

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Examination of Controlled Substances, Dangerous Drugs and Related Compounds

- Scope
- Safety
- Procedure
 - Retrieval and Initial Examination of the Evidence
 - Exam Counting Guidelines
 - Drug Item Reduction
 - Procedure for Weighing Sample
 - Evidence Sampling Techniques
 - Basic Analytical Scheme
 - Quantitation
 - Concluding Examination and Return of the Evidence
- Plant Material
 - Marihuana, Hashish, and Tetrahydrocannabinols
 - Mushroom Procedure

2 Clandestine Drug Laboratory Evidence Analysis

3 Chemical Spot Tests

- Chemical Spot Test Overview
- Marquis Test
- Cobalt Thiocyanate
- Sodium Nitroprusside Test
- Sodium m-Periodate (SMP) Test
- Ferric Chloride Test
- Froehde's Test
- Mecke's Test
- Cobalt Nitrate Test
- Duquenois-Levine Test
- Liebermann Test
- P-DMABA Test
- Chlorophenol Red: Modified Schweppe's Test
- Sulfuric Acid Test
- Weber Test
- Wintergreen Test

4 Thin Layer Chromatography

5 Instrumental Analysis

- Ultraviolet/Visible Spectrophotometry
- Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometry

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry
Gas Chromatography
Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry

6 Quantitative Analysis

Quantitation by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry
 Quantitation of Cocaine
 Quantitation of Heroin
 Quantitation of LSD
 Quantitation of Methamphetamine
 Determination of Optical Isomer for "ICE" by Derivatization
 Quantitation of Phencyclidine
Quantitation by Gas Chromatography with Internal Standard
 Quantitation of Cocaine by GC-FID
 Quantitation of Heroin by GC-FID

7 Estimation of Uncertainty

Definitions
Procedures
 Balance Uncertainty Determination
 Uncertainty of Measurement Calculation for Drug Weights
 Single Measurements
 Multiple Measurements with the Same Balance
 Quantification Method Uncertainty Determinations
 Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry
 Gas Chromatography with Internal Standard
References

8 Drug Analysis Notes

Extraction Techniques
Common Contaminants
Amphetamine
BZP Derivatization with TMS
BZP Derivatization with Trifluoroacetyl
Cannabinoids - Synthetic
Clandestine lab
Cocaine Overview
Codeine Overview
Dihydrocodeinone (Hydrocodone)
Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate (GHB)
Heroin Overview
Iodine Identification
Isobutyl and Other Inhalants
Optical Isomers of Amines and Alcohols
Lisdexamphetamine Dimesylate

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

LSD Overview
Marihuana, Hashish, and THC Overview
Methamphetamine Overview
Methamphetamine/MDMA separation for UV
Methcathinone and analogues
Morphine Tablet Analysis
N-Hydroxy-3,4-MDA
Opium and Poppies
Pemoline Analysis
Phenyl Acetone (P-2-P) Overview
Phencyclidine (P-C-P)
Red Phosphorus Overview
Sugar (Derivatization)
Steroids

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

1 Examination of Controlled Substances, Dangerous Drugs and Related Compounds

Scope

- To establish standards for reporting the results from the analysis of controlled substances and dangerous drugs, clandestine laboratory chemicals and other substances examined by chemists in the APD Forensic Chemistry section. This manual is not all-inclusive, and it is not possible to anticipate every situation that may arise or to prescribe a specific course of action for every case. Therefore, the analyst must exercise good judgment based on experience and common sense, especially when processing evidence. However, any portion of a procedure not explicitly qualified as a guideline, e.g., by use of the word "shall," may not be modified for use in casework without prior approval by the Technical Leader.

Safety

- Review the Forensic Science Division Safety Manual as needed.
- For hazardous materials or possible hazardous materials, use appropriate personal protective equipment.
- Material Safety Data Sheets are available in the laboratory if additional information is needed about a material.
- Use proper lifting techniques and caution when handling heavy items.
- Use caution and proper technique when using sharp instruments to cut into evidence packaging.

Procedure

- All observations and examinations shall be documented in the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS).

Retrieval and Initial Examination of the Evidence

- Refer to the Forensic Science Division Standard Operating Procedures concerning retrieval, transfer, and handling of evidence.
- Separate (sub-divide) exhibits with multiple items if the contents appear to be different, and they are to be analyzed.
- Care must be exercised to avoid cross-contamination if more than one item of evidence is open at the same time.
- A brief description of each item analyzed shall be documented in the case record.
- The use of abbreviations is acceptable as long as they have been defined.
- Logos and/or significant markings may be documented and compared with approved pharmaceutical reference literature.
 - References used for comparison shall be documented.
 - Information found on untampered pharmaceutical packaging may be used as pharmaceutical identification.
 - References are recommended for tablets if no further analysis will be conducted.
- Bulk items that are to be altered such that it is no longer recognizable to the original description; photographs shall be taken of the original condition and attached to case record.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- If an item is used in its entirety during analysis it shall be documented in the case record.

Exam Counting Guidelines

- Items within a case may contain multiple samples. Number of samples shall be documented in the case record, and refers to the actual number of individual samples received (e.g., 10 tablets = 10; two baggies of marijuana = 2; 1 sheet of 25 squares of LSD = 25; baggie of 10 crack rocks = 1 item).
- If a sampling plan is used the number of individual samples that were analyzed shall be recorded in the case record as well as the report.
- However, pharmaceutical identification of 500 tablets is one (1) for analysis and one (1) for Number Analyzed, not 500. Also, the examination of a sheet of 250 LSD squares under UV light is equal to one (1) for Samples Analyzed, not 250, and is equivalent to one (1) for Analysis.
- Each spot test, instrumental examination; microscopic or visual examination shall be counted as one (1) examination each.
- Per item, preliminary pharmaceutical examinations will be counted as one (1) examination only, no matter how many dosages are received or how many pharmaceutical references are searched. For example, if 500 tablets are received in a particular item, only one (1) pharmaceutical examination can be counted and if several references are used, only one (1) pharmaceutical examination can be counted.

Drug Item Reduction

- Drug Item Reduction allows for the analysis of key items within a case to maximize the resources of the laboratory. In every case, the most significant items in terms of quantity and penalty group are analyzed. This “rule of thumb” cannot address every drug case. Consideration must be given to the information provided in each request. This includes things such as the specific charges or types of offense, items unique to a single suspect, the statement of fact and examinations requested and the descriptions of evidence submitted as well as the chemist’s visual inspection of the items.
 - Syringes should only be analyzed if they are the only item in the case, or are tied to probable cause.
 - No further analysis is required for misdemeanor offense intact, marked pharmaceutical preparations (e.g., tablets or untampered capsules) indicated as non-controlled or Penalty Group 3 or 4 preparations.
 - Plant material consistent with marijuana weighing less than 4oz.
 - Residues in drug paraphernalia, cigarettes or cigarette butts will not be analyzed when measurable quantities of the associated drugs are also included among the items submitted.
 - When multiple residue specimens are submitted within an item (without an item with a measurable quantity), similar residues (e.g., two baggies with residue) may be combined after appropriate screening tests to result in only one GC/MS sample.
 - If items are not analyzed per this procedure, case record and report shall indicate this by a notation of “No Analysis”

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Procedure for Weighing Sample

- Select the appropriate balance for the amount of sample to be weighed.
- If the estimated uncertainty is equal or larger than the weight, a more accurate balance shall be used.
- The balance used will be documented in the case record.
- A before analysis weight shall be documented:
 - Not including packaging (net).
 - OR**
 - Including packaging (gross).
 - If before analysis weight includes weight of packaging a tare weight shall be documented in order to calculate a net weight.
 - Document a tare weight when used
 - Tare weight shall be in same units as before analysis weight
 - If source of tare weight is not obvious, make notes describing how the tare was calculated.
 - Calculations involving weights will be done using the weights as they are recorded.
 - Conversion factors shall be 28.35g/oz or 454g/lb
- Documenting a net weight:
 - A net weight shall be documented in the case record for each item analyzed. Exceptions are listed in the Forensic Chemistry Section SOP's
 - In instances where statutory requirements or state sentencing guidelines designate weight thresholds, sufficient specimens will be weighed and analyzed to exceed the threshold.
- Documenting an after analysis weight
 - If after analysis weight is less than 0.01 grams, "Trace" may be documented.
 - If the entire sample is consumed in analysis, "0" should be documented.

Evidence Sampling Techniques (ISO 5.7.1)

- Sampling evidence is the most important initial step in forensic drug analysis. One must be sure that what is sampled is truly representative of the total population. The analyst must take into consideration the homogeneity (or lack thereof) among drug packaging (bags, packets, capsules, etc.) and its contents. Careful visual inspections and personal experience are essential in determining the proper sampling procedure.
- For cases in which sample selection (non-statistical sampling methods) is used, the report should state what was received, what was tested, and must be clear that the result/conclusion pertains to that which was tested (e.g., "100 baggies of white powder were received in item 1. Contents of six of the baggies were tested and found to contain cocaine.")
 - The weight of the entire item *may* be reported.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- The weight of the samples actually tested **shall** be reported (e.g., “100 baggies of white powder with a total weight of 212.20 grams were received in item 1. **Contents of six of the baggies were tested and found to contain cocaine. The net weight of the contents of the six tested baggies was 13.60 grams.**”)
- Within any sampling scheme, Statistical or Non-Statistical, if the first set of observations determines that more than one population is present, further samples from each population must be taken.
- **Single pharmaceutical tablets/capsules:**
 - Due to the unique physical identifiers present in pharmaceutical preparations, a consistent sample population can easily be determined. The thoroughness represented by the sampling scheme used for street drugs is not required for pharmaceutical preparations which are clearly visually consistent with each other.
 - If there is no reason to suspect tampering or counterfeiting, then one tablet or capsule may be analyzed to confirmation (report must state the number of items sampled for analysis and the weight of what was sampled).
 - If tampering is suspected, analyze dosage units utilizing the administrative, statistical, or non-statistical schemes.
- **For tablets or items that appear to not be homogeneous or that appear to be clandestinely manufactured:**
 - A statistical sampling plan may be used to select samples for confirmation.
 - Alternatively, a non-statistical method may also be used for exhibits containing clandestine tablets.
 - Information about the sampling plan shall be documented.
 - Where possible items should be grouped, subsampled, and analyzed by color, imprint, or other markings.
- **Non-statistical methods:**
 - For items where an inference to a population is **not** being made.
 - **All Items (Administrative)**
 - When all samples within an item are tested and individually confirmed no documentation of the sampling plan is necessary.
 - **Weight Thresholds**
 - A sufficient number of items may be selected to exceed the maximum applicable weight limit as delineated in the Texas Controlled Substances Act.
 - The selected evidence will be individually confirmed and separately identified.
- **Statistical sampling plan**
 - For items where an inference to a population **is** being made:
 - The statistical sampling plan is based on the hypergeometric distribution that will be used to determine the minimum number of items or samples to be

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

tested and individually confirmed in order to prove that 90% of the total number of items in an evidence exhibit or population will be positive for the respective drug with a 95% confidence level.

- The hypergeometric model may be used for specimens with no significant markings or labels (e.g., the contents of plastic bags, bag corners, vials). The following table prescribes the minimum number of items randomly selected from a population to be tested.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Number Samples	Required Number of Consecutive Positives
	90%
5	All
6-7	All
8-10	All
11-13	10
14	11
15-16	12
17	13
18	14
19	15
20-26	16
27	17
28-29	18
30-31	19
32-37	19
38-39	20
40-48	21
49-58	22
59-69	23
70-88	24
89-109	25
110-159	26
160-279	27
280-939	28
940+	29

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Samples shall be marked such that data can be associated with each specific sample.
- If one or more negative samples(s) are encountered with the other samples containing a controlled substance, or if preliminary testing does not give consistent results, then testing the entire population or non-statistical sampling will be necessary.
- If all samples are not analyzed, the number of those that are fully analyzed will be recorded in the case record.
- For items consisting of samples that are obviously non-controlled such as gum, candy or vitamins, a single representative sample may be used.

- **Sampling for Quantitative Analysis**

- Quantitative analyses require homogenized representative samples. Generally, a relatively large sample is homogenized with a mortar and pestle prior to taking two smaller samples required by the quantitative method to make the solutions. The remainder of the homogenized portion should be returned with the evidence in a suitably labeled plastic bag provided by the laboratory and clearly marked as a composite.
- Large single sample items such as kilos of cocaine, either a core sample or combined samples taken from multiple locations will be used for the homogenizing process. This process shall be described in the case notes.
- Items with multiple specimens may be analyzed qualitatively using either the statistical or non-statistical sampling plans. A composite will be formed consisting of portions from each of these specimens analyzed. Homogenize the composite and take the two samples required for the quantitation method.

- **Residue Samples**

- Residues are samples which are either too small to be weighed accurately or that which remains after the bulk has been removed. Residues can be sampled by mechanical means (e.g., shaking or scooping) or chemical means (e.g., rinsing with solvent). Case record must reflect the method by which the sample was removed.
- When possible, a sample should be removed while leaving a portion of the residue intact.

Basic Analytical Scheme

- The basic analytical scheme for the analysis of suspected controlled substances, dangerous drugs and other related compounds consists of sample preparation and extraction or isolation procedures in various combinations with the following tests and instrumentation. The analyst must determine the appropriate sampling techniques, methods of recovery, extraction procedures and instrumental analysis to be used for identification of a compound on a case-by-case basis. When sample size Allows; testing should be applied on separate samplings of the material. Minimum analysis requirements for confirmation of a controlled substance include:
 - One positive **confirmatory** test (either FTIR or GC/MS) and at least one *different, supplemental* positive test, including:

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- LC-MS
 - LC Retention Time
 - LC-Photodiode Array
 - GC-MS and retention time from a different GC instrument.
 - GC-FID and retention time from a different GC-FID instrument or GC-FID column.
 - A derivative procedure on GC/MS.
 - FTIR (Confirmatory **or** reduced resolution)
 - UV/VIS
 - Preliminary pharmaceutical examination.
 - Preliminary color test.
 - TLC
- FTIR sample spectra of reduced resolving power, and spectra subtractions are not sufficient as the *confirmatory* test, and are only adequate as a *supplemental* test. Refer to FTIR section on interpretation of this manual.
 - Microscopic identification is required, and at least one other positive test (Duquenois-Levine, GC, GC/MS, or FTIR) is required to indicate the presence of THC and/or Cannabinoids in marihuana samples, excluding seeds.
 - “Hashish” or THC type samples shall be derivatized for confirmation as described in the Marihuana, Hashish, and Tetrahydrocannabinols section of this manual.
 - Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate (GHB) and N-Benzylpiperazine may be confirmed using a derivative procedure and two separate GC-MS retention times.
 - The salt form or base form of the drug will be identified using FTIR or other scientifically accepted procedures.
 - Each test shall be documented in the case record, including extractions and sample preparations used.
 - Samples which contain multiple controlled substances require the identification of the substance with the highest penalty, if deemed possible by the analyst.
- **Negative or inconclusive results**
 - If a sample was subjected to the minimum amount of testing (listed below) and no controlled substance was identified, the sample will be reported as “No Controlled Substance Detected”.
 - The sample shall be subjected to a minimum of one confirmatory test (FTIR or GC-MS) and at least one **different** test, including:
 - LC-MS
 - LC-Photodiode Array
 - Splitless injection on a different GC-MS instrument or GC column.
 - GC-FID on a different GC-FID instrument or GC column
 - FTIR
 - UV/VIS
 - Attempted derivatization of sample
 - Analysts must use discretion and context clues when selecting appropriate analytical techniques. The techniques performed should take into account the nature of the sample, and its suitability for analysis with respect to instrumentation.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Quantitation

- Except for the below listed guidelines, drug items will not be routinely quantitated:
 - Methamphetamine, Cocaine Hydrochloride, and Heroin for items over 1 gram and LSD in any quantity.
 - Samples from clandestine laboratories will be quantitated as needed for prosecution.
 - Samples to be released as training aids (dog dope) or department sanctioned narcotic reversal operations.
 - A special request for quantitation from the Prosecutor
 - A special request from APD management for investigative purposes
 - Minimum of two (2) independent samples will be utilized to determine concentration. One quantitation may be acceptable if sample is limited for LSD only.

Concluding Examination and Return of the Evidence

- All original exhibits should be re-packaged per Forensic Science Division SOP's.
- Samples that are tested shall be clearly marked and repackaged separately from those not tested.

Plant Material

- Initially, all plant material shall be screened via stereomicroscopy for cystolithic hairs.
 - In the presence of cystolithic hairs or marihuana seeds, the analytical scheme for marihuana shall be completed.
 - In the absence of cystolithic hairs, a negative result from a Modified Duquenois-Levine Test, or in conjunction with contextual clues from the evidence, samples shall be screened for controlled substances including, but not limited to Cathinones and Synthetic Cannabinoids.
 - Fungal material shall be screened as per the Mushroom or Peyote Procedures.

Marihuana, Hashish, and Tetrahydrocannabinols

Scope and Introduction

- To establish an analytical procedure for the examination of marihuana, hashish, and tetrahydrocannabinols.
- Marihuana is neither a "controlled substance" nor is it "scheduled" under Texas Law. However, it is defined and covered under separate sections of Control Substance Act and has associated penalties.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Safety

- The plant material, and the dust and mold often present in botanical substances, may trigger allergic reactions. Susceptible personnel should take precautionary measures such as wearing masks, respirators, and gloves.

Equipment, Materials, and Reagents

- Microscope/Stereoscope
- Watch glass or microscope slide
- Hexane or other suitable solvent
- Duquenois Reagent
- Concentrated HCl
- BSTFA with 1% TCMS reagent

Macroscopic Identification

- Gross morphological characteristics that may be observed include the palmate arrangement of the leaflets, the pinnate appearance of the leaflets, the serrated edges of the leaflet, the buds (with or without seeds) and, if present, fluted stems and stalks. Mature stalks are considered those greater than one-quarter-inch in diameter. Stems, a support structure for another part of the plant such as a leaf or flower, are also fluted and may have hairs on the surface. Although not required for the identification of marijuana macroscopic identification can still prove useful.
- Due to the compressed or mutilated nature of many samples, many of these characteristics may not be discernible.
- Positive macroscopic examination results shall be recorded in the analytical notes as 'consistent with marijuana'. A result is considered positive when sufficient characteristics are observed and are specified in the case notes. Negative observations may be recorded in a similar fashion.

Microscopic Identification

- Microscopic confirmation of the physical characteristics of marijuana constitutes a required examination for the identification of marijuana.
- View the sample at varying magnifications (approximately 10 – 40x) using a stereomicroscope.
- Leafy plant material should exhibit cystolithic hairs ("bear-claw" hairs) and glandular hairs on the upper side of the leaf, conical trichomes or filamentous hairs on the lower side of the leaf. Larger segments may also exhibit serrated edges with pinnate leaf venation.
- Seeds are coconut shaped, veined (with lacy markings) and have a ridge around the circumference.
- The observation of the presence of appropriate cystolithic hairs is sufficient for a positive test. The observation of additional characteristics is considered supportive.
- Positive microscopic examination results shall be recorded in the analytical notes as "consistent with marijuana" along with the characteristics observed.
- Negative observations will be recorded in a similar fashion.
- An inconclusive or negative determination may be made at the discretion of the analyst based on the overall appearance of the material.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Hashish, Oil Extracts, Ashes, Charred Material, or Residue

- Microscopically examine the substance for the presence of plant material.
- If the characteristics of marihuana **are not** found:
 - Suspend the sample in a suitable solvent.
 - Place the suspension a watch glass or microscope slide
 - Examine under appropriate magnification.
 - Observe the solubility of any resinous material and document any observations.
 - An inconclusive or negative determination may be made at the discretion of the analyst based on the overall appearance of the material.
 - Place suspected hashish sample into a GC vial (the same amount that would be normally used to for analysis by GC-MS.
 - Add enough BSTFA-TCMS reagent to dissolve the sample and allow to react for 15 minutes (heating is not necessary to facilitate reaction).
 - Fill the rest of the GC vial with chloroform after reacted.
 - Run sample on an AUTO method on the GC-MS.
 - Delta-9-Tetrahydrocannabinol, and/or tetrahydrocannabinolic acid may be identified to meet state reporting guidelines for "Tetrahydrocannabinols".
 - At least one tetrahydrocannabinol, and at least two of the following: cannabiniol, cannabidiol, or cannabichromene shall be identified if item is subject to federal reporting guidelines for "Hashish"

Modified Duquenois-Levine

- Extract sample into a suitable solvent (e.g., hexane, petroleum ether).
- Add approximately equal amounts of Duquenois reagent and concentrated HCl to extract.
- A positive reaction to the Duquenois portion is a purple color.
- Add sufficient CHCl_3 to form two discernible layers and mix.
- For a positive reaction to the Levine portion of the test, the bottom layer turns purple in the presence of THC or other cannabinoids.
- Positive results shall be indicated in the case record as "POS"
- Negative reactions shall be recorded in similar fashion.

Rapid Duquenois-Levine Procedure

- Place a small amount of plant material in a culture tube, add Duquenois reagent and concentrated HCl in approximately equal proportions. Observe a purple color. Add CHCl_3 and observe extraction of purple color into the CHCl_3 layer.
- Results must be recorded in the case record as "POS"
- Negative reactions may be recorded in similar fashion.

Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

- GC/MS shall be performed if microscopy screening or any of the Duquenois tests are inconclusive/negative.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Mushroom Procedure (Psilocybin/Psilocin)

Scope

- To establish a procedure for preparation and analysis of mushrooms.

Safety

- The plant material and the dust and mold often present in botanical materials may trigger allergic reactions, requiring susceptible personnel to take precautionary measures, such as wearing masks, respirators and gloves.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Sonicator
- Vortex mixer
- Centrifuge
- Hot plate or other heating apparatus with magnetic stirring capability.
- Magnetic stir bar
- Mortar and pestle
- Reagents:
 - Fast Blue B Reagent
 - P-DMAB Reagent
 - Glacial Acetic Acid
 - NaOH
 - CHCl₃
 - Methanol
 - 0.2N H₂SO₄
 - Concentrated HCl

P-DMABA Test

- 1. Place a small piece of sample in test tube or spot plate and add reagent
- 2. A slow developing purple color is a positive test.

