

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL:

Austin, Texas, October 30, 1930.

The Council was called to order at 8:00 P. M. by the Mayor in accordance with the advertised notice for a public hearing on zoning matters. Roll call showed the following members present: Mayor McFadden, Councilmen Mueller and Pannell, 3; absent, Councilmen Reed and Steck, 2.

The meeting was opened by the Mayor making a brief talk, reviewing the general purposes of the Zoning Ordinance and referring to the fact that the maps and proposed Zoning Ordinance had been presented by the Zoning Commission to the City Council and that special notice had been given of the hearing of any protestants. He then advised all parties present that protests and suggestions of any interested party would be heard.

Col. J. L. Peeler stated that he lived on West Twenty-Fourth Street west of Rio Grande Street, and that he was surrounded by residences, sorority and fraternity houses occupied by boys and girls, "God Bless Them", and that he was protesting the property classification of Twenty-Fourth Street from Guadalupe to San Gabriel Streets as Commercial "C" Use District. He reviewed the actual business operations on this street up to the present time. (Exhibit #1).

Dr. D. A. Lane stated that he thought that West Sixth Street from Blanco Street to West Lynn Street should be designated as business, or Commercial "C" property, and that he was spokesman for those particular residents who lived on West Sixth Street from Blanco Street to the east line of the Nalle property, the legal description being as follows: Original Lot 3, and east 7/8 of Lot 4, known as 4A of Outlot 3, Division "Z". A list of the interested neighbors of Dr. Lane was presented as follows: D.A. Lane and Mrs. D. A. Lane, J. R. Moore, R. H. Brown, Ed Harrell, Herbert F. Taylor, W. C. Schulle, and Mrs. Geo. Massey. Several of these people on this list were also present. (Exhibit #2)

Col. J. L. Peeler also spoke in relation to West Sixth Street and stated that he was familiar with the conditions existing in relation to the Nalle property and considered that the Nalle property should be classed as business property.

Mr. O. D. Parker was present and stated that he might send a written protest, but did not advise the Council as to its probable nature.

Mr. David B. Barrow requested that business, or Commercial "C" Use of property be specified instead of residence property for the southwest corner of Kinney Avenue and Barton Creek Road. Mr. Barrow presented his request to the Zoning Commission in writing after the commission completed its deliberations, and said letter accompanies this report. (Exhibit #3)

Mr. J. W. Saxon, who owns property on the north side of Twenty-sixth Street north of the north end of San Antonio Street, requested that his property be included as business, or Commercial "C" property, and presented a letter and map to the City Council, both of which accompany this report as an exhibit. (Exhibit #4)

Mr. T. H. Barrow, as representative for the University Baptist Church and for various residents of the neighborhood which is close to territory bounded on the south by West Twenty-first Street, on the north by West Twenty-second Street, on the east by Guadalupe Street, and on the west by San Antonio Street, read a communication to

the City Council in reference to special restrictions of business, and in reference to special traffic regulations. This letter was filed with the City Council. (Exhibit #5).

Miss Roberta Lavender of 2107 San Antonio Street spoke about the noise of the adjacent business houses and made a plea for a special high-class business classification. (Exhibit #5).

Dr. W. A. Harper, whose residence is now at the northeast corner of Twelfth and Guadalupe Streets, was present and protested against the classification of his property as Residential "B" and stated that he desired to have it classified as Commercial "C" Use District. He also stated that there were letters on file with the Zoning Commission. (Exhibit #6).

Mr. Thos. F. Taylor, who has property situated south of Fortieth Street, east of Guadalupe Street, north of Thirty-Ninth Street and west of the Baker School, protested against the classification of the east portion of his property as Residential "A" District. If the east portion of his property is not designated as Commercial "C" District, he hopes that it will at least be given a classification of Residential "B" District. He gave a list of various business establishments now on Fortieth Street. He also advised that he had a letter on file with the Zoning Commission. (Exhibit #7).

The following citizens who are interested in the classification of East First Street between East Avenue and the City Limits appeared and stated their interests as follows:

Mr. Oscar Kunz, who conducts a business establishment at the corner of First Street and East Avenue, stated that he thought that the entire street from East Avenue to the City Limits should be classed as Commercial "C" property and that he was particularly interested in the area between East Avenue and San Marcos Street. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. J. L. Albers, who conducts a grocery store at 2107 East First Street, stated that he would like to have East First Street from East Avenue to the City Limits classified as Commercial "C" property. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. W. R. Schultze stated that he would like to have the entire length of East First Street from Congress Avenue to the City Limits classified as Commercial "C" property. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. W. E. Riddle of 2504 East First Street stated that he wanted East Avenue to the City Limits classified as Commercial "C" property. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. W. O. Blundell, who conducts business at the corner of First and Comal Streets, stated that he wanted East Avenue from First Street to the City Limits classified as Commercial "C" property. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. A. F. Jones, who conducts a meat market at 1705 East First Street, stated that he wanted East Avenue from First Street to the City Limits classified as Commercial "C" property. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. Walter Bonugli, who owns a residence at 1205 East First Street, stated that he would like to have the property from East Avenue to the City Limits designated as Commercial "C" property. (Exhibit #8).

Mr. Ed St. John, representative of the Duplex Advertising Company and the Flury Advertising Company, asked various questions concerning the direction of signs in areas designated as Commercial "C" property, commonly known as "Community Centers". This protest was not very clear, but he stated that he would present the protest in writing to the City Council.

The protest from Mr. W. H. Richardson had been filed with the City Manager in reference to the zoning of said street west of Guadalupe Street. (Exhibit #9).

Mr. E. M. Bowles, who has the tract of land on the east side of Congress Avenue a short distance north of Live Oak Street, stated that he wanted all of his tract of land known as business instead of only a portion of same. Mr. Bowles presented a map which shows the location of his property. (Exhibit #10).

Mayor P. W. McFadden then asked if there were any other protestants present, or if there were any other matters on file for consideration in relation to zoning, and there being none, he declared the meeting adjourned subject to call.

Approved: P. W. McFadden
M a y o r .