

Subject: C14-05-0176 - Shropshire Dessau Retail Tract 1 - Approve secondthird readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 11801 block of Dessau Road (Walnut Creek Watershed) from development reserve (DR) district zoning to community commercial-conditional overiay (GR-CO)combining district zoning with conditions. First reading approved on May 18, 2006. Vote: 4-1 (Alvarez-Nay; Thomas, Kim off the dais). Applicant: Complete Real Estate SVC, Inc. (David Schoenemann). Agent: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower). City Staff: Sherri Sirwaitis, 974-3057.

For More Information: Sherri Sirwaitis, 974-3057.

## SECOND/THIRD READING SUMMARY SHEET

## ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-05-0176 (Shropshire Dessau Retail Tract 1)

## REOUEST:

Approve second/third readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code, zoning the property locally known as 11801 Block of Dessau Road from DR, Development Reserve District, zoning to GR-CO, Community Commercial-Conditional Overlay District, zoning.

The ordinance and public restrictive covenant reflect those conditions imposed by Council on $1^{\prime \prime}$ reading.

PROPERTY OWNER: Complete Real Estate SVC, Inc. (David Schoenemann)
AGENT: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower)

## DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The property in question is undeveloped and moderately vegetated. The applicant is requesting a rezoning to develop retail uses, a restaurant, and bank on the site.

The staff presents an alternate recommendation of LR, Neighborhood Commercial District, zoning for this tract of land because the location of the property meets the purpose statement of the LR district as it is situated at the entrance to a residential neighborhood. The LR district site development regulations and performance standards are designed to ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with a residential environment. Neighborhood Commercial zoning would be appropriate at this location because the property is located at the intersection of a collector street and a major arterial roadway. The proposed commercial zoning will provide services to the surrounding residential areas to the northwest and southeast of Dessau Road. There is an existing creek bed located to the north of the site under consideration that will maintain a physical buffer for the proposed commercial uses from the single-family residential neighborhood to the north and west.

The applicant agrees with the City Council's recommendation at first reading.
The applicant's transportation engineers have provided the staff with a Technical Memorandum regarding the City Council's questions at first reading (Attachment B). The staff is in the process of reviewing this information and will provide comments concerning the Council member's questions about transportation issues in this case in a separate memo.

DATE OF FIRST READING/VOTE: May 18, 2006 / Approved ZAP recommendation of GRCO zoning on first reading with additional conditions of $70 \%$ impervious cover maximum, make median cut on Shropshire compatible with driveway entrance to Tract 2 (property in case C14-05-0177), direct Transportation staff to analyze trip limitation for site and bring back information at $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$
readings (on June $22^{\text {d }}$ ), and direct Transportation staff to bring back plan to lower the speed limit below 50 miles per hour on Dessau Road or to make a report to support the 50 miles per hour peed on Dessau Road at $2^{\text {pd }} / 3^{\text {nd }}$ readings of the case (4-1,Thomas/Kim-off dias, Alvarez-nay); Leffingwell-1", Dunkerley- ${ }^{\text {nd }}$.

CITY COUNCIL DATE: June 22, 2006
CITY COUNCILACTION:
ASSIGNED STAFF: Sherri Sirwaitis

PHONE: 974-3057
sherri.sirwaitis@ci.austin.tx.us
SUBNECT TRACT
PENDING EASE
ZONING BOUNDARY
CASE MOR: B. SIRWAITIS
(

## ORDINANCE NO.


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE 11801 BLOCK OF DESSAU ROAD FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE (DR) DISTRICT TO CONMUNITY COMMERCIAL-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (GR-CO) COMBININGDISTRICT.


## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section 25-2 191 of the City Code is amended to change the base district from development reserve (DR) district to community commercialconditional overlay (GR-CO) combining district on the property described in Zoning Case No. C14-05-0176, on file at the Neighborhood Planining and Zoning Department, as follows:

A 6.49 acre tract of land, more or less, out of Lot 1, Block A, Copperfield Section One, Phase G Subdivision, the tract of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds in Exhibit "A" incorporated into this ordinance (the "Property"),
locally known as 11801 Block of Dessau Road, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, and generally identified in the map attached as Exhibit " $B$ ".

PART 2. The Property within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. Drive-in service use is prohibited as an accessory use to a restaurant (limited) use and a restaurant (general) use.
2. Development on the Property may not exceed 70 percent impervious cover.
3. The following uses are prohibited uses of the Property:

Automotive rentals
Automotive sales
Bail bond services
Business support services
Communication services
Exterminating services
Funeral services

Automotive repair services
Automotive washing (of any type)
Business or trade school
Commercial off-street parking
Drop-off recycling collection facility
Food preparation
General retail sales (general)

Hotel-motel
Indoor sports and recreation
Community recreation (public)
Group home, Class II
Hospital services (limited)
Medical offices (exceeding 5000 sq . ft. of gross floor area)
Pawn shop services
Research services

Indoor entertainment
Community recreation (private)
Congregate living
Hospital services (general)
Residential treatment
Outdoor entertainment
Outdoor sports and recreation
Personal lmprovement services
Theater

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, the Property may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the community commercial (GR) base district and other applicable requirements of the City Code.

PART 3. This ordinance takes effect on $\qquad$ 2006.

