Rental Housing Development Assistance Application for Rental Development Financing

Boulevard Lofts

Austin, TX

Submitted by:
Janine Sisak
DMA Development Company, LLC
4101 Parkstone Heights Drive, Suite 310
Austin, TX 78746
512-328-3232 ext. 4505
janines@dmacompanies.com

RENTAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE (RHDA)

Application for Rental Development Financing

PLEASE NOTE: AHFC Reserves the right to fund projects at a lower amount than requested, and the right to deny applications that do not coincide with the City's FY 2013-14 Action Plan goals and policy direction from the Austin City Council.

Project Name:	Boulevard Lofts (Place	eholder Name)			
Project Address:	West Side of Aldrich S Jordan Blvd. and 51 st S		Zip Code:	78723	
Total # units in proje	ct/property:	231	Census Tract Number:	48453000306	
Total # units to be as	ssisted with RHDA Funding	g: 70	-		
Project type (check a	III that apply with an ' \mathbf{X} '):				
X Acquisition	Rehabilitation X	New construction	Refinance R	Rent Buy-Down	
Amount of funds requ	uested: \$2,000,000	Terms Request	red: Forgivable Loa	ın	
Role of applicant in P	roject (check all that appl	y): X Owner	X Developer	X Sponsor	
for the developer as	well as for the applicant.	ot acting as the developer, p . If the developer involves ion for each, and identify	multiple entities, is a par	rtnership or joint	
DMA Development Name		X Developer Applicant is	Consultant/0s (please check appropriate		
4101 Parkstone He Street Address	ights Drive, Suite 310				
Austin	Te	xas, 78746	(512) 328-3232	x 4505	
City		ate, Zip	Telephone	X -1000	
Janine Sisak	(5:	12) 328-3232 ext. 4505	janines@dmacom	panies.com	
Contact Person	Cor	ntact Telephone	E-mail address		
	07	8744055			
Federal Tax ID Numb	per D-l	U-N-S Number (REQUIRED -	Visit <u>www.dnb.com</u> for fr	ee DUNS#)	
		ne data included in this a adated submissions will no		hibits attached	
DMA Development		Ra			
Legal Name of Devel	oper/Entity	Signature of Autho	orized Officer		
President/Manage	r	August 6, 2014			
Title		Date			

CONSIDER SMOKE-FREE HOUSING

The City of Austin encourages the development of smoke-free rental housing. Smoke-free housing protects the health of residents by decreasing exposure to harmful secondhand smoke. Also, apartment owners and managers reap the benefits of more efficient and less expensive unit turnovers, potentially lower insurance premiums, and reduced risk of fires.

Smoke-free policies are legally permissible and can be a marketing advantage for attracting and retaining residents. More than 80 percent of people living in the Austin area do not use tobacco, and a 2011 survey conducted by the Austin/Travis County Health and Human Services Department found that 77 percent of renters in Travis County would prefer to live in tobacco-free housing.

Find out how you can protect the health of residents, make your property safer, and save money by downloading a copy of "A Manager's Guide to Smoke-Free Housing Policies" at: http://www.livetobaccofreeaustin.org/owners.php.

Please answer the following questions.

Is th	is development intended to have restrictions on smoking?	_XYes	No
If "Y	es," what level of restriction is intended?		
_X	No smoking anywhere on the property, inside or outside		
_X	No smoking Inside residents' units		
_X	No smoking in outdoor exclusive use areas such as individual b	palconies or patios	
_X	No smoking in outdoor common areas such as pool, parking lo	t, green spaces, etc.	
	No smoking outdoors within a reasonable distance from building moke from entering another resident's open windows or doors.	ng entrances (such as 1	5 – 25 feet) to prevent

2.	Α.	Non-profit	applicants	developers,	attached co	opies of t	he following:

- 1. A "certificate of status" issued by the Texas Secretary of State.
- 2. Federal IRS certification granting non-profit tax-exempt status.
- 3. Certified financial audit for most recent year which include the auditor's opinion and management letters.
- 4. Board resolution approving the proposed project and authorizing the request for funding

B. For-profit applicants/developers, attach copies of the following:

- 1. For Corporations, Limited Partnerships, and Limited Liability Companies, a copy of a "certificate of status" issued by the Texas Secretary of State.
- 2. A current financial statement

facility.

3. Proof of sufficient reserves or a line of credit available, if necessary, in order to complete the proposed project.

roject	Type (Please check <u>any</u> that apply.) This project is considered:
Х	Traditional Rental Housing (serving low-income households, and resident services may or not be provided)
	Transitional Housing (case management services provided and residency limited to a certain length of time, usually no more than 24 months)
Х	Permanent Supportive Housing (Considered long-term rental housing for very low-income families and individuals who are among the hardest to serve and who are most vulnerable to homelessness. This type of housing provides case management services to residents as needed)
lf you	checked Permanent Supportive Housing, please complete the information below.
	 A. Numbers of proposed PSH Units: 231 Total Number of Units in project 10 Total Number of Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Units Proposed
	B. Check the population or sub-population(s) proposed to be served and indicate the number of units dedicated to that population or sub-population.
	1 Persons needing "Housing First," a Permanent Supportive Housing model typically designed for individuals or families who have complex service needs, who are often turned away from other affordable housing settings, and/or who are least likely to be able to proactively seek and obtain housing on their own. Housing First approaches also include rapid re-housing which provides quick access to permanent housing through interim rental assistance and supportive services on a time-limited basis.
	Number of Units
	Individuals or families headed by individuals that are:
	2Chronically homeless as established in the HEARTH Act (Homeless Emergency and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009) found at 24 CFR Part 577.
	Number of Units
	3Households that would otherwise meet the HUD definition of chronically homeless per the HEARTH Act, but have been in an institution for over 90 days , including a jail prison, substance abuse facility, mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar

4. ____Unaccompanied youth or families with children defined as homeless under other federal statutes who:

a. have experienced a long-term period without living independently in permanent housing;

Number of Units

youth with a disability, or multiple barriers to employment.

