

# ANNUAL REPORT

TPDES Storm Water Permit No. WQ0004705000  
(NPDES Permit No. TXS000401)

## System-Wide Annual Report

*for the*

City of Austin

Reporting Period: October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014

Submitted to:

U.S. EPA Region 6  
Compliance Assurance & Enforcement Division &  
Water Enforcement Branch (6EN-WC)  
1445 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75202

TCEQ Region 11  
Wastewater Permitting Section  
Storm Water & Pretreatment Team  
(MC-148)  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, TX 78711-3087

March 1, 2015





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS   | i        |
| INTRODUCTION & CERTIFICATION LETTER   | ii       |
| SYSTEM-WIDE OVERVIEW  | iii      |
| <b>Section 1. Storm Water Management Program Implementation and Summary Data</b>            |          |
| MS4 Maintenance Activities  | 1        |
| Post-Construction Storm Water Control Measures  | 5        |
| Illicit Discharges Detection and Elimination  | 11       |
| Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operation                              | 17       |
| Industrial and High Risk Runoff   | 20       |
| Construction Site Storm Water Runoff  | 23       |
| Public Education and Involvement  | 25       |
| Monitoring Programs   | 26       |
| <b>Section 2. Proposed Changes to the Storm Water Management Program</b>                    |          |
| <b>Section 3. Revisions to Assessment of Controls and Fiscal Analysis</b>                   |          |
| <b>Section 4. Summary of Monitoring and Other Data</b>                                      |          |
| Representative Monitoring   | 1        |
| Dry Weather Field Screening Program   | 11       |
| Wet Weather Screening Program   | 12       |
| Industrial and High Risk Monitoring Program   | 13       |
| Floatables Monitoring Program   | 14       |
| Seasonal Loadings and Event Mean Concentrations   | 17       |
| <b>Section 5. NPDES and TPDES General Permit Summary Data</b>                               |          |
| <b>Section 6. Annual Expenditures</b>   |          |
| <b>Section 7. Summary of Enforcement Actions, Inspections and Public Education Programs</b> |          |
| Inspection Programs and Enforcement Actions   | 1        |
| Public Education Programs   | 3        |
| <b>Section 8. Identification of Water Quality Improvements or Degradation</b>               |          |

## **APPENDIX**

**Appendix A: City of Austin Storm Water Management Program**

**Appendix B: Year Three Non-Reporting Year.**

**Appendix C: Wet Weather Screening Field Sheets**

**Appendix D: List of Municipal Facilities**

**Appendix E: Barton Springs Periodic Sediment Sampling Data**

**Appendix F: Barton Springs and Associated Springs Water Quality Data**



# City of Austin

Founded by Congress, Republic of Texas, 1839  
Watershed Protection Department  
P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767

Ms. Rebecca L. Villalba, Team Leader  
Storm Water & Pretreatment Team (MC-148)  
Water Quality Division  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ)  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

March 1, 2015

Re: City of Austin - TPDES Permit No. WQ0004705000 (NPDES Permit No. TXS000401)  
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) System-wide Annual Report

Dear Ms. Villalba,

Please find herewith for your review, the MS4 system-wide annual report for the City of Austin. The report has been prepared as required by Part IV.C. of the permit and includes information on the City's compliance activities during the reporting period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.

As required by Part IV.E and in accordance with Part V.B.8 and of the permit, I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If additional information related to any of the City's compliance activities described in the report should be required, please contact Ms. Lee C. Lawson, TPDES Program Coordinator at (512) 974-3348, or Ms. Roxanne Jackson, Division Manager Field Operations at (512) 974-1918.

Sincerely,

Victoria J. Li, P.E., Director  
Watershed Protection Department  
Authorized Representative



# **SYSTEM-WIDE OVERVIEW**

## **Introduction**

The City of Austin was originally issued a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Storm Water Permit by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA ID. TXS000401) in September 1998. The City then renewed the MS4 storm water permit with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) in February 2006 (WQ0004705000), and most recently, was reissued a final permit on July 20, 2011. The City of Austin has continued to be in compliance with the activities required by the storm water permit and outlined in the City's Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) throughout each of the five-year permit terms; reporting on the execution of these activities during the reporting period from October 1<sup>st</sup> through September 30<sup>th</sup> of each year. The System-Wide Annual Report is due March 1.

## **Overview**

This report documents the City's compliance activities during the reporting period from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014 (Permit Year 3). The City of Austin continued to execute Storm Water Management Program activities during the reporting period. Detailed information related to these activities has been included in Section 1 (Status of Storm Water Management Program Implementation and Summary Data) of the annual report.

A Compliance Investigation was conducted for the City of Austin Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit (TCEQ ID No.: WQ0004705000) by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Austin Region Office June 27-July 11, 2014. The Compliance Investigation evaluated the compliance with applicable permit requirements and adherence to the Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) elements of multiple City programs. As indicated in the letter enclosed, issued by TCEQ on August 28, 2014, no violations were documented during the audit investigation.



**Section 1. Storm Water Management Program Implementation  
and Summary Data**



## Section 1

### **STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND SUMMARY DATA**

#### Introduction

As required by Part IV.C.1.3. of the City's TPDES permit, the status of implementing the storm water management program (SWMP), the status of compliance with any schedules established under the permit and a summary of the SWMP activities completed by the City of Austin during the reporting period from October 1, 2013, through September 30, 2014 have been included in the system-wide annual report as follows:

#### MS4 Maintenance Activities

(Section 1-SWMP)

#### **Structural Controls**

**Status:** On-going

The City of Austin Watershed Protection Department (WPD) is responsible for the operation, inspection, maintenance and repair of the City's storm water drainage infrastructure. The Field Operations Division (FOD) of the WPD directly administers these activities and continually coordinates with the other divisions within the WPD, including the Environmental Resources Management (ERM) and Watershed Engineering (WED) Divisions. The inspection and maintenance programs are part of a comprehensive drainage maintenance plan to identify, evaluate and solve flooding, erosion and water quality problems, including those related to non-point source pollution.

The following program tasks were performed to accomplish the City's inspection and maintenance goals for the reporting period October 1, 2013, through September 30, 2014:

- Removed debris and excessive vegetation from 82.72 miles of open waterways to maintain and improve flood flow conveyance and improve water quality.
- Removed vegetation three times in this reporting year from the 592 City maintained detention and water quality ponds.
- Conducted 802 inspections of City maintained detention and water quality ponds.

- Completed 1,322 inspections of detention and water quality basins associated with commercial developments to enforce compliance with City Code.
- Removed sediment and debris obstructions from 5.88 miles of open channels to maintain flood flow conveyance, minimize erosion and improve water quality.
- Removed debris, sediment, vegetation and obstructions from 1311 culvert and bridge locations to maintain flood flow conveyance and improve water quality.
- Cleaned approximately 13.14 miles of the storm sewer pipe system to maintain flood flow conveyance and improve water quality.
- Inspected and cleaned as necessary 8007 storm sewer inlets to maintain flood flow conveyance and remove collected sediment and other pollutants.

The City also continued efforts to identify and inspect residential and commercial ponds in the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ), repair non-functioning residential ponds and ensure compliance and enforcement of commercial pond maintenance and repair. During the reporting period:

- WPD Field Operations staff inspected all of the Barton Spring Zone residential water quality controls and performed necessary maintenance on 52 of them. There were 274 residential water quality controls in the Barton Spring Zone as of September 30, 2014.
- Planning and Development Review Environmental Inspection staff conducted 666 inspections of 275 commercial water quality controls in the Barton Springs Zone subject to the Barton Springs Zone Operating Permit program requirements with staff issuing 16 letters of non-compliance and 49 corrective action punch lists.
- WPD FOD staff also continued to work throughout the permit period to update the department's residential and commercial water quality controls databases in to ensure more accurate documentation of control type and function of inspection records, maintenance records and compliance records.

## **Floatables Program**

**Status:** On-going

The Field Operations Division (FOD) of the WPD is responsible for checking the condition of two monitoring sites on an on-going basis and after major storm events. Each site is cleaned on a monthly basis, if necessary, after Field Operations staff verifies that site conditions are adequate for access and will allow for the use of mechanical equipment without damage to the surrounding ground. During the reporting period, 12.1 tons of floatable trash and debris were removed from the two boom locations on Lady Bird Town Lake (Shoal Creek and West Bouldin Creek). Shoal Creek boom was removed for a short time during construction adjacent to Shoal Creek.

**Roadways Program****Status:** On-going

In the effort to reduce the amount of pollutants discharged into local waterways from streets and roadways, the City of Austin has developed a Roadways Program that addresses snow and ice management, road repair, in-house new construction within the Public Right of Way, and activities to remove potential pollutants from entering waterways. Public Works Department (PWD) and Austin Resource Recovery (ARR) directly administer the activities for this program.

**Snow Management**

The average annual snowfall in the Austin area is one inch. As such, the City has developed an emergency response program that uses barricading and sanding to effectively manage slick streets and roadways during the rare ice and snow events. During such events, PWD staff evaluates the road conditions and identify streets and bridges that need to be sanded or barricaded to ensure public safety.

**Street/Public Right of Way Operation and Maintenance**

Routine maintenance of the streets, bridges, and public ROW within the City of Austin are the responsibility of the PWD. ROW maintenance projects involving excavation are completed under a General Permit issued by the PDRD. During the reporting period the PWD continued the ROW roadway maintenance activities, using the Best Management Practices (BMP) and controls appropriate for each project.

**Street Sweeping**

Routine cleaning of the City of Austin curbed streets is the responsibility of the City's Austin Resource Recovery (ARR). Street sweeping in the downtown Central Business District is scheduled to occur daily to maximize removal efficiencies of the pollutants that accumulate in the high traffic density area. Street sweeping along major thoroughfares in other areas of the City is performed on varying schedules, but generally once per month, and residential curbed streets are swept on an average frequency of twice per year. During the reporting period, this program collected over 5,653 tons of trash, leaves, debris and dirt that had collected along impervious roadway surfaces in Austin.

## **Litter Collection**

The Litter Abatement Program is the responsibility of the ARR. The Litter Abatement Program is implemented within the City limits. It targets some City-owned properties such as uncurbed streets and public right-of-ways for removal of trash, litter and debris in the effort to prevent the waste materials from entering nearby storm drains or waterways. In addition, the Litter Abatement Program removes dead animals from roadways, provides for the pick-up of brush and bulk items on a scheduled basis and maintains the litter receptacles in the Central Business District.

During the reporting period, the Litter Abatement Program provided the following services:

- Removed 433 tons of litter from sidewalks and litter containers in the downtown area, street right-of-ways and other City-owned property;
- Removed 61 tons of dead animals from roadways;
- Collected a total of 9,068 tons of bulk items from residences within the service area;
- Collected a total of 6,692 tons of brush items from residences within the service area; and
- Collected a total of 27,357 tons of yard trimmings (to be recycled into compost) from residences during weekly collection activities.

## Post-Construction Storm water Control Measures (Section 2-SWMP)

### **Areas of New Development and Significant Redevelopment**

**Status:** On-going

The Planning and Development Review Department (PDRD) is responsible for comprehensive planning activities within the City limits and the extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ). The comprehensive planning activities include ongoing planning support in areas such as land use inventories, mapping, and analysis; population and demographic forecasting; neighborhood planning and transportation planning.

From October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014, the City of Austin experienced a net growth of 23,518 persons to reach a total population of 890,491. This increase represents a 2.7% annual growth rate and is down from an annual increase of 2.8% from the previous year. The population for the Metropolitan Statistical Area on September 30, 2014, was 1,960,674.

During the reporting period, the City completed 8 annexations. The net acres annexed were as follows.

- 3,484 acres full purpose.
- 0 acres limited purpose annexations
- 3,484 total acreage added to the city limits in FY 2013-14.

### **Comprehensive Planning Process (Zoning, Subdivision & Site Development Plan Regulations)**

**Status:** On-going

During the reporting period, the PDRD continued to review zoning cases, subdivision proposals, site development plan applications and proposed utility projects for compliance with the water quality regulations of the City's Land Development Code, as part of the overall development review process. For subdivision and site plan applications, this process includes review by a number of different disciplines, such as environmental, water quality, drainage and transportation.

During the reporting period, City staff reviewed:

- 1037 subdivision applications;
- 1,754 site development plans;
- 10 school site plans;
- 179 projects requiring zoning review;
- 63 easement vacations;
- 0 right of way vacations;
- 2910 tree removal applications
- 71 underground storage tank permit applications;
- 496 General Permit applications; and
- 158 Operating Permit applications for projects developing in the Barton Springs Zone.

Department staff also continued to participate as necessary in variance presentations related to development projects to the Environmental Board, a citizen advisory board.

### **Flood Control Projects**

#### **Existing Structural Flood Control Devices**

**Status:** On-going

During the reporting period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014, WPD staff continued the activities detailed in the program description, including the required City LDC, ECM and DCM elements in proposed flood control projects. The City of Austin’s Watershed Protection Department (WPD) Watershed Engineering Division (WED) continued to evaluate existing flood control facilities for flood and water quality retrofit opportunities. To assess the potential water quality impacts from proposed flood control projects, the City of Austin utilizes both regulatory design requirements and technical review to evaluate municipal and private flood projects.

**Reilly Detention Pond Performance Modifications**

The scope of the project was revised to include both modifications to the existing regional flood detention pond system and water quality retrofit. The project increased pond volume and raised and reinforced spillways and dam embankments.

One of the ponds also includes a biofiltration feature to provide water quality to the surrounding commercial development. Construction began in summer 2014.

**Old Lampasas Modernization Project**

The Old Lampasas Dam in Bull Creek was damaged during Tropical Storm Hermine. This project will allow the existing facility to safely pass an extreme rain event with the design of a new primary outlet and reinforcement and increased height of the existing spillway and dam embankment. The project will also add water quality features to the existing structure in the form of extended detention.

**Blarwood Storm Drain Improvements Project**

The project is expected to mitigate major flooding for at least 52 houses/yards, as well as major street flooding, based on two-dimensional modeling that was created to identify the flooding issues. Construction was completed in FY 2014.

**David Moore Drive Creek Crossing Improvements**

This project will upgrade a low water crossing that is currently overtopped in storm events equivalent to a 2- year storm or greater. When completed, the crossing will safely pass the 25 – year storm. A permit was obtained in FY 2013; construction is expected to begin in FY 2014.

**Nuckols Crossing Culvert Upgrade**

This project included an upgrade to a low water crossing that overtopped between the 10 – year and 25 – year design storms. Construction was completed in October 2013 and now the crossing will be able to safely pass the 100 – year storm.

**South Shore PUD Storm Drain Improvements Project**

The three-phase project mitigates major flooding of three roadways. Construction on all three phases began in FY 2012. Construction of Phase A was completed in FY 2012, and construction of Phase B and C were completed in FY 2013.

**Little Shoal Creek Storm Drain Improvements**

This project is the first phase in an ongoing effort to improve storm water conveyance in the downtown area that drains to Little Shoal Creek. This project relocated the Little Shoal Creek Tunnel into the Nueces Street right-of-way and provided upgrades to the storm drain tunnel. The first phase of the project was completed in FY 2014.

## **Watershed Engineering Studies**

In FY 2014, the WPD advanced engineering studies through the FEMA map revision process for the following major watersheds: Boggy, Bull, Carson, Cottonmouth, Dry Creek East, Fort Branch, Shoal, Tannehill, West Bull, Gilleland, Elm, Decker, and Walnut. The information is used to identify flood risk, which can help citizens prepare for flooding, and to assist the City in prioritizing flood mitigation projects to reduce flood risk.

## **Future Flood Control Projects**

**Status:** On-going

During the reporting period the WPD Mission Integration and Prioritization (MIP) Team also continued to explore opportunities to incorporate into projects functionality and design features that have the potential to provide erosion control or water quality enhancements. Typical flood control projects include the upgrade of low water crossings and culverts, the buyout of properties in flood prone areas, channel modifications, storm drain improvements and the construction or modernization of storm water detention facilities. Proposed projects must also comply with the requirements of the City's Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM) and Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM). The WPD MIP Team, along with the LDC and ECM requirements assure that project impacts to water quality and riparian systems are evaluated and minimized. The DCM outlines design, performance and safety criteria for storm water management.

Examples of this include the following projects:

### **Euclid/Wilson Storm Drain Improvements Project**

The project is expected to mitigate major flooding for at least 13 houses/yards and major street flooding. Construction began in 2012, and one of the two major systems was completed in FY 2013. Work on the second system is on hold due to the discovery of significant utility conflicts and is expected to be rebid when the utility has been relocated.

### **Little Walnut Creek Flood Hazard Mitigation – Metric Blvd. to Rutland Drive**

The main branch of Little Walnut Creek from Metric Boulevard to Rutland Drive has a 100-year floodplain that extends beyond the boundary of the creek system and encompasses the lots and buildings of many residential properties. These properties are at a high risk of flooding in large storm events.

The improvement project will include a bypass system under Mearns Meadow Boulevard and an expansion of the existing regional detention facility at Mearns Meadow Park to remove 60 homes from the threat of flooding in a 100 year storm event. The projects will also improve the capacity and safety of roadways that cross the creek in this area.

### **Old San Antonio Road Drainage Improvements**

The existing Slaughter Creek crossing at Old San Antonio Road is overtopped in a 2- year storm event and is inundated in excess of 10 feet in a 25-year event. This road is frequently closed during rain events, creating extremely hazardous conditions for drivers and pedestrians. The improvement project will raise and lengthen the existing bridge structure to safely pass above a 10-year storm event and up to a 100-year storm event. Construction of the roadway improvement should begin in FY 2016.

### **Onion Creek Flood Hazard Mitigation, Ecosystem Restoration, and Recreation Project**

The US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) completed a Reconnaissance Study in 1999 and an Interim Feasibility Study in December 2006 for Onion Creek. The Interim Feasibility Study identified a preferred flood hazard mitigation, ecosystem restoration, and recreational facility project for the lower Onion Creek watershed. Prior to the buyout project, there were approximately 965 residences within the 100-year floodplain in the Onion Creek Forest, Onion Creek Plantation, and Yarrabee Bend neighborhoods. Many of these structures flooded in the October 1998, November 2001, and October 2013 storms. The Corps project proposes to evacuate (via buyout) 483 properties in the 25-year floodplain within these three neighborhoods and convert the bought-out area into a park that includes areas of environmental restoration.

Since 1999 the City has been purchasing properties in the Onion Creek floodplain. As of the end of FY 2014, the City has purchased 420 properties using a combination of City funds and Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) funds from FEMA. In August 2014, the first commitment of federal funding for the project was received and a Project Partnership Agreement between the Corps and the City was executed. \$8.3M of federal funding is expected in FY 2015 to pursue additional buyouts within the project area.

### **Lower Onion Flood Mitigation Buyouts**

In addition to the partnership with Corps to acquire 483 flood-prone properties in the lower part of the Onion Creek watershed, a project is underway to acquire an additional 140 properties at risk of flooding in the 25yr floodplain and approximately 240 properties at risk of flooding in the 100yr floodplain of these neighborhoods. Funding for these buyouts was approved in June 2014 and as part of the FY15 budget. These buyouts are expected to be ongoing for the next several years.

### **Ridgela Storm Drain Improvements**

The project is expected to mitigate major flooding for at least 9 houses/yards, as well as major street flooding along Bull Creek Rd., 39<sup>th</sup> St., and Idelwild Rd. in the Shoal Creek watershed. Construction began in FY 2013 and is expected to be complete in FY 2015.

**Meredith Storm Drain Improvements**

This project is located in the Town Lake watershed, in west Austin near and along Meredith St. Rockmoor Ave., and Raleigh Ave. The project will mitigate localized flooding for at least 6 structural and yard flooding complaints. This project is current in design.

**Del Curto Storm Drain Improvements**

The Del Curto Storm Drain Improvement Project will mitigate the localized flooding of several roadways and at least 10 building and yard complaints. The project area is located in the West Bouldin watershed, an area of the city that is undergoing rapid development. This project is currently in the preliminary engineering phase.

**Gaines Tributary Flood Mitigation**

The Gaines Tributary of Barton Creek is located north of Highway 290 near the “Y” at Oak Hill. The roadways and properties along this tributary are subject to frequent localized and creek flooding as a result of undersized and non-existent storm drain infrastructure, a narrow and constrained creek system, an overflow from the Williamson Creek watershed during large storm events, and changing overland flow patterns. This project, currently in the preliminary engineering phase, will implement solutions to mitigate these flooding problems.

**East Bouldin Annie St. Storm Drain Improvements**

The purpose of this project is to mitigate flooding impacts associated with a failing storm drain system. The contributing project area is primarily residential, generally located in the East Bouldin watershed. The existing storm drain system, both undersized and aged, has deteriorated to the point of needing to be replaced. Approximately 4,000 linear feet of existing storm drain will be evaluated. Once completed it is anticipated that this project will mitigate localized flooding issues for approximately 10 structures. This project commenced in August 2014.

**Whispering Valley/West Cow Path Flood Mitigation Project**

This multi-object project includes improvements for the railroad creek crossing and storm drain installation near Whispering Valley Dr. and West Cow Path. The first phase of the project, and upgrade of the railroad creek crossing, will mitigate flooding for 7 structures in the 100yr floodplain. The second phase of the project will mitigate the impacts of localized flooding for at least 13 buildings and properties. A drainage study is currently underway which will be used for the preliminary engineering and design of phase one.

### **Waller Creek Tunnel Flood Control Project**

The project will consist of an underground storm water bypass tunnel approximately one mile long and 22 feet in diameter beginning in Waterloo Park and ending at Lady Bird Lake near Waller Beach with several side weirs. The tunnel will maintain a constant water flow through the creek, even during dry periods. The tunnel will result in the removal of 12 roadways and 42 buildings from the floodplain, make 28 acres available for development, and will improve water quality in the creek and prevent further erosion. Construction of the tunnel began in July 2011, the plans for the inlet were bid out in August 2011, and construction on the inlet began in mid-November 2011. The outlet was bid out in March 2012. Construction of all tunnel components should be completed in 2015.

### **Watershed Engineering Studies**

In FY 2015, the WPD will begin engineering studies for the Harper's Branch, Eanes, and Onion Creek watershed to create updated floodplain maps. The information is used to identify flood risk, which can help citizens prepare for flooding, and to assist the City in prioritizing flood mitigation projects to reduce flood risk.

## Illicit Discharges Detection and Elimination (Section 3-SWMP)

### **Illicit and Allowable Discharges**

#### **Illicit Discharge Program**

**Status:** On-going

The City's Illicit Discharge Program includes a series of regulatory requirements in City Code to effectively prohibit illicit discharges and improper disposal into the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4). These code requirements are enforced by programs within the City's Watershed Protection Department. City staff investigates suspect facilities or activities, initiates inspections of the premises and connections to the MS4 and works to obtain voluntary compliance with City Code requirements. When voluntary compliance is not obtained, enforcement may escalate to Class C misdemeanor prosecution in Municipal Court or referral to County Court for Class A and B misdemeanor prosecution. In extreme situations, a case may be sent to the Travis County Prosecutor's Office for consideration of felony prosecution at the District Court level. Non-storm water discharges to the City's MS4 are addressed through the City's Illicit Discharge Program.

### **Detection and Elimination of Illicit Discharges Overflows and Infiltration (Wastewater Pipelines)**

**Status:** On-going

Austin Water is responsible for maintaining the integrity of its wastewater collection system to prevent the infiltration or seepage of wastewater into the storm sewer system and waterways. This task is accomplished by using flow monitoring, sewer cleaning, television inspection, smoke testing, dye testing, walking of creeks with sewer line crossings and working with the City's WPD Spills and Complaint Response Program, to determine the location and sources of seepage, exfiltration, and inflow/infiltration.

During the reporting period between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014, the following program tasks were performed by the AW to accomplish the City's inspection and maintenance goals:

- Inspection of 2,528,237 linear feet of wastewater pipeline via television;
- Cleaned 2,298,431 linear feet of wastewater pipeline;

- Smoke tested 109,145 is linear feet of wastewater pipeline
- Rehabilitated 13,439 linear feet of wastewater pipeline through lining of the wastewater collection system (trenchless rehabilitation);
- Replaced 14,882 linear feet of wastewater main pipeline;
- Handled a total of 2,465 requests for wastewater service calls including stop-up, backups and overflows;
- Continued with improved wastewater overflow emergency response time – 91.1% of emergency calls had a crew on site to relieve the problem within one hour or less of the call being dispatched; 99% of calls had a crew on site to relieve problem within two hours or less;
- Continued with improved process for correction, cleanup and investigation of cause of all wastewater overflows, backups, stop-ups, odor complaints, and other problems;
- Continued to provide on-the-spot repair of small leaks in the wastewater collection system as necessary.

### **Overflows and Infiltration (Septic Systems)**

**Status:** On-going

The City of Austin Water Utility (Austin Water) regulates on-site sewage facilities located within its jurisdictional boundaries through the management and implementation of the City's On-Site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) Program. The TCEQ has granted authority to Austin Water to enforce the requirements established in Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 285 and has approved the additional requirements under City Code 15-5. The focus of the program is to abate and/or prevent pollution and injury to the public health from the use of inadequate and/or failing private sewage facilities thus preventing the improper disposal of domestic waste and sewage.

Austin Water's OSSF Program generally applies to all subdivisions or lots within the Austin's Full Purpose jurisdiction, Limited Purpose annexation areas where Health and Safety Codes applies, and all other properties required to comply with city regulations through plat restrictions or legal contractual agreements.

A summary of the OSSF Program activities during the reporting period October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014 has been provided below:

- Reviewed 45 plans for new or modified OSSF;
- Issued 29 permits to construct OSSF;
- Completed 156 site inspections, (e.g., site evaluations, open trench, rock and pipe, and final inspections) to ensure compliance with existing design and installation requirements.
- Conducted 129 inspections to ensure proper abandonment of OSSF; and
- Completed 9 OSSF pollution complaint investigations.

### **Household Hazardous Waste Program**

**Status:** On-going

The City of Austin's Household Hazardous Waste Program serves residents of Austin and Travis County. The Household Hazardous Waste Program provides for weekly collection at a permanent facility with service throughout the week for customers who require home pickups or other accommodations. In January 2015 the program hours will change to be Monday thru Friday 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. and Saturday 7 a.m. to noon. This program benefits Austin area residents by providing convenient, responsible disposal options so that hazardous household wastes are removed from the City's regular liquid (sanitary sewer) and solid waste streams while making homes safer. Proper disposal of hazardous waste also decreases this category of material from being disposed of in vacant yards, easements or storm sewers. Participation levels have increased from 450 households at the initial event to some 17,925 households serviced in Fiscal Year 2013-2014. In total approximately 1,242,900 pounds of household hazardous waste were diverted from City municipal waste streams.

During the reporting period the HHW Program accomplished the following activities:

- Provided drop-off services to 17,925 households in the Austin area;
- Handled a total volume of 1,477,000 pounds of hazardous waste;
- Disposed of 391,674 pounds of flammable materials;
- Disposed of 18,449 pounds of corrosive materials;

- Recycled 84,824 pounds of waste materials; this does not include paint;
- Recycled 258,711 pounds of paint; and
- Recycled 67,695 pounds of waste oil and 4,100 pounds of oil filters.

**NPDES and TPDES Permittee List:**

Summary data is reported in the Section 5 of the system-side Annual Report.

**MS4 Outfall Map:**

MS4 outfall maps available upon request.

**Illicit Discharge Inspection Program**

**Status:** On-going

The City's Illicit Discharge Inspection Program is based primarily on the activities of the Spills and Complaint Response Program (SCRCP) of the Watershed Protection Department. SCRCP staff investigate reports of illicit discharges to the storm sewer system, tracking the route of an illicit discharge and attempting to identify its source and cause. Once an illicit discharge source and cause have been identified, SCRCP staff will work with the responsible party(s) to obtain compliance with City Code requirements, including the coordination of any initial response activities that may be necessary, supervision of remedial activities and possible referral to other more appropriate City programs, such as the Stormwater Discharge Permit Program, that have regulatory and/or permitting authority over the facility.

During the reporting period between October 1, 2013, and September 30, 2014, the SCRCP staff responded to a total of 1,215 incidents that were reported through the 24-Hour Pollution Hotline. A total of 7 illicit plumbing connections were detected and corrected during illicit discharge investigations by the Spill and Complaint Response Program and the Stormwater Discharge Permit Program staff.

## **Spill Prevention and Response**

**Status:** On-going

WPD Spills and Complaint Response Program (SCRCP) maintains a rapid response capability for the investigation of environmental emergencies. When hazardous materials are involved, the SCRCP staff work directly with the Austin Fire Department (AFD) Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team. In these cases, emergency incident notification comes from AFD dispatch. Notification also comes from other agencies such as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), Austin Resource Recovery and through the WPD Pollution Hotline. The hotline operates on a 24-hour basis, thus allowing for after-hours notification of environmental emergencies.