Fast Blue B:

- Add Fast Blue B to water (color of weak tea)
- Place sample in spot plate and add a few drops of reagent.
- Observe. Psilocin mushrooms will turn a dark orange color after a few minutes.
- Remove the liquid and place in another spot well.
- Add a drop of concentrated HCl to liquid.
- A blue color indicates a positive presumptive test for psilocin

Extraction Methods:

- Mushroom Extraction: Method 1

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Grind 1-5 grams of dried mushrooms.
 - Transfer powdered mushrooms to a beaker and add 30-50 ml of 10% acetic acid.
 - Add magnetic stir bar, cover, and heat beaker on hot plate (medium heat) at a low boil with constant stirring.
 - Filter or centrifuge mixture, discarding solids.
 - Make aqueous solution basic with NaOH and extract to CHCl_3 . Discard aqueous layer.
 - Filter CHCl_3 and reduce volume with air.
 - Use reduced CHCl_3 for UV and GC/MS
- Mushroom Extraction: Method 2
 - Grind 1-5 grams of dried mushrooms.
 - Add enough methanol to dampen mixture and grind again.
 - Squeeze mass with pestle and decant methanol through filter into centrifuge tube. Repeat process until 3-4 ml of methanol is collected.
 - Add ether to methanol at the ratio of 3 parts ether to 1 part methanol and shake. A white precipitate must form if psilocybin or psilocin is present.
 - Centrifuge and discard liquid.
 - Add 1-2 ml methanol to tube. Use spatula to dislodge precipitate and shake to re-dissolve in methanol.
 - Use Methanol extract for UV and GC/MS
- Mushroom Extraction: Method 3
 - Place a portion of the mushrooms in methanol.
 - Soak, vortex, or sonicate the extract.
 - Filter out the solids and concentrate the methanol extract.
 - Methanol extract may be used for UV, and TLC
 - GC/MS Clean up:
 - Option A
 - Add 3 ml to diethyl ether to methanol extract, agitate, yellow precipitate will form
 - Centrifuge the extract and discard the liquid
 - Wash the yellow precipitate with 2 ml diethyl ether
 - Centrifuge, discard liquid
 - Add 2-3 ml methanol and continue with desired tests
 - Option B
 - Evaporate Methanol extract to dryness
 - Add 1 ml H_2O , two drops of conc HCl and 2 ml CHCl_3
 - Vortex mixture for 2 minutes and centrifuge
 - Transfer aqueous layer to a clean test tube and add concentrated NH_4OH drop wise until the solution is basic check with pH paper, then add 0.5 ml CHCl_3 Vortex mixture and centrifuge.
 - Remove the CHCl_3 and dry by passing through anhydrous sodium sulfate.
 - Reduce volume of CHCl_3 with air and run on GC/MS

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Mushroom Extraction: Method 4
 - Place a portion of the mushrooms in 0.2 N H₂SO₄. Soak at least 30 minutes.
 - Filter out solids, add NaOH, and CHCl₃ extract.
 - CHCl₃ may be used for UV, TLC or GC/MS.

- Extraction of Mushroom in Chocolate Candy
 - Shave off approximately 1.5-2.5 grams of sample
 - Place in centrifuge tube and add 5-8 ml of 10% Acetic acid
 - Heat to low boil for 30 minutes, then cool to room temperature.
 - Decant into test tube and centrifuge, 4 to 5 layers will appear:
 - Chocolate precipitate
 - Acid layer (yellow to orange yellow)
 - Watery chocolate precipitate
 - Hard white wax
 - A small yellow oil layer.
 - Decant acid layer and add CHCl₃, agitate, then centrifuge
 - Remove Acid layer and repeat one more times to remove remaining oils and chocolate.
 - Make aqueous layer basic with NaOH.
 - Extract with CHCl₃.
 - Evaporate CHCl₃ to small volume.
 - CHCl₃ ready for UV, TLC and GC/MS.

- Psilocybin
 - Dry solvent extraction, Method 2 or 3 above, must be used when it is desirable to identify psilocybin. Basic extractions will cause dephosphorylation to psilocin.
 - UV Analysis
 - Take methanol extract from Method 2 or 3, run UV.
 - Absorbances at 267 and 290 are characteristic of psilocybin in methanol.
 - TLC
 - Spot methanol from Method 2 extraction on TLC plates beside psilocybin and psilocin standards.
 - Develop plates in the following solvent systems:
 - N-Butanol:acetic acid:water (2:1:1)
 - N-Propanol:5% NH₄OH (2:1)
 - Location Reagent – p-DMAB spray
 - GC/MS
 - Inject 1 μL methanol from Method 2 extraction into GC/MS.
 - Only psilocin can be identified by GC/MS. Note retention time of eluting psilocin.
 - Perform library searches and comparisons to standard data. Print copy of MS data for case file. If chemist is not confident with identification of psilocybin using TLC and UV analysis, the identification of psilocin will suffice.

- Psilocin:

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- May be identified using Method 1 or 2 extractions; however Method 1 seems best in quantity of psilocin recovered.
 - UV Analysis
 - Take up residue from Method 1 extraction in methanol and run UV analysis. Absorbances at 268, 285, and 294 are characteristic of psilocin in methanol.
 - TLC
 - Use same solvent systems and method described for psilocybin TLC's.
 - GC/MS
 - Inject 1-3 μ l of methanol, used to re-dissolve residue from Method 1 extract, into GC/MS.
- Derivatization Technique
 - Method 1
 - Soak mushrooms in methanol overnight.
 - Centrifuge, and collect the supernatant liquid.
 - Methanol extract may be analyzed by TLC
 - Place liquid extract in micro vial
 - Evaporate the liquid extract to dryness with a stream of dry air.
 - Add 1-2 drops μ l BSTFA to the dried residue.
 - Cap Vial and incubate for about 5-10 minutes at 90-100°C
 - Hand inject for analyze by GC/MS.
 - After injection of the sample, Clean the syringe with ethyl acetate
 - Method 2
 - Soak mushrooms in methanol overnight.
 - Centrifuge, and collect the supernatant liquid.
 - Add 2-4 ml acetone to liquid and freeze overnight.
 - Use a stream of dry air to reduce the volume of the methanol –acetone to 0.5 -1 ml
 - Methanol-acetone extract may be analyzed by TLC
 - Place liquid extract in micro vial
 - Evaporate the liquid extract to dryness with a stream of dry air.
 - Add 100 μ l BSTFA to the dried residue.
 - Cap Vial and incubate for about 30 minutes at 90-100 °C
 - Hand inject for analyze by GC/MS.
 - After injection of the sample, clean the syringe with ethyl acetate

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Robert Earl Lee, "A Technique for the Rapid Isolation and Identification of Psilocin from Psilocin/Psilocybin-containing Mushrooms," *Journal of Forensic Science*, July, 1985, 931-941.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

2 Clandestine Drug Laboratory Evidence Analysis

Scope

- To provide guidance on the sampling and analysis of items and samples related to suspected clandestine drug laboratories. This document provides general recommendations, and does not address scene attendance, processing, and safety in their entirety.

Introduction

- We consider an understanding of clandestine laboratory synthetic routes and the techniques used in the analysis of related samples to be fundamental to the interpretation and reporting of results. This understanding assures that results and conclusions from methods are reliable and analytical schemes are fit for purpose.
- The qualitative and quantitative analyses of clandestine laboratory evidence can require different approaches relative to routine seized drug analyses. Analysts shall understand the limitations of the procedures used in their qualitative and quantitative analyses.

Safety

- Only certified clandestine lab response personnel shall collect samples from clandestine laboratories.
- Many items seized at clandestine laboratories may be intrinsically dangerous. These may include items of unknown composition and chemicals that have not been fully characterized and whose specific hazards are not known. Therefore, caution must be exercised and routine safety protocols may not be sufficient.

Procedure

Sample selection for analysis

- The primary purpose of analysis is to prove or disprove allegations of clandestine drug syntheses.
- Ideally, the submitted items of evidence should collectively contain the necessary components to fully demonstrate either the intent to manufacture or the successful manufacture of a controlled substance. In addition to the controlled substance which is suspected to be the target product, precursors and essential chemicals should be identified when present.
- Not all items seized at a clandestine laboratory site may need to be analyzed. It is recommended that information be shared between the analyst and on-scene personnel to aid in sample selection.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Items that are readily obtained from local retail stores and are sold from reputable manufacturers/distributors may not need to be collected or analyzed, particularly if in sealed and labeled containers. These include:
 - solvents (e.g. toluene, mineral spirits)
 - acids (e.g. hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid)
 - bases (e.g. sodium hydroxide, ammonia water)

- Some of the following types of items may be collected and analyzed as they can assist in determining the chemical reaction(s) undertaken and the scope of the clandestine laboratory:
 - materials that appear to be waste
 - unlabeled materials that appear to be contaminated solvents, acids, or bases
 - samples from contaminated equipment
 - unlabeled single or multi-phasic liquids

Sampling procedure for analysis

- In the event that the entire item is not collected
 - The weight or volume should be measured or estimated and a representative sample may be collected. The representative sample should be sufficient for analysis, court presentation and defense analysis.
 - If the item is a multi-phasic liquid, the proportion of each layer should be estimated and a representative sample of each layer should be collected.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

3 Chemical Spot Tests

Scope

- To describe the chemical screening procedures, commonly referred to as chemical spot tests, for preliminary tests of controlled and non-controlled substances.

Safety

- Chemical spot tests may use a variety of corrosive, caustic, or other dangerous chemicals. Caution should always be practiced, and appropriate personal protective equipment used. Refer to MSDS for additional safety information for specific chemicals.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Spot plates, pipettes, or other appropriate containers/items
- Reagents appropriate to the specific chemical spot tests.

Standards, Controls and Calibration

- Refer to respective sections in this manual for each reagent.

Limitations

- All spot tests are presumptive in nature and serve only as a guide for an analyst's analytical scheme.
- Adulterants and complex mixtures may produce reactions that interfere with the interpretations.

Advantages

- Spot tests provide a quick and easy method for determining what a sample might contain.
- Spot tests can assist in the determination of appropriate analytical processing, collection of appropriate samples, and grouping samples for uniformity testing.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Marquis Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of a range of compounds using the Marquis Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Conc. Sulfuric acid
- Formaldehyde Solution (approx. 37% Formaldehyde)

Marquis Reagent

- Add 1 mL formaldehyde solution to 9 mL conc sulfuric acid.
- Shelf life is 1 year from date of preparation.
- Quality-test reagent with amphetamine, methamphetamine, or an opiate upon preparation, and each calendar month thereafter.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample with a few drops of Marquis Reagent.
2. Record any resulting color reaction(s).

Interpretation

- Various colors representing the whole of the visible spectrum may be given by a large number of compounds. Additional results or interpretations may be found in Stevens (1986).
- A reaction which forms an orange color indicates the possible presence of amphetamine or methamphetamine.
- A reaction which forms a black color indicates the possible presence of Dextromethorphan, MDA or its analogues.
- A reaction which forms a dark purple color indicates the possible presence of heroin, opiates, methocarbamol, or guaifenesin.
- A reaction which forms a red color indicates the possible presence of salicylates.
- The color which appears must be documented on the examination worksheet.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, 1986. "Colour Tests" in *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, ed.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- A.C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.
- Johns, S. H. et. al. "Spot Tests: A Color Chart Reference for Forensic Chemists,"
Journal of Forensic Sciences 24 (1979) 631-649.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Cobalt Thiocyanate (CoSCN) Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of cocaine base and cocaine salts with the Cobalt Thiocyanate Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Cobalt thiocyanate
- Purified H₂O
- Concentrated HCl or other acid
- Chloroform (CHCl₃)

CoSCN Reagents:

- **2% Cobalt Thiocyanate Reagent**
 - Dissolve 2 g cobalt thiocyanate in 100 mL H₂O.
- Quality-test all reagents before use with cocaine standard.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample with the reagent. If a positive result is obtained, the analyst may stop and record any observations.
2. Add acid drop-wise to the sample until color disappears. The analyst may stop and record any observations.
3. Approximately five drops of CHCl₃ may be added to extract any soluble complexes. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- An appropriate notation (POS or +) in the case record that the addition of the reagent resulted in a blue color (+). This indicates a cocaine salt may be present.
- An appropriate notation in the case notes the addition of the reagent resulting in no color change (NEG or -) until a drop of acid has been added to the solution, which then resulted in a blue color (+). This indicates that cocaine base may be present.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- L. J. Scott, "Specific Field Test for Cocaine," Microgram 6 (1973): 179-181

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of secondary amines with the Sodium Nitroprusside Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Sodium Nitroprusside (Sodium Ferricyanide)
- Purified H₂O
- Acetaldehyde
- 1M Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)
- 1M Sodium Carbonate (Na₂CO₃)

SNP Reagent

- Dissolve 0.09 g sodium nitroprusside in a mixture of 1 mL acetaldehyde and 9 mL H₂O.
- Quality-test reagent with a methamphetamine standard.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample with a few drops of SNP Reagent.
2. Add a few drops of 1M Na₂CO₃ (or NaOH) to the sample.
3. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- A positive indication (POS or +) in the case record means a reaction that forms a blue color (+) which indicates the possible presence of secondary amines, such as methamphetamine.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, "Colour Tests" in Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs, ed. A. C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.
- Johns, S. H. et. al. "Spot Tests: A Color Chart Reference for Forensic Chemists," Journal of Forensic Sciences 24 (1979) 631-649.
- Basic Training Program for Forensic Drug Chemists, U. S. Dept. of Justice., 2nd Edition.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Sodium m-Periodate (SMP) Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of a range of compounds using the Sodium m-Periodate spot test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Sodium Metaperiodate
- 1 M NaOH
- 1 M Sodium Carbonate
- Quality-test with ephedrine or pseudoephedrine.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and dry NaIO_4 .
2. Add a few drops of 1 M Na_2CO_3 or NaOH.
3. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- A positive indication in the case record means the reaction resulted in a cherry odor (+), which is due to the formation of benzaldehyde and indicates the possible presence of ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, or phenylpropanolamine.
- A brown color indicates the possible presence of acetaminophen.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, "Colour Tests" in Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs, ed. A. C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.
- Johns, S. H. et. al. "Spot Tests: A Color Chart Reference for Forensic Chemists," Journal of Forensic Sciences 24 (1979) 631-649.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Ferric Chloride Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of a range of compounds using the Ferric Chloride Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Ferric Chloride, $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$
- Purified H_2O

5% Ferric Chloride Reagent:

- Dissolve 0.83 g $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 10 mL H_2O .
- Quality-test reagent with GHB or aspirin.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of 5% Ferric Chloride reagent.
2. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- A reaction that forms an orange-brown color indicates the possible presence of GHB.
- A reaction that forms a dark purple color indicates the possible presence of salicylates.
- A reaction that forms a bluish-gray color indicates the possible presence of acetaminophen.
- The resulting color must be indicated in the case record.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, "Colour Tests" in Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs, ed. A. C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.
- Johns, S. H. et. al. "Spot Tests: A Color Chart Reference for Forensic Chemists," Journal of Forensic Sciences 24 (1979) 631-649.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Froehde's Test

Scope

- Spot test procedure for the presumptive detection of narcotics

Reagents/Chemicals

- Add 0.5g ammonium molybdate per 100mL concentrated H₂SO₄.
- Quality-test reagent with a heroin, codeine or morphine standard.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of reagent in well
2. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- heroin - purple → green
- codeine - green → red/brown
- morphine - deep purple → slate
- aspirin - blue → purple
- phenoxymethylpenicillin - blue
- pentazocine – blue
- acetaminophen – pale blue

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, 1986: "Colour Tests" in *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, ed. A.C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press), 128-147.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Mecke's Test

Scope

- Spot test procedure for the presumptive detection of narcotics

Reagents/Chemicals

- Add 1g selenious acid per 100mL concentrated H₂SO₄.
- Quality-test reagent with a heroin, codeine or PCP standard.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of reagent in well
2. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- heroin – green/blue
- codeine – bright-green/blue green
- PCP – light yellow
- Quinine – light yellow

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, 1986: "Colour Tests" in *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, ed. A.C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press), 128-147.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Cobalt Nitrate Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB) and barbiturates using the Cobalt Nitrate Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Cobalt nitrate
- Isopropylamine
- 95% ethanol
- **1% Cobalt nitrate in ethanol reagent:** Add 1 g cobalt nitrate to 100 mL ethanol.
- **5% Isopropylamine in ethanol reagent:** Add 5 g isopropylamine to 100 mL ethanol.
- Quality-test reagent with a gamma-hydroxybutyrate or barbiturate standard.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of 1% cobalt nitrate in ethanol reagent.
2. Record any observations.
3. Add a few drops 5% isopropylamine to sample.
4. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- A purple color upon addition of 1% cobalt nitrate in ethanol indicates the possible presence of gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB+).
- A purple color which only forms after also adding 5% isopropylamine in ethanol indicates the possible presence of barbiturates (Barb+).

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- W. J. Stall, "The Cobalt Nitrate Color Test," Microgram 13 (1980): 40-43.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Duquenois-Levine Test

Scope

- Spot test to determine the possible presence of components unique to marihuana, marihuana residue, or hashish.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Vanillin
- 95% Ethanol
- Acetaldehyde
- Conc. HCl
- Chloroform
- Hexane/Petroleum ether

Duquenois Reagent:

- Add 0.4 g vanillin and 5 drops acetaldehyde to 20 mL 95% ethanol.
- Quality-test the reagent with a known sample of marihuana or tetrahydrocannabinol.

Procedure

1. Place a small amount of plant material in a testing container.
Proceed directly to the next step or extract the plant material with hexane. If extracted, discard the plant material, and evaporate to dryness.
2. Add one volume of the Duquenois reagent and wait approximately one minute.
(It is not necessary to wait as long with the extract.)
3. Add one volume of concentrated HCl.
4. Add one volume of CHCl_3 .
5. Record any observations.

Interpretation

- A blue to violet color after the addition of HCl to the mixture of Duquenois reagent and plant material or extract is a positive reaction and indicates the possible presence of THC.
- After adding CHCl_3 and mixing, a purple color in the organic (lower) layer is a positive reaction for the possible presence of THC.
- A positive result indicates that the components (cannabinoids, including THC) unique to marihuana, marihuana residue, or hashish are present.
- A positive (+) indication in the case notes means the test resulted in a blue to violet color after the addition of the HCl and Duquenois reagents and that the CHCl_3 layer yielded a purple color.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- C. G. Pitt, et. al. "The Specificity of the Duquenois Color Test for Marijuana and Hashish," Journal of Forensic Science, 17 (1972): 693-700.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Liebermann Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of a range of compounds using the Liebermann Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Sodium nitrite
- Sulfuric Acid

Liebermann Reagent

- Carefully add 5 g sodium nitrite to 50 mL sulfuric acid with cooling and swirling.
- Perform the addition in the hood, as toxic nitrogen oxides are produced.
- Quality-test the reagent with a known sample of codeine, methylphenidate, ephedrine, mescaline, or d-propoxyphene.

Procedure

- Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of Liebermann Reagent.
- Heat to approximately 100°C for approximately one minute.
(Note: This step is only necessary for certain compounds.)
- Record any observations.

Interpretation

- Various colors may be produced by a large number of different compounds. Additional results or interpretations may be found in Stevens (1986).

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, 1986: "Colour Tests" in *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, ed. A.C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press), 128-147.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

p-DMABA Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of procaine, benzocaine and LSD using the p-DMABA Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- 95% Ethanol
- p-Dimethylaminobenzaldehyde
- Conc. HCl

p-DMABA reagent:

- Dissolve 0.1 g p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde in 9.5 ml ethanol. Add 0.5 ml conc. HCl.
- Quality-test reagent with benzocaine, procaine, or LSD.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of p-DMABA reagent.
2. Record any observations

Interpretation

- A reaction which forms a bright yellow color indicates the possible presence of procaine or benzocaine.
- A reaction which forms a purple color indicates the possible presence of LSD. The resulting color must be indicated on the examination worksheet.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, "Colour Tests" in *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, ed. A. C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.
- Johns, S. H. et. al. "Spot Tests: A Color Chart Reference for Forensic Chemists," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 24 (1979): 631-649.
- *Basic Training Program for Forensic Drug Chemists*, U. S. Dept. of Justice., 2nd Edition.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Chlorophenol Red: Modified Schweppe's Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of gamma-hydroxybutyrate(GHB) using the Modified Schweppe's Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

Chlorophenol Red solution

- 40 mg Chlorophenol Red in 100 mL of water, adjusting the pH to 7.0 with 0.1 *N* Sodium Hydroxide.

Modified Schweppe's Reagent - Solution A

- 2 g Dextrose in 20 mL water

Modified Schweppe's Reagent - Solution B

- 2.4 g Aniline Hydrochloride in 20 mL Methanol

Procedure for Modified Schweppe's Reagent

1. Mix Solution A and Solution B and dilute to 80 mL with Methanol.
2. Store the Modified Schweppe's Reagent in an amber bottle and refrigerate to retard decomposition.

Procedure for mixed reagent

1. Test the individual solutions against a blank tap-water sample.
2. Mix the Chlorophenol Red solution and the Modified Schweppe's Reagent using a 3:1 ratio (Chlorophenol Red solution:Modified Schweppe's Reagent).
3. The mixed reagent is stable for up to 3 weeks in an amber bottle on the bench.
4. Quality test reagent with GHB standard.
5. Test the mixed reagent against a blank tap-water sample. If the result of the test with the blank tap-water sample is a color change to brown, the solutions will need to be remade.

Procedure

1. Add approximately 0.5 mL of liquid sample or a small amount of powder sample to a test tube.
2. Check the pH. It is necessary for the pH to be carefully adjusted to 5-8.
3. Add 2 drops of the mixed reagent and swirl.
4. Record any observations.

Interpretation

A reaction that forms an orange or red color indicates the possible presence of GHB.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Smith, P. R., and J. S. Bozenko, Jr. 2002. *New presumptive tests for GHB*. Microgram, 35:10-15.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Sulfuric Acid Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of a steroid.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Concentrated (96%) sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4)
- Quality-test reagent with a known sample of steroid.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample and a few drops of (H_2SO_4)
2. Record any observations
3. A UV light may be used to aid visualization of a color change.

