


### Q1 Did you attend the Open House held of Tuesday, June 7, 2016?

Answered: 267 Skipped: 14



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	5.99%	16
No	94.01%	251
<b>Total</b>		<b>267</b>

# Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

## Q2 Please specify which neighborhood or area you currently live in?

Answered: 265 Skipped: 16

#	Responses	Date
1	Clarksville	6/29/2016 1:24 PM
2	Northloop	6/28/2016 10:04 AM
3	Hancock	6/28/2016 12:04 AM
4	Tarrytown, but used to live in Hyde Park and used pool.	6/27/2016 11:30 PM
5	North University	6/27/2016 10:47 PM
6	Hancock	6/27/2016 9:20 PM
7	ecc	6/27/2016 11:52 AM
8	Hyde Park	6/27/2016 11:50 AM
9	North Loop	6/27/2016 10:14 AM
10	hyde park	6/26/2016 5:18 PM
11	Hyde park	6/26/2016 2:16 PM
12	Hancock	6/26/2016 1:51 PM
13	North University	6/25/2016 10:30 PM
14	Hydepark	6/25/2016 6:27 PM
15	Hyde Park	6/25/2016 5:55 PM
16	hyde park	6/25/2016 1:50 PM
17	North Hyde park	6/25/2016 8:43 AM
18	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 7:19 PM
19	Hyde park	6/24/2016 5:50 PM
20	North Hyde park	6/24/2016 5:11 PM
21	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 4:49 PM
22	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 4:28 PM
23	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 4:14 PM
24	North University Neighborhood	6/24/2016 3:58 PM
25	Aldridge Place	6/24/2016 3:43 PM
26	Rosedale	6/24/2016 3:40 PM
27	North Loop? 51st and Guadalupe	6/24/2016 3:28 PM
28	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 2:25 PM
29	Hyde Park north	6/24/2016 2:22 PM
30	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 2:13 PM
31	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 2:08 PM
32	Ridgetop	6/24/2016 2:01 PM
33	Hyde Park North	6/24/2016 1:44 PM
34	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 1:26 PM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

35	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 1:19 PM
36	North Hyde Park	6/24/2016 12:54 PM
37	Hyde Park.	6/24/2016 12:44 PM
38	hyde park	6/24/2016 12:11 PM
39	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 12:09 PM
40	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 12:04 PM
41	Hyde park	6/24/2016 12:01 PM
42	North Loop	6/24/2016 11:57 AM
43	Hyde park	6/24/2016 11:54 AM
44	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 11:54 AM
45	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 11:52 AM
46	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 11:48 AM
47	Hyde Park	6/24/2016 11:46 AM
48	North Hyde Park	6/24/2016 11:44 AM
49	Hyde park	6/24/2016 11:43 AM
50	Rosedale	6/24/2016 11:43 AM
51	Hyde Park - Avenue D and 41st St.	6/22/2016 1:59 PM
52	Hyde Park	6/21/2016 1:04 PM
53	Hyde Park	6/21/2016 1:01 PM
54	Hyde Park	6/21/2016 12:53 PM
55	Hancock	6/21/2016 11:01 AM
56	Hyde Park	6/20/2016 1:00 PM
57	Hyde Park	6/20/2016 12:54 PM
58	Hyde Park	6/20/2016 11:48 AM
59	Hancock / Hyde Park	6/20/2016 12:03 AM
60	Hyde park	6/19/2016 8:18 PM
61	North Hyde park	6/19/2016 11:39 AM
62	Highland neighborhood	6/19/2016 10:46 AM
63	Hyde Park	6/18/2016 11:07 AM
64	North Loop Planning Area	6/17/2016 4:07 PM
65	Hancock	6/17/2016 3:14 PM
66	Hyde Park	6/17/2016 9:24 AM
67	Ridgetop	6/16/2016 10:35 PM
68	hyde park	6/16/2016 4:06 PM
69	Ridgetop	6/16/2016 11:51 AM
70	Hyde Park	6/16/2016 7:51 AM
71	Hyde Park	6/15/2016 7:32 PM
72	Hyde Park	6/15/2016 7:30 PM
73	Hyde Park	6/15/2016 4:52 PM
74	Ridgetop Annex	6/15/2016 2:19 PM
75	Central Hyde Park	6/14/2016 11:06 PM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

76	Hyde park	6/14/2016 11:05 PM
77	Hyde Park	6/14/2016 10:30 PM
78	Hancock	6/14/2016 9:55 PM
79	Hyde Park...blocks from Shipe...walk there to swim	6/14/2016 4:52 PM
80	Hyde park. 45th and d	6/14/2016 4:43 PM
81	45th and Avenue D	6/14/2016 4:36 PM
82	Hancock	6/14/2016 2:05 PM
83	Skyview/North Loop	6/14/2016 1:55 PM
84	Hyde Park	6/14/2016 1:44 PM
85	Hyde Park	6/14/2016 12:10 PM
86	Hyde Park -- less than one long block from Shipe Park	6/14/2016 11:48 AM
87	Hyde Park	6/14/2016 9:39 AM
88	ave f	6/14/2016 9:13 AM
89	hancock	6/14/2016 8:25 AM
90	Hyde Park	6/14/2016 5:54 AM
91	Hyde Park, Ave B	6/13/2016 10:34 PM
92	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 9:34 PM
93	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 9:16 PM
94	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 7:50 PM
95	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 5:16 PM
96	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 5:06 PM
97	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 3:52 PM
98	Hyde park, few blocks south of shipe	6/13/2016 3:42 PM
99	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 2:36 PM
100	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 2:27 PM
101	Northfield	6/13/2016 1:58 PM
102	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 12:54 PM
103	Hancock	6/13/2016 12:06 PM
104	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 11:36 AM
105	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 11:23 AM
106	NUNA (west 32nd street)	6/13/2016 11:07 AM
107	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 10:59 AM
108	Hide Park	6/13/2016 10:57 AM
109	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 10:40 AM
110	Highland Park	6/13/2016 10:35 AM
111	I live at 43rd and Avenue A	6/13/2016 10:23 AM
112	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 10:16 AM
113	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 10:06 AM
114	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 10:00 AM
115	Avenue D	6/13/2016 10:00 AM
116	49th St. Between Duval and Caswell	6/13/2016 9:52 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

117	45th and Avenue G, catty-corner to Shipe Park	6/13/2016 9:46 AM
118	Hyde Park Shipe Pool	6/13/2016 9:43 AM
119	Hancock Park	6/13/2016 9:37 AM
120	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 9:32 AM
121	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 9:29 AM
122	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 9:15 AM
123	Hyde Park North	6/13/2016 9:13 AM
124	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 8:44 AM
125	North Hyde Park	6/13/2016 8:25 AM
126	Hyde park	6/13/2016 8:21 AM
127	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 8:14 AM
128	North University (West 34th St)	6/13/2016 8:03 AM
129	Hyde park	6/13/2016 7:36 AM
130	Aldrich place	6/13/2016 7:19 AM
131	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 6:14 AM
132	Hyde Park	6/13/2016 5:56 AM
133	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 11:13 PM
134	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 11:01 PM
135	Hancock	6/12/2016 10:39 PM
136	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 10:21 PM
137	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 10:18 PM
138	hyde park	6/12/2016 9:59 PM
139	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 9:55 PM
140	Hancock	6/12/2016 9:45 PM
141	Hyde park	6/12/2016 9:18 PM
142	Hyde Park 50th and Ave H	6/12/2016 8:33 PM
143	HYDE PARK	6/12/2016 7:29 PM
144	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 7:28 PM
145	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 7:21 PM
146	Hancock (technically we are in "Beau Site")	6/12/2016 7:12 PM
147	Hyde Park - Shipe Pool	6/12/2016 5:32 PM
148	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 4:52 PM
149	Hancock	6/12/2016 4:52 PM
150	Ridgetop	6/12/2016 4:18 PM
151	Hancock	6/12/2016 3:32 PM
152	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 3:22 PM
153	Hyde Park, on Avenue F, half a block from the pool.	6/12/2016 12:06 PM
154	North University	6/12/2016 11:50 AM
155	Hyde park	6/12/2016 11:45 AM
156	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 10:55 AM
157	Hancock	6/12/2016 10:12 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