The Spills and Complaint Response Program also responds to non-emergency pollution complaints, which are received from many sources, including:

- private citizens calling the WPD Pollution Hotline directly;
- referrals from other WPD field staff;
- referrals from other City departments such as the Austin Water and the Austin Police Department; and
- referrals from other regulatory agencies such as the TCEQ

The Spills and Complaint Response Program has developed a categorization system for the reports of illegal discharges that are received based on the severity of the incident and the potential to pollute surface water or storm water quality. The categorization system assists in the identification of the speed of response necessary and the tracking of the reports received. The two incident categories are:

- *Priority Incidents* - which pose an immediate threat to water quality, and
- *Non-priority Incidents* - which do not pose an immediate threat to water quality.

During the reporting period the Spills and Complaint Response Program completed the following activities:

- Responded to 706 priority incidents
- Responded to 509 non-priority incidents

As a result of these pollution investigations, the Spills and Complaint Response Program recovered 658,936 gallons and 567 cubic yards of pollutants.

### **Austin Fire Department Special Operations**

**Status:** On-going

The Austin Fire Department hazardous materials response is one of several activities that are the responsibility of the Special Operations Division. The Special Operations Division specializes in maintaining response capabilities to hazardous material spills or other incidents that may endanger human health and safety within the City limits. During the reporting period, the AFD Special Operations Division responded to 1,393 incidents, of which 16 were at facilities that have been identified as requiring AFD Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permits (see Industrial and High Risk Runoff).

## Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operation (Section 4-SWMP)

### **Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping Program**

**Status:** On-going

The Stormwater Discharge Permit Program (SDPP) staff conducted site inspections of 67 City operations that already have a SDPP permit to verify compliance with stormwater regulations. An additional 43 site visits were conducted at City owned properties, not previously visited by inspectors to verify compliance and to determine if site activities require the SDPP coverage. Of the 43 City owned properties visited, WPD identified 3 which required SDPP permits.

SDPP staff continue to expand their educational and outreach efforts to other departments within the City. During the reporting period staff:

- Assisted organizers of the Blacklight 5k Run at the Travis County Exposition Center property to ensure proper cleanup and disposal of “blacklight powder”, a glowing powder thrown on runners as part of the event.
- Provided guidance to organizers of the Creek Show-Light night to ensure proper removal and disposal of chinks, paints and other material used on the banks of Waller Creek as part of the art exhibit.
- Assisted City of Austin Parks and Recreation staff with end of season swimming pool water discharges by testing the water to ensure complete removal of chlorine prior to releasing the water to area waterways. Reviewed and provided guidance on debris removal following significant rain events at Barton Springs Pool.
- Assisted the Austin/Travis County Health and Human Services Department maintenance staff in developing the most effective BMP’s for pressure washing graffiti on retaining walls.
- Provided educational documents to City fleet Services to assist with the development of “standard operating procedures for fueling” and a separate safety inspection form to be utilized by individual fueling sites.
- Assisted City staff and contractors associated with the Waller Creek Tunnel (Capital Improvement Project) during several significant flood events, including the 2013 Halloween Flood. Staff ensured adequate sampling of the water in the flooded work area prior to discharging to the lake and is currently working with the contractors on a future dewatering plan. In addition, WPD staff conducts routine compliance inspections of the 3 main contractors working on the Waller Creek Tunnel.

- Obtained a contractor to assess potential spill risks to the Waller Creek tunnel with the goal of establishing a future spill response plan for the tunnel.
- Inspected WPD's storm sewer cleaning program's dewatering operation for compliance with storm water rules.
- Assisted AFD with the purchase and use of a portable incinerator for wildland fires fuels management activities. Staff advised them on ash residue disposal requirements and collaborated with AFD on a fuel mitigation project plan.
- WPD worked closely with AW to update a service agreement regarding protocols for BMP's for the protection of water quality. The outcome of this collaboration serves to assist the City of Austin in maintaining full compliance with Federal and State environmental regulatory requirements.
- WPD continues to conduct annual groundwater sampling of the City hall parking garage sup system to ensure only clean uncontaminated groundwater is discharged to the storm sewer system and eventually Lady Bird Lake.
- WPD established an agreement with City Department of Public Works, Street n Bridge Division on the proper application and recovery of roadway chip sealant in a manner that does not impact area storm sewers and waterways.
- Sampled pressure washing wastewater from walkway cleaning of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Street district and prescribed BMP's to ensure compliance with water quality regulations.

### **Waste Handling**

**Status:** On-going (see Section 1-SWMP) Structural Control Maintenance

All materials removed from structural control maintenance activities are taken to an acceptable local landfill.

### **Pesticide Herbicide and Fertilizer Application Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Program**

**Status:** On-going

The Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Program is a City-wide program that actively coordinates educational outreach activities and information to commercial license pesticide applicators, retail nurseries, the landscaping community, City owned facilities and the general public to promote the use of environmentally sound herbicide, pesticide and fertilizer management practices.

The IPM Program, managed by the Watershed Protection Department (WPD) is responsible for the following activities:

- Implementation of an IPM public education campaign;
- Provide guidance to City of Austin departments and programs in pest management issues;
- Review IPM plans when they are required in the land development review process;
- Assist implementation of IPM agreements between the City and specific private local golf course;
- Ensure compliance of the Save or Springs (SOS) water quality initiative via review of IPM plans required for private development projects in the Barton Springs – Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone.
- City of Austin administered the TPDES Pesticides General Permit TXG870000, December 2011.
- Maintain pesticide application and pesticide applicator license records for all city departments that use pesticides, except Austin Energy (they have a separate PGP).
- Management of Invasive Species Management Plan

The target audiences for these activities are:

- Homeowners and the general public in the Austin area;
- Professional communities including those who design, install and manage outdoor areas;
- Retail distributors of pest control products and gardening supplies;
- City of Austin employees;
- City of Austin employees responsible for pest management and grounds maintenance.

During the reporting period between October 1, 2013, and September 30, 2014, the IPM Program accomplished the following:

- Coordinated with the WPD education staff to distribute brochures and other IPM materials to the general public, retailers, City staff and pest management contractors. Detailed information related to this item can be found Section 7 (Enforcement Actions, Inspections and Public Education Programs) of the annual report;

- The WPD Education staff hosted 15 Grow Green trainings for homeowners and landscape professionals, by staffing a booth at an environmental event, or gave a presentation. IPM techniques are be addressed during the landscape professional trainings. Texas Department of Agriculture continuing education credits are provided for TDA licensed pesticide applicators. Detailed information related to this item can be found in Section 7 of the annual report;
- Developed a draft internal city-wide IPM Guiding Template to address pesticide policy issues in existing departmental IPM and land management plans, as well as those that are not addressed in any existing plan. The document also identifies standard operating procedures and best management practices for pesticide use;
- Administered the internal IPM Program, providing guidance to various City departments related to pest management activities;
- Administered an IPM Review Program for private development projects. Forty-seven private and public development IPM plans were reviewed for compliance with City code;
- Administered the Invasive Species Management Plan;
- Updated online site development IPM plan application process;
- Served on the Southern Region IPM Advisory Committee;
- Provided Structural Pest Control Service support;
- Austin Water Center for Environmental Research, a partnership of the City of Austin, The University of Texas at Austin, and Texas A&M University) hosted the Texas Department of Agriculture Structural Pest Control Service’s Austin area exams and classes. These Structural Pest Control Service classes and exams are provided for Austin area pest control and landscape management businesses, local school district employees and local governmental agency staff involved in pest control and landscape maintenance. Structural Pest Control Service training emphasizes the use of Integrated Pest Management for pest control, termite control, structural fumigation and weed control to reduce the use of chemicals in the environment.

### **List of Municipal Facilities**

**Status:** On-going (see Appendix G)

## Industrial and High Risk Runoff (Section 5 SWMP)

### **Industrial and High Risk Inspection Program**

**Status:** On-going

The Industrial and High Risk Program is based on the activities of the Austin Fire Department (AFD) and the Watershed Protection Department (WPD) programs.

### **Hazardous waste treatment, disposal or recovery facilities and facilities subject to SARA Title III**

The AFD Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit Program is responsible for the inspection and permitting (three year permit term) of Austin facilities that store hazardous materials. During the reporting period, the AFD Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit Program continued these activities, maintaining information on 2,227 permit locations (252 are Tier II sites) and inspecting 463 facilities.

### **Inactive Municipal Landfills**

The Watershed Protection Department (WPD) is responsible for periodic visual inspection of inactive municipal landfill sites and inspection at initiation of remediation activities at selected sites. During the reporting period WPD staff completed inspections at the following locations in association with mitigation activities:

- Waste Management, Inc., Industrial Waste Unit** At the request of the City Council, Watershed Protection Department staff began working with Waste Management, Inc. (WMI) staff in 2001 to develop a groundwater-monitoring plan for the Austin Community Landfill Industrial Waste Unit (IWU). The Austin Community Landfill IWU is a closed industrial liquid waste disposal area that was operated in the 1970s and received large quantities of solvents, acids and other industrial liquid wastes. Citizens have been concerned that the IWU might be leaking and that monitoring of groundwater and surface water is inadequate to detect leakage before it causes environmental impact. In 2002 an agreement between the City and Waste Management, Inc. was finalized that requires WMI to conduct additional groundwater monitoring near the IWU. Placement of additional cover over the IWU was also required to prevent infiltration of storm water. The City continues to receive and review these monitoring reports and will work with WMI and/or the TCEQ to address any identified problems.

- **Brinkley-Anderson Landfill** – This abandoned landfill is located in northeast Austin near the intersection of Highway 183 and U.S. 290 East and is located on the east bank of Little Walnut Creek. Watershed Protection Department staff has been working with the owners of the Salado at Walnut Creek Apartments, which overlie a portion of the landfill, to address leachate discharges to the creek from their drainage facility. The owner’s consultant has designed a system to redirect that leachate to the sanitary sewer system. The system was approved by TCEQ in 2009, and subsequently submitted to the City for review. Once finally approved, it is anticipated that the owner will begin installation and construction in 2011. As of December 2014, the owner has not submitted final plans for installation.
- **Lott Avenue Dump Site** – This small dumping area was discovered in early 2010 as a result of a citizen complaint regarding trash in a tributary of Fort Branch Creek. After large areas of surface dumping were removed from the stream channel by Watershed Protection Department crews; buried waste was discovered in the banks of the creek in several areas. The waste appears similar to the Rosewood site, likely ash from burned municipal-type waste. In 2012, the City began design of remediation for the site. Design work continued in 2013-2014 and construction is expected to begin in mid-2016.

### **Industrial facilities that the municipality determines are contributing a substantial pollutant loading to the municipal storm sewer system**

The Stormwater Discharge Permit Program (SDPP) is responsible for identifying facilities that may be contributing a substantial pollutant load to the City's municipal storm sewer system (MS4) and establishing a database of industrial and high-risk facilities discharging to the City's MS4 within the Austin city limits.

During the reporting period, SDPP staff continued to contact industrial facilities which according to their listed SIC codes, were required to obtain a Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) under the State’s TPDES permit program.

Staff provided facilities notification regarding the issuance of the MSGP, instructed facilities to confirm their permit eligibility and provided instructions for obtaining permit coverage or no exposure certification. Facilities were directed to complete the appropriate forms, submit originals to the State and forward a signed copy of either their Notice of Intent (NOI) or No Exposure Certification (NEC) to the City of Austin.

Facilities declaring a non-industrial status were required to sign and return a City of Austin Non-Industrial Facility Declaration Form and were advised to update their SIC code to one that accurately reflects their business activities.

In addition, SDPP staff also focused efforts on those facilities that may not be subject to the MSGP requirements, but are believed to have the potential to contribute pollutant loads to the MS4. During the reporting period, the staff permitted 1,032 facilities (both MSGP and non-MSGP) and inspected 455 facilities within the City's Full Purpose Jurisdiction. As a result of these efforts, the SDPP recovered approximately 3,433 gallons and 37 cubic yards of pollutants.

### **Underground Storage Tank Leak Protection Program**

The Underground Storage Tank Leak Detection Program (UST) continued to focus efforts on all permittable facilities with underground storage tanks found within both the Barton Springs Zone and the Full Purpose City Limits. The UST Program staff conducted inspections of identified facilities, ensuring compliance with City Water Quality Codes, including proper storage, monitoring and leak detection activities. The UST Program staff recommend best management practices and provide educational materials applicable to each operation as needed and during permit renewals. The UST Program issued both storage and/or construction permits to identified facilities in the Barton Springs Zone. During the reporting period, the UST Program issued 19 construction permits; renewed 51 (underground) hazardous materials storage permits (for a three-year period) and completed 88 inspections in the targeted Barton Springs Zone area.

## Construction Site Runoff (Section 6-SWMP)

### **Site Development Plan Regulations**

**Status:** On-going

The Planning and Development Review Department (PDRD) continued the site plan review program functions within the City's planning jurisdiction. The PDRD reviews subdivision and site plan applications within the City and the ETJ for compliance with water quality regulations regarding water quality zones, impervious cover limitations, erosion and sedimentation controls, site disturbances, permanent final stabilization, cut and fill, water quality controls, spoil disposal, storm sewer discharges, wastewater restrictions, roadways, where applicable. The WPD reviews applications for compliance with critical environmental features, including wetlands. Detailed information related to the program activities have been described in the "Areas of New and Significant Redevelopment" component of this section.

### **Inspection of Sites During Construction**

**Status:** On-going

The Environmental Inspectors from PDRD are responsible for inspecting construction projects for compliance with City Code requirements. Staff developed a Pre-Construction Handout to educate the contractors and developers and help guide them through the City's inspection and enforcement procedures. The handout has detailed diagrams and information on construction of water quality and drainage ponds, maintenance requirements for BMP's, spill response contacts, TPDES permitting information and contacts. Inspectors review the approved erosion sedimentation plan for placement and maintenance of erosion controls, water quality and drainage construction, and site restoration activities. City inspectors conduct a Pre-Construction meeting with the owner's representative, engineer, and contractor, and all inspection staff, to review construction phase activities, go over the plans and pre-construction handout (copy provided to contractor) and answers any questions, and follow up with regular site inspections.

During the reporting period, Environment Inspectors:

- Conducted 20,824 inspections at commercial construction sites and 18,487 inspections at residential construction sites to ensure compliance with City Code requirements;
- Inspected 92% of the 1053 permitted commercial sites monthly.
- Achieved 91% compliance rate at the inspected commercial sites;
- Issued 118 stop-work orders due mostly to inadequate erosion and sedimentation controls and/or development activities without the required approved site plan, or permits.

**Education and Outreach Program for Construction Site Operators**

**Status:** On-going

During the reporting period, the City continued the Education and Outreach Program for construction site operators, including the following activities:

- Provided written materials related to local, state and federal regulatory requirements and technical guidance and non-technical information to the development, construction and engineering communities as well as the general public on an on-going basis at several City offices, upon request and at training workshops.
- Continued meeting with development, construction and engineering communities as well as City staff during the design, development review and site construction phases of projects.
- Continued internal training of City inspection, review and project management staff related to changing state and federal regulatory requirements associated with construction activities.
- Provided technical guidance and information on a request basis related to compliance with the TCEQ Construction General Permit (CGP).

## Public Education and Involvement (Section 7-SWMP)

### **Public Education**

#### **Water Quality Education and Awareness Programs**

**Status:** On-going

The public education and awareness efforts of the City of Austin encompass a wide variety of water quality-related programs. The Watershed Protection Department, Austin Resource Recovery, and Austin Water Utility Departments each have programs that provide water quality protection and pollution protection education to citizens in the Austin area.

Detailed information on the City's public education program efforts during the reporting period have been provided in Section 7 (Enforcement Actions, Inspections & Public Education Programs) of the annual report.

### **Public Involvement and Participation**

#### **Community Education**

**Status:** On-going

#### ***Barton Springs Watershed and Other Watersheds within the Barton Springs Zone***

During the reporting period, the Watershed Education Section of the Watershed Protection Department:

- Created interpretive signage about the endangered Barton Springs and Austin Blind salamanders, hydrology of the Edwards Aquifer, the history of the springs, and the importance of stewardship.
- Created signs in English and Spanish to display at Eliza Spring and Sunken Gardens to raise awareness about the endangered salamanders and activities that are not allowed in the area.
- Updated signage in English and Spanish for Upper Barton Springs to encourage citizens to protect the endangered salamander's habitat.
- Maintained the sign at Barton Springs Pool displaying selected monitoring data and related information for swimmers;

- Continued to provide materials such as an audio tour of Barton Springs Pool that citizens can stream on their phones, the “ Who’s swimming with you?” brochure in both English and Spanish, and salamander masks;
- Provided several pilot neighborhoods Grow Green landscaping education
- Continued funding for the Splash! groundwater education exhibit;
- Installed 51 storm drain markers in the Barton Creek Watershed.

## Monitoring Programs (Section 8-SWMP)

### **Dry Weather Screening**

**Status:** On-going

Watershed Protection Department staff are responsible for the dry weather screening activities. Detailed information on the City's Dry Weather Screening activities during the reporting period have been provided in Section 4 (Summary of Monitoring and Other Data) of the annual report.

### **Wet Weather Screening**

**Status:** On-going

Watershed Protection Department staff are responsible for wet weather screening activities. Five (5) watersheds were screened during the reporting period. Detailed information on the wet weather screening activities for these five watersheds has been provided in Section 4 (Summary of Monitoring and Other Data) and (Appendix D) of the annual report.

### **Industrial and High Risk Monitoring**

**Status:** On-going

The Industrial and High Risk Monitoring Program is the responsibility of the Pollution Prevention and Reduction Section of the Watershed Protection Department. Per the Industrial and High Risk Monitoring Program description submitted to EPA, facilities will be required to submit copies of monitoring reports sent to the NPDES permitting authority as required by the applicable storm water permit requirements. Stormwater Discharge Permit Program within the Pollution Prevention and Reduction Section is responsible for identifying facilities that fall under TPDES rules for industrial and high-risk facilities discharging to the MS4 City of Austin Full Purpose jurisdiction. Staff submitted one enforcement referral to the TCEQ during this reporting period. See details in Section 4 (Summary of Monitoring and Other Data) of the report.

**Floatables Monitoring Program****Status:** On-going

Detailed information on this program can be found in the "Illicit Discharges and Improper Disposal" component of this section.

**Area-Specific Monitoring Programs****Status:** On-going**Sediment Screening**

Two sediment samples were collected from within Barton Springs Pool. Additional sediment samples were collected at Eliza, Old Mill and Upper Barton Springs, karst springs related to Barton Springs (see Appendix E). An extended list of constituents in sediment were analyzed at all the springs in the May sampling event, and a reduced list of constituents were analyzed in the February sampling event at Barton Springs. A field replicate quality assurance sample was collected from Barton Springs associated with the May sampling event. Results of all the sediment sampling activities that occurred during the reporting period have been summarized Section 4 (Summary of Monitoring and Other Data) of the report.

**Barton Creek Monitoring**

As required, Watershed Protection Department conducted a variety of ambient and storm water monitoring along Barton Creek and Barton Springs. A brief description of the types of monitoring conducted during the reporting period is listed below.

- Baseflow water quality sampling and collection of benthic macroinvertebrate data on a semi-annual basis using the Environmental Integrity Index (EII)
- Collection of benthic macroinvertebrate data on a semi-annual basis at a minimum of four representative locations along the mainstem of Barton Creek within the Barton Springs Zone.

- Storm water monitoring at USGS-type stations along the main stem of Barton Creek within the Barton Spring Zone; selected sites to characterize storm water influences and flow during storm events, a minimum of three sites will be sampled. The composite samples were analyzed for nutrients, metals, field and physical parameters.
- Regular spring outlet and surface water sampling will continue at Barton Springs Pool. The frequency was sufficient to identify trends that threaten this water resource in a timely manner. Sampling occurred on a monthly basis and included analysis for nutrients and Total Suspended Solids (TSS).
- Comprehensive water quality sampling at Barton Springs and other associated spring outlets on an annual basis. Samples were analyzed for an extensive suite of parameters, including metals, volatiles, semivolatiles, bacteria and selected pesticides and herbicides. Parameters approaching levels of concern or detected frequently will be examined biannually.
- A data logger was continually deployed (except for maintenance and data retrieval) at a cave at the bottom of Barton Springs Pool to collect basic physical parameters.

A summary of the Barton Creek monitoring activities has been provided in Section 4 (Summary of Monitoring and Other Data) of the report.

### **Environmental Integrity Index (EII)**

During the five year permit period, the Environmental Resource Management (ERM) Division of the WPD continued to monitor and assess the ecological integrity and the degree of impairment of creeks within the watersheds of the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ) utilizing the Environmental Integrity Index (EII) (<http://austintexas.gov/department/environmental-integrity-index>). During this reporting period, ERM staff conducted EII assessments of the Onion Creek, Bear Creek, Eanes Creek, Little Barton Creek, Little Bear Creek and Slaughter Creek watersheds located within the Barton Springs Zone. Additional information related to the monitoring activities has been provided in Section 4 (Summary of Monitoring and Other Data) of the report.

## **Mapping and Identification of Resources**

**Status:** On-going

### **Karst Features Mapping**

During the reporting period, Watershed Protection Department staff continued to conduct field investigations and karst features mapping activities designed to better understand recharge that contributes to Barton Springs as well as delineate and quantify sources of recharge where protection efforts may be focused. During field investigations, staff continued to map features within the permit area that were found to be habitat for listed endangered species and other species of concern. The drainage areas to each feature are also identified during the mapping activities.

## **Section 2. Proposed Changes to the Storm Water Management Program**



## **2. Proposed Changes to the Storm Water Management Program**

### **Introduction**

As required by Parts III.H.1. and IV.C.3.c. of the issued permit, a review of the current Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) was conducted for this reporting year 2013 to 2014.

### **Proposed Modifications**

#### *Global Changes*

Grammatical, typographical, and other incidental, non-substantive changes were made throughout the SWMP document.

On June 27-July 11, 2014 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Austin Region Office conducted an investigation of the City of Austin TPDES MS4 Storm Water Permit No.WQ0004705000. No violations were documented. However a comment was made to revise the SWMP to better reflect program compliance activities.

#### *Section-Specific Changes*

### **Section 1 MS4 Maintenance Activities**

#### Part A. Structural Controls Maintenance and Inspection Activities

Revised to more accurately reflect current program activities

### **Section 2 Post-Construction Storm Water Control Measures:**

October 17, 2013, the Austin City Council passed a new Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO) to improve creek and floodplain protection; prevent unsustainable public expense on drainage systems; simplify development regulations where possible; and minimize the impact on the ability to develop land. Minor revisions to this section have been made to include changes from the new ordinance. A new Table 6 was added. The Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan was adopted by the City Council in June of 2012, and is being implemented over the next few years, as described in revisions to the Areas of new Development and Significant Redevelopment.

### **Section 3 Illicit Discharges Detection and Elimination**

Austin Water – On-site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Program amended Chapter 15-5 of the Austin City Code relating to the City’s requirements, policies, and enforcement actions for OSSF. The proposed changes were presented to and approved by City Council on October 3, 2013. The revised ordinance was approved by the TCEQ on October 30, 2013. The modifications to Section 3.C. of the SWMP to better describe the program based on the code changes have been reflected in the SWMP included in Appendix A of this report.

### **Section 8. Monitoring Programs**

#### **Part D. Barton Springs Zone Specific Monitoring**

The City of Austin proposes to rename this section to “Water Quality and Biological Monitoring.” This section would report on the sediment, water quality and biological monitoring conducted by the City of Austin Watershed Protection Department from the Barton Springs Complex, and from 49 surface water creek watersheds across the plan area. All of the same “Barton Creek Monitoring” data will continue to be reported as it has been previously, but in different sections with more specific titles. The reorganization will improve the readability of the Annual Permit report, as well as provide a more comprehensive review of activities conducted by the City of Austin.

##### **Part D (1). Sediment Screening**

The City of Austin proposes only to rename this section to “Barton Springs Complex Sediment Monitoring.” The content of this section is unchanged and would continue to report quarterly sediment sample analyses for selected metals, semi-volatile organics (PA`H), petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) and pesticides from the Barton Springs Complex. Two sediment samples will continue to be provided from Barton Springs per year and one sample per year will continue to be provided from Eliza Springs, Old Mill Springs, and Upper Barton Springs where accumulations of sediment and flow conditions allow for sampling.

##### **Part D (2). Barton Creek Monitoring**

The City of Austin proposes only to rename this section to “Barton Springs Complex Water Quality Monitoring.” This section will continue to report on the intensive Barton Springs Complex water quality monitoring activities that have been previously included in the plan. The frequency of monitoring will be sufficient to identify temporal trends in the water quality of the Barton Springs Segment of the Edwards Aquifer. Remove Appendix C this reporting available upon request, and already in Section 4 of Annual Report.

### **Part D (3). Environmental Integrity Index (EII).**

The City of Austin will continue to report on the Environmental Integrity Index (EII) program. The EII is the primary routine non-storm surface water monitoring program of WPD (COA 1997), and is a critical component of the WPD master planning process (COA 2001). EII scores for the six existing sub-indices including biological monitoring will be reported for all reaches sampled within the reporting year. The EII program currently monitors 49 watersheds, including all of the major creeks within the Barton Springs Zone. Watersheds are sampled on a rotating two-year basis, such that all watersheds are sampled once every two years. The EII sub-index score for each watershed sampled will be reported annually, as well as the change in the overall score relative to baseline historic scores. The watersheds within the Barton Springs Zone, sampled within the reporting period will be highlighted in the Section 4-Monitoring of the annual report.

### **Part D (4). Karst Features Mapping**

The City of Austin proposes to replace the existing Section 8 Part D (4) “Karst Feature Mapping” with “Critical Environmental Feature Protection”. This section will describe the identification of all critical environmental features within the plan area, and summarizes efforts to establish permanently protective buffers around these features.

Critical environmental features (CEF) are defined by City of Austin Code and include wetlands, springs, seeps, rim rocks, bluffs, sinkholes and caves. Protective buffers from 150 to 300 feet are established to protect the character and function of CEF during and after the development process. These buffers are critical to maintaining the quality and quantity of recharge to karst aquifers, maintaining the stability of vertical rock outcrops, and maintaining the water quality functions of wetlands. During the site development permit application process, City of Austin staff review site plans for large-scale residential and commercial development to ensure that critical environmental features are properly identified and buffered from developed. The number of CEF’s and protective buffers (for CEF’s) established by City of Austin staff annually will be reported.

### **Summary**

None of the above changes affect the program activities or City’s compliance responsibilities of the SWMP. The revised SWMP is included in Appendix A in the Annual Report.

### **Section 3. Revisions to Assessment of Controls and Fiscal Analysis**



### **3. REVISIONS TO ASSESSMENT OF CONTROLS AND FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Introduction**

As required by Part IV.C.4.c. of the permit, the City of Austin has reviewed the assessment of controls and the fiscal analysis reported in the City's permit renewal application. Based on the review, the City has no information to update in either the assessment of controls or the fiscal analysis.

#### **Assessment of Controls**

No revisions to the assessment of controls submitted in the City's permit renewal application are warranted at this time.

#### **Fiscal Analysis**

The amount of funding for each program included in the City of Austin Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) has not changed since the last reporting period. The FY 13-14 Fiscal Analysis is provided in Section 6 of the Annual Report. Funding for each program is dependent upon the collection of adequate revenues and the allocation of these funds to the programs each year by the City Council during the budget approval process.



## **Section 4. Summary of Monitoring and Other Data**



## **4. SUMMARY OF MONITORING AND OTHER DATA**

### **Introduction**

As required by Part IV.C.4. of the City's permit, a summary of the data, including monitoring data that is accumulated throughout the year has been included in the system-wide annual report. During the reporting period between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014, the City of Austin conducted sampling activities associated with the Representative and Rapid Bioassessment Component monitoring requirements. Sampling activities associated with the Representative Monitoring Program consisted of storm water sampling, and the Rapid Bioassessment component. Information related to all the City's TPDES monitoring efforts has been provided as follows.


### **Representative Monitoring**

The Watershed Protection Department (WPD) staff is responsible for the City of Austin's Representative Monitoring Program. The principal objectives in the effort to satisfy the representative monitoring requirements for the City of Austin's municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) permit are to characterize not only the quality and quantity of storm water discharges, but the effect these discharges may have on aquatic environments in the Austin area. These objectives were met through the continued implementation of a monitoring program composed of traditional chemical water quality measures and biological integrity assessments. Seven streams that receive storm water discharges from Austin's MS4 have been selected to represent the variety and intensity of development pressures on Austin's surface water resources. An overview of Austin area watersheds and the representative monitoring site locations has been included in Figure 1.