Number of Units ______

A single adult or household led by an adult 'aging out' of state custody of the foster care or juvenile probation system, where the head of household is homeless or atrisk of homelessness.

Number of Units ______

Number of units ______

Number of units ______

Number of units ______

have experienced persistent instability as measured by frequent moves over such

c. can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time because of chronic disabilities, chronic physical health or mental health conditions, substance addiction, histories of domestic violence or childhood abuse, the presence of a child or

NOTE: APPLICANTS CHECKING B.1, B.2, B.3, or B.4 ABOVE MUST COLLECT AND REPORT INFORMATION INTO THE HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SERVICE (HMIS)

- **4. Project Description**. Provide a brief project description that addresses items "A" through "L" below.
 - a. Describe the tenant population, income levels, and services, if any, to be provided to or made available to residents.

The proposed development will serve the general population. Approximately 209 of the 231 units will be income restricted to those with incomes less than 60% of the Area's median income. 65% of the total units will be for tenants with approximate incomes less than 60% of the area's median income. 20% of the total units will be for tenant with approximate incomes less than 50%. 6% of the total units will be for tenants with approximate incomes less than 30% of the area's median income. For a family of 1, those approximate income levels are \$31,680, \$25,400 and \$15,840 respectively. For a family of 2, those approximate income levels are \$36,240, \$30,200 and \$18,120 respectively. For a family of 3, those approximate income levels are \$40,740, \$33,950 and \$20,370 respectively. The total restricted income percentage of the Development is 90%. 10% will be non-income restricted.

For this development, DMA will offer a full slate of supportive services, which may include educational programming, financial planning courses, character building programs, scholastic tutoring, and annual income tax preparation. DMA will encourage a high level of resident participation because doing so creates a safer and more prosperous community. DMA will also encourage volunteerism among our residents and within the broader Mueller community.

b. Include the type of structure (multi-family or single-family), number and size of units in square feet.

The proposed development will be located on a 3.5 acre site west of Aldridge between Barbara Jordan Boulevard and 51st Street. It will be a single multi-family structure, containing approximately 231 units, wrapped around a parking garage and an interior courtyard. The building will be four stories. It will contain some ground floor commercial space on Barbara Jordan. The unit mix is as follows:

121 one bedroom/one bath units at approximately 700 square feet

period; and

102 two bedroom/one bath units at approximately 900 square feet

8 three bedroom/two bath units at approximately 1,100 square feet.

c. Indicate whether the property is occupied at the time this application is being submitted.

The property is currently vacant and undeveloped.

d. Indicate whether the project meets the requirements of the City's Vertical Mixed-Use (VMU) Ordinance, or is in a Planned-Unit Development (PUD) or Transit Oriented Development (TOD), or is located less than .25 miles from a transit stop (not just a transit route).

The project meets the requirements of the Mueller Planned-Unit Development District. Per City Ordinance No. 040826-61, which set forth the limitations and conditions of the PUD, the project is located an area designated as mixed-residential which permits multi-family and mixed use buildings. Additionally, City Ordinance No. 041216-83 created a tax increment financing reinvestment zone under Chapter 311 of the Texas Tax Code, which designated the Mueller property as a tax increment financing district named "Reinvestment Zone #16, City of Austin, Texas."

e. Indicate whether the project will preserve existing affordable rental units.

There are no existing structures on the site, therefore no residents will be displaced or relocated

f. If there are existing structures, provide documentation from the taxing authority or another third-party source indicating the year the structure was built.

There are no existing structures on the site, therefore no residents will be displaced or relocated.

g. Indicate the number of units reserved for Housing Choice Voucher holders (Section 8).

There are no units reserved for Section 8 Households, although the community will accept Section 8 voucher holders.

h. Indicate the number of units that are or will be made accessible and adaptable for persons with mobility, sight or hearing disabilities.

All units will be designed as adaptable, but will provide a greater level of accessibility than required of adaptable units. Specifically, all units will include accessibility features such as grab bars, roll-in showers, and sufficient turning radius in the kitchen and bathrooms. Additionally, five percent of the units will be designed as fully accessible for people with mobility impairments, while another two percent will be designed as accessible for those with vision and hearing impairments.

i. Demonstrate the Project's compatibility with current Neighborhood Plan (if applicable).

Not Applicable. The site is located in a non-Neighborhood Planning Area.

j. Summarize the key financials of the project, clearly indicating the total project cost, the amount and intended use of AHFC funds being requested, and the amount(s) and provider(s) of other funding and the stage of those funding commitments.

The total project cost will be approximately \$35,676,000. The construction funding sources include a construction loan from JP Morgan Chase Bank in the amount of \$20,000,000, a loan from the City of Austin in the amount of \$2,000,000, and investor equity in the amount of \$9,868,587. The majority of the developer fee (\$2,807,388) will not be paid during construction, so the total construction sources balance the total construction uses.

The construction loan from JP Morgan Chase Bank will carry an interest rate of approximately 5.21% and will require interest-only payments during the term of the loan. Construction loan interest, assuming the loan is fully drawn for one year, will be approximately \$1,042,000.

The Austin Housing Finance Corporation loan is a fully forgivable loan and therefore will have no payments during the construction period.

The permanent loan and a portion of the investor equity will pay off the construction loan. The permanent loan from JP Morgan Chase will be in the amount of \$20,000,000. The loan will carry an interest rate of 5.21% based on a current quote. This loan has a 40 year amortization.

