## Zoning Ordinance Approval CITY OF AUSTIN RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 48
AGENDA DATE: Thu 01/12/2006
PAGE: 1 of 1

SUBJECT: C14-05-0108-5717 Balcones Drive - Approve second/third readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austın City Code rezoning property locally known as 5717 Balcones Drive (Shoal Creek Watershed) from famıly residence (SF-3) district zoning to community commercialconditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district zoning with conditions First reading approved on December 15, 2005 Vote 7-0 Applicant SWD Partners, Ltd (Mark Banta) Agent Armbrust \& Brown, L.L P (Rıchard T Suttle, Jr) City Staff Jorge Rousselin, 974-2975 Note A valıd petition has been filed in opposition to this rezoning request

## REQUESTING Neıghborhood Planning DEPARTMENT: and Zoming

## DIRECTOR'S

AUTHORIZATION: Greg Guernsey

## SECOND/THIRD READING SUMMARY SIIEET

## ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-05-0108 - 5717 Balcones Drive

## REQUEST:

C14-05-0108-5717 Balcones Drive. Approve second/third readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austın City Code, zoning the property locally known as 5717 BaIcones Drive (Shoal Creek Watershed) from famıly residence (SF-3) district zoning to community commercial-conditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district zoning with conditions Zoning and Platting Commission Recommendation To grant community commercial (GR-CO) combining district zonıng First readıng approved on December 15, 2005 Vote 7-0 Applicant SWD Partners, Ltd (Mark Banta) Agent Armbrust \& Brown, L L P (Richard T. Suttle, Jr) A valid petition has been filed for this case City Staff. Jorge E Rousschin, 974-2975

PROPERTY OWNER: • SWD Partners, Ltd (Mark Banta)
AGENT: Armbrust \& Brown, L L P (Richard T. Suttle, Jr)
ISSUIES:
Subject to a restrictive covenant to address accessory retail uses and a reduced vehicle trip limitation:

ZAP recommendation of GR-CO including limitations and limiting accessory retail to no more than 2500 square feet through a restrictive covenant and a vehicle trip limit of 1250 vehıcles per day (7-0)

DATE OF FIRST READING/VOTE: December 15, 2005 (7-0)
CITY COUNCIL DATE: January 12, 2006

## CITY COUNCIL ACRION:

December 15, 2005
Approved I ${ }^{\text {st }}$ reading with the following conditions
ZAP recommendation of GR-CO including limitations and limiting accessory retanl to no more than 2500 square feet through a restrictive covenant and a vehicle trip limit of 1250 vehicles per day (7-0)

ASSIGNED STAFF: Jorge E Rousselin
PIIONE: 974-2975
E-MAIL: jorge rousselin@ci austn tx us

## ZONING REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-05-0108
Z.A.P. DATE: September 6, 2005

September 20, 2005
October 4, 2005
November 1, 2005
ADDRESS: 5717 Balcones Drive
OWNER: SWD Partners, LTD
(Mark Banta)
AGENT: Armburst \& Brown, L L P. (Richard T. Suttle, Jr)

REZONING FROM: SF-3 (Family residence district)
TO: GR (Community commercial) AREA: 1833 Acres ( 79,84548 square fect)

## SUMMARY CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

December 15, 2005
Approved $1^{\text {st }}$ reading with the following conditions
ZAP recommendation of GR-CO including limitations and limiting accessory retall to no more than 2500 square feet through a restrictive covenant and a vehicle trip limit of 1250 vehicles per day. (7-0)

## SUMMARY ZAP RECOMMENDATION:

November 1, 2005.
APPROVED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR GR-CO DISTRICT ZONING WITH THE CONDITIONS OF

- ONLY TWO ALLOWED GR USES (MEDICAL OFFICE, EXCEEDING 5,000 SQUARE FEET \& HOSPITAL LIMITED),
- THE REMAINING USES WOULD BE LR DISTRICT ZONING, EXCEPT THOSE that have been precluded by stafr, with addition that SERVICE STATION IS ALSO A PROHIBITED USE,
- 2000 VEHICLE TRIP LIMIT PER DAY;
- MAXIMUM OF 30-FEET HEIGHT LIMIT,
- LIMITED IMPERVIOUS COVER TO NO MORE TILAN 70\%,
- FAROF 29 TO 1
$\left[\mathrm{K} \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{J} \mathrm{M} 2^{\mathrm{ND}}\right]$ (7-2) J P, C H - NAY
The following uses shall be prohibited
- Parking facility (prohibiting "parkıng structures" only),
- Commercial off-street parkng,
- Commumications services.
- Funcral services,
- Hotel-motel,
- Indoor entertainment,
- Indoor sports and recreation;
- Off-site accessory parkıng,
- Outdoor entertanment,
- Pawn shop services,
- Research assembly services,
- Research services;
- Rescarch testing scrvices,
- Research warchousing services;
- Hospital scrvices (gencral),
- Residential treatment;
- Bed and breakfast (Group 1 \& 2),
- Exterminating services;
- Automotive rentals,
- Automotive repar services
- Automotive sales
- Automotive washing (of any type),
- Congregate living,
- Busıness or trade school
- Business support services
- General retall sales (general)
- Hotel-motel
- Outdoor sports and recreation
- Personal improvement scrvices
- Scrvice station
- Theater
- Group home (Class II)
- Hospital services (general)
- Residential treatment


## SUMMIARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends community commercial - conditional overlay district (GR-CO) combinıng district zoning The conditional overlay shall prohibit the following uses