### **Storm Water Sampling Component**

The storm water monitoring component of the program consists of four monitoring sites at outfalls located within three watersheds. Pertinent information about each monitoring location has been included in Table 1.

**Figure 1.** City of Austin Representative Monitoring Locations



**Table 1.** Storm Water Monitoring Site Locations

| Watershed                | Site No. | Monitoring Site Location            | Drainage Area (Acres) | Land Use                  | Receiving Water Body (Segment No.) |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Bear Creek</b>        |          |                                     |                       |                           |                                    |
|                          | 001      | Bear Creek @ FM 1826                | 3563                  | Undeveloped               | 1427                               |
| <b>Waller Creek</b>      |          |                                     |                       |                           |                                    |
|                          | 002      | Waller Creek @ 23 <sup>rd</sup> St. | 2524                  | Mixed Urban               | 1429                               |
| <b>Fort Branch Creek</b> |          |                                     |                       |                           |                                    |
|                          | 003      | Fort Branch near Webberville Road   | 1600                  | Residential (Mixed) Urban | 1428                               |
| <b>Blunn Creek</b>       |          |                                     |                       |                           |                                    |
|                          | 004      | Blunn Creek near Little Stacey Park | 786                   | Mixed Urban               | 1429                               |

### Sample Collection and Analysis

The City of Austin has chosen to utilize the rapid bioassessment monitoring option. As described in the TPDES Permit No. WQ0004705000, Part IV.A.2.b., the MS4 will only be reporting on storm water monitoring events in permit years one and four.

### Seasonal Loadings and Event Mean Concentrations

As required by Part IV.A.2.4 of the permit, the City is required to provide the seasonal loadings and event mean concentrations (EMCs) for the parameters listed in Part IV.A.1.a.(1). of the permit for each of the four storm water outfall monitoring locations, in reporting year four of the permit term. This report includes information for reporting year three; as such no seasonal loading or event mean concentration information has been included in this report.

### Rapid Bioassessment Component

The Environmental Integrity Index (EII) (<http://austintexas.gov/department/environmental-integrity-index>) is the primary routine non-storm, surface water monitoring program of the Watershed Protection Department (WPD) (COA1997), and is a critical piece of the WPD master planning process (COA 2001). In accordance with the approved rapid bioassessment monitoring program; the City of Austin performs EII studies on the following four watersheds on a semi-annual rotation: Barton Creek, Onion Creek, Walnut

Creek, and Bull Creek. During this reporting period, the City of Austin was required to monitor the Onion Creek, and Bull Creek watersheds as part of the representative monitoring program, see Figure 1. Sample sites within each watershed are selected for each defined sampling reach, with reaches representing contiguous areas of similar geomorphology and anthropogenic impacts. Each watershed is monitored for six index components: water quality, sediment quality, contact recreation, aesthetics, physical integrity, and aquatic life support. Water quality samples are collected quarterly, and data are collected for all other components once per sampling year. Each of the six components are averaged by site to produce the overall EII score. The aquatic life support score integrates benthic macroinvertebrate data collected using Surber samplers and periphyton (diatoms) collected from rock scrapings. EII scores are reported on 100-point basis and are associated with narrative score descriptions, see (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Narrative EII score descriptions

| Narrative Score | EII Score Range |       |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
|                 | Lower           | Upper |
| Excellent       | 89              | 100   |
| Very Good       | 76              | 88    |
| Good            | 64              | 75    |
| Fair            | 51              | 63    |
| Marginal        | 39              | 50    |
| Poor            | 26              | 38    |
| Bad             | 13              | 25    |
| Very Bad        | 0               | 12    |

The EII narrative scores for all the EII watersheds sampled during the reporting period are found in Table 5; watersheds in the Barton Springs Zone of the Edwards Aquifer are indicated with an asterisk (\*) and watersheds monitored to fulfill permit requirements have been highlighted. None of the quarterly water quality sampling events at Rattan Creek yielded flowing water conditions, and thus no water quality samples were collected for this watershed during this reporting period. Due to lack of flow during the spring biological sampling event, no biological data was collected from Eanes Creek during the reporting period. EII sampling in was conducted in 28 watersheds see (Table 5, Figure 2).


A total of 54 different reaches within the 28 watersheds were visited approximately 5 times for the EII program. The watersheds which required EII sampling this reporting period (Bull and Onion creeks) are highlighted in Table 5. Data and resulting analyses obtained from monitoring additional watersheds are included for informational purposes only. Data from Barton and Walnut Creek will be submitted in FY 14-15 as part of the two-year rotational cycle of the EII.

**Table 5.** Total EII scores by watershed for FY 13-14 EII component. Rapid Bioassessment watersheds highlighted. Watersheds containing the Barton Springs Segment of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone noted with an asterisk (\*).

| NAME                 | Watershed EII Score |                  | Water     | Sediment  | Contact Rec | Aesthetics | Habitat   | Aquatic Life | # Sites  |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| Bear Creek*          | 81                  | Very Good        | 72        | 77        | 93          | 75         | 77        | 92           | 2        |
| Bear Creek West      | 83                  | Very Good        | 77        | 74        | 76          | 86         | 84        | 98           | 1        |
| Bee Creek            | 76                  | Very Good        | 60        | 81        | 77          | 91         | 67        | 81           | 3        |
| <b>Bull Creek</b>    | <b>77</b>           | <b>Very Good</b> | <b>69</b> | <b>61</b> | <b>68</b>   | <b>86</b>  | <b>82</b> | <b>96</b>    | <b>5</b> |
| Carson Creek         | 65                  | Good             | 57        | 78        | 31          | 81         | 72        | 70           | 2        |
| Commons Ford Creek   | 82                  | Very Good        | 77        | 84        | 94          | 76         | 76        | 86           | 1        |
| Cottonmouth Creek    | 61                  | Fair             | 56        | 81        | 80          | 74         | 34        | 42           | 1        |
| Cuernavaca Creek     | 75                  | Good             | 59        | 82        | 75          | 74         | 63        | 94           | 1        |
| Dry Creek East       | 59                  | Fair             | 60        | 79        | 66          | 40         | 43        | 67           | 2        |
| Dry Creek North      | 72                  | Good             | 70        | 61        | 53          | 83         | 81        | 86           | 1        |
| Eanes Creek*         | 43                  | Marginal         | 58        |           | 32          | 58         | 67        | 0            | 1        |
| Lake Creek           | 74                  | Good             | 64        | 75        | 73          | 77         | 78        | 76           | 3        |
| Little Barton Creek* | 82                  | Very Good        | 66        | 84        | 80          | 81         | 84        | 94           | 3        |
| Little Bear Creek*   | 81                  | Very Good        | 70        | 79        | 82          | 93         | 77        | 87           | 2        |
| Marble Creek         | 65                  | Good             | 61        | 78        | 68          | 63         | 53        | 66           | 2        |
| North Fork Dry Creek | 57                  | Fair             | 62        | 76        | 68          | 53         | 36        | 48           | 1        |
| <b>Onion Creek*</b>  | <b>80</b>           | <b>Very Good</b> | <b>67</b> | <b>83</b> | <b>64</b>   | <b>92</b>  | <b>83</b> | <b>91</b>    | <b>6</b> |
| Panther Hollow       | 72                  | Good             | 64        | 76        | 82          | 70         | 62        | 79           | 1        |
| Rattan Creek         | 59                  | Fair             |           | 67        |             | 73         | 47        | 47           | 2        |
| Rinard Creek         | 74                  | Good             | 78        | 83        | 82          | 65         | 56        | 78           | 2        |
| Running Deer Creek   | 72                  | Good             | 48        | 80        | 31          | 92         | 79        | 99           | 1        |
| Slaughter Creek*     | 77                  | Very Good        | 71        | 75        | 78          | 79         | 74        | 83           | 2        |
| South Boggy Creek    | 59                  | Fair             | 65        | 65        | 50          | 71         | 57        | 47           | 2        |
| South Fork Dry Creek | 63                  | Fair             | 54        | 79        | 63          | 74         | 43        | 65           | 2        |
| Taylor Slough North  | 74                  | Good             | 68        | 71        | 83          | 73         | 81        | 67           | 1        |
| Taylor Slough South  | 57                  | Fair             | 41        | 62        | 31          | 69         | 68        | 68           | 1        |
| Turkey Creek         | 78                  | Very Good        | 67        | 82        | 85          | 75         | 82        | 78           | 1        |
| West Bull Creek      | 74                  | Good             | 65        | 79        | 48          | 78         | 77        | 97           | 2        |


Current total EII watershed scores indicate that 20 of 28 watersheds did score “good” or better in total overall EII score see (Table 5). Bear Creek West, which flows into Lake Bird Lake, yielded highest total overall EII score. Eanes Creek, which flows into Lady Bird Lake, yielded only a “marginal” score and was the lowest scoring watershed.

**Figure 2.** Map of FY2014 EII reach total scores.



The change in the current EII scores was evaluated relative to baseline conditions established from 1996 to 1999 (Figure 3). Change in a score of more than 12 points represents a significant change of at least one narrative category. There were only two significant decreases in EII sampling reach scores. The maximum decrease in EII scores was -27 points, observed in the upper Eanes Creek watershed. Lower Rattan Creek also yielded a significant decrease. Eight watersheds yielded a substantial positive change. The change in scores from baseline assessments were stable (no change) or improved in 58% of sampled reaches. The overall average change was a plus 1 point.

**Figure 3.** Change in FY2014 EII reach total scores from baseline (1996-1999).



## Dry Weather Field Screening Program

No dry weather screening was performed during the 2013-2014 reporting year. Staff did a review of the new storm drain information collected in the past few years to assist in identifying outfalls that may need to be screened. Staff has completed this task and will proceed with screening of both the new and previously identified outfalls over the remaining permit period.

## Wet Weather Screening Program

The Wet Weather Screening (WWS) was performed during FY 2013-2014 in accordance with Part III.B.8.b. (1)(2), as part of the Wet Weather Screening Program. WPD staff is responsible for the WWS Program. During this reporting period 4 of the 25 watersheds were screened, Harpers Branch, Shoal Creek, Waller Creek, and West Bouldin Creek. The Wet Weather reporting sheets are provided in Appendix D.

## Industrial and High Risk Monitoring Program

The Industrial and High Risk Monitoring Program is the responsibility of the Pollution Prevention and Reduction (PPR) Section of the Watershed Protection Department. The Stormwater Discharge Permit Program (SDPP) within the PPR Section is responsible for identifying facilities that may fall under TPDES rules and establishing a database of industrial and high-risk facilities discharging to the City's MS4 within the Austin City Limits. TCEQ's Central Registry is reviewed at least annually for new facilities. SDPP staff did not submit any enforcement referrals to the TCEQ during this reporting period.

## Floatables Monitoring Program

During the reporting period, program staff completed periodic inspections at two boom locations on Lady Bird Lake (Shoal Creek and West Bouldin Creek). A total of 12.1 tons of floatable trash and debris were removed from the two locations during cleaning activities.

## Barton Springs Monitoring

### **Sediment Screening**

Two sediment samples were collected from within Barton Springs Pool in the reporting period. One sediment sample was collected from each of Eliza, Old Mill and Upper Barton springs see (Appendix E). The majority of analytes were less than detection limits as usual. However 4, 4'-DDT was detected in Barton Springs sediment above biological threshold effect concentration (TEC) but below probable effect concentration (PEC) levels. 4, 4'-DDT was detected in all four springs during the May 2014 sampling event, but was always below the PEC level. Arsenic was detected above the TEC but below the PEC in Barton Springs sediment in February 2014. Multiple polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon analytes were detected at values above the laboratory reporting limit in Barton Springs and Eliza Springs in the May 2014 sample. Watershed Protection Department staff are analyzing the data.

### **Barton Springs Biweekly Monitoring**

During the reporting period, WPD staff monitored for conventional water quality parameters, including physical parameters and nutrients, yielding a total of 20 samples from Barton Springs see (Appendix F). Nitrate-nitrogen continues to be problematic at Barton Springs, although the FY 13-14 annual average nitrate-nitrogen concentrations of 1.50 mg/L is slightly less than the 1.67 mg/L and 1.7 mg/L average concentrations reported in FY 12-13, respectively. The FY 13-14 average is still higher than the average value of 1.37 mg/L generated by City of Austin and USGS data collected from 1995 to 2005. The Barton Springs Segment of the Edwards Aquifer experienced 5 periods of recharge (exemplified by increasing Barton Springs Discharge) during the reporting period, but annual average Barton Springs discharge was less than long-term average historic discharge levels.

### **Barton Springs and Associated Springs – Semi-annual and Annual Monitoring**

An expanded list of water chemistry analytes was analyzed from Barton Springs on a quarterly basis see (Appendix F). One sample for organic analytes and four samples for ions and metals were collected from Barton Springs in this reporting period.

Organic analytes in water at Barton Springs were less than detection limits. Petroleum hydrocarbons have been detected in previous samples at Barton Springs at low levels but were not detected in this reporting period. Tetrachloroethene has been detected in water previously and well samples from other locations in the recharge zone have been evaluated by WPD staff to determine if contaminant plumes may be sourced, potentially related to dry cleaning operations which use the solvent. No detected values of tetrachloroethene were observed in this reporting period. Additional water quality measures for conventional analytes and physical parameters were conducted four times at Eliza Springs and Old Mill Springs and three times at Upper Barton Springs see (Appendix F). Upper Barton Springs was dry throughout the 2013 reporting period, but was flowing in 2014. Some metals are not routinely collected for every event at these sites, but all data are reported. One sample was collected from Eliza and Old Mill Springs for an extended list of analytes including organic and volatile parameters in April 2014 see (Appendix F). All organic analytes in water at Eliza and Old Mill springs were less than detection limits.

### **Barton Springs Continuous Monitoring**

A multi-probe data logger has been continually deployed at a spring-fed cave at the bottom of Barton Springs Pool. The units are serviced every three to four weeks for cleaning and recalibration. Field parameter and discharge data continues to be monitored by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) in cooperation with City of Austin staff on a 15-minute interval basis and is available real-time via the web

([http://waterdata.usgs.gov/tx/nwis/dv?referred\\_module=sw&site\\_no=08155500](http://waterdata.usgs.gov/tx/nwis/dv?referred_module=sw&site_no=08155500)).

Physical parameters including temperature, conductivity and dissolved oxygen, turbidity and now pH may be accessed real-time or as daily averages from the USGS website, maintained under contract with the City of Austin. Barton Springs discharge averaged 58.7 ft<sup>3</sup>/s during the reporting year; versus the long-term historic average of 62 ft<sup>3</sup>/s. Maximum discharge was 120 ft<sup>3</sup>/s in October 2013, and a minimum discharge was 27 ft<sup>3</sup>/s. A summary of the multi-probe data is included in Table 8.

**Table 8.** Multi-probe summary data for FY 13-14

| Parameter        | Units              | Day Measured | Mean  | Minimum | Maximum |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Discharge        | ft <sup>3</sup> /s | 365          | 58.7  | 27      | 120     |
| Temperature      | Deg C              | 343          | 21.0  | 19.7    | 21.8    |
| Conductivity     | uS/cm              | 343          | 650.0 | 471     | 692     |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/L               | 343          | 6.1   | 4.6     | 7.3     |
| pH               | SU                 | 334          | 7.07  | 7.0     | 7.3     |
| Turbidity        | FNU                | 340          | 3.1   | 1       | 95      |

### **Environmental Integrity Index**

The Environmental Resource Management (ERM) Division of the WPD has implemented the Environmental Integrity Index (EII) as a tool to monitor and assess the ecological integrity and the degree of impairment of Austin's creek watersheds. The WPD sampled the following Barton Springs Zone watersheds during the reporting period: Onion Creek, Little Bear Creek, Bear Creek, Slaughter Creek, Eanes Creek, and Little Barton Creek. (See Table 5).

## **Section 5. NPDES & TPDES General Permit Summary Data**



## **5. NPDES & TPDES GENERAL PERMIT SUMMARY DATA**

### **Introduction**

As required by Part IV.C.4.d. of the City's permit, a summary of the number of Notices of Intent, Change, Secondary, Termination and Small Construction (CSN) Notices received from construction site operators and industrial facilities seeking NPDES or TPDES coverage for storm water discharges, and number of inspections conducted by the City of Austin at construction sites, and industrial facilities during the reporting period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014 has been included in the system-wide annual report as follows. The City of Austin received the following submissions:

### **TPDES Construction General Permit TXR150000**

- 114 Notices of Intent;
- 48 Notices of Termination;
- 114 Construction Site Notices;
- 23 Notices of Change; 16 Secondary Operator Notices.

### **TPDES Multi-Sector General Permit TXR050000**

- 3 Notices of Intent;
- 1 No Exposure Certifications;
- 0 Notices of Termination.

### **TPDES General Permit TXR830000**

- 1 Notice of Intent.

### **Inspections by the City of Austin**

During the reporting period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014 the City of Austin completed the following:

- 18,494 construction inspections at permitted development sites;
- 16 industrial inspections at facilities that store hazardous materials; and
- 708 industrial inspections at facilities that may be contributing a substantial pollutant load to the City's municipal storm sewer system (MS4).



**Section 6. Annual Expenditures**



## 6. ANNUAL EXPENDITURES

### Introduction

As required by Part IV.C.4.b. of the permit, the City of Austin has compiled annual expenditure information for the reporting periods between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014 and the anticipated expenditures for the reporting period between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015.

### Annual Expenditures

The following expenditure information addresses the major elements of the Storm Water Management Program (SWMP). The data reflects current operation budgets of the City of Austin programs utilized to satisfy the TPDES permit requirements. The expenditure information may in some cases include expenses for activities not directly required by the City's permit.

| <b>Storm Water Management Program Element</b>                  | <b>FY 13-14<br/>Actual</b> | <b>FY 14-15<br/>Budget</b> |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| MS4 Maintenance Activities                                     | 43,950,931                 | 47,662,738                 |
| <sup>1</sup> Post-Construction Storm Water Control Measures    | 4,328,632                  | 4,305,024                  |
| <sup>2</sup> Illicit Discharges Detection and Elimination      | 12,854,711                 | 17,187,228                 |
| Pollution Prevention/good Housekeeping for Municipal Operation | 57,749                     | 57,749                     |
| Industrial and High Risk Runoff                                | 1,154,765                  | 1,267,897                  |
| Construction Site Runoff                                       | 1,792,707                  | 2,070,607                  |
| Public Education   | 1,569,000                  | 1,899,230                  |
| Monitoring Programs  | 704,374                    | 801,869                    |
| <sup>4</sup> <b>Total Expenditures</b>                         | <b>66,412,868</b>          | <b>75,252,342</b>          |

<sup>1</sup>Does not include capital expenditures for construction or retrofit activities.

<sup>2</sup>Does not include capital expenditures for Austin Water.

<sup>4</sup>Total may include expenditures for program activities not directly related to compliance with the City's TPDES Storm Water Permit.



**Section 7. Summary of Enforcement Actions, Inspections & Public Education Programs**



## **7. SUMMARY OF ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS, INSPECTIONS AND PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAMS**

### **Introduction**

As required by Part IV.C.3.d. of the permit, the City of Austin has compiled summary information describing the number and nature of enforcement action, inspections for the reporting period between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014.

### **Inspection Programs and Enforcement Actions**

Various City programs conducted inspections and complaint investigations. A summary of the enforcement and inspection activities of these programs have been summarized below:

#### **Spills and Complaint Response Program**

The Spills and Complaint Response Program (SCRCP) conducted a total of 1,215 incident investigations. Investigations are conducted to prevent, reduce or facilitate recovery of polluting discharges to the MS4, creeks and lakes from commercial, residential, and industrial sources. As a result of those investigations, SCRCP staff initiated 33 enforcement actions citywide, with 2 located within the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ). The SCRCP staff has continued to build a relationship with the criminal prosecutors at the Travis County District Attorney's Office in Austin. During the reporting period, the SCRCP staff referred 13 cases for criminal prosecution.

#### **Stormwater Discharge Permit Program**

The Stormwater Discharge Permit Program (SDPP) conducted 455 inspections of commercial, industrial, and city facilities in the Full Purpose City Limits, 13 of which are in the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ). The activities of these facilities have the potential to discharge pollutants into the storm sewer system and waterways. As a result of these inspections, 16 enforcement actions were initiated due to non-compliant conditions, 2 of which are located in the BSZ. Corrective actions were taken to obtain compliance with the City's water quality code.

**Construction Inspection Program**

The Environmental Inspection Program staff conducted 20,824 inspections at permitted development sites to ensure proper installation and maintenance of erosion and sedimentation controls, BMP's and on-site Drainage and Water Quality controls. Staff issued 118 stop work orders, due mostly to inadequate erosion and sedimentation controls. The Environmental Inspection Program filed 30 misdemeanor complaint cases in municipal court (on 27 separate defendants).

**Underground Storage Tank Inspection and Leak Detection Program**

During the reporting period, the Underground Storage Tank Program issued 19 construction permits; renewed 51 (underground) hazardous materials storage permits (for a 3-year period) and completed 88 inspections in the targeted BSZ area.

**On-site Sewer System Program**

Austin Water's On-site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Program conducted 156 site inspections to ensure compliance with OSSF regulations regarding the installation and modification of on-site sewage facilities. In addition, 129 inspections were conducted to ensure the proper abandonment of existing OSSF. During the reporting period, 9 instances of pollution complaints related to onsite sewage facilities were investigated by Austin Water staff. In the 2013-2014 period Austin Water conducted two (2) free training events for homeowners interested on maintaining their own aerobic system.

**Pond Inspection Program**

The Watershed Protection Department (WPD) continued inspection of residential and commercial ponds throughout the permit area for compliance with City code requirements. WPD staff inspected 866 residential and 1,322 commercial water quality and detention ponds subject to the Land Development Code. The WPD staff mailed a total of 324 Letters of Non-compliance in the effort to resolve problems identified at commercial pond locations throughout the City during inspections.

The Planning & Development Review Department (PDRD) Operating Permit Staff for the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ) conducted 666 inspections of the 275 permitted commercial ponds in the Barton Spring Zone, subject to the (BSZ) Operating Permit program requirements; with staff issuing 16 letters of non-compliance and 49 corrective action punch lists.

**Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit Program**

The Austin Fire Department (AFD) Inspection Services Section conducted inspections at 323 facilities that store hazardous materials. No enforcement actions were necessary to gain compliance.

**Inactive Landfill Inspection Program**

No new sites or unexpected conditions have been found at any known landfills during the reporting period.

**Public Education and Public Involvement Programs**

During the reporting period, several City programs conducted public education campaigns that promote water quality protection, pollution prevention, water conservation, and general non-point source pollution.

**Water Quality Education Program**

**CLEAN CREEK CAMPAIGN**



The partnership between Watershed Protection Department and Keep Austin Beautiful (KAB) has been a very successful partnership. The campaign focuses on one-time creek cleanups, longer commitments through the Adopt-a-Creek program, and in-class education through the Clean Creek Campus program.

The Clean Creek Campus, which provides both litter and water quality education to students, reached over 1,884 elementary students with water quality hands-on lessons in the 2013-2014 school year.

This year the Adopt-a-Creek portion of the campaign continued its enhancement due to the creation of “Grow Zones” along more than twenty creek segments that flow through parks. WPD and KAB are collaborating to enhance volunteer restoration protocols to use along these creek segments and are also developing a series of guided walks for the public to learn more. During the reporting period educational videos were developed on restoration techniques like bare root seedlings and seed islands. See [www.keeptaustinbeautiful.org](http://www.keeptaustinbeautiful.org) and [www.austintexas.gov/watershed/creekside](http://www.austintexas.gov/watershed/creekside).

### **GROW GREEN**



In 2013-2014, the Interdepartmental Grow Green Team held its sixth series of Landscape Professional Training and reached 120 landscape professionals. Additionally, classes for the public were held in the fall and spring and reached 250 citizens. The group also maintains landscape demonstration gardens at the Zilker Botanical Gardens, Howson Library, One Texas Center office building, and the Parks and Recreation Department headquarters. An important addition to the program last year was the creation of four tree care do-it-yourself videos. To date, the mulching video has received more than 5,500 views. [www.GrowGreen.or](http://www.GrowGreen.or)

### **WATER QUALITY EDUCATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

Through the three, fifth-grade elementary programs, Earth Camp, Teacher-Led Earth Camp and Earth School, the Education group was able to reach most AISD fifth-graders during the fiscal year.

- Earth Camp, the four-day outdoor, science-based camp offered to fifth graders in lower socio-economic schools reached 586 students, who showed an improvement in their water quality protection knowledge of 40% between, pre & post-Earth Camp tests.
- Teacher-Led Earth camp, led by classroom teachers who had attended previous Earth Camp sessions reached 769 students
- Earth School, the in-school fifth grade watershed and aquifer reached 4,982 students in Austin ISD and 646 students in Eanes ISD

- Watershed Detectives, a middle school investigative science program: reached 2011 students
- Hydrofiles, a high school aquatic science program: reached 673 students

**Other Performance measures include:**

- Grow Green, the landscaping program to benefit water quality:
  - Number of participating retailers and distribution outlets: 56
  - More than 61,000 Fact Sheets distributed
  - 21,502 hits to the Grow Green website
  - Over 55,000 copies of the full color Native and Adapted Plant Guide have been distributed (an additional 5,586 were sold at cost outside of Austin)
- Storm Drain Marking: 1,557 markers were installed throughout the city
- Scoop The Poop, the pet waste cleanup campaign:
  - Over 1,332,000 pet waste bags were purchased during the year

The Watershed Protection Department (WPD) Pollution Prevention and Reduction Section (PPR), which focuses primarily on pollution prevention education activities, promoted additional public education and awareness programs. During the reporting period the PPR Section accomplished the following:

- Produced new promotional items for our 24-Hour Pollution Hotline which includes car sun shades and cellular phone charger for vehicles.
- East Austin Environmental Initiative (EAEI): One issue of the EAEI newsletter (English and Spanish) was produced and distributed to East Austin residents. Staff participated in several community outreach events. Staff continued collaboration with non-city organizations and other City departments to broaden the scope of information distributed that included water and energy conservation efforts. Staff conducted an outreach event at a local auto supply to promote proper BMP's for home mechanics. Staff worked with Austin Community College and PODER to promote "Water quality in East Austin Watersheds" an educational presentation. New giveaways were developed to promote the EAEI programs (backpacks, lunch totes, bag clips and pens). A new logo for the 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of EAEI were developed with a blog and new educational brochure for this initiative.

- Austin Enviro-Mechanics (AEM) – This program has close to 39 participants in the Community Impact Newspaper and the Austin Energy Utility bill Insert. Clean Water Handbooks were distributed to all SDPP facilities to enhance BMP's among permittees regardless of AEM status.
- Food Service Environmental Assessment Program: This cooperative effort between local food and bar establishments in the East 6<sup>th</sup> St Public Improvement District and the City of Austin WPD aims to improve cleanliness and reduce polluting discharges in downtown alleyways. Staff conducted environmental assessments of 70 food and bar establishments in this district. During the assessment information was gathered about business practices that can lead to pollutant discharges and corresponding corrective actions were recommended when necessary. To support food and bar establishment compliance with water quality regulations, staff provided education on environmental BMP's relating to dumpster use, management of outdoor grease bins and outdoor equipment cleaning. In addition staff conducted three stakeholder meetings with food service operators regarding handling, storage, and removal of used cooking oil in downtown.
- Protection of Environmental Quality during Wildfire Management- Staff continue to collaborate with the Austin Fire Department and other agencies on a local wildfire task force, promoting a science-based and environmentally-sensitive approach to wildfire management. An extensive public education document was further developed to provide citizens with guidance on environmentally-sound property management activities toward wildfire mitigation and recovery. We developed a web page and a page in the upcoming 2014 Austin Preparedness Calendar to provide information to the public regarding how to preserve natural resources while preparing for wildfire. Staff contributed environmental expertise and knowledge of local natural resources during the preparation of the Austin/Travis County Community Wildfire Protection Plan.
- Shade Tree Mechanic Program: An initiative aimed at preventing pollution and water quality degradation associated with home automotive repair. Staff meets with home mechanics at their residence to evaluate their car practices and educate them on BMP's, water quality laws and provide a free oil change bucket for recycling their waste oil. Staff conducted an outreach event at a local auto supply store to distribute program information, and created an on-line survey and participant sign-up tool on the web page.
- Swimming Pool Outreach: Produced a seasonal press release for pool education and placed an article in a local newspaper. Produced an educational door hanger containing educational information about swimming pools; distributed door hangers in an older Austin neighborhood in a water quality protection zone that has a large number of pools plumbed to the creek.