The total equity available from Boston Capital as a permanent source of funding will be \$9,868,587.

The Austin Housing Finance Corporation loan in the amount of \$2,000,000* will also be available as a permanent source of funding. It is a fully forgivable loan which is non-amortizing and does not require any repayment as long

as the applicant is not in default of the loan agreement. (*Note – It is possible we may request additional City funding at a later date upon current construction pricing.)

The final source of permanent funding will be the developer fee note in the amount of \$2,807,388.

Please attach the following to the description of the above items:

k. A map $(8 \frac{1}{2}$ " x 11") indicating the property location and the distance to the nearest Capital Metro Transit Stop to which residents will have access.

See attached behind Tab 2.

5. Site Control and Demonstration of Value

Include evidence of site control such as a warranty deed or a current earnest money contract, and provide a real estate appraisal or current tax documentation that substantiates the value of the property.

See attached behind Tab 3.

6. Zoning

Include a letter from the City of Austin's Planning and Development Review Department (PDRD) verifying that the current zoning of the site for the proposed project is compatible with the anticipated use, or include documentation verifying that a request to change current zoning has been submitted to PDRD. Should the project be approved for funding, the appropriate zoning must be in place prior to execution of loan documents.

See attached behind Tab 4.

7. S.M.A.R.T. Housing™. Include a copy of the letter that indicates the project has been reviewed and meets S.M.A.R.T. Housing™ requirements.

See attached behind Tab 5.

8. Development Team and Capacity. Identify below the persons or entities anticipated to be involved in the project, such as lenders, attorneys, accountants, architects, engineers, general contractor, sub-contractors, property managers and consultants. Also, indicate if any person or entity involved is certified by the City of Austin as a minority or women-owned business enterprise (MBE/WBE), or if any of the entities are also non-profit organizations.

Please also provide narrative information about the skills you or your development team members have in the following areas:

- a. project management,
- b. market analysis,
- c. site selection and control,
- d. planning and construction,
- e. design, architecture and engineering,
- f. legal and accounting,
- g. federal funding rules and
- h. other funding source rules (e.g. Low Income Housing Tax Credits).

	Name and Contact Information	MBE? (Mark X if Yes)	WBE? (Mark X if Yes)	Non- profit? (Mark X if Yes)
Owner	Austin DMA Housing II, LLC			
Developer	DMA Development Co., LLC		X	
Architect	Nelsen Partners, Inc.			
Engineer	Urban Design Group		Χ	
Construction	IDMorgan Chasa Bank			
Lender	JPMorgan Chase Bank			
Other	N/A			
Lenders	IN/ A			

Attorney	Coats, Rose, Yale, Ryman & Lee, P.C.			
Accountant	Novogradac & Company			
General	Corleton Construction Ltd	v		
Contractor	Carleton Construction, Ltd.	X		
Consultant (if	N/A			
Applicable)	IN/ A			
Property				
Management	DMA Properties, LLC		X	
Provider				
Other:	N/A			

9. Development Schedule. Complete the grid below. <u>You may re-order the steps according to the appropriate sequence for your project and to add in any other significant steps integral to your project's development. If the multiple properties are involved, provide a development schedule for each property.</u>

	DATE(S)
Acquisition and/or holding	March 1, 2015
Environmental and/or historic review (AHFC)	<u>September 1, 2014</u>
Securing and packaging project financing	October 1, 2014
Construction Specifications and Cost estimates	October 1, 2014
Construction Bids	January 1, 2015
Construction Start	June1, 2015
Anticipated Draws (list all)	June 1, 2015
	Through December
	1, 2016, one per
	<u>month</u>
End Construction	December 1, 2016
Start of Rent-up	November 1, 2016
Completion & Operation	<u>December 1, 2016</u>

10.	Accessible and Adaptable Units.	Indicate the number of un	its proposed to be	accessible and adaptable
	for persons with mobility, sight and	hearing disabilities as requir	red by RHDA Progra	m Guidelines.

214 Units adaptable for persons with mobility disabilities
12 Units accessible for persons with mobility disabilities
214 Units adaptable for persons with sight and hearing disabilitie
5 Units accessible for persons with sight and hearing disabilities

11. Developer Capacity. Provide narrative information on recent, similar, and successful experience in affordable housing development. Include experience using multiple fund sources, managing affordable rental developments, and previous working history with the Austin Housing Finance Corporation.

See DMA's corporate profile provide attached behind Tab 6. We have significant experience working with the Austin Housing Finance Corporation, both in a developer capacity and in a consulting capacity. Examples of such are Wildflower Terrace, La Vista de Guadalupe, Franklin Gardens (fka Chestnut Corner), Lyons Gardens, Oak Springs Villas, and Eberhart Place.

12. Detailed Project Budget. Use the following table, or comparable format, to provide a complete project budget. Add line-items as necessary. If this project has already received funding from AHFC, indicate by line item the amounts from the prior award.