Interpretation

- An orange or yellow color may indicate the possible presence of a steroid.
- The resulting color must be indicated in the case notes.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, "Colour Tests" in *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, ed. A. C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Weber Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of psilocin using the Weber Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Fast Blue B
- Conc. HCl
- Deionized H₂O

0.1% Fast Blue B:

- Dissolve 0.1 g Fast Blue B in 100 mL H₂O.
- Prepare this reagent fresh and quality-test with psilocin or a sample of mushroom shown to contain psilocin before use.

Procedure

- Combine a small amount of sample or methanol extract of the sample and a few drops of 0.1% Fast Blue B wait approximately one minute.
- Add one volume of conc. HCl.
- Record any observations.

Interpretations

- A positive reaction for psilocin is indicated (POS or +) in the case notes if a red color forms after adding the Fast Blue B reagent, and if after adding HCl the color changes to blue.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- S. Garrett, Clemens, J. Gaskill, "The Weber test: a color test for the presence of psilocin in mushrooms," *SWAFS Journal*, 15 (1993): 44-45.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Wintergreen Test

Scope

- To establish test procedures for the presumptive detection of cocaine using the Wintergreen Test.

Reagents/Chemicals

- Sodium Hydroxide
- Potassium Hydroxide
- Methanol

10% Sodium Hydroxide in methanol:

- Dissolve 1 g sodium hydroxide in 10 mL methanol.

10% Potassium Hydroxide in methanol:

- Dissolve 1 g potassium hydroxide in 10 mL methanol.
- Quality-test reagents with a cocaine standard.

Procedure

1. Combine a small amount of sample to a few drops of either 10% NaOH in methanol or 10% KOH in methanol reagent.
2. Record any observations.

Interpretations

- A positive indication (POS or +) on the worksheet means the reaction resulted in a wintergreen odor (+), which is due to production of methyl benzoate, and which indicates the possible presence of cocaine.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- H. M. Stevens, "Colour Tests" in Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs, ed. A. C. Moffat (London: The Pharmaceutical Press) 128-147.
- Johns, S. H. et. al. "Spot Tests: A Color Chart Reference for Forensic Chemists," Journal of Forensic Sciences 24 (1979) 631-649.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

4 Thin Layer Chromatography

Scope

- To describe the use of thin-layer chromatography as an analytical method.

Related Sections

- Marquis Reagent
- p-DMABA Reagent

Safety

- Use appropriate eye protection, gloves and lab coat to avoid any contact with the chemicals that are involved with this technique. This technique should be performed in a fume hood.
- Care should be used when spraying the TLC plates to avoid accidental ingestion of the reagent or exposure of the skin and eyes to the reagent. Refer to the appropriate MSDS for the safe handling of the solvents and reagents used in this technique.
- Developing solvents and indicator reagents should be discarded in an appropriate manner.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Silica gel thin-layer chromatography plates
- Developing chamber
- Micropipettes (1-5 μ L) or equivalent
- UV light box (long and short wave)

Reagents

- Approved TLC solvent systems

System ID	Solvent System	Typical Drugs Analyzed
1	50:25:15:10 (cyclohexane:toluene:acetone:diethylamine)	Marihuana
2	18:1 (chloroform, sat. with ammonia:methanol)	LSD
3	9:2 (chloroform:methanol)	LSD
4	9:1 (acetone:chloroform sat. with ammonia)	LSD
5	2:1:1 (n-butanol:acetic acid:water)	Psilocybin/Psilocin
6	T-1 1.5:100 (ammonium hydroxide:methanol)	General

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

7	Davidow 85:10:5 (ethyl acetate:methanol:ammonium hydroxide)	General
8	13:1.9: 0.1 (methyl ethyl ketone: dimethylformamide: NH ₄ OH)	Mescaline

- **Approved indicating reagents:**

Indicating Reagents	Typical Drugs Analyzed
Fast Blue RR	marihuana and THC
p-DMABA	LSD, psilocybin mushrooms, and indoles
Ninhydrin	mescaline and amines
Acidified Iodoplatinate	mescaline, opiates, and tertiary amines
Marquis Test	general substances
Potassium permanganate	general substances

- **Preparation of select indicating reagents**

- **Fast Blue RR reagent:**
 - Purified H₂O, methanol, or ethanol
 - Fast Blue RR salt
 - Dissolve 0.25 g Fast Blue RR salt in 50 mL solvent. Developed spot for THC appears red.
- **Ninhydrin reagent:**
 - Ninhydrin
 - Acetone
 - Dissolve 0.5 g ninhydrin in 100 mL acetone. Developed spots appear red to purple.
- **Acidified Iodoplatinate reagent:**
 - 10% Platinic chloride solution
 - 4% Potassium iodide solution
 - Purified H₂O
 - Concentrated (37%) HCl
 - Mix 5 mL 10% platinic chloride solution with 125 mL 4% KI solution. Dilute to 250 mL with purified water. Add 12.5 mL conc. HCl. Developed spots appear purple or blue.
- **Potassium permanganate reagent (1%):**
 - 0.5 N sulfuric acid
 - Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄)
 - Add 1 g potassium permanganate to 100 mL 0.5 N sulfuric acid. Developed spots appear lighter than the background.

Standards, Controls and Calibration

- An appropriate known reference standard will be used to test the system and indicating reagents. A standard will be analyzed on all plates. If the expected result of the standard is not obtained, the issue should be resolved before the analysis is repeated.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Procedure

1. Extract the sample with an appropriate solvent.
2. Spot a suitable amount of extract from the sample and at least one standard on the TLC plate approximately 1.5 cm above the bottom of the plate.
3. Allow the sample to dry after application.
4. Place the plate vertically into a developing chamber with enough solvent mixture to cover 0.5 to 1.0 cm of the sample-end of the plate.
5. Allow the solvent front to rise near the top of the TLC plate.
6. Remove the plate from the solvent and allow it to air dry. Systems containing ammonia may be gently heated to remove the excess ammonia before spraying.
7. Apply an appropriate indicator spray and/or view under UV light to visualize the component(s) of interest.
8. Compare the migration of the sample spot to that of the standard.
9. Document the solvent or extraction procedure used to prepare the samples, the solvent system used to analyze the samples, and the results of analysis.

Interpretation

- A positive determination is made when the spot(s) of the unknown substance matches the color and migration of the standard.

Limitations

- TLC is not considered a confirmatory test and further analysis is necessary for the positive identification of a questioned substance.
- Various factors limit the determination of R_f values in TLC analysis, including the length of the plate, bleeding of the sample, temperature and developing time. However, the use of multiple systems and chemical locating reagents make it a more specific technique.

Advantages

- Relatively quick and easy technique.
- Can be used as a clean-up procedure for complex mixtures.
- Requires no expensive instrumentation.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- J. M. Bobbitt, A. E. Schwarting, and R. J. Gritter. 1968. *Introduction to Chromatography*.
- John A. Miller and E. F. Neuzil. 1979. *Organic Chemistry, Concepts and Applications*. D. C. Heath & Company, Lexington, Mass, 555.
- S. Curry. 1969. Thin Layer Chromatography, in *Isolation and Identification of Drugs in Pharmaceuticals, Body Fluids, and Post-mortem Identification* (ed. E. G. C. Clarke). London: The Pharmaceutical Press, 43-58.
- R. H. Fox. 1969. Paper Chromatography, in *Isolation and Identification of Drugs in Pharmaceuticals, Body Fluids, and Post-mortem Identification* (ed. E. G. C. Clarke). London: The Pharmaceutical Press, 31-42.
- "Chromatographic Data, Thin Layer Chromatography Tables, Volume I, Sec. II.IV", CRC

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Handbook of Chromatography, Volume I, edited by Robert C. Weast, CRC Press, Division of the Chemical Rubber Company, 1972, 477-487.

- “Practical Applications II.I Detection Reagents for Paper- and/or Thin Layer Chromatography”, Volume 2, Section II, *CRC Handbook of Chromatography*, edited by Robert C. Weast, CRC Press, Division of the Chemical Rubber Company, 1972, 103-189.
- E. Buel, C. N. Plum, and S. K. Frisbie. 1982. An Evaluation of a Partition Thin Layer Chromatography System for the Identification of Cannabinoids. *Microgram*, 15:145-157.
- R. B. Hughes and R. R. Kessler. 1979. Increased Safety and Specificity in the Thin-layer Chromatographic Identification of Marihuana, *J. Forensic Science*, 24:842-846.
- R. B. Hughes and V. J. Warner, Jr., 1978. A Study of False Positives in the Chemical Identification of Marihuana. *J. Forensic Science*, 23:304-310.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

5 Instrumental Analysis

Ultraviolet/Visible Spectrophotometry (UV/VIS)

Scope

- A nondestructive technique for the preliminary identification of controlled substances, dangerous drugs and other substances.

Safety

- Use appropriate safety equipment when preparing reagents and pouring liquids. Refer to the MSDS for additional safety information for specific chemicals.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Double-beam UV/visible spectrophotometer
- Quartz cuvettes, matched pair or equivalent
- An appropriate solution for the sample.
- Acidic solutions, such as 0.2 N H₂SO₄ or 0.1 N HCl
- Basic solutions, such as concentrated NaOH or 1.0 M Na₂CO₃
- Methanol or ethanol

Standards, Controls and Calibrations

- Refer to Chemistry Section SOP for information on quality control, acceptable standards, and reference materials.
- Appropriate blanks should be analyzed as deemed necessary by the chemist.

Procedure

- **Spectrophotometer Operating Conditions**
 - The wavelength range used for the UV/VIS analysis of most drug samples is 340 to 220 nm.
 - The range may be expanded to accommodate certain substances, such as LSD, alkyl nitrites, and GHB.
- **Sample Preparation**
 - Dissolve the sample in a solution appropriate for the substance.
 - Depending on the concentration of the sample, it may be necessary to dilute the solution.
 - Plant materials will require extraction, while mixtures and other substances may require extraction prior to analysis.
- **Sample Analysis**
 - Collect a spectrum of the sample in the appropriate solution.
 - A "pH shift" may be performed on samples in acidic solutions by adding concentrated sodium hydroxide until the solution is basic.
 - Each spectrum will be printed, labeled with laboratory case number, exhibit number, date, analyst's initials, method of sample preparation (if not listed on the worksheet), instrument operating conditions.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Interpretation

- Evaluate the sample's UV spectrum by comparing it to reference literature, or to one or more UV spectra derived from known standards.
- Sample preparation or extraction methods should be documented in the case record or directly on the spectra.
- The interpretation of the spectra may be reflected directly on the spectrum and by Positive ("POS" or +), Negative ("NEG" or -) or "INC" (inconclusive) in the case record.
- "POS" indicates that the spectra support the identification of the reported substance or class of substances.
- If the sample spectrum is interpreted as a positive test, document the source of any reference spectrum employed.
- Use the approved list of reference abbreviations if applicable; if some other reference is used, provide a citation.

Limitations

- An ultraviolet spectrum is not specific, and a positive identification cannot be made exclusively on the basis of UV/VIS analysis.
- Not all substances absorb ultraviolet light; therefore the lack of absorbance or a flat-line spectrum is not necessarily an indication that a sample contains no controlled substances.
- The absorbance of a substance at any given wavelength may be modified by the presence of other compounds that also absorb at that wavelength. Additional sample preparation may be required to remove interfering compounds.

Advantages

- The test is quick and easy to perform.
- Usually very little sample preparation is required.
- UV analysis is a good screening tool and routine analysis may provide information regarding the general concentration of the sample (strong, average or weak) and the presence or absence of some dilutants and adulterants.
- This is usually a non-destructive technique and the sample can be recovered for other testing procedures, if necessary.
- May provide a quick and easy quantitation of some drugs/dilutants.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Douglas A. Skoog and Donald M. West, *Principles of Instrumental Analysis* (New York:Holt, Rinhart, and Winston, Inc., 1971).
- Terry Mills III and Conrad J. Roberson, *Instrumental Data for Drug Analysis*, (New York:Elsevier Science Publishing Co., Inc., 1987).
- F. Fell, *Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs*, (London: The Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, 1986).
- Galen W. Ewing, *Instrumental Methods of Chemical Analysis*, Fifth Edition, ISBN 0-07-019857-8

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Stanley Manahan, *Quantitative Chemical Analysis*, ISBN 0-534-05538-9.
- Kenneth A. Rubinson, *Chemical Analysis*, ISBN 0-316-76087-0.
- Donald L. Pavia, Gary M. Lampman, George S. Kriz Jr., *Introduction to Spectroscopy*, ISBN 0-7216-7119-5.
- Robert M. Silverstein, G. Clayton Bassler, Terence C. Morill, *Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds*, 4th edition, ISBN 0-471-02990-4.
- S. Sternhell and J. R. Kalman, *Organic Structures from Spectra*, ISBN 0-471-90647-6.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectrophotometry

Scope

- A non-destructive analytical technique used for the characterization and identification of suspected controlled substances, dangerous drugs and other substances. The infrared spectrum of the majority of controlled substances and other substances routinely identified is specific to a single compound and may be used for identification.

Safety

- Use appropriate safety equipment when preparing reagents. Refer to the MSDS for additional safety information for specific chemicals.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer
- Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) attachment
- Agate mortar and pestle
- Hydraulic press and KBr die, or hand press
- Potassium bromide (KBr), dry
- NaCl or KBr windows (e.g., 2mm x 13 mm)
- Nujol
- Laboratory oven
- D.R.I.F.T.S attachment

Standards, Controls, and Calibration

- Refer to Forensic Chemistry Section SOP for information on quality control, acceptable standards, and reference materials.

Procedure

- **Sample Preparation**
 - Use appropriate extraction and clean-up procedures as necessary to isolate the sample. This may require the conversion of the sample to a suitable salt form prior to analysis.
 - Methods of introducing the sample into the instrument for analysis include the following:
 - No sample preparation is typically needed for the ATR attachment.
 - Liquid samples can be analyzed as a thin film between two NaCl or KBr (salt) cells.
 - Solid samples can be milled with dry KBr, KCl, NaCl or a similar matrix to produce a fine powder. The powder is pressed into a thin pellet using a die and a hydraulic or hand press.
 - For cast film solid samples, dissolve a small amount in a suitable solvent

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- and place the solution on a single NaCl or KBr cell. Evaporate the solvent and scan the thin film remaining.
- For smeared solid samples, mix a small amount of the powdered substance with a drop of Nujol to form a mull and smear it on a NaCl or KBr cell.

Sample Analysis

- Collect and print spectra with a resolution of at least 4 cm^{-1} from 4000 cm^{-1} to 400 cm^{-1} (or 600 cm^{-1} with NaCl) versus % transmittance (0-100). Spectral peaks should be of sufficient intensity to make an accurate comparison to known reference standards or published spectral data.

Interpretation

- Commercially available library searches can be used to provide useful information pertaining to the identity of a compound, but should not be used as a replacement for verifying positive identification, due to the abridged nature of the spectra found in search libraries.
- When using FTIR as the primary confirmation technique, the sample spectrum should compare favorably with a spectrum of a known standard in both its overall appearance and in the presence and location of the major peaks.
- Additional peaks that do not mask or interfere with important peaks or regions of interest are acceptable.
- Some sample spectra may compare favorably with a known standard, but may contain minor permutations. These spectra are considered to be of *reduced resolving power*, and should be prefixed with the word "Contains", (e.g. "Contains Cocaine", "Contains Methamphetamine", etc.) These spectra are not suitable as a confirmatory test, and the analytical scheme should be adjusted as outlined in the Basic Analytical Scheme of this manual.
- Sample preparation or extraction methods should be documented in the case record or directly on the spectra.
- Document the confirmation of the unknown spectra to a known reference and indicate the source of the reference in the case record (published or otherwise lab generated).

Limitations

- The sample must be relatively pure for positive identification.
- For an accurate comparison of an unknown spectrum to a standard spectrum, both samples (the sample and reference) must be in the same salt form. Some compounds may produce different crystal structures that can result in slightly different infrared spectra.
- Infrared cannot usually be used to distinguish optical isomers

Advantages

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Infrared is specific for the identification of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, and dilutants and can be used as a confirmatory test.
- Infrared is normally not a destructive test and the sample can be recovered for additional testing procedures, if necessary.
- An unknown infrared spectrum can be quickly compared to known compounds found in drug libraries stored in the computer and then confirmed using published data from a reliable source or in-house spectra produced from known standards.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Standard Practice for Describing and Measuring Performance of Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectrophotometers: Level Zero and Level One Tests, ASTM E 1421-91, 1991.
- F. Fell, Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs, (London: The Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, 1986).
- Forensic Science Handbook, Volume III, ed. by Richard Saferstein, (Englewood Cliffs, N. J.:Regents/Prentice Hall, 1993).
- Douglas A. Skoog, Principles of Instrumental Analysis, 3rd Edition, (New York: Saunders College Publishing, 1985) 148-149.
- John Coates, Interpretation of Infrared Spectra, A Practical Approach, Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry (Wiley and Sons, 2000), pp. 10815-10837.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

Scope

- An analytical technique for the characterization and identification of suspected controlled substances, dangerous drugs and other substances.

Safety

- Use appropriate safety equipment when preparing reagents and handling volatile chemicals. Refer to the MSDS for additional safety information for specific chemicals.
- Properly secure high-pressure gas cylinders.
- Use caution around hot surfaces such as oven interiors and injection and detector ports.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer analytical instrument
- Helium or Hydrogen Gas
- Auto-sampler vials and caps (where applicable)
- Microliter syringe (where applicable)

Standards, Controls and Calibration

- Quality assurance of the mass spectrometer is accomplished by tuning the instrument to ensure that the mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) are assigned correctly and to provide leak detection.
- The instrument should be tuned according to the manufacturer's specifications.
- Refer to Chemistry Section SOP's for quality control schedule and tune schedule.
- Before every sample the analyst shall inject control blanks consisting of the same solvent used in sample preparation to verify sample integrity.
- Any contaminants or ghost peaks present in a control blank shall be inspected to verify that they will not interfere or invalidate a sample run. For example column/septa bleed, solvent impurities, and raised GC baselines are common and do not necessarily invalidate a sample blank.
- If narcotic peaks elute at any point during a control blank; the blank and subsequent injections will be considered invalid and not acceptable for analysis. The control blank and respective samples should be rerun to determine the source of, if any, contamination.

Procedure

- **GC/MS Operating Conditions**
 - Use appropriate temperature programs and adjust other critical parameters to ensure that the suspected substance(s) will elute during data collection. The program should allow a reasonable time for unknown or unexpected compounds to elute.
 - Print and retain the program parameters in the case record.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- **Sample Preparation and Analysis**

- Extract samples into a suitable solvent before they are injected into the instrument. As a general rule, avoid highly polar solvents that can cause degradation of the GC column lining.
- Analyze sample extracts and other controls, blanks, and/or standards as appropriate.
- Analysts shall evaluate the GC/MS total ion chromatogram (TIC) and spectra of reported substances and other compounds of interest. Analyst discretion will be used when selecting peaks for observation based on analytical scheme and circumstances of the case. Samples which contain multiple controlled substances require the identification of all controlled substances.
- Document the following:
 - Complete gas chromatogram of the sample
 - Each sample mass spectrum that is used to confirm the identification of a reported substance.
 - Mass spectra of compounds of interest as determined by the analyst.
 - Peaks of interest not corresponding to any known reference may be documented as NCS either on the spectra or in the case record.

- **Retention Time Analysis**

- Select the appropriate drug reference standard for comparison with the unknown substance.
- Analyze the prepared drug reference standard, prepared unknown sample(s) and compare the retention time of the peaks.
- Standard chromatograms must also contain a traceable lot number of the standard.

Interpretation

- The approved library references listed in the Chemistry Section SOPs will be used to indicate the source of the reference.
- Library searches can be used to provide useful information pertaining to the identity of a compound, but should not be used as a replacement for verifying positive identification, due to the abridged nature of the spectra found in search libraries.
- The difference between retention times of the known and unknown samples must be equal to or less than 1.0%. Calculated as follows:

$$\text{Percent Different} = \frac{| \text{retention}_{std} - \text{retention}_{unk} |}{\text{retention}_{std}} \times 100$$

Limitations

- When analysis by GC/MS is unable to provide positive identification in some instances, another technique (FTIR, derivatization, etc.) must be utilized to provide positive identification. For example, certain stereo- and geometric isomers give identical or very similar results.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Some compounds may not be suitable for GC/MS analysis due to variety of factors; for example, high injection port temperatures cause some compounds to break down before they are ionized, preventing their identification.
- It may be difficult to identify individual compounds in a homologous series.

Advantages

- Generally, mass spectra of controlled substances are specific to single compounds and may be used for identification.
- It may be possible to separate and identify complex mixtures that are difficult to separate through ordinary clean-up procedures.
- The technique is useful for analyzing small sample amounts that may be difficult to identify using other techniques.
- An autosampler, which increases the efficiency of analysis of numerous samples and functions unattended, may be attached to the GC/MS.

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Douglas A. Skoog, *Principles of Instrumental Analysis*, 3rd Edition, (New York: Saunders College Publishing, 1985) 523-535, 554.
- F. W. McLafferty, *Interpretation of Mass Spectra*, 3rd Edition, (Mill Valley, California: University Science Books, 1980).
- J. Throck Watson, *Introduction to Mass Spectroscopy: Biomedical, Environmental, and Forensic Applications*, (New York: Raven Press Books, 1140 Avenue of the Americas, 1976).

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Liquid Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)

Scope

- An analytical technique for the characterization and identification of suspected controlled substances, dangerous drugs and other substances.