- Trash Education Outreach: Produced a door hanger that provides educational and regulatory information about trash dumping. Distributed the door hanger at business and residents in needed areas throughout Austin.

**Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Program**

During the reporting period the WPD IPM staff provided the following presentations:

| Date     | Presentation/Event   | Audience                |
|----------|--|-------------------------|
|          |  |                         |
| 10/22/13 | Grow Green/IPM/promotion                                     | Citizens                |
| 10/23/13 | Grow Green/IPM   | Citizens                |
| 10/26/13 | Grow Green/IPM   | Citizens                |
| 2/1/14   | Grow Green/IPM   | Citizens                |
| 2/22/14  | GrowGreen/IPM/Booth  | Citizens                |
| 3/29/14  | Grow Green/IPM/Booth   | Citizens                |
| 3/30/14  | Grow Green/IPM   | Citizens                |
| 4/5/14   | Grow Green/IPM   | Citizens                |
| 4/23/14  | Grow Green/IPM   | Citizens                |
| 4/26/14  | Grow Green/IPM/booth   | Citizens                |
| 7/16/14  | Grow Green/IPM/Tree Pests and Solutions                      | Landscape Professionals |
| 7/23/14  | Grow Green/IPM/Environmental Issues with Landscape Chemicals | Landscape Professionals |
| 7/23/14  | Grow Green/IPM/TDA Regulation Changes                        | Landscape Professionals |
| 7/23/14  | Grow Green/IPM/Fire Ant Management                           | Landscape Professionals |
| 7/30/14  | Grow Green/IPM/Personal Protective Equipment                 | Landscape Professionals |

### **Keep Austin Beautiful & Solid Waste Services Anti-litter Education Program**

Keep Austin Beautiful (KAB) is a non-profit organization whose mission is to provide resources and education to inspire individuals & the Austin Community toward greater environmental stewardship.

During the reporting period KAB was involved in many activities including, but not limited to:

- Facilitated 416 cleanups utilizing 25,130 volunteer hours, and removing 121,055 pounds of trash;
- Coordinated the Annual Clean Sweep event. During the event, 3,560 volunteers worked at 112 sites around Austin and collected 22,892 pounds of trash;
- Provided educational presentations to students and community groups, educating 10,973 students and youth;
- Coordinated a Clean Creek Campaign in partnership with Watershed Protection including creek cleanups, Adopt-A-Creek program, a Clean Lady Bird Lake initiative, and education for students;
- Coordinated 108 cleanups of Lady Bird Lake, with 1,615 volunteers and removing 25,710 pounds of trash from the lake.
- Coordinated a Patch Pals program for scout troops and youth groups to promote cleanups and promote environmental stewardship. 5,073 youth participated in the program.
- KAB sponsored 4 weeks of summer camps to provide environmental education to 59 elementary school children and 18 adults;
- Sponsored the Good Sports Always Recycle program that promoted recycling at Austin Area schools and recognized six schools for their recycling and campus beautification initiatives;
- Coordinated volunteers to educate fans and monitor stations for recycling and composting efforts at Longhorn games in partnership with the University of Texas;
- Participated in 30 community events including environmental, neighborhood, college and corporate fairs, distributing KAB educational materials;
- Distributed a monthly email newsletter to over 8,600 individuals and companies; and a weekly volunteer newsletter to 1,400 individuals and groups; and garnered 5,010 Facebook friends, and 5,868 twitter followers.

- Partnered with the 15 City of Austin Departments, Travis County, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Keep Texas Beautiful and Keep America Beautiful to raise awareness and educate the community about the importance of implementing environmentally wise practices which ultimately improve the quality of life for all Central Texans.

During the reporting period, the Austin Resource Recovery (ARR) Anti-litter Program continued the Pay-as-You-Throw and the curbside recycling campaigns in the effort to educate citizenry and promote recycling. Efforts included promotion of the yard waste pick-up services, the annual Christmas tree recycling event and phone book recycling. ARR also continued promotion of the Household Hazardous Waste Facility through various means including the distribution of an educational flyer. The flyer is written in Spanish and English and indicates the types of materials the facility accepts, the facility's hours, a facility location map and helpful tips related to home chemicals.

## **Section 8. Identification of Water Quality Improvements or Degradation**



## **8. IDENTIFICATION OF WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS OR DEGRADATION**

### Introduction

As required by Part IV.C.4.a. of the permit, the City of Austin has reviewed the annual report summary data in the effort to identify any water quality improvement or degradation.

### Identification of Improvements or Degradation

Identification of improvement or degradation of water quality can be done directly or indirectly. Because of the limited monitoring period (two years), within the five year permit term, changes in water quality trends are difficult to determine from direct measures of water quality. However, indirect measures of water quality improvements related to the pollution prevention efforts of several City programs have been identified. The following are indirect measures of City's storm water pollutant load reduction efforts during the October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014 reporting period:

- Collected 5,653 tons of dirt and debris from roadways throughout the City;
- Properly disposed of approximately 1,242,900 pounds of household hazardous waste;
- Recycled 67,695 pounds of waste oil and 4100 pounds of oil filters;
- Recycled 258,711 pounds of paint;
- Recovered approximately 3,433 gallons and 37 cubic yards of pollutants as a result of pollution investigations; and
- Removed approximately 12.1 tons of floatable trash and debris from two floatable boom locations.



**Appendix A**  
City of Austin  
Storm Water Management Program



*TPDES Permit No. WQ0004705000 (EPA I.D. No. TXS000401)*  
*STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM*  
*City of Austin*

# **STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **1. MS4 Maintenance Activities**

- A. Structural Controls
- B. Floatables Program
- C. Roadways Program

### **2. Post-Construction Storm Water Control Measures**

- A. Areas of New Development and Significant Redevelopment
  - 1. Comprehensive Planning Process
  - 2. Development Regulations
  - 3. Zoning, Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations
- B. Flood Control Projects
  - 1. Existing Flood Control Retrofit Program
  - 2. Future Flood Control Review Program

### **3. Illicit Discharges Detection and Elimination**

- A. Illicit and Allowable Discharges
- B. Detection and Elimination of Illicit Discharges
- C. Overflows and Infiltration
- D. Household Hazardous Waste and Used Motor Vehicle Fluids
- E. MS4 Screening and Illicit Discharge Inspections
- F. NPDES and TPDES Permittee List
- G. MS4 Map
- H. Spill Prevention and Response

### **4. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations**

- A. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping Program
- B. Waste Handling
- C. Pesticide, Herbicide and Fertilizer Application
- D. List of Municipal Facilities

## **5. Industrial and High Risk Runoff**

- A. Industrial and High Risk Inspection Program

## **6. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff**

- A. Site Development Plan Regulations
- B. Construction Waste
- C. Inspection of Sites During Construction
- D. Public Education for Construction Site Operators

## **7. Public Education and Involvement**

- A. Public Education
  - 1. Water Quality Education and Awareness Programs
- B. Public Involvement and Participation
  - 1. Keep Austin Beautiful
  - 2. Pollution Hotline Public Education
  - 3. Austin Resource Recovery Public Education
  - 4. Barton Springs Zone Specific Education

## **8. Monitoring Programs**

- A. Dry Weather Screening
- B. Wet Weather Screening
- C. Industrial and High Risk Monitoring
- D. Water Quality and Biological Monitoring
  - 1. Barton Springs Complex Sediment Monitoring
  - 2. Barton Springs Complex Water Quality Monitoring
  - 3. Environmental Integrity Index (EII)
  - 4. Critical Environmental Feature Protection
  - 5. Barton Creek Stormwater

# Storm Water Management Program

## 1. MS4 Maintenance Activities

### A. Structural Controls

#### **Introduction**

The inspection and maintenance programs are part of a comprehensive drainage maintenance plan to identify, evaluate and solve flooding, erosion and water quality problems, including those related to non-point source pollution. The goal of the inspection and maintenance of drainage works program is to ensure satisfactory operation of those facilities and to preserve and enhance the quality of storm water runoff. Specific elements of the current maintenance and inspection program are described below:

#### **Program Activities Description**

##### Maintenance and Inspection Activities

The City's storm water conveyance system is composed of natural and engineered creeks and channels, a network of drainage pipelines, and structural storm water management controls. The Watershed Protection Department (WPD) Field Operations Division (FOD) is responsible for the maintenance of this system, which includes a variety of activities to ensure conveyance for storm water runoff. FOD staff removes excessive vegetation debris and obstructions from open channels and waterways, culvert and bridge locations. The frequency of maintenance activities varies from creek to creek and includes creek bank and flow line stabilization projects as needed to address significant erosion. Routine vegetation control is achieved primarily through private sector maintenance contracts.

FOD personnel also maintain storm drain pipes and inlets. They inspect, clean and repair the system as needed throughout the year to maintain proper operation and conveyance of storm water runoff. The frequency of routine maintenance and cleaning activities varies from location to location based on identified needs.

WPD staff, including FOD and Watershed Engineering Department (WED), inspects storm water structural controls associated with the residential development and City facilities annually. Excess vegetation and any identified structural issues are addressed as necessary to

ensure proper functionality. Storm water controls associated with commercial development are inspected on a three year schedule, by the FOD Commercial Pond Inspectors. Any necessary repairs identified are documented, and staff works with the responsible parties to ensure functionality and compliance with City code and criteria.

WPD and PDRD staff are responsible for the identification and inspection of residential and commercial storm water controls in the Barton Springs Zone, repairing non-functioning residential ponds as necessary and ensuring compliance and enforcement of commercial pond maintenance and repair requirements.

WPD staff will maintain the Department's residential and commercial pond databases in order to ensure more accurate documentation of:

- pond type and function
- inspection records
- maintenance records
- compliance records

#### Engineering Activities

The WPD project planning process involves procedures to allow for multi-disciplinary review of proposed projects; opportunities for flood, water quality and erosion control needs to be addressed simultaneously (within one project) are identified and discussed prior to project scheduling. The goal is to reduce sedimentation in receiving streams by mitigating erosion in contributing creeks. Erosion control features are incorporated in previously authorized flood control projects whenever that work can address both flood control and erosion control needs simultaneously.

#### Public Participation

Public involvement in the inspection and maintenance programs will be provided through customer service representatives in the WPD. Citizen complaints, inquiries and requests are assigned to an investigator. If a solution is determined to be feasible and appropriate, the work is assigned to a maintenance unit for action.

Critical or emergency situations are dispatched by two-way radio and pagers to a maintenance unit for immediate attention.

Citizen input is also received at various public meetings and forums to identify long-term planning needs as well as current drainage problems.

### **Program Activities Description**

The following program tasks will be performed on an annual basis to accomplish the City's inspection and maintenance goals:

- Remove debris and excessive vegetation from approximately 50 miles of open channels to maintain and improve flood flow conveyance and improve water quality.
- Provide scheduled vegetation maintenance at 75% of all storm water controls identified to be the responsibility of the City of Austin.
- Inspect 75% of the storm water controls identified to be maintained by the City of Austin.
- Inspect 1,200 storm water controls associated with commercial development to enforce compliance with City Code.
- Clear at least three miles of open waterways of sediment and obstructions in order to maintain flood flow conveyance, minimize erosion and improve water quality.
- Remove debris, sediment, vegetation and obstructions from at least 500 culvert and bridge locations in order to maintain flood flow conveyance and improve water quality.
- Clean at least four miles (21,120 ft.) of the storm drain pipe system annually to maintain flood flow conveyance and improve water quality.
- Clean at least 2,500 storm drain inlets to maintain flood flow conveyance and remove collected sediment and other pollutants.

The inspection and maintenance program activities may be modified during the permit period as a result of City annexations, development activity and identification of additional drainage maintenance needs. Additional program changes may be made if efficiencies in operating procedures or costs are realized. Significant increases to service activities that are determined to be critical with respect to the public's safety, health or welfare can be requested through the City's annual budget process to provide funding for that work.

As stated in the introduction, the City of Austin has assumed responsibility for the inspection and maintenance of drainage infrastructure that it either owns or has the legal authority and responsibility to maintain. The City cooperates with adjacent counties, the State of Texas, school districts and the Lower Colorado River Authority to determine appropriate responsibility for inspection, maintenance and operation of the local drainage infrastructure.

## B. Floatables Program

### **Introduction**

The City of Austin's floatables program has established collection sites at the mouth of two urban creeks just prior to their discharge into Lady Bird Lake. Each site consists of one boom, made of plastic material that floats at the water surface and extends across the width of the creek to trap floating materials flowing toward the mouth of the creek. Each boom is anchored on either shoreline to maintain its position in the creek.

### **Program Activities Description**

The FOD staff checks the condition of each monitoring site on a weekly basis, and each site is cleaned on a monthly basis if necessary. In addition, FOD staff checks the condition of the sites after major storm events, and removal activities commence when the access areas to the monitoring sites have dried sufficiently to allow the use of mechanical equipment without damage to the surrounding ground. FOD crews remove all trapped floating material using nets that reach the middle of the creek, allowing removal from both sides of the creeks. Heavier material such as wet wood is pulled to the shorelines and removed with mechanical equipment. The material removed from each site is loaded into City dump trucks, hauled to an acceptable local landfill and measured by weight at the disposal site. The unit of measurement is wet tons. The amount of material removed and taken to the landfill is tabulated on a monthly basis.

### **Monitoring and Collection Locations**

#### Site Selection

Site selection criteria for the floatables program were generally based on the following:

- Ability to access site in a safe and secure manner
- Public access to creek
- Impact by urban land use activities
- Suitable conditions for boom deployment and cleaning activities

#### Site Locations

Two urban creeks that receive storm water discharges from Austin's MS4 are used as the collection locations for the floatables program. See Table 6-1.

Table 6-1. Floatables Removal Site Locations

| <b>Watershed</b>   | <b>Site No.</b> | <b>Monitoring and Collection Site Location</b> | <b>Land Use</b>   |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|
| Shoal Creek        | 1               | Shoal Creek at Lady Bird Lake                  | Mixed Urban       |
| West Bouldin Creek | 2               | West Bouldin Creek at Lady Bird Lake           | Residential Urban |

### Site Descriptions

As noted in the site selection section, each stream used for the monitoring program has been identified as having characteristics that would make it likely to be impacted by urban land use activities and the associated human-generated debris. The following is a more detailed description of each proposed stream, the characteristics of the associated drainage basins and the site selection considerations.

- **Shoal Creek** runs north south through the western portion of central Austin. It is 11.2 miles in length and has a drainage area of 12.9 square miles of highly urbanized development. The land-use break down for the watershed is 54% residential, 19% business, 9% civic, 6% roadways and 12% undeveloped. Shoal Creek is a highly utilized public resource that passes through several City parks and includes a Hike and Bike Trail that runs the length of the stream. This stream was selected due to the abundance of impervious cover in the watershed, the extensive amount of public use along the stream length and the potential for refuse to enter the stream. Site conditions are suitable for proper boom deployment and continuous operation.
- **West Bouldin Creek** winds through a primarily residential area of south central Austin, is three miles in length and has a drainage area of approximately 2.9 square miles. West Bouldin Creek passes through several parks before entering Lady Bird Lake at Auditorium Shores and has been identified by neighborhood associations as a potential location for greenbelt development. The land-use breakdown for the watershed is 69% residential, 12% business, 4% civic, 3% roadways and 12% undeveloped. This stream was selected due to the abundance of impervious cover in the watershed, the numerous public access locations along the stream, the potential for refuse associated with human activities to enter the stream and the possibility of increased public use in the future. Site conditions are suitable for proper boom deployment and continuous operation.

## C. Roadways

### A. Roadways Operation and Maintenance Program

#### **Introduction**

In the effort to reduce the amount of pollutants discharged into local waterways from streets and roadways, the City of Austin has developed a Roadways Program that addresses snow and ice response, road repair, street cleaning, litter control, and pollutants from traffic.

#### **Program Activities Description**

##### Snow and Ice Response

Snow, ice, and sleet may create unsafe driving surfaces on streets and bridges. As such, the City has developed an emergency response program that uses barricading and sanding to effectively treat slick streets and roadways during the rare ice and snow events. During these events Public Works Department (PWD) staff evaluates the road conditions and identifies the streets and bridges that need to be sanded or barricaded to ensure public safety. Based on the staff determinations, PWD sand trucks and staff are dispatched to the various locations and appropriate treatment (sanding or barricading) is completed. Once it has been determined that the ice or snow conditions are no longer a threat, PWD will dispatch staff to remove barricades and start street sweeping activities in the areas where sand was used.

The PWD will continue to use the described snow management activities during the remaining permit period, although changes to the scope of the program activities may occur during the annual review of the program budget and effectiveness.

##### Road/Right of Way Maintenance and Repair

Routine maintenance of the streets, bridges, and ROW within the City of Austin are the responsibility of the PWD. The primary maintenance functions of the Street and Bridge Operations Division of PWD include, but are not limited to:

- repairs to potholes, surface replacements and pavement failures
- overlays and leveling of streets
- pavement milling
- crack sealing
- seal coating
- grading and maintenance of unpaved streets and alleys

- removal of debris from the Rights of Way (ROW)
- Bridge repair and management
- Utility excavation repairs, concrete structure repairs

PWD roadway maintenance projects involving excavation are completed under a General Permit issued by the City's Planning and Development Review Department (PDRD). The General Permit is an "umbrella" work permit issued on an annual basis to City departments and commercial entities operating within the City's jurisdiction under inter local agreements. These entities are typically related to utility and telecommunications services. Work covered involves on-going repair, maintenance and some types of infrastructure extensions within the City's planning jurisdiction. Work to be completed under a General Permit requires written notification to the General Permit Office, including information concerning the location and duration of the work to be performed, who will be performing the work, contact information and the erosion and sedimentation controls to be used. The PWD General Permit requires the use of erosion and sedimentation controls on all projects and will typically include:

- Temporary inlet protection
- Silt fence
- Rock berms
- Mulch logs and socks
- Stabilized construction entrances
- Work areas dewatering measures;
- Seeding and sodding revegetation measures
- Soil stabilization matting, as appropriate

The controls to be used for each type of maintenance activity are reviewed and approved by PDRD staff during the General Permit development process. Inspections by the projects responsible party are also required by City Code. Public Works provides inspections of all projects covered under the general permit. In addition to the project specific controls used, the PWD incorporates storm water control measures at all PWD aggregate stockpile sites, where silt fencing and/or storm water structural controls are located appropriately to provide storm water treatment.

PWD equipment maintenance activities are also conducted under controlled conditions at the equipment yards. PWD staff use approved cleaning materials, good house cleaning practices, proper waste disposal methods and other best management practices (BMP) to minimize the occurrence of non-storm water discharges. Furthermore, the Storm Water Discharge Permit Program (SDPP) of the WPD conducts biannual facility inspections at City of Austin fleet maintenance locations, including the PWD maintenance facilities, to ensure appropriate water quality protection BMPs are being used.

Over the five year permit period the PWD will continue the roadway maintenance as described, although changes to the scope of the program activities may occur during the annual review of the program budget and effectiveness.

### Street Cleaning

Routine street cleaning in the City of Austin is the responsibility of the City's Austin Resource Recovery (ARR). The City of Austin Street Cleaning Program targets the cleaning of City streets in all areas within the City limits for removal of trash, litter and dirt that has collected in the streets and gutters for health, safety, aesthetic and water quality reasons.

Each year, this program cleans over 52,955 curb miles of streets in Austin and collects over 6300 tons of trash, leaves, debris and dirt from impervious roadway surfaces. ARR Street Cleaning Program uses regenerative air street sweepers in its operations to clean the streets in Austin. During the permit period, the Central Business District will be swept daily to maximize removal efficiencies. Residential curbed streets will be swept on an average frequency of twice per year. Other areas are swept on varying schedules depending on traffic and need.

Changes or improvements to the Street Cleaning Program may be considered as part of the City's annual operating budget review. In addition, consideration may also be given to conducting controlled studies in selected areas of the City to determine the impact of varying street cleaning intervals on resultant storm water runoff quality.

## Litter Control

The Litter Control Program of the City of Austin is the responsibility of ARR, Litter Abatement Division. The Litter Control Program is implemented within the City limits and targets:

- some of the City-owned property within the City limits for removal of trash, litter, and debris which has collected in the streets and the public rights-of-way
- neighborhood cleanups as requested
- brush and bulk pick-up approximately twice per year (Brush and Bulk Collection Program)
- Clean Austin program services high need areas within the city approximately every other month
- trash collection and maintenance for litter receptacles
- removal of dead animals from roadways and public property
- marketing of anti-littering programs in Austin

Programs to control litter are also implemented by the Collection Services Division, which include Pay-As-You-Throw (PAYT) and Curbside Single Stream Recycling. PAYT is a garbage collection system that aggressively encourages recycling and “smart” trash habits. Residents are issued a 24, 32, 64 or 96 gallon wheeled plastic trash cart for their garbage, which is collected once a week. Recyclables are collected every other week, and grass clippings and leaves are collected weekly and taken to Hornsby Bend for composting into “Dillo Dirt.” Periodically, there are brush and bulky pick-ups scheduled for neighborhoods which include items such as old furniture, appliances and large tree limbs. PAYT reaches residential and commercial customers through billboards, print ads, utility bill inserts and the City's website.

The Curbside Single Stream Recycling Program provides biweekly collection of newspaper, corrugated cardboard, plastic, glass bottles and jars, tin and aluminum cans and all #1 through #7 plastic containers to all households served by City garbage collection. Qualified commercial customers located in residential neighborhoods also receive collection every other week. The program also includes the Block Leader Program and “Recycling Right” projects to promote public awareness and participation in the program. In addition ARR staff works with other groups on seasonal projects such as Christmas tree recycling, and used oil recycling.

ARR also provides convenient recycling services to all City employees through the workplace recycling program known as “office stream” recycle. This program is continually evaluated to provide the most efficient service, and as a result the frequency of collection may vary.

### **Program Goals**

During the permit period, ARR Litter Abatement Crews will complete the following tasks:

- Litter containers in the downtown area will be emptied of accumulated litter daily
- Litter crews will remove litter from uncurbed streets, uncurbed right-of-ways and other City property as needed
- Illegal dumping of trash and waste material on public property will be removed as necessary
- Dead animals on roadways will be removed, within 24 hours of being reported, six days per week
- Brush and bulk items will be collected on a scheduled basis each year from residences, so that such items do not get dumped along city watercourses
- Street cleaning crews will remove trash, litter and dirt that has collected in the streets and gutters on a scheduled basis

The City does not anticipate any changes to the Litter Abatement Program. However changes to the scope of this program may be considered during review of the City’s annual operating budget. Although the City maintains most of the roadways in the Austin area, the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) is responsible for the maintenance, cleaning and closure management of certain State and Federal highways within the corporate limits of the City in accordance with an interagency maintenance agreement.

The City does not anticipate any changes to the Roadways Program. However changes to the scope of the program components may be considered during review of the City’s annual operating budget.

## **2. Post-Construction Storm Water Control Measures**

### **A. Areas of New Development and Significant Redevelopment**

One goal of the City's land development process is to protect water quality within the City's jurisdiction. To that end, the City has adopted a number of planning and water quality regulations. Among other things, the ordinances referenced in this section establish effluent limitations and are required, at a minimum, to meet water quality standards.

#### **1. Comprehensive Planning Process**

##### **Introduction**

PDRD is responsible for comprehensive planning in the City. Comprehensive planning is done to assure orderly growth, protect environmentally sensitive areas and maintain an efficient infrastructure within the City's planning jurisdiction, which is defined as the areas within the City's territorial and extra-territorial boundaries. Major program areas within Austin's comprehensive planning scope include:

- Implementation of the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan (Imagine Austin)
- land use inventories and projections
- demographics and population projections
- neighborhood planning

The land use and population information produced by the PDRD are utilized by a number of City departments for comprehensive planning activities. Comprehensive planning activities are conducted by other City of Austin departments as well. These activities include, but are not limited to the following:

- Implementation of Imagine Austin through the eight identified priority program teams
- Watershed, land use and natural resource studies are conducted by the WPD which is responsible for the development of water quality control programs, planning and design for flood control structures, erosion control and prevention projects and implementation of regulatory controls
- Wastewater facility planning is conducted on an on-going basis by the Austin Water, as part of the City's Capital Improvements Program
- Transportation planning conducted by the Transportation Department

- The base-map maintenance program provided by the Geographic Information Systems Section of the City's Communication and Technology Management Office, which is directed at building and maintaining a uniform land use base map to be used by all utilities and City departments, as one of several on-going planning support programs. Additional mapped data available includes topography, floodplains, geological features and political jurisdictions.

### **Program Activities Description**

The Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan was adopted by the Austin City Council in June 2012. Informed by broad community input, Imagine Austin provides a vision and roadmap for our community's future. This vision includes ensuring the city "will be safe and affordable; promote physical activity, community engagement, and inclusion; make amenities and services for current and future residents. Imagine Austin is a broad plan covering many areas that when realized will make Austin a better place to live, work, and play. Two major themes of Imagine Austin are "Complete Communities" and "Sustainability." As written in the plan, "sustainability means finding a balance among three sets of goals: 1) prosperity and jobs 2) conservation and the environment 3) community health, equity, and cultural vitality. It means taking positive proactive steps to protect quality of life now and for future generations." Complete communities are "safe and affordable; promote physical activity, community engagement, and inclusion; make amenities and services accessible to everybody, and contribute to Austin's unique community spirit."

To effectively address the themes of sustainability and complete communities, Imagine Austin covers the built and natural environment, economy and equity topic areas. Since its adoption, Imagine Austin has been recognized by peer groups and was honored in April 2014 with the American Planning Association's inaugural "Sustainable plan Award." This award honors those projects, policies, plans, and people who show exemplary scholarship, leadership and inspiration in sustainability planning and implementation." In order to transform the plan's vision into reality, eight priority programs were identified to provide the structure and direction to implement the plan:

1. Invest in a compact and connected Austin
2. Sustainably manage our water resources
3. Continue to grow Austin's economy by investing in our workforce, education systems, entrepreneurs, and local businesses

4. Use green infrastructure to protect environmentally sensitive areas and integrate nature into the City of Austin
5. Grow and invest in Austin's creative economy
6. Develop and maintain household affordability throughout Austin
7. Create a Healthy Austin Program
8. Revise Austin's development regulations and processes to promote a compact and connected city (also known as CodeNEXT)

The Growth Concept Map was created in tandem with the vision in order to illustrate where and how we should accommodate new growth in alignment with the 8 priority programs. The Growth Concept Map illustrates how Austin should coordinate transportation features roads, transit, and urban trails with activity centers and corridors, in such a way as to reduce degradation of Austin's environmental resources. The map assembles compact and walkable activity centers and corridors, as well as job centers, and coordinates them with future transportation improvements. These centers and corridors allow people to reside, work shop, access services, without traveling far distances. Within them the design and scale of buildings and the design and availability of parks and gathering spaces will welcome people of all ages and abilities. They will be walkable, bikeable, and connected to one another, the rest of the city and the region by roads, transit, bicycle routes and lanes and trails.

The activity centers and corridors included on this map identify locations for additional people and jobs above what currently exists on the ground. By focusing growth into these centers and corridors, it is hoped that suburban sprawl trends can be reversed which will lead to numerous benefits including environmental. Five centers are located over the recharge or contributing zones of the Barton Springs Zone of the Edwards Aquifer or within Water-Supply watersheds. These centers are located on already developed areas and in some instances provide opportunities to address long-standing water quality issues and provide walkable areas in and near existing neighborhoods. These centers should also be carefully evaluated to fit within their infrastructural and environmental context. One of the Land Use and Transportation policies LUT P21 clarifies the intent, "Ensure that redevelopment in the EARZ and Contributing Zones maintains the quality to improve creek and floodplain protection; prevent unsustainable public expense on drainage systems; simplify development regulations where possible; and minimize the impact on the ability to develop land.

On October 17, 2013 the Austin City Council passed a new Watershed Protection Ordinance to improve creek and floodplain protection; prevent unsustainable public expense on drainage systems; simplify development regulation where possible; and minimize the impact on the ability to develop land. The Watershed Protection Ordinance is the result of a resolution approved by City Council on January 13, 2011. The City held an extensive series of stakeholder meetings with over 200 participants from August 2011 through June 2013 to obtain public input. (See Table 6) and revised (Table 5)

In 2001, the WPD developed a Watershed Protection Master Plan to better prioritize service needs and refine program direction. The multi-phase Master Plan is an on-going effort to inventory existing watershed problems and gauge and mitigate for the impact of future urbanization over a 40 year horizon. Through the Master Plan process, the City assesses technical information to identify erosion, flood and water quality problem areas; prioritizes problem areas; and identifies, evaluates, develops, and implements solutions. Solutions include capital infrastructure projects, operating program enhancements, and regulatory modifications.