158	hyde park - walking distance to pool. we use it a lot!	6/12/2016 10:06 AM
159	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 9:34 AM
160	hancock	6/12/2016 9:17 AM
161	Cherrywood	6/12/2016 9:13 AM
162	Hyde park	6/12/2016 9:13 AM
163	Windsor park	6/12/2016 9:05 AM
164	North loop	6/12/2016 8:49 AM
165	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 8:31 AM
166	Hancock	6/12/2016 8:19 AM
167	Mueller	6/12/2016 8:11 AM
168	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 8:06 AM
169	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 7:38 AM
170	Ridgetop neighborhood, adjacent to North Hyde Park, which borders on Ship Park and Pool.	6/12/2016 7:33 AM
171	Hyde Park	6/12/2016 7:29 AM
172	Hyde Park Hancock area	6/12/2016 5:45 AM
173	North loop	6/12/2016 4:02 AM
174	North University Neighborhood Association	6/12/2016 3:52 AM
175	hyde park hancock area	6/12/2016 3:51 AM
176	Hyde park	6/12/2016 2:31 AM
177	north HP	6/12/2016 12:22 AM
178	Brykerwoods	6/11/2016 11:18 PM
179	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:26 PM
180	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:02 PM
181	Hancock Neighborhood (Landon Ln)	6/11/2016 9:57 PM
182	Northfield	6/11/2016 9:52 PM
183	North University	6/11/2016 9:39 PM
184	Skyview	6/11/2016 9:21 PM
185	hyde park	6/11/2016 9:12 PM
186	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 9:02 PM
187	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 7:20 PM
188	Hancock	6/11/2016 6:54 PM
189	Hyde park	6/11/2016 6:39 PM
190	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 5:11 PM
191	North university	6/11/2016 3:48 PM
192	North University	6/11/2016 3:47 PM
193	Aldridge Place	6/11/2016 3:46 PM
194	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 3:34 PM
195	kids live in hyde park/crestview	6/11/2016 3:27 PM
196	I live in the Northfield Neighborhood. My house is less than a mile from Shipe Pool.	6/11/2016 3:04 PM
197	North loop	6/11/2016 2:39 PM
198	North University (Aldridge Place)	6/11/2016 1:58 PM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

199	45th and red river	6/11/2016 1:32 PM
200	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 1:12 PM
201	North University	6/11/2016 12:56 PM
202	Hyde park	6/11/2016 12:49 PM
203	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 12:42 PM
204	Hancock	6/11/2016 12:14 PM
205	Hancock	6/11/2016 12:13 PM
206	Hyde park	6/11/2016 12:00 PM
207	North University	6/11/2016 11:57 AM
208	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:55 AM
209	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:51 AM
210	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:48 AM
211	Eastwoods	6/11/2016 10:42 AM
212	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:16 AM
213	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:08 AM
214	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 10:03 AM
215	Off 32nd street in University with two kids ages 12 and 10. Purchased our home knowing Shipe and Shipe pool were a major value to the neighborhood experience.	6/11/2016 9:28 AM
216	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 9:08 AM
217	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 9:05 AM
218	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 9:01 AM
219	Northfield	6/11/2016 8:46 AM
220	Hancock	6/11/2016 8:25 AM
221	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 8:11 AM
222	Hancock	6/11/2016 8:04 AM
223	Hyde park near ships pool	6/11/2016 7:54 AM
224	Hyde park	6/11/2016 7:53 AM
225	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 7:15 AM
226	Northfield	6/11/2016 6:43 AM
227	Hancock	6/11/2016 5:48 AM
228	Skyview	6/11/2016 5:27 AM
229	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 5:10 AM
230	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 2:01 AM
231	Hancock	6/11/2016 1:42 AM
232	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 1:28 AM
233	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 1:02 AM
234	Hyde Park	6/11/2016 12:56 AM
235	byker woods	6/10/2016 11:22 PM
236	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 11:11 PM
237	balconies northwest	6/10/2016 10:52 PM
238	Ridgetop	6/10/2016 9:45 PM


## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

239	North Hyde Park	6/10/2016 8:08 PM
240	Hancock	6/10/2016 7:58 PM
241	Brykerwoods	6/10/2016 7:56 PM
242	Hyde Park North	6/10/2016 5:40 PM
243	bryker woods	6/10/2016 4:51 PM
244	Northloop	6/10/2016 4:42 PM
245	Oakmont	6/10/2016 3:26 PM
246	Brentwood	6/10/2016 3:14 PM
247	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 3:07 PM
248	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 3:03 PM
249	Hyde park	6/10/2016 2:53 PM
250	Ridgetop	6/10/2016 2:48 PM
251	Skyview	6/10/2016 2:47 PM
252	Hyde park 49th and Ave H	6/10/2016 2:45 PM
253	Aldridge Place	6/10/2016 1:11 PM
254	Hyde Park North	6/10/2016 12:49 PM
255	North Loop	6/10/2016 12:44 PM
256	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 12:27 PM
257	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 11:19 AM
258	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 11:16 AM
259	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 11:15 AM
260	Hancock	6/10/2016 11:04 AM
261	North Loop	6/10/2016 10:59 AM
262	Hancock	6/10/2016 10:58 AM
263	Hyde park	6/10/2016 10:58 AM
264	Hyde Park	6/10/2016 10:54 AM
265	Bryker Woods	6/10/2016 10:49 AM



### Q3 How would you rate The Freestyle Stroke's pool layout?


Answered: 223 Skipped: 58



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Favorable	21.97% 49
Favorable	23.77% 53
Somewhat Favorable	18.83% 42
Neutral	11.66% 26
Somewhat Unfavorable	6.28% 14
Unfavorable	6.73% 15
Strongly Unfavorable	10.76% 24
<b>Total</b>	<b>223</b>

### Q4 How would you rate the Sidestroke's pool layout?


Answered: 221 Skipped: 60



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Favorable	13.12% 29
Favorable	24.89% 55
Somewhat Favorable	15.84% 35
Neutral	9.50% 21
Somewhat Unfavorable	12.22% 27
Unfavorable	11.31% 25
Strongly Unfavorable	13.12% 29
<b>Total</b>	<b>221</b>

### Q5 How would you rate The Freestyle Stroke's bathhouse location?


Answered: 208 Skipped: 73



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Favorable	16.35% 34
Favorable	25.48% 53
Somewhat Favorable	13.46% 28
Neutral	21.15% 44
Somewhat Unfavorable	7.69% 16
Unfavorable	7.21% 15
Strongly Unfavorable	8.65% 18
<b>Total</b>	<b>208</b>

### Q6 How would you rate The Sidestroke's bathhouse location?


Answered: 209 Skipped: 72



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Strongly Favorable	10.05%	21
Favorable	23.44%	49
Somewhat Favorable	13.40%	28
Neutral	22.49%	47
Somewhat Unfavorable	11.00%	23
Unfavorable	11.00%	23
Strongly Unfavorable	8.61%	18
<b>Total</b>		<b>209</b>

### Q7 How would you rate The Freestyle Stroke's lounge space?


Answered: 205 Skipped: 76



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Strongly Favorable	11.22%	23
Favorable	33.17%	68
Somewhat Favorable	20.00%	41
Neutral	15.12%	31
Somewhat Unfavorable	9.76%	20
Unfavorable	5.37%	11
Strongly Unfavorable	5.37%	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>205</b>