- Parking facility (prohibitıng
"parking structures" only),
- Commercial off-street parking;
- Communications services,
- Funeral services,
- Hotel-motel,
- Indoor entertaunment,
- Indoor sports and recreation,
- Off-site accessory parking,
- Outdoor entertanment,
- Pawn shop services,
- Research assembly services,
- Research services,
- Research testing services,
- Rescarch warehousing services,
- Hospital scrvices (general),
- Residential treatment,
- Bed and breakfast (Group $1 \& 2$ ),
- Exterminating services,
- Automotive rentals,
- Automotive washing (of any type),
- Congregate living,

Furthermore, the recommended conditional overlay shall restrict structures to a maximum height not excecding 30 feet with a maximum impervious cover of $70 \%$ and floor area ratio (FAR) of 29 to 1 A maximum vehicle trip generation of 2,000 per day is also recommended

## ISSUES:

As part of the discussion at the October 4, 2005 ZAP meetıng, the Commission inquired about the possibility of GO zoning for the site While GO zoning allows medical offices in excess of 5,000 square feet, the Staff fecls that there are uses under GO that are recommended uses could also be prohibited under GO zoning

- Communications scrvices,
- Off-site accessory parking,
- Hospital scrvices (general),
- Residential treatment,
- Bed and breakfast (Group 1 \& 2), and
- Congregate living


## DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The subject rezoning area is a 183 acre site fronting Balconcs Drive and Mo-Pac Expressway zoned SF-3. The applicant proposes to rezone the property to community commercial (GR) distnct to allow for a medical office to include retall sales. in an 18,000 square foot existing building Retail sales are estimated at $12 \%$ of the building area Staff recommends community commercial - conditional overlay distnct (GR-CO) combining district zoning including the above prohibited uses, impervious cover, height restrictions, and FAR ratio based on the following considerations*

1 ) The proposed use is compatible with the existing surrounding office uses along the east and west side of Balcones Drive;
2) Access will be taken to one collector roadway on Balcones Drive,

3 ) Limitation of potential adverse land uses that may have a detrimental effect on the character of the neighborhood is recommended,
4 ) Limitation of height, impervious cover and FAR is recommended to maintain consistent compatible development, and
5 ) Maxımum vehicle trip generation of 2,000 vehicles per day is recommended

## EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING | LAND USES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | SF-3 | Texas Cosmctology Licensing Commission |
| North | GR-CO | McDonald's Restaurant |
| South | LR-CO /LR | Gas Station / Convenience Store |
| East | N/A | Mo-Pac Expressway |
| West | LO | Offices |

AREA STUDY: N/A

WATERSHED: Shoal Creek
CAPITOI, VIEW CORRIDOR: N/A HII,I. COUNIRY ROADWAY: N/A

## NEIGHBORIIOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

53--Northwest Austin Civic Association
511--Austın Neıghborhoods Council
742--Austın Independent School District

## SCHOOLS:

Austın Indcpendent School District

- Hıghland Park Elementary School
- Lamar Middle School
- McCallum High School


## RELATED CASES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-91-0075 | SF-3 to LR | 10/08/91• PC Approved Staff recommendation of LR subject to conditions <br> Conditions. Existing driveway onto Balcones Drue be closed and located to new ste where rezoning is occurting | 11/07/91: Approved LR-CO. <br> CO One driveway approach shall be permitted from the property onto Balcones Drive sufficient to provide vehicular access from the property to Balcones Drive. The driveway approach shall conform with all applicable provisions of the Land Development Code and Transportation Criteria Manual relating to driveway approaches |
| C14-89-0065 | LO to GR | 12/19/89 PC Approved GR-CO Subject to agreement between applicant \& Northwest Austin Civic Association indicating that applicant will pay notification fees for amendments to the TIA (7-0-1) | 01/17/91 (5-0) Approved GR-CO subject to conditions Prohibited uses <br> - Parking facility (prohibiting "parkıng structures" only), <br> - Commercial off-street parking, <br> - Communication services (prohibiting "broadcasting stations" only), <br> - Funeral services, hotel-motel (not including suite hotels), <br> - Indoor entertainment, Indoor sports and recreation, <br> - Off-site accessory parking, <br> - Outdoor entertainment, <br> - Pawn shop scrvices, <br> - Research assembly services, <br> - Research services, <br> - Rescarch testing services, <br> - Rescarch warehousing services, <br> - Hospital services (gencral), <br> - Residential treatment, <br> - Bed and breakfast, <br> - Exterminating services, <br> - Automotive rentals, <br> - Automotive washing (of any kind), <br> - Congregate living, and <br> - Restaurant (limited) |


|  |  | Heıght limitation of 2 stories with a <br> maxımum heıght of 30 feet <br> No reflective material on the structure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FAR lımited to 29 and maxımum |  |  |
| impervious cover at $70 \%$. |  |  |

## CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-04-0089 | GR-CO to CS-CO | 07/20/04 ZAP Approved staff recommendation of CS-CO. $(8-0)$ | 08/26/04 Approved CS-CO on all 3 readıngs (7-0) |
| C14-04-0065 | GO-CO to GO-CO | 06/01/04 ZAP Approved staff recommendation of (GR-CO) (8-0) <br> Conditional Overlay <br> - Maxımum height of 60 feet, <br> - Maximum impervious cover of $80 \%$, <br> - Maxımum FAR of 05 to 10 , <br> - 2,000 trip limitation, <br> Prohibited uses. <br> - Administrative and business offices, <br> - Art and craft studio (limited), <br> - Business or trade school, <br> - Communication services, <br> - Medical offıces (exceeding 5,000 sq ft gross floor area), <br> - Local utility services, <br> - Personal services, <br> - Software development, <br> - Safety scrvices, <br> - Communication service facilities, <br> - Convalcscent scrvices, <br> - Cultural services. | 07/29/04 Approved recommendation of (GR-CO) (7- <br> $0)$ <br> Conditional Overlay <br> - Maxımum height of 60 feet; <br> - Maximum impervious cover of $80 \%$, <br> - Maxımum FAR of 05 to 10 , <br> - 2,000 trip limitation, <br> Prohibited uses <br> - Administrative and business offices, <br> - Art and craft studio (limited), <br> - Business or trade school, <br> - Communication services, <br> - Medical offices (cxceeding $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ gross floor area), <br> - Local utility services, <br> - Personal services. <br> - Softwarc development, <br> - Safety scrvices, <br> - Communication service facilities, <br> - Convalcscent scrvices, <br> - Cultural services, <br> - Hospital services (limited), <br> - Medical offices (not |