Other active planning functions that support water quality planning are demographics and population forecasting and land use planning analysis. The 2000 and 2003 land use data has been collected and correlated with the 2010 census data. Development information that tracks new construction modeling efforts and infill project creation is continuously updated, analyzed and mapped, resulting in a wide variety of development activity trend analysis. PDRD staff has created a 2010 land use inventory.

City comprehensive planning activities also include transportation planning. Transportation planning takes into consideration the impacts of water quality regulations on population and land use patterns. The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP) is implemented through subdivision requirements and through the City's Capital Improvements Program. AMATP is being reviewed for possible amendments to reflect recent water quality regulation amendments and effects of the Endangered Species Act on local development within sensitive habitats. Currently, City transportation planning is part of a joint effort with the Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO), the designated metropolitan planning organization that coordinates transportation planning in the Austin area. The Austin City Council has four representatives on the CAMPO Policy Advisory Committee, the decision-making authority for CAMPO.


Preparation of transportation plans requires close coordination with county governments, other local jurisdictions, CAMPO and the Texas Department of Transportation. The City makes use of boards and Commissions to review projects and make recommendations on a variety of issues before the City Council takes action.

The City of Austin’s comprehensive planning programs will continue the various land-use, environmental, and neighborhood and transportation planning activities throughout the permit period. The City does not anticipate any immediate changes to the planning programs. However changes to the scope of the program elements may be considered during review of the City’s annual operating budget.

**2. Development Regulations**

**Introduction**

New development and redevelopment activities in the City of Austin’s planning jurisdiction are subject to internal review for compliance with water quality regulations of the Austin City Code. Development or redevelopment of an individual parcel of land generally undergoes the following review process:



As part of the overall development review process, PDRD reviews zoning cases, subdivision proposals, site development plan applications and proposed utility projects for compliance with the water quality regulations of the Austin City Code. The PDRD staff is responsible for the review of water quality related development intensities for various watershed categories and specific water quality and flood control requirements in the City of Austin Code.

The PDRD includes development review staff that is responsible for the water quality related aspects of project review, including:

- the general review of new subdivisions for compliance with City drainage standards with respect to structural water quality controls, drainage easements and other proposed drainage facilities; and

- the detailed review of specific water quality control structures, drainage easements and drainage facilities in the construction plans for subdivisions, site development projects and utility projects.

The PDRD review staff prepares comments and recommendations regarding the compliance status of each zoning case or development proposal with respect to water quality and drainage codes. If not administratively approved, the comments and recommendations become part of the overall review comment packet prepared for the Environmental Board, Planning Commission and Zoning and Platting Commission. The board and commission members consider these staff comments in their deliberations and may hold public hearings, when appropriate, prior to submitting their recommendations to City Council. Tables 2-2, 2-3 and 2-4 at the end of this section provide descriptions of the environmental and engineering development review process functions.

Austin Water's On-site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) division has the primary responsibility for regulation of on-site wastewater facilities. A permit is required to construct any new on-site system. (More information regarding on-site wastewater facilities is provided in the Illicit Discharge and Improper Disposal Section 3).

Austin has an extremely active and environmentally knowledgeable citizenry that participates in the development review process by attending public hearings held by the boards, commissions and City Council. The City Council appoints members to the Environmental Board, Planning Commission and Zoning and Platting Commission from the City's general public. These boards and commissions address matters of environmental concern to the community by reviewing specific projects, holding public hearings and advising the City Council on priority issues. When making appointments to the boards and commissions, the City Council attempts to strike a balance between members who represent the environmental and the development communities.

The City of Austin has overlapping responsibility for subdivision and site plan review with Travis, Williamson, and Hays Counties for those areas lying outside the City limits but still within the Austin (ETJ). In the past, development permits were required from both units of government in order to proceed. However with passage of HB 1204 (formally known as 1445), that became effective on June 20, 2003, cities and counties must now enter into an interlocal agreement to address subdivision regulatory authority within a city's ETJ.

A uniform set of regulations (Title 30 of the City Code) for the Travis County ETJ was adopted on December 11, 2003. Separate regulations have been adopted for Williamson and Hays Counties. Under all the agreements, the City retains primary authority for enforcing water quality regulations in the City and the ETJ. Development on state-owned property lying within the City's jurisdiction is not regulated by the City. However, in some cases, a development agreement that addresses water quality issues has been negotiated between the City and the relevant state agency. These agreements typically include provisions for treatment of storm water runoff and maximum levels of development intensity.

### **3. Zoning, Subdivision, and Site Plan Regulations**

#### **Zoning Regulations**

##### **Introduction**

Zoning districts have been established in order to regulate the type of development that can occur on a certain parcel of land. The land use regulations include the size of yards, courts and other open spaces, the applicable density of population and the location and use of buildings, structures and land for trade, residence and other purposes.

Although not specifically categorized as zoning districts, restrictions to impervious cover levels and/or density have been established by watershed ordinances and included in the Austin City Code. Research shows that water quality protection can be addressed through low intensity zoning districts and through the water quality related development requirements found in the Austin City Code for various watershed categories. Specific water quality zoning districts are not yet in existence in the City of Austin; however, the reduced density or impervious cover requirements of certain base districts or conditional overlay combining districts should provide water quality benefits when located adjacent to or in proximity to waterways. These existing zoning districts include the following: Rural Residential District often used to zone the 100-year floodplain; Development Reserve District; Lake Austin Residence District; and Conditional Overlay Combining District.

In addition, the City Code addresses water quality related development intensities for critical water quality zones, water quality transition zones and upland zones. Even though zoning districts and land uses are not specifically assigned, the impervious cover requirements for the water quality zones and upland zones are limiting factors in the development process.

These nonstructural water quality regulations are designed to reduce the impact of development on water quality by providing for control of impervious cover in the drainage basin and buffer zones along watercourses.

### **Program Activities Description**

The PDRD reviews zoning cases for compliance with water quality related development intensity regulations in the City Code.

This involves review of the following:

- designation of the critical water quality zone and water quality transition zone adjacent to waterways;
- compliance with impervious cover limitations assigned within each water quality zone and the upland zone based on the watershed category and proximity to the waterway given in Table 2; and
- compliance with impervious cover requirements.

Zoning change requests and development proposals are submitted to the Central Intake Facility. At that time, PDRD staff prepares comments on the proposal within the time frame set by City Code. Comments are made regarding the compliance status of each zoning change proposal with applicable City zoning and water quality related intensity regulations, and include staff recommendations for approval or denial. The project review and comment packet is transmitted to the Planning Commission or Zoning and Platting Commission for action. The commission considers these staff comments prior to submitting their recommendations to City Council. The City Council has the final approval/denial authority on zoning cases. See Table 2 for the zoning/environmental review function description. Currently, all zoning districts have maximum impervious coverage limitations, but zoning code requirements may be superseded by the impervious cover limitations found in the water quality related development intensities in the City of Austin Code.

### **Subdivision Regulations Introduction**

The subdivision of land involves the division of any lot or parcel of land into two or more lots for the purpose of sale or development, including re-subdivision of existing lots in lawfully platted land. The subdivision provisions in the City of Austin Code regulate the manner in which development can proceed. These subdivision requirements include the

development and approval of preliminary plans, the review and approval of final plats and the approval of subdivision layout plans for streets, alleys, sidewalks, block lengths, lot arrangements and lot sizes, the dedication of parkland and the installation of utilities.

The subdivision regulations in the City Code require plans for drainage controls and adequate provisions for floodplains. The City Code also gives specific water quality related requirements for development of land within the City's planning jurisdiction.

Proposed preliminary plans, final plats and subdivision construction plans are reviewed for compliance with the water quality regulations of the Austin City Code. The review of preliminary and final subdivision plats involve a general examination of layout design for residential/commercial development and infrastructure. The preliminary or final plat must demonstrate compliance with the intent of subdivision and water quality regulations, so that once site development plans are submitted, the plans are not in violation of or do not require. As with site development plan applications, a detailed review of subdivision construction plans both within the City limits and ETJ is conducted to ensure compliance with the water quality regulations described below:

- *Water Quality Related Development Intensities and Water Quality*: Requires that land adjacent to waterways be designated as critical water quality zones and water quality transition zones and sets out specific uses and impervious cover requirements.
- *Drainage*: Allows only very limited open space related development in the 100-year floodplain. New development is generally prohibited, and dedication of the fully developed condition 100-year floodplain as drainage easement is required with all new subdivisions. Open channel drainage and storm sewer drainage requirements are also found in the City Code. Improvements are prohibited in the Erosion Hazard Zone unless protective works are provided.
- *Environmental Protection and Management and Water Quality*: requires specific structural and nonstructural regulations for water quality protection.

Subdivision proposals for preliminary plans, final plats and subdivision construction plans are submitted to the Central Intake Facility. At that time, PDRD staff prepares comments within the time frame set by City Code. Staff comments reflect whether the plans comply with City water quality and drainage regulations, and may include recommendations for approval or denial. The PDRD reviews subdivision applications within the City and the ETJ for compliance with water quality regulations regarding water quality zones, impervious cover limitations, erosion and sedimentation controls, tree preservation, site disturbances, cut

and fill, water quality controls, spoil disposal, storm sewer discharges, wastewater restrictions, blasting, floodplain modification, industrial uses, roadways, pollution reduction measures and monitoring, where applicable. The WPD reviews applications for compliance with critical environmental features, including wetlands. Table 5 provides summaries of City relevant water quality regulations.

The PDRD staff review the preliminary designs of water quality control structures and the provisions for drainage easements (including 100-year floodplains) in the subdivision applications. In addition, review staff estimate fiscal obligation requirements for water quality controls and erosion and sedimentation controls. Once all staff review has been completed, the project review and comment packet is transmitted to the appropriate boards and commissions. See Table 3 for the subdivision/environmental review function description.

The subdivision review program, as currently organized in the PDRD, will continue to function and be enforced as described above within the City's Full Purpose jurisdiction and ETJ. New administrative rules will be developed and implemented as needed. City Council initiatives for development of more water quality protection ordinances could occur in the future, but specific enactments cannot be foreseen at this time.

## **Site Development Plan Regulations**

### **Introduction**

In the City of Austin, multifamily or commercial development on a specific parcel of land requires the approval of a site plan and release of a site development permit. Site plans involve two primary elements, the land use element and the construction element.

Review authority for the land use site plan extends to the City's full purpose limits, but the City's authority to review the water quality and drainage element extends to the City's planning jurisdiction (ETJ).

The earliest watershed ordinances for Austin have been in existence since 1974. Current water quality regulations in the Austin City Code were developed as part of the 1986 Comprehensive Watersheds Ordinance (CWO), and amended by the 1991 Urban Watersheds Ordinance. As part of the citizens Save Our Springs (S.O.S.) initiative to further protect the Barton Springs Zone, additional water quality regulations were adopted by City Council.

Austin water quality regulations apply in the City's planning jurisdiction. Since 1980, City watershed ordinances have included water quality regulations for drainage from development. These apply within the City and ETJ. The early ordinances regulated density/intensity of development to protect water quality and in some cases required the use of nonstructural controls, structural controls, or both to minimize the impact of storm water that drains off development.

The CWO, adopted in 1986, combined environmentally related site development and subdivision regulations into one document, thereby combining water quality regulations for all non-urban watersheds within the City five-mile ETJ in one document. The CWO, as amended and codified in the Austin City Code, contains the current water quality regulations used for site development plan and subdivision plan review; in the Barton Springs Zone, additional code requirements apply. Table 5 gives a brief summary of current City structural and nonstructural water quality control requirements. The Watershed Protection Ordinance passed by Austin City Council October 17, 2013 amended the CWO; the SWMP was revised in 2014 year to reflect the changes. Site plans must also comply with the other water quality related regulations, including those related to water quality related development intensities, landscaping and tree preservation, drainage controls and floodplain provisions and specific on-site water quality factors.

### **Program Activities Description**

Applications for site development permits are submitted to the Central Intake Facility. At that time, PDRD staff review the site plans and prepare comments within the time frame set forth by City Code.

Staff comments reflect whether the plans comply with City water quality and drainage regulations, and include recommendations for approval or denial of the development permit. Administrative approval of site plans may occur if the site plan complies with City Code and if it does not involve a conditional use, the Hill Country Roadway requirements, or variances. If variances are requested, the project review and comment packet is transmitted to the Environmental Board, Planning Commission and Zoning and Platting Commission for action. The Environmental Board considers these staff comments and may hold a public hearing prior to submitting recommendations to the Planning Commission, Zoning and Platting Commission and City Council. The approval authority for site plans involving

conditional uses and the Hill Country Roadway lies with the Planning Commission or Zoning and Platting Commission. In some limited circumstances, decisions regarding variances to site plans may be appealed to the City Council. The PDRD reviews the land use element of site plans for site design and layout to ensure compliance with water quality zone requirements, with development intensity and impervious cover limitations (which also have water quality effects), for impacts on "the natural and traditional character" of the landscape, landscape ordinance, tree protection ordinance, and for compliance with the City's Floodplain Modification Guidelines.

The construction element of site plans is reviewed for the structural and nonstructural water quality control requirements, as summarized in Table 5. The PDRD staff review the structural design of water quality control structures proposed in the site plans.

The design and maintenance criteria for these systems are specified by the City in the Environmental Criteria Manual. In addition, grading and the provision for drainage and drainage easements (including the 100-year floodplain) is reviewed. The Erosion Hazard Zone is reviewed for development within 100 feet of waterways with more than 64 acres of drainage.

See Table 5 for the environmental site plan review function description. See Table 6 Watershed Protection Ordinance Regulations Summary Table. The site plan review program, as currently organized in the PDRD, will continue to function and be enforced as described above within the City's planning jurisdiction.

**Table 2.** City of Austin Zoning Process within the City Limits

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Submittal                                | To PDRD Intake   |
| Environmental Regulation Review Elements | <i>Development:</i><br>Intensity<br>Density<br><i>Environmental:</i><br>Water resources/quality<br>Floodplain/flooding<br>Critical environmental features<br>Existing trees<br>Significant slopes greater than 15% |
| Review Authority                         | PDRD<br>Planning Commission<br>Zoning and Platting Commission  |
| Notice                                   | Property owners within 500 feet<br>Registered neighborhood organizations within 500 feet<br>Utility Customers within 500 feet<br>Public hearings notification through sign posting and newspaper advertisements    |
| Approval Authority                       | City Council   |
| Product                                  | Zoning change  |

**Table 3.** City of Austin Subdivision Development Process within City Limits and ETJ

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Submittal                                | To PDRD Intake   |
| Environmental Regulation Review Elements | <p><i>Design and Engineering:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lot size and layout</li> <li>Drainage and floodplains</li> <li>Erosion Hazard Zone</li> <li>Runoff controls and water quality controls</li> </ul> <p><i>Environmental:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water quality zones</li> <li>Impervious cover calculations</li> <li>Non-structural water quality controls</li> <li>Structural water quality controls</li> <li>Critical environmental features</li> <li>Existing trees</li> <li>Significant slopes greater than 15%</li> </ul> |
| Review Authority                         | <p>PDRD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Environmental Board</li> <li>Planning Commission</li> <li>Zoning and Platting Commission</li> </ul>   |
| Notice                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Property owners within 500 feet</li> <li>Registered neighborhood organizations within 500 feet</li> <li>Utility customers within 500'</li> <li>Public hearings notification through sign posting and newspaper advertisements (preliminary plan only)</li> </ul>  |
| Approval Authority                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planning Commission</li> <li>Zoning and Platting Commission</li> <li>PDRD Director</li> </ul>   |
| Product                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Preliminary plan</li> <li>Final plat</li> <li>Released subdivision construction plan</li> </ul>   |

**Table 4. City of Austin Site Plan Process\***

| Submittal                                | To PDRD Intake   |
|--|--|
| Environmental Regulation Review Elements | <p><i>Design:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intensity</li> <li>Density</li> <li>Setbacks</li> </ul> <p><i>Environmental:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water quality zones</li> <li>Impervious cover calculations</li> <li>Non-structural water quality controls</li> <li>Structural water quality controls</li> <li>Critical environmental features</li> <li>Existing trees</li> <li>Significant slopes greater than 15%</li> <li>Landscape requirements</li> </ul> <p><i>Construction:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drainage and floodplains</li> <li>Erosion Hazard Zone</li> <li>Runoff controls and water quality controls</li> </ul> |
| Review Authority                         | <p>PDRD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Environmental Board</li> <li>Planning Commission</li> <li>Zoning and Platting Commission</li> </ul>   |
| Notice                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Property owners within 500 feet</li> <li>Registered neighborhood organizations within 500 feet</li> <li>Utility customers within 500 feet</li> <li>Public hearings notification through sign posting and newspaper advertisements</li> </ul>  |
| Approval Authority                       | <p>Planning Commission for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hill Country Roadway site plans</li> <li>Conditional use site plans</li> <li>Variances</li> </ul> <p>Administrative approval for all others if complying with City Code</p>   |

\*Subdivision construction plans for SF/duplex development and commercial and MF subdivisions that contain roads undergo similar review process.

**Table 5.** Summary of Water Quality Regulations in the Austin City Code, Chapter 25-8 Relative to the Watershed Protection Ordinance (Applicable Within City and ETJ)

| <i>General Standards – Chapter 25-8, Subchapter A</i> |  |
|---|--|
| Critical Water Quality Zones (CWQZ)                   | Establishes CWQZs along creeks with drainage basins over 64 acres as well as the shorelines of lakes and rivers. The geometry of the buffer can vary with the size of the contributing drainage area and the watershed classification. Most waterways are classified as minor, intermediate, or major. Development or alterations within the CWQZ is prohibited, with exceptions for limited roadway |
| Water Quality Transition Zones (WQTZ)                 | Established WQTZs parallel to all CWQZs, except for waterways in the Urban and Suburban watersheds. Width differs depending on type of waterway. Limited development and impervious cover is allowed within WQTZs depending on watershed category.   |
| Construction on Slopes                                | Prohibits roadways or driveways on slopes over 15% unless providing access to flatter slopes. Prohibits structures on slopes over 25%. Allows structures on slopes between 15-25% if less than 10% impervious cover on slopes of 15-25% with containment and terracing.  |
| Erosion & Sedimentation Controls (ESC)                | Requires ESC for all construction and development within all watersheds. ESC plan must comply with standards in the City of Austin Environmental Criteria Manual.  |
| Clearing and Temporary Site Disturbances              | Limits survey width to 15 feet. Limits length of time between rough cutting and surfacing/stabilization to 18 months. Limits roadway clearing to twice the surface width. Required in all watersheds.  |
| Cut and Fill  | Prohibits cut or fill over four feet except for within roadway rights-of-way and for structural excavation. Not applicable within Urban watersheds.  |
| Water Quality Controls                                | Requires water quality controls to capture, and treat runoff from all contributing areas in all watersheds. Innovative runoff management practices must be reviewed and approved by WPD. Requires water quality controls for all development in the Barton Springs Zone and for greater than 8,000 square feet of impervious cover in all other watersheds   |
| Optional Payment-In-Lieu of Structural Controls       | Allows developer the option to request authorization to deposit a cash payment with the City in lieu of constructing onsite structural water quality controls. Applicable only with Urban watersheds.  |
| Floodplain Modification                               | Floodplain modification is permitted if the modifications are necessary to protect public health and safety; would provide a significant, demonstrable environmental benefit; are necessary for development allowed in the CWQZ; or are located outside of the CWQZ in an area determined to be in poor or fair condition by a functional assessment of floodplain health.                           |
| Impervious Cover                                      | Impervious cover is defined as the total area of any surface that prevents the infiltration of water into the ground, with exceptions for things like trails, water quality controls, and pools. Limits in upland areas vary by watershed classification. Impervious cover in water supply watersheds and the Barton Springs Zone is calculated on a net site area basis.                            |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Redevelopment Exception                | Properties that meet all the requirements of the redevelopment exception (e.g., no increase in impervious cover, install water quality controls) do not have to comply with the rest of the requirements of Section 25-8 Subchapter A. The Redevelopment Exception varies by watershed regulation area. |
| Spoils Disposal                        | Prohibits spoils sites in 100-year floodplains or on slopes over 15%, with some exceptions. Sites require reasonable access, restoration, and revegetation. Required in all watersheds.   |
| Critical Environmental Features (CEFs) | Requires 150-foot setbacks from bluffs, springs, canyon rimrocks, caves, sinkholes, karst features, and wetlands. Setbacks may be administratively reduced upon inspection by staff geologists/biologists in WPD. No wetland protection in the central business district.                               |
| Wastewater Treatment                   | Wastewater treatment by land application prohibited on slopes greater than 15 percent, in a critical water quality zone, in a 100-year floodplain, on the trunk of surveyed trees, in a CEF buffer, or during wet weather conditions.   |
| Storm Sewer Discharges                 | Allows issuance of a certificate of occupancy only if it is in compliance with requirements of Discharges to Storm Sewers or Watercourses of the City Code.   |
| <i>Additional Standards</i>            |   |
| Environmental Resource Inventory       | Requires an environmental resource inventory in accordance with the Environmental Criteria Manual regarding hydrology, vegetation, wastewater treatment, critical environmental features, and storm water runoff and pollution abatement.   |
| Overland Flow                          | Requires maintenance of overland flow patterns, natural drainage features and dispersion of runoff to sheet flow whenever possible.   |
| Blasting                               | Restrictions placed on blasting for projects in CWQZs or WQTZs over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and within 300 feet of critical environmental features.   |
| Industrial Uses                        | Requires pollutant attenuation plans and refers to City Code storage design requirements for hazardous materials. Requires detention of storm water onsite and filtration before discharge.   |
| Roadways and Driveways                 | Requires alternative designs for streets in water quality transition zones, minimum lot sizes and lot frontage and reasonable driveway access relative to design, grades and joint use.   |
| Wastewater Treatment                   | Wastewater treatment by land application prohibited on slopes greater than 15 percent, in a critical water quality zone, in a 100-year floodplain, on the trunk of surveyed trees, in a CEF buffer, or during wet weather conditions.   |
| Storm Sewer Discharges                 | Allows issuance of a certificate of occupancy only if it is in compliance with requirements of Discharges to Storm Sewers or Watercourses of the City Code.   |

**Table 5.** Summary of Water Quality Regulations in the Austin City Code, Chapter 25-8 Relative to the Watershed Protection Ordinance (Applicable within City and ETJ)

| <i>Additional Standards for Watersheds in the Barton Springs Zone</i> |  |
|---|--|
| Impervious Cover Limits   | All percentages listed are maximums allowable values calculated on a net site area basis. 15% is allowed over the Recharge Zone. 20% is allowed over the Barton Springs Contributing Zone within the Barton Creek Watershed. 25% is allowed over the remaining portion of the Barton Springs Contributing Zone.        |
| Pollutant Load Restrictions   | Requires that runoff be managed and treated such that no increases occur in the average annual loadings of total suspended solids, total phosphorus, total nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total lead, cadmium, E. coli, volatile organic compounds, total organic carbon, pesticides, and herbicides from the site. |
| Pollution Reduction Measures  | Impervious cover must be reduced if needed to assure compliance with pollutant load restrictions.  |
| Critical Water Quality Zones (CWQZ)                                   | Boundary of the CWQZ shall not be less than 200 feet from the centerline of a major waterway, or less than 400 feet from the centerline of the main channel of Barton Creek. No pollution control structures or residential or commercial buildings may be established within the CWQZ.                                |

**Note:** Pre-existing and non-conforming development approvals are subject to the grandfathering provisions of ordinance No. 20140612-084 which may be amended from time to time.

**Table 6. City of Austin Watershed Protection Ordinance Regulations Summary Table**  
Effective: October 28, 2013

Red Text = Change from Previous Requirements

| REGULATORY CATEGORY      | ZONE  | DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE  |  |   | DRINKING WATER PROTECTION ZONE  |   |   |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
|                          |   | Urban   | Suburban City Limits   | Suburban N. Edwards / ETJ                           | Water Supply Suburban   | Water Supply Rural  | Barton Springs Zone                           |
| Impervious Cover (IC)    | Calculation Basis                                     | Gross Site Area   | <b>Gross Site Area</b>   | <b>Gross Site Area</b>                              | Net Site Area   | Net Site Area   | Net Site Area                                 |
|                          | Transfers Allowed                                     | No  | Yes  | Yes   | Yes   | Yes   | No  |
|                          | Uplands: Max Pct IC                                   | Max Pct   | Max Pct  | Max Pct   | Max Pct   | Max Pct   | Max Pct [No Transfers]                        |
|                          | Single-Family Res. (Lot > 5750 ft²)                   | No Watershed IC Limit: Zoning Limits only   | 50% / 60%  | 45% / 50%   | 30% / 40%   | 1 unit per 1 ac.  | R / BC / C **<br>15% / 20% / 25% for all uses |
|                          | Single-Family Res. (Lot < 5750 ft²)                   |   | 55% / 60%  | 55% / 60%   |   | 1 unit per 2 ac.*   |   |
|                          | Multi-Family Residential Max Pct                      |   | 60% / 70%  | 60% / 65%   | 40% / 55%   | 20% / 25%   |   |
|                          | Commercial Max Pct                                    |   | 80% / 90%  | 65% / 70%   |   |   |   |
|                          | WQ Transition Zone<br>Max Pct IC (outside floodplain) | Not Applicable  | <b>Not Applicable</b>  | <b>Not Applicable</b>                               | 18%   | 1 SF unit / 3 acres                                       | 1 SF unit / 3 acres<br>None over recharge     |
|                          | Critical WQ Zone:<br>Max Pct IC                       | None (except road crossings)  | None (except limited road crossings)   | None (except limited road crossings)                | None (except limited road crossings)  | None (except limited road crossings)                      | None (except limited road crossings)          |
|                          | Critical Environmental Feature (CEF) Max Pct IC       | None within 150 to 300 ft radius  | None within 150 to 300 ft radius   | None within 150 to 300 ft radius                    | None within 150 to 300 ft radius  | None within 150 to 300 ft radius                          | None within 150 to 300 ft radius              |
| Waterway Classifications | Minor   |   | <b>64 – 320 acres</b>  | <b>64 – 320 acres</b>                               | <b>64 – 320 acres</b>   | 64 – 320 acres  | <b>64 – 320 acres</b>                         |
|                          | Intermediate  | 64 acres  | <b>320 – 640 acres</b>   | <b>320 – 640 acres</b>                              | <b>320 – 640 acres</b>  | 320 – 640 acres   | <b>320 – 640 acres</b>                        |
|                          | Major   |   | <b>over 640 acres</b>  | <b>over 640 acres</b>                               | <b>over 640 acres</b>   | over 640 acres  | <b>over 640 acres</b>                         |
|                          | Notes   | Urban creeks not classified   |  |   |   |   |   |
| Waterway Setbacks        | Critical Water Quality Zone                           |   |  |   |   |   |   |
|                          | Minor   | 50 – 400 ft.  | <b>100 ft.</b>   | <b>100 ft.</b>                                      | 50 – 100 ft.  | 50 – 100 ft.  | 50 – 100 ft.                                  |
|                          | Intermediate  |   | <b>200 ft.</b>   | <b>200 ft.</b>                                      | 100 – 200 ft.   | 100 – 200 ft.   | 100 – 200 ft.                                 |
|                          | Major   |   | <b>300 ft.</b>   | <b>300 ft.</b>                                      | 200 – 400 ft.   | 200 – 400 ft.   | 200 – 400 ft.<br>(Barton mainstem 400 ft.)    |
|                          | Notes   | Between min and max width, coincides with the 100-year fully-developed floodplain | <b>"Buffer averaging" allows sites to reduce width of buffers by up to one-half if the overall amount protected remains the same</b> |   | Between min and max width, coincides with the 100-year fully-developed floodplain |   |   |
|                          | Water Quality Transition Zone                         |   |  |   |   |   |   |
|                          | Minor   | Not Required  | <b>Not Required</b>  | <b>Not Required</b>                                 | 100 ft.   | 100 ft.   | 100 ft.                                       |
|                          | Intermediate  |   | <b>Not Required</b>  | <b>Not Required</b>                                 | 200 ft.   | 200 ft.   | 200 ft.                                       |
|                          | Major   |   | <b>Not Required</b>  | <b>Not Required</b>                                 | 300 ft.   | 300 ft.   | 300 ft.                                       |
|                          | Variations from Buffers                               | <b>Administrative under certain conditions</b>                                    | Must apply for Land Use Commission variance  |   | Must apply for Land Use Commission variance.                                      |   |   |
| Water Quality Controls   | Treatment Standard                                    | Sedimentation/ Filtration   | Sedimentation/ Filtration  | Sedimentation/ Filtration                           | Sedimentation/ Filtration   | Sedimentation/ Filtration                                 | Non-Degradation                               |
|                          | When Required   | <b>All new/redeveloped if IC &gt; 8,000 sq. ft.</b>                               | <b>All new/redeveloped if IC &gt; 8,000 sq. ft.</b>  | <b>All new/redeveloped if IC &gt; 8,000 sq. ft.</b> | All new/redeveloped if IC > 8,000 sq. ft.; all IC in WQTZ                         | All new/redeveloped if IC > 8,000 sq. ft.; all IC in WQTZ | All development                               |
|                          | Allowed in Creek Buffer                               | <b>CWQZ = Yes per ECM<br/>WQTZ = N/A</b>  | <b>CWQZ = Yes per ECM<br/>WQTZ = N/A</b>   | <b>CWQZ = Yes per ECM<br/>WQTZ = N/A</b>            | CWQZ = No<br><b>WQTZ = Yes per ECM</b>  | CWQZ = No<br><b>WQTZ = Yes per ECM</b>                    | CWQZ = No<br><b>WQTZ = Yes per ECM</b>        |
|                          | Alternative Strategies Allowed                        | Yes   | Yes  | Yes   | Yes   | Yes   | No  |
|                          | Optional Payment-in-Lieu                              | Yes   | No   | No  | No  | No  | No  |

key: CWQZ = Critical Water Quality Zone; ETJ = Extra-Territorial Jurisdiction; IC = Impervious Cover; SF = Single-Family Residential; WQ = Water Quality; WQTZ = Water Quality Transition Zone

## B. Flood Control Projects

### 1. Existing Flood Control Retrofit Program

#### **Introduction**

The WPD Engineering divisions evaluate storm water structural controls throughout the City's MS4 to determine if retrofitting is feasible.

