

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT Latent Print Section Technical Manual

INTRODUCTION

The Latent Print Section will follow the guidelines set forth in the Forensic Science Division SOP. Supplemental requirements specific to the LP Section are contained within the LP Standard Operating Procedures Manual (SOP), the LP Technical Manual, and the LP Training Manual. These manuals combined represent guidelines for the Quality System within the LP Section.

This document specifies procedures for routine examination and analysis of latent print evidence for human identification. Within the scope of that purpose, it is intended to ensure effective and efficient use of the laboratory facilities for the benefit of all user agencies and with the ultimate goal of detection, solution, and prevention of crime. In addition, it incorporates the quality assurance elements necessary to ensure the reliability and uniformity of analyses and reported conclusions.

It is not possible to anticipate every situation that arises or to prescribe a specific course of action for every case; therefore, the analyst must exercise good judgment based on experience and common sense. In some cases, the manual offers guidelines for analysis that must be tempered with the experience of the analyst. However, any portion of a procedure not explicitly qualified as a guideline, e.g., by use of the word "should," may not be modified for use in casework without prior approval by the Technical Leader.

CHAPTER 1: SCIENCE OF FINGERPRINTS

1.1 BIOLOGY

- 1.1.1** Papillary ridges are anatomical areas of skin that include the dermal and epidermal layers of skin that cover the areas of the fingers, palms, and the soles of the feet. They are formed during fetal development and remain persistent throughout the life of the individual except through damage by scarring or disease. Friction skin ridges (papillary ridges) are not continuous formations; rather they are made up of biologically unique components, ridge units that form the appearance of linear formations.
- 1.1.2** No two areas of friction skin on the hands or feet of any person, or persons, have been found to be duplicated in their minute detail.

1.2 SCIENTIFIC BASIS

There are two basic principles for the basis for identification:

- 1.2.1.1** Permanence (persistence) - Friction skin permanence is based on Embryological studies that show the friction ridge skin begins forming in the 6th week of estimated gestational age and are fully developed at approximately the 23rd week. These biological developments include the basal layer of skin which is considered the template and generating layer for all friction ridge skin growth. This layer remains unchanged throughout one's lifetime with the exception of natural expansive growth and/or damage to the basal layer that permanently alters that area of friction skin.
- 1.2.1.2** Uniqueness - Friction skin is biologically diverse in that there is a genetic influence up until cellular differentiation. Friction ridge growth and placement takes place due to physical influences and volar pad development (epigenetic). The timing of development of the ridges

<p style="text-align: center;">AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT Latent Print Section Technical Manual</p>

with respect to volar pad regression, in combination with the forces on the skin, ensures that the minute details of the friction ridge skin cannot be duplicated. It is this basic principle, referred to as differential growth, which assures that the formations on the friction skin are biologically random and cannot be replicated.

CHAPTER 2: LATENT PRINT COMPARISON

2.1 THE EXAMINATION PROCESS

- 2.1.1** The objective of the examination process is identification. This is done by comparing the similarities of ridge detail between two friction ridge images. Examination of the details present in both prints determines whether they are from a single source or from different sources.
- 2.1.2** The clarity of the friction ridge detail is an important part of the examination process and dictates the quality and quantity of the detail that may be present and used in determining the source of latent images.
- 2.1.3** The degree of clarity exhibited by a latent print determines the level of tolerance that is acceptable.

2.2 METHODOLOGY

2.2.1 Friction ridge print examinations are conducted by following the Analysis, Comparison, Evaluation and Verification (ACE-V) methodology; which utilizes qualitative and quantitative factors during analysis. These phases are not static, but fluid, with movement back and forth between phases in some examinations. This process is applied regardless of the combination of print types i.e., unknown versus known, known versus known, or unknown versus unknown. (ISO 5.10.2 e):

2.2.1.1 Analysis – The first step of the ACE-V method is the assessment of an impression to determine suitability for comparison. This is a neutral position to maintain objectivity. Areas of analysis include:

- a) Substrate
- b) Matrix
- c) Development medium
- d) Deposition pressure
- e) Pressure
- f) Anatomical aspects affecting latent deposition
- g) Abnormal distortion

2.2.1.2 Comparison – The second step of the ACE-V method is the observation of two or more impressions to determine the existence of discrepancies, dissimilarities, or similarities. This phase progresses systematically and sequentially until all available ridge detail has been compared and events shared by both impressions are accounted for, and any differences are noted.