PASSED AND APPROVED ATTEST:
APPROVED: $\qquad$
David Allan Smith City Attorney

Shirley A. Gentry
City Clerk

## EXIIBIT "A" LBGAL DESCRIPTION

# FIELD NOTES DESCRIBINO 6.49 ACRES OF LAND, BEINO ALl OF LOT ONE (1), BLOCK A, COPPERFIBLD SECIION ONE, PHASE G, A 8UBDIVISION IN TRAVIS COUNTY. TEXAS, RBCORDED IN VOLUME 85, PAGB 197D OF THE PLAT RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, SADD 6.49 ACRES OP LAND TO BE MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLJOWS: 

BEGDNNDG at en from rod found at a point in a carve of tho sortheast debt-of-way lioio of Shropabire Bouloverd at a cotner point of that cortin N. P. C. Tract recorded it Vohume 6859, Pige 106 of the Deed Recorde of enid county, for the northwest corner of acid 6.49 meres and tor the POINT OF BEGINNING bercotic
 1) North 79 dogrees 20 minutes 00 coconda Eart a diftunce of 36.95 fet to a point;
2) North 60 dogrees 10 minutes 00 seconds Elat a diztence of 140.00 Abot to a point;

4) North 55 degrees 50 minuben 00 seconde Eart a distance of 120.00 sot to a point;
5) North 04 dogrees 25 minutea 00 reconde Eant a disfunce of 236.00 teot to a polph
6) North 52 degress 44 minutes 43 seconds Eart a distance of 363.71 beet to 1 polint

7 North 77 degtees 45 minutes 00 seconde East a distemce of $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 0 0}$ feet to a point
 line of that ourtain Clinton P. Sayes, Trubteo treot recortiod in Volume 8561, Page 795 of seald records, for the morthenst corner of ald 6.49 ecres sad ior the sartheast corner heroof

THRNCE with the wert the of aid seyoris tract and the cunt line of eild lot 1, the following thive (3) courrec; 1) South 17 dogroes 30 mivertes 16 seconda Weat a distanoes of 76.91 foot to en fron rod tet;
2) South 18 degrees 49 minutes 06 seconde Weat a distance of 162.98 feet to an fron rod fornad;
3) South 18 degreas 11 minuten 57 reconda West a distance of 186.30 feet to an fron rod ret at a point in the north


THENCE with said richt-of-why line and the south line of sald Lot 1 , the tbllowing two (2) coursea;

1) South 68 degreen 11 minutes 08 Wertia distance of $4,31.67$ feot to entron rod set at a polat of curvature of a Curve to the left
2) with sald ourve, whose madus in 556.31 beet, en ero distence of 289.34 foot mod a chord bearing of Soust 53

 the routioneat comer beroof;

THENCE with rald Boolovard the following three (3) cocract;

1) With seid curvo, to the right whone radius is 15.00 thot an are diatunce of 23.76 foet and a ahord boaring of 8 oufh 83 dogrees 45 minutes 08 seconds Wort a dintance of 21.35 bet to en tron rod found at a point of tangent;
2) North 50 dogreas 50 mbuntes 00 ecoconds Weet $t$ dibtance of 196.65 fett to an fron rod found at a point of eurvature of s curve to the richty
3) with mid curve, whove radiu la 525.65 fect, me ero dirance of 141.14 feet and a ubord bearing of North 43 degrees 09 minulas 07 coconde Wat s ditanco of 140.71 feet to the PONT OF BEOINNDNG end containing 6.49 ecres of hand, more or leas.

THIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION IS TO BE USED IN CONJUNCION WITH THE ATTACHED SURVEY PLAT (BY SEPARATE INSTREMENT), ONLY.


## ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

## ADDRESS: 11801 Block of Dessau Road

OWNER/APPLICANT: Complete Real Estate SVC, Inc. (David Schoenemann)
AGENT: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower)
ZONING FROM: DR TO: GR AREA: 6.490 acres

## SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff's recommendation is to grant LR, Neighborhood Commercial District, zoning. This recommendation includes a public restrictive covenant to encompass the Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) recommendations provided in Attachment A.

## ZONING ANO PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

3/21/06: Postponed to April 18, 2006 by the neighborhood (8-0, J. Martinez-absent); M. Hawthorne- $1^{\text {t }}$, J. Pinnelli- ${ }^{\text {nd }}$.

4/18/06: Approved GR-CO zoning with the following conditions: permit Restaurant (General) and Financial Services as only 'GR' district uses, limit site to all other 'LR' district uses, prohibit drive-through services associated with Restaurant (General) and Restaurant (Limited) uses; include the TIA recommendations in a public restrictive covenant (7-0, S. Hale, J. Martinezleft early); K. Jackson-1 ${ }^{\text {tit }}$, J. Pinnelli-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$.

## DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The property in question is undeveloped and moderately vegetated. The applicant is requesting a rezoning to develop retail uses, a convenience store and office use on the site.

The staff presents an alternate recommendation of LR, Neighborhood Commercial District, zoning for this tract of land because the location of the property meets the purpose statement of the LR district as it is situated at the entrance to a residential neighborhood. The LR district site development regulations and performance standards are designed to ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with a residential environment. Neighborhood Commercial zoning would be appropriate at this location because the property is located at the intersection of a collector street and a major arterial roadway. The proposed commercial zoning will provide services to the surrounding residential areas to the northwest and southeast of Dessau Road. There is an existing creek bed located to the north of the site under consideration that will maintain a physical buffer for the proposed commercial uses from the single-family residential neighborhood to the north and west.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | DR | UND USES |
| North | DR, SF-2 | Undeveloped <br> Family Redidential Used |
| South | SP-2, PUD | Single-Family Residential Uses (New homes built in Pioneer <br> Crossing PUD) |
| East | MF-3-CO | Undeveloped |
| West | DR, SF-2 | Undeveloped Tract, Single-Family Residential Uses |

## AREA STUDY: N/A

WATERSHED: Walnut Creek
TIA: Required
DESTRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes

## CAPITOL YIEW CORRIDOR: N/A BILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: N/A

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

51 - Northeeighborhood
114 - North Growth Corridor Alliance
511 - Austin Neighborhoods Council
643 - NorthEast Action Group
937 - Taking Action, Inc.
CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-05-0124 | I-RR to GR | Pending | Pending |
| C14-05-0065.SH | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{RR} \text { to } \\ & \mathrm{MF}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 7/05/05: Approved staff's recommendation for MF-2-CO district zoning with the conditional overlay limiting the site to 2,000 vehicle trips per day, requiring the dedication of 70 feet of right-ofway from the existing/future centerline of Dessau Road in accordance with the Transportation Plan, and limiting development on the site to a maximum of 248 residential units (7-1, B. BakerNay, M. Whaley-Absent) | 8/18/05: Approved ZAP <br> recommendation of MF-2-CO <br> (7-0); $1^{14}$ reading <br> 8/25/05: Approved MF-2-CO <br> with conditions by consent (7-0); $2^{\text {od }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| C14-05-0036 | DR, DR-H, PUD to P-H | 4/19/05: Approved staff rec. of P and P-H by consent ( $6-0-1$, BBabstain) | 5/12/05: Approved P and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ by consent ( $7-0$ ); $1^{41}$ reading |
| C14-04-0056 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{RR} \text { to SF-2, } \\ & \mathrm{SF}-6, \mathrm{MF}-3, \\ & \mathrm{CS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11/02/04: Approved staffs } \\ & \text { recommendation of SF-2-CO, SF-6, } \\ & \text { MF-3, LR, LR-MU, GR, } \\ & \text { GR-MU zoning by consent (9-0) } \end{aligned}$ | 12/16/04: Granted SF-2-CO, SF6, MF-3, LR-MU, GR and GRMU (7-0); all 3 readings |


| C14-04-0127 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GR-CO to } \\ & \text { GR } \end{aligned}$ | 10/19/04: Approved staff's recommendation of GR-CO zoning by consent (9-0) | 11/18/04: Granted GR-CO (7-0); all 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-03-0001 | DR to LO | 1/28/03: Approved staff's recommendation of LO-CO zoning, $w / 2,000$ trip limit ( $8-0$, J. Donisiabsent) | 2/27/03: Granted LO-CO on all 3 (6-0, Goodman-out of room) |
| C14-00-2241 | GR to GR | 1/09/01: Approved staff rec. of GRCO, limiting the site to $2,000 \mathrm{vtpd}$ and prohibiting Automotive Rentals, Automotive Repair Services, Automotive Sales, Business or Trade School, Business Support Services, Commercial OffStreet Parking, Communication Services, Drop-Off Recycling Collection Facility, Exterminating Services, Funeral Services, General Retail Sales (Convenience), General Retail Sales (General), Hotel/Motel, Hospital Services (General), Indoor Entertainment, Indoor Sports and Recreation, Outdoor Entertainment, Outdoor Sports and Recreation, Pawn Shop Services, Personal Improvement Services, Research Services, Theater, Restaurant (Drive-In, Fast Food), Restaurant (General); (8-0) | 2/08/01: Approved GR-CO on all 3 readings ( $6-0$ ) |
| C14-00-2101 | DR to CS | 8/1/00: Approved staff rec. w/ condition of no Adult Oriented Businesses (8-0) | 9/28/00: Approved GR-CO w/ conditions on TR 1 \& 2 (7-0); all 3 readings |
| C14H-00-0005 | DR to DR-H | 9/12/00: Approved staff rec. by consent ( $6-0$ ) | 10/5/00: approved DR-H (5-0); all 3 readings |
| C14-99-2028 | DR to GR | 9/21/99: Approved staff rec. of GRCO, limiting the site to $2,000 \mathrm{vtpd}$ and prohibiting Automotive Rentals, Automotive Repair Services, Automotive Sales, Automotive Washing, Business or Trade School, Business Support Services, Commercial Off-Street Parking, Communication Services, Drop-Off Recycling Collection Facility, Exterminating Services, Funeral Services, General Retail Services, Hotel/Motel, Hospital Services (General), Indoor Entertainment, Indoor Sports and Recreation, Outdoor Entertainment, | 12/02/99: Approved Commission Rec. of GR-CO, but modified rec. to allow Restaurants (5-1, GG-Nay, WL-absent); $1^{\text {T }}$ reading <br> 12/16/99: Approved GR-CO (7-0); $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rf }}$ readings |


|  |  | Outdoor Sports and Recreation, Pawn Shop Services, Personal Improvement Services, Research Services, Restaurant (Drive-In, Fast Food), Theater; (8-0) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-99-0006 | I-RR to P | 6/08/99: Approved staff rec. of $\mathbf{P}$ (6-0) | Approved PC rec. of P(5-0); $1^{18}$ reading <br> 1/27/00: Approved P (5-0, <br> Watson-absent, Lewis-out of room); $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {nd }}$ readings |
| C14-98-0258 | DR to MP-2 | 10/26/99: Approved staff rec. of MF-2-CO, w/ 400 unit limit, by consent ( $8-0, \mathrm{BH}$-off dias) | 12/2/99: Approved PC rec. of MF-2-CO w/ conditions (6-0, WL-absent); $1^{\text {th }}$ reading <br> 1/27/00: Approved $2^{\text {nd } / 3 ~} 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings by consent (6-0) |
| C14-98-0257 | DR to GR | 10/26/99: Approved staff rec. of LO-CO (TR 1, 2, 3) \& GR (TR 4 \& 5) by consent ( $8-0, \mathrm{BH}$-off dias) | 12/2/99: Approved PC rec. of LO (TR1), LO-CO (TR 2 \& 3) w/ conditions, and GR (TR 4 \& 5) (6-0, WL-absent); $1^{\text {" }}$ reading <br> 1/27/00: Approved $2^{\text {od } / 3 ~} 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings by consent ( $6-0$ ) |
| C14-98-0126 | DR to GR | 9/29/98: Approved LR-CO w/ conditions that uses limited to ' NO ' uses (9-0) | 11/5/98: Approved PC rec. of LR-CO (TRA) \& LO-CO (TRB) w/ conditions (6-0); all 3 readings |
| C14-98-0046 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DR to GR- } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | 7/14/98: Approved GR-CO; limiting the site to $2,000 \mathrm{vtpd}$, limit the site to $70 \%$ impervious cover, structures 200 feet from the western property line shall not exceed 25 feet in height, and prohibiting Automotive Rentals, Automotive Repair Services, Automotive Sales Automotive Washing, Commercial Off-Street Parking, Pawn Shop Services, (8-0) | 8/13/98: Approved PC rec. of GR-CO (6-0); $1^{\text {1t }}$ reading <br> 1/21/99: Approved GR-CO (7-0); $2^{\text {nd }}$ reading <br> 2/04/99: Approved GR-CO (7-0); $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| C14-97-0126 | DR to GR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12/9/97: Approved GR-CO w/ } \\ & \text { conditions (5-3) } \end{aligned}$ | 25/98: Approved PC rec. of GRCO w/ new conditions (5-0); $1^{12}$ reading <br> 4/9/98: Approved GR-CO w/ conditions ( $7-0$ ); $2^{\text {sd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| C14-96-0116 | DR to GR | 10/08/96: Approved staff rec. of GR (9-0) | 10/24/96: Approved PC rec. of GR (6-0); $1^{31}$ reading 3/06/97: Approved GR (7-0); $2^{\text {nd } / ~}$ $3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |

RELATED CASES: N/A

ABUTTING STREETS:

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification | Dally <br> Traffic | Bus Route | Bike Route |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dessau Road | Varies | 2 © 24 ft | MAD 4 | 1,473 <br> $(6 / 21 / 04)$ | Not <br> available <br> within $1 / 4$ <br> mile | Priority 1, <br> Route 228 |

CITY COUNCIL DATE: May 18, 2006

June 22, 2006
ORDINANCE READINGS: $1^{\mathfrak{n}}$ 5/18/06
ORDINANCE NUMBER:
CASE MANAGER: Sherri Sirwaitis

ACTION: Approved ZAP recommendation of GR-CO zoning on first reading with additional conditions of $70 \%$ impervious cover maximum, make median cut on Shropshire compatible with driveway entrance to Tract 2 (property in case C14-05-0177), direct Transportation staff to analyze trip limitation for site and bring back information at $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings (on June $22^{\text {nd }}$ ), and direct Transportation staff to bring back plan to lower the speed limit below 50 miles per hour on Dessau Road or to make a report to support the 50 miles per hour peed on Dessau Road at $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings of the case (4-1,Thomas/Kim-off dias, Alvareznay); Leffingwell-1 ${ }^{\text {n }}$, Dunkerley- $2^{\text {nd }}$.

## ACTION:

$2^{\text {nd }}$ $3^{\text {rd }}$

PHONE: 974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@ci.austin.tx.us


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The staff's recommendation is to grant LR, Neighborhood Commercial District, zoning. This recommendation includes a public restrictive covenant to encompass the Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) recommendations provided in Attachment A.

## BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

Neighborhood commercial (LR) district is the designation for a commercial use that provides business service and office facilities for the residents of a neighborhood. Site development regulations and performance standards applicable to a LR district use are designed to ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with the residential environment.
2. The proposed zoning should promote consistency and orderly planning.

The proposed LR zoning is compatible with the surrounding uses because the property is located at the entrance to a residential neighborhood fronting Dessau Road, a major arterial roadway. LR zoning will allow for commercial development that will be compatible with the SF-2 and DR zoning to the north, the MF-3-CO zoning to the east and the PUD zoning to the south.
3. The proposed zoning should allow for a reasonable use of the property.

LR zoning will allow for a reasonable use of the property as it will permit a variety of retail and office uses that will provide services to the surrounding residential areas.
4. Zoning should promote the policy of locating retail and more intensive zoning near the intersections of arterial roadways or at the intersections of arterials and major collectors.

The proposed LR zoning will be located at the intersection of a major arterial roadway, Dessau Road, and a collector street, Shropshire Boulevard/Braker Lane.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Site Characteristics

The site under consideration is currently undeveloped. The property slopes to the north and is moderately vegetated.

## Hill Country Roadway

The site is not within a Hill Country Roadway Corridor.

## Impervious Cover

The maximum impervious cover allowed by the GR zoning district would be $90 \%$. However, because the watershed impervious cover is more restrictive than the zoning district's allowable impervious cover, the impervious cover is limited by the watershed regulations.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

| Development Classification | \%of Net Site Area | \% with Transfers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Family <br> (minimum lot size 5750 sq. ft.) | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Other Single-Family or Duplex | $55 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Multifamily | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Commercial | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

Note: The most restrictive impervious cover limit applies.

## Environmental

The site is not located over the Edward's Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Desired Development Zone. The site is in the Walnut Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code.

According to flood plain maps, there is no floodplain within, or adjacent to the project boundary.
Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding existing trees and other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following water quality control requirements:

- Structural controls: Sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention.

At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any pre-existing approvals that preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

## Transportation

A traffic impact analysis is required and has been received. Additional right-of-way, participation in roadway improvements, or limitations on development intensity may be recommended based on review of the TIA. [LDC, Sec. 25-6-142]. Comments will be provided in a separate memo.

## Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing the water and wastewater utility improvements, system upgrades, offsite main extension, utility adjustments, and relocation. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility. The plan must be in accordance with the City design criteria. The utility construction must be inspected by the City. The landowner must pay the associated and applicable City fees.

## Stormwater Detention

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in stormwater runoff will be mitigated through on-site stormwater detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program if available.

## Compathility Standards

Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540-feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.



Date:


To:
June 15, 2006
CC: Sherri Sirwaitis, Case Manager

Reference: $\quad$ Shropshire-Dessau Retall Tract TIA, C14-05-0176/-0177

The Transportation Review Section has reviewed the Traffic Impact Analysis for the ShropshireDessau Retail Tract, dated September 2005, prepared by Scott Feldman of Alliance Transportation Group and offers the following comments:

## TRIP GENERATION

The Shropshire-Dessau Retail Tract Is a 9.59-acre development located in north Austin at the intersection of Shropshire/Braker Lane and Dessau Road.