### Q8 How would you rate The Sidestroke's lounge space?


Answered: 203 Skipped: 78



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Favorable	13.30% 27
Favorable	23.65% 48
Somewhat Favorable	17.24% 35
Neutral	18.72% 38
Somewhat Unfavorable	10.34% 21
Unfavorable	8.87% 18
Strongly Unfavorable	7.88% 16
<b>Total</b>	<b>203</b>

### Q9 How would you rate the Freestyle Stroke's shade structure?


Answered: 200 Skipped: 81



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Favorable	12.00% 24
Favorable	27.00% 54
Somewhat Favorable	21.50% 43
Neutral	21.50% 43
Somewhat Unfavorable	7.00% 14
Unfavorable	6.00% 12
Strongly Unfavorable	5.00% 10
<b>Total</b>	<b>200</b>

### Q10 How would you rate The Sidestroke's shade structure?

Answered: 199 Skipped: 82




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Strongly Favorable	11.06%	22
Favorable	18.59%	37
Somewhat Favorable	20.60%	41
Neutral	18.59%	37
Somewhat Unfavorable	13.57%	27
Unfavorable	10.05%	20
Strongly Unfavorable	7.54%	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>199</b>



### Q11 How would you rate The Freestyle Stroke plan overall?


Answered: 202 Skipped: 79



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Favorable	17.82% 36
Favorable	23.27% 47
Somewhat Favorable	19.80% 40
Neutral	8.42% 17
Somewhat Unfavorable	7.92% 16
Unfavorable	12.38% 25
Strongly Unfavorable	10.40% 21
<b>Total</b>	<b>202</b>

### Q12 How would you rate The Sidestroke plan overall?

Answered: 205 Skipped: 76



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Strongly Favorable	15.12%	31
Favorable	16.10%	33
Somewhat Favorable	17.56%	36
Neutral	9.27%	19
Somewhat Unfavorable	17.56%	36
Unfavorable	9.27%	19
Strongly Unfavorable	15.12%	31
<b>Total</b>		<b>205</b>

# Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

## Q13 Please provide additional comments or feedback.

Answered: 163 Skipped: 118

#	Responses	Date
1	Seriously consider locating new bathroom/changing rooms on each side of existing pump house as the areas could be raised accordingly. Also the piping for water is optimal. The maintenance driveway access on East side does not get used that frequently and don't see why any future truck access for pump house could not use the basketball court if needed. Or if you are really considering these concepts in this plan which are not favorable, then locate bathrooms/changing room at existing wading pool areas.	6/28/2016 11:49 AM
2	Two thoughts on pool size. 1. To make good on goal to maximize pool length/surface, consider lengths other than the two, 75' and 100'. Even 80' shows effort; maybe 85' or 90' are possibilities. (Note: A 25 meter, short-course pool is 82' long.) 2. We currently use up to five lanes for lap swimming, divided by the four strips. Will then the new designs have five stripes delineating six lanes? The extra lane (pool width) can offset some loss of length.	6/28/2016 10:26 AM
3	Don't reduce the swimming area. That is the whole purpose of the space. Also, if there aren't any lifeguards, isn't this rather pointless??	6/27/2016 11:32 PM
4	Our family has used the pool for years and we do not want to see a reduction in pool size! The grassy area works just fine as a lunge area and it fills up. We do not need or want a wood deck. Place the bathhouse as an extension on the eastern side of the pool equipment building. Better yet, leave it alone and use the taxpayers' \$3 million dollars to open a new pool in an under served area. We love it as it is. Explore repair vs full replacement.	6/27/2016 11:02 PM
5	Any plan that does not maintain the current size is a failure. Why is there not an option for a larger pool with more overall square feet of water.	6/27/2016 12:01 PM
6	The pool needs to be longer not shorter. Need less space for bathhouses more space for swimming. Overall Consideration for the roots of the large trees.	6/25/2016 6:38 PM
7	Prefer Freestyle Stroke with larger pool area. Bath houses are not needed in neighborhood. Preserving large trees is a priority. Trees now shade the pool area. Shading pool swimming area is a priority.	6/25/2016 6:37 PM
8	Thanks for keep Shipe Pool as a small community pool. It means everything to the neighborhood.	6/24/2016 7:27 PM
9	Neither option seems to have enough grass. We'd like more grass, less concrete. There is a reason why Barton Springs is so popular. It would also be nice if there were some grass berms to be able to lay at a slight angle. I realize the need for shade, but also sunny spaces to lay out is very important. On the Freestyle version, it would be nice to have the fence extend east to encompass the grassy areas on the east of the pool. One of the points you made at the beginning of the presentation is planning for increased demand/growth. Both plans have less water surface area and less lounge space than the current pool. That seems retrogressive. Maybe the bathrooms are non-negotiable in terms of required area, but they also take up a lot of "surface" area that is adjacent to other places creating less usable lounge spaces because no one wants to hang out in front of the bathrooms. If the bathrooms were arranged together in a square ratio, that would minimize the contact area and create more usable lounge space. Ideally the bathrooms could fit in the northwest corner just on the east side of the lawn area that is designated on both current options. Also, the chain link fence is kind of ugly, will that change? Chain link is nice because it aides in visibility and creates a more open, community connected ambiance, but it would be nice if it where shorter and coated in green or brown. But no fence with laser activated alarm would be more aesthetically pleasing. Also, it would be cool if the recreational pool and the activity pool were joined like they are at Ella Wooten Park. In fact, both of these plans have pools that are square. (almost literally and certainly figuratively.) Get out the bezier tool and do something cool for us. The styling at Bartholomew and Ella Wooten are pretty cool. And it would be nice to have some of the shade over the water like at those pools, I want shade when I'm in the water then I want to get out and lay in the sun. And finally, the big frog slide at Bartholomew is cool, it would be nice to have something like that but I'm thinking it should be a pangolin, where we could slide down its back and tail into the water. A pangolin would be perfect because its pretty much an asian version of an armadillo - it appeases our unquenchable need to show our Texas pride while still showing the worldly nature of hyde park residents.	6/24/2016 5:22 PM
10	Please do not build a pool smaller than the existing pool. It is often very crowded as is and I can't imagine it more crowded due to a smaller pool size. The pool gets used. Don't shrink it, please	6/24/2016 4:53 PM
11	I prefer the sidestroke plan but would like to see the baby pool a little larger if possible	6/24/2016 4:34 PM
12	Please consider a design that maximizes late-day shade of the pool itself as well as the lounging area. I prefer bath houses and such structures creating some barrier from the street as opposed to from the park itself.	6/24/2016 3:47 PM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