|  |  | - Hospital services (limited), <br> - Medical offices (not exceeding $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ gross floor area), <br> - Private secondary educational facilities, <br> - Professional office; and <br> - College and university facilities | exceeding $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$. gross floor area); <br> - Private secondary educational facilities; <br> - Professional office; and <br> - College and university facilittes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-95-0140 | SF-2 to GO-CO | 02/06/96 ZAP Approved staff recommendation of (GO-CO) (7-1) <br> Conditional Overlay- <br> - Maximum height of 46 feet, <br> - Maximum impervious cover of $80 \%$, <br> - Maximum FAR of 025 to 10 , <br> - 2,000 trip limitation, <br> Prohibited uses <br> - Administrative and business offices, <br> - Art and craft studio (limited), <br> - Business or trade school, <br> - Communication services, <br> - Medical offices (excecding $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ gross floor area), <br> - Local utılity scrvices, <br> - Personal services, <br> - Software development, <br> - Safety services, <br> - Communication service facılities; <br> - Convalescent services, <br> - Cultural services; <br> - Hospital services (limited), <br> - Medical offices (not exceeding 5,000 sq ft. gross floor area). | 03/07/96 Approved recommendation of (GO-CO) (7-0) <br> Conditional Overlay <br> - Maximum height of 46 feet, <br> - Maximum impervious cover of $80 \%$, <br> - Maximum FAR of 025 to 10 , <br> - 2,000 trip limitation, <br> Prohibited uses. <br> - Administrative and business offices, <br> - Art and craft sludio (limıted), <br> - Business or trade school, <br> - Communication services, <br> - Medical offices (exceeding $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ gross floor area), <br> - Local utility services, <br> - Personal scrvices, <br> - Software devclopment, <br> - Safcty services, <br> - Communication service facilities, <br> - Convalescent services, <br> - Cultural services, <br> - Hospital services (hmited), <br> - Medical offices (not excceding $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ gross floor area), <br> - Private sccondary educational facilitics; <br> - Professional office: and |


|  |  | - Private secondary educational facılities. <br> - Professional office; and <br> - College and university facilitics. | - College and university facilites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-96-0145 | LR to GR-CO | 02/04/97 PC Approved Staff recommendation of GR-CO (5-0) <br> Prohibited uses ${ }^{-}$ <br> - Automotive sales, <br> - Automotive reparr services; and <br> - Automotive rentals | 03/06/97 Approved recommendation of GR-CO (7- <br> 0 ) <br> Prohibited uses <br> - Automotıve sales; <br> - Automotive reparr services, and <br> - Automotive rentals |

## ABUTTING STREETS:

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification | Sidewalks | Bus <br> Route | Bike <br> Route |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MoPac SB <br> Frontage Road | $350^{\prime}$ | Vanes | Arterıal | No | Route <br> $\# 71$ | No |
| Balcones Drıve | $84^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | Collector | No | Route <br> $\# 19$ | No |

## LIST OF ATTACHEMENTS:

Attachment A Existing restrictive covenant for property to the north
Attachment B Ordinance No 910117-A establishing GR-CO zoning to the north
CITY COUNCIL. DATE December 1, 2005, January 12, 2006

## ACTION:

December 15, 2005
Approved $1^{\text {st }}$ reading with the following conditions
ZAP recommendation of GR-CO including limitations and limiting accessory retall to no more than 2500 square fect through a restrictive covenant and a vehicle trip limit of 1250 vehicles per day (7-0)
ORDINANCE READINGS:
$1^{5 t}$
$2^{\text {nd }}$
$3^{\text {rd }}$

## ORDINANCE NUMIBER:

CASE MANAGER: Jorge E Rousselın, NPZD
PHONE: 974-2975

E-MAIL: jorge rousselin@cl austin tx us


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends community commercial - conditional overlay district (GR-CO) combining district zoning The recommended conditional overlay shall prohibit the following uses

- Parkıng facility (prohıbitıng "parking structures" only),
- Commercial off-street parking;
- Communication services;
- Funeral services,
- Hotel-motel,
- Indoor entertainment,
- Indoor sports and recreation;
- Off-site accessory parking,
- Outdoor entertainment,
- Pawn shop services,
- Research assembly services,
- Research services,
- Research testing services,
- Research warehousing services,
- Hospital scrvices (gencral),
- Residentral treatment,
- Bcd and breakfast (Group 1 \& 2),
- Exterminating services,
- Automotive rentals,
- Automotive washing (of any type), and
- Congregatc living,

Furthermore, the recommended conditional overlay shall restrict structures to a maximum height not excecding 30 feet with a maximum impervious cover of $70 \%$ and floor area ratio (FAR) of 29 to 1 . A maximum vehicle trip generation of 2,000 per day is also recommended

## BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

Community commercial (GR) district is the designation for an office or other commercial use that serves netghborhood and community needs and that generally is accessible from major traffic ways

The proposed rezoning meets the purpose statement set forth in the Land Development Code The subject property is the current location of the Texas Cosmetology Licensing Commission and is next to commercial and across from office with GR and LO uses