Safety

- Use appropriate safety equipment when preparing reagents and handling volatile chemicals. Refer to the MSDS for additional safety information for specific chemicals.
- Use caution around hot and cold surfaces such as automated liquid injection system and detector ports.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Liquid chromatograph/mass spectrometer/ photodiode array analytical instrument
- Nitrogen gas generator or other source of N₂ Gas.
- LC-MS grade solvents
- Ultrapure or 18 Ω water.
- Auto-sampler vials and caps (where applicable)
- Microliter syringe (where applicable)

Standards, Controls and Quality Assurance

- Quality assurance of the mass spectrometer is accomplished by tuning the instrument to ensure that the mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) are assigned correctly.
 - The instrument should be tuned according to the manufacturer's specifications and may be tuned more frequently as deemed necessary by the analyst and/or the laboratory supervisor.
 - Maintain records of the tune in a file in the laboratory. If the tune is not successful, the instrument should be taken out of service until corrective action is taken.
- The analyst shall run a solvent gradient blank, beginning with the same solvent used in sample preparation, to verify that the column, solvent and laboratory glassware used are clean prior to the analysis of evidence samples.
 - A gradient blank shall be run when trace samples are analyzed and as deemed necessary by the analyst. If contamination is indicated, the problem must be resolved before the analysis is repeated.
 - Maintain the resulting chromatograms in the case folder or in a retrievable form.

Procedure

- LC/MS Operating Conditions
 - Use appropriate temperature programs and adjust other critical parameters to ensure that the suspected substance(s) will elute during data collection. The program should allow a reasonable time for unknown or unexpected compounds to elute.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Print and retain the program parameters in the case folder or in a retrievable form.
- Sample Preparation and Analysis
 - Extract samples into a suitable LC-MS grade solvent before they are injected into the instrument.
 - Print and retain the charts depicting the results of the LC/MS analysis in the case file. Include the following:
 - The complete liquid chromatogram.
 - Chromatograms for all peaks corresponding to controlled substances and/or other substances reported.
 - Photodiode array absorbances for all peaks corresponding to controlled substances and/or other substances reported.
 - Mass spectra for all peaks corresponding to controlled substances and/or other substances reported
 - Mass spectra for any other peaks deemed relevant by the analyst
 - Laboratory case number, exhibit number, date, examiner's handwritten initials, and method of sample preparation (if not shown on the worksheet).
 - Document the comparison of the unknown spectra to a known reference and indicate the source of the reference in the case file (published or otherwise lab-generated).

Interpretation

- To date no transferable LC/MS libraries exist. An in house library will be constructed from traceable, verified standards and stored for comparisons.
- Results from library searches need to be printed.

Limitations

- When analysis by LC/MS is unable to provide positive identification in some instances, another technique (FTIR, derivatization, etc.) must be utilized to provide positive identification For example, certain stereo- and geometric isomers give identical or very similar results.
- It may be difficult to identify individual compounds in a homologous series

Advantages

- Generally, mass spectra of controlled substances are specific to single compounds and may be used for identification.
- It may be possible to separate and identify complex mixtures that are difficult to separate through ordinary clean-up procedures.
- The technique is useful for analyzing small sample amounts that may be difficult to identify using other techniques.
- LC-MS is capable of identifying thermally labile compounds and many others not readily analyzed by GC-MS.
- LC-MS runtimes are a fraction of their GC-MS counterparts.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Richard Saferstein, *Forensic Science Handbook Vol. 1*, 2nd Edition, (New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2002) 41-68.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

6 QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Scope

- To outline acceptable methods for quantitation of narcotics.

Quantitation by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Double-beam UV/visible spectrophotometer
- Quartz cuvettes, matched pair or equivalent
- Class "A" Volumetric glassware

Standards, Controls, and Quality Assurance

- Performance verification run as delineated in Chemistry Section SOP.
- Absorptivities (E-Values) for compounds of interest are obtained from approved literature or determined in house using standards.

- The following E-values are acceptable for UV drug quantitation:

Substance	E-Value	Wavelength	Source
Cocaine	430	233	Clarke
Heroin	46	279	Clarke
LSD	225	315	Clarke
Methamphetamine	12.1	257	Clarke

- Note that the E-values in Clarke's are at 1.0% and must be divided by 10 in order for the resultant calculation to yield a concentration value of mg/ml (0.1 %).
- These values may also be determined by laboratory standards on the instrument prior to using this technique for quantitation. The results shall be documented in retrievable format and include the manufacturer and lot or batch number of the standard.
- Reference Solvent Blank
- The concentration as the salt may be reported only if the analyst has identified the salt form by an accepted analytical procedure.
- See methods below for drug specific sample calculations.
- Calculations to determine the concentration should be included in the case record.
 - In order to ensure homogeneity the two samples quantitated by UV shall have a concentration range $\pm 3\sigma$ of the control chart standard deviation in order to be acceptable.
 - If the range between 2 quantifications exceeds this value the analyst shall resample the homogenized portion and analyze a 3rd sample.
 - Analysts may average the sample concentrations or report the lowest value.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Quantitation of Cocaine by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry

Procedure

- Accurately weigh approximately 50 mg of sample and place in 50 ml volumetric flask.
- Bring to volume with 0.2N H₂SO₄.
- Pipette 1 ml of solution into another 50 ml volumetric flask; bring to volume with 0.2N H₂SO₄.
- Zero UV with 0.2N H₂SO₄ solvent blank and check absorbance at 233 nm. It should be zero.
- Scan sample on UV and print absorbance at 233 nm.
- Calculate percent as follows:

$$\frac{(\text{Abs. @ 233nm}) \times 1000}{430} \times 50 \text{ ml} \times 50 \text{ ml} = \text{mg Cocaine base}$$

$$\frac{\text{mg cocaine base}}{\text{mg sample}} \times 100 = \% \text{ Cocaine as base}$$

- To determine % as HCl, divide by ratio of base/HCl MW
- MW Cocaine HCl = 339.8, MW Cocaine = 303.4, Ratio = 0.89

$$\frac{\% \text{ base}}{0.89} = \% \text{ Cocaine as HCl}$$

Quantitation of Heroin by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry

Procedure

- Grind sample in mortar and weigh out 20-30 mg of powder, assuming heroin is usual street purity (4-7%). For more potent heroin samples, use proportionally less sample.
- Place weighed sample in separatory funnel and add 10-15 ml of 10% HCl.
- Extract the HCl with three 10 ml portions of morphine solvent, combining the solvent extracts.
- Extract morphine solvent with two 5 ml portions of 0.2 N H₂SO₄.
- Zero UV instrument using 0.2 N H₂SO₄ as blank.
- Combine the H₂SO₄ extracts and run UV scan.
- Calculate the percent heroin using the following formula:

$$\frac{[(\text{Abs. @ 279nm}) - (\text{Abs@300nm})] \times 1000}{46} \times (\text{Volume HCl extract}) = \text{mg Heroin}$$

$$\frac{\text{mg Heroin}}{\text{mg sample}} \times 100 = \% \text{ Heroin as base}$$

Quantitation of LSD by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry

Procedure

- Soak one or more hits in a known volume of 0.2 N H₂SO₄ for several minutes.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Remove carrier, squeezing solution out with applicator.
- Scan solution on UV spectrophotometer from 370-220 nm.
- Compare absorption spectra with standard spectra.
- By using "Peak Pick" (F4) function, the absorbance value for the wavelength will be displayed.
- Calculations:

Calculate quantity of LSD per square as follows:

$$\frac{[(\text{Abs.}@315\text{nm})-(\text{Abs.}@370\text{nm})]}{225} \times \frac{1000}{100} \times \text{Volume} = \text{mg LSD}$$

$$\frac{\text{mg LSD} \times 1000 \mu\text{g LSD}}{1 \text{ mg LSD}} = \frac{\mu\text{g LSD}}{\# \text{ squares used}}$$

- Record LSD concentration per square on worksheet, not to be reported on lab report.
- If analysis is for federal prosecution calculate number of hits of liquid LSD
 - Note: Record number of drops used for analysis on worksheet

$$\frac{[(\text{Abs.}@315\text{nm}) - (\text{Abs.}@370\text{nm})]}{225} \times \frac{1000}{100} \times \text{Volume} = \text{mg LSD}$$

$$\frac{\text{mg LSD} \times 1000 \mu\text{g LSD}}{\text{mg sample used} \quad 1 \text{ mg LSD}} \times \text{mg sample (net wt)} = \text{total } \mu\text{g LSD}$$

- Federal conversion factor: 40 μg LSD / hit

Quantitation of Methamphetamine by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry

Procedure

- Weigh 60 mg of powder and place in 25 ml volumetric flask.
- Make to volume with 0.2N H₂SO₄.
- Zero the UV with 0.2 N H₂SO₄ and check the absorbance at 257nm.
- Scan the sample, recording the absorbance at 257 nm.
- Calculate percent as follows:

$$\frac{\text{abs @ 257nm}}{12.1} \times \frac{1000}{100} \times 25 \text{ ml} = \text{mg Methamphetamine base}$$

$$\frac{\text{mg Methamphetamine}}{\text{mg Sample used}} \times 100 = \% \text{ Methamphetamine as base}$$

- To determine % as HCl, divide by ratio of base/HCl molecular weights.

$$\frac{\% \text{ Methamphetamine base}}{0.80} = \% \text{ Methamphetamine HCl}$$

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Determination of Optical Isomer for “ICE” by Derivatization

Scope

- A substance containing *d*-methamphetamine HCl of at least 80% purity is defined as “ICE” by federal guidelines. Samples submitted for federal prosecution that meet these criteria shall be derivatized to determine the optical isomer(s) present.

Procedure

- Dissolve approximately 2 mg of unknown sample in 1M Na₂CO₃ in test tube.
- Add approximately 1 ml of CHCl₃, mix well.
- Place the CHCl₃ in an auto sampler vial and add approximately 2 drops of (S)-(-)-N-TPC (S)-(-)-N-(Trifluoroacetyl)-Propyl Chloride).
- Repeat steps above for 3 known samples (*l*-methamphetamine, *d*-methamphetamine, and *d,l*-methamphetamine).
- Allow all 4 mixtures to react for at least 10 minutes.
- Inject each mixture into the GC/MS using the “ISOMER” program and record the retention times. (Note: Unknown mixture should be run first to determine if the sample is racemic. *l*-methamphetamine elutes before *d*-methamphetamine by about 0.2 minutes.)

Interpretation

- Analysts shall examine all isomer chromatographs to confirm presence of *d*-methamphetamine.
- Presence of small amounts of *l*-methamphetamine *does not* preclude an analyst from reporting a sample as *d*-methamphetamine. However, the sample should contain predominantly *d*-methamphetamine. Otherwise, analysts are encouraged to report the sample as *d,l*-methamphetamine.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Quantitation by Gas Chromatography with Internal Standard

Quantitation of Cocaine by GC-FID

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- GC with Flame Ionization detector
- Hydrogen carrier gas
- ACS grade chloroform or higher.
- Class "A" Volumetric glassware
- Analytical balance (Readability shall be 0.0001 or greater)
- 1mL Transfer pipette

Standards, Controls, and Quality Assurance

- N-tetracosane standard
- Guide 34 Traceable Cocaine HCl standard
- Internal standard stock solution shall be labeled with a lot number which will correspond to the date the solution was prepared.
 - Solution shall be sealed and refrigerated when not in use.
 - Solution expires 3 months from date of preparation.
- Quality Check Standard (Cocaine/Tetracosane in internal standard solution)
 - A new check standard shall be prepared each time a new internal standard solution is prepared.
 - Check standard lot number shall correspond with the internal standard stock solution.
 - The check standard concentration shall fall within limits of the standard curve.
 - Initially, the check standard shall be run and analyzed along with a new calibration curve to determine the experimental concentration by performing a minimum of 5 injections on method "APDMID-FID". This concentration shall be calculated and documented on the GC-FID Quantitation Worksheet along with the original calibration curve data.
 - The check standard shall be injected a minimum of 3 times with each subsequent batch of samples. The concentration will be documented and calculated on the Cocaine Quantitation Worksheet, and shall fall within 5% (absolute value) of the initial experimentally determined value.
- Standard curves shall be considered valid for use with casework until:
 - Certain changes in the condition of the GC-FID hardware (including any major repairs to the FID, or replacement of key components.)
 - Internal standard solution is depleted.
 - A period of three months has passed since the curve has been run.
- While in use for casework, approved calibration curve excel workbooks will be saved electronically.
- Once a curve is no longer suitable for case work the respective excel workbook will be moved to the appropriate electronic folder and archived.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Case Samples
 - The laboratory has determined that the purity difference between these two results must be equal to or less than the control limits (± 3 standard deviations) from the control chart standard deviation. This process is used to establish the homogeneity of the sample.
 - Analysts may average the sample concentrations or report the lowest value.

Procedure

- Generation of Standard Calibration Curve
 1. Internal Standard Solution: make an internal standard solution of approximately 0.1 mg/mL concentration of N-tetracosane in chloroform. This solution will be the solution used to make at least five standard cocaine solutions and to make the unknown analyte solutions.
 2. Make a series of standards using cocaine HCl in the internal standard solution ranging in cocaine concentration from 0.20 mg/mL to 2.00 mg/mL (as cocaine HCl). Each standard should be prepared by diluting a known mass to 10mL. Also, a minimum of three data points (injections) should be collected for each standard and unknown analyte.
 3. Inject each of the standards into the GC-FID using the method APDMID-FID. The method uses the back injection port, column, and detector.
 4. After the standards have run, launch the Data Analysis portion of ChemStation. Open the chromatogram for a standard. Select Chromatogram and then click Select Integrator. On that window, select RTE Integrator. Then, Select Integrate from the Chromatogram menu. Then, after integrating, select Generate Percent Report from the Chromatogram menu. A window will pop open on the bottom running a report. Right click that window and select print.

This will print out a copy of the integrated areas of each peak. Look for the peak area column on the report. Divide the cocaine number by the N-tetracosane number. This number will be the Area Ratio. (Cocaine peak will be at approximately 4.78 minutes and N-tetracosane at 5.87 minutes.)

Generate the calibration curve in Excel by plotting the concentration of the standards on the x-axis and the corresponding area ratio on the y-axis. A linear trendline should be calculated. The R^2 correlation number should be a minimum of .9800 for the calibration curve.


Experimental and theoretical values for calibration standard concentrations shall fall within 10% (absolute) of each other in order to be acceptable. If a standard does not meet criteria a new standard dilution may be prepared and injected. It is not necessary to immediately prepare an entirely new series of dilutions. However, if more than one standard falls out of tolerance the analyst shall prepare a new curve.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

To plot and get the equation of the trend line, click on the chart. Go up to the main menu, select Chart and then Add Trendline. Select Linear and go to the options tab. Select the 'Display Equation on Chart' option and the 'Display R-squared on chart' option.

Conc Cocaine HCl	Cocaine Area	Tetracosane Area	Area Ratio	Average Ratio	σ	2σ	3σ
0.20	152325	129620	1.1751659	1.1869433	0.0066503	0.01330058	0.01995087
0.20	152398	128035	1.1902839				
0.20	152575	128086	1.1911919				
0.20	152666	128359	1.1893673				
0.20	151622	127552	1.1887074				
0.40	308831	128333	2.4064816	2.4045057	0.0028286	0.00565725	0.00848588
0.40	307636	127757	2.4079776				
0.40	307538	128013	2.4023966				
0.40	308682	128555	2.4011668				
0.40	304260	126498	2.4052554				
1.00	765855	127622	6.0009638	6.0054653	0.0097916	0.0195832	0.02937481
1.00	764303	127556	5.9919016				
1.00	765502	127219	6.0171987				
1.00	769062	127923	6.0119134				
1.00	765682	127500	6.005349				
1.40	1112295	133290	8.3449246	8.3403222	0.0098866	0.01977323	0.02965985
1.40	1097639	131437	8.3510655				
1.40	1101925	132371	8.3245197				
1.40	1099959	131857	8.34206				
1.40	1099661	131869	8.339041				
2.00	1557622	132177	11.784365	11.799683	0.0241255	0.04825107	0.07237661
2.00	1521175	128915	11.799829				
2.00	1519049	128344	11.835762				
2.00	1517932	128941	11.772299				
2.00	1508532	127775	11.806159				

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**



5. The corresponding calibration curve shall be linear with a correlation of .98 or greater. Save the equation and continue on to the unknown samples.

- Quantifying the unknowns using Standard Calibration Curve

1. Place a homogenized, known mass of the unknown sample into a volumetric flask and dilute to mark using the same internal standard solution you prepared in Part I, solution #1. Use approximately 1 mg of the sample per 1 mL of internal standard solution.
2. Inject that solution into the GC-FID and use the method APDMID-FID.
3. Launch the Data Analysis portion of ChemStation. Open the chromatogram for a standard. Select Chromatogram and then Percent Report from the menu. A window will pop open on the bottom running a report. Right click that window and select print.

Divide the cocaine peak's corr. area by the N-tetracosane peak's corr. area to calculate the area ratio.

4. Using the Standard Calibration Curve from Part I, solve for the concentration of cocaine (x-value). The y-value is the area ratio. This will be the concentration of cocaine in the unknown solution in mg/mL.

To calculate the percent cocaine base (for reporting for federal cases):

$$\frac{\text{mg/mL Cocaine}}{\text{mg unknown sample used}} \times \text{mL of Internal Standard Solution} \times 100 = \% \text{Cocaine HCl}$$

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

% Cocaine HCl x 0.89 = % Cocaine base

5. Save the Excel workbook to e-mail to the technical reviewer after case is completed.

Reference:

Pinero and Casale, Microgram Journal, Vol. 4, January-December 2006.

http://www.justice.gov/dea/programs/forensicsci/microgram/journal_v4_num14/pg7.html

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Quantifying Heroin by GC-FID with Internal Standard

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- GC with Flame Ionization detector
- Hydrogen carrier gas
- ACS grade chloroform or higher.
- Class "A" Volumetric glassware
- Analytical balance (Readability shall be 0.0001 or greater)
- 1mL Transfer pipette

Standards, Controls, and Quality Assurance

- N-Octacosane standard
- Traceable Heroin Base or HCl standard
- Internal standard stock solution shall be labeled with a lot number which will correspond to the date the solution was prepared. Solution shall be sealed and refrigerated when not in use.
- Quality Check Standard
 - A new check standard shall be prepared each time a new internal standard solution is prepared. Check standards will be given a lot number that shall be recorded on the GC-FID Quantitation Worksheet.
 - Initially, the check standard shall be run and analyzed along with a new calibration curve to determine the experimental concentration by performing a minimum of 5 injections on APDMID-FID or APDHIGH-FID. This concentration shall be calculated and documented on the Quantitation Worksheet along with the original calibration curve data.
 - The check standard shall be injected a minimum of 3 times with each subsequent batch of samples. The concentration will be documented and calculated on the Quantitation Worksheet, and shall fall within 5% (absolute value) of the initial experimentally determined value.
- Calibration curves shall be considered valid for use with casework until:
 - Certain changes in the condition of the GC-FID hardware (including any major repairs to the FID, or replacement of key components.)
 - Internal standard solution is depleted.
 - Check standard falls outside of 5% tolerance.
 - A period of three months has passed since the curve has been run.
 - The two samples quantitated by GC-FID should have a concentration range no greater than 20% (absolute value) in order to be acceptable.
 - If the range between 2 quantitations exceeds 10% the analyst shall resample the homogenized portion and analyze a 3rd sample.
 - Analysts may average the sample concentrations or report the lowest value.
- While in use for casework, approved calibration curve excel workbooks will be saved electronically.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Once a curve is no longer suitable for case work the respective excel workbook will be moved to the appropriate electronic folder and archived.
- Case Samples
 - The laboratory has determined that the purity difference between these two results must be equal to or less than the control limits (± 3 standard deviations) from the control chart. This process is used to establish the homogeneity of the sample.
 - Analysts may average the sample concentrations or report the lowest value.
- Generation of Standard Calibration Curve
 - 1 Internal Standard Solution: Make an internal standard solution of approximately 0.2 mg/mL concentration of n-octacosane in chloroform. This solution will be the solution used to make at least five standard heroin solutions and to make the unknown analyte solutions.
 - 2 Make a series of standards using heroin base in the internal standard solution from solution #1 ranging in heroin concentration from 0.2 mg/mL to 1.00 mg/mL. (You can go higher, you just need to show that the method is linear to that amount). Each standard should be prepared by diluting a known mass to 10mL. Also, a minimum of three data points (injections) should be collected for each standard and unknown analyte.

Example:

A series of standards- 0.20 mg/mL, 0.50 mg/mL, 0.70 mg/mL, 0.90mg/mL 1.00 mg/mL.

- 3 Inject each of the standards into GC-FID using the method APD MID-FID or APDHIGH-FID. The method uses the back injection port, column, and detector.
4. This will print out a copy of the integrated areas of each peak. Look for the peak area column on the report. Divide the heroin number by the octacosane number. This number will be the Area Ratio. (Heroin peak will be at approximately 6.39 minutes and Octacose at 7.18 minutes.)

Generate the calibration curve in Excel by plotting the concentration of the standards on the x-axis and the corresponding area ratio on the y-axis. A linear trendline should be calculated. The R^2 correlation number should be a minimum of .98 for the calibration curve.

Experimental and theoretical values for calibration standard concentrations shall fall within 10% of each other in order to be acceptable. If a standard does not fall in this range a new standard dilution may be prepared and injected. It is not necessary to immediately prepare an entirely new series of dilutions. However, if more than one standard falls out of tolerance the analyst shall prepare a new curve.