	DETAILED I	PROJECT BU	IDGET	
		Prior	RHDA	Description or Comments
	Cost	award of RHDA Funds (if any)	Funds Requested	·
PREDEVELOPMENT				
Appraisal	See Attached Development Cost Schedule			
Environmental Review				
Engineering				
Survey				
Architectural				
TOTAL PREDEVELOPMENT				
ACQUISITION				
Site and/or Land				
Structures				
Other (specify)				
TOTAL ACQUISITION				
HARD COSTS				
Infrastructure				
Site work				
Demolition				
Concrete				
Masonry				
Rough carpentry				
Finish carpentry Waterproofing & Insulation				
Roofing & Sheet Metal				
Plumbing/Hot Water				
HVAC				
Electrical				
Doors/Windows/Glass				
Lath & Plaster/ Drywall &				
Acoustical				
Tile work				
Soft & Hard Floor				
Paint/Decorating/Blinds/Shades				
Specialties/Special Equipment Cabinetry/Appliances				
Cabinetry/Appliances Carpet				
Other (Please specify)				
Construction Contingency				
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION				
SOFT & CARRYING COSTS				
Legal				
Audit/Accounting				
Title/Recording				
Architectural (Inspections)				
Construction Interest				
Construction Period Insurance				
Construction Period Taxes				
Relocation				
Marketing Davis-Bacon Monitoring				
Davis-Dacuti wotilloling		i		

Other: (Specify)		
TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET		

- **13. Funds Proposal**. Provide the following information to facilitate financial review of the proposed project:
 - a. **Sources and Uses of Funds** Complete **Tables A & B (below)**, identifying all sources and uses of funds to implement project and include evidence of funds anticipated (financial statements, commitment letters, etc.).

TABLE A: SOURC	Intended Use of Funds (Predevelopment, Acquisition, Construction, Soft Costs)				
	Term	Intere	Amount	Evidence (Deed,	
		Rate		Sales Contract)	
Owner Equity					See attached Sources and Uses
Private Financing (List Lenders)					
Other Sources (List Below)					
Proposed RHDA Funds					
TOTAL					

TABLE B: USES OF FUNDS SUMMARY							
Total Cost Cost/Unit							
Predevelopment							
Acquisition							
Hard Costs							
Soft & Carrying Costs							
TOTAL							

b. Leveraging - Complete Table C (below).

TABLE C: PERCENTAGE OF RHDA FUNDS								
RHDA Funds	2,000,000							
Other Funds								
Total Project Cost	35,675,975							
RHDA Funds Total Project Cost=	6%							

c. **Operating Proforma** – In a format comparable to Table D below, prepare a minimum twenty (20) year financial Operating Proforma which realistically reflects the operation of the project relative to current and anticipated revenues, expenses and debt. The Proforma must indicate the anticipated debt coverage ratio (DCR) calculated as follows: net operating income (NOI)/debt service (DS) = DCR. For projects that will not carry debt, use the number "1" as the denominator in the equation.

TABLE D: OPERATING PROFORMA							
Unit Size (BR/BA)	Number Units	Monthly Rental Income	Annual Rental Income				
See attached proforma							
FULL OCCUPANCY ANNUAL INCOME							
Less Vacancy Loss (Indicate							
GROSS ANNUAL INCOME	<u> </u>						

Inflation Factor - Income	
Inflation Factor - Expense	

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Gross Annual Income	See attached				
EXPENSES					
Utilities					
Insurance					
Maintenance/Repair					
Property Taxes					
Management					
Marketing					
Maintenance Reserve					
Other (specify)					
Other (specify)					
TOTAL EXPENSES					
NET OPERATING					
INCOME (NOI)					
Sources of Funds &					
Debt Service					
TOTAL ANNUAL					
Debt Service (DS)					
Cash-flow after Debt Serv (CF = NOI - DS)					
(5. 1.0. 20)					
Debt Coverage Ratio (DCR = NOI/DS)					

14. Good Neighbor Policy. Please refer to the City's Good Neighbor Guidelines and demonstrate compliance with the Good Neighbor Policy by completing the Good Neighbor Checklist and providing the documentation requested.

DMA Development Company is familiar with the City of Austin Good Neighbor Guidelines. The proposed development is located in one of the Non-neighborhood Planning Areas (RMMA). However, the Mueller Neighborhood Association is aware of this proposed development and we are scheduled to present more details at the August and September regularly scheduled meetings. We worked with this same group several years ago when we were proposing Wildflower Terrace at Mueller, and we received their strong written support. We are also presenting to the Mueller Planning and Implementation Committee in August and will go through the New Construction Council process required for all developments at Mueller.

- **15. Description of Supportive Services.** If supportive services are NOT to be provided, **please stop here**. For all other projects, if supportive services are to be provided to residents, provide a description of the services that includes the following information:
 - a. A description of the supportive services to be provided to residents and/or clients.
 - b. The number and types of residents/clients expected to be served annually.
 - c. Describe the developer's experience and qualifications in providing the services to be offered.
 - d. If services are not provided by the developer of the project, include a description of the organization(s) providing the services and a memorandum of understanding or some other type of agreement that indicates the relationship between the developer and service provider.
 - d. Provide resumes of key personnel who will be actively involved in the delivery of services. Resumes should include information about certifications, licenses, years of experience, and education.
 - f. Demonstrate financial capacity to provide support services and/or operate a supportive services program by providing the following information:
 - 1. <u>Sources of Funds</u>: Identify sources and amounts of funds that will be or are expected to be utilized to provide supportive services.
 - 2. <u>Budget</u>: Include a supportive services budget which reflects current and anticipated funding and expenses associated with the provision of services for three (3) years.

See the attached Supportive Services information behind tab 10.

ATTENTION:

Please submit with the Application a completed "self-evaluation" using the following Scoring Criteria.