#### **Program Activities Description**

Although historically many structural flood control devices have been implemented through the City's Regional Storm Water Management Program (RSMP), many other flood and water quality controls were built through private development. The WPD will evaluate the existing RSMP flood control structures (regional detention ponds), non-RSMP flood control structures and other urban sites as potential flood/water quality retrofit locations.

Each of the identified facilities will be assessed utilizing the following site evaluation criteria:

- General size and layout
- Critical or constraining environmental features
- Topographic constraints or opportunities
- Drainage area size and pollutant load
- Opportunities for BMP integration with existing features
- Community acceptance

The following are examples of the water quality technologies that may be considered for use at each identified facility as determined practicable by the City:

- Permanent wet pool
- Bio-retention systems
- Extended detention

Erosion detention and base flow augmentation may also be considered for use in combination with these water quality technologies. Cost effectiveness of retrofit activities will be taken into account during the evaluation process to determine implementation priority.

## **Program Schedule**

Evaluations and consideration of flood/water quality retrofit potential will continue at each of the identified structures throughout the five-year permit period. No specific schedule will be set for the retrofit evaluation process as it will be done in conjunction with other master planning processes, to be based on a needs assessment currently underway.

## **2. Future Flood Control Review Program**

### **Introduction**

In the effort to assess the potential water quality impacts from proposed flood control projects, the City of Austin uses both regulatory design requirements and technical review to evaluate both municipal and private flood control projects.

### **Program Activities Description**

City of Austin Land Development Code (LDC) currently requires an Environmental Assessment (EA) be filed with the director of the WPD for any proposed development located in a floodplain. This includes both City and private flood control projects such as large regional detention facilities and any type of floodplain modification. The requirements of the EA include a Hydrogeological Report which must demonstrate that the proposed drainage patterns resulting from the construction of the project will protect the quality and quantity of recharge at significant points. The EA must also include a Vegetation Report, a Wastewater Report, and a Pollutant Attenuation Plan for any proposed industrial use that is not completely enclosed in a building.

For both City and private flood control projects, the flood control facility design and the accompanying EA are submitted with the permit application and reviewed by WPD staff. The proposed project must also comply with the requirements of the City's LDC, ECM and Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM). LDC and ECM codes and rules require project impacts to water quality and riparian systems to be evaluated and minimized. The DCM outlines design, performance and safety criteria for storm water management. Any storm water management pond with a height of over 15 feet is classified as a large regional pond and is reviewed by WPD staff.

In addition, WPD completed Phase I of its Watershed Protection Master Plan to better prioritize service needs and to refine program directions. As part of the Master Plan, WPD's MIP Team will integrate, to the greatest extent possible, flood control, erosion control and water quality goals into future WPD projects. Currently, all WPD flood control projects meet LDC, ECM and DCM requirements and include evaluations of opportunities to incorporate erosion control and water quality design features.

During the five-year permit period the City of Austin will continue to evaluate proposed flood control projects as outlined above, with more refined evaluation and assessment criteria to be developed based on the Master Plan activities. The City does not anticipate any changes to the flood control programs. However changes to the scope of these programs may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

### **3. Illicit Discharges and Improper Disposal**

#### **A. Illicit and Allowable Discharges**

##### Ordinance

To effectively prohibit illicit discharges to the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4), the City of Austin uses a series of ordinances. The City code sections that address illicit discharges and improper disposal are as follows:

##### Water Quality Regulations

###### Title 6, Chapter 6-5. Water Quality

The chapter 6-5 Water Quality regulations of the Austin City Code contain regulatory language that prohibits non-storm water discharges into storm sewers or water courses and provides requirements for pretreatment, monitoring and specifications related to specific activities. In addition, provisions for inspection by the City and penalties due to violations are included in this chapter.

##### Watershed Regulations

###### Title 25, Chapter 25-8. Environmental

This chapter of the Austin City Code contains language that prohibits illegal connections to the storm sewer system or any other illicit discharges at newly constructed facilities. Section 25-8-362 (Storm Sewer Discharge) of the Chapter states: “ A certificate of occupancy may not be issued for development subject to this subchapter unless the development is in compliance with Chapter [6-5, Article 5](#) (*Discharges Into Storm Sewers Or Watercourses*).”

##### Hazardous Materials Storage and Registration Regulations

###### 2003 International Fire Code

The Austin Fire Department enforces the 2003 International Fire Code (IFC) to regulate hazardous materials storage and registration in the City of Austin. Included in IFC is regulatory language that prohibits the discharge of materials into the storm sewer or watercourses. Section 2703.3 of the IFC states:

“Hazardous materials in any quantity shall not be released into a sewer, storm drain, ditch, drainage canal, creek, stream, river, lake or tidal waterway or on the ground, sidewalk, street, and highway or into the atmosphere.”

The City of Austin also has amended sections of the IFC to include provisions for reporting emergencies and cost recovery. In addition, the Fire Department requires adherence with Section 6-5-51 of the City of Austin Code.

### Litter Regulations

#### Title 10, Chapter 10-5. Litter

Chapter 10-5, Article 3 of the Austin City Code prohibits litter. Section 10-5-42 (Littering Prohibited) of the chapter states:

(A) A person commits an offense if the person deposits or throws litter on a street, alley, sidewalk, premises, vacant lot or public property, including a park or playground.

(B) A person commits an offense if the person deposits or throws litter along a street, alley, sidewalk or public property, including a park or playground.

(C) A person commits an offense if the person deposits or throws litter from cleaning the interior of a residence, business or premises on a street, alley, sidewalk or creek.”

### On-Site Sewage Facility Regulations

#### Title 15, Chapter 15-5. Private Sewage Facilities

Chapter 15-5 of the Austin City Code provides regulations for sewage facilities. Section 15-5-26 (discharge or spill) of the chapter provides specific guidelines for reporting and cleanup activities so that appropriate action is taken to “protect public health and the environment.”

### **Enforcement**

The City investigates illicit discharges on a complaint or emergency response basis and on the results of the dry weather screening activities. Investigations of suspect facilities or activities include a thorough inspection of the premises and the connections to the MS4 to determine if an illicit discharge has occurred, or if the potential for illicit discharges exists.

When an illicit discharge is found, City investigators work with the responsible party(s) to obtain voluntary compliance with City Code requirements. If voluntary compliance cannot be achieved, legal action can be taken against the violators in Municipal Court (See Prosecution). Illicit discharges to the storm sewer system found during routine facility inspections conducted by other City programs are addressed by the investigator conducting the inspection. If the illicit discharge cannot be addressed in this manner, the problem will be reported to the Pollution Hotline for follow-up inspection and investigation. In addition, other City field staffs have been instructed to follow the proper procedures for reporting illicit discharges.

**Prosecution**

If voluntary compliance is not obtained, evidence of the violation, including investigation reports, photo documentation of the violation and all correspondence with the responsible party, is supplied to the City's Law Department staff.

The WPD legal enforcement liaison will then file a complaint in Municipal Court and work with a prosecutor to prepare the case against the violator and any responsible party(s). The City's Law Department prosecutes environmental cases, as necessary in Municipal Court, and in most cases Chapters 25-8 (Land Development Code) and 6-5 (Water Quality Code) of the Austin City Code are cited as the legal mechanism for prosecution. Violations of Chapters 6-5 and 25-8 are Class C misdemeanors, finable up to \$2,000 per violation. The penalty and fines imposed by the Municipal Court Judge are generally based upon the recommendation of the City Prosecutor, but in most cases a plea bargain is negotiated and a "deferred disposition" verdict is reached. In such cases, the defendant may be required to post a \$1,000 bond that will be returned upon completion of the court ordered cleanup or corrective activities. If the defendant corrects the violation in the negotiated time frame to the satisfaction of the investigator and the court then the charges will be dropped from the defendant's record. If the defendant fails to comply with the court Order, a "revocation hearing" will be held, at which time the judge will rule on the case. Depending on the court ruling, bond money may not be returned and additional fines may be assessed. New charges may be filed against the defendant the next working day (as the violation of City Code still exists), beginning the process again.

**B. Detection and Elimination of Illicit Discharges****Wastewater Pipelines****Introduction**

The City's wastewater collection system (separate from the storm water system) is operated and maintained by the Pipeline Operations Program. Austin Water (AW) is responsible for inspection and repair of wastewater infrastructure within the service area.

### **Program Activities Description**

Austin Water has installed permanent flow monitoring equipment with telemetry at its major wastewater interceptors to monitor significant sources of inflow and infiltration (I&I). The utility conducts Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) studies for the collection system in two drainage basins of its wastewater service area every year. The collection system located within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ) is televised once every five years to comply with the Texas Commission Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Edwards Aquifer Rules. In addition to the closed circuit TV inspection required by TCEQ, the utility also cleans the wastewater lines and inspects manholes located in the EARZ. Interceptors in creeks are “walked” for visual inspection of any damage after indication of significantly high flows. The utility uses television trucks for conducting closed circuit TV inspection of its wastewater lines. Sources of infiltration and seepage that cannot be eliminated through the routine maintenance are evaluated as part of a SSES to determine the best method of rehabilitation/repair/replacement.

Illegal connections of storm sewers to sanitary sewers are removed as soon as they are detected during the various monitoring activities such as flow monitoring, sewer cleaning, TV inspection, smoke testing, dye testing and creek walking. Any illicit discharge of sewage or wastewater from a private or public system may be reported to the Austin Water or WPD Pollution Hotline by the public. The City’s Spills and Complaint Response Program (SCRP) of the WPD investigate any Pollution Hotline reports of overflows that threaten to discharge to a storm sewer or waterway. As noted in the introduction, SCRCP staff is responsible for determining the source of illegal discharge such as wastewater discharges into Austin waterways, and enforcing regulations preventing these discharges. The Plumbing Inspection Division of the PDRD enforces appropriate provisions of the plumbing code relative to on-site sewage piping and connections. AW and SCRCP staff may also coordinate with other governmental agencies, such as the TCEQ, and/or the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) during emergency spill incidents.

During the five year permit period, the City's program to prevent the infiltration or seepage of wastewater from wastewater lines into its storm sewer system and waterways will be responsible for completing the following of activities each year:

- Clean wastewater lines
- TV inspection of wastewater lines
- Smoke test wastewater line interceptors
- Provide routine maintenance of wastewater lines as necessary
- Replace or rehabilitate wastewater lines as necessary

### C. Overflows and Infiltration

#### **On-site Sewage Facilities (Septic Systems)**

##### **Introduction**

The City of Austin Water Utility (Austin Water) regulates on-site sewage facilities located within its jurisdictional boundaries through the management and implementation of the City's On-Site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) Program. The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) has granted authority to Austin Water to enforce the requirements established in Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 285 and has approved additional requirements under City Code 15-5. The focus of the program is to abate and/or prevent pollution and injury to the public health from the use of inadequate and/or failing private sewage facilities thus preventing the improper disposal of domestic waste and sewage.

##### **Program Activities Description**

The OSSF Program uses a multi-step process to reduce or prevent the possibility of illegal discharges from on-site sewage facilities, including seepage infiltration, or runoff of partially treated effluent into Austin's municipal separate storm sewer system. To increase public protection and prevent the introduction of partially treated effluent into the environment, Austin Water amended Chapter 15-5 on October 3, 2013. The effective date of the revised ordinance was November 1, 2013. The revised ordinance includes more stringent design and monitoring requirements for OSSF.

Major revisions include but are limited to:

- Mandatory nitrogen treatment in certain environmentally sensitive areas such as the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone. This requirement applies to all OSSF that are new or upgraded.

- Standard absorption drain fields - greater vertical separation distance from the bottom of the trench to groundwater is required.
- On-going maintenance and reporting for secondary and tertiary treatment systems is required;
- Mandatory training, certification, and registration for homeowners maintaining their own secondary treatment system.
- On-going maintenance and reporting for homeowner maintained secondary treatment systems.
- Installation of low-flow water conservation fixtures in buildings contributing wastewater to the OSSF when an OSSF is new or upgrading is required.
- Increased lot sizes for new subdivision.
- Mandatory upgrade to current OSSFs standards for OSSF installed prior to 1983 and proposing to increase the square footage or footprint to the home.

Additional program elements include; but are not limited to:

- Unless approved by the Director, no OSSF systems will be installed within 100 feet of an organized wastewater collection line (this is measured from the property line to the nearest available wastewater line);
- A permit is required to construct any new OSSF system;
- Owners of an OSSF must obtain a license to operate prior to placing the OSSF in service. The license may include site specific monitoring and reporting requirements. Sites with an OSSF and seeking to obtain a building permit from the City of Austin must be evaluated for potential impacts to the OSSF before a building permit can be issued.

Plans of new or modified systems are reviewed to ensure compliance with design and installation requirements. The minimum requirements for installing an OSSF system have been established; these include, but are not limited to:

- Minimum lot sizes
- Minimum setback distances required from (e.g.streams, ponds, lakes, rivers, creeks, seeps, drainage easements, etc.)
- Special design requirements for systems located in the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, the Barton Springs Contributing Zone, and systems located within 75 feet of Lake Austin.
- Special design requirements for OSSF located within the 100-year flood
- Special planning requirements for systems located within the regulated waterway.
- Proximity to water supply systems
- Identification of faults, fractures and sink holes in karst topography
- Location of impermeable layers of rock or clay
- Demonstration of adequate soil texture and depth for system designs

The current code does not require existing OSSF to be abandoned unless the systems are failing (e.g., the OSSF are known sources of pollution, nuisance conditions and/or a threat to public health, or when the system is altered). The City may inspect OSSF reasonably believed to be causing pollution. Enforcement action may be taken for any non-compliant OSSF. Enforcement actions may include citations for failure or refusal to remedy conditions prohibited by City Code. Violations of City Code may be issued through the appropriate municipal court. Violations of the City Water Quality Code, which include any un-permitted or illicit discharges of sewage or wastewater from a private or public system, into a storm sewer system or waterway are reported to City's WPD Spill Complaint Response Program (SCRCP). The SCRCP is responsible for determining the source of illegal discharges such as wastewater discharges to storm water sewers, evaluating the impacts of such discharges to Austin's waterways and enforcing regulations preventing these discharges.

When necessary action may be taken through Municipal Court to enforce these provisions of the City Code. Additional remedies available to the City include; but are not limited to, the temporary disconnection of water and/or electric services to non-compliant sites. Austin Water also coordinates enforcement activities with several other local agencies such as the TCEQ, the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA), Travis County Transportation and Natural Resources Department and the Williamson County Health District on an as needed basis. For example, the TCEQ may assist with the enforcement of special regulations for the construction of wastewater systems over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, and the LCRA may assist with regulations regarding private sewage facilities near Lake Travis and the other Highland Lakes.

The effectiveness of the City's program to prevent the infiltration or seepage, or runoff of partially treated wastewater into its storm sewer system and waterways will be measured through several indirect means, including:

- the number of new systems permitted and inspected;
- the number of enforcement actions taken against poorly maintained sites with advanced treatment systems (secondary and tertiary);
- the number of investigations and enforcement actions taken to correct failing systems; and
- the number of complaint responses related to private sewage systems discharges

## D. Household Hazardous Waste and Used Motor Vehicle Fluids

### **Introduction**

The City's ARR is responsible for the development and management of the City's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program. These programs fall within the disposal services operational area. The HHW Program functions as a stand-alone program that operates with a staff of environmental professionals. Staff members provide the day-to-day operations and management of the facility and program.

The City of Austin's HHW Program serves the residents Austin and Travis County, Texas. Funding is primarily from City ARR customers, although 13-17 percent of program participants come from Travis County outside the City's service area, and Travis County now contributes close to 10 percent of the annual program budget. The program focus is on decreasing pollution from indiscriminate use or disposal of home chemicals and used oil, thus preventing pollution of local watersheds contributing to the Colorado River.

Citizens from surrounding counties may use the program's services, although they must pay a fee for the use. Publicity is provided through local newspapers and other news media, and talks provided to area schools, professional organizations and environmental conferences. With the assistance of the TCEQs Pollution Prevention and Education Section, and the North American Hazardous Materials Management Association (NAHMMA), information on the operation and success of Austin's program is made available to communities throughout Texas and the United States.

This program continues to benefit Austin and Travis County residents by providing convenient, responsible disposal options so that hazardous household wastes are removed from the City's and County's regular liquid (sanitary sewer) and solid waste streams. Proper disposal of hazardous waste also decreases this category of material from being disposed of in vacant yards, easements or storm sewers. Removing flammable, caustic or explosive hazards from solid waste collections contributes to a safer work place for sanitation workers and lessens risks for fire fighters. Program awareness and participation also helps make homes safer. Public education efforts are detailed in Section 10, Public Education.

### **Program Activities Description**

#### **Household Hazardous Waste Program**

The HHW Program consists mainly of the semi-weekly collection program at a permanent solid waste transfer facility, although customers who require home pickups or other accommodations will be helped throughout the week. Household battery collection and recycling through numerous area stores, latex paint recycling and distribution, and reusing safe, good quality products in a product reuse program will continue. Although the City can no longer accept Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator (CESQG) wastes, as 30 TAC 335 Subchapter N disallows this practice, CESQG customers will be provided a list of vendors. The City of Austin's HHW Program operations will be reviewed to maximize waste reductions and enhance recycling whenever possible.

City of Austin staff accepts and segregates waste into approved shipping containers for storage until the disposal contractor can transport the waste for disposal or recycling. Mixed solvents, antifreeze, oil, and latex paint will be bulked into drums (or storage tanks for oil and antifreeze) during collection hours. Oil-based paint is packaged into cubic yard boxes.

A qualified, permitted hazardous waste transporter and disposal contractor is present, on a semi-weekly basis, to further segregate the collected material, manifest, package

and transport collected wastes for disposal at U.S. EPA licensed disposal facilities. Collected waste will be stored at the HHW Facility in accordance with Title 30 Texas Administrative Code (30 TAC) Chapter 335 Subchapter N. Access to this facility by the public at times other than the scheduled collection hours will be restricted, but some HHW materials will be accepted off schedule if delivered, at the discretion of the HHW employees.

#### Paint Recycling Program

Another successful program under the HHW umbrella is the latex paint recycling program. Part of the segregation operation at the facility includes determining if latex paint, which is dropped off, is in usable condition. Good latex paint is poured into two separate containers to make up light and dark latex paint. The City of Austin has a contract with a local paint company to blend and package the latex paint into five-gallon pails. After receiving the packaged paint back onsite, it is given to 501(c) groups for building projects, to the Physical Graffiti Abatement Program of the Austin Police Department and to other groups deemed candidates for the paint.

#### Public Education Involvement and Intergovernmental Coordination

Another key component to the success of the HHW Program will be continued public involvement and coordination with other government entities. The City's HHW Program works closely and coordinates program planning and implementation with the TCEQs Pollution Prevention and Education Section. Notification of any HHW collection program is required 45 days prior, and a full operational plan with specific regulatory requirements is required to be available on site for any HHW collection. For permanent sites such as Austin's, the notification is required to be updated periodically (typically annually) while the operational plan is updated as changes occur within the program. The City will also continue to work closely with the LCRA and Travis County. Travis County contributes funds to pay for the county's share of hazardous waste transportation and disposal costs and additional employees to handle the workload in managing HHW from County residents outside the City.

The county and the City have agreed that County funding contributions to the program will be based on the percentage of participation coming from areas in the county outside the City limits.

## E. MS4 Screening and Illicit Discharge Inspections

### **Introduction**

The primary goal of the illicit discharge inspection program is to detect the source of illicit discharges to the City's municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) in the effort to prevent or minimize the impact to water quality or other natural resources in the Austin area. This goal will be achieved through investigation of portions of the MS4 identified as potential sources of non-storm water discharges due to illicit connections or improper disposal practices.

### **Program Activities Description**

The illicit discharge inspection program is based primarily on the activities of the SCRIP of the WPD. The SCRIP staff investigates reports of illicit discharges to the storm sewer system.

Program Procedures are as follows: The SCRIP investigators track the route of an illicit discharge and attempt to identify its source and cause. The standard procedures for conducting illicit discharge investigations have been summarized in the following outline (Figure 6-1).

The SCRIP staff maintains written documentation on all illicit discharge investigations. The documentation will include, as necessary, information such as field observations, potential responsible party information, causes, sources, specific violations (or potential violations) observed, response action requested and final resolution. Incident reports are kept in a computer database that can be queried by map grid, watershed, facility name and various other pertinent fields. Any supporting material acquired during the investigation, including MSDSs, photos, phone logs or waste manifests are kept in respective hard copy incident files. Illicit discharge investigation and inspection activities are not scheduled; rather they are initiated as warranted by the dry weather screening program referrals or reports of illicit discharges or improper disposal

practices submitted by citizens, other departments or agencies. The City does not anticipate any changes to the illicit discharges and improper disposal program, however changes to the scope of the various program components may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

### Figure 6-1. Illicit Discharge Investigation Procedures

#### **INITIAL INVESTIGATION:**

- Review information reported.
- If illicit materials discharged from storm drain outfall:
  - Assess general properties of discharge.
  - Determine if emergency containment is necessary.
- Evaluate environmental impact.
- Collect samples if necessary.
- Record observations on standard report form, documenting observations about discharged material.
- Use storm drain maps to identify potential area of discharge origin.
- Inspect storm drains and investigate suspect activities within the identified area for potential origin of discharge.
- Establish contact with potentially responsible party and/or other agency representatives.
  - Explain purpose of investigation, authority and communicate applicable regulations to suspected or potential responsible party.

#### **INVESTIGATION AT DISCHARGE ORIGIN LOCATION:**

- Collect samples from suspected origin of discharge if necessary.
- Investigate potential illicit plumbing connections:
  - Conduct visual observation of plumbing connections.
  - Conduct visual observation of area for staining or erosion due to illicit connection.
- Record observations on standard report form.
- Dye tracing (if necessary) to confirm illicit connection.
- If testing several plumbing connections at one facility:
  - Identify one color for each connection (ex. red for 1<sup>st</sup> wash sink, green for 2<sup>nd</sup> wash sink) and record on standard report form.
  - Pour appropriate dye colorant down drain.
  - Turn on tap water at each connection and let run for at least 15 minutes.
  - Observe flow from storm water outfall identified for the particular facility.
  - Identify illicit drain connection by dye color and record on standard report form.
  - If no flow at outfall, check area surrounding facility for potential overland flow.
- If testing connection at more than one facility:
  - Identify one color for each facility.
  - Pour appropriate dye colorant down each drain at the facility.
  - Turn on tap water at each connection at let run for at least 15 minutes.
  - Observe flow from storm water outfall identified for each facility.
  - Identify facility with illicit drain connection by dye color and record on standard report form.
  - ~~Once facility has been identified, repeat process for individual facility.~~

## F. NPDES and TPDES Permittee List

The SDPP staff has implemented a database of industrial and high-risk facilities discharging to the City's MS4. SDPP staff utilizes the TCEQ NOI database, and information from field inspections to maintain the database. Summary data is reported annually in Section 5 of the System-wide Annual Report.

## G. MS4 Maps

The WPD maintains a Geographic Information System (GIS) feature class of the mapped MS4 system. This information is continually updated and MS4 system maps are produced upon request.

## H. Spill Prevention and Response

### **Introduction**

This program seeks to protect the water quality of streams and related natural resources in Austin. This program targets illegal or illicit discharge to the storm sewer system and spills of hazardous and non-hazardous materials, which might be a threat to water quality within the City's planning jurisdiction and water supply watersheds. Discharges may occur through illicit plumbing connections to the City's storm sewer system, deliberate dumping or accidental spills of hazardous and non-hazardous materials. This program will work to reduce the number of these discharges by tracking and eliminating illicit connections, enforcing state and local statutes regarding illegal discharges and responding to accidental spills to monitor material containment and clean-up.

The responsibility for responding to surface water quality complaints and hazardous and non-hazardous materials spills for water quality protection is held by the WPD, ERM Division, Pollution Prevention and Reduction (PPR) Section. The Austin Fire Department (AFD) is responsible for responding to hazardous material spills for protection of human health and safety. AFD also responds to certain non-hazardous materials releases that may be a threat to life, property, or the environment.

The TCEQ is responsible for regulating disposal of hazardous waste, dealing with pollution threats to ground water and protecting surface water for the State of Texas, which includes the City of Austin.

### **Program Activities Description**

The WPD maintains a rapid response capability by having investigators on-call on a rotating basis, and after-hours notification of environmental emergencies is accomplished through a 24-hour hotline operated by the WPD. In a typical response situation, the Spills and Complaints Response Program (SCRP) investigators are notified of hazardous material incidents by the AFD dispatch office. Occasionally, this notification is from the TCEQ or the Austin and Travis/Travis County Health and Human Services (HHSD). Water pollution complaints are received from many sources: directly from private citizens calling the department's Pollution Hotline, and referrals from other City departments such as the ATCHD or AW and referrals from other regulatory agencies such as TCEQ or LCRA. Figure 7-1 shows the procedures for conducting an investigation and Figure 7-2 describes each procedure.

The SCRCP classifies incident investigations into two different categories: Priority Incidents and non-priority incidents. "Priority Incidents" are generally emergency spill incidents and situations that pose an immediate threat to water resources. "Non-priority incidents" are general environmental complaints that do not pose an immediate threat to water resources. SCRCP investigators respond to priority and non-priority incidents within the scope of WPD programs. However, when the investigators note other problems outside their jurisdiction, they will refer them to other departments or agencies for action as appropriate.

SCRCP investigators attempt to obtain voluntary compliance with applicable water quality regulations when violations are found. If unable to obtain voluntary compliance with City regulations, WPD staff has the option of filing complaints against the responsible party(s) in municipal court. Uncooperative offenders are sometimes referred to the TCEQ or EPA for enforcement as well. Criminal investigations where necessary are referred to Travis County Attorney's Office.

Ultimate enforcement may be through one or more City departments or external agencies as their jurisdictions apply. Investigators in this program work with a large number of regulatory entities, including interactions with government organizations at the federal, state, county and local level. It is the policy of the WPD to provide all possible cooperation with these agencies, and SCRП staff meets periodically with the different agencies to discuss cooperation and coordination, lines of communication and areas of jurisdiction.

In addition to the spill and complaint response activities, the SCRП staff provides a data retrieval service for industry and interested citizens seeking data on spills and complaints. Investigation reports are recorded from a field notebook into a computerized database. Materials gathered during an investigation, such as photographs, reports, correspondence and Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) are kept in an investigation file. Information requests under the Freedom of Information Act are also received from businesses, citizens and the media. This information is gathered from the database and investigation files.