13	All of these make the pool much smaller and more in the sun. BOOO! It's perfect the way it is!	6/24/2016 2:29 PM
14	The Sidestroke does not provide enough lounge and viewing space for families and parents who need to supervise their children. The bathhouse location for the Sidestroke feels awkward and in the way. The Freestroke layout works better for families because it provides more lounge and viewing space for the activity pool and is also closer to the playground. Would like to see the grassy area on both sides of the log house turned in lounge space.	6/24/2016 2:09 PM
15	Making the main pool at Shipe smaller is a non-starter. Our neighborhood pool is already at capacity during peak summer days. Please go back to the drawing boards and come up with a plan that keep the same size main pool or MAKES IT LARGER.	6/24/2016 11:50 AM
16	Please make the pool larger to accommodate the large crowds - especially on weekends.	6/22/2016 2:06 PM
17	I think both the children's pool and the lap pool should retain their current size.	6/21/2016 1:09 PM
18	My one significant opinion is that Shipe pool be kept the same size -- or increased in size, to accommodate the many swimmers it attracts. Whichever design concept ends up being selected, please don't decrease the size of the main pool. Austin is growing, and the pool is already over-populated. Thank you.	6/21/2016 11:07 AM
19	<p>First I would like to thank the city and the design team for providing these plans for public comment and for being so responsive to the neighbors' comments and concerns. The space constraints of Shipe Park make this project particularly challenging, and I think everyone on the design team has done a great job of explaining the constraints and working with us to make this a successful project. A couple of brief notes of my survey responses: - I prefer the bathrooms on the 44th street side so they don't block the view of the cabin, playground, and park. - I prefer the lawn space of the freestyle plan because there does not seem to be enough lounge space near the activity pool in the other plan. On the design team's recommendation, I visited Westenfield Pool, which brought to mind some additional comments that did not come up at the last meeting. First, I was very impressed by the shade structure at Westenfield - not necessarily its specific architecture (which I think would be different for Shipe), but rather by the size of the actual shade portion and especially by the practicality of the bench feature. Not only did the bench maximize the usability of the lounge space, but the low wall also afforded a nice separation between the main pool and activity pool areas, which would not have been the case if the structure didn't have the bench. This is something I hope we can incorporate at Shipe. Second, I found that the main pool at Westenfield, while 25% smaller than our current Shipe pool, seemed just as usable as our larger pool. By that I mean that the number of people in the pool was the same as it was at Shipe that day, but if anything, the pool at Shipe felt MORE crowded than it did at Westenfield. It was perplexing. The key seemed to be that people were able to spread out more in the Westenfield pool, primarily because it had a more gradual slope that maximized the shallow to mid-depth area. At Shipe, most people were crowded into the 40' of shallow area and also crowded around the edges of the deep end, and there was a huge "dead zone" in the middle of the pool that could only be used if people were treading water (which most people can't do for long) or using floats (which aren't allowed). At Westenfield, the "dead zone" was much smaller because adults are able to stand in more than half the pool. Before visiting the Westenfield pool, I was reluctant to accept a smaller pool at Shipe, but now I think a smaller pool could be just as usable, as long as the standing (shallow to moderate depth) area is maximized and the "dead zone" kept to a minimum. Eliminating the ability to dive at the pool is an acceptable tradeoff in my view. In my last visit to Shipe pool, there were 45 people in the pool, and only one person was diving. It seems silly to design the pool around that one person and not around the other 44 people who are there for casual recreation. At the last design meeting, there was talk of giving up lounge spaces in order to make the pool larger. Given how crowded our existing (larger) lounge space was last weekend at Shipe, I am not in favor of losing even more lounge space in order to increase the size of the pool. If anything needs to go (or be minimized), it should be the activity pool. On that note, I would like to see the design team explore the possibility of a splash pad for small children instead of an activity pool. A splash pad could be a win-win for the neighborhood because as it would not require lifeguard supervision, it could exist outside the fenced area (which would open up more space within the fenced area for pool/lounge spaces). Being outside the fenced area would also mean that it could be accessible during the entire hot season, not just the two months or so that the pool can be open. Thanks again for the opportunity to provide input. I look forward to seeing the revised designs and participating in the next planning meeting.</p>	6/20/2016 2:06 PM
20	Put in splash pad by play structure in lieu of tot pool and then make the 1 pool larger.	6/20/2016 12:11 AM
21	<p>1. It seems very odd to me in a growing city the pools will be smaller. There seems to be ample room for pools to remain at least the same size, if not larger. 2. The bathroom locations seem to preclude use if the pool is not open. This seems crazy given the year round use of the park and the current horrible state of the bathrooms. If money is going to be spent to upgrade bathrooms, then they should be accessible year round. 3. One of the great features of the wading pool is how much shade it has. Neither of the designs seem to offer much shade coverage in the actual pools. Greater shade coverage in the pools should be added.</p>	6/19/2016 8:27 PM
22	Keep the big pool the same size! We were at the pool on Friday night and it's clear that the length is important to support the neighborhood use. None of the proposed designs are sufficient.	6/19/2016 11:41 AM
23	I think that it is important that the shade structure and trees combine to provide protection to the pools from the afternoon sun. If the structure runs north-south, it seems that there will be more protection than if it runs east-west.	6/19/2016 10:54 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

24	I am greatly disappointed that both plans presented reduce the area of the large pool by approximately 25 percent. Shipe Pool is heavily used and often feels crowded at its current size. While I would be disappointed to lose that much area under any circumstances, the reasoning provided - that the reduced size brings the pool into alignment with City standards - is a particularly poor justification. One of the project goals is that the design "shall address the potential for increased use." It is difficult to imagine how either of the proposed designed do this when they reduce the size of the pool significantly.	6/17/2016 4:15 PM
25	As much use as this pool gets, I CANNOT imagine reducing its size!!! The pool has enormous use now without fancy dressing rooms etc. We live in Hyde Park and Hancock so we CAN walk to our neighborhood pool, park and schools. We walk dressed in our swim suits ready to enjoy our neighborhood treasure. Please do not change things to the detriment of our neighborhood.	6/17/2016 3:23 PM
26	We live 1/2 block from the pool and love being nearby. The pool is very full for most of the summer so we need to keep the current size. It is a neighborhood pool with folks arriving and leaving in their swim wear, so the pool space is much more important than a bathhouse. Keeping the pool s the same size is important not only for comfort/fun, but also for safety, as a packed pool is more difficult to watch and keep chemically balanced. Please keep the pools at least as large as they are now and eliminate the bathhouse if necessary - it is a neighborhood pool, not a district pool.	6/17/2016 9:41 AM
27	thanks for the opportunity to provide feedback. the presentation was very helpful.	6/16/2016 4:10 PM
28	Any design that doesn't shrink the pool size would be preferable. Please make sure the pools are at least as large as the are currently!!	6/16/2016 7:36 AM
29	Please do not shrink the square footage of the pool.	6/15/2016 7:35 PM
30	STOP making design plans that reduce the size of the pool. I love Shipe Pool. I've been swimming in it for 5 decades. The size is great!	6/15/2016 7:34 PM
31	I find it unacceptable that a \$3 million budget will reduce our square footage of water space from 5200sf to 3900sf. Our neighborhood enjoys greater population density than any other neighborhood in Austin save for perhaps west campus. We have a LOT of pool users and these proposals would reduce the most desirable resource of the facility by 25%.	6/15/2016 5:02 PM
32	Thank you for making this available online! It was very helpful to see the 15 min. presentation even though I wasn't able to be at the meeting.	6/15/2016 2:21 PM
33	why the new pool is shrink the size of the pools significantly , it could be very crowded and not safe why we can not have a normal size pool	6/14/2016 5:09 PM
34	Pools are too small! We already have crowding. This pool is used A LOT. The plans do not meet the neighborhood. Also, having the main traffic flow through the main shade area on Sidestroke is a very bad idea. I've been to Westenfield and that shade area is a mess of backs and shoes on the floor. You are asking for people to get hurt.	6/14/2016 4:59 PM
35	The future pool needs to be at least the same size as the current pool.	6/14/2016 4:46 PM
36	Please do not decrease the size of our pools!	6/14/2016 4:40 PM
37	Please keep the pool(s) the same size as current or larger. This is a pool for a large collection of neighborhoods and is often overcrowded as is. Making the pools smaller will only hurt the park, the neighborhoods and the fun.	6/14/2016 2:10 PM
38	Keep Shipe Pool Big. Not enough water surface in the plan!	6/14/2016 1:50 PM
39	Please do not reduce the size of either pool from their current size. The pool already gets very crowded in the summer. If anything, the pool should be enlarged. I realize that might not be possible but at least keep it the same size.	6/14/2016 12:13 PM
40	I think it's super important to have at least part of the wading pool covered with shade. Pregnant moms, seniors, and lots of sun sensitive people of all ages like to sit in the water and be in the shade. Also -- I think it would be a big mistake to make either of the pools smaller. They both should be bigger if there has to be any change in the size.	6/14/2016 11:59 AM
41	WHY are the pool size being shrunk? KEEP SHIPE POOL BIG - or at least keep it the SAME size.	6/14/2016 8:29 AM
42	freestyle: more shade along perimeter of activity pool. perhaps on the west side? Please consider some shade over activity pool and /or rec pool shallow end. Moms and babies/kids cluster under the shade tree in the current wading/activity pool. Pools can be crowded at the current size, concerned about the reduction in size.	6/14/2016 6:14 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