2.2.1.3 Evaluation – The third step of the ACE-V method wherein an analyst assesses the value of the details observed during the analysis and the comparison steps and reaches a

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

conclusion. Similarities in ridge structure will have a specific value or weight that is applied towards establishing the source. Any differences must be assessed as to how it was affected by distortion.

2.2.1.4 Verification – The independent examination, including analysis, comparison, and evaluation of a comparison by another competent and proficient analyst to either support or refute the conclusions of the lead analyst.

2.3 FINGERPRINTS DEFINED

2.3.1 Latent print is a general term to define accidental or unintentional impressions, visible or invisible, which have evidentiary value. When the friction skin area of the palmar or plantar regions of the body are touched to a receiving surface, a reproduction of the ridge detail from that friction skin area may be left behind on that surface.

2.3.2 Known prints are exemplars of friction skin from a known source that are recorded electronically, photographically, by ink, or by any another medium. Examples of known prints:

2.3.2.1 Ten print / palm print cards recorded by a law enforcement agency

2.3.2.2 Elimination print submissions

2.3.2.3 Prints obtained from the Medical Examiner's Office (serve as both known and unknown)

2.4 FRICTION RIDGE FEATURES

2.4.1 The friction skin ridges on the hands and feet exhibit distinctive features which can be grouped into three levels:

2.4.1.1 Level 1 - Identification cannot be made; however exclusion may be possible.

a) Anatomical source

1. Finger
2. Palm
3. Foot
4. Toe

b) Orientation

c) Pattern Interpretation

1. Arch
2. Loop
3. Whorl
4. Overall ridge flow

d) General morphology

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

2.4.1.2 Level 2 - Identification or exclusion may be made; however, any level 1 or 3 detail present must also be examined.

- a) Individual ridge paths, their type and relative position
 - 1. Ending ridge - an abrupt ending of the friction ridge
 - 2. Bifurcation - the friction ridge splits into 2 or more ridges
 - 3. Dot - a single ridge unit that is no longer than its width

2.4.1.3 Level 3 - Identification may be made; however any level 1 or 2 detail present must also be examined.

- a) Ridge structure and their relative arrangement
 - 1. Size and shape of the ridge edges
 - 2. Relative pore position

2.4.2 Creases, scars, warts, incipient ridges, and other features may be reflected in all three levels of detail.

2.5 CONCLUSIONS

2.5.1 There is no current scientific basis for a minimum characteristic requirement (or point threshold) for friction ridge identification. This is based on the IAI Resolution in 1973, which stated that “no valid basis exists at this time for requiring that a pre-determined minimum number of friction ridge characteristics must be present in two impressions in order to establish positive identification.” IAI Resolution 2009-18 is an amendment to the 1973 IAI and states “the official position of the I.A.I., effective August 21, 2009, is as follows: there currently exists no scientific basis for requiring a minimum amount of corresponding friction ridge detail information between two impressions to arrive at an opinion of single source attribution.”

2.5.2 Identification is the result of the comparison of two friction ridge impressions containing sufficient quality (clarity) and quantity of friction ridge detail in agreement. Identification occurs when a latent print analyst, trained to competency, determines that two friction ridge impressions originated from the same source. (ASCLD/LAB 5.10.3.5)

2.5.3 Generally the unknown or questioned impression will be analyzed prior to comparing to a known exemplar, unless the quality of the known is less than the unknown.

2.5.4 If an impression possesses sufficient clarity and quantity of friction ridge detail the print is determined to be **Suitable for Comparison**.

2.5.5 If an impression lacks sufficient clarity and quantity of friction ridge detail the print is determined **Non Suitable for Comparison**. **Non Suitable** is not a comparison conclusion but is the result of an

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

analysis of a single latent print. It is used when the analyst has determined that any future comparison of this print will never result in a conclusion of identification or exclusion.