The property Is currently undeveloped and zoned Development Reserve (DR). The applicant has requested a zoning change to Community Commercial (GR) for the entire tract. The estimated completion of the project is expected in the year 2007.
Based on the standard trip generation rates established by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), the development will generate approximately 4,809 unadjusted average daily trips (ADT).
The table below shows the adjusted trip generation by land use for the proposed development:

| Table 1. Trip Generatlon |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND USE | Slze | ADT | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| General Office | $8,000 \mathrm{sf}^{\prime}$ | 186 | 25 | 82 |
| Shopping Center | $20,300 \mathrm{sf}$ | 2,200 | 60 | 145 |
| Convenience Store Open 15-16 hours | $3,418 \mathrm{sf}$ | N/A | 334 | 93 |
| Drive In Bank | $2,400 \mathrm{sf}$ | 488 | 30 | 58 |
| Fast Food with Drive-Thru | $3,260 \mathrm{sf}$ | 1,338 | 89 | 57 |
|  | Total | 4,212 | 538 | 435 |

## ASSUMPTIONS

1. Background traffic volumes for 2005 inciuded estimated traffic volumes for the following projects:

- Carmel Valley

C14-03-0149

- Pioneer Crossing
- Parmer Park

C8-98-0115
SP-03-0125C
2. A growth rate of $1.8 \%$ was assumed for all roadways within the study area.
3. Pass-by and Internal capture reductions were taken for the following uses:

| Land Use | Pass-By <br> Reductions |  | Internal Capture <br> Reductions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | Enter | ExIt |
| General Office | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Shopping Center | $0 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| Convenience Store open 15-16 hours | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Drive In Bank | $0 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Fast Food with Drive Thru | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

4. No reductions were taken for translt use.

## EXISTING AND PLANNED ROADWAYS

Dessau Road - Dessau Road forms the eastern boundary for this site and is currently constructed as a six-lane major divided arterial. Tratfic volumes for Dessau Road south of Parmer Lane were 24,842vpd in 2004.

Shropshlre Boulevard - This roadway bisects the proposed site and is currently classified as a 2lane collector. Adjacent to the subject tracts, Shropshire Boulevard is divided with 20 feet of pavement in the westbound lane and 30 feet of pavement in the eastbound lane. West of the proposed site, Shropshire becomes undivided with a total of 40 feet of pavement. 2005 traffic volumes on Shropshire Boulevard were 3,829vpd.

Braker Lane - Braker Lane forms the eastern leg of the intersection of Dessau Road and Shropshire/Braker. Braker Lane is currently classified as a 4-lane major arterial and the Roadway Plan calls for Braker Lane to be upgraded to a 6-lane major divided roadway by 2025.

## INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS)

The TIA analyzed 8 intersections, 3 of which are signalized. Existing and projected levels of service are as follows, assuming that all improvements recommended In the TIA are built:

| Table 3. Level of Service |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { Existing } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \text { SIte + } \\ & \text { Forecasted } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Dessau Road and Parmer Lane* | D | D | D | D |
| Dessau Road and Shropshire/Braker Lane* | D | D | D | D |
| Dessau Road and Braker Lane* | D | D | D | D |
| Dessau Road and Driveway 1 |  |  | A | A |
| Shropshire Boulevard and Driveway 2 |  |  | A | A |
| Shropshire Boulevard and Driveway 3 |  |  | A | A |
| Dessau Road and Driveway 4 |  |  | A | A |
| Dessau Road and Driveway 5 |  |  | A | A |

## NIEGHBORHOOD IMPACT ANAYLSIS

A neighborhood traffic impact analysis was performed for the portion of Shropshire Boulevard between Dessau Road and Alma Drive. This segment of Shropshire Boulevard is considered a residential collector because at least $50 \%$ of the frontage located within 1500 feet or less from the proposed project's property line has an urban family residential district (SF-5) or more restrictive zoning designation. Shropshire Boulevard is currently divided with 20 feet of pavement in the westbound lane and 30 feet of pavement in the eastbound lane directly adjacent to the subject tract. West of the proposed site, Shropshire becomes undivided with a total of 40 feet of pavement. The current traffic volume on Shropshire Boulevard west of Dessau Road is $3,829 \mathrm{vpd}$. Applying the assumed $1.8 \%$ growth rate, in 2007 the traffic volume on Shropshire will be 3,968 vpd.
Section 25-6-116 of the Land Development Code states that traffic on a residential collector street 40 feet or wider is operating at a desirable level if it does not exceed 4,000 vehicle trips per day. Based upon existing and projected traffic volumes on Shropshire Boulevard, the street is currently operating at a desirable level. With the inclusion of site traffic, total traffic on Shropshire west of Dessau will be 4,208 vpd. Site traffic results in a $5.7 \%$ increase in total traffic on Shropshire. It is estimated that this new site traffic will exist on Shropshire with or without the two proposed driveways to Shropshire, due to the assumption that the majority of this site traffic will be generated by the adjacent neighborhood. Therefore, elimination of the two driveways proposed to Shropshire will not eliminate the $5.7 \%$ increase in total traffic on Shropshire. As a requirement of this development, fiscal will be posted to restripe the eastbound leg of the intersection of Shropshire and Dessau to provide a designated left turn.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1) Prior to $3^{\text {rd }}$ Reading at City Council, fiscal is required to be posted for the following improvements:

| Intersection | Improvement | Pro Rata Share <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dessau Road and <br> Parmer Lane | Add an eastbound <br> left turn lane | $4.5 \%$ |
| Dessau Road and <br> Shropshire/Braker Lane | Restripe eastbound <br> thru lane as a left <br> turn lane | $67 \%$ |

2) If a median break is proposed in conjunction with access to Driveway 3 from Shropshire Boulevard, the developer is responsible for median break improvements during the site plan process.
3) Submittal of 3 copies of the final version of the TIA is required prior to $3^{\text {rd }}$ Reading at City Council.
4) Development of this property should be limited to uses and intensities which will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the TIA, including peak hour trip generations, traffic distribution, roadway conditions, and other traffic related characteristics.
If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 974-2628.