To plot and get the equation of the trend line, click on the chart. Go up to the main menu, select Chart and then Add Trendline. Select Linear and go to the options tab. Select the 'Display Equation on Chart' option and the 'Display R-squared on chart' option.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

All Calibration Data Collected

Run	Heroin Area	C28 Area	Ratio	Average Ratio	Retention Time (min)	
<i>0.20 mg / ml</i>					Heroin	C-28
1	173138	287288	0.60266352928	0.60394452749	6.384	7.177
2	174587	288415	0.60533259366		6.381	7.173
3	174324	288365	0.60452551454		6.381	7.172
4	172985	286752	0.60325647249		6.381	7.172
Average RT					6.38	7.17
<i>0.50 mg / ml</i>						
1	432484	275054	1.57236033652	1.55871482431	6.388	7.175
2	435620	282230	1.54349289587		6.390	7.175
3	437479	281010	1.55680936621		6.389	7.176
4	436195	279219	1.56219669865		6.389	7.177
Average RT					6.39	7.18
<i>0.70 mg / ml</i>						
1	617729	281718	2.19272108988	2.18319840576	6.392	7.174
2	617495	282747	2.18391353401		6.392	7.175
3	622840	285970	2.17799069833		6.393	7.175
4	625803	287307	2.17816830081		6.393	7.176
Average RT					6.39	7.18
<i>1.01 mg / ml</i>						
1	870844	287562	3.02836953422	3.08411297507	6.396	7.176
2	876475	283513	3.09148081393		6.397	7.176
3	869764	279995	3.10635547063		6.396	7.176
4	871718	280273	3.11024608150		6.394	7.174
Average RT					6.40	7.18

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**



All QC Data Collected

Sample	Heroin Area	C28 Area	Ratio	Average Ratio	Retention Time (min)	
0.40 <i>mg / ml</i>					Heroin	C-28
1	353076	286328	1.23311726412	1.23734348840	6.387	7.175
2	357122	287936	1.24028256279		6.386	7.173
3	356483	288273	1.23661598554		6.386	7.175
4	360999	291279	1.23935814116		6.386	7.173
Average RT					6.39	7.17

Results of Calculations

Expected	x value Heroin (mg / ml)	Calculated Average (mg / ml)	Percent Difference of Expected & Calculated	Standard Deviation (1 σ) (mg / ml)	3 Standard Deviations (3 σ) (mg / ml)	3 Standard Deviations (3 σ) (%)
0.40	0.40	0.40	0.0%	0.001	0.003	0.8%
	0.40					
	0.40					
	0.40					

Maximum	0.0%	0.001	0.003	0.8%
----------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	-------------

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

5. The corresponding calibration curve shall be linear with a correlation of at least .98. Save the equation and continue on to the unknown samples.

- Quantifying the unknowns using the Standard Calibration Curve

1. Place a known mass of the unknown sample into a volumetric flask and dilute to mark using the same internal standard solution you prepared in Part I, solution #1. Use approximately 0.5 mg of the sample per 1 mL of internal standard solution.
2. Inject that solution into the GC-FID, and use the method APDHIGH-FID.
3. From the printout, divide the heroin peak's corr. area by the octacosane peak's corr. area to calculate the area ratio.
4. Using the Standard Calibration Curve from Part I, solve for the concentration of heroin (x-value). The y-value is the area ratio. This will be the concentration of heroin in the unknown solution in mg/mL.

To calculate the percent heroin base (for reporting for federal cases):

$$\frac{\text{mg/mL Heroin} \times \text{mL of Internal Standard Solution}}{\text{mg unknown sample used}} \times 100 = \% \text{Heroin}$$

5. Save the Excel workbook to e-mail to the technical reviewer after case is completed.

Reference:

Pinero and Casale, Microgram Journal, Vol. 4, January-December 2006.

http://www.justice.gov/dea/programs/forensicsci/microgram/journal_v4_num14/pg7.html

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

7 ESTIMATION OF UNCERTAINTY (ISO 5.4.6)

Scope

- An uncertainty of measurement takes into consideration all the potential variables that contribute to the measured result. Sources contributing to the uncertainty may include, but are not limited to, the reference standards or materials used, the procedure or equipment used, the environmental conditions, the properties or condition of the item being tested and the analyst performing the test. All components that may contribute to the measured uncertainty will be taken into consideration when estimating the uncertainty of measurement. The Forensic Chemistry Section will have procedures for estimating the uncertainty of measurement where required. This section will attempt to identify all the components of uncertainty and make a reasonable estimation and will ensure that the form of reporting of the result takes into consideration any applicable measurement uncertainty.

Definitions

- Uncertainty of Measurement: A parameter associated with a measured result that characterizes the possible range of values that could, under a specified level of confidence, be attributed to the result or method.
- Measurand: Quantity intended to be measured.
- Bias: Also known as systematic error, this type of variation is non-random and is caused by recurring influence of one or more factors. For example, if the scale was not level, this could cause a systematic over-weighing or under-weighing of the sample.
- Type A Evaluation: These uncertainties are evaluated by statistical analysis of a series of observations
- Type B Evaluation: method of evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observations
- Readability: the smallest increment which the balance displays (ranges 0.01g to 0.00001g in our laboratory)
- Repeatability: closeness of the agreement between the results of successive measurements of the same item carried out under the same conditions (example: a balance's ability to consistently deliver the same weight for a given mass)
- Linearity: the quality of delivering a significantly identical sensitivity throughout the weighing capacity of a balance
- Standard Uncertainty (u): a component of uncertainty, represented by an estimated standard deviation equal to the positive square root of the estimated variance.
- Distribution:
 - Normal: A pattern of frequency of values arrayed around a central mean value, such that the pattern is consistent with a Gaussian distribution

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Rectangular : A distribution of values that that there is equal probability that a value lies anywhere within the interval.
- Combined Standard Uncertainty : (u_c) square root of the sum of the squares of the uncertainty factors, used to express the uncertainty of many measurement results.
- Coverage factor (k): when applied to the combined uncertainty allows for the definition of the confidence interval; (k = 2 allows for approximately a 95.4% confidence interval, k = 3 allows for approximately a 99.7% confidence interval)
- Expanded Uncertainty ($U_{k=n}$): quantity defining an interval within which the result of a measurement may be expected to encompass.
- Relative Contribution: demonstrates the individual factor's contribution to the event uncertainty.
- Standard Deviation: A value associated with a normal, or Gaussian distribution describing an average departure from the mean value.

Procedures (ISO 17025:2005, 5.4.6.2)

- Qualitative procedures such as identifying the presence or absence of a controlled substance do not require a measurement of uncertainty.
- The following test procedures have been identified as requiring an uncertainty of measurement estimation:
 - Controlled substance drug weights (Laboratory Balances)
 - Cocaine quantifications (UV and GC-FID)
 - Heroin quantifications (UV and GC-FID)
 - Methamphetamine quantification (UV)
- The Forensic Chemistry section Technical Leader in controlled substances is responsible for assessing and determining the uncertainty of measurement for the test procedures listed above.
 - Balance uncertainties shall be revisited annually after calibration by an approved vendor, or as needed. This may be documented in an Excel spreadsheet.
 - The cumulative balance performance verification data for the previous calendar year may be used for this calculation, unless there is a legitimate reason for changing the sample set. The reason for changing the sample set shall be documented in the Excel spreadsheet log.
 - The Excel spreadsheet for each balance will be archived electronically.
 - Quantification uncertainties shall be revisited as needed.

Balance Uncertainty Determination

- Procedure for developing an uncertainty budget associated with weighing a controlled substance (An Excel spreadsheet may be used to compile data and perform all the needed calculations).

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Multiple analysts should participate in the test procedure. Ideally, all analysts proficient in the controlled substances discipline should participate.
- Uncertainties are grouped by model, and precision of balance.
- **Measurement Traceability** is established through the annual calibration of the balances, and the mass references standards used to confirm the continued calibration.
- **Measurement Assurance** is determined through the intermediate checks of the balances and mass reference standards.

- Identification and evaluation of components of uncertainty in the weighing procedure (**ISO 17025:2005, 5.4.6.2**):

➤ **Weighing Events**

- A weighing event is defined as:
 - The taring of the balance followed by addition of the measurand (Single event). *Note: This method shall be used for collection of any data involving preparation of the uncertainty budget and monthly quality checks.*
 - Taring of a weighing vessel followed by the addition of the measurand to the vessel (Single event).
 - Removal of the tared weighing vessel, filling with material, and then returning to the balance would constitute 2 weighing events.
- Analysts have discretion with the weighing process in casework, but shall record the number of weighing events in the case record.

➤ **Measuring Equipment (Balance)**

- **Multiple equipment of the same model** –Type A Evaluation of process repeatability data.
- **Readability** – Type B evaluation. Obtained from manufacturer's specifications. The purpose of this component is to account for the rounding that is automatically performed by the balance.
- **Linearity** – Type B evaluation. Obtained from manufacturer's specifications.
- **Balance Bias** – Mass reference standards are used to confirm the continued calibration status of the balances. This provides the laboratory with an ongoing evaluation of bias.
- **Repeatability** – Type A evaluation. Determined in the laboratory by one of the following methods:
 - Use the historical data obtained from the monthly quality assurance checks to determine the standard deviation. The process for monthly balance checks is outlined in the Forensic Chemistry section SOP's. The monthly checks should attempt to cover the working range of the balance, or normal use of the instrument.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- For new balances with no historical data, weigh several mass reference materials multiple times (minimum of 20 per mass) then calculate the standard deviation for the readings. Again, it is preferred to use masses throughout the range of the instrument or normal use of the instrument.
- It is also acceptable to use a combination of historical data and data obtained specifically for the purpose of determining the uncertainty budget to calculate the standard deviations.
- The standard deviations are calculated in grams.
- **Balance calibration uncertainty** – Type B evaluation. This is obtained from the report of the annual calibration of balances by an approved vendor. A coverage factor of $k=2$, or 3 is acceptable.
- **Buoyancy** - Samples with a density less than that of steel (8000 kg/m^3) have a "negative" effect on the difference between the "true" mass and the measured mass on the balance. So the balance actually shows a mass that is less than the "true" mass. As a result, any uncertainty due to buoyancy is neglected.
- **Staff**
 - **Multiple Analysts** –Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Training** –Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Experience**–Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
- **Test Method**
 - **Eccentricity** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Buoyancy**- Type B Evaluation. Buoyancy is difficult to account for in seized drug cases because the density of the material being weighed must be known. However, for material that has a lower density than the steel calibration weights (8.0 g/cm^3) the bias imparted is always negative and the weight displayed by the balance will be less than the true weight of the material. As a result any uncertainty due to buoyancy is negligible and may be ignored.
- **Facility**
 - **Location** – The location of the equipment has not been altered since calibration, and is covered by the calibration uncertainty. This becomes invalid if the balance is moved due to variations in gravitational forces.
 - **Temperature Variation** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Air Flow** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Vibration** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Humidity** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Static Electricity** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Quantify standard uncertainty components:
 - Repeatability data is evaluated as a normal distribution and expressed as a standard deviation in grams:
 - $u_{(\text{repeat})} = a / 1$
 - Readability data is evaluated as a rectangular distribution and is calculated by:
 - $U_{(\text{read})} = a / \sqrt{3}$
 - Where $a = 1/2$ (Readability of the balance in grams)
 - Balance Calibration Uncertainty
 - The uncertainty will be divided by the coverage factor, 2, to arrive at a standard uncertainty in grams.
 - $u_{(\text{balcal})} = a / 2$
 - Balance Linearity is evaluated as a rectangular distribution:
 - Standard uncertainty = $a / \sqrt{3}$
 - $u_{(\text{linear})} = 1/2$ (linearity of the balance in grams)
- Calculate the relative contribution: Determine to what extent the factor affects the overall uncertainty budget. An item that contributes less than 1/3 of greatest relative contributor may be considered "Negligible".
 - $U^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2) * 100$ This value is used to determine which factors are significant.
- Calculate the Combined Standard Uncertainty: $U_c = (\sum(u_n)^2)$
 - U_c (single weighing event) = $\sqrt{u(\text{read})^2 + u(\text{repeat})^2 + u(\text{linear})^2 + u(\text{balcal})^2}$
- Calculate the Expanded Combined Uncertainty using the desired coverage factor.
 - The Forensic Chemistry section will typically use a 99.7% confidence interval ($k=3$).
 - $U_{ck=3} = 3 * U_c$
- It is acceptable to determine the uncertainty associated with each balance individually, or to pool data based on make, model, and precision of balance.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Example Uncertainty Budget Sheet				
Factor	Value (x), g	Standard Uncertainty (u), g	Distribution	Relative contribution to u in %
Readability (Type B)	From manufacturer	$(X/2)/\sqrt{3}$	Rectangular	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Repeatability (Type A)	Determined in house. This is the SD determined as listed above (k=3)	$x/1$	Normal	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Linearity (Type B)	From manufacturer	$(x/2)/\sqrt{3}$	Rectangular	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Uncertainty from balance calibration report (U, coverage factor k=2 or3) (Type A)	Determined annually by approved vendor.	$x/1$	Normal	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Subtotal of the uncertainty $(\sum(u_n)^2)$		Sum of the square of each of the uncertainty factors		
Uc = square root of $(\sum(u_n)^2)$	Square root of the sum of the squared uncertainty components	grams		
Expanded Uncertainty (U); where (k) = 3	$U_{k=3} = (u_c \times 3)$	gram/weighing event		

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Uncertainty of Measurement Calculation for Drug Weights

- An uncertainty of measurement shall be determined for sample weights that are reported.
- The case record will indicate the uncertainty value (or values) for the balance(s) used. The current uncertainty values for each balance shall be made available to each analyst.
- The number of weighing events shall be recorded in the case record.

- Significant Figures
 - The number of significant figures in the reported value must be less than or equal to the number of significant figures in the precision of the balance.
 - Significant figures will be conserved during calculations and reporting. In other words, when combining measurements with different precision, the number of significant figures of the final reported result can be no greater than the number of significant digits to the right of the decimal of the least precise balance. See Table 1.

Balance	Weight
Readability 1 = 0.01	10.04
Readability 2 = 0.001	10.036
Total Weight	10.076
Reported Weight	10.07

Single Weighing Event

- The calculated expanded uncertainty of the scale used is the uncertainty for the measurement.

- Examples:
 - The reading from the scale is 1.23 grams and the uncertainty value is 0.04g. The reported value would be 1.23 grams \pm 0.04g.
 - The reading from the scale is 1.0 pounds and the uncertainty value is 0.2lbs. The reported value would be 1.0 pounds \pm 0.2lb

Multiple Measurements with the Same Balance

- To determine the uncertainty value for multiple measurements, one cannot simply add all of the uncertainties together and report the combined uncertainties as the total uncertainty. This is because the error is random; sometimes the measured weight will be too high. Other times it will be lower than the true value.
- The Root Sum Squares method must be used for this calculation.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Equation 1

$$U = \sqrt{N * (u_b)^2}$$

U = total Uncertainty for the sum value

N = number of measurements

u_b = Uncertainty of the balance

Equation 1 can be simplified to Equation 2.

Equation 2

$$U = \sqrt{N} * u_b$$

Example 1:

A balance used to obtain the weight for five bindles has an uncertainty of 0.01 gram. Table 3 shows the weights of the 5 bindles using this balance.

Table 3	
Bindle #	Weight (g)
1	0.52
2	0.67
3	0.78
4	1.02
5	0.21
Total	3.20 g

The uncertainty from each weighing must be considered. To determine the combined uncertainty from all five weighings use Equation 2.

Using Equation 2, the combined uncertainty would be calculated as follows:

$$U = \sqrt{N} * u_b = \sqrt{5} * 0.01 = 0.02 \text{ g}$$

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Since uncertainty values will have the same precision as the balance being used and will be rounded up; the calculated total uncertainty value of 0.022 gram would be rounded up to 0.03 gram. Reported weight would be 3.20grams $\pm 0.03g$

Multiple Measurements: Two or More Balances or Multiple Uncertainty Values for a Single Balance

- At times, individual items within an exhibit will necessitate using two different balances, or, different weights may fall within different calculated uncertainty values for a particular balance. The example below would apply to either of these situations. These calculations also apply to subtraction calculations; although the difference is found through subtraction, the combined uncertainty is the sum of the separate uncertainties.

Example:

A submission came into the laboratory that consisted of four plastic bags and three paper bags all containing material that was visually consistent. Due to the different sizes of the containers, the analyst decided to weigh the contents of the plastic bags on a 400-gram capacity balance with an uncertainty value of 0.01 gram and weigh the contents of the paper bags on a larger capacity balance with an uncertainty value of 0.4 gram.

As an aside, if the analyst made all the measurements on a single 600-gram capacity scale that had separate uncertainty values for different ranges of weights, the “d” value would be the same for both weight levels.

Table 4. Balance B1	
Plastic bags	Weight (g)
1	10.56
2	14.66
3	28.50
4	31.27
Total	84.99 g

Uncertainty = 0.01g, readability = 0.01

Table 5. Balance B2	
Paper bags	Weight (g)
1	450.6
2	422.8

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

3	575.2
Total	1448.6 g

Uncertainty = 0.4 g, readability = 0.2

For this calculation Equation 2 will be used twice – once for B1 and once for B2. The combined equation (Equation 3) will be as follows:

Equation 3

$$U = \left(\sqrt{N_{B1}} * u_{B1} \right) + \left(\sqrt{N_{B2}} * u_{B2} \right)$$

U: total uncertainty
 N_{B1} : number of measurements on Balance 1
 u_{B1} : uncertainty of Balance 1
 N_{B2} : number of measurements on Balance 2
 u_{B2} : uncertainty of Balance 1

Using the above numbers for the weights obtained in Tables 3 and 4 the following measurement of uncertainty is obtained.

$$U = \left(\sqrt{4} * 0.01 \right) + \left(\sqrt{3} * 0.4 \right) = 0.71g$$

The combined net weight (total of balance B1 plus total of balance B2) is 1533.59 grams. When combining measurements with different degrees of precision, *the precision of the final answer can be no greater than the least precise measurement.* With this rule in mind, the total weight would be 1533.5 grams (one decimal place). The weight itself is never rounded up, but rather is rounded down. The calculated uncertainty (U) equaled 0.71 gram, which would be rounded up to 0.8 gram (uncertainty sum rounded up to the nearest increment of the larger readability value). The reported net weight would be 1533.5 ± 0.8 grams

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Uncertainty Determination for Quantification by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry

Scope

- To outline procedures for developing an Uncertainty Budget Associated with UV quantifications of Cocaine, Methamphetamine, and Heroin (An Excel spreadsheet may be used to perform all the needed calculations).

Procedure

- Methods are delineated in the Quantitative Analysis section of this manual.
- Uncertainties and control charts are grouped by drug.
- **Measurement Traceability** is established through the annual calibration of the balances, and the samples used to establish the control chart and method bias.
- **Measurement Assurance** is established through the intermediate balance checks.
- The laboratory shall retain all existing data from the measurement process.
- **Method Uncertainty (Bias)**
 - The laboratory uses a sample of known purity for preparation of solutions. Where possible the certificate of analysis or the historical data used to establish purity shall be retained, and this value shall be used in calculating the method uncertainty.
 - The laboratory shall use approved methods delineated in the Quantitative Analysis section of this manual.
 - Multiple analysts should participate in the test procedure. Ideally, all analysts proficient in the controlled substances discipline should participate.
 - A method uncertainty is established by analyzing 5 different samples with known purity. These five samples were analyzed over several days to establish figures of merit such as repeatability.
 - The purities determined by the section may be stored in an excel spreadsheet and the laboratory mean % purity ($\%Purity_{lab}$) is then determined.
 - The method uncertainty or bias is defined as the difference between the laboratory mean purity and the established purity from the reference sample (relative percent)

$$Method\ Uncertainty = \frac{|\%Purity_{std} - \%Purity_{lab}|}{\%Purity_{std}} \times 100$$

- Method Uncertainty will be reevaluated as deemed necessary by the technical leader.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Identification and evaluation of components of uncertainty in the quantification procedure (**ISO 17025:2005, 5.4.6.2**):
 - This procedure to determine method uncertainty will evaluate the following uncertainty components during the measurement process:
 - **Staff**
 - **Multiple Analysts** – Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Training** – Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Experience** – Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Preparation of Samples**
 - **Balance (Weighing of samples)** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Volumetric Flasks** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data. Includes:
 - Uncertainty of bringing flask to volume (reading flask meniscus)
 - Uncertainty of volume of flasks
 - **Analysis** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data. Includes:
 - Instrument parameters (wavelength ranges, choppers, filters, mirrors, etc.)
 - Interference from matrix (Noise)
- **Control Chart**
 - A control chart derived from the analysis of a sample of known purity in the same manner used to determine the method uncertainty.
 - Long term variability associated with method performance is captured by the control chart.
 - Ideally, 5 points from every analyst will be collected annually or as deemed necessary by the Technical Leader.
 - The standard deviation obtained from the control chart is calculated as a relative percentage from a minimum of the 25 most recent points.

$$\text{Relative Standard Deviation} = \frac{|\text{Standard Deviation } \%Purity_{lab}|}{\text{Mean } \%Purity_{lab}} \times 100$$

- Including both the control chart and method uncertainty contributors will result in some overlap, which is again accepted as a conservative approach.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Identification and evaluation of components of uncertainty (**ISO 17025:2005, 5.4.6.2**):
 - As mentioned earlier the components of uncertainty for the control chart procedure overlap and are nearly identical to those listed under method uncertainty.
 - However, the control chart has the additional aspect of assessing long term variability of the quantification method.
- Quantify standard uncertainty components:
 - Method uncertainty is evaluated as a normal distribution and is expressed as a relative percent.
 - $u_{(\text{method})} = a / 1$
 - Control chart uncertainty is evaluated as a normal distribution and is expressed as a relative percent.
 - $u_{(\text{control})} = a / 1$
- Calculate the relative contribution: Determine to what extent the factor affects the overall uncertainty budget. An item that contributes less than 1/3 of greatest relative contributor may be considered "Negligible".
 - $U^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2) * 100$ This value is used to determine which factors are significant.
- Calculation of combined standard uncertainty (relative percentages)
 - $U_{\text{rel}} = \sqrt{u(\text{control chart})^2 + u(\text{method uncertainty})^2}$
- Calculation of expanded uncertainty
 - In this case the u_{rel} is calculated as a relative percentage and must be converted to an absolute percent of the experimentally determined mean value:
 - $(U_{\text{rel}} / 100) * \text{Experimentally determined purity} = U_{\text{abs}}$
 - The expanded uncertainty is expressed mathematically as:

$$U = k * U_{\text{abs}}$$

Using a coverage factor $k = 3$ (confidence level of approximately 99% assuming the %purity follows a normal distribution):

$$U_{k=3} = 3 * U_{\text{abs}}$$

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Example Quantification Uncertainty Budget Sheet				
Factor	Value (x),	Distribution	Standard Uncertainty (u), relative %	Relative contribution to u in %
Method Uncertainty	Determined in house.	Normal	x/1	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Control Chart	Determined in house.	Normal	x/1	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Subtotal of individual u values		$\sum(u_n):$		
Subtotal of squared u values		$(\sum(u_n)^2)$		
Combined Standard Uncertainty		U_{rel}		

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Uncertainty Determination for Quantification by Gas Chromatography

Scope

- To outline procedures for developing an Uncertainty Budget Associated with GC-FID quantifications of Cocaine, and Heroin (An Excel spreadsheet may be used to perform all the needed calculations).