RHDA PROGRAM SCORING CRITERIA

REQUIRED IN	NFORMATION:							
2a. Non- 2b. For- 3. Project 4. Site C 5. Zonin 6. S.M.A 7. Devel 8. Devel	cant Information profit Required It OR profit Required Ite ot Description control/Value gR.T. Housing opment Team opment Schedule oper Capacity		1 1 1	 Experience/Qu Project Budge Funds Proposa Sources Uses Leverag Operati 	t <u>></u> al: s	X X X X X		
EVALUATION	CRITERIA:							
(Affordable I 1. AFFORDA If develop each incor	ations must receive above the minim Housing Core Va di BLE UNITS (max ment has a mix of	ve a minimum soum score does Cities: Deeper lispersion of aff dimum 25 points of 30%, 40%, a of the maximum soum and source.	core of 150 point not guarantee fur one guarantee fur one guarantee fur one guarantee fur one guarantee fur of afford for dable units to the guarantee fur of 25 points. If	nts out of a max nding. DINTS ability, long-tent hroughout the units, add the retailed the percentage of the max	rm affordability City.) esults for the per	evaluation criteria 40 points. PLEASE 7, and geographic Score 15 reentage of units in n MFI level is not a MFI level		
	% of Afforda	able Units in Pr	roject (only cou	ınt units reserv	ed for 50% MF	I and below)		
% MFI	10% of units	20% of units	30% of units	40% of units	50% of units	60% of units		
50%	3	5	10	15	20	25		
40%	5	10	15	20	25			
30%	10	15	20	25				
	DABILITY PERIO ts: Affordability p 99 years;					Score _25		
	OR	OR .						

40 years, and project is applying for Low Income Housing Tax Credits. Note: AHFC funding is contingent upon the award of Low Income Housing Tax Credits.

		Score
3.	GEOGRAPHIC DISPERSION (maximum 25 points)	_15
	Project is located in an area identified according to the Kirwan Institute's Comprehensive Opp Austin as having greater opportunity for low-income households.	ortunity Map of
	25 points: Very High priority area 20 points: High priority area 15 points: Moderate priority area 10 points: Low priority area 5 points: Very Low priority area	
	INITIATIVES AND PRIORITIES POINTS	
	(Permanent Supportive Housing, Sustainability, Priority Locations, Accessible and Interpretation of Affordable Housing)	egrated, and
		Score
4.	PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH) (maximum 25 points)	10
	25 points: "Housing First" model.	
	15 points: Project will reserve units for PSH for the following populations:	
	 Chronically Homeless as established in the HEARTH Act (24 CFR Part 577) Have been in an institution for over 90 days Unaccompanied youth or families with children defined as homeless under other Youth "aging out" of state custody or the foster care or the juvenile probation sy 	
	10 points: Project will reserve units for PSH for populations other than those listed above.	Score
5.	SINGLE-FAMILY RENTAL HOUSING, INCLUDING SECONDARY UNITS ("GREEN ALLEY INITIATIVE") (20 points)	0
	20 points: Project consists of either new construction or rehabilitation of one or more single units, secondary units, or units compatible with the City's "Green Alley Initiative."	-family rental
		Score
6.	ACCESSIBILITY AND HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (maximum 20 points)	o

_______10 points: Units to be designated for persons with disabilities as defined in the Fair Housing Act: for Multi-family developments, (i.e. 5 or more units), at least 25% of all units; for single-family rental housing (i.e., 1 to 4 units) 1 or more units.

(i.e., 1 to 4 units), 50% or more of the total number of units will be made accessible per the Uniform Federal

__10 points: In multi-family developments, (i.e. 5 or more units) or for single-family rental housing

Accessibility Standards (UFAS).

			Score
7.	PRIORITY L	OCATION (10 points)	10
	10 points:	Project is:	
	<u>X</u> a P	ated in a Vertical Mixed-Use (VMU) Corridor; or lanned-Unit Development (PUD); or ated within a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) area, or ocated 0.25 miles (1,320 feet) or less from a transit stop.	Score
8.	PRESERVAT	ION OF AFFORDABLE UNITS (10 points)	0
	being constru	Project is the rehabilitation and preservation of existing affordable housin ucted to replace existing affordable units at the same location on a one-than one-to-one replacement basis.	
9.	TRANSITIO	NAL HOUSING (10 points)	0
	10 points:	Project will be developed and operated exclusively as transitional housing.	
		UNDERWRITING POINTS	
(E	XPERIENCE,	CAPACITY, DEVELOPMENT FEASIBILITY, OPERATIONAL FEASIBILI WITH OTHER PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS)	TY, COMPATIBILITY Score
10.	DEVELOPER	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (maximum 15 points)	15
	15 points:	Developer has recent, similar, and successful completion of a developer scope with income-restricted units.	nent similar in size and
	10 points:	Developer has recent, similar, and successful completion of a developed scope with income-restricted units.	nent smaller in size and
	8 points:	Consultant directly involved who has successfully completed a develope scope with income-restricted units.	ment similar in size and
	5 points:	Developer has recent, similar, and successful completion of a developed scope without income-restricted units	nent similar in size and
		•••••	Score
11.	SOURCES &	USES OF FUNDS (maximum 10 points)	10
	10 points:	All sources and uses of funds are clearly indicated and sufficient eviden	ce of funding availability

and/or commitments are included.

5 points: All sources and uses of fund are clearly indicated, but evidence of funding availability or commitments are incomplete.

				Score
12.	DEBT COVE	RAGE RATIO (1	naximum 10 points)	6
	10 points: 6 points:		25 or greater or will be a debt-fr een 1.21 - 1.24	ee development
	4 points:	DCR betv	een 1.15 - 1.20	Score
13.	LEVERAGE ((maximum 10 pc	nts)	10
		nm funding (inclu : Costs equals:	ling prior awards and the curren	t request) divided by
	10 points: 8 points: 6 points: 4 points: 2 points:	25% or le 26% - 30° 31% - 35° 36% - 50° 51% - 54°	6 6 6	
	0 points:	55% or gr	eater	Score
14.	RHDA COST	PER UNIT in \$1	000s (maximum 10 points)	10
	10 points 8 points 6 points 4 points 2 points	Multi-Unit Structures <\$40/unit <\$45/unit <\$50/unit <\$55/unit <\$60/unit	Single-Unit Structures <\$50/unit <\$60/unit <\$70/unit <\$80/unit <\$90/unit	Score
15.	PROJECT RE	ADINESS (max	mum 10 points)	2
	X TheTheTheAll 6	ach; maximum e project meets t e property is alre e project has com environmental re	e normal eligibility requirements dy owned by the developer.	s under the existing program guidelines. and received site plan approval. sources.
	2 points ea The All e The A G	environmental re project has firm eneral Contracto		
	2.5 points points will beTheAll e	pe rounded to 8.5 project meets t environmental re project has firm	n 10 points (A total score of 2.5	