43	2 comments: 1 big factor not mentioned is not only the view from the pool out, but the view from the rest of the park IN. There is presently a beautiful sense of open, airy cohesiveness at Shipe. Freestyle plan does the best job of preserving that. Sidestroke limits it greatly with the structures along the west side walling off views in both directions. (2) Reduction in pool size from current pool is troubling. As a family very familiar with the capacity of both pools (kid and large), we know there are many days things get tight even at existing square footage. With population density guaranteed to increase -- and with a new pool guaranteed to ramp up attendance -- reduction in pool sizes seems insanelly short-sighted.	6/13/2016 10:54 PM
44	We trust Austin PARD to create a great pool. We are so happy to have an improved space. Keep us lap swimmers in mind.	6/13/2016 9:40 PM
45	I noticed in both plans, the pools are both smaller than the existing ones. It is extremely important to us that the pools retain their sizes.	6/13/2016 9:22 PM
46	One of the main things I love about the Shipe Wading Pool currently is that it has the big wonderful shady oak tree over it which provides my little children protection from the sun. I don't see any shade over the activity pool in either plan. Also, a big concern for me is the decreased size of the lounge areas, decking, and square footage of the pool. I would prefer to see a plan that replaces the old pools with ones that are the same size. The overall spacing of both plans seems like it will be very crowded- both on the decking and in the pool. I hope that you can come up with an alternative plan. I was surprised at how disappointing this one seems to be. I am glad to hear that you are still in the planning phase so that a better plan can be made.	6/13/2016 8:09 PM
47	You have reduced the adult pool area by 25% from current and that is a fatal flaw in both your plans. It looks like the design team is attempting a bate and switch on the neighborhood. The children's pool is also considerably reduce. KEEP THE ADULT POOL 4000 sq ft and alter these plans accordingly. Your plans will come under considerable fire if you ignore this recommendation.	6/13/2016 5:25 PM
48	It makes no sense to have 5 bathrooms inside the fence that are accessible for only two months a year when there is an urgent need for restrooms for the "dry" people in the park, and when the historic cabin is also desperately in need of restoration. Removing restrooms from the cabin and developing a practical solution for fewer pool restrooms and a couple of new facilities that could also serve swimmers and guards should be looked at or the whole project will seem like a foolish waste of an opportunity.	6/13/2016 5:15 PM
49	Keep the size of the main pool "at least" the same size. Can have smaller "kiddie" pool.	6/13/2016 3:57 PM
50	I wish you didn't reduce the pool size by ~25%. It's already pretty small. I would much rather see another small pool added which would be more fun and interesting. Also, the grass is incredibly impractical. You should get rid of that. It's too small a space--if people want that, they can go to the field close by. A year after it gets built, the grass will be a lot of dirt. I guess you could use fake grass, but I think chairs and hard scape with shade is more practical and functional	6/13/2016 3:53 PM
51	I'm not happy with either of the designs presented - why are the sizes of both pools smaller than the present pools? Both are already overcrowded. Please keep the existing pool footprints.	6/13/2016 2:40 PM
52	I oppose ANY plans to reduce the surface area of the Shipe swimming pool from the current size. The proposals aim to reduce the swimming area by 1,000 square ft. and that is unacceptable! The pool has functioned for residents and visitors from other areas of town looking for a place to swim, play and enjoy themselves TOGETHER. Older kids too big/old for the kiddie area will be crowded in a smaller pool with young and older adults. For years, the users have developed a system, taken turns, accommodated, each other and compromised, all of which have been facilitated by the bigger size of the pool. The designs proposed reduce surface swimming area and create "custom" sites, that are not part of the function of the pool (i.e. the shade area). There are plenty of trees around for shade! Besides, shade areas can be set outside pool perimeter. Do ALL bathrooms have to be inside the pool area? that is not the way the existing layout functions, and anybody from basketball and tennis players can currently have access to bathroom facilities without entering the pool area.	6/13/2016 2:21 PM
53	Thanks for your work on this. Really will be great!	6/13/2016 2:03 PM
54	I am very concerned about making these pools even smaller than the existing pool. They are both quite crowded much of the time. Smaller pools, I feel, would be a disaster.	6/13/2016 1:04 PM
55	The pools need to remain the same size as the existing pools. Shipe pool is crowded all summer long and reducing the size of both pools is going to cause overcrowding.	6/13/2016 12:47 PM
56	Why are we loosing pool space? Is there no way to keep the pools at their current size and add extra bathrooms?	6/13/2016 12:11 PM
57	Why on earth would the city DECREASE the size of what few pools remain for the public?! Austin is growing like mad, pools are already too crowded. To say the city is "unifying" woefully deficient layouts even more is just plain stupid. ADA compliance is one thing, "uniformity" mandates are another. To miss the broader need because the city wants uniformity is bad policy and bad for the future, period. Shipe and other pools should be INCREASED in size. Only if severe overcrowding is the desired goal should any pool in be reduced in size. Austin is fast becoming too big for its own good, and this fiasco is an obvious case in point.	6/13/2016 11:17 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