- 2.5.6** If the friction ridge detail present in the known print and latent print is in agreement, the resulting conclusion will be reported as **Identification**.
- 2.5.7** If the friction ridge detail present in the known print and latent print is not in agreement, the resulting conclusion will be reported as **Exclusion**. This conclusion can only be reached if all relevant exemplars are available.
- 2.5.8** When an impression is divided into two or more parts, the LPA will use their discretion to determine if each part can be examined separately or considered as a whole. All information present will be taken into account to support the decision.
- 2.5.9** If the known exemplars used for comparison purposes are not adequately recorded or if there is insufficient detail to form a conclusion of either **Identification** or **Exclusion**, the resulting conclusion will be reported as **Incomplete**.
- 2.5.10** If all relevant exemplars are available and corresponding features are observed between the latent print and the known print but are not sufficient to reach a definitive conclusion of **Identification** or **Exclusion**, the resulting conclusion will be reported as **Inconclusive**.
- 2.5.11** Composites or enlargements with annotation documentation will be retained in LIMS.

2.6 CONSULTATION, CONFLICT AND CONSENSUS

- 2.6.1** There are occasions when the quality of a latent print is in such poor condition that the analyst can seek the advice of other analysts. This is encouraged to foster a culture of openness and discussion.
- 2.6.2** A consultation is with a single LPA to help them resolve issues with an analysis or comparison and requires no additional documentation.
- 2.6.3** Discussion concerning the anatomical position, pattern types, orientation, etc. are NOT considered a consultation and do not require documentation.
- 2.6.4** A consensus is a request to all LPA's about an examination.
- 2.6.4.1** Unmarked copies will be sent to all LPA's.
- 2.6.4.2** Responses to a consensus will be returned within 2 business days.
- 2.6.4.3** The final decision will be based on a consensus from all returned responses. If no consensus is reached then the original conclusion stands.
- 2.6.4.4** All returned documentation will have the unique case number assigned by the requesting examiner before attachment to the case file in LIMS.
- 2.6.5** A conflict occurs when there is a disagreement between two LPA's. The two will confer with each other to resolve the disagreement by looking at exhibits and listening to each other's reasoning. A disagreement can be resolved by a consensus.

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

- 2.6.6** The Technical Leader will be notified of each and every declared conflict dealing with a sufficiency determination, conclusion (opinion), or protocol (standards). The Technical Leader will address each conflict according to the degree of severity that it approaches, taking into account all factors.
- 2.6.6.1** Missed identifications and suitability determinations will be considered on a case by case basis
- 2.6.6.2** Once a latent print has been marked for identification it is locked. The markings cannot be erased and if there is no verification it becomes a conflict.
- 2.6.6.3** The materials pertaining to the disagreement can be independently reviewed by all latent print analysts not involved in the disagreement.
- 2.6.7** Appropriate action taken when an analyst is insistent on an analysis which is not supported by a consensus of their peer can take one of the following:
- 2.6.7.1** Retraining
- 2.6.7.2** Re-evaluation of prior casework
- 2.6.7.3** Retraining and re-evaluation of prior case work
- 2.6.7.4** Retraining and removal from case work for a predetermined amount of time
- 2.6.7.5** Any other appropriate action deemed necessary
- 2.6.8** Latent Print Suitability (Evaluation of Latent Prints):
- 2.6.9.1** The suitability of a latent print should be determined following a complete analysis of all visible features within the print, prior to the comparison phase. A latent print will be considered suitable for comparison when there are a minimum of six discernible minutiae **AND** one or more of the following are present:
- a) Discernible distal orientation
 - b) At least one focal point (e.g. core, delta, crease, scar)
 - c) At least one region of considerable size
- 2.6.9.2** Other significant detail, such as clear incipient detail, scar detail, or small groupings of minutia with high clarity contribute to the determination of suitability for comparison; therefore, latent prints that do not meet the above-listed criteria may be marked as suitable for comparison at the discretion of the case analyst.
- 2.6.9** Marking Latent Prints:
- 2.6.9.1** The analyst conducting a latent comparison will write an item number on each photograph on the front and back of each lift card, and initial each item examined. In addition, all suitable latent prints on each latent-bearing item (lift card or photograph) will be marked with a capital letter designation marked in blue.
- 2.6.9.2** The item number will correspond with the LIMS item number and a sequential number i.e. 14.1, 14.2, etc. and marked in blue (ISO 5.8.2).

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

2.6.9.3 The analyst will, in the event of identification, write the name and unique identifying number of the person identified on the lift card, photograph, copy or other latent-bearing item. This will be marked in blue.