16.	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT (maximum 10 points)	10								
	10 points: Designated Property Management Entity has documented track record of success managing income-restricted properties of similar size and/or similar unit counts, and has the capacity to take or management of the proposed project.									
	8 points: Designated Property Management Entity has a documented track record of success managing income- restricted properties of smaller size and/or fewer units, and has the capacity to take on management of the proposed project.									
	4 points: Designated Property Management Entity has a documented track record management experience and has the capacity to take on management of the proposed									
	not managed an income-restricted property.	Score								
17 .	SUPPORTIVE SERVICES (maximum 15 points)	15								
	15 points:									
	 The developer has secured <u>written agreements</u> with organizations that will proor has experienced and qualified staff (7 or more years of experience) abl services. 									
	b. Funds have been identified for the operation of resident services programs.c. A 3-year estimated operating budget for the operation of the resident services	s programs is provided.								
	10 points:									
	 a. The developer has secured <u>letters of intent</u> from organizations that inter services, or has experienced and qualified staff (3 to 6 years of experience) at services. 									
	b. Funds have been identified for the operation of the resident services programs.									
	c. A 3-year estimated operating budget for the operation of the resident services									
	5 points:									
	 a. The developer has experienced and qualified staff (1 to 2 years of experiences) same resident services. 	ce) able to provide the								
	b. Funds have been identified for the operation of the resident services programs.c. A 3-year estimated operating budget for the operation of the resident services									
		Score								
18.	MBE/WBE PROJECT PARTICIPATION (5 points)	0								
	5 points: Development Team includes one or more <u>registered City of Austin minority-obusiness enterprises</u> (M/WBE).	or woman-owned								

TOTAL SCORE ______

SUMMARY OF SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

	Construction	Period	Permanent Period						
Funding Description	Loan/Equity Amount	Interest Rate (%)	Loan/Equity Amount	Interest Rate (%)	Amort	Term	Syndication Rate (\$)	Debt Service	Financing Participants
DEBT									
Conventional Loan	\$20,000,000	5.21%	\$20,000,000	5.21%	40	18		\$1,190,849	TBD
Local Government Loan	\$4,000,000	0.00%	\$2,000,000	0.00%	0	0		#NUM!	City of Austin
	\$0	0.00%	\$1,000,000	0.00%	0	0		#NUM!	FHLB of SF
	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	0	0		#NUM!	0
								#NUM!	
								#NUM!	
Other (Please Describe)								#NUM!	
Other (Please Describe)								#NUM!	
Third Party Equity		,				•			
HTC Syndication Proceeds	\$4,934,294		\$9,868,587				1.00		
Other (Please Describe)									
Grant		1							
Other (Please Describe)									
Deferred Developer Fee		1 1	Φ2.007.200	1		1	<u> </u>		
Deferred Developer Fee			\$2,807,388						
Odlam (Nama a Dagamila)									
Other (Please Describe)									
Other									
DI D'l									
Please Describe Please Describe									
	¢ 20.024.204		\$ 25 CZ5 DZ5						
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS			\$ 35,675,975 \$ 35,675,975						
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS			\$ 35,675,975						

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE

	TOTAL DEV	ELOPMENT SU	Expected Payee Taxpayer					
	Total	Eligible Basis		Identification Number (TIN) ¹				
	Cost	Acquisition	New/Rehab.	(and % of cost if item involves multiple payees)				
ACQUISITION		<u> </u>		1 1 3 /				
Site acquisition cost	1,732,500							
Existing building acquisition cost	7 2 7222							
Closing costs & acq. legal fees								
Other (specify) - see footnote 2								
Other (specify) - see footnote 2								
Subtotal Acquisition Cost	\$1,732,500	\$0	\$0					
OFF-SITES ³								
Off-site concrete								
Storm drains & devices								
Water & fire hydrants								
Off-site utilities								
Sewer lateral(s)								
Off-site paving								
Off-site electrical								
Other (specify) - see footnote 2								
Garage								
Subtotal Off-Sites Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0					
SITE WORK ⁴	+0	40	ΨŪ					
Demolition								
Rough grading								
Fine grading			0					
On-site concrete			0					
On-site electrical			0					
On-site paving			0					
On-site utilities			0					
Decorative masonry			0					
Bumper stops, striping & signs			0					
Other (specify) - see footnote 2			0					
Subtotal Site Work Cost	\$800,000	\$0	\$800,000					
SITE AMENITIES	Ψ000,000	ΨΟ	Ψ000,000					
Landscaping			0					
Pool and decking	100,000		100,000					
Athletic court(s), playground(s)	100/000		0					
Fencing			0					
Other (specify) - see footnote 2			0					
Other (specify) - see footnote 2			0					
Subtotal Site Amenities Cost	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000					
BUILDING COSTS*:	¥ 100/000	40	ψ. σσίσσο					
Concrete	16,000,000		16,000,000					
Masonry	10,000,000		0					
Metals			0					
Woods and Plastics			0					
Thermal and Moisture Protection			0					
Roof Covering			0					
Doors and Windows			0					
Finishes			0					
Specialties			0					
Equipment			0					