Program staff also provides an educational service by offering information to regulated businesses, City departments that work with WPD and citizens groups. This information is provided in the form of written handouts and staff presentations. Currently handouts include general program description, regulatory contact information, good housekeeping and spill clean-up procedures, and waste recycling information.

During the permit period, the SCRП will continue spill and complaint response activities. However the program expects to see continued growth in the number of investigations. This increase is expected to be due in part by efforts to increase community awareness of environmental issues and the City's pollution prevention programs. Enhanced public awareness may be achieved through the development of educational materials for public distribution, working with local media and marketing program staff and giving public presentations to targeted organizations.

There are a large number of neighborhoods and environmental organizations in the City of Austin and these groups provide good information on the activities in their areas that might be causing water pollution. SCRCP staff has given presentations to some of these groups and will continue to do so as a part of its effort to increase community awareness.


The WPD currently sponsors a youth monitoring program that monitors the water quality of local streams, creeks and lakes. These young citizens are an excellent source of routine information on polluting discharges to storm sewers and watercourses, and have been briefed on how to contact the SCRCP in the event that they observe a polluting discharge while in the field. These efforts are expected to increase the number of spills and pollution problems reported to the program, thereby increasing the amount of pollutants (i.e. spill residues) removed from the environment.

Over the five-year permit period WPD will continue to seek advanced training opportunities to expand emergency response personnel knowledge and experience in addition to maintaining the current level of training, which includes:

- Hazardous Materials Operations and Emergency Response 40 hour course (satisfies OSHA 1910.120)
- In house training using staff resources, training manuals, videos, WPD safety liaison and various reference manuals
- Various conferences, workshops and seminars related to spill clean-up techniques, disposal of contaminated materials, federal and state environmental regulations, emergency response, and investigation techniques, and other related subjects such as confined spaces and rail car releases

Periodic review of the City the Water Quality Code, which regulates discharges to storm sewers and watercourses, may also occur during the five year permit period to consider whether modifications are appropriate.

Figure 7-1. Spill and Complaint Response Process Flow Chart



## Figure 7-2. Spills and Complaints Response Program Investigation Procedure

### CALL RECEIVED

- Calls are received through a 24-hour hotline by program investigators.

### WPD JURISDICTION?

Yes:

- Investigator prioritizes call and responds to calls in order of priority.

No:

- Refer to appropriate agency (see appropriate agency referral)

### APPROPRIATE AGENCY REFERRAL

- Refer to appropriate agency according to referral table (see Figure 7-3)
- Complete investigation report (see report completion)

### INVESTIGATION

- Review information reported
- Prioritize response according to potential environmental impact
- Check and prepare equipment necessary for the investigation (see equipment list)
- Observe from distance and approach with caution and upwind if necessary
- Establish contact with potentially responsible party and/or other agency representatives, and present credentials
- Explain purpose of investigation and authority
- Record observations in field notebook, documenting any violations or potential violations

### SPILL FOUND

Yes:

- Assess general properties of material spilled to determine mode of initial containment if necessary
- Evaluate environmental impact if appropriate
- Contact other agencies for assistance as necessary
- Coordinate with AFD and other agency or contracted company personnel if necessary
- Collect samples if necessary
- Communicate applicable regulations to suspected or potential responsible party

No:

- Verify information with caller
- Gather all pertinent information and evidence if a spill is suspected, but not found
- Communicate applicable regulations to suspected or potential responsible party
- Complete investigation report (see report completion)

### CONTAINMENT BY LOCAL OR STATE AUTHORITY

- Containment by AFD when material is an immediate threat to a storm sewer or watercourse, or is a public hazard

### REVIEW OF MATERIAL SPILLED (MSDS)

- If material is positively identified, effort is made to obtain more detailed information from sources such as:
- MSDS, Chemtrec, Emergency Response Guidebook, and various other departmental reference books.
- Information is gathered to determine minimum cleanup requirements according to all applicable regulation

## Figure 7-2. Spills and Complaints Response Program Investigation Procedure *continued*

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY IDENTIFIED?

Responsible party is person(s) or business causing the illegal discharge. If no responsible party can be identified, the owner of the property on which the material is spilled is responsible. Unknown property ownership is determined by accessing City of Austin utility records or Travis County tax records.

Yes:

- Notification of violation and request for abatement is made verbally, and in writing if necessary

No:

- See cleanup, storage, disposal by local or state authority

### CLEANUP, STORAGE, DISPOSAL BY LOCAL OR STATE AUTHORITY

- If cleanup is necessary, it is performed by local or state authority (AFD or TCEQ), or contractor hired by the agency, when no responsible party has been identified.
- Investigator makes recommendations on remediation methods, sample parameters, disposal methods, etc.

### ACCEPTING RESPONSIBILITY

Yes:

- Responsible party accepts responsibility verbally
- Recommendations are made for cleanup, storage, and disposal, verbally or in writing as necessary.

No:

- See referral for enforcement

### REFERRAL FOR ENFORCEMENT

- All documentation is gathered (MSDS, photos, field notebook entries) for filing affidavit of non-compliance in municipal court.
- Notification of personnel necessary to begin enforcement process, including WPD management and legal staff
- Notification of other enforcement agencies who may have jurisdiction
- Enforcement resulting in responsible party remediation (see cleanup, storage, disposal by responsible party)

### CLEANUP, STORAGE, DISPOSAL BY RESPONSIBLE PARTY

- If cleanup is necessary, it is performed by the responsible party or a contractor hired by responsible party.
- Investigator makes recommendations on remediation methods, sample parameters disposal methods, etc.

### REPORT COMPLETION

- Inspection of site to ensure all visible contamination removed
- Review lab analyses waste manifests and other documentation of clean-up and attach to report
- All follow-up investigations are completed and documented

### REPORT ENTERED INTO DATABASE

Report is entered into Spills and Complaint Response Program database  
Any supporting material is filed in program files

### REFERRAL OF PERMITTED OR PERMITTABLE FACILITY

- If spill/complaint has occurred at Stormwater Discharge Program permitted or permittable facility, the spill or complaint is referred to a Program inspector.

## **Austin Fire Department Special Operations Division**

### **Introduction**

The AFD Hazardous Materials Response Team was reorganized in 2002 and was combined with other specialty teams within AFD. The Hazardous Materials Team is now referred to as the Special Operations Division. The Special Operations Division supplies hazardous material response personnel, apparatus and equipment from four fire stations located in the central, north, east and south sectors of Austin. This Division also supplies Special Operations personnel who act as incident advisors, provide training, evaluate new equipment and maintain specialized response equipment.

### **Program Activities Description**

Generally, this program targets the control of potentially hazardous material spills or other incidents that may endanger human health and safety within the City limits. The AFD emergency response activities are not targeted to any specific industry or business, rather the Special Operations Division is trained to handle a wide variety of hazardous materials incidents including liquid spills, gas releases and rescues under hazardous conditions.

In addition to providing personnel, training and equipment for emergency response, the AFD maintains a large inventory of equipment for use during hazardous materials incidents, including spill containment, chemical monitoring, personnel protective clothing, confined space entry, decontamination and water rescue equipment.

Incidents are responded to in an expeditious manner with a priority given to life safety and protection of property. Fire suppression may not be initiated due to possible run-off of toxic substances. The "no attack" strategy has become an important consideration during the incident pre-planning effort. Once an immediate hazard has been alleviated, the Special Operations Division has required follow-up remediation when a responsible party is identified, or actually performs cleanup operations. The AFD Special Operations Division coordinates with the City of Austin WPD, TCEQ, and the HHSD to ensure that current environmental and life safety regulations are met.

The Special Operations Division will continue to provide emergency response capabilities as described throughout the permit period. The City does not anticipate any further changes to the Spill Response Program, however changes to the scope of the program components may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

## **4. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations**

### **Introduction**

In the effort to reduce the amount of pollutants discharged into local waterways from municipal operations, the City of Austin has developed and implemented several programs. Many of these programs are also described in detail throughout various sections in the SWMP.

### **Program Activities Description**

#### **A. Pollution Prevention and Reduction/Good Housekeeping Programs**

The Pollution Prevention and Reduction (PPR) programs are implemented by several departments as described in the SWMP. The WPD has a list of all City properties and facilities. This list is screened for the purpose of identifying facility activities that could contribute to pollutants in storm water runoff. Site visits are prioritized based upon potential pollutant risks. Some examples of City facilities and properties included in this program are fleet service stations, power plants, fire stations, municipal pools, golf courses, airport operations, the household hazardous waste facility landfills and material storage areas.

WPD PPR Staff inspects City and public facilities and properties on a rotating basis. Inspections include confirmation of proper waste storage, handling and disposal practices; plumbing connections to the storm sewer system; and review of housekeeping and facility maintenance practices. In addition, staff initiates training to periodically advise City personnel on best management practices (BMPs) and on other environmental regulatory requirements such as Spill Prevention Controls and Countermeasures (SPCC) plans where applicable. Periodic training is provided to facilities staff. City staff also determines which of these facilities require coverage under the Texas Pollution Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) mandates. Facilities that are subject to Texas (TPDES) permit requirements receive a more detailed inspection that includes a thorough review of the facility's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3). Staff monitors the facility's active implementation of the SWP3 to verify that the plan is current and site specific.

Staff also verifies documentation of the facilities SWP3 and the description of potential pollutants and their sources, and reviews the SWP3 for additional documentation requirements mandated by the Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP).

Spill and Complaints Response Program (SCRP) staff responds to emergency spill incidents and investigates pollution complaints involving City properties. Calls are typically reported to the City's 24-Hour Pollution Hotline and response is rapid to prevent and/or minimize potentially polluting discharges to the storm sewer system. Staff identifies illicit discharges and requests that corrective actions and preventive measures be taken. Again, SCRCP staff provides training on best management practices and other environmental regulatory requirements. Follow up visits are conducted to ensure compliance.

The ARR Litter Abatement Program targets City owned property within the City limits, including parks, for removal of trash, litter and debris which has collected in the parks, streets and the public rights-of way. The ARR Street Cleaning Program targets the cleaning of curbed City streets in all areas within the City limits for removal of trash, litter and dirt which has collected in the streets and gutters, for health, safety, aesthetic and water quality reasons. ARR also provides convenient recycling services for municipal facilities, through the workplace recycling program known as "Office Stream" Recycle, Reduce, Reuse, Rethink.

The City of Austin supports Keep Austin Beautiful (KAB) which targets business and citizens in the City of Austin, through activities that center on litter abatement, recycling, environmental education, and beautification in Austin. WPD, Scoop the Poop Program, partners with the Parks and Recreation Department. Pet Waste dispensers have been placed in over half the City's parks and facilities to encourage dog owners to clean up after their pets. The City of Austin's education and awareness programs are conducted by the WPD, ARR, AW, and Austin Energy (AE). Training for internal customers, (employees), is used to maximize participation in water quality, waste reduction, and water and energy conservation programs. Safety training is mandatory for City employees and provided quarterly on a variety of subjects including BMP's for municipal operations.

## B. Waste Handling

The City of Austin properly disposes of waste that is removed from the MS4, and other municipal operations, including maintenance of storm water structural controls. For example WPD, FOD crews when removing trapped floating materials from its two locations on Lady Bird Lake, load the materials into City dump trucks and haul the material to an acceptable local landfill. The materials removed from the maintenance of City of Austin storm water structural controls are taken to a local approved landfill. FOD vector trucks remove materials from the City of Austin storm sewer pipes and drains. The materials are taken to a Field Operations maintenance facility with dewatering areas, and solids are taken to an approved local landfill. PWD takes all the litter and debris picked up from streets to an approved landfill.

## C. Pesticide, Herbicide, and Fertilizer Application

### **Integrated Pest Management Program Activities Description**

In order to satisfy the MS4 storm water permit requirement to implement controls to reduce the discharge of pollutants related to the storage and application of pesticide, herbicide and fertilizers, the City of Austin uses the activities of the City's Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Program.

The IPM Program, managed by the WPD, will be responsible for the following activities over the course of the permit term:

- Implementation of an IPM public education campaign to educate the citizens of Austin regarding least toxic pest management and IPM;
- Provide guidance to City of Austin departments and programs in pest management issues;
- Review IPM plans when they are required in the land development review process;
- Assisting with implementations of IPM agreements between the City and specific private local golf courses;
- Ensure compliance of the Save Our Springs initiative via review of IPM plans required for private development projects in the Barton Springs Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone;
- As of December 2011, administrate the TPDES Pesticides General Permit TXG87000;
- Maintain pesticide application and pesticide applicator license records for all city departments that use pesticides, except Austin Energy they have their own permit.
- Manage implementation of the Invasive Species Management Plan.

The activities listed above would target audiences such as

- Homeowners and the general public in the Austin area
- Professional communities including those who design, install and manage outdoor areas
- Retail distributors of pest control products and gardening supplies
- City of Austin employees
- City of Austin contractors responsible for pest management and grounds maintenance

#### Conduct an IPM Public Education Campaign

The primary focus of the City's IPM public education program is to provide information related to IPM principles and practices and non-point source pollution that may result from improper fertilizing and pest management practices. Program staff also provides information related to specific IPM products, general water quality, wet ponds, xeriscaping and erosion control practices, rain gardens, non-point source pollution and wet pond maintenance.

Information is disseminated through various means including the Grow Green/IPM websites ([www.GrowGreen.org](http://www.GrowGreen.org)) and ([www.austintexas.gov/ipm](http://www.austintexas.gov/ipm)) social media, public service announcements, through printed material, including posters, bookmarks and brochures distributed in displays at local gardening centers, City libraries, and other facilities, at fairs, festivals, tradeshow, on billboards; via one-on-one conversations; and presentations to community and professional volunteer and non-profit organizations. Program staff will continue to utilize all of the above educational outreach methods throughout the five year permit period.

#### Administration of an Internal City of Austin IPM Program

- The focus of the internal City of Austin IPM program is to provide guidance to City of Austin departments who are responsible for application of pesticides, herbicides, or fertilizers on City-owned or managed land by staff or contractors;
- Identification of one departmental IPM Special Point of Contact to be the liaison for all pesticide and fertilizer related issues.
- When requested by city staff, the IPM Coordinator conducts on-site visits, consults, researches, advises diagnosis and treatment methods when unique IPM situations arise.

- As of December 2011, WPD administrates the TPDES General Pesticide Discharge Permit TXG870000, which includes responsibility for permit pesticide use compliance and documentation.
- Invasive Species Management Plan: In order to address the significant economic, ecological and health impacts of the invasive species on Austin, the City Council approved a resolution on April 8, 2010 calling for a city-wide plan for invasive species management. The Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center was hired to develop the plan, and it was completed in 2012. The interdepartmental Invasive Species Management Plan executive committee has finalized the management protocols section.

#### Administration of an IPM Program for Private Development Projects

As stated previously, the City of Austin Land Development Code requires certain development projects to prepare and submit an IPM plan for the proposed development. IPM plans for Water quality Protection are required when one or more of the following conditions exist;

- If it is to occur within identified environmentally sensitive areas and watersheds within the City's planning jurisdiction;
- On intensive landscape management sites such as athletic fields and golf courses. These require customized IPM plans due to pests being more likely to be specialized.
- When a City Council or Boards and Commissions requires an IPM plan.
- To qualify for Green Building certification credits.
- Specific Water Quality Treatment Systems for commercial properties, including;

The IPM program staff review proposed private IPM plans for the minimum pollution prevention and source control measures outlined in the City of Austin Environmental Criteria Manual and provide approval. IPM program components required by the Environmental Criteria Manual include:

- Lists of any pests (insects, mammals, plant disease, weeds, etc.) anticipated to require control
- For each pest, a hierarchy of treatments must be developed beginning with cultural, mechanical, biological and other non-toxic controls and ending with chemical control.
- A description of the monitoring plan, damage level or other method to be used to determine when treatments are necessary

- A list of control products included in the hierarchies, identified by active ingredients and toxicity class, if necessary
- A description of the project for which the plan has been developed (commercial, residential, etc.), including approximate acreage of each landscape type(s) (i.e., turf, ornamental, etc.)
- A list of any watercourse, creek, spring, pond, storm sewer inlet, sinkhole, cave or fault within 150 feet of the area to be maintained. Fifty to One-hundred fifty foot pesticide and fertilizer setbacks from these features are required.

The IPM plans are considered dynamic documents that may be amended to eliminate measures proven to be ineffective, add additional measures, amend pest control hierarchies or address pest problems that may arise after the original IPM plan submittal. However, all amendments to IPM plans must be submitted and obtain IPM Program staff approval.

Program staff also provides technical guidance as needed and continually updates model pest management plans. Program staff will continue reviewing, commenting and approving IPM plans for private development projects throughout the five year permit period.

The City does not anticipate any changes to the Integrated Pest Management Program. However changes to the scope of this program may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

D. List of Municipal Facilities      See Appendix G

## 5. Industrial and High Risk Program

### Industrial and High Risk Inspection Program

#### Introduction

The goal of the City's Industrial and High Risk Runoff Program is to identify and control pollutants in storm water discharges to the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4). This goal will be achieved through the establishment of priorities and procedures for inspections and monitoring of the industrial facilities identified in § 122.26 (d) (2) (IV) (C) of the NPDES regulations.

#### Program Activities Description

The Industrial and High Risk Program will be based on the activities of the AFD Aboveground Hazardous Material Permit Program and the WPD programs related to the inspection of municipal landfills and industrial facilities the City may determine as potentially contributing a substantial pollutant load to the municipal storm sewer system.

Hazardous waste treatment, disposal or recovery facilities and facilities subject to SARA Title III: The permitting of hazardous material locations in Austin began in 1985 with City Council approval of the Hazardous Materials Ordinance. Since that time the AFD Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit Program has been permitting and conducting inspections of facilities that store or handle hazardous materials. As defined in the International Fire Code (IFC) and Local Amendments, industries and commercial facilities storing hazardous materials that meet the following requirements are required to obtain an Aboveground Hazardous Materials Storage Permit:

- The Hazardous Material has a health, flammability, or instability rating of 2 or more as defined in the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 704.
- The Hazardous Material is stored or used aboveground in quantities exceeding the amounts specified in the Local Amendments to the IFC. These quantities are dependent upon the classification of the material as a health, flammability or instability hazard, and whether their NFPA 704 hazard rating is 2, 3, or 4.
- The Hazardous Material is a compressed or liquefied compressed gas in a quantity exceeding 100 cu. Ft at NTP

The Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit Program has identified approximately 2,087 facilities in the Austin city limits that meet the above noted criteria. These facilities are issued Aboveground Hazardous Materials Storage Permits that are renewed every three years. These locations are subject to periodic, routine inspections to ensure proper storage, handling and disposal practices. Of the total number of facilities included in the Aboveground Hazardous Materials Storage Permit Program, there are approximately 285 above ground storage/use facilities that are considered Texas Tier Two facilities. None of these facilities are known to be federally permitted hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facilities. The Tier Two facilities are subject to the federal (EPCRA Title III) and state "Community Right to Know" reporting requirements and as such, provide the AFD with all the reports required by the regulations. The AFD currently inspects the Tier Two facilities on an as needed basis, usually in response to new construction permit approvals, or as a result of citizen complaints. During inspections, AFD reviews the facility's hazardous material storage, handling and disposal practices and enforces City and IFC requirements. Many of the Fire Code requirements that are enforced have the potential to impact storm water discharges at the facility.

These requirements include, but are not limited to

- proper storage of raw and finished materials
- proper spill control, drainage control and secondary containment
- prohibitions on unauthorized discharges
- proper procedures for outdoor storage, dispensing and use of materials
- leak detection, leak reporting and emergency shut-off equipment maintenance practices

If during facility inspections or reviews AFD observes practices or procedures that may affect storm water discharge quality but are not violations of the IFC, the City's Pollution Hotline will be notified and an inspection by WPD will be initiated. In addition to coordinating efforts with the WPD, AFD also coordinates its permitting activities with the TCEQ, and the HHSD. Coordination with these agencies should result in the identification of additional facilities that have not obtained an AFD Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit.

Municipal Landfills: The City of Austin currently has no active landfill locations. As such, the City has implemented a program to investigate inactive landfills that is directed primarily by WPD, with assistance from the AW, and the ARR.

The goals of the Inactive Municipal Landfill Investigation Program include enforcing code provisions, preventing polluting discharges to waterways, eliminating nuisance conditions and preventing hazardous public health conditions. These goals are accomplished by:

- locating and identifying older closed and abandoned landfills in the City of Austin
- performing periodic visual inspections of each site as necessary
- collecting and analyzing leachate from selected sites as necessary
- prioritizing potential problem sites for future investigations and land use planning
- plotting all locations on base maps and digitizing locations into a geographic information system
- providing information to City staff and interested citizens
- using centralized files for landfill information; and
- responding to citizen-generated complaints, requests for information from the general public, and information requests by private firms conducting environmental audits.

Forty-six former landfills have been inspected in the Austin area. Additional sites have also been identified that appear to contain only buried construction debris. Efforts to investigate former landfill sites will continue to be coordinated with the TCEQ, HHSD, AFD, and Travis County environmental staff as appropriate.

Investigations of specific sites will also be conducted based on complaints or evidence of a particular pollution problem. When investigated, sites are examined for access, proximity to waterways, presence of exposed waste, odors, landfill gas generation, land subsidence, erosion or cracking of waste cover, water ponding, vegetative stress, leachate discharge, conditions of adjacent waterways and presence of structures or buried utility lines. Surface water, groundwater or leachate samples may be collected to determine public health threats or environmental hazards. Photographs may also be taken to document site conditions and demonstrate changes that occur over time.

During the five year permit period, WPD staff will provide visual inspections:

- periodically at the inactive municipal sites
- at initiation of remediation activities at selected sites and
- upon receipt of complaints or reports of pollution problems

Industrial facilities that the municipality determines may contribute a substantial pollutant load to the municipal storm sewer system: In the effort to identify facilities that may be contributing a substantial pollutant load to the City's municipal storm sewer system (MS4), the WPD Storm Sewer Discharge Permit Program (SDPP) has implemented a database of industrial and high-risk facilities discharging to the City's MS4 within the Austin city limits. The SDPP staff will continue to utilize the TCEQ NOI database and information gathered during field inspections by the AFD and other City departments to populate and maintain information in the database. In addition, the SDPP will send out periodic surveys to:

- industrial facilities the City has identified as high-risk based on the criteria listed in §122.26 (d)(2)(iv)(C) of the NPDES regulations; and
- facilities required to obtain TPDES industrial storm water permit coverage.

The survey includes specific questions related to the facilities operations, maintenance practices and activities that may contribute pollutants to storm water discharges. The survey also requests that the facility certify that one of the three following scenarios is accurate:

- The facility is not an industrial facility required to obtain TPDES Storm Water Permit coverage;
- The facility currently has a TPDES Storm Water Permit; or
- The facility is eligible to use the "No Exposure" exclusion for TPDES Storm Water Permit coverage.

If the facility has obtained a TPDES Storm Water Permit, the SDPP requests that the operator submit a letter certifying that a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) has been developed and is available for viewing by inspectors. The SDPP also requires that the operator submit a copy of any monitoring results for the facility (if monitoring is required). SDPP staff will review the monitoring results submitted by each facility, and if the results are questionable, SDPP staff may conduct a facility inspection.

SDPP may also conduct a facility inspection if questionable structures or activities are identified during inspections by other City departments. When a facility is identified as requiring a TPDES storm water permit but does not currently possess proper permit coverage, SDPP staff will inform facility representatives of the TPDES regulations and their responsibilities to obtain permit coverage. If the facility does not obtain proper permit coverage or is violating provisions of a storm water permit, SDPP staff will report the facility to the appropriate permitting agency, in most cases the TCEQ, for possible enforcement action.

Over the five year permit period the City will continue the industrial and high-risk inspection activities as described, focusing primarily on:

- Tier II facilities included in the AFD Aboveground Hazardous Materials Permit Program,
- Inactive landfills, and
- Facilities identified as posing the greatest threat to discharge pollutants to the City's MS4 through the City's survey and inspection efforts.

The SDPP will continue efforts to identify permit and inspect facilities located within both the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ) and the Full Purpose City limits that conduct activities with a high potential for illicit discharges of pollutants. Staff targets facilities with activities such as motor rebuilding and repair, machine shop services, transmission rebuilding and repair, radiator repair, fuel storage and dispensing facilities. During inspections of facilities, SDPP staff will confirm proper waste storage, handling and disposal practices, inspect plumbing connections to the storm sewer system and review housekeeping and facility maintenance practices. SDPP staff may also recommend best management practices that are appropriate for the facility during facility inspections.

### Underground Storage Tank

The Underground Storage Tank (UST) Leak Detection Program continues to focus efforts on all permittable facilities with underground storage tanks found within both the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ) and the Full Purpose City limits. The UST Program staff conducts inspections of identified facilities, and construction of new facilities ensuring compliance with City Water Quality Codes, including proper storage, monitoring and leak detection activities. The UST Program staff recommends best management practices and provides educational materials applicable to each operation as needed and during permit review and renewals. The UST Program will issue both storage and/or construction permits to identify facilities in the targeted BSZ area.

### Monitoring

Most of the EPCRA Title III facilities found in the Austin area are included in one of the industrial activity SIC codes or in one of the narrative industrial activity descriptions that require storm water permit coverage. As such, the City of Austin will not conduct any storm water discharge monitoring at facilities where the terms of the TPDES storm water permit are considered by the City to be sufficient, and if the review of the monitoring results (based on monitoring conducted by the facility) are in compliance. If the SDPP staff determines that the monitoring results submitted to the City by the facility are not in compliance, a letter will be sent to the facility requesting compliance. If repeated non-compliance occurs, the program will notify the appropriate permitting agency, TCEQ, for possible enforcement action. If it is determined that a facility included in either the AFD or SDPP high-risk inspection program does not meet the eligibility requirements for TPDES storm water permit coverage, a self-monitoring and reporting program may be established for the facility .

The City does not anticipate any changes to the Industrial and High Risk Program. However changes to the scope of the program components may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

## **6. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff**

### **A. Site Development Plan Regulations**

As noted in the Areas of New Development and Significant Redevelopment section of the Storm Water Management Program, the City of Austin requires the approval of a site plan and release of a site development permit for multifamily or commercial development on a specific parcel of land. For a detailed description of responsibilities and procedures related to the site development plan regulations, please refer to the Areas of New Development and Significant Redevelopment section of the SWMP.

### **B. Construction Waste**

PDRD Environmental Inspectors inspect all projects which have site development plans during construction for compliance with BMPs and with the erosion and sedimentation control plan and the water quality/detention plan. The erosion and sedimentation control plan shows appropriate areas for staging, construction waste, spoils, concrete washout, dumpsters for litter and sanitary waste from porta-toilets. The pre-construction meeting handout includes a page stating “all spoils, fill, and waste from the construction site is required to go to an approved land fill.” The inspector can request trip tickets from construction site managers to verify where the construction waste and spoils have been taken.

### **C. Inspection of Sites during Construction**

#### **Introduction**

The Environmental Inspection Section of the PDRD is responsible for ensuring field enforcement of City water quality regulations, as found in the specific conditions of approved development permits. PDRD Environmental Inspectors take the lead role for environmental field inspection of all projects issued site development permits. The PDRD Site Subdivision Inspectors take the lead role on subdivision construction plans. The Construction Inspection Section of the PWD has the lead authority for inspection of CIP Projects, including applicable environmental ESC inspections. PDRD Site Subdivision Inspectors monitor compliance with approved erosion and sedimentation control plans ESC on subdivision construction plans. PWD Construction Inspectors monitor ESC's on CIP projects.

PDRD Environmental Inspectors provide assistance on monitoring and take enforcement actions relating to site construction sequencing of water quality and drainage structures, and maintenance of erosion and sedimentation control (ESC) plans. Proper construction of subdivision on-site drainage facilities and water quality controls is monitored by PDRD Site & Subdivision Inspectors during the construction process. The purpose of this program is to inspect development projects to ensure compliance with requirements of valid development permits and approved (ESC) plans; and to ensure proper construction of on-site drainage facilities and water quality controls during the construction process.

Currently, all construction and development projects involving land-disturbing activities within the City and ETJ are required to use erosion and sedimentation controls in accordance with technical guidelines found in the City's Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM), and Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM).

#### **Program Activities Description**

At the commencement of development or construction activity, the project site engineer/manager is required to contact the supervisor of the PDRD Environmental Inspection, PDRD Construction Inspection and/or PWD Construction Inspection Section. A pre-construction meeting is conducted at project inception, to verify installation of the ESC's and BMP's per the approved plan, and followed by regular site inspections.

