / 2$ anch iron rod with cap set at the northeasterly corner of Lot 5, Replat of Route Subdivision, a subdivision of record in Document No. 200000128 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, being the easternmost southeasterly corner of said 31.172 acre tract, for the easternmost southeasterly corner hereof;

THENCE, leaving the westerly line of said 139.803 acre tract, in part along the northerly and westerly lines of said Lot 5 and in part along the westerly line of Lot 4 of said Replat of Route Subdivısion, being a portion of the southerly line of sald 31.172 acre tract, for a portion of the southerly line hereof, the following two (2) courses and distances.

1) N6158.32"W, a distance of 415.92 feet to a $1 / 2$ iron rod with cap set at the northwesterly corner of sald Lot 5;
2) $S 27^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 630.27 feet to a concrete monument found, being the common westerly corner of said Lot 4 and Lot 3 of said Replat of Route Subdivision and the southeasterly corner of said 31.172 acre tract, for the southernmost southeasterly corner hereof;

THENCE, N70.39'32" W , along a portion of the northerly line of the Replat of the Veterans Field of Honor No. 2 First Amendment, a subdivision of record in Volume 91 , Page 77 of said Plat Records and along a portion of the northerly line of Field of Honor Veteran Garden Memorial Hill Cemetery Amended Section Two, a subdivision of record in Volume 81, Page 393 of said plat Records, being the most southerly line of said 31.172 acre tract, for the most southerly line hereof, a distance of 100.43 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with cap set at the southeasterly corner of that certain tract of land conveyed to Memorial Hill Mausoleum by deed of record in Volume 1116, Page 64 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, being the southwesterly corner of said 31.172 acre tract of land, for the southwesterly corner hereof;

THENCE, $N 27^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} E$, along the easterly line of said Memorial Hill Mausoleum tract and a portion of the easterly line of that certain tract of land conveyed to Memorial Hill Cemetery by deed of record in Volume 1116, Page 63 of said Deed Records, being a portion of the westerly line of said 31.172 acre tract of land, for a portion of the westerly line hereof, a distance of 413.99 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron pipe found at the northeasterly corner of said Memorial Hill Cemetery tract, being the southeasterly corner of that certain tract of land conveyed to Karl Wagner Jr., et. al. by deed of record in Volume 11549, Pages 275-284 of said Real Property Records, for an angle point hereof;

THENCE, N $27^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the easterly line of said Karl Wagner Jr., et. al. tract, being a portion of the westerly line of sald 31.172 acre tract, for a portion of the westerly line hereof, a distance of $2,739.95$ feet to a $1 / 2$ iron rod with cap set in the southerly line of said 29.714 acre tract of land, being the northeasterly corner of said Karl Wagner Jr., et. al. tract and the northwesterly corner of said 31.172 acre tract, for the northwesterly corner hereof,

THENCE, $562^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} E$, along a portion of the southerly line of said 29.714 acre tract, being the northerly line of said 31.172 acre tract, for the northerly line hereof, a distance of 513.40 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing an area of 31.178 acres ( $1,358,114 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of land, more or less, within these metes and bounds

BURY \& PARTNERS




[^0]:    AREA STUDY: N/A