Procedure

- Methods are delineated in the Quantitative Analysis section of this manual.
- Multiple analysts should participate in the test procedure. Ideally, all analysts proficient in the controlled substances discipline should participate.
- Uncertainties and control charts are grouped by drug.
- **Measurement Traceability** is established through the annual calibration of the balances, the samples used to establish the control chart and method uncertainty, and the reference materials (calibrators) obtained from an approved vendor.
- **Measurement Assurance** is established through the intermediate balance checks and the quality control check standard.
- The laboratory shall retain all existing data from the measurement process.

- **Method Uncertainty (Bias)**

- The laboratory uses a sample of known purity for preparation of solutions. Where possible the certificate of analysis or the historical data used to establish purity shall be retained, and this value shall be used in calculating the method uncertainty.
- The laboratory shall use approved methods delineated in the Quantitative Analysis section of this manual.
- Multiple analysts should participate in the test procedure. Ideally, all analysts proficient in the controlled substances discipline should participate.
- A method uncertainty is established by analyzing 5 different samples with known purity. These five samples were analyzed over several days to establish figures of merit such as repeatability.
- The purities determined by the section may be stored in an excel spreadsheet and the laboratory mean % purity ($\%Purity_{lab}$) is then determined.
- The method uncertainty or bias is defined as the difference between the laboratory mean purity and the established purity from the reference sample (relative percent)

$$Method\ Uncertainty = \frac{|\%Purity_{std} - \%Purity_{lab}|}{\%Purity_{std}} \times 100$$

- Method Uncertainty will be reevaluated as deemed necessary by the technical leader.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Identification and evaluation of components of uncertainty in the quantification procedure (**ISO 17025:2005, 5.4.6.2**):
 - This procedure to determine method uncertainty will evaluate the following uncertainty components during the measurement process:
 - **Staff**
 - **Multiple Analysts** –Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Training** – Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Experience** – Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Calibrator**
 - **Purity of reference material** – Type B evaluation determined from certificate of analysis from vendor.
 - **Administrative Requirement for agreement** – Type B Evaluation of 10% requirement for agreement between theoretical and experimental values.
 - **Internal Standard Stability** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Quality Control Samples**- Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Preparation of Samples**
 - **Balance (Weighing of samples)** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data.
 - **Volumetric Flasks** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data. Includes:
 - Uncertainty of bringing flask to volume (reading flask meniscus)
 - Uncertainty of volume of flasks
 - **Analysis** - Type A evaluation of process repeatability data. Includes:
 - Instrument parameters (oven temperatures, split ratios, gas flow, column condition, autosampler, FID, etc.)
 - Interference from matrix (Noise)
- Control Chart
 - A control chart derived from the analysis of a sample of known purity in the same manner used to determine the method uncertainty.
 - Long term variability associated with method performance is captured by the control chart.
 - Ideally, 5 points from every analyst will be collected annually or as deemed necessary by the Technical Leader.
 - The standard deviation obtained from the control chart is calculated as a relative percentage from a minimum of the 25 most recent points.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

$$\text{Relative Standard Deviation} = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation } \%Purity_{lab}}{\text{Mean } \%Purity_{lab}} \times 100$$

- Including both the control chart and method uncertainty contributors will result in some overlap, which is again accepted as a conservative approach.
- Identification and evaluation of components of uncertainty (**ISO 17025:2005, 5.4.6.2**):
 - As mentioned earlier the components of uncertainty for the control chart procedure overlap and are nearly identical to those listed under method uncertainty.
 - However, the control chart has the additional aspect of assessing long term variability of the quantification method.
- Quantify standard uncertainty components:
 - Calibrators
 - Purity of reference material is evaluated as a rectangular distribution.
 - $U_{calib} = a / \sqrt{3}$
 - Where a = the outside limit of the purity.
 - Quantification method requires that theoretical concentrations of calibration samples be within 10% agreement of experimental concentrations
 - This administrative requirement is evaluated as a rectangular distribution.
 - $U_{admin} = a / \sqrt{3}$
 - a = 10.0%
 - $10.0 / \sqrt{3}$
 - = 5.77%
 - Method uncertainty is evaluated as a normal distribution and is expressed as a relative percent.
 - $u_{(method)} = a / 1$
 - Control chart uncertainty is evaluated as a normal distribution and is expressed as a relative percent.
 - $u_{(control)} = a / 1$
- Calculate the relative contribution: Determine to what extent the factor affects the overall uncertainty budget. An item that contributes less than 1/3 of greatest relative contributor may be considered "Negligible".
 - $U^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2) * 100$ This value is used to determine which factors are significant.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Calculation of combined standard uncertainty (relative percentages)

$$\text{➤ } U_{\text{rel}} = \sqrt{u_{(\text{control chart})}^2 + u_{(\text{method uncertainty})}^2 + u_{(\text{calib})}^2 + u_{(\text{admin})}^2}$$

- Calculation of expanded uncertainty

- In this case the u_{rel} is calculated as a relative percentage and must be converted to an absolute percent of the experimentally determined mean value:

$$(U_{\text{rel}} / 100) * \text{Experimentally determined purity} = U_{\text{abs}}$$

- The expanded uncertainty is expressed mathematically as:

$$U = k * U_{\text{abs}}$$

Using a coverage factor $k = 3$ (confidence level of approximately 99% assuming the %purity follows a normal distribution):

$$U_{k=3} = 3 * U_{\text{abs}}$$

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Example Quantification Uncertainty Budget Sheet				
Factor	Value (x),	Distribution	Standard Uncertainty (u), relative %	Relative contribution to u in %
Calibrator Uncertainty	Purity provided from manufacturer	Rectangular	$x/\sqrt{3}$	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Administrative Calibrator Uncertainty	10.0%	Rectangular	$x/\sqrt{3}$	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Repeatability (Method Uncertainty)	Determined in house.	Normal	$x/1$	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Control Chart	Determined in house.	Normal	$x/1$	The standard uncertainty for the factor divided by the subtotal of the standard uncertainties $(u_n)^2 / (\sum(u_n)^2)$
Subtotal of individual u values		$\sum(u_n):$		
Subtotal of squared u values		$(\sum(u_n)^2)$		

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

References

SWGDRUG: Supplemental Document SD-3, **For Part IVC - Quality Assurance/Uncertainty, Measurement Assurance for Weight Determinations in Seized Drug Analysis**. Available at www.swgdrug.org.

SWGDRUG: Supplemental Document SD-4, **Measurement Uncertainty for Purity Determinations in Seized Drug Analysis For Part IVC – Quality Assurance/Uncertainty**. Available at www.swgdrug.org.

ASCLD/LAB International: **Estimating Uncertainty of Measurement Policy** (AL-PD-3060) (Garner, North Carolina:ASCLD/LAB, 2013). Available at www.asclclab.org

ASCLD/LAB International: **Guidance on the Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty – Annex B Drug Chemistry Discipline Three Examples – Weight, Volume and Purity Determination** (AL-PD-3063) (Garner, North Carolina:ASCLD/LAB, 2013). Available at www.asclclab.org

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

8 DRUG ANALYSIS NOTES

Scope

- The following is a collection of notes on the analysis of selected drugs. Included in this section are general physical and chemical properties and extraction techniques used by the Austin Police Department Chemistry Section. This section is not exhaustive as it is not possible to anticipate every situation that may arise or to prescribe a specific course of action for every case; therefore, the examiner must exercise good judgment based on experience and common sense.

Extraction Techniques

Dry Extraction

- Dry extraction is the use of organic solvents to isolate or remove substances based on their Solubility. This technique can be very useful and must not be overlooked. Dry extraction can be versatile in that a sample may be changed in form to make it more suitable for using this technique. For example, a drug may be converted to its base form, to a salt form, or to a different salt form in order to obtain the desired solubility or insolubility of a substance for dry extraction. Dry extraction is most useful when:
 - A single drug is present and the drug is soluble in an organic solvent while the excipients are not.
 - The drug of interest is soluble in an organic solvent while other drugs and excipients are not.
 - The contaminant(s) is soluble in an organic solvent while the drug of interest is not.

Immiscible Solvent Extraction

- This is the most common extraction method used by drug analysts. Mixtures of substances are isolated or separated according to their acidic or basic nature using the proper pH and an organic solvent such as chloroform, hexane, or ether.

Extraction of Acidic Drugs

- Acidic drugs will extract from aqueous acidic solutions into organic solvents and from organic solvents into aqueous alkaline (basic) solutions. e.g.:
 1. Add 0.2 N H₂SO₄ to separatory funnel or test tube.
 2. Add sample and shake to dissolve.
 3. Add an immiscible organic solvent and shake. Drain or transfer solvent layer into another separatory funnel, test tube, or evaporation dish.
 4. The solvent can now be evaporated for IR or GC/MS analysis or can be reconstituted into an acidic solution such as 0.2 N H₂SO₄ for UV analysis.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Extraction of Basic Drugs

- Basic drugs will extract from alkaline (basic) aqueous solutions into an immiscible organic solvent and from immiscible organic solvent into acidic solutions.
 1. Add 0.2 N H₂SO₄ to separatory funnel or test tube.
 2. Add sample and shake to dissolve.
 3. Make basic with aqueous base, such as concentrated NaOH.
 4. Add an immiscible organic solvent and shake. Drain or transfer solvent layer into another separatory funnel, test tube, or evaporation dish.
 5. The solvent can now be evaporated for IR analysis or can be extracted to and acid solution such as 0.2 N H₂SO₄ for UV analysis.

Extraction of Neutral Drugs

- Neutral drugs are usually more soluble in organic solvents than aqueous solutions.
- Neutral drugs will usually extract from acidic, alkaline, or neutral solutions into chloroform or ether.

Common Contaminants

- Most drug samples require purification prior to instrumental analysis. Even when chromatographic techniques are used, it is sometimes desirable to isolate or concentrate the compound of interest. The removal of contaminants will greatly facilitate the identification of the controlled substance.
 - **Nicotinamide**
 - Nicotinamide is not very soluble in hexane and can be removed from basic drugs by one or more extractions as follows:
 - Base → Hexane → H₂SO₄
 - **Acetaminophen**
 - Acetaminophen is not very soluble in dilute H₂SO₄ and can be removed from basic drugs as follows:
 - Sample + Base → CHCl₃ (water wash if necessary) → 0.2 N H₂SO₄
 - **Aspirin**
 - Aspirin extracts well from acidic solutions and very slightly from basic solutions.
 - Separation of a basic drug from aspirin:
 - Sample + 1 M Na₂CO₃ → CHCl₃ → 3 NaHCO₃ washes
 - This will move the aspirin to the NaHCO₃, leaving basic drugs in the CHCl₃.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**


- Alternate method:
 - Sample + 0.2N H₂SO₄ → 3-4 CHCl₃ washes
 - Basic drugs will remain in the acidic solution while the aspirin is removed by the CHCl₃ washes.
- Separation of an acid drug from aspirin:
 - Sample + 0.2 N H₂SO₄ → CHCl₃ → NaHCO₃ washes
 - Strongly acidic drugs, such as aspirin, can be washed from CHCl₃ with 2-3 bicarbonate washes. Weak acidic drugs will remain in CHCl₃ and may be extracted with 0.1 N NaOH if desired.

➤ **Caffeine**

- Caffeine is a neutral drug that will extract from acidic, basic or neutral aqueous solutions with chloroform.
- Caffeine is only slightly soluble in Hexane.
- Caffeine can be removed with water from hexane or chloroform.

Amphetamine

- **Drug Name** - Amphetamine --- Common Names - Speed, Crank, Go-Fast
- **Chemical Formulas**
 - Empirical - C₉H₁₃
- **Molecular Weight** - 135



Amphetamine
CAS 300-62-9

- **Properties**
 - Color - White to brown.
 - Form - Powder, liquid, sticky paste, etc.
 - Solubility

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Free Base - Slightly soluble in water. Soluble in alcohol, ether, chloroform, and acids.
- Hydrochloride - Soluble in water, alcohol, and chloroform.
- Sulfate - Soluble in water. Slightly soluble in alcohol.
- **Analysis Procedure**
 - Color Tests
 - Marquis - Orange to orange-brown color; immediate reaction.
 - Sodium Nitroprusside Test – Negative
 - UV Analysis
 - Direct in 0.2 N H₂SO₄.
 - Extracted: Sample + Base → CHCl₃ → 0.2 N H₂SO₄
 - TLC (when necessary)
Same as methamphetamine.
 - GC/MS Analysis
 - Direct in CHCl₃
 - Extracted: Sample + Base → CHCl₃
 - IR Analysis
 - Sample can be run direct using:
 - ATR
 - Mixed with KBr in drift cell
 - Isolate the amphetamine base or amphetamine salt for IR analysis by extracting the sample as follows:
 - Extracted: Sample + Base → CHCl₃ → evaporate under low heat for amphetamine base oil.
 - Place oil on KBr Salt cell or direct on ATR
 - The CHCl₃ from the above extraction is filtered into an evaporation dish and HCl bubbled in.
 - Amphetamine HCl is hygroscopic, therefore it is usually best to bubble HCl gas through CHCl₃ to convert to HCl salt rather than adding HCl as liquid.
 - Place salt on KBr Salt cell or direct on ATR.

BZP Derivatization with TMS

Purpose

- To establish a method for derivatization and subsequent characterization of N-Benzylpiperazine via GC-MS.
- TMS derivatization is known to react with amines and hydroxyls to produce different ion fragmentation pattern for identification.
- Bistrifluoroacetamine with 1% Trimethylchlorosilane is a derivatizing agent capable of forming stable trimethylsilyl adducts to hydroxyl groups and primary and secondary amines. By using it as a form of sample preparation for analysis of

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**


solid state drugs by GC-MS, it is possible to create two different uniquely identifiable mass spectra for the same compound.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents


- Pyridine ACS Reagent >99% SIGMA [CAS 110-86-1]
- N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide with trimethylchlorosilane (BSTFA TMCS) Fluka [CAS 25561-30-2]
- GC vials
- Chloroform ACS grade [CAS67-66-3]

Procedure


1. Extract BZP tablet base to chloroform.
2. Place the chloroform layer from step 1 into a GC-MS vial.
3. Add to vial approximately 0.25 to 0.5 mL of BSTFA-TMCS reagent and 200 μ L of pyridine. Cap tightly, mix, and allow to react for 15 minutes.
4. Inject into GC-MS using methods APDLOW or APDSLOW.



AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL




From Maurer, Microgram Journal, Volume 2, Numbers 1-4, January-December 2004




BZP standard (APD Lot# 06252010) derivatized with BSTFA-TMCS

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Derivatization reaction of TFMPP with BSTFA-TMCS




Since most BZP tablets contain TFMPP, the BZP-TCMS derivatizing reagent also reacts with the active hydrogen on TFMPP as well.



From Maurer, Microgram Journal, Volume 2, Numbers 1-4, January-December 2004

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**



TFMPP standard (Sigma 102K3678) derivatized with BSTFA-TMCS

The TFMPP derivatives do not give unique, identifiable mass spectra, so retention time will be necessary to determine which TFMPP has been identified (1,2; 1,3; 1,4).

References

- Maurer; Microgram Journal, Volume 2; Numbers 1-4, January-December 2004
<http://www.justice.gov/dea/programs/forensicsci/microgram/journal2004/page22.html>
- Pierce Handbook for Derivatization for GC

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

BZP Derivatization with Trifluoroacetyl

Purpose

- To establish a method for derivatization and subsequent characterization of N-Benzylpiperazine via GC-MS.
- Trifluoroacetic anhydride is a derivatizing agent capable of forming stable trifluoroacetyl adducts to hydroxyl groups and primary and secondary amines. By using it as a form of sample preparation for analysis of solid state drugs by GC-MS, it is possible to create different mass spectra for compounds that would normally give similar mass spectra (ex: methamphetamine and phentermine).


Equipment, Materials, and Reagents

- Pyridine ACS Reagent >99% SIGMA [CAS 110-86-1]
- Trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) Fluka [CAS 407-25-0]
- GC vials
- Chloroform ACS grade [CAS67-66-3]

Procedure

1. Place 1-2 mg of the sample to be analyzed into a GC-MS vial and cap it tight.
2. Using a syringe, add approximately 200 μ L of TFAA and 100 μ L of pyridine to the vial.
3. Mix slightly and allow solution to react for 15 minutes.
4. Add chloroform to the vial.
5. Take the derivatized substance in chloroform and wash with equal volume of dilute base (pH 10 or greater) and place chloroform in a fresh GC vial to be injected. [this step is used to neutralize any leftover TFAA- to avoid column bleed]
6. Inject into GC-MS using APDAUTO on the 15m columns or APDLOW on the 20m column.


AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL



From reference #1

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

File :D:\Chris\2010\BZP-TFA.D
Operator :
Acquired : 24 Feb 2010 10:51 using AcqMethod APDL0W.M
Instrument : US 70820483
Sample Name: BZP-TFA derivative
Misc Info : CKiyak 6524
Vial Number: 88



**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

References


- Heegel and Trigg; JCLIC vol. 18(3); p. 9-16
- Pierce Handbook Guide to Derivatization Reagents for GC
- Gan et. al.; J. Forensic Sci.; vol 36(5); p. 1331-1341

Cannabinoids-Synthetic

- Contained in herbal incense products or a powder.
- **Drug Names** --- Common Names – JWH, CP, and WIN series. UR-144, XLR.
- **Chemical Formulas**
 - They mimic the actions or have similar structure to THC, naphthoylindoles, benzoylindoles, phenylacetylindoles
- **Analysis Procedure**
 - Use a non-polar solvent to extract plant materials for instrumental analysis.
 - Mixtures of synthetic cannabinoids are extremely difficult to separate for UV or IR analysis due to similar chemical properties.

Cocaine

- **Drug Name** - Cocaine--- Common Names - Coke, Snow, Crack, Rock, Free Base
- **Chemical Formulas:**
 - Empirical - $C_{17}H_{21}NO_4$



- Molecular Weight – 303

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- **Properties**

- Color – White, off-white, or cream colored.
- Form – Powder, compressed powder bricks, waxy chunks (rocks)
- Solubility
 - Free base: Soluble in alcohol, acetone, chloroform, ether, hexane, and acids; Insoluble in water.
 - Hydrochloride: Soluble in water, alcohol, chloroform; slightly soluble in acetone; practically insoluble in ether and hexane.

- **Analysis Procedure**

- Color Tests
 - Marquis: No color change.
 - Cobalt Thiocyanate
 - Immediate blue – Cocaine HCl
 - Blue after adding conc. H_2SO_4 – Cocaine base
- UV Analysis
 - Run sample direct in 0.2N H_2SO_4 solution.
 - Dilute sample approximately 10:1 with additional H_2SO_4 and run UV again to obtain absorbance at 233.
 - Overlay scans and compare results with standard spectra.
 - If contaminants are present, perform basic extraction.
 - Run UV on H_2SO_4 from extraction direct and dilute.
- GC/MS Analysis
 - Place small amount of sample in vial.
 - Add approximately 1 ml of $CHCl_3$ or Hexane, mix well to dissolve.
 - Inject 1 μ l of solvent into GC/MS.
 - Compare data to standard spectra.
- IR Analysis
 - Place sample on IR.
 - Compare spectra to standard spectra.
 - If contaminants are present, perform extraction.
 - Place crystallized sample from extraction on IR.

- **Cocaine Cleanup**

- Dry Extraction Cocaine HCl
 - Acetone wash removes Benzocaine and Lidocaine from sample.
 - Hexane wash removes impurities from sample.
 - Chloroform extract leaves behind impurities like sugars in filter.
- Dry Extraction Cocaine base
 - Hexane extraction leaves behind Procaine.
 - $CHCl_3$ extract removes excess water from sample.
- Cobalt Thiocyanate Extraction
 - Place sample in a test tube and add 10 to 15 drops of CoSCN reagent.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Add 3-5 drops of conc. HCl. Blue precipitant should form.
- Extract solution twice with small volume of CHCl_3 .
- Wash CHCl_3 with base
- Split CHCl_3 into two portions,
- To one portion extract with acid for UV
- Second portion place in GC/MS vial for analysis or take to dryness for FTIR

- KMnO_4 Extraction
 - Dissolve sample in 0.2N H_2SO_4 .
 - Add dropwise 1% KMnO_4 to acid until solution remains pink.
 - Make solution basic (NaOH) and extract with Hexane or CHCl_3 .
 - Wash solvent 3 times with water.

- **Conversion of Cocaine HCl to Cocaine Base**
 - Add equal parts of cocaine HCl and sodium bicarbonate in a beaker.
 - Add enough water to dissolve all powder.
 - Heat to near boiling.
 - Cocaine base will be an oil on top.
 - Remove from heat and allow cooling.
 - Liquefied cocaine base will sink to the bottom.
 - Decant the water mixture while still warm. If left to cool, you will not be able to get the "cookie" out.
 - Transfer cocaine "cookie" onto filter paper.
 - Cut into rock size pieces while damp.
 - Place Cocaine rocks onto filter paper to finish drying.

Codeine Cough Syrup

- **Analysis Procedure**
 - **METHOD 1:** (G. Harbison June 2004)
 - Place 2 drops of syrup in GC-MS vial and add CHCl_3 , seal vial and shake
 - Analyze on GC-MS
 - If extraction is necessary:
 1. Place approx. 1 ml of syrup in a clean test tube (use more if dilute).
 2. Make the solution acidic with 0.2 N H_2SO_4
 3. Wash with CHCl_3
 - Keep the CHCl_3 layer for extraction later
 4. Make the aqueous layer basic with Conc. NaOH and extract with CHCl_3 . The organic layer is suitable for GC-MS and contains Codeine.
 5. Extract the remaining CHCl_3 with 0.2 N H_2SO_4
 - Analyze acid on UV.
 - If the UV or the GC-MS shows the presence of Caffeine, wash with CHCl_3 and re-run the UV.
 6. Analyze a portion of the CHCl_3 from Step 3 on GC/MS
 - Should contain methylparaben and Promethazine
 7. Evaporate the CHCl_3 from Step 3

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- The CHCl_3 contains the Promethazine
- 8. Reconstitute the residue with 0.2 N H_2SO_4
- 9. Wash the acid with Diethyl Ether (removes methyl and propylparaben)
 - Analyze the acid on UV
 - If the UV or the GC-MS shows the presence of Caffeine, wash with CHCl_3 and re-run the UV.
- 10. Compare the retention times from the GC, the spectra from the UV and from the MS to known standards.