DEVELOPMENT NAME:	4	800 Berkman		City:	Austin
BUILDING COSTS (Continued):					
Furnishings				0	
Special Construction	-			0	
Conveying Systems (Elevators)	-			0	
Mechanical (HVAC; Plumbing)	-			0	
Electrical				0	
Individually itemize costs below:	_				
Detached Community Facilities/Building				0	
Carports and/or Garages				0	
Lead-Based Paint Abatement				0	
Asbestos Abatement				0	
Structured Parking		3,708,000		3,708,000	12K per space/311 spaces
Retail Shell				0	
Other (specify) - see footnote 2				0	
Subtotal Building Costs	Г	\$19,708,000	\$0	\$19,708,000	
	_	-			
TOTAL BUILDING COSTS & SITE WORK	Γ	\$20,608,000	\$0	\$20,608,000	
TOTAL BOILDING GOSTS & SITE WORK		\$20,000,000	ΦU	\$20,000,000	
OTHER CONSTRUCTION COSTS					
General requirements (<6%)	6.00%	1,236,480		1,236,480	
Field supervision (within GR limit)	0.0070	1,230,400		1,230,400	
Contractor overhead (<2%)	2.00%	412,160		412,160	
G & A Field (within overhead limit)	2.0070	412,100		412,100	
Contractor profit (<6%)	6.00%	1,236,480		1,236,480	
Contingency (7-10%)	5.70%	1,015,512		1,174,656	
Subtotal Ancillary Hard Costs	3.7070	\$3,900,632	\$0	\$4,059,776	
-	L			1 1/2 2 1/	
TOTAL DIRECT HARD COSTS		\$24,508,632	\$0	\$24,667,776	
INDIRECT CONSTRUCTION COSTS ⁴					
Architectural - Design fees	_	800,000		800,000	
Architectural - Supervision fees	-	233,000		233,000	
Engineering fees	-	400,000		400,000	
Real estate attorney/other legal fees		150,000		150,000	
Accounting fees		35,000		35,000	
Impact Fees	-	50,000		50,000	
Building permits & related costs	-	50,000		50,000	
Appraisal	F	30,000		30,000	
Market analysis	F	7,500		7,500	
Environmental assessment	H	5,000		5,000	
Soils report	-	7,500		7,500	
Survey	-	50,000		50,000	
Marketing	F	25,000			
Partnership Hazard & liability insurance	H	80,000		80,000	
	F	0		00,000	
Real property taxes		J		0	
Real property taxes Personal property taxes		0			
Personal property taxes		0		0	
		0		0	
Personal property taxes Tenant relocation expenses				0 225,000 0	
Personal property taxes Tenant relocation expenses FFE		0	\$0	0	

DEVELOPMENT NAME:	480	00 Berkman		City:	Austin
DEVELOPER FEES ⁴					,
Housing consultant fees ⁵				0	
General & administrative				0	
Profit or fee		4,220,591		4,220,591	
Subtotal Developer's Fees	15.00%	\$4,220,591	\$0	\$4,220,591	
FINANCING:					
CONSTRUCTION LOAN(S) ⁴					
Interest		1,044,000		1,044,000	
Loan origination fees		152,500		152,500	
Title & recording fees		75,000			
Closing costs & legal fees				75,000	
9		75,000		75,000	
Inspection fees		15,000		15,000	
Credit Report Discount Points				0	
				0	
Application Fee		15,000		15,000	
Third Party Reports					
PERMANENT LOAN(S)		450.50-			
Loan origination fees		152,500			
Title & recording fees		75,000			
Closing costs & legal		75,000			
Bond premium					
Credit report					
Discount points					
Credit enhancement fees					
Prepaid MIP					
Third party reports		50,000			
Bond Fees		200,000			
BRIDGE LOAN(S)					
Interest					
Loan origination fees					
Title & recording fees					
Closing costs & legal fees					
Other (specify) - see footnote 2					
Other (specify) - see footnote 2					
OTHER FINANCING COSTS ⁴					
Tax credit fees		47,158			
Tax and/or bond counsel					
Payment bonds		132,750			
Performance bonds					
Credit enhancement fees					
Mortgage insurance premiums					
Cost of underwriting & issuance					
Syndication organizational cost					
Tax opinion					
Contractor Guarantee Fee					
Developer Guarantee Fee				0	
Other (specify) - see footnote 2					
Other (specify) - see footnote 2					
Subtotal Financing Cost		\$2,108,908	\$0	\$1,376,500	
-	<u> </u>	, -, -,			

\$0	\$0 \$32,357,867	
\$0	\$32,357,867	
\$0	\$32,357,867	
	100%	
\$0	\$32,357,867	
90%	90%	
\$0	\$29,113,789	
3.39%	\$0	
\$0	\$986,957	
	\$0	\$0 \$986,957