58	Listen closely - Shipe Pool is already standing room only on peak summer days. Making the pool area smaller is not an acceptable solution. Please find a way to keep our pool at current size OR LARGER. Why not look at moving the pool to the grassy area west of the basketball court?	6/13/2016 11:03 AM
59	The attraction is the water - much is lost by downsizing the water space available to an entire neighborhood.	6/13/2016 10:44 AM
60	I'm concerned the pool size in both places is so much smaller than the original site. This pool (we've been going for years) gets really busy. I can't imagine the same number of people in the smaller pool. Very crowded!	6/13/2016 10:40 AM
61	It's disappointing to see that the proposed plans call for smaller pools. Also I would like to see the overall footprint of the pool area (fenced area) increase significantly, with lounge space on all sides and plenty of shade. These proposals are woefully uninspired. Slides? Fountains? Splash pads? Check out Bartholemew for some ideas.	6/13/2016 10:27 AM
62	DO NOT REDUCE BIG POOL SIZE - it is already a compromise for lap swimming and is frequently filled to capacity for free swim. WATER AREA is more important than other elements -	6/13/2016 10:11 AM
63	These options are so small! The pool is already really crowded on summer afternoons. I would want a design that maintains the current size of the pool, especially the deep end which is a key reason why the water stays a little colder. How about moving the kids swings to where the baby pool used to be and using that space for the new baby pool? This is a great opportunity to make something really amazing and I hope we can get a pool that is at least the same size as the current one.	6/13/2016 10:08 AM
64	Note that the current pool can barely handle the number of users as it is now. Any new pool needs to be as large or larger. We need another pocket park in the area. I would vote to close Ave. G between 45th and 44th then expand the park/pool to the east through to Ave. H.	6/13/2016 10:05 AM
65	The main pool is too small. This pool gets used a lot, and it will be too crowded if it is smaller than what currently exists. I would vote against both designs for this reason. Please do not shrink the size of the existing main pool.	6/13/2016 10:03 AM
66	I have lived in the neighborhood for over 20 years and have been a frequent user of the pool with friends and family. I am strongly against any plan that reduces the size of the pool and takes away from the current deck space. The pool is often extremely overcrowded during recreational swim peak times - to the point that we sometimes drive to one much further away just to have a little space. The deck area is also extremely limited at those times. Natural shade is already provided by trees and bushes. Additional shade coverings around part of the outer fence would be helpful. I don't understand the need for a bath house. In all the years of using the pool, it never seemed like something critical to my enjoyment of the pool. It would make more sense to fix up the current bathrooms which are utilized year round. While it doesn't fit the "scope" of the project, it seems a much wiser use of money.	6/13/2016 9:54 AM
67	I'm not sure I entirely understand the note about the square footage of the pool, but it's critical that the surface size of the pools be the same size or larger compared to the existing pools. Both pools are very, very crowded during the weekends in the summer, and I worry that smaller pools won't be able to accommodate everyone.	6/13/2016 9:52 AM
68	Please consider the following comments: Assume Cabin stays as located w/no updates. Assume relocating cabin is not possible. Assume updating cabin BR's is not possible. Update original pools in existing locations. Use lessons learned from past pool projects. Confirm if existing underground asbestos piping. Confirm unknown groundwater issues. Assume pump str remains but all equip removed. Research lifespan on existing trees. Identify trees that need to be removed. Identify new trees that will be added. Trim/support tree over shade pool as needed. Limit BR's/changing rms to BR's only if ADA. Stone/ornamental mtl perim.could work. Research possible adjustable shade system. Consider solar on top of pump house/BR's Communicate lifeguard site lines rqd vs needed Communicate const. temp laydown area needed. Communicate restoring temp laydown as needed Communicate construction access needed Communicate budget \$3.1mil Sept 2014 or ?. Communicate budget incl design team/contractor. Receive Contractor prelim pricing on design. Communicate critical milestones for schedule. Communicate final cutoff period for comments. Stay on budget and on schedule. No City Pool project is ever simple! Good luck with the challenge ahead	6/13/2016 9:36 AM
69	New pool should be same size or bigger than existing pool. It's already very crowded, and so a smaller pool would be worse for crowding.	6/13/2016 9:36 AM
70	Thanks for taking the time & money to make improvements to Shippe Pool possible! I am not so much concerned about the design specifics but more about overall pool dimensions. I would like to see a pool of equal size as the existing pool (100' length) if at all possible. CN	6/13/2016 9:24 AM
71	If there is any way to do this without decreasing the size of the pool, that would be preferable. It gets really crowded in there as it is currently.	6/13/2016 9:21 AM
72	Let's keep the surface area / length of lap pool at LEAST as big as it is currently. As is, it's already super crowded. Extra depth won't be an improvement. During open swim hours, most people are just paddling around or bouncing their kiddos. Extensive "shallow area" such as they have a Deep Eddy Pool is more fun and useful for families.	6/13/2016 8:33 AM
73	I do not wish to make the pool smaller than it currently is. In general however I prefer the freestyle design over the sidestroke.	6/13/2016 8:31 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

74	I'm sorry to see that the pools are so much smaller. The pool can be quite crowded on the weekends. It's hard to imagine what that would be like with a smaller pool. Ugh. It would be helpful to see some drawings in sketchup to help show what early and late afternoon sun would be like in the space. Currently the trees on the west side help with shade quite a bit. I would miss that! Also helpful to see how the bathrooms would block the view to the west. How tall will the structures be? how much shade will they provide? I know this is a difficult space to work with! So many constraints...	6/13/2016 8:30 AM
75	Please retain large swimming pool at least as big as the existing pool!	6/13/2016 8:10 AM
76	I think we should keep the pool the same size as it currently is. The pool is already crowded enough without taking away its size.	6/13/2016 7:40 AM
77	don't want the adult pool and kid pool near each other. i go to swim and relax, not deal with screaming children trampling me.	6/13/2016 6:21 AM
78	It's hard to understand why the city would build a smaller pool with less deck space in a growing city and neighborhood with greater density planned. Positively myopic. Either design would be fine if the size of the pool and lounge areas are increased. It's particularly essential that there is seating near the baby pool.	6/13/2016 6:02 AM
79	The plans both look nice. Sometimes the pool gets very crowded. I would like the square footage of the water surface in the pools to increase or stay the same as the current pools- not decrease.	6/12/2016 11:19 PM
80	Please do not reduce the size of the main Shipe swimming pool. We need to keep the size. Stop diminishing the quality of Austin's public amenities. Have you noticed that the our city population is increasing!!	6/12/2016 11:08 PM
81	Whichever plan is implemented, I'd like there to be a 3' deep area, for kids who are too big for 2', but not big enough for 4'.	6/12/2016 10:49 PM
82	Nice work Y'all!	6/12/2016 10:31 PM
83	Keep the 100' pool because use demands the space. Delete bathhouse; ADA restrooms are all we need. Make activity pool the same size by having no bathhouse. Entry on park side is best. Thank you for your good work.	6/12/2016 10:12 PM
84	I do not think the pool size should be shrunk. Leave the historic pool in its existing size. Also, no need to add a bath house. Spruce up the bathrooms.	6/12/2016 9:50 PM
85	Please keep the current size of the main pool. shrinking it seems crazy. it gets sooo busy on weekends.	6/12/2016 9:20 PM
86	Please make pools bigger, they are already overcrowded and making it smaller only makes it worse	6/12/2016 8:35 PM
87	Both pools should remain the same size that they are - not smaller. The pool is already overcrowded on weekend - being the current size. Making it smaller would overcrowding even worse and no longer a pleasureable experience. Please come up with a new design keeping pools the same size. Thank you.	6/12/2016 7:33 PM
88	SIZE OF EXISTING POOL NEEDS TO BE MAINTAINED.	6/12/2016 7:32 PM
89	I would like, as with many of my neighbors in Hyde Park, a pool with the same area as what we currently have. Presenting two options, both with reduced size, is a false choice. We need to consider an option that has a pool with the same area that we have now. This is clearly possible, since we have it now.	6/12/2016 7:27 PM
90	Please keep the size of the pool similar to what is existing. Also, reducing the depth slightly, but increasing the overall size of the deep end makes sense to me.	6/12/2016 7:15 PM
91	I am concerned that both replacement designs are for smaller pools that we currently have at Shipe. It can get VERY crowded on hot days even at the current size, especially when area day camps arrive. We've managed quite well without a bath house for decades so I'd much rather see the larger pool size retained and skip the bath house component. Retaining the current pool size would also eliminate the expense incurred by filling in the current hole.	6/12/2016 5:39 PM
92	Both of these designs DECREASE the size of the existing pool -- by a lot! The pool often is packed in the summer, it's hard to imagine what it would be like if it were smaller. It would be hard on the active lap-swimming community as well. Please consider plans that DO NOT DECREASE the size of the pool.	6/12/2016 4:54 PM
93	I want the big pool size to remain the same as the existing pool. A smaller pool will not accommodate our community. If you make it 75' long then it needs to be 25% wider. Or we need to remove the lap lanes during peak times. I don't want so many bathrooms. mostly, we need a big pool	6/12/2016 3:39 PM
94	If possible, would love to see the larger pool remain same size.	6/12/2016 12:19 PM



## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

95	My biggest complaint is the size of the 2 pools shrinking, with the adult pool shrinking by 1,000 sq feet. PLEASE DO NOT REDUCE THE SIZE OF THE ADULT POOL!!!! At current size of about 4,000 square ft it is already packed with people on Saturday and Sunday afternoons in the summers. If anything changes with size, the size of the pool should increase from 4,000 sq ft, and certainly not decrease any from this amount. A way to come up with more space is to eliminate one of the family washrooms. One is sufficient for Shipe and 2 family restrooms are not needed. Most users of the pool will not shower there, and those that want to can use a simple outdoor shower like exists today. Most users will walk home from Shipe and shower there. I cannot reiterate enough how important it is to keep or increase the square footage of the adult pool!!!	6/12/2016 12:13 PM
96	Please do not diminish the pool's size. On many days during the summer it is currently packed, so much so that my kids and I are within arm's length of other families, and we often bump into other swimmers. Shrinking the pool from its current size will only make this worse. Shipe is a well utilized pool. Please do not diminish its capacity.	6/12/2016 11:56 AM
97	we are a little worried about smaller sizes of pools	6/12/2016 11:11 AM
98	I wish I had had an opportunity to attend this meeting in person. These plans raise a lot of questions.. I found the survey questions a bit confusing. I'm concerned about two primary things. 1) this neighborhood relies on the pool in the summer. as our community has become more dense, there is more demand to use the pool. however, both of these designs are suggesting SMALLER pools. this is concerning to me. 2) there is really very little shade in these plans. in one of them there is no shade over the baby pool. currently that is one of the best things about that pool. will there be another public meeting?	6/12/2016 10:21 AM
99	It is essential that the new pool be the same size of the existing pool. As it is, on weekends and evenings, the pool is crowded. Why go through all the expense and trouble of building a new pool that will be inadequate?	6/12/2016 10:17 AM
100	Really wish the pool could be the same size as it is now, 4,000 square feet or larger.	6/12/2016 9:40 AM
101	I would like to see the sidestroke plan, with the bathroom structures combined and moved either closer to the playground, or behind the log cabin, so there's both more room to lounge around and a proper changing room style bathroom structure. Please visit both mueller pools for ideas on the changing area. While toilets are nice, families really need an enclosed open changing area.	6/12/2016 9:29 AM
102	Why must we lose pool space for things we don't want AND we'll be losing park restrooms that aren't locked in the pool area too. This remodel is a real bummer.	6/12/2016 8:48 AM
103	As a parent, the sidestroke plan does not lend itself to good visibility for watching over children. The access on both sides in a linear manner also increases a chance of a child running off or being lead astray without quick access for a parent to catch up. Thirdly, I like the freestyle plan more in that it provides a set up for how parents and children really use the park--children mixing swim time in the pool with play time in the park. The freestyle plan seems to facilitate a bit more of how families actually use the facilities, which includes going back and forth from pool to playground.	6/12/2016 8:31 AM
104	I would rather see less shade and jeep the activity pool bigger!	6/12/2016 8:15 AM
105	Shipe Park pool serves an population density which is ever increasing, and is the only pool west of IH 35. From Koenig to 38th, Lamar to IH 35, this is our community pool. Based on the #'s to be served, to make this pool smaller make no sense Please don't Shrink Ship Pool!	6/12/2016 7:44 AM
106	Please do not reduce the size of the pools. Families in Hyde Park and surrounding neighborhoods depend on this during these hot summer months!	6/12/2016 7:42 AM
107	Keep the Pools the same size. Keep the construction carefully limited since we use the playscape all the time.	6/12/2016 7:35 AM
108	I care about shade, both in the water and in lounge areas. Though your maps show the footprint of the shade structure, I can't compare the relative amout of shade created at the ground/surface. I vote for the plan with the most shade.	6/12/2016 4:25 AM
109	please do not shrink the pools	6/12/2016 3:55 AM
110	I don't think the size of the pools can be shrunk. The pool is the summer hub and summer heart of the community - it well loved and well used. Updating the pool pumps and surfaces is all that is needed - use existing green space to make new bathrooms (if you must). Most users of the pool are folks that live nearby - we come to the pool dresses, and often take the need for a bathroom break as an excuse to go home.	6/12/2016 2:36 AM
111	The large pool should be the same size it currently is.	6/11/2016 10:33 PM
112	I and my siblings spent many, many days swimming in shipe from 1963-1978 and my niece's, nephews and great nieces swimming into the 90's. I can't imagine smaller pools. While views of the Ney, etc. are great, kids don't really care. I want adults to swim, but first and foremost should come the kids.	6/11/2016 10:09 PM
113	You need to go back to the drawing board and come up with a design that does not reduce the size of Shipe pool. Put in a splash pad for the kids if you must but don't negatively impact the pool size.	6/11/2016 10:05 PM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

114	I urge you to try to make the new swimming pool larger than these two plans propose. It is often quite crowded in the current pool, and it will be that much more crowded with a smaller replacement.	6/11/2016 10:00 PM
115	The pools need to be big enough for a growing population. There needs to be shade _next to_ the activity pool.	6/11/2016 9:44 PM
116	I hope the new pool will be the same size as the current pool.	6/11/2016 9:22 PM
117	Would love either! They look great.	6/11/2016 9:18 PM
118	In both plans, the total pool area is greatly reduced. We do not want a smaller pool. We would trade 'loungue' space for water Every Single Time. Do not reduce the size of our pool.	6/11/2016 9:06 PM
119	I am less concerned with shade, access and layout than I am with keeping the new pools the same size (not smaller) than the current pools. I am not in favor of decreasing the surface area of the pools. Please keep them at 4000 sq. ft total! Thank you!	6/11/2016 7:30 PM
120	At the May 16 meeting attendees made it abundantly clear that we strongly opposed shortening the pool to 75 ft. Those running the meeting seemed surprised by this input and responded that the City of Austin wanted to standardize the length of city pools. I understand that there are limitations, such as, the flood plain, trees, log cabin, but shortening the pool just so it will be like all the others seems absurd. Since the size of the pool was an important consideration to those who use the pool, the designers assured us that they would do what they could to accommodate the neighborhood's needs. Shipe is a very heavily utilized pool not just by the adjoining neighborhoods but also by many other families who come to enjoy the unique setting. The big pool and the wading pool at their existing size can become very crowded during peak times. Reducing the size by 25% seems foolish. For lap swimmers, of which there are many, shortening the length to 75 ft will congest the lanes to the point of driving people away. You state in the presentation that there are 4 lap lanes. This is misleading. There are only 4 lap lanes if you swim during the times that are designated for lap swimming only. The rest of the time there is only one lane which is barely wide enough to split with someone.	6/11/2016 7:19 PM
121	Please don't make the pools smaller. They are SUPER crowded most week nights and all day during the weekend now. Smaller and they would be unlivable. And get enough lifeguards to open the baby pool on a schedule. There is nothing worse than getting 2 sweaty kids to the pool and watching them cry because it didn't open AGAIN and there was no way to know that before we arrived.	6/11/2016 6:43 PM
122	I and many people in Hyde Park feel VERY strongly that the length of the new pool needs to be the same as the length of the old one. Storage currently exists in the log cabin for life guards and that could continue. We are not accustomed to the luxury of bathhouses. Perhaps some square footage could be reduced or rearranged to supply space to lengthen the pool. In general, I like all of the design concepts, especially moving the baby pool to be in proximity to the big pool and the shade structures.	6/11/2016 5:24 PM
123	I really like the ability to see the playscape structure from the activity pool in the freestyle Plan. The	6/11/2016 4:04 PM
124	I am displeased to see that there are plans to make the pools smaller. I would like to see more pool water and less bath house square footage. Also, it's important to me that the pool stays very deep on the deep end.	6/11/2016 3:56 PM
125	I think for parents with mixed age children, the sidestroke plan allows for easier monitoring of both the kiddie pool and the regular pool (As they are in alignment).	6/11/2016 3:52 PM
126	Please do not shrink the size of these pools! They are crowded already.	6/11/2016 3:48 PM
127	I would prefer less shade and smallest allowable bathroom and not reduce the pool sizes.	6/11/2016 3:40 PM
128	Keep pool size the same. Do not reduce the size of the large pool.	6/11/2016 2:45 PM
129	Losing 25% of the water surface is simply unacceptable. It's already very crowded.	6/11/2016 2:06 PM
130	Please do not drastically reduce size of main pool!	6/11/2016 1:22 PM
131	The City Aquatics' Departments decision to reduce the size of both pools was not revealed as a design constraint until the second stakeholder meeting. Despite objections of most attendees at the second meeting, the most recent designs did not acknowledge this input by including an option of preserving the length of the lap pool. That is why I find both designs strongly unfavorable.	6/11/2016 12:57 PM
132	I'm strongly opposed to decreasing the size of both pools, and don't understand why that's such a priority. The pool is often overcrowded as is, and I'm not supportive of either design for that reason.	6/11/2016 12:43 PM
133	Don't make the pools smaller, if it ain't broke, don't fix it!	6/11/2016 12:05 PM
134	Do not reduce the pool size or lounge space from the current area. It gets very crowded as it is.	6/11/2016 11:00 AM
135	I urge the planners to go with a larger pool surface on the larger pool.	6/11/2016 10:56 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