[^0]Sr. Planner ~ Transportation Review Staff
Watershed Protection and Development Review

# Attachment B 

## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Amy Link, Senior Planner
Watershed Development and Development Review Department 505 Barton Springs Road Austin, Texas 78704

From: Arthur F. Gamble, III, P.E. Alliance Transportation Group, Inc. 100 East Anderson Lane, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78752


Date: June 12, 2006
Re: Shropshire Boulevard Driveway Evaluation
Introduction
Alliance Transportation Group, Inc. (ATG) has been contracted to evaluate the impact of moving the northern driveway taking access to Shropshire Boulevard to the northwest edge of the property and constructing a median break to allow left turns. This site is located at the comer of Dessau Road and Shropshire Boulevard in Austin, Texas. The purpose of this study is to determine whether there is adequate sight distance and evaluate the operational level of service of the driveway. Figure 1 shows the intersection of Shropshire and Dessau, property lines, and the proposed driveway location.

Figure1. Proposed Driveway Location


## Sight Distance Requirements

AASHTO's A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, (the "Green Book") defines sight distance as "... the distance along a roadway that an object of specified height is continuously visible to the driver." For this study, an object height of 4.25 feet is adopted, as specified in the Green Book. The height of the driver's eye is considered to be 3.50 feet above the road surface, with the lateral position of the driver's cye in the stopped vehicle being 14 feet behind the edge of pavement.

Where traffic on the minor road of an intersection is controlled by stop signs, the driver of the vehicle on the minor road must have sufficient sight distance for a safe departure from the stopped position, even though the approaching vehicle comes in view as the stopped vehicle begins its departure movements. There are two basic maneuvers that occur at the average three-legged intersection. These maneuvers are:
A. To turn left into the crossing roadway by first clearing traffic on the left and then to enter the traffic stream with vehicle from the right; and
B. To turn right into the intersecting roadway by entering the traffic stream with vehicles from the left.

Sight distances for each maneuver depend on several variables, including the design speed on the major roadway and characteristics of the design vehicle. The speed on the major roadway in this study is posted at 45 mph . The design vehicle is the standard passenger car.

The intersection sight distance requirement for vehicies turning from the minor street onto the major street can be calculated using the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ISD }=1.47^{*} \mathrm{~V}^{*} \mathrm{~T} \tag{Ref1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ISD $=$ Intersection Sight Distance (feet)
$\mathrm{V}=$ Speed of major street traffic ( mph )
$\mathrm{T}=$ Time Gap for minor street vehicles to enter major roadway (seconds).
The sight distance varies for vehicles turning right or left onto the major roadway. Field studies have shown that vehicles turning left onto a major roadway require a larger gap between vehicles than vehicles turning right onto the major roadway. Table 1 shows the required typical gap acceptance time for vehicles turning onto a major roadway

Table 1: Gap Acceptance Time

| Design Vehicle | Gap Acceptance Time <br> Left Turn (sec.) | Gap Acceptance Time <br> Right Tum (sec.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passenger Car | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| Single-unit truck | 9.5 | 8.5 |
| Combination truck | 11.5 | 11.5 |

Note: Time gaps are for a stopped vehicle to tum right or left onto a two lane highway with no median and grades 3 percent or less. The tables require adjustment as follows:

For multilane highways:
For left or right turns onto two-way highways with more than two lanes, add 0.5 seconds for passenger cars.
For minor road approach grades:
If the approach grade is an upgrade that exceeds 3 percent; add 0.2 seconds for each percent grade for left tums.

Shropshire is a two lane divided collector, with a posted speed limit of 30 mph . This speed is used to calculate the required intersection sight distance at Shropshire and the driveway.

For Case A, the intersection sight distance requirement for vehicles turning left from a minor street onto the major street can be expressed by the following equation:

Gap acceptance time $=7.5$ seconds
Major Street Speed $=\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{~ m p h}$

```
ISD =1.47* 7.5 seconds* 30 mph
ISD=331 feet
```

For Case B, the intersection sight distance requirement for vehicles tuming right from a minor street onto the major street can be expressed by the following equation:

Gap acceptance time $=6.5$ seconds
Major Street Speed $=30 \mathrm{mph}$
ISD $=1.47 * 6.5$ seconds * 30 mph
ISD $=287$ feet

## Sight Distance Measurement

The measured sight distance in the field was 612 feet to the northwest and 290 feet to the southeast towards the intersection of Shropshire with Dessau. The measured values shown in Table 2 exceed the calculated minimum sight distance referenced in the AASHTO Green Book. In addition, vehicles turning from Dessau onto Shropshire are visible from the proposed driveway location as they make the turn and vehicles crossing Dessau from East Braker Lane to Shropshire are visible from the proposed driveway location as they clear the intersection.

Table 2: Sight Distance

| Movement | Minimum Required <br> Sight Distance | Measured <br> Sight Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn | 331 feet | 612 feet |
| Right-tum | 287 feet | 290 feet |

## Operational Study

The current roadway network was studied to establish baseline conditions. This analysis calculates existing intersection Levels of Service and traffic flow in and around the study area. In addition, current intersection deficiencies can be identified during this analysis.

AM and PM peak hour ( $7-9$ am and 4-6 pm) turning movement counts were obtained in August of 2005 at the following intersection. These counts are included in the Appendix.