## 2. The proposed zoning should promote consistency, and orderly planning.

The proposed change and recommended conditional overlay is compatible with the surrounding area Furthermore,

1 ) The proposed use is compatible with the existing surrounding office uses along the east and west side of Balcones Drive,
2 ) Access will be taken to one collector roadway on Balcones Drive;
3 ) Limitation of potential adverse land uses that may have a dctrimental effect on the character of the neighborhood is recommended,
4 ) Limitation of heıght, impervious cover and FAR is recommended to mantain consistent compatible development; and
5 ) Maxımum vehicle trip generation of 2,000 vehicles per day is recommended

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Site Characteristics

The subject rezoning area is an existing 18,000 square fect office building housing the Texas Cosmetology Licensing Commission The property has been acquired by SWD Partners, LTD with the intention of placing a medical office building at the site Access is via Balcones Avenue

## Impervious Cover

No changes to the allocated impervious cover are contemplated with the rezoning application

## Transportation

1 No additional nght-of-way is needed at this time
2 The trip generation under the requested zoning is estimated to be 6,207 trips per day, assuming that the site develops to the maximum intensity allowed under the zoning classification (without consideration of setbacks, environmental constraints, or other site characteristics) The proposed usc of $18,000 \mathrm{~s} f$ medical office would generate approximately 521 vehicle trips per day

3 A traffic impact analysts was waived for this case because the applicant agreed to limit the intensity and uses for this development If the zoning is granted, development should be limited through a conditional overlay to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day [LDC, 25-6-117]

## Environmental

1. The site is located over the North Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone The site is located in the Shoal Creck Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified
as an Urban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code It is in the Desired Development Zone
2. Impervious cover is not limited in this watershed class, therefore the zoning district impervious cover limits will apply

3 This site is required to provide on-site structural water quality controls (or payment in heu of) for all development and/or redevelopment when $5,000 \mathrm{sf}$ cumulative is exceeded, and detention for the two-year storm At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any pre-existing approvals which would preempt current water quality or Code requirements

4 According to flood plain maps, there is no flood plain within the project area
5 Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevclopment

## Water and Wastewater

WW 1 The landowner intends to scrve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities If water or wastewater utility improvements, or offsite main extension, or system upgrades, or utditty relocation, or utility adjustment are required, the landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing Also, the water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility The plan must be in accordance with the City design criteria The utility construction must be inspected by the City The landowner must pay the associate d City fees

## Site Plan and Compatibulity Standards

## SP 1

This tract is alrcady developed A change of use within the existing structure would not trigger compatibility development regulations However, any new construction on this site would be subject to compatibility development regulations due to the existing SF-2 zoned property to the east, SF-3 to the west and would be subject to the following requirements

- Along the east and west property line, the following standards apply
- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stones or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 fect of the property linc.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 fect of the property linc
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line
- In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from vicws of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection
- Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submited

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION, as Receiver for Bright Banc Savings, and not in its corporate capacity

10:19 All 6943

CONSIDERATION: Ten and No/100 Dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration paid by the City of Austin to the Owner, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged.

PROPERTY.
Lot A, Balcones-Northland Addition, a subdivision in the Cuty of Austin, Travis County, Texas according to the map or plat of record in Book 85, Page 123A, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas.

WHEREAS, the Owner of the Property and the City of Austin have agreed that the Property should be impressed with certain covenants and restrictions as condtions of zoning for the Property,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is declared that the Owner of the Property, for the consideration, shall hold, sell and convey the Property, subject to the following covenants and restrictions impressed upon the Property by this restnctive covenant These covenants and restrictions shall run with the land, and shall be binding on the Owner of the Property, its heirs, successors, and assigns.

1. Owner shall construct and thereafter maintain screening sufficient to conceal garbage dumpsters located on the Property from view of a person standing outside the Property.

2 The following types of signs shall be prohibited on the Property- (i) neon (or flashing) signs, and (iu) bulding floodlighting signs. A sign installation permit application for which construction of a sign along Balcones Drive is sought shall comply with all the ordinances and regulations applicable to signs on the date the apphcation for a sign anstallation permut is filed

3 Prior to release of a site plan for the Property or any portion of the Property, the Owner must receive final approval from State Department of Highways and Public Transportation of the construction plans for the proposed roadway connectung Balcones Drive with the southbound exit ramp of Loop 1 north of R M 2222. If the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation does not approve the construction plans for the proposed roadway, then the Owner agrees to the City of Austin rezonung the Property to "LO" Limuted Office district

4 Prior to release of a site plan for the Property or any portion of the Property, the Owner shall dedicate all right-of-way required for, and pay for all expenses associated with the design and construction of, the proposed roadway.

5 The notice owner of any property located within 300 feet of the subject Property shall be notified by mail if one of the following occurs:
(1) an applucation for site plan approval for the Property or any portion of the Propenty is filed by the Owner;
(ii) an application for modification, amendment or termination to this restractive covenant is filed by the Owner,
the Director of the Department of Planning and Development determines that a Traffic Impact Analysis update study is necessary for the subject Property.

- All notification expenses required pursuant by this paragraph \# 7 shall be paid for by the Owner.

6. If any person or entity shall violate or attempt to violate this agreement and covenant, it shall be lawful for the City of Austin to prosecute proceedings at law or in equity against such person or entity violating or attempting to violate such agreement or covenant, to prevent the person or entity from such actions, and to collect damages for such actions.
7. If any part of this agreement or covenant is declared invalid, by judgment or court order, the same shall in no way affect any of the other provisions of this agreement, and such remaining portion of this agreement shall remain in full effect.
8. If at any time the City of Austin fails to enforce this agreement, whether or not any violations of it are known, such failure shall not constitute a waiver or estoppel of the right to enforce it.
9. This agreement may be modified, amended, or terminated only by joint action of both (a) a majority of the members of the City Council of the City of Austin, and (b) by the owner(s) of the Property at the time of such modification, amendment or termination

All citanons to the Austin City Code shall refer to the Austin City Code of 1981, as amended from time to time, unless otherwise specified. When the context requires, singular nouns and pronouns include the plural.