2.6.9.4 The initials and employee number making identification will be marked in red.

2.6.9.5 The following symbols will be added near the identified latent and will be marked in red:

- a) A half circle over the top of the latent in the event of a finger latent identification.
- b) Lines drawn parallel on both sides of the latent in the event of a phalange (joint) identification.
- c) A vertical line drawn along the hypothenar area and a connecting line drawn along the base in the event of a palm latent identification.
- d) If the location of the identified latent print on the card / image is such that drawing the required symbol would mean marking over another suitable latent print, then a small arrow can be used in lieu of the usual symbol.
- e) The LPA verifying identifications will place their initials along with “√ID” and will be marked in green.

2.6.9.6 When an identification is carried out a record of the review shall be made to indicate that the critical finding has been checked and agreed to, by whom, and when the check was performed to include the item number and the area it was associated with. (ASCLD/LAB 4.13.2.12)

- a) This will be done using the verification form in the Forms Section of LIMS.
- b) The verification report will be attached to the case record in LIMS and then deleted from the Forms Section after custody of the evidence has been transferred back to the initiating analyst.
- c) The initiating analyst will check to ensure the form was attached before sending for review.

2.6.9.7 The LPA will write the unique case number, their initials, employee number, and date of examination on all exemplar card(s). The verifier will initial the exemplar; write check ID, the date and their employee number when an association has been made.

2.6.10 Documenting Duplicates:

2.6.10.1 ‘Duplicate’ refers to a latent print created by a single touch of a surface, but lifted or photographed more than once.

2.6.10.2 Each latent print deemed suitable for comparison, whether duplicate or not, must be given a letter designation; however, the LPA will document the results of their comparison of only one instance of each particular latent print. Duplicate latent prints will be documented as a duplicate with references in the results block of the worksheet and in the report.

2.6.10.3 Duplicates will not be subject to verification.

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

2.6.10.4 Analysts will determine, based on clarity and completeness, which latent print will be compared, and which ones will be documented as duplicates.

2.6.10.5 Duplicate latent prints will not count toward the final tally of identified latent prints, but will be counted as an examination in LIMS.

2.6.11 Erroneous Identifications (Class I Error):

2.6.11.1 An erroneous identification is the marking of a latent print as having originated from a particular known source when, in fact, the print did not come from that source.

2.6.11.2 When another analyst, usually the verifying analyst, discovers what is believed to be a true erroneous identification and an administrative error has been ruled out, the Technical Leader will be notified immediately.

2.6.11.3 The Technical Leader will examine the latent and exemplar and verify that the identification is erroneous.

2.6.11.4 Verification of an erroneous identification is equal to having effected the original erroneous identification.

2.6.11.5 Erroneous identifications DO NOT include clerical errors when documenting the identification.

2.6.12 Erroneous Exclusions (Class II Error):

2.6.12.1 An erroneous exclusion is the incorrect conclusion that two friction ridge impressions did not originate from the same source.

2.6.12.2 Inconclusive is not considered a missed identification.

2.6.13 Administrative Error (Class III Error)

2.6.13.1 In situations where only the position or finger number of the print is incorrect and not the individual identification, it is not an erroneous identification. The verifying analyst will communicate with the original analyst to ascertain if this is the case.

CHAPTER 3: LATENT EVIDENCE RECEIVING

3.1 Latent and CD packets received by the LP Section are entered into the LIMS via the Web Prelog as an ADM submission assignment.

3.2 Receiving of latent envelopes will be assigned on a monthly basis to confirm those submissions.

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

- 3.3 Latent envelopes will be initialed by the receiver, verifying the number of lifts or photos match the number appearing on the front of the envelope.
- 3.4 Latent prints will be examined to determine AFIS suitability, placing an "A" in the upper right of the latent envelope. If suitable, it will then be filed in the AFIS drawer awaiting AFIS entry. The packets can remain there in an open and unsealed condition until AFIS inquiries are conducted, not to exceed a period of 24 months from receipt.
- 3.5 Latent packets that do not contain AFIS suitable prints will have the "ADM" assignment administratively closed in LIMS and then filed as per section guidelines.
- 3.6 The LP Section is responsible for correcting information on the envelope or lift cards when found.
- 3.7 All latent and CD packets received will have a proper seal over the envelope flap along with the date and initials of the impounder. If a packet is received without an initial seal the receiving LPA will seal the envelope with evidence tape, initial, and date it. The original seal will not be broken or disturbed by LPS personnel.

