Item 71 - Celeste Moyers

Panhandlers should <u>not</u> have the right to harass citizens or visitors. They should <u>not</u> have the right to loiter along sidewalks or in store fronts, creating unsafe conditions for themselves and others.

Such behaviors merit a public safety issue and <u>must be dealt with immediately</u>. There are <u>multiple</u> welfare resources, <u>wrongfully</u> funded by <u>working</u> taxpayers, which are <u>already available to the poor and homeless</u>. <u>More handouts</u> do nothing to <u>truly</u> help those who say they are in need. These individuals must earn an <u>honest wage</u> versus continuing to harass, panhandle, and deplete welfare program funds that <u>should not</u> exist in the first place.

If they can harass, they <u>certainly</u> have the ability to <u>constructively</u> use their energy to get a job

and a place of their own to live.

Their chosen behavior should not allow them special exclusivity to harm others and be a public nuisance.

By failure to vote to prohibit panhandling and loitering, this city council encourages continuation of this behavior.

Panhandling and loitering signal a greater problem which this city council has the opportunity to begin to resolve. As expressed by Malcom Gladwell, author of The Tipping Point, while referring to the Broken Windows theory of criminologists James Q. Wilson and George Kelling, he says:

"In a city, <u>relatively minor</u> problems like graffiti, public disorder, and aggressive panhandling . . . are <u>all</u> the equivalent of broken windows, invitations to more serious crimes: Muggers and robbers, whether <u>opportunistic or professional</u>, believe they reduce their chances of being <u>caught</u> or even <u>identified</u> if they operate on streets where potential victims are <u>already intimidated</u> by prevailing conditions. If the <u>neighborhood</u> cannot keep a bothersome panhandler from annoying <u>passerby</u>, (the thief may reason), it is <u>even less likely</u> to call the police to identify a potential mugger or to interfere if the <u>mugging</u> actually takes place."

This city council is at the tipping point of a decision to either vote for the prohibition of panhandling and begin working towards a safer Austin community or to ignore problems such as panhandling and open the Austin community to a quickly deteriorating city.

Whereas this single ordinance will not resolve <u>all</u> problems associated with the downtown area or the city as a whole, this is a <u>positive</u> step in the <u>right</u> direction, resulting in a <u>safer</u> environment for <u>all</u> Austin citizens.