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION, as Receiver for
Bright Banc Savngs, and not in its corporate capacity


Victor'T. Arocha, Financial Institution Specialist for Resolution Trust Corporation, acting in his capacity as Attorney-in-Fact

## THE STATE OF TEXAS

§

## COUNTY OF TRAVIS

Date $\qquad$ .199\$






#### Abstract
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## FILED

## 1591 FEB－7 知 10 12

DANA DE BEAUVOIR COUNTY CLERK
TRAVIS COUNTY．TEXAS

## RECORDERS MEMORANDUM

 At the time of recordation this Instrument was found to be inadequate for the best photographic reproduction，because of illeglility，carbon or photo copy，discolored paper，etc．All blackouts， additions and changes were present at the time the instrument was filed and recorded

FEB 7 1991


Firertisbumer
COUNTYCLERK TRAVIS COUNT，TEXAS

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 13-2 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1981 AS FOLLOWS: LOT A, BALCONESNORTHLAND ADDITION, FROM "LO" LIMITED OFFICE DISTRICT TO "GR-CO" COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY COMBINING DISTRICT, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 5736-5908 NORTH MOPAC EXPRESSWAY, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WAIVING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECIIVE DATE.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CTIY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. Chapter 13-2 of the Austin City Code of 1981 is amended to change the base zoning district from "LO" Limited Office district to "GR-CO" Community Commercial district-Conditional Overlay combining district on the property described in File C14-89-0065, as follows:

Lot A, Balcones-Northland Addition, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas according to the map or plat of record in Book 85, Page 123A, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas,
locally known as 5736-5908 North MoPac Expressway, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas.
PART 2. The property within the boundaries of the Conditional Overlay combining distract established by this ordinance is subject to the following restrictions:

1. The following uses of the Property shall be prohibited:
(a) Parking facility [prohibiting "Parking structures" only]
(b) Commercial off-street parking,
(c) Communication services [prohibiting "Broadcasting stations" only]
(d) Funeral services,
(e) Hotel-motel [not including suite hotels],
(f) Indoor entertainment,
(g) Indoor sports and recreation,
(h) Off-site accessory parking,
(i) Outdoor entertainment,
(j) Pawn shop services,
(k) Research assembly services,
(l) Research services,
(m) Research testing services,
(n) Research warehousing services,
(o) Hospital services (general),
(p) Residential treatment,
(q) Lodginghouse residential,
(r) Exterminating services,
(s) Automotive rentals,
(t) Automotive washing (automotive or mechanical)
(u) Automotive washing (self service)
(v) Congregate living [prohibiting "Emergency shelters" only], and,
(w) Restaurant (drive-in, fast food) [limited to one].
2. Structures of any kind constructed on the Property shall be restricted to maximum of two stories not to exceed a total of 30 feet in height.
3. Development of the Property shall be restricted to a maximum (i) impervious coverage of $70 \%$, and, (ii) floor to area satio of 29 to 1.

Except as specifically restricted pursuant to this ordinance, the property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the "GR" Community Commercial base district and other applicable requirements of the Land Development Code.

PART 3. It is ordered that the Zoning Map established by Sec. 13-2-22 of the Austin City Code of 1981 and made a part thereof shall be changed to record the amendment enacted by this ordinance.

PART 4. The requirement imposed by Section 2-2-3 of the Austin City Code of 1981 that this ordinance be read on three separate days shall be waived by the affirmative vote of five members of the City Council to pass this ordinance through more than one reading on a single vote.

PART 5. This ordinance shall be effective ten days after the date of its final passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED:



NORTHWEST
CIVIC
ASSOCIATION
P. O. BOX 26654 • AUSTIN. TEXAS 78755


Zoning and Platting Commission,
Re 5717 Balcones Drve from SF-3/GR-CO to GR - C14050108
We request a postponement of this case for one month We had requested Information about this case from the agent and had not recsived it by the time we recelved the City notice regarding the hearing Wo were surprised to recelve the notice since we hed yet to hear back from the agent with answers to our questions We are stlll due edditional Information about this case from the agent. Given this communlcation lapse, we have not had time evaluate the options and need addilional time to come to a consensus

Thank you for your attention to thls matter 1 may be reached at 7289045.
Regards,
BIII Eradley


North West Austin Civic Association (NWACA)
ce Richard Sutte


Dear Mr Rousselin,
Re 5717 Balcones Drive from SF-3/GR-CO to GR
In talking to both the applicant and the Case Manager, the North West Austin Civic Association feels the requested zoning of GR is more intense a use than needed to accomplish the petitioner's goal to have medical offices and sell eye glasses to their patients We believe LO zoning will meet both the neighborhood and applicant's needs

Currently, the site Is zoned SF-3/GR-CO While a small portion of the site is zoned GR-CO, the overlay required the property be developed with LR uses and LO site development restrictions (2 story height and 70\% impervious cover) This zoning was carefully negotiated and agreed to by the Civic Association as well as Allandale Neighborhood Association The Association agreed to the GR to allow construction of ONE fast food restaurant in exchange for a bypass from Balcones Drive to reduce the amount of traffic onto Balcones Drive

The Cosmetology Building is not your typical office use The building houses employees as well as conducts tests throughout the day Based on figures of dally users provided by Dena Lang, of the Texas Cosmetology Commission, trips can be estimated at approximately 280 trips per day Even if the trips are doubled, for arguments sake, it is still less than the trips proposed by the new use at this congested intersection