CHAPTER 4: DIGITAL IMAGING

- 4.1 LPA's can utilize scanning equipment and imaging software in order to capture, enhance, and preserve images of friction ridge prints for analytical purposes.
- 4.2 Whenever a latent print is captured digitally for the purpose of suitability analysis or for preparing a chart, the image will be preserved and placed in the LIMS case file. (ASCLD/LAB 4.13.2.5.2).
- 4.3 Images saved in LIMS will have at the minimum, the unique identifying case number, the Analysts initials, the date the image was prepared, and the number of the latent print items.
- 4.4 Current versions of Photoshop are approved for use in capturing and enhancing digital images of latent prints. Analysts can use tools that affect the tonal value of an image, such as **Brightness and Contrast, Levels, Dodging and Burning, or Curves** tools. Analysts can also use software tools within Photoshop that effectively improve contrast for visualization of a latent print, such as **Channel Mixer, Black and White, Invert, etc.**
- 4.5 Additional tools and actions can be used in the digital processing and enhancement of the latent print, such as:
 - 4.5.1 Rotating the image
 - 4.5.2 Changing the color mode i.e. RGB to Grayscale
 - 4.5.3 Enlarging or reducing the size of the image
 - 4.5.4 Cropping an area of the original image
 - 4.5.5 Selecting and enhancing a portion of the image

<p style="text-align: center;">AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT Latent Print Section Technical Manual</p>

- 4.6 Analysts will not use, or overuse, any process in a way that will cause any loss of relevant detail.
- 4.7 Analysts will not use Artistic or Stylistic filters or processes on a latent print image (Emboss, Smudge stick, etc.).
 - 4.7.1 Analysts will not utilize a filter or process they are not familiar with, the effect of which they cannot explain, or one in which they have not received training.
 - 4.7.2 It is recommended to capture latent prints for analysis/examination at a minimum of 1000 ppi, and use native resolutions of their scanners (1200) whenever possible.
 - 4.7.3 Analysts can document or be ready to explain the processes they use in capturing and enhancing a latent print image, and be able to duplicate what they have done to a reasonable degree.

CHAPTER 5: COURT TESTIMONY

- 5.1 In legal proceedings the duties of a LPA will include:
 - 5.1.1 Pre-Trial Conference with prosecutor (s) when requested
 - 5.1.2 Provide examination documentation to prosecutors when requested
 - 5.1.3 Meet with defense counsel when Forensic Division criteria have been met
 - 5.1.4 Notifying the court liaison of any expected time off
- 5.2 The court appearance, pre-trial conference, etc. will be documented in the Activity Log of LIMS
- 5.3 In-court examinations of new latent prints are not permitted.
- 5.4 If mandated by a judge, latent to latent or latent to inked examinations documentation must be added to the case file.
- 5.5 Comparisons of inked prints to inked prints are permitted in order to verify identity (confirmation examinations).

CHAPTER 6: AFIS PROCEDURES

- 6.1 Latent prints not identified during the initial search, which meet the criteria, will be entered into the Unsolved Latent Database (ULDB).
- 6.2 Latent prints from crimes against person's cases will be given priority for AFIS entry.
- 6.3 Each latent print to be searched as an AFIS inquiry will be logged into the stats portion of LIMS.
- 6.4 Finger or palm print records entered into the system solely for training purposes will be clearly marked as TEST material.
- 6.5 The LPA assigned to a case is responsible for ensuring all AFIS suitable latent prints have been searched.