- Shropshire and Dessau


## Analysis

As shown in the original TIA, the proposed land use evaluated in this study consists of a mix of retail and office. The expected completion date for the project is 2007. Background traffic volumes for 2007 are based on existing traffic counts collected in August of 2005. Historical traffic data were reviewed to calculate an annual growth rate to apply to the existing counts. This growth rate was determined to be 1.8 percent. The growth rate was applied to the existing counts to arrive at 2007 background traffic.

Entering and exiting volumes were calculated using information from ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 7th edition ${ }^{(2)}$ and are shown in Table 3. The reported volumes are for the peak generation hours for the Shropshire-Dessau Retail Site.

Table 3: Unadjusted ITE Trip Generation

|  | DAILI | AM PEAK VOLS. |  | PM PEAK VOLS. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DESCRIPTION | Total | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter |
| Exit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Office | 191 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 88 | 15 | 73 |
| Shopping Center | 2,409 | 60 | 37 | 23 | 219 | 105 | 114 |
| Convenience Store open $15-16$ hours | No data | 334 | 167 | 167 | 243 | 119 | 124 |
| Drive in Bank | 592 | 30 | 17 | 13 | 110 | 55 | 55 |
| Fast Food w/ Drive-Thru | 1,617 | 173 | 88 | 85 | 113 | 59 | 54 |

Pass-by trips and internal capture can account for a significant portion of a site's generated traffic. Pass-by trips are attracted to the site from traffic passing on an adjacent street. Internal Capture trips are attracted to the site from other land uses on the site. Due to the site lay out and land uses within this site, adjustments for pass-by trips and internal capture were applied to the data shown in Table 3 and are shown in Table 4. The adjusted volumes are shown in Table 5.

Table 4: Pass-by and Internal Capture Rates

| ITE   INTERNAL  <br>      | PASS-BY |  | CAPTURE-PM |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | Enter | Exit |
| General Office | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Shopping Center | $0 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Convenience Store open 15-16 hours | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Drive in Bank | $0 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fast Food w/ Drive-Thru | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 5: Adjusted ITE Trip Generation

| ITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DESCRIPTION |  | DAILY VOLS. | AM PEAK VOLS. |  | PM PEAK VOLS. |  |  |
|  | Total | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |
| General Office | 186 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 82 | 11 | 71 |
| Shopping Center | 2,200 | 60 | 37 | 23 | 145 | 68 | 77 |
| Convenience Store open 15-16 hours | No Data | 334 | 167 | 167 | 93 | 44 | 49 |
| Drive in Bank | 444 | 30 | 17 | 13 | 58 | 29 | 29 |
| Fast Food w/ Drive-Thru | 1,292 | 89 | 46 | 43 | 57 | 31 | 26 |

Trip distribution is also the same as that shown in the original TIA. The intersection analyses performed for this study are based on the Highway Capacity Manual, 2000, (HCM) ${ }^{\text {il }}$, chapters sixteen and seventeen (16 \& 17). These sections discuss the methodology used to determine Level of Service at signalized and unsignalized intersections. Synchro ${ }^{\text {M }}$ version 6.0 is used to evaluate future conditions.

A summary of the analysis results is shown in Table 6. Analysis worksheets are provided in the Appendix.

Table 6: LOS Analysis

|  | PEAK | INTERSECTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECTION | PERIOD | DELAY | LOS |
| Shropshire \& | AM | 48.4 | D |
| Desssau | PM | 54.4 | D |
| Shropshire \& | AM | 1.1 | A |
| Driveway | PM | 2.5 | A |

As indicated in the above table, acceptable operations are projected for the intersections of Dessau and Shropshire as well as Shropshire and the proposed driveway.

## Findings and Recommendations

This study has analyzed the proposed driveway relocation on Shropshire for the year 2007. The relocation of this driveway is shown to have little to no impact on the surrounding roadway network and does not require any additional traffic control to operate at an acceptable Level of Service for the 2007 future year.

The measured sight distance at the intersection of the proposed driveway and Shropshire was found to be greater than the minimum calculated sight distance referenced in the AASHTO Green Book.

Based on the analysis performed in this study, it is our recommendation that the driveway location be approved as planned.

If you have any questions related to this matter, please contact me at 821-2081.

## Attachments:

Turning Movement Counts
Operational Analysis



Dessau - Shropshire Driveway Analaysis
AM Peak
8: Shropshire Boulevard \& Dessau Road

| Lane Configurations | 7 | 4 | $F$ | 71 | \% |  | \% | 44\% |  | 1 | 440 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1628 | 0 | 1770 | 4917 | 0 | 1770 | 5070 | 0 |
| Fit Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1626 | 0 | 1770 | 4917 | 0 | 1770 | 5070 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 130 |  | 127 |  |  | 78 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Volume ( vph ) | 165 | 38 | 287 | 349 | 21 | 117 | 148 | 681 | 195 | 223 | 2388 | 47 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 178 | 41 | 312 | 379 | 150 | 0 | 159 | 852 | 0 | 242 | 2647 | 0 |
| Tum Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 8 |  |
| Permilted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phases | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 12.0 |  | 5.0 | 30.0 |  | 5.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 18.0 | $18.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 14.0 | 18.0 |  | 11.0 | 36.0 |  | 11.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Split (8) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 62.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 14.5\% | 16.4\% | 16.4\% | 14.5\% | 16.4\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 43.6\% | 0.0\% | 25.5\% | 58.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yos | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 12.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 |  | 10.0 | 48.0 |  | 20.0 | 58.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.13 |  | 0.09 | 0.44 |  | 0.18 | 0.53 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.93 | 0.47 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 0.47 |  | 0.99 | 0.43 |  | 0.75 | 0.99 |  |
| Control Delay | 97.9 | 44.9 | 77.4 | 98.5 | 16.6 |  | 118.9 | 21.0 |  | 50.6 | 41.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 97.9 | 44.9 | 77.4 | 88.5 | 16.6 |  | 118.9 | 21.0 |  | 50.6 | 41.2 |  |
| LOS | F | D | E | F | B |  | F | C |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 81.8 |  |  | 75.3 |  |  | 35.0 |  |  | 42.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | $F$ |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |


Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.04
Intersection Signal Delay: 48.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.6\%
Intersection LOS: D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 8: Shropshire Boulevard \& Dessau Road


Dessau - Shropshire Driveway Analaysis
PM Peak 8: Shropshire Boulevard \& Dessau Road

| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | $F$ | 3 | \% |  | 4 | 440 |  | 1 | 440 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Satd. Fiow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1643 | 0 | 1770 | 5009 | 0 | 1770 | 5009 |  |
| Flt Permilted | 0.850 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1643 | 0 | 1770 | 5009 | 0 | 1770 | 5009 |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 91. |  | 105 |  |  | 21 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 240 | 43 | 84 | 191 | 51 | 187 | 309 | 2286 | 245 | 168 | 886 | 88 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 261 | 47 | 91 | 208 | 258 | 0 | 336 | 2751 | 0 | 183 | 1070 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phases | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 8 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 | . 12.0 |  | 5.0 | 30.0 |  | 5.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 11.0 | 18.0 |  | 11.0 | 36.0 |  | 11.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 82.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 57.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.7\% | 15.7\% | 15.7\% | 12.9\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 30.7\% | 58.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 40.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time ( 6 ) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 18.0 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 13.6 | 14.0 |  | 31.6 | 78.0 |  | 14.0 | 60.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.23 | 0.56 |  | 0.10 | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 1.14 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.82 | 1.00 |  | 0.84 | 0.98 |  | 1.03 | 0.49 |  |
| Control Delay | 157.0 | 56.9 | 13.4 | 68.8 | 91.6 |  | 60.1 | 43.5 |  | 136.8 | 30.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 157.0 | 56.9 | 13.4 | 68.8 | 91.6 |  | 60.1 | 43.5 |  | 136.8 | 30.1 |  |
| LOS | F | E | B | E | F |  | E | D |  | F | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 112.4 |  |  | 81.4 |  |  | 45.3 |  |  | 45.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Natural Cycle: 140
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.14
Intersection Signal Delay: 53.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 99.8\%
Intersection LOS: D
ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 8: Shropshire Boulevard \& Dessau Road


|  | $\lambda$ | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | , |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | F |  | $Y$ |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Froe | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 379 | 150 | 24 | 43 | 2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.92 |  |
| Houry flow rate (vph) | 7 | 412 | 163 | 28 | 47 | 2 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Widh ( t ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (f) |  | . | 209 |  |  |  |  |
| PX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, connticting volume | 189 |  |  |  | 601 | 176 |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unblocked vol | 189 |  |  |  | 601 | 176 |  |
| tC; single ( s ) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (8) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  |  | 90 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1385 |  |  |  | 461 | 867 |  |
| Dlechendey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 418 | 189 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 0 | 47 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 28 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1385 | 1700 | 471 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (8) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |



| Average Delay | 1.1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $34.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |




## MEMORANDUM

| TO: | Sherri Sirwaitis, Case Manager <br> Members of the City Council |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Amy Link, Transportation Review |
| DATE: | June 15, 2006 |
| SUBJECT: | Median Break in Shropshire Boulevard <br> Case Number - C14-05-0176 and C14-05-0177 |

In response to questions raised by the City Council regarding a possible median break in Shropshire Boulevard, the following information is provided.

Based upon information provided by Trey Gamble, P.E., of Alliance Transportation Group, if driveway access from the northern tract to Shropshire Boulevard was shifted further west to align with a possible median break in Shropshire Boulevard, adequate sight distance is currently available to accommodate traffic accessing this driveway.

| Sight Distance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | Minimum Required <br> Site Distance | Measured Sight <br> Distance |
| Left Turn | 331 feet | 612 feet |
| Right Turn | 287 feet | 290 feet |

An intersection analysis of the relocated driveway as well as the intersection of Shropshire Boulevard and Dessau Road was also performed to assess the impact of a full function driveway resulting from the addition of a median break in Shropshire Boulevard. As depicted in the following table, the level of service will remain acceptable.

| Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | 2007 Site + <br> Forecasted |  |
|  | AM | PM |
|  | Shropshire and Dessau | D |
| D |  |  |
| Shropshire and Driveway 3 | A | A |

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 974-2628.

Senior Planner~Transportation Review Staff




## Case Number: C14-05-0176

Contact: $\quad$ Sherri Sirwaitis, (512) 974-3057
Public Hearing: May 18, 2006 City Council Meeting

Priscilla Greene<br>XI object<br>11811 Rotherham Drive<br>Austin, Texas 78753

I have small children and cannot possibly attend a City Council meeting in the evening, so hopefully this letter will be adequate representation.

I am completely opposed to this rezoning. I live directly behind the proposed area. I chose to live there almost 17 years ago primarily because of the lovely 'green belt' that sits directly behind my home with its little creek and hiking trail. At that time Dessau was just a two lane road with trees canopying across the roadway. A more serene sight you could not find anywhere near a large city. I counted myself very fortunate. At night I slept with the windows open and almost every night we heard Coyotes howling.

No one consulted me when they widened Dessau and chopped down all but one really old oak tree; so when that happened I just had to accept it. It is so noisy at night I now have to keep my windows closed but that's okay; I can still look out and see nothing but green behind my house. Besides all the Coyotes are gone now anyway, either killed or relocated, I can't bring myself to think about it.

I know widening Dessau was inevitable with the growth this city has undergone. I can't imagine trying to drive a two lane Dessau Road. But I cannot see any benefit of building yet another convenience store or strip mall on that tiny little piece of land. It just doesn't make any sense. Please, please don't let them take away that one little piece of heaven at my backdoor.

Thank you for your time in considering this objection.
Sincerely,



[^0]:    Amy Link