➤ **METHOD 2:** (D.L. Stephens June 2004)

- GC/MS Analysis:
 - Undiluted Samples: Place 2 drops of sample in a sample vial, add 2 drops of base (NaOH or Na_2CO_3), and then add CHCl_3 . Mix contents prior to analysis on GC/MS.
 - Diluted Samples: Fill Autosampler vial approximately half full with sample. Add 2 drops of base (NaOH or Na_2CO_3), and then add enough CHCl_3 to fill the bottom quarter volume of the vial with solvent.
- UV Analysis:
 - Extract two portions of Sample to obtain spectra for both Codeine and Promethazine.
 - Codeine Extraction: Add a portion of sample to a test tube and make basic with Na_2CO_3 . Extract into CHCl_3 . Discard the upper aqueous layer. Add 0.2N H_2SO_4 and extract sample into upper acid layer for UV analysis.
 - Promethazine Extraction: Add a portion of sample to a test tube and make acidic with 0.2N H_2SO_4 . Extract into CHCl_3 . Discard the upper aqueous layer. Add 0.2N H_2SO_4 and extract sample into upper acid layer for UV analysis.

➤ **METHOD 3:** (G Rodriguez June 2004)

- If the sample appears to be cough syrup:
 - GC/MS - Analyze the sample direct in CHCl_3
 - UV - Analyze the sample direct in acid and pH shift
- UV Extraction for Codeine:
 - Dilute the sample in acid.
 - Make the sample basic and extract with Hexane.
 - Wash the Hexane with water.
 - Extract the Hexane with acid to recover the Codeine.
 - Extract basic solution with CHCl_3
 - Extract CHCl_3 with acid to recover Promethazine
- If the sample appears to be in carbonated drink or neutral solution:
- Sample extraction for GC/MS:
 - If sample is in an acidic solution, wash with CHCl_3 , then make acidic solution basic and extract with CHCl_3 .
 - Run both CHCl_3 wash and CHCl_3 extract samples
 - (acid → CHCl_3 wash will contain methylparaben, propylparaben and promethazine)
- UV: direct in acid and extracted - see above

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Dihydrocodeinone (Hydrocodone)

- **Analysis Procedure**

- If there are pharmaceutical markings on the tablet:
 - Reference the source for pharmaceutical identification.
 - Analyze on UV to identify peak for Acetaminophen.
 - Analyze sample plus Na₂CO₃ in CHCl₃ on GC/MS to show Acetaminophen and Dihydrocodeinone. (Strong base will remove acetaminophen)
 - Extract tablet to obtain UV of Dihydrocodeinone or
 - Obtain GC retention of Dihydrocodeinone
 - Use the appropriate footnote in report.
- If the sample is a powder, or the pharmaceutical markings are not identified:
 - Analyze on UV to identify peak for Acetaminophen.
 - Analyze sample plus Na₂CO₃ in CHCl₃ on GC/MS to show Acetaminophen and Dihydrocodeinone. (Strong base will remove acetaminophen)
 - Obtain GC retention time for Dihydrocodeinone
 - Dissolve the sample in 0.2 N H₂SO₄.
 - Make basic with NaOH extract to Hexane to acid for UV of Dihydrocodeinone.

Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate (GHB)


- **Analysis Procedure**

- Color Tests
 - Chlorophenol Red and Modified Schweppe's reagent procedure
 1. Mix both Chlorophenol Red and Modified Schweppe's reagent together (3:1).
 2. Add 0.5 ml liquid or a small amount of powder to test tube.
 3. Check pH of liquid and adjust to 5-8 if necessary.
 4. Add 2 drops of the mixed reagent and gently swirl.
 5. An immediate red color indicates the presence of GHB.
 6. Weaker solutions will be from orange to red.
 7. No color change is negative
- Analysis of GHB by TCMS Derivatization
 - Materials:
 - Generic oil for oil bath
 - Chloroform (ACS Grade)
 - N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide with 1% trimethylchlorosilane [CAS25561-30-2]
 - Procedure:
 1. Place 1 mg of dried GHB salt (any salt form) in autosampler vial and add 0.05-0.1 cc (use syringe) of the BSTFA with 1% TCMS derivatizing agent.
 2. Place in an oil bath (50 70 C) for 30 minutes.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

3. Add solvent to vial and inject into GC/MS using GHB method. (NOTE: Chloroform preferred, do not use any solvent with hydroxyl or amine groups)


- o Reference Spectra:



From JCLIC- Volume 3 Number 3- Bommarito (1993)

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

From JCLIC- Volume 3 Number 3- Bommarito (1993)



From JCLIC- Volume 12, Number 1- Rees, et.al. (2002)

- Analysis of GHB in water:
 - Wash the water with CHCl_3 . GBL will extract into CHCl_3 and may be analyzed by GC/MS or evaporated for FTIR.
 - Dry the aqueous layer by adding Ethanol and place on heating block until dissolved.
 - Filter and cool, then refrigerate. Crystals will form.
 - Filter crystals and wash with hexane and dry. Crystalize again if necessary.
 - Analyze direct on FTIR and GC/MS. Note: GHB converts to GBL in the injection port and will only give the mass spectrum of GBL.
- Synthesis of GHB from Gamma-Butyrolactone (GBL):
 - As a clear liquid, GBL is odorless and appears to have the approximate density of water.
 - Dissolve 60 grams of NaOH in 875 ml of 95% Ethanol
 - Add 120 ml of GBL
 - Allow to stand. Crystals will form. No heat is needed.
 - Filter the GHB crystals, refrigerate the Ethanol and filter again.
- Analysis of GBL or 1,4-Butanediol:
 - Analyze direct in CHCl_3 using a low temperature method on the GC/MS. (40° starting temperature, 35°/minute ramp to 290°).
 - Analyze direct for FTIR. If spectrum is weak, heat to remove some of the water and retest.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**


Literature References

- Meyers, Almirall. *Journal of Forensic Science* 2005; 50(1): 31-36
- Rees, et al. *JCLIC* 2002; 12(1): 17-25
- Bommarito, *JCLIC* 1993; 3(3): 10-13

Heroin

- **Drug Name**-Heroin, Diacetylmorphine--- Common Name -H, Smack, Junk
- **Chemical Formulas:**

- Empirical - $C_{21}H_{23}NO_5$



Heroin (diacetylmorphine)
CAS 561-27-3

- Molecular Weight - 369

- **Properties**

- Color - From light brown to dark brown or black.
- Form - Powder; hard, rock-like; gummy or pliable mass.
- Odor - Usually has acetic acid odor.
- Solubility:
 - Free Base: Soluble in chloroform, ether, and alcohol.
 - Hydrochloride: Soluble in water, alcohol, and chloroform. Insoluble in ether.

- **Procedure**

- Color Tests
 - Marquis: Purple; slow reaction <30 seconds for color to develop.
- UV Analysis
 - Qualitative
 - Run sample direct in 0.2 N H₂SO₄ to obtain 278 absorbance.
 - Make solution basic and run UV again to obtain pH shift, if desired.
- TLC
 - TLC System - T1
 - Location Reagent - acidified iodoplatinate

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- GC/MS Analysis:
 - Direct Method
 - Place sample in vial and add morphine solvent to dissolve.
 - Inject into GC/MS
 - Perform library searches and compare to standard MS data.
 - Print copy of spectra data for case file.
 - Extracted Method:
 - Take a sample and place in vial, add 2 drops of weak base and add chloroform.
 - Cap vial and shake, Run on GC-MS
 - Alternate method:
 - If heroin quantitation is performed, the extracted sample for UV analysis may be utilized for GC/MS.
 - Take the H₂SO₄ solution containing sample and make basic.
 - Extract with 0.5 to 1 ml of morphine solvent and inject solvent into GC/MS.
- IR Analysis
 - Heroin extraction for IR analysis is the same as described under UV quantitation and GC/MS (Extracted Method). The basic steps are:
 - Sample + 10% HCl → Mor. Sol. → 0.2 N H₂SO₄
Then: H₂SO₄ + NaHCO₃ → Morphine Solvent
 - Evaporate the solvent to dryness on hotplate (low heat) with warm air from heat gun to speed up the process.
 - Allow dish to cool. Residue should begin to crystallize on cooling.
 - Scrape residue from dish and make KBr pellet. Run IR and compare results to standard spectra.

Iodine Identification

Scope

- To establish analytical procedures for the identification of Iodine.

Safety

- Material Safety Data sheets are available in the laboratory if additional information is needed about any of the chemicals used in the analytical procedure.

Procedure

- Color Test using Starch
 - Equipment, Materials and Reagents:
 - Soluble starch solution. Canned laundry spray starch will work.
 - Cotton swabs and/or filter paper.
 - Other equipment and supplies as needed.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Procedure:
 - If the sample is solid crystals, place some on the filter paper or swab the container with a clean cotton swab and spray with the starch.
 - If the sample is a stain cut a small piece and place on the filter paper or swab the area with a clean cotton swab and spray with the spray starch.
- Interpretation
 - Observance of a blue color is indicative of the presence of Iodine.
- This method was validated with the following chemicals:
 - Nicotinamide (Aldrich lot 03913PS) **No Reaction**
 - Sodium Fluoride (JT Baker lot 247090) **No Reaction**
 - Potassium Permanganate (JT Baker lot V14627) **Purple**
 - Sodium Chloride (General Lab Supply lot 326809) **No Reaction**
 - Inositol (Aldrich lot 08519BF) **No Reaction**
 - Sodium (meta) Periodate (Fisher lot 865876) **No Reaction**
 - Ephedrine HCl (Aldrich lot 04128BR) **No Reaction**
 - Pseudoephedrine HCl (Aldrich lot 04003MQ) **No Reaction**
 - Potassium Iodide (JT Baker lot 41398) **No Reaction**
 - Potassium Bromide (EMD Chemicals lot 44240506) **No Reaction**
 - Methamphetamine HCl (Sigma 78F0325) **No Reaction**
 - Methamphetamine base (from the HCl above) **No Reaction**
 - Sodium Hydroxide (Sigma 119H0169) **No Reaction**
 - Red Phosphorus (Aldrich 02930PV) **No Reaction**
 - Iodine (JT Baker lot 401874) **Blue**
- UV Analysis
 - Procedure:
 - A sample is placed in a volumetric flask. Chloroform is added to make a dilution to about 0.2 mg/ml.
 - Repeat previous step using methanol.
 - Place a sample of each in a clean quartz UV cell.
 - Scan from 400–700 nm (chloroform is 511 nm and methanol is 443 nm).
 - Interpretation:
 - Compare to a traceable commercial iodine standard.
- GC/MS ANALYSIS
 - Equipment, Materials and Reagents:
 - Disposable MS vials and caps
 - Disposable test tubes with caps
 - 3N NaOH
 - Acetone
 - Chloroform
 - Ethanol (absolute)
 - Red Phosphorus
 - Direct Method: (Note: this method is very destructive to the injection syringe.)
 - Place a spatula tip of sample in a MS vial.
 - Fill with CHCl_3 and cap.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Analyze by GC/MS using a low temperature (35 – 300 degree) program.
- Iodoform Reaction Method:
 - Place one spatula tip of sample in a test tube.
 - Add a few drops of Acetone.
 - Add 3N NaOH drop wise until clear yellow.
 - Extract with chloroform.
 - Analyze by GC/MS using a normal temperature (70 – 300 degree) program.
- Iodoethane (ethyl iodide) Reaction Method: (Note: The sample in step 1 can be replaced with a sample of a reaction mixture and omit adding the red phosphorus (step 3).
 1. Place about 75 mg of sample in a clean MS vial.
 2. Add just enough absolute ethanol to cover the sample (about 3 drops).
 3. Add about 5 mg of Red Phosphorus then cap the vial.
 4. Allow over night reaction at room temperature.
 5. Analyze a sample of the headspace by GC/MS using a 40 degree isothermal program with no solvent delay.
- Interpretation:
 - Compare to a traceable commercial or in-house standards

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- Skoog, Douglas A. and West, Donald M. *Analytical Chemistry*. 3rd Edition New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1979. Page 333 – 334.
- Jim Schieferecke B.S. *GC/MS Identification of Iodine – Part Two*.
- *Microgram*, Volume XXXIV, No. 5, May 2001. Pages 112 – 117.

Lisdexamphetamine Dimesylate


- **Drug name:** Lisdexamphetamine dimesylate is the active ingredient in an ADHD drug which was approved by FDA in February of 2007. Trade name is “Vyvanse” and manufactured by New River Pharmaceutical, Inc and distributed by Shire Pharmaceutical. It is a Federal Schedule II drug. Tablets contain 30mg, 50mg or 70mg
- **Chemical Formula**
 - Empirical: $C_{12}H_{25}N_3O [SCH_4O_3]_2$
 - M.W. = 455.60
- **Analysis Procedure**
 - Color Test:
 - Marquis: burnt orange
 - Liebermann's: orange
 - Sodium Nitroprusside: negative
 - Acidified Cobalt thiocyanate: negative
 - GC/MS:

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- extract with methanol
 - See Designer Drug Binder: Analytical profile of Lisdexamphetamine dimesylate for reference spectra
- FTIR:
- Direct in ATR
 - See Designer Drug Binder: Analytical profile of Lisdexamphetamine dimesylate for reference spectra.

Lysergic Acid Diethylamide (LSD)

- **Drug Name** - Lysergic Acid Diethylamide --- Common Names - LSD, Acid
- **Chemical Formulas**
 - Empirical - $C_{20}H_{25}N_3O$



- Molecular Weight – 323
- **Properties**
 - Color - N/A
 - Form - usually soaked onto perforated paper with printed designs, drawings, etc.
Also found on candy that is tightly sealed with foil
 - Solubility:
 - Free Base: Soluble in alcohol, $CHCl_3$, and ether.
 - Tartrate: Soluble in dilute acids.
- **Analysis Procedure**
 - Presumptive tests:
 - p-DMABA Test: Purple color, slow reaction < 30 seconds.
 - LSD fluoresces under UV light

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- UV Analysis
 - Procedure:
 - Soak one or more hits in a known volume of 0.2 N H₂SO₄ for several minutes.
 - Remove carrier, squeezing solution out with applicator.
 - Scan solution on UV spectrophotometer from 370-220 nm.
 - Compare absorption spectra with standard spectra.
 - By using "Peak Pick" (F4) function, the absorbance value for the wavelength will be displayed.

- TLC (when necessary)
 - The following TLC systems are recommended for LSD:
18:1, 9:2, or 2:1 solvent system as found in Clark
 - Location Technique
 - UV light
 - p-DMABA Spray - This visualization spray is used after UV light exam.


- GC/MS Analysis
 - Elute LSD from media by soaking 5-10 min. in 0.2 N H₂SO₄. Usually 50-100 µgm of LSD is required for GC/MS. Remove paper, squeezing solution from paper with applicator.
 - Blank acid solution is to processed in same manner as sample
 - Place solution in centrifuge tube and make basic with 1M Na₂CO₃.
 - Add 3-4 drops of CHCl₃ and shake.
 - Centrifuge to force CHCl₃ to bottom.
 - Using GC/MS method for LSD, inject several µl of CHCl₃ (>5µl).

- IR Analysis (Not usually performed)
 - Elute LSD from media by soaking 5-10 min. in 0.2 N H₂SO₄. Usually 500-1000 µgm of LSD is required for IR analysis. Remove paper, squeezing solution from paper with applicator.
 - Wash H₂SO₄ solution once with CHCl₃, discarding CHCl₃ in waste solvent container.
 - Make solution basic with Na₂CO₃ and extract with CHCl₃.
 - Filter CHCl₃ into an evaporating dish and evaporate in fume hood using warm air from heat gun to speed up process.
 - Add KBr to dish and "scratch" with spatula to mix LSD residue with KBr.
 - Run KBr as background, then run sample mixed in KBr

Methamphetamine

- **Drug Name** – Methamphetamine – Common Names – Speed, Crank, Go-fast
- **Chemical Formulas**
 - Empirical – C₁₀H₁₅N (CAS: 537-46-2)

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**



- Molecular Weight – 149

- **Properties**

- Color – White, yellowish, brown, etc.
- Form – Powder, liquid, sticky paste, etc.
- Solubility
 - Free Base: Soluble in alcohol, ether, chloroform, hexane, and acids
 - Hydrochloride: Soluble in water, alcohol, chloroform, and acids. Slightly soluble in acetone. Insoluble in ether and hexane.

- **Analysis Procedure**

- Color Tests
 - Marquis: Orange to orange-brown color; immediate reaction.
 - Sodium Nitroprusside: Deep blue color; immediate reaction.
- UV Analysis
 - Run sample direct in 0.2N H₂SO₄ solution
 - If sample appears clean, add a few drops of conc. NaOH for pH shift
 - Compare results to standard spectra
 - If contaminants are present, perform extraction.
- GC/MS Analysis
 - Place small amount of sample to vial.
 - Add 2 drops of 1M Na₂CO₃.
 - 1 to 2 ml of CHCl₃ or Hexane, cap and mix well.
 - Inject solvent layer into GC/MS.
 - Compare data to standard spectra.
- IR Analysis
 - Place sample on IR.
 - Compare spectra to standard spectra.
 - If contaminants are present, perform extraction.
 - Run IR on crystallized sample from extraction.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Methamphetamine Cleanup
 - Dry Extraction:
 - Acetone wash will remove impurities from Methamphetamine HCl for IR analysis, even though Methamphetamine is slightly soluble in acetone.
 - Conway Diffusion:
 - Diffusion cleans up methamphetamine for UV analysis.

Methamphetamine and MDMA Separation Techniques for UV Analysis

Scope

- A procedure for preparation and analysis of samples with both methamphetamine and MDMA. MDMA absorbs UV radiation about 15 times stronger than methamphetamine. Because of this, obtaining a UV of methamphetamine in a mixture with MDMA is difficult at best. Potassium permanganate is used to remove the MDMA so that the UV spectrum of methamphetamine can be obtained.

Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- Disposable test tubes
- Disposable pipettes
- GC-MS vials with crimp caps
- Quartz cuvette for UV analysis
- Reagents:
 - 0.2 N H₂SO₄
 - Conc. NaOH [40% aqueous]
 - CHCl₃
 - 1 M Na₂CO₃
 - 10% KMnO₄ [10% aqueous solution]
 - Hexane

Procedure

- Place approximately 10 – 15 mg of the sample in about 2 ml acid. Scan with UV (dilute if necessary). MDMA UV is obtained. (If the sample contains Caffeine, wash the acid with Chloroform and repeat)
- To the acid mixture, add 1 drops of 10% KMnO₄ at a time until pink or purple color persists. Make this solution basic with conc. NaOH and extract with hexane.
- Extract the hexane portion with 0.2 N H₂SO₄. Scan the acid portion with UV and a UV spectrum of methamphetamine is obtained. (If the methamphetamine is very weak, increase sample size)

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Methcathinone and analogues

- **Properties:**
 - Color: White to cream powder
 - Solubility: Non-polar solvent

- **Analysis:**
 - Mixtures of methcathinones are difficult to separate for UV and IR analysis due to similar chemical properties.

 - Color Tests
 - Marquis: Yellow color; immediate reaction for 3,4-Methylenedioxymethcathinone(MDMC), 3,4-Methylenedioxypyrovalerone(MDPV), and Butylone.

 - UV Analysis for MDPV
 - Run sample direct in methanol (abs at 233, 282, and 315)
 - If contaminants are present, perform extraction.

 - GC/MS Analysis
 - Place small amount of sample to vial.
 - Add 2 drops of 1M Na₂CO₃.
 - 1 to 2 ml of CHCl₃ or Hexane, cap and mix well.
 - Inject solvent layer into GC/MS.

 - IR Analysis
 - Place sample on IR.
 - Compare spectra to standard spectra.
 - If contaminants are present, perform extraction.
 - Run IR on crystallized sample from extraction.

Literature Reference

- *The Characterization of 3,4-Methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV)*, Microgram Journal, Volume 7, Number 1 (March 2010)
- *Color Tests for the Preliminary Identification of Methcathinone and Analogues of Methcathinone*, Microgram Journal, Volume 9, Number 1

Morphine Tablets

- **Extraction Method:**
 - Grind the tablets with Na₂CO₃
 - Dry in the oven
 - Add a small amount of morphine solvent (CHCl₃:Isobutanol, 80:20).
 - Perform GC/MS analysis
 - Evaporate solvent and reconstitute in 0.2N H₂SO₄ for UV analysis.

- **Direct Method:**

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Grind the tablet and dry extract the powder with CHCl_3 .
- Filter and perform GC/MS analysis.
- Evaporate solvent and reconstitute in 0.2N H_2SO_4 for UV analysis

N-Hydroxy-3, 4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine

- N-hydroxy MDA tends to undergo pyrolytic disproportionation in the heated injection port of the GC/MS resulting in oxidation of the N-hydroxy MDA molecule to 3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl-2-propanone-2-oxime (MDP-2-P oxime) coupled with the reduction of N-hydroxy MDA to 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA).
- This disproportionation phenomenon could result in the misidentification of N-hydroxy MDA as MDA, since this controlled substance alone is detected in routine GC/MS analysis.
- Sample Preparation:
 - Small amount of sample is added to the sample vial
 - Add appropriate organic solvent (Chloroform)
 - Add one or two drops of base (Na_2CO_3)

Opium

Scope

- To establish a procedure for preparation and analysis of Morphine, Codeine and other constituents found in opium and opium poppies.
- **Properties:**
 - Botanical Information: Opium Poppy
 - Family: Papveraceae; Genus: Papaver; Species: Somniferum
 - Papaver somniferum is an annual that with brilliant white or red flowers growing on a central bulbous pod.
 - When scratched, the pod produces a milky latex called opium.
 - Chemical Properties
 - This latex contains a variety of opiates including codeine, morphine, noscapine, thebaine and papaverine.
 - Morphine is converted to Heroin
- **Equipment, Materials and Reagents**
 - Disposable test tubes
 - 0.2 N H_2SO_4
 - 1 M Sodium Carbonate
 - Conc. NaOH [40% aqueous solution]
 - Conc. sulfuric acid
 - CHCl_3
 - Morphine Solvent (isobutyl alcohol:chloroform, 20:80)
- **Analysis:**
 - Grind 3-4 grams of the dried bulbs (top) from the plant.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Cover with 0.2 N H₂SO₄ and heat for about an hour then cool to room temperature.
- Strain the mass through gauze and squeeze out excess.
- Filter this liquid if necessary to remove any plant material.
- Wash with CHCl₃ to remove acidic and neutral compounds.
- Discard the CHCl₃ layer.
- Make basic with NaOH and extract with CHCl₃
- Save CHCl₃ layer, it contains Codeine, Thebaine, Papaverine and other opiates.
- Take aqueous layer, make acidic with Conc. Sulfuric acid, and then carefully back titrate to a pH of 10 with 1 M sodium carbonate.
- Extract with Morphine Solvent
- Save solvent layer, it contains morphine.
- Combine CHCl₃ and solvent layers; then evaporate.
- Reconstitute with about 0.5-1 ml of CHCl₃.
- Analyze on GCMS.