To compound the problem, traffic will be adversely affected by even more cars resulting from two brand new developments a block and two blocks north on Balcones that will be fully developed in approximately 6 months This development is comprised of $60,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ of medical office and $68,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ of multı-story mini storage that must all enter and exit along Balcones drive In addition to these developments in progress, property within 200 feet of the subject tract and on Balcones is being considered for rezoning to allow a national bank and 2 lane drive thru to be built

While we supported the rezoning of the medical office currently being built, we publicly expressed a concern that our actions not be construed as supporting a precedent for further up-zoning along Balcones Drive and we are dismayed that it may have done so Based on information with this case, including the applicant's representative, we are puzzled as to why GR is necessary given the proposed use

We urge you to recommend a change in zoning to LO* If this cannot be accommodated, we ask at the very least that the allowance of one Restaurant (drive-in, fast food) be prohibited in the conditional overlay

Thank you for your time in this matter
Regards, Bill Bradley

## RECEIVED

SEP 202005
Nelghborhood Planning 8
8 Zoning

## P. 0 Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767
Re• Zoning case C14-05-0108, 5717 Balcones Drive
Dear Chairperson Baker and Members of the Zoning and Platting
I live within 300 feet of 5717 Balcones Drive, the site of proposed zoning change C14-05-0108 and am writing to oppose the proposed zoning change to GR.

The current zoning is SF-3 on nearly all of the site with a thin strip of GR-CO at the north end The current use is a 2 -story state cosmetology office building that meets both the use and site development requirements for LO zoning The current structure is 28 feet tall, the building coverage is about 16 percent, and the total impervious cover is about 54 percent according to Lynn Ann Carley, Ambrust \& Brown ${ }^{1}$ The proposed use of the site is medical and administrative offices for a group of ophthalmologists, with related salc of eyeglasses, contact lenses, etc ${ }^{2}$ This use is compatible with LO zoning, and according to Ms Carley, the applicant does not plan to change the building height, building coverage, or impervious cover beyond what would be allowed under LO zoning According to Ms Carley, the applicant intends to use about 50 percent of the building for administrative offices, 48 percent for medical offices, and 2 percent for selling eyeglasses, contact lenses, etc , which also is consistent with LO zoning ${ }^{3}$ The proposed use of the bunlding for ophthalmology medical and administrative offices, with accessory sales of eyeglasses, contacts, etc also is consistent with the LO uses and zoning on the west side of Balcones between 2222 and Allen Park

In a meetıng with representatives of the North West Austın Civic Association (NWACA) on July 21, 2005 and in her follow-up e-mail of August 8,2005 , Ms Carley was under the mistaken impression that half of the site was already zoned for GR-CO The notice that had been sent by the city to property owners within 300 feet also erroneously showed that about half the site was GR-CO Therefore, it is very possible that the city staff also thought half of the site was zoned GR-CO, which may have influenced their decision to recommend GR-CO zoning on the entire site If the staff had had known that the actual GR-CO portion of the site was a very thin strip at the north end, it is possible they would have recommended LO zoning because the existing use currently meets LO requirements, the proposed use is consistent with LO, and LO zoning and use would be compatible with the office zoning and use on the west stde of Balcones between 2222 and Allen Park

The thin strip of GR-CO on the site is a remnant from the adjacent shopping center site to the north As shown in the restrictive covenant that applies to the GR-CO portion of the site, the zoning change in 1991 from LO to GR-CO for the shopping center was contingent upon state approval of a roadway to divert traffic from Balcones

[^0]```
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```

to the MoPac exit ramp The restrictive covenant states that if the roadway could not be built, the GR-CO zoning would revert back to LO Since 1991, the traffic has grown exponentially on Balcones, 2222, MoPac and the 2222 exit ramp There is no access from the state cosmetology site (including the GR-CO remnant) onto the MoPac exit ramp, and even if there were an access, it would be onto a busy right turn-only lane that is close to 2222. The most intense use that was allowed in the GR-CO shopping center site was a fast food restaurant, but because of traffic impacts, the number of fast food restaurants was limited to one A MacDonald's that generates a significant amount of traffic was built between Balcones and the exit ramp with access to both By extending the GR-CO to the entire state office site, the city would be allowing another fast food restaurant on Balcones and other intense uses now allowed in GR and not excluded in the CO The traffic at the intersections of Balcones and 2222 and MoPac and 2222 is of tremendous concern both to residents of Highland Hills and to the many thousands of Austenites who travel 2222 every day

In conclusion, the current zoning on this site is SF-3 with a very thin strip of GR-CO at the north end The current use of the cosmetology site is consistent with LO zoning, the proposed use by this applicant also is consistent with LO zoning, and LO zoning would be compatible with the office zoning and uses on the west side of Balcones from 2222 to Allen Park I urge you to vote against the proposed zoning change to GR even with the conditional overlay because LO is the appropriate zoning for this site.