						3(0 YEAR RE	ENTAL HOU	JSING OPER	ATING PROF	ORMA								
	2% Income Growth																		
INCOME	LEASE-UP	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 6	YEAR 7	YEAR 8	YEAR 9	YEAR 10	YEAR 11	YEAR 12	YEAR 13	YEAR 14	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 25	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME		\$2,435,376	\$2,484,084	\$2,533,765	\$2,584,440	\$2,636,129	\$2,688,852	\$2,742,629	\$2,797,482	\$2,853,431	\$2,910,500	\$2,968,710	\$3,028,084	\$3,088,646	\$3,150,419	\$3,213,427	\$3,547,883	\$3,917,149	\$4,324,850
Secondary Income		5,544	\$5,655	\$5,768	\$5,883	\$6,001	\$6,121	\$6,243	\$6,368	\$6,496	\$6,626	\$6,758	\$6,893	\$7,031	\$7,172	\$7,315	\$8,077	\$8,917	\$9,845
POTENTIAL GROSS ANNUAL INCOME	\$0	\$2,440,920	\$2,489,738	\$2,539,533	\$2,590,324	\$2,642,130	\$2,694,973	\$2,748,872	\$2,803,850	\$2,859,927	\$2,917,125	\$2,975,468	\$3,034,977	\$3,095,677	\$3,157,590	\$3,220,742	\$3,555,960	\$3,926,067	\$4,334,695
Provision for Vacancy & Collection Loss		(183,069)	(186,730)	(190,465)	(194,274)	(198,160)	(202,123)	(206,165)	(210,289)	(214,495)	(218,784)	(223,160)	(227,623)	(232,176)	(236,819)	(241,556)	(266,697)	(294,455)	(325,102)
Rental Conessions		0																	
EFFECTIVE GROSS ANNUAL INCOME	\$0	\$2,257,851	\$2,303,008	\$2,349,068	\$2,396,050	\$2,443,971	\$2,492,850	\$2,542,707	\$2,593,561	\$2,645,432	\$2,698,341	\$2,752,308	\$2,807,354	\$2,863,501	\$2,920,771	\$2,979,186	\$3,289,263	\$3,631,612	\$4,009,593
EXPENSES	3%	Expense Growt	h			-				-	_				-		-		
General & Administrative Expenses		\$ 56,500.00	\$58,195	\$59,941	\$61,739	\$63,591	\$65,499	\$67,464	\$69,488	\$71,573	\$73,720	\$75,931	\$78,209	\$80,555	\$82,972	\$85,461	\$99,073	\$114,853	\$133,146
Management Fee		90,314	\$93,023	\$95,814	\$98,689	\$101,649	\$104,699	\$107,840	\$111,075	\$114,407	\$117,839	\$121,375	\$125,016	\$128,766	\$132,629	\$136,608	\$158,366	\$183,590	\$212,831
Payroll, Payroll Tax & Employee Benefits		295,000	\$303,850	\$312,966	\$322,354	\$332,025	\$341,986	\$352,245	\$362,813	\$373,697	\$384,908	\$396,455	\$408,349	\$420,599	\$433,217	\$446,214	\$517,284	\$599,674	\$695,187
Repairs & Maintenance		150,000	\$154,500	\$159,135	\$163,909	\$168,826	\$173,891	\$179,108	\$184,481	\$190,016	\$195,716	\$201,587	\$207,635	\$213,864	\$220,280	\$226,888	\$263,026	\$304,919	\$353,485
Electric & Gas Utilities		75,000	\$77,250	\$79,568		\$84,413	\$86,946	\$89,554	\$92,241	\$95,008	\$97,858	\$100,794	\$103,818	\$106,932	\$110,140	\$113,444	\$131,513	\$152,460	\$176,742
Water, Sewer & Trash Utilities		85,000	\$87,550	\$90,177	\$92,882	\$95,668	\$98,538	\$101,494	\$104,539	\$107,675	\$110,906	\$114,233	\$117,660	\$121,190	\$124,825	\$128,570	\$149,048	\$172,787	\$200,308
Annual Property Insurance Premiums		60,000	\$61,800	\$63,654	\$65,564	\$67,531	\$69,556	\$71,643	\$73,792	\$76,006	\$78,286	\$80,635	\$83,054	\$85,546	\$88,112	\$90,755	\$105,210	\$121,968	\$141,394
Property Tax		0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Reserve for Replacements		57,750	\$59,483	\$61,267	\$63,105	\$64,998	\$66,948	\$68,957	\$71,025	\$73,156	\$75,351	\$77,611	\$79,940	\$82,338	\$84,808	\$87,352	\$101,265	\$117,394	\$136,092
Other Expenses:		23,360	\$24,061	\$24,783	\$25,526	\$26,292	\$27,081	\$27,893	\$28,730	\$29,592	\$30,480	\$31,394	\$32,336	\$33,306	\$34,305	\$35,334	\$40,962	\$47,486	\$55,049
TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES	\$0	\$892,924	\$919,712	\$947,303	\$975,722	\$1,004,994	\$1,035,144	\$1,066,198	\$1,098,184	\$1,131,129	\$1,165,063	\$1,200,015	\$1,236,016	\$1,273,096	\$1,311,289	\$1,350,628	\$1,565,748	\$1,815,131	\$2,104,234
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$0	\$1,364,927	\$1,383,296	\$1,401,765	\$1,420,327	\$1,438,977	\$1,457,706	\$1,476,509	\$1,495,377	\$1,514,303	\$1,533,278	\$1,552,293	\$1,571,338	\$1,590,405	\$1,609,482	\$1,628,559	\$1,723,515	\$1,816,481	\$1,905,359
DEBT SERVICE																_		_	
		\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849	\$1,190,849
Second Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Third Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Annual Required Payment:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Annual Required Payment:			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Debt Service	0	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849	1,190,849
NET CASH FLOW	\$0	\$174,078	\$192,447	\$210,916	\$229,478	\$248,128	\$266,857	\$285,660	\$304,528	\$323,454	\$342,429	\$361,443	\$380,489	\$399,556	\$418,633	\$437,710	\$532,666	\$625,632	\$714,510
Debt Coverage Ratio - 1st Lien	#DIV/0!	1.15	1.16	1.18	1.19	1.21	1.22	1.24	1.26	1.27	1.29	1.30	1.32	1.34	1.35	1.37	1.45	1.53	1.60
Debt Coverage Ratio	#DIV/0!	1.15	1.16	1.18	1.19	1.21	1.22	1.24	1.26	1.27	1.29	1.30	1.32	1.34	1.35	1.37	1.45	1.53	1.60
Other (Describe)																			