- **Interpretation**

- Report as opium if morphine, codeine and at least one of the following alkaloids is detected: papaverin, noscapin or narceine.
- Can be reported as Codeine, Morphine and name of one of the other alkaloids detected with footnote "These are commonly detected constituents of opium"

Literature and Supporting Documentation

- "Basic Training Program for Forensic Drug Chemists". US Dept of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, pp. 6-95 through 6-96.

Pemoline

- Cylert tablets from Abbott Labs contain Pemoline.
- **Properties:**
 - Classification: Schedule 4, penalty group 3 controlled substance in Texas.
 - Solubility: Pemoline is practically insoluble in most solvents. However, soluble UV.
- **Procedure:**
 - One tablet is crushed and allowed to soak in 2-3 ml of ethanol overnight.
 - Filter the ethanol.
 - Take a sample for GC/MS via pulsed injection
 - Compare data and retention time to known standard.
 - Evaporate the remainder of CHCl₃ extract
 - Run sample on FTIR
 - Use DRIFTS or ATR attachment
 - If the GC/MS is unsuccessful, add a sample of the residue from the FTIR analysis in ethanol and reanalyze using GCMS pulsed injection mode.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

Peyote (Mescaline)

• **Properties**

- Scientific Name -- *Lophophora williamsii cactus*
- Active Ingredient - Mescaline
- Common Names - Peyote, Cactus, Buttons
- Chemical Formulas
 - Empirical - $C_{11}H_{17}NO_3$
 - Molecular Weight - 211
- Color - Green when fresh; brown when dried
- Form - Cactus plant
- Solubility
 - Free Base: Soluble in water, alcohol, $CHCl_3$. Almost insoluble in ether.
 - Sulfate: Soluble in hot water, methanol; sparingly soluble in cold water, alcohol
 - Hydrochloride: Soluble in water, alcohol.

• **Procedure:**

- Morphological Examination - Comparison of physical characteristics of plant material to literature descriptions, photographs, etc.
- Color Tests
 - Marquis - Orange; test peyote by cutting a thin slice of plant material and placing in spot plate well containing reagent.
 - Nitric Acid - Red; use same technique as described under Marquis test above.
- Mescaline Extraction
 - Cut peyote buttons into small pieces or slices. Use several grams of peyote if available.
 - Place cut peyote into a small beaker and soak in strong NaOH solution for 30 minutes. Use just enough solution to cover peyote. Very low heat may increase the quantity of mescaline recovered.
 - Filter NaOH solution through glass wool into a separatory funnel. Wash aqueous solution once with ether, discarding the ether.
 - Extract with $CHCl_3$, being careful to avoid an emulsion by shaking gently.
 - Discard the aqueous layer and extract $CHCl_3$ layer with 0.2 N H_2SO_4 .
- UV Analysis
 - Run UV scan on 0.2 N H_2SO_4 solution from above extraction.
 - A characteristic absorbance at 268 should be seen.
- TLC
 - If TLC is desired, take the 0.2 N H_2SO_4 from UV analysis, make basic, and extract to $CHCl_3$. Place $CHCl_3$ in evaporation dish and reduce volume on hot plate. Spot $CHCl_3$ on TLC plate beside mescaline standard.
 - Solvent Systems - T1, 18:1 listed in Clark.
 - Location Reagent - Acidified iodoplatinate


**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- GC/MS Analysis
 - Take H₂SO₄ solution (after UV analysis) and make basic. Extract to CHCl₃ and reduce volume.
- IR Analysis
 - Using the previously described extraction method for peyote, mescaline can be isolated for IR analysis.
 - Take 0.2 N H₂SO₄ solution of UV analysis and make basic with conc. NaOH. Extract solution with CHCl₃.
 - Place CHCl₃ into an evaporation dish. Add 1-2 drops of conc. HCl or bubble HCl gas through solvent to form mescaline HCl.
 - Evaporate to dryness on hot plate.

Phenylacetone (P2P)

- **Properties**

- Chemical Name – Phenylacetone, Phenyl-2-Propanone
- Common Names - P-2-P
- Chemical Formulas
 - Empirical - C₉H₁₀O



Phenyl-2-propanone (P2P)
CAS 103-79-7

- Molecular Weight – 134
- Color - Pale yellow to brown
- Form - Liquid
- Solubility - Soluble in most organic solvents. Insoluble in water.

- **Procedure**

- Color Tests - None
- UV Analysis
 - UV analysis of clandestine manufactured phenylacetone can be difficult due to contaminants. The information to be gained may not be worth the extractions required.


**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- First attempt attaining a reasonably clean UV spectra by adding a small amount of sample to 0.2 N H₂SO₄ or alcohol and making a scan.
- If UV spectra is not acceptable, extraction techniques must be explored.
- TLC - None
- GC/MS Analysis
 - Sample can usually be placed directly in a suitable solvent for injection. If P-2-P is concentrated, it should be diluted approximately one drop per 5-10 ml before injection.
 - Phenylacetone, being neutral, will extract from aqueous solutions, regardless of pH, to CHCl₃. When P-2-P is highly contaminated, it may be helpful to purify prior to injection. This can be accomplished by placing sample in CHCl₃ or hexane and washing organic layer with acidic, alkaline, and neutral solutions.
 - Inject solvent containing sample into GC/MS.
- IR Analysis
 - Clandestine manufactured phenylacetone will rarely yield acceptable IR spectra when run direct. The organic phase/aqueous phase washes as described in GC/MS analysis will sometimes yield acceptable IR spectra.
 - After washes, the organic layer is reduced to a low volume and the oily residue is run on IR.

Phencyclidine (PCP)

- **Properties**

- Chemical Name – Phencyclidine, 1(1-Phenylcyclohexyl)piperidine
- Chemical Formulas
 - Empirical – C₁₇H₂₅N, C₁₇H₂₅N•HCl



Phencyclidine (PCP)
CAS 77-10-1

- Molecular Weight – 243.4, 279.9
- CAS # 77-10-1, 956-90-1
- Color - Pale yellow to white
- Form – Liquid, Powder
- Solubility
 - Base form: Soluble in most organic solvents, very soluble in ether

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- HCl salt: Soluble in ethanol, chloroform and in water, very slightly soluble in ether

- **Procedure**
 - Color Tests – HCl salt form – p-Dimethylaminobenzaldehyde - red

 - UV Analysis
 - Ultraviolet Spectrum: Aqueous acid – 252nm, 258 nm, 263nm
 - Absorptivities for UV Quantitation 12.9 @ 262
 - UV analysis of clandestinely manufactured PCP is relatively easy
 1. Place a drop in 0.2 N H₂SO₄.
 2. Run scan from 340 to 210 nm
 3. Compare results to standard spectra.
 4. If results are acceptable, print spectra for case file.
 5. If UV spectra is not acceptable,
 6. Make basic and extract with chloroform
 7. Extract with 0.2 N H₂SO₄, and rerun sample

 - TLC
 - System: TA as listed in Clark
 - Developer: Acidified Iodoplatinate Solution

 - GC/MS Analysis
 - Liquid Sample can usually be placed directly in a suitable solvent for injection.
 - Solid sample should be placed in base, extract with Chloroform
 1. Inject solvent containing sample into GC/MS.
 2. Compare data and retention time to known standard.

 - IR Analysis
 - Solid sample use Drift attachment or ATR
 1. Run directly on IR
 2. If spectra is not acceptable, perform appropriate extraction technique and re-run.
 - Liquid Sample use Salt Cell for FTIR
 1. Run directly on IR
 2. If spectra is not acceptable, perform appropriate extraction technique and re-run

Red Phosphorus

- **Scope**

To establish a procedure for the identification of Red phosphorus samples.

- **Safety**
 - Always use Nitric acid in the fume hood.

- **Equipment, Materials and Reagents**
 - Materials:

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Disposable test tubes
- Disposable glass pipettes
- Glass wool
- GCMS vials and crimp caps
- Filter paper and funnels

- Acids:
 - Ammonium Molybdate
 - Conc. Nitric Acid
 - 0.2 N Sulfuric acid

- Solvents:
 - Chloroform
 - Acetone
 - Carbon Disulfide
 - Deionized H₂O

- Phosphorus Detection Kit, which contains Acid Molybdate Solution, Fiske & Subbarow Reducer Solution and Phosphorus Standard Solution
 - Quality test reagent with a known sample of phosphorus

- Bromine

- **Analysis**
 - Presumptive:
 1. Combine approximately 0.20 g sample, 1 ml 0.2 N Sulfuric acid, and 1 ml deionized H₂O.
 2. Mix and allow 2-3 minutes for reaction.
 3. Centrifuge the solution until a relatively clear liquid is present.
 4. In a separate test tube, combine 1 ml of the supernatant, 1.5 ml deionized H₂O, and 0.5 ml Acid Molybdate Solution.
 5. Mix the contents of the test tube.
 6. Add 4 drops Fiske & Subbarow Reducer Solution.
 7. Mix the contents by inversion and let stand.
 8. Record any observations.
 - Interpretation
 - A positive result for the presence of phosphorus is the formation of a pale blue color followed by a more intense royal blue color (+). (NOTE: Reaction may occur over a period of about ten minutes.)

 - FTIR Analysis
 1. If the Red phosphorus is clean and unused, skip to step 3.
 2. If the Red phosphorus is obviously used or from a reaction mixture or from matchbook scrapings, wash with Chloroform, then acetone, then water, then acetone a second time and allow to dry.
 3. Place a few milligrams of the sample in a test tube.
 4. In the fume hood carefully dilute the conc. nitric acid 1:1 with deionized water then add approximately 1 ml of the dilute Nitric acid drop wise to

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

the test tube. (NOTE: Some impurities from matchbooks and reactions can cause the nitric acid to react violently and may even ignite a small flame in the test tube. If this happens, let the reaction subside and add one more drop of Nitric acid. Continue until the whole aliquot of acid has been added.)

5. Place the test tube in the test tube heater block and allow at least 30 minutes for reaction or until the solution turns a clear pale yellow.
 6. As soon as the test tubes from step e have been placed in the heater block, place approximately 1 gram of ammonium molybdate in a clean test tube and fill to about $\frac{3}{4}$ full with water. Place this test tube in the heater block also. Agitate frequently.
 7. After about 30 minutes, the Nitric acid solutions should be a clear yellow, filter through a glass pipette with a glass wool plug into a clean test tube.
 8. Add approximately 1 ml of the ammonium molybdate solution to each of the Nitric acid test tubes and place back in the heater block for about 30 minutes to an hour. A yellow precipitate will form in the presence of red phosphorus (ammonium phosphomolybdate). Allow the test tubes to cool to room temperature.
 9. Filter and rinse the yellow precipitate and allow to air dry. (NOTE: Sometimes, especially if oven dried, the yellow precipitate will turn a greenish color. The precipitate can still be analyzed.)
 10. Analyze the ammonium phosphomolybdate with FTIR.
 11. Compare data to known standard spectra.
- This procedure has been validated with commercially purchased red phosphorus and with red phosphorus removed from matchbooks.
- GC/MS Analysis
1. If the Red Phosphorus is clean and unused, skip to step 3.
 2. If the Red Phosphorus is obviously used or from a reaction mixture or from matchbook scrapings, wash with Chloroform, then acetone, then water, then acetone a second time and allow to dry.
 3. Place a few milligrams of the sample in a test tube.
 4. In the fume hood, carefully add approximately 1 ml of Carbon Disulfide to the test tube which makes a suspension
 5. Add 1 - 2 drop of Bromine and cap the test tube. Agitate for several seconds. If Carbon Disulfide turns clear, then Phosphorus tribromide is formed.
 6. Analyze with GCMS on a low temperature method (50° isothermal for 6 minutes).
 7. Compare the retention time from the GC and the spectra from the MS to the standard phosphorus tribromide.
- This procedure has been validated with commercially purchased red phosphorus and with red phosphorus removed from matchbooks.


- **Literature and Supporting Documentation**
Sigma Diagnostics, Phosphorus, Inorganic, Procedure No. 670

Steroids


- **Properties**

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**


- Common Name – Anabolic Steroids, Boldenone (CAS 846-48-0), Nandrolone (CAS 434-22-0), Testosterone (CAS 58-22-0) – Testosterone esters, Trenbolone (CAS 10161-33-8), Stanolonone (CAS 521-18-6)
- Chemical Formulas




Boldenone
C₁₈H₂₆O₂ MW=286.4




Nandrolone
C₁₈H₂₆O₂
MW=274.4




Trenbolone
C₁₈H₂₂O₂
MW=270.4



Stanolonone
C₁₉H₃₀O₂
MW=290




Testosterone
C₁₉H₂₈O₂
MW=288.4




Testosterone Propionate
C₂₂H₃₂O₃ MW=344.5
CAS 57-85-2


**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**



Testosterone Enantate
CAS 315-37-7 MW=400.6
C₂₆H₄₀O₃



Testosterone Cypionate
C₂₇H₄₀O₃
MW=412.6
CAS 58-20-8



Testosterone isocaproate
C₂₅H₃₈O₃
MW=386.6
CAS 15262-86-9

- Color - Various
- Form – Liquid, Powder, Tablets
- Solubility:
 - Base form: Soluble in most organic solvents, very soluble in ether
 - HCl salt: Soluble in ethanol, chloroform and in water, very slight soluble in ether

• **Properties of steroid esters**

- An ester is a chain composed primarily of carbon and hydrogen atoms. This chain is typically attached to the parent steroid hormone at the 17th carbon position.
- Esterification of an injectable anabolic/androgenic steroid basically accomplishes one thing; it slows the release of the parent steroid from the site of injection. This happens because the ester will notably lower the water solubility of the steroid and increase its lipid (fat) solubility by causing the drug to form a deposit in the muscle tissue and slowly enter into circulation as it is picked up in small quantities by the blood. Generally, the longer the ester chain, the lower the water solubility of the compound, and the longer it will take to for the full dosage to reach general circulation.
- Esterification temporarily deactivates the steroid molecule. With a chain blocking the 17th beta position, binding to the androgen receptor is not possible (it can exert no activity in the body). In order for the compound to become active the ester must therefore first be removed. This automatically occurs once the compound has filtered into blood circulation, where esterase enzymes quickly cleave off (hydrolyze) the ester chain. This will restore the necessary hydroxyl

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

(OH) group at the 17th beta position, enabling the drug to attach to the appropriate receptor. Now and only now will the steroid be able to have an effect on skeletal muscle tissue.

- **Ester Profiles**

- Acetate: Chemical Structure $C_2H_4O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Acetic Acid; Ethylic acid; Vinegar acid; vinegar; Methanecarboxylic acid.
 - Acetate esters delay the release of a steroid for only a couple of days. This ester is used on oral primobolan tablets (metenolone acetate), Finaplix (trenbolone acetate) implant pellets, and occasionally testosterone.
- Propionate: Chemical Structure $C_3H_6O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Carboxyethane; hydroacrylic acid; Methylacetic acid; Ethylformic acid; Ethanecarboxylic acid; metacetic acid; pseudoacetic acid; Propionic Acid.
 - Propionate esters will slow the release of a steroid for several days.
- Phenylpropionate: Chemical Structure $C_9H_{10}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Propionic Acid Phenyl Ester.
 - Phenylpropionate will extend the release of active steroid a few days longer than propionate. Durabolin is the drug most commonly seen with a phenylpropionate ester (nandrolone phenylpropionate), although it is also used with testosterone in Sustanon and Omnadren.
- Isocaproate: Chemical Structure $C_6H_{12}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Isocaproic Acid; isohexanoate; 4-methylvaleric acid. Isocaproate begins to near enanthate in terms of release.
 - The duration is still shorter, with a notable hormone level being sustained for approximately one week. This ester is used with testosterone in the blended products Sustanon and Omnadren.
- Caproate: Chemical Structure $C_6H_{12}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Hexanoic acid; hexanoate; n-Caproic Acid; n-Hexoic acid; butylacetic acid; pentiformic acid; pentylformic acid; n-hexylic acid; 1-pentanecarboxylic acid; hexoic acid; 1-hexanoic acid; Hexylic acid; Caproic acid.
 - This ester is identical to isocaproate in terms of atom count and weight, but is laid out slightly different (Isocaproate has a split configuration, difficult to explain here but easy to see on paper).. Caproate is the slowest releasing ester used in Omnadren.
- Enanthate: Chemical Structure $C_7H_{14}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as heptanoic acid; enanthic acid; enanthylic acid; heptylic acid; heptoic acid; Oenanthylic acid; Oenanthic acid.
 - Enanthate is one of the most prominent esters used in steroid manufacture. Enanthate will release a steady level of hormone for approximately 10-14 days.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- Cypionate: Chemical Structure $C_8H_{14}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Cyclopentylpropionic acid, cyclopentylpropionate.
 - Cypionate's release duration is almost identical to enanthate (10-14 days), and the two are likewise thought to be interchangeable in U.S. medicine. The enanthate ester is slightly smaller than cypionate, and it therefore releases a small (a few milligrams) amount of steroid more in comparison.

- Decanoate: Chemical Structure $C_{10}H_{20}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as decanoic acid; capric acid; caprinic acid; decylic acid, Nonanecarboxylic acid.
 - The Decanoate ester is most commonly used with the hormone nandrolone (as in Deca-Durabolin). Testosterone decanoate is also the longest acting constituent in Sustanon, greatly extending its release duration. The release time with Decanoate compounds is listed to be as long as one month, although most recently we are finding that levels seem to drop significantly after two weeks.

- Undecylenate: Chemical Structure $C_{11}H_{20}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Undecylenic acid; Hendecenoic acid; Undecenoic acid.
 - This ester is very similar to decanoate, containing only one carbon atom more. Its release duration is likewise very similar (approximately 2-3 weeks). Undecylenate seems to be exclusive to the veterinary preparation Equipoise (boldenone undecylenate).

- Undecanoate: Chemical Structure $C_{11}H_{22}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Undecanoic Acid; 1-Decanecarboxylic acid; Hendecanoic acid; Undecylic acid.
 - Undecanoate is not a commonly found ester, and only appears to be used in the nandrolone preparation Dynabolan, and oral testosterone undecanoate (Andriol). Since this ester is chemically very similar to undecylenate, it has a similar release duration (approximately 2-3 weeks). Andriol in fact works very poorly at delivering testosterone, bolstering the idea that oral administration is not the idea use of esterified androgens.

- Laurate: Chemical structure $C_{12}H_{24}O_2$.
 - Also referred to as Dodecanoic acid, laurostearic acid, duodecyclic acid, 1-undecanecarboxylic acid, and dodecoic acid.
 - Laurate is the longest releasing ester used in commercial steroid production, although longer acting esters do exist. Its release duration would be closer to one month than the other esters listed above, although realistically we are probably to expect a notable drop in hormone level after the third week. Laurate is exclusively found in the veterinary nandrolone preparation Laurabolin.

- **Procedure**

- Color Tests – HCl salt form – p-Dimethylaminobenzaldehyde - red
- TLC
 - Mobile System: TP, and TQ
 - Plates: Silica gel G, 250 um thick

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

- TP: Methylene chloride:ether:methanol:water (77:15:8:1.2)
- TQ: Dichloroethane:methanol:water (95:5:0.2)
- Developer
 - DPST solution: sulphuric acid-ethanol reagent
 - Spray the plate and heat at 105 °C for 10 minutes.
- UV Analysis
 - Steroids are generally in water, methanol or oil
 - Sample in Methanol
 1. Run UV scan from 340 to 210 nm
 2. Compare spectra to standard spectra.
 - Aqueous Samples
 1. Evaporate sample and take up residue in Methanol
 2. Run UV scan from 340 to 210 nm
 3. Compare results to standard spectra.
 - Sample in Oil
 1. Place sample in test tube
 2. Gently add Methanol so that it lays on top
 3. Place sample in freezer for 20-30 minutes
 4. Slowly pipette methanol to new test tube
 5. Run UV scan from 340 to 210 nm
 6. Compare results to standard spectra.
 - Tablets
 1. Take a small portion of tablet and place in methanol
 2. Filter methanol if needed
 3. Run UV scan with same parameters as above samples.
- GC/MS Analysis
 - Liquid samples that are in an alcohol need only be diluted for GC-MS analysis.
 - Oil samples needed to be extracted to Methanol or Chloroform and placed in freezer to solidify oil
 - Aqueous samples can be air dried and taken up in methanol or basified and extracted to chloroform
 - Solid samples should be placed in base to Chloroform for injection
 - Compare data and retention time to known standard.
- IR Analysis
 - Solid sample use Drift attachment or ATR
 1. Run directly on IR
 2. Compare spectra to standard spectra.
 3. If spectra is not acceptable, perform appropriate extraction technique and re-run
 - Liquid Sample use Salt Cell for FTIR
 1. Run directly on IR
 2. Compare spectra to standard spectra.

**AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORENSIC CHEMISTRY SECTION
TECHNICAL MANUAL**

3.If spectra is not acceptable, perform appropriate extraction technique and re-run.