Thank you very much for your consideration of my comments and concerns
Sincerely,

## Plunkiswarm

Phyllis Warner
PS I represented the North West Austin Civic Association in the negotiations with the developer over the zoning change from LO to GR-CO on the shopping center tract, and was involved when the site was changed from SF-3 to LO I would be happy to answer questions about the history of these cases and about the impact of traffic on Balcones and Northland for residents of Highland Hills
October 4, 2005
Ms Betty Baker, Chairperson and Members of the Zoning and Plattıng Commission
PO Jorge E Rousselin, Senior Planner 1088
Austin, TX 78767
De: Zoning case C14-05-0108, 5717 Balcones Drive
This is a supplement to my letter of September 2,2005 in opposition to the zoning change to GR
at 5717 Balcones Drive (State Cosmetology office site) Since writıng that letter, I have learned
that the entıre site is zoned SF-3, without even a sliver of GR-CO It is hard to imagine that the
mapping errors that showed 50 percent of the site as GR-CO and subsequently a sliver as GR-CO.
didn't mfluence the applicant to request GR and the city staff to recommend GR-CO However,
the logıcal zoning for this site is LO for the following reasons

- The current use and site development as a state office is consistent with LO zoning
- The proposed use and site development for ophthalmology offices with related sales (eyeglasses, etc) as an accessory use is consistent with LO zoning
- The site is on Balcones and there is no access onto the MoPac exit ramp from the site Balcones is not a major roadway (a guideline for GR zoning), and traffic is a problem
- The enture west side of Balcones from Northland at least to Allen Park near Hart Lane, and part of the east side is zoned LO and used for offices
- Because of traffic implications (especially the fast food restaurant), the GR-CO of the tract north of the cosmetology site has a restrctive covenant saying that its zoning would revert back to LO if the road giving it access to the MoPac exit ramp was not built (The road was built, however, the state cosmetology site does not have an access onto that road )
- The Chevron station south of the site has LR zoming except for a small area of GR approved for a 1-stall car wash, and direct access onto Northland

I can understand that there would be reluctance to "down zone" if half of the cosmetology site already were zoned GR-CO, or even if a part were But the entre site is SF-3 and the logical zoning is LO I am concerned about the traffic impacts on Balcones, Northland, and their intersection of a future GR use on this site Furthermore, I am very concerned about setting a precedent for more GR in the area, especially on the Highland Hills side of Northland Drive where there already is an application for a zoning change from NO to GR (C14-05-0149)

I hope that you will consider these comments and vote aganst the proposed zoning change
Sincerely

Phyllis Wamer

## Kurt Simotis Company

## RECEIVED

December 10,2005

## UEC $132005^{\circ}$

Nelghborhood Planning \& Zoning

Mr. Jorge Rousselin
Senor Planner
City of Austen
Neighborhood Planning \& Zoning Department
505 Barton Springs Road, 5th Floor
Austın, TX 78704

## Re: Rezoning of the property at 5717 Balcones Drive (C14-05-0108)

## Dear Jorge

I strongly support the rezoning of the property at 5717 Balcones Dnve from SF-3 to GR My partnership owns the property located at 5762 North Mopac Expressway, which is located directly north of the subject tract

My partnership believes that GR zoning along this section of the Mopac Expressway and Balcones Drive is an appropriate location for retall land uses This project will complement the existing and proposed land uses in the vicinty. It is my pleasure to support this zoning change

Sincerely,
Mc-Mopac, Ltd., a Texas limited partnership


Ronald P. Brooks, Vice President

# ARMBRUST \& BROWN, L.L.P. 

## ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300
Austin, Teus 78701-2744
512-435-2300
FACSIMILE 512-435-2360
lynn Ann Carley
(512) 435-2378
lcarley@abaustincom
August 31, 2005

Jorge Rousselin
City of Austin
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department
505 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704
Re: 5717 Balcones Drive - Case Number C14-05-0108
Dear Jorge ${ }^{-}$
This firm represents and I am writing to you on behalf of SWD Partners, Ltd SWD Partners, Ltd owns the subject property for this zoning case Attached is a copy of an amended zoning application for the 5717 Balcones Drive project Since the onginal application was submitted, there have been several changes to the project They are listed as follows

1 Ownership in the property has changed since the onginal submission As of August 11, 2005, SWD Partners, Ltd owns the property Therefore, the owner's name and deed information has been revised on the attached form A copy of the deed has been included for your records

2 We have determined that the restrictive covenants previously referred to in the application do not apply to the property

3 The site may be utılized for retail purposes, as well as a medical office Therefore, the proposed land use on the application has been revised to state "medical office/retall." The applicant understands that the proposed land uses must stay below the 2,000 trip per day threshold with this proposed zoning case

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.
Sincerely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gifma Arm- Caley } \\
& \text { Lynn Ann Carley } \\
& \text { Senior Land Development Consultant }
\end{aligned}
$$




State Bldg on Balcones test


Note: A zero indicates no data available
Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation, 7ch Edition, 2003.

TRIP GENERATION BY MICROTRANS
．FROM ：John F．Hickman \＆Associates PHDNE ND．： 5124724214
May． 162005 12．45PM PA

Share Blag on Balconies Test
Summary of trip Generation Calculation For 18 Th．Gr．Sq．Ft．of Government Office building May 16， 2005
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# DEVELOPMENT REVIEW AND INSPECTION DEPARTMENT CITY OP AUSTIN 

 TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS (TIA) DETERMINATION WORKSHEET
## APPLICANT MUST FILL IN WORKSHEET PRIOR TO SUBMITTING FOR TIA DETERMANATION

## PROJECT NAME:

LOCATION:
APPLICANT:
$\qquad$ $-$
$\qquad$

APTLICATION STATUS:
$\square$ DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT
区 ZONING
SITE PLAN
EXASTING.
FOR OFFICEUSEONLY

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TRKCT } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRACT } \\ & \text { ACRES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BUILDING } \\ \text { SQ.FT } \end{gathered}$ | ZONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAND } \\ & \text { USE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITTE } \\ & \text { CODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRIP } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRIPS } \\ & \text { PER DAY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 18,000 | CR/SF3 | State Buldine: | 730 | 68.93 | 1241 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Per 1,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | eqft |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PROPOSED
FOR OFPICE USEONLY

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRKCT } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TRACT ACRES | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BULDDING } \\ \text { SQ FT. } \end{gathered}$ | ZONING | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAND } \\ \text { USE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE: } \\ & \text { CODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRIP } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRIPS } \\ & \text { PERDAY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 18,000 |  | Medical Office | 720 | 36.13 | 650 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | per 1,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sqft |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 650 |