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

- 6.6** Latent print inquiries are purged from any AFIS system when the statutes of limitations have expired.
- 6.7** Viable Candidate results made from an AFIS inquiry will be documented by printing the image and information screen displays.
- 6.8** Suitable for search is determined by several factors which can include clarity and quality of the impression; the surface the impression was developed on or lifted from and the amount of minutiae present.
- 6.9** Latent prints that are not suitable for AFIS entry can be suitable for identification purposes.
- 6.10** CAFIS (Local):
- 6.10.1** The Assistant Forensic Division Manager is the designated CAFIS Operations Manager.
 - 6.10.2** AFIS suitable latent prints will first be entered into CAFIS unless case information indicates otherwise.
 - 6.10.3** When a viable candidate is indicated from a TLI (Ten-Print to Latent Inquiry) return, the LPA is responsible for deleting the transaction.
 - 6.10.4** All LP Section employees are responsible for checking the TLI ten-print and palm print queues when assigned.
 - 6.10.5** TLI's from other agencies submissions will be printed out and placed in a binder. The submitting agency will be notified of the viable candidate and that the latent was deleted.
 - 6.10.6** All CAFIS entries and identifications will be entered in the LIMS AFIS stats panel.
 - 6.10.7** All Identifications made from TLI's will be updated in the LIMS AFIS stats panel.
 - 6.10.8** Whenever the AFIS Technician cannot determine whether the image on the screen is a viable candidate, they can consult with a LPA, making a note in the case record.
 - 6.10.9** The operator will specify one of the following crime types. The standardized listing will be in capital letters and periods will not be used.
 - 6.12.9.1** **BOV** is the abbreviation that will be used for burglary of vehicle offenses.
 - 6.12.9.2** **BOR** is the abbreviation that will be used burglary of residence offenses.
 - 6.12.9.3** **BNR** is the abbreviation that will be used for burglary of non-residence offenses.
 - 6.12.9.4** **AUTO THEFT** will be used for any auto theft.
 - 6.12.9.5** **DRUG OFFENSE** will be used for any drug related offenses.
 - 6.12.9.6** **WEAPONS** will be used for any weapons related offenses.
 - 6.12.9.7** **ROBBERY** will be used for any robbery related offenses.
 - 6.12.9.8** **DEATH INV** will be used for any death related offense except **murder**.
 - 6.12.9.9** **FORGERY** will be used for any forgery or falsified information offenses.
 - 6.12.9.10** **SEXUAL ASSAULT** will be used for any sexual assault offense not involving a child.
 - 6.12.9.11** **S ASSAULT CHILD** will be used for any sexual assault offense involving a child.

AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Latent Print Section Technical Manual

- 6.12.9.12 CRIM MIS** will be used for any criminal mischief or vandalism offenses.
- 6.12.9.13 THEFT** will be used for any theft offense other than auto theft.
- 6.12.9.14 UC PROP** will be used for any property crime that doesn't fit any one of the other categories – add details in comments.
- 6.12.9.15 UC PER** will be used for any person crime that doesn't fit any of the other categories – add details in the comments.
- 6.12.9.16 ARSON** will be used for any arson offense.
- 6.12.9.17 ASSAULT** will be used for any assault offenses.
- 6.12.9.18 MURDER** will be used for any murder.

6.13 AFIS (State)

- 6.13.1** State AFIS inquiries as well as State TLI viable candidates will be entered into the AFIS Logbook.
- 6.13.2** Each LPA is responsible for checking their own TLI's in the State AFIS.
- 6.13.3** If a LPA, upon receiving a Latent Packet designated for a State AFIS Inquiry, determines that the latent print is not suitable for such an inquiry, he or she will document that decision in LIMS.

6.14 IAFIS (Federal)

- 6.14.1** AFIS entries are generally reserved only for Crimes Against Persons but are dependent upon the nature of the case and judgment of the LPA assigned.
- 6.14.2** Each LPA is responsible for checking their own IAFIS TLI's entries.
- 6.14.3** IAFIS inquiries and TLI viable candidates will be entered into the LIMS AFIS Stats.

6.15 Latent Print Suitability for AFIS Entry

- 6.15.1** Images lacking clarity and quantity of friction ridge detail would not make a good candidate for an AFIS inquiry.
- 6.15.2** All latent prints received by the LP Section will be evaluated for AFIS suitability when first received.
- 6.15.3** Latent images from the tip or extreme edge of a finger would not make a good candidate for a State AFIS inquiry.
- 6.15.4** Interpretation of what is suitable for AFIS inquiry is based on the experience and judgment of the LPS employee conducting the inquiry.
- 6.15.6** The determination of whether to conduct a State AFIS inquiry is dependent upon the nature of the case and judgment of the LPS employee launching the inquiry.