136	My family-- including my 7, 3, and 1-year old children- live in Hyde Park and have used the current pool several times per week over the last 6 summers. Any plan that reduces the current size of the pool is not acceptable to us. We do not need any of the new design elements, including shade or lounge areas. What we do need is swimming space -- including DEPTH. Any plan that makes the pool smaller and/or shallower will be making our current situation much, much worse. The pool is special and beautiful as it is currently in no small part because of its large size. I am very unhappy with these proposals.	6/11/2016 10:56 AM
137	Are you crazy making the pool SMALLER? That is already a tiny pool. What moron would design a new pool that is smaller!? That is the worst idea you could have possibly come up with.	6/11/2016 10:18 AM
138	The pools need to be at least as big as they are now or bigger. On any given summer day it is packed with kids. The new pool experience will be a serious downgrade if the pool area is smaller because it will be so crowded you can't even move.	6/11/2016 10:15 AM
139	I am very concerned with these plans. The current pool size is necessary for the number of people using this pool. If anything the two swimming lanes are often unused and would be nice to have during peak swimming times so kids can swim from one side of the pool to the other. These designs are not keeping the usability issues in mind. A smaller pool size is not the answer. We also need to limit the aggressive homeless population that wants to take over the bathroom area and by making that accessible on the corner it's welcoming more issues. While I believe the bathroom should be more accessible it needs to be inside. If you have another meeting I will make it but I was traveling on business during this last one. Given this summer the city has failed to put lifeguards in place for this pool I think our community is feeling very frustrated. The city has all year to properly recruit and train lifeguards. Yet they failed. The summer has started and this very pool that we love and use regularly has not opened. It's a reminder that we are all paying high taxes and the city continues to fail in following through in the very basic promise of having a cool pool area for our families to enjoy during the summer.	6/11/2016 9:46 AM
140	If you have ever visited our pool on a busy day or evening in the summer - you would notice that it is already crowded... I don't agree with the plan to shrink the pool by 25%? The rest of the plans look lovely and wonderful and I feel pretty neutral on either plan... but mostly... don't want to shrink the total pool size. We need it!	6/11/2016 9:27 AM
141	I favor the design of Freestyle but I am very concerned about the reduction in pool size. At peak times during the day our pool is almost overcrowded. I can not see how bringing the size down is in any way improving the Shipe experience. Please reconsider this facet of your design. Said the older woman trying to tread water. Said the father trying to motorboat his 4 yr old. Said the 12 yr old trying to splash and toss a ball. And how the heck do we still have a lap lane with this reduction? Of course it's possible, but it will all be so overcrowded. Thank you for taking the community's input during this process.	6/11/2016 9:18 AM
142	We really need the pool to remain as large as it is now. It gets very crowded as it is. My number one top concern is size of the pool. Please keep it large. -kelly Willis	6/11/2016 8:50 AM
143	The reduction in both pool sizes is highly problematic given that this is a highly-utilized facility. I foresee overcrowding especially due to higher population density in the area served by Shipe.	6/11/2016 8:49 AM
144	The pool is overcrowded and needs to be larger not smaller for growing population. Not enough green space. Too much concrete.	6/11/2016 8:37 AM
145	IF I understand these - the pool size will be reduced. This is not a good idea -- if the principle is to conform to some standard the city wishes to impose, I would argue that there is little real requirement to conform in this instance, the pool here is busy during the summer and its current size is one of its advantages. I see no compelling reason to reduce the pool area and feel strongly enough that I would reject both these plans if the size standard is imposed. Other than this, it's difficult to choose - these are both viable plans, my broader concerns are the poor homeless people who flock here to have somewhere to go to a restroom - I can't imagine how this impacts them but there is an associated issue of safety and crime levels when changing rooms are more rather than less secluded.	6/11/2016 8:13 AM
146	Why are we going with smaller pool? Popular pool should not get smaller.	6/11/2016 8:02 AM
147	Wait! I don't want either pool to be smaller than what we currently have!	6/11/2016 7:57 AM
148	Would like to keep the same size pool we have, and with a diving depth. Do not like how bath house structures on the sidestroke plan interfere with park view and shade area is also thoroughfare for access.	6/11/2016 7:30 AM
149	I realize we are adding bath house and restroom space but the pool sizes appear to be smaller. Can the current pool sizes be maintained? More attractive facilities will mean increased usage so the pools need to be the same or larger than today (not smaller!).	6/11/2016 7:28 AM
150	Shipe pool is already very crowded at its current size. Making it smaller is a mistake. Could the fenced-in area be extended so that bathhouses are between the log cabin and 44th? Then, the pools could be larger. Also, the small pool needs much more shade - maybe complete shade. Is that possible? I would love a beach entry on the small pool, as well. Thanks.	6/11/2016 7:23 AM
151	Water area must stay the size it is	6/11/2016 6:45 AM

## Shipe Pool Final Concept Design

152	Please maintain the current size of both pools. This is a heavily attended facility, and reducing the size would diminish it's functionality and enjoyment factors.	6/11/2016 5:51 AM
153	Decreasing the pool sizes is not favorable. The pools are packed when in use. Also, it would be awesome to have more than two lap lanes.	6/11/2016 5:34 AM
154	It's extremely important to me that the pool size be kept at least as large as the current pool. I love to swim laps there, so keeping the pool size larger than the existing pool is the most important aspect.	6/11/2016 1:56 AM
155	Bigger pools would be an improvement	6/11/2016 1:10 AM
156	Do not reduce the size of the pools	6/11/2016 1:04 AM
157	Open the pool, stop making plans to shrink the pool size. The management of the city pools is ridiculous. Focus on keeping them open and not building things that shrink the pool size! Get Shipe open!!!	6/10/2016 10:56 PM
158	the bathroom location in the sidestroke plan reduces views to the playscape. As a parent watching kids, I would prefer to have more openness between the playscape and pool, so I could monitor kids more easily. To have the connection between the activity pool and playscape is critical!	6/10/2016 9:53 PM
159	Shipe was my neighborhood pool in the 60 so I am happy to see the improvements, I think the freestyle is the better design.	6/10/2016 8:02 PM
160	The location of the shade (and some lounge) space directly in between the 2 entry points doesn't seem desirable since there'll be traffic and static bodies. I like the Freestyle's family rest room proximity to the activity pool.	6/10/2016 3:05 PM
161	I'm concerned that with the freestyle plan much of the shade provided by trees is lost, yet I am not convinced that the sidestroke plan is realistic in that it suggests that shade structure and pass through can coexist. I don't understand how that will work. Shade and shade provided over the pool area (not just seating area) is a big part of why shipe pool is usable for myself and my family. It is unhealthy to have no escape from the sun while you are in the pool. It's such a nice feature of the current pool. Please consider how you might include shade refuges for people IN the pool as well as out. please rethink shade structure + path in sidestroke	6/10/2016 3:03 PM
162	both are very nice designs and shade and lawn space seems to be similar in both. What I like most with sidestroke is the bathrooms are away from the two pool areas. the bathrooms by the activity pool in freestyle will be a mess of logistics and traffic.	6/10/2016 11:20 AM
163	I want pool surface area and a deep end as deep as we have today. Stop wasting time if you can't provide that.	6/10/2016 11:19 AM