## ABUTTING ROADWAYS

| STREET NAME | PROPOSED ACCESS? | PAVBMENT WIDTH | CLASSIFICATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balcones Drive | Yes |  | Coliector |
| MoPac Service Road | No |  | Freeway |
|  |  |  |  |

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



A traffic impact analysis is required. The consultant preparing the study must meet with a transportation planner to discuss the scope and requirements of the study before begnining the ctudy
A traffic Impact analyels is NOT required The traffic generated by the proposal does not meet or exceed the thresholds astablished in the Land Developmert Code
The traffic inpact analyaic has been walvod for the following reston(c)
A neighborhood trafic analysis will be perforowed by the City for this project. The applicant may have to collect existing traficic counte see a transportation planneef for information.


NOTE. A TMA deterouination onuat be mede prior to submittal of any zaning or dite plan appilcation to Planning therefore, thisy completed and reviewed form MUST ACCOMPANY any subsequent appilication for the IDENTICAL project CHANGES to the proposed project will REQURE a new TIA determination to be made.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OTd TRECITY OF AUSTIN:

 change the base district from family residence (SF-3) - district to o. conditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district ghthe hionerty dectibed in Zoning Case
 follows:

A 1.833 acre tract of land, more or low, out efthe J \% Travis County, the tract of land bede mone particugrly described by metes and



PART 2. The Propeity xithin the thatidaries of the conditional overlay combining district


1. A site plan or buiting germit forgite Property may not be approved, released, or issued, if the comptetew with all existing or pre exceeds $\frac{1250}{1}$ trips per d
2. The max
3. The
4. Developmentof ofie property may not exceed a floor to area ratio (F.A.R.) of 0.29 to 1.

5．The following uses are prohibited uses of the Property：
Commercial off－street parking Funeral services Indoor entertainment Off－site accessory parking Pawn shop services Research services
Research warehousing services Residential treatment
Exterminating services
Automotive sales
Automotive washing（of any type）
Business or trade school General retail sales（general）
Personal improvement services Theater
Residential treatment
Except as specifically restricted und dhis ordmance，det Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations estabifhed for the community commercial（GR） base district and other applicablexquirementstifite City Code．

PART 3．This ordifnace takes edfecton


Hotel－nd 6 Indoor
Outdo解entertagiment

Resear解药ing services
Hospitad
Bed and ${ }^{6}$ butw Autonotive＂

 Outdocur whits and recreation Sevicexditigy

 \％24
2006

$\qquad$

ATTEST： $\qquad$
Shirley A．Gentry
City Clerk


THENCE, North $56^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 19868 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, and containing a computed area of 1.833 acres ( 79,849 square feet) of land


Job No. 630271-0001
Surveyed: January 4, 2005

# Metes and Bounds Description <br> 1.833 Acres <br> James P. Davis Survey No. 14 <br> Travis County, Texas <br> Extificit A 

BEING a tract containing 1.833 acres of land situated in the James P. Davis Survey No. 14 in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas and being the same tract as recorded under Volume 11017, Page 1, save and except a called 0.165 acre tract quitclaimed and recorded under Volume 11281, Page 576 of the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas (R.P.R.T.C.T.). Said 1.833 acre tract being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows with the basis of bearings being North $28^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East along the southeasterly right-of-way line of Balcones Drive ( $80^{\prime}$ wide) as recorded in Volume 11281, Page 576 of the R.P.R.T.C.T.:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod in concrete found marking the most westerly corner of the herein described tract and located in the southeasterly right-of-way line of said Balcones Drive, same being the most northerly comer of Lot 1 of Northland / Balcones Subdivision as recorded under Document No 200000353 in the R.P.R.T.C.T.;

THENCE, North $28^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 297.40 feet along the southeasterly right-ofway line of said Balcones Drive to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found marking the most northerly comer the herein described tract, same being the most westerly corner of Lot 4 of Mopac Balcones Addation, a duly recorded subdivision in Volume 92, Page 208 of the Travis County Plat Records (T.C.P.R.),

THENCE, South $61^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 240.85 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found marking the most southerly corner of said Lot 4 and easterly comer of the herein described corner. Said point also being in the northwesterly right-of-way line of Mopac Expressway (right-of-way varies),

THENCE, South $28^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 64.03 feet along said northwesterly right-ofway line of Mopac Expressway to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with plastic cap stamped "SURVCON INC." set for an angle point;

THENCE, South $18^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 260.20 feet continuing along said northwesterly right-of-way line of Mopac Expressway to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for the most southerly comer of the herein described tract and marking the most easterly comer of Lot "A" of NCSS Subdivision No. 1, a duly recorded subdivision in Volume 76, Page 390 of the T.C.P.R.;

THENCE, North $56^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 89.67 feet leaving said northwesterly right-of-way line of Mopac Expressway to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found being an angle point of the herein described tract and marking the most northerly corner of said Lot "A", NCS Subdivision No. I, same being the most easterly corner aforementioned Lot 1, Northland / Balcones Subdivisıon,

## PETITION





[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ E-mail dated $8 / 8 / 05$ responding to questions raised by North West Austin Civic Association (NWACA) Executive Committee and other members in a meeting with her on 7/21/05
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on a meeting between Lynn Ann Carley and NWACA Executive Committee and other NWACA members on 7/21/05 and a follow-up e-manl from Ms Carley dated 8/8/05
    ${ }^{3}$ E-manl of 8/8/05 from Lynn Ann Carley to pattcipants in NWACA meeting of 7/21/05

[^1]:    Note：A zero indicates no data available
    Source：Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation， 7 th Edition， 2003.