If during site inspections the inspector finds the applicable ESC plans to be inadequate at a given site, minor modifications to the approved ESC plan and construction sequencing plan may be made in the field to upgrade erosion controls without written PDRD approval. Major modifications may require a plan correction. At the final inspection, the appropriate inspector confirms the proper completion of runoff and water quality controls, permanent ESC controls and site restoration as a prerequisite to project acceptance or issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

If a development project is found in non-compliance with conditions of the development permit during a site visit, an inspector may give the project manager a verbal warning with instructions to achieve compliance within 24 to 48 hours. This action is followed by a written warning if remedial action was not taken to resolve the problems.

If corrective actions to bring about compliance are not achieved, a cease-and-desist order may be issued, whereby all work at the project site is stopped until compliance is achieved. A "red-tag" is posted at the site, and a written notice of the cease-and-desist order is mailed to the alleged violator with an explanation of the site factors resulting in non-compliance. If a development project is found to be without a valid development permit and in non-compliance with applicable water quality regulations, or a high priority violation exists, a cease-and-desist order may be issued immediately. In addition, PDRD will continue to dedicate environmental inspectors to the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ) to ensure development projects comply with applicable erosion control standards.

This program coordinates with and assists inspectors from other governmental entities in controlling erosion from active construction sites. Such inspection coordination most commonly occurs with Travis County and the TCEQ. Citizens in the Austin area call Environmental Inspection with complaints and requests for inspections, on sites that appear to not be in compliance with the site development permit or might not have a site development permit. Environmental Inspection investigates these complaints, or requests for inspection, and documents the investigation and reports the findings to the concerned citizen.

#### D. Public Education for Construction Site Operators

##### **Introduction**

In the effort to reduce the amount of pollutants discharged into local waterways from construction related activities, the City of Austin has developed and implemented a variety of public information and education tools for construction site operators and the development community.

##### **Program Activities Description**

The City provides educational information related to storm water management techniques such as erosion and sedimentation controls, construction sequencing, permanent water quality controls and site restoration activities. Information has also been developed related to construction site pollution prevention activities and "green building."

The City provides this information to developers and construction site operators in the following ways:

- Written materials
- One-on-one meetings
- Training and seminars

#### Written Materials

The City has found that written materials are an effective tool in communicating regulatory guidelines, technical guidance and basic non-technical information to both the development and construction communities. The WPD and PDRD have developed many of these documents that range from fact sheets on good housekeeping practices for construction sites to detailed criteria for the design and implementation of various storm water control structures. The Green Building Program has also developed a Sustainable Building Sourcebook that has chapters on storm water management alternatives, pervious paving and rainwater harvesting.

#### One-on-One Meetings

The City's Development Assistance Center (DAC) provides the first one-on-one interaction with the development community. During the initial discussions, City staff provides general information and guidance to the development proponents related to the various permit applications, certification and regulatory requirements that may be associated with their particular type of development project. At this time, staff also provides many of the written materials discussed previously. DAC also has environmental, water quality & drainage staff to assist with issues contractors, consultants, and citizens may encounter.

During the project review and approval process, PDRD staff members assigned to projects continually coordinate with the project proponents to resolve many of the details related to the site specific environmental needs, including the particular erosion control and sedimentation requirements. PDRD staff also meets with the development proponents and on-site operators on site. At this meeting, site specific information is discussed and many of the on-site details related to erosion control, land disturbance sequencing, and critical environmental feature protection and pollution prevention are worked out with the appropriate contractors.

Finally, PDRD Environmental Inspectors work with on-site operators during routine site inspections. During inspections, Environmental inspectors provide any necessary technical assistance and advise on-site operators of additional maintenance or improved water quality protection activities that may be necessary at the site.

#### Training and Seminars

The City has developed and implemented several training courses that provide storm water management and pollution prevention information to the development and construction communities.

The following are the types of training tools utilized:

- Topic Specific Presentations
- Technical Seminars & Workshops
- Conferences & Trade Shows

Many of the training tools have been crafted for the development and construction communities, but City staff is also encouraged to participate and generally take advantage of the training opportunities. A variety of other workshops have been developed specifically for the City's construction project management and inspection staff in to relate regulatory requirements and provide superior inspection services to new and redevelopment projects.

The City does not anticipate any changes to the Construction Site Runoff Program. However changes to the scope of the program components may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

## 7. Public Education and Involvement

### A. Public Education

#### 1. Water Quality Education and Awareness Programs

##### Introduction

The City of Austin’s public education and awareness programs are conducted by the WPD, and ARR. Advertising, education, both internal and external, and outreach activities are used to maximize participation in water quality, waste reduction and conservation programs. The target audience for educational programs includes homeowners, students, businesses and professionals.

The Policy and Planning Division of the WPD has primary responsibility for the management of the water quality component of these programs. ARR is responsible for the trash abatement, hazardous chemical and recycling components. When possible, these departments have formed partnerships to increase their ability to reach a larger audience with a wider, yet compatible, message.

##### Program Activities Description

The public education and awareness efforts of the City of Austin encompass a number of different elements reflecting the wide variety of water quality-related programs that are supported by the City. Specific elements, which will likely continue through the permit period include the following:

- **Grow Green** – This interdepartmental homeowner and landscape professional outreach program provides Earth-Wise gardening tips in nearly all of the nurseries and the big box retail in Travis County. The Watershed Education group coordinates this effort to provide “one-stop shopping” for citizens for all their gardening needs. Six City departments participate and address water quality, water conservation, composting Dillo Dirt, The Don’t Bag It Program among other issues. The effort helps prevent duplication of effort and provide cost savings. Display units contain a wide variety of fact sheets to help homeowners make informed decisions on least toxic alternatives for their yard care at the point of purchase for pesticides and fertilizers. A full-color Native and Adapted Plant Guide is also available to encourage the use of plants that require fewer pesticides and less water, and is available as an online searchable database. Grow Green offers classes for homeowner’s and a Landscape Professional Training series.

- ***Integrated Pest Management*** – The City’s IPM program produces brochures, posters and a web page (under the auspices of the Grow Green program) containing information on least toxic pest management techniques. Presentations and public appearances on TV and radio also supplement the public outreach activities of this program. Grow Green includes television spots that ask homeowners to avoid inappropriate use of pesticides in the spring gardening season. Assistance to City of Austin staff and the general public is offered via a telephone assistance line.
- ***Earth Camp*** - The camp is offered to fifth grade students in the lower socio-economic areas of Austin and focuses on watershed and aquifer education. Earth Camp provides teacher training, curriculum and materials for classroom lessons, as well as field trips, outdoor activities and environmental expertise, all of which are provided free of charge. Components include water quality testing, lessons on macro-invertebrates, green gardening, cave tours and visits to Splash! Into the Edwards Aquifer, a hands-on, interactive educational exhibit. A teacher-led version of Earth Camp allows teachers who have attended regular Earth Camp to come back, and lead the following year. The City supports this program with full time and temporary staff, training, equipment, and bus funding.
- ***Earth School*** - This one-hour, in-school lesson provides hands-on watershed and aquifer education to Austin Independent School District (AISD) and Eanes Independent School district (EISD) fifth graders. Using models developed by WPD and other educational sources, students learn how storm water carries pollutants to creeks and aquifers. Earth Camp, teacher Led Earth Camp, or Earth School are offered to 100% of AISD elementary schools.
- ***Watershed Detectives*** – The middle school curriculum involves students in a hands-on simulation of an investigation of a real live fish – kill. Students use topographic maps and a watershed model to determine flow paths and then locate the source of contamination by conducting simulated tests.
- ***Hydrofiles*** - This program teaches high school students how to monitor water quality in our creeks. Classes are also given the opportunity to go on field trips to local creeks or caves.
- ***Storm Drain Marking*** - Volunteers are recruited to affix tile markers to storm drains, informing citizens, “*No Dumping, Drains to Creek*”. The tiles are available in both English and Spanish.
- ***Scoop the Poop***: In partnership with the Parks and Recreation Department, pet waste bag dispensers have been placed in City parks to encourage dog owners to clean up after their pets. The program also partners with dog focused non-profits to raise awareness about the importance of picking up pet waste by distributing branded giveaways and including educational articles in newsletters and social media.
- ***East Austin Environmental Initiative (EAEI)*** - The WPD publishes the *Eastside Environmental News*, a biannual newsletter that has hard copies and electronic versions which focus on environmental issues and City activities affecting east Austin communities. Staff may participate in community events such as neighborhood cleanups, meetings, and special events.

- ***Austin Enviro Mechanics*** – This program is a cooperative effort between WPD and local businesses. The program encourages businesses to adopt shop practices that keep pollutants from entering storm drains and waterways. Those who participate are given rewards that benefit both the shop operators and their customers. During the reporting period an online app was developed to help citizens find the closest business to properly dispose of their used oil and other automotive related materials.
- ***Shade Tree Mechanic*** – This program is targeted at do it yourself citizens who like to take care of vehicles. During this reporting period a video about the importance of being careful to avoid and cleanup spills while changing oil was created.

Some additional programs currently created for the education programs are:

Printed Material - Watershed and Aquifer Education:

Brochures, posters, and signage are produced as new needs are defined.

Media: As funding allows, the City will run advertisements and radio spots in the local media to promote water quality education.

As noted in the introduction, City departments have formed partnerships to increase their ability to reach a larger audience. The City of Austin also coordinates its various public education and awareness efforts with other governmental entities. Partners have included Austin Independent School District, Lower Colorado River Authority, Keep Austin Beautiful, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department and The Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Conservation District the Friends of the Colorado River, Children in Nature Collaborative of Austin, and other groups.

## B. Public Involvement and Participation

### 1. Keep Austin Beautiful Program

#### **Introduction**

Keep Austin Beautiful (KAB) Program is a 501(c) (3) non-profit organization, officially certified affiliate of Keep America Beautiful. KAB's core purpose is to inspire and educate individuals and our community towards greater environmental stewardship. It operates with the support of the City of Austin, Travis County, local businesses, community groups and citizens. Extensive coordination occurs between the KAB program staff and the staff of City and County programs that benefit from KAB's public education and awareness efforts in the

areas of water quality, non-point source pollution, littering, recycling and beautification.

Continuing support of this program is provided yearly by the City of Austin and Travis County through funding approval during budget cycles.

Responsibility for the operations of the program rest with the KAB staff and policy and oversight is the responsibility of a volunteer board of directors. The programs of KAB target all business and citizens in the greater Austin area through activities, that center on litter abatement, recycling, environmental education and beautification. KAB has the following primary goals:

- To Clean, Beautify and Protect the Austin Environment through physical improvements and hands-on education.
- Clean - Removing litter from our neighborhoods, streets, schools, parks and public spaces, and promoting a litter-free Austin.
- Beautify - Empowering and supporting schools, neighborhood groups and local businesses in efforts to beautify their communities and restore habitats.
- Educate - Promoting environmental stewardship through presentations, hands-on activities and service-learning projects.

Effectiveness of the Keep Austin Beautiful Program has been measured utilizing a number of parameters including;

- estimated litter reduction
- the number of river and creek clean-up events sponsored each year
- the number of student and teachers reached through the education program
- the number of promotional materials distributed
- the number of volunteer hours donated to the community

### **Program Activities Description**

The KAB board and staff develop and implement projects and programs in the areas of cleanup, beautification, habitat and creek restoration, and education. Major activities sponsored or supported through the efforts of KAB in past years have included the following:

- Environmental Education - Providing environmental presentations and activities to students and youth
- Recycling - Promoting rethinking, reducing renewing, reusing, and recycling
- Awards - Recognizing positive behavior in all segments of the community
- Clean Sweep - Providing opportunities for grassroots involvement in city-wide clean-ups

- Community Cleanups - Providing opportunities for grassroots involvement in cleanups year-round
- Adopt a Creek - providing the community with an opportunity to take ownership of local creeks and help keep them clean.

KAB anticipates these activities will continue over the five year permit period. In addition KAB will continue to coordinate its program with public agencies with the same or similar environmental focus. These include the City of Austin, Travis County, LCRA and TCEQ, Texas General Land Office, AISD and the University of Texas at Austin, Austin Community College, Capital Area Council of Governments and Texas Department of Transportation.

## **2. Pollution Hotline Public Education**

### **Introduction**

In the effort to protect water quality, the City of Austin established a 24-Hour Pollution Hotline for citizens to report pollution concerns in 1986. The City has promoted the Pollution Hotline in a variety of ways over the years and the WPD Spills and Complaint Response Program (SCRCP) staff now investigates approximately 1,450 pollution complaints each year, the majority of which are citizen pollution complaints received through the Pollution Hotline. The increase in calls to the Pollution Hotline over the years is believed to be in large part due to the increase in public awareness about the reporting system and not just an increase in the number of incidents occurring in the Austin area.

### **Program Activities Description**

The Pollution Hotline is a system that allows the general public to report pollution 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The hotline is answered by a WPD staff member during normal business hours and by an automated voice mail and paging system after hours. The SCRCP staff investigates the complaints received on the hotline, identifying the pollutants, the potential pollutant sources and the party responsible for the illicit discharge. All complaints received on the Pollution Hotline are treated as anonymous complaints and the WPD makes every effort attempt to keep complainants' names confidential. The SCRCP staff believes citizens are more inclined to use the public reporting system if there is an attempt to restrict access to their names.

The WPD promotes public reporting of illicit discharges and improper disposal activities on the hotline in a variety of ways, with some of the Pollution Hotline promotional materials published in both Spanish and English. The following is a list of promotional materials and activities the City uses:

- Newsletters, fact sheets and specific promotional materials such as brochures and magnets are provided to the public at trade shows, libraries, community centers, community events and a variety of speaking engagements
- The WPD web site provides information on the Pollution Hotline, the SCRCP activities and common pollutants and potential sources
- Radio announcements, newspaper advertisements and periodic press releases to the media are used to publicize the hotline, the SCRCP and specific pollution prevention initiatives
- Magnets, brochures and door hangers promoting the Pollution Hotline, the SCRCP and specific pollution prevention practices are provided to citizens by SCRCP staff during complaint investigations
- Other City Department staff provides Pollution Hotline magnets and materials to the public at their offices and during field inspections

The WPD and the SCRCP will continue to promote the Pollution Hotline and facilitate public reporting of illicit discharges and improper disposal activities in the Austin area during the five year permit period.

### **3. Austin Resource Recovery Public Education**

#### **Introduction**

The ARR implements education programs that reduce the generation of litter and promote proper disposal of household hazardous waste.

#### **Program Activities Description**

##### *Pay-As-You-Throw Educational Support*

Pay-As-You-Throw (PAYT) is a garbage collection system that aggressively encourages recycling and “smart” trash habits. The PAYT program reaches residential and commercial customers through billboards, print ads, utility bill inserts and the City's web site.

### *Curbside Single Stream Recycling Educational Support*

The Curbside Single Stream Recycling Program provides weekly collection of newspaper, corrugated cardboard, glass bottles and jars, and tin and aluminum cans and many plastic bottles to all households served by City garbage collection. The program includes the Block Leader Program and Recycling Pays projects to promote public awareness and participation in the program.

The Recycling Program reaches the various audiences through brochures, magnets, billboards, radio ads, public service announcements, print ads, seasonal event fliers, compost kitchen buckets made from recycled materials, rulers and pencils made from 50% post-consumer material.

### *Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facility Education*

The City of Austin operates a permanent facility to collect hazardous home chemicals from Austin and Travis County residences a minimum of twice a week in the effort to direct the citizenry to properly dispose of waste and prevent disposal in the landfill or dumping on the ground where chemicals can cause pollution. A key to the HHW Program's long-term success is effective public education on aspects of waste reduction, pollution prevention and consumer behavior.

The program's educational mission is to encourage the use and purchase of non-toxic or less-toxic alternative products, wise consumer practices, and to avoid purchase or acquisition of materials and products that may not be used. One of the program goals is for individual residents or participants to need HHW programs less often and for less material in the future. Information is provided over the telephone, webpages, to ARR/AW customers through utility bills, fliers, newspaper advertising, presentations to area schools, professional organizations, and environmental conferences, and outreach at the facility during collection activities. Public education and information efforts will be reviewed each year.

#### **4. Barton Spring Zone Specific Education**

Over the five year permit period the WPD will continue the following activities:

- Maintain the educational signage at Barton Springs Pool that explains how the Edwards Aquifer functions and provides information on the Barton Springs Salamander, and Austin Blind Salamander endangered species that reside in the Barton Springs.
- Maintain two education stations at the Splash! Groundwater education exhibit.
- Coordinate storm drain marking activities in portions of the Barton Springs Zone watersheds within the permit area.

The City does not anticipate any changes to the Public Education Program. However changes to the scope of the program components may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

## **8. Monitoring Programs**

### **A. Dry Weather Screening**

#### **Introduction**

The general topography of the City of Austin is characterized by a large number of natural creeks and tributaries that serve as the primary conveyance of storm water through the City. For this reason, the typical storm sewer pipe system is short in length and serves to carry storm water runoff from a limited drainage area to the nearest waterway. The result is a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) that is comprised of numerous small pipe networks and many outfalls. In past dry weather screening activities, relatively few outfalls were found to have dry weather flow.

#### **Program Activities Description**

The goal of the dry weather screening program will again be to screen a proportionate number of storm water outfalls within the City of Austin MS4 during the five year permit term, focusing screening efforts in several watersheds each year, and using a ratio of outfalls screened to total number of outfalls to calculate and report the percent of MS4 in which outfall evaluations have been completed. Storm water outfalls with a diameter of 36 inches or larger identified and located during the first permit term and additional outfalls identified for inclusion in the screening program will be screened, based on visual observation of flow during field investigation activities.

#### **Program Procedures**

During dry weather periods (no rainfall in the previous three days), Storm Water Monitoring Program staff will physically locate each targeted outfall. Once an outfall has been located in the field, the physical description of the outfall will be recorded in a field logbook. The physical characteristics to be recorded will include the dimensions of the storm sewer pipe, a description of any stains, deposition or vegetative growth present and any other site-specific information that may be relative to the screening efforts. If flow exists at an outfall, a sample will be collected and flow conditions, discharge color and odor information will be recorded.

Samples will be tested for pH, TDS, temperature, ammonia, chlorine, detergents, TPH, fluoride, potassium and chromium using Hach field test kits and hand held Oakton probes to help determine the possible source. The City's Spills and Complaints Response Program (SCRCP) staff will be notified of the flow and results of the analyses. If flow is present at an outfall, the outfall will be resampled after eight hours but before 24 hours to determine if any changes in the discharge have occurred. Any change in analyses will be reported to SCRCP.

### **Program Schedule**

As noted previously, the Storm Water Monitoring Program staff will work in dry weather periods throughout the permit period to evaluate storm water outfalls in each of the twenty-eight watersheds found within the City's permit area. Building on experience from the screening during the first permit, the dry weather screening program will focus on fewer watersheds, concentrating on those most likely to have illicit connections.

The following is a list of the watersheds that will be included in the screening program:

- Blunn
- Boggy
- Bull
- Buttermilk
- Carson
- Country Club E
- Country Club W
- East Bouldin
- Fort Branch
- Harper's Branch
- Huck's Slough
- Johnson
- Little Walnut
- Shoal
- South Boggy
- Tannehill
- Taylor Slough S.
- Taylor Slough N.
- Lady Bird
- Waller
- Walnut
- West Bouldin
- West Bull

## **B. Wet Weather Screening**

### **Introduction**

The general topography of the City of Austin is characterized by a large number of natural streams and tributaries that serve as the primary conveyance of storm water through the City. As such, the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) is comprised of numerous pipe networks that carry storm water runoff from a limited drainage area to the nearest waterway.

### **Program Activities Description**

In order to satisfy the TPDES storm water permit requirements related to wet weather monitoring, the City of Austin will use a visual assessment to provide a post-storm event evaluation of the storm water runoff in the Austin area waterways.

The program will be implemented over the five year permit term, using watersheds as the basis for defining the City's MS4 and measuring program progress. The City anticipates that the wet weather monitoring program will accomplish the following objectives over the permit period:

- Provide a tool to detect excessive levels of pollutants in waterways after storm events
- Provide information related to the type of pollutants present in waterways after storm events
- Provide a tool for investigating the origin of pollutants
- Provide a limited assessment of storm water impact on aquatic life
- Provide a tool to detect acute pollution events

#### Site Selection

Because the majority of the MS4 discharges into nearby waterways, the City will use watersheds to define the MS4 areas and track the progression of the monitoring activities. The proposed monitoring sites within each watershed have been selected based on the following criteria:

- within the City's permit area
- along the main stem of the stream
- longitudinal distribution along the stream length
- ability for staff to access site safely
- ability to determine the MS4 area discharging to the stream segment upstream of the site;

#### Site Locations

The wet weather monitoring program will complete visual assessments of storm water flow in the following watersheds:

- |                                 |                   |                    |                   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| • Barton                        | • Dry Creek       | • Little Walnut    | • Taylor Slough N |
| • Blunn                         | • Eanes           | • North Boggy      | • Taylor Slough S |
| • Bull                          | • East Bouldin    | • Onion            | • Waller          |
| • Buttermilk                    | • Fort Branch     | • Shoal            | • Walnut          |
| • Carson                        | • Harper's Branch | • Slaughter        | • West Bouldin    |
| • Country Club E Country Club W | • Huck's Slough   | • South Boggy      | • West Bull       |
| • Decker                        | • Johnson         | • Tannehill Branch | • Williamson      |

**Program Procedures**

Each watershed monitoring site(s) will be screened at least once during the permit term. A visual assessment of storm water flow will be completed at each monitoring site within 36 hours of a storm event. For the purposes of this monitoring program, a storm event will be defined as any event with greater than 0.10 inches of rain. After determining that a storm event has occurred within the target watersheds, WPD staff will conduct a visual evaluation related to the type of pollutants that may be present in the storm water flow at each monitoring site. WPD staff will review each monitoring site assessment form for indications of elevated pollutant levels. If unusual conditions exist at a monitoring location, the WPD Spills and Complaint Response Program (SCRCP) may be notified and a complaint investigation could be initiated. If, during an assessment, site conditions indicate that an acute pollutant event may have occurred, the SCRCP will be notified immediately, and the SCRCP investigator will respond to initiate a detailed investigation of the situation.

**Program Schedule**

WPD staff will complete an assessment at each monitoring site at least once during the permit period. In doing so, the City will have completed the required wet weather screening of the City's MS4, as defined for the purposes of this monitoring program.

**C. Industrial and High Risk Monitoring****Introduction**

The AFD and WPD have an Industrial and High Risk Runoff Program that identifies and prioritizes facilities that have the potential to discharge pollutants into the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4). As part of this effort, staff identify facilities eligible for NPDES/TPDES storm water discharge permit coverage and request that analytical monitoring data collected by the facility (to comply with state or federal permit requirements) be submitted to the City for review.

### **Program Activities Description**

As noted in the Industrial and High Risk Runoff section of the Storm Water Management Program, most of the type 1 and 2 facilities found in the Austin area are included in one of the industrial activity SIC codes or narrative industrial activity descriptions that require storm water permit coverage. As such, the City of Austin will not conduct any storm water discharge monitoring at facilities where the terms of the TPDES storm water permit are considered by the City to be sufficient, and if the review of the monitoring results (based on monitoring conducted by the facility) are in compliance. This will avoid unnecessary cost and duplication of efforts. If the WPD staff determines that the monitoring results submitted to the City by the facility are not in compliance, a letter will be sent to the facility requesting compliance. If repeated non-compliance occurs, the program will notify the appropriate permitting agency, either EPA or TCEQ, for possible enforcement action. If it is determined that a type 1 or 2 facility included in either the AFD or WPD high-risk inspection program does not meet the eligibility requirements for TPDES storm water permit coverage, a self-monitoring and reporting program will be established for the facility. The City does not anticipate any changes to the monitoring programs. However, changes to the scope of the program components may be considered during review of the City's annual operating budget.

## **D. Water quality and Biological Monitoring**

### **1. Barton Springs Complex Sediment Monitoring**

The City's ERM division of WPD will continue periodic sediment sampling of Barton Springs and other associated spring outlets as well as sediment monitoring from the contributing watersheds to the Barton Springs Zone. The monitoring will consist of quarterly monitoring at Barton Springs; annual sampling of Eliza, Old Mill, and Upper Barton Springs, where accumulations of sediment and flow conditions allow for collection. The type of parameters to be analyzed will include metals, oil and grease, semi-volatile organics, petroleum hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls and selected pesticides.

## 2. Barton Springs Complex Water Quality Monitoring

WPD will conduct a variety of ambient and storm water monitoring during the permit period,

- Baseflow water quality sampling and Collection of benthic macro invertebrate data will be conducted on a semi-annual basis using the Environmental Integrity Index (EII).
- Storm water monitoring at USGS-type stations along the mainstem of Barton Creek within the BSZ. Sites will be selected to characterize storm water influences and flow during storm events, a minimum of three sites will be sampled. The composite samples will be analyzed for nutrients, metals, field and physical parameters.
- Intensive spring outlet and surface water sampling will continue at Barton Springs Pool. The frequency will be sufficient to identify trends that threaten this water resource in a timely manner. Sampling will occur at a minimum on a monthly basis and include analysis for nutrients and Total Suspended Solids (TSS).
- Water quality sampling will be conducted at Barton Springs and at the other associated spring outlets on an annual basis. Samples will be analyzed for an extensive suite of parameters including metals, volatiles, semivolatiles, bacteria and selected pesticides and herbicides. Parameters approaching levels of concern or detected frequently enough that trends may be examined will be examined at a minimum biannually.
- A data logger will be continually deployed (except for maintenance and data retrieval) at a cave at the bottom of Barton Springs Pool to collect basic physical parameters.
- Periodic sediment sampling as may be determined necessary and appropriate.

## 3. Environmental Integrity Index (EII)

During the five year permit period, the Environmental Resource Management Division of the WPD will continue to monitor and assess the ecological integrity and the degree of impairment of creeks within the watersheds of the Barton Springs Zone (BSZ) using the Environmental Integrity Index (EII). ERM staff will conduct EII assessments of the Onion Creek, Barton Creek, Little Barton Creek, Williamson Creek, Slaughter Creek, Bear and Little Bear Creek watersheds located within the Barton Springs Zone on a semi-annual monitoring schedule.

The following six protection categories (sub-indices) are used in the EII:

- **Contact Recreation (Swimming/Wading)** - The suitability of a water body for contact recreational use is evaluated using *Escherichia coli* bacteria concentration, which is an indicator of fecal contamination. Concentration numbers are converted to an index score relative to common State of Texas criteria for human health protection.

- **Non-Contact Recreation/Aesthetic** - The parameters included in the non-contact recreation field assessment include water surface appearance, litter, odor, clarity and percent algae cover. Scoring is primarily from visual assessment by trained staff.
- **Water Quality** - Water quality subcomponents are calculated from chemical analysis of grab samples from all study sites during baseflow conditions.
- **Sediment Quality** - Sediment sampling is also conducted at one site in each watershed located near the mouth. Scoring is from concentration data compared to local reference conditions for water and aquatic toxicity effects levels published for sediment.
- **Habitat Quality Index** - Parameters used to measure habitat quality include instream cover, embeddedness, velocity/depth regimes, channel alteration, sediment deposition, frequency of riffles, channel flow status, condition of banks and riparian zone width. Scoring is from field measurements and visual assessment by trained staff.
- **Aquatic Life Support** - Aquatic life support evaluates biological health using benthic macroinvertebrate and diatom community structure. Scoring is from biological indices calculated from taxonomic identification and compared to a reference condition.

Scores of the six sub-indices are averaged to obtain one EII score for each monitoring site. EII scores range from 0 to 100 and are characterized by using the following eight ranges: very bad (0-12), bad (13-25), poor (26-37), marginal (38-50), fair (51-62), good (63-75), very good (76-87), and excellent (88-100). Overall watershed scores are determined by averaging the site specific scores for all reaches within the watershed.

#### **4. Critical Environmental Feature Protection**

Critical Environmental Feature (CEF) is defined by COA Land Development Code, and includes wetlands, springs, seeps, rim rocks, bluffs, sinkholes and caves. Protective buffers from 150 feet to 300 feet are typically established to protect the character and function of CEF during and after the development process. During the site development permit application process, City of Austin staff review site plans for large-scale residential and commercial development to ensure that critical environmental features are properly identified and buffered from the development. These buffers are critical to maintaining the quality and quantity of recharge to karst aquifers, maintaining the stability of vertical rock outcrops, and maintain the water quality functions of wetlands. The number of CEF identified, and protective CEF buffers established by COA staff will reported annually.



**Appendix C**  
**Wet Weather Screening Field Sheets**



**City of Austin**  
**Wet Weather Monitoring Program**  
 Field Observation Form

Date: 4/15/2014

Watershed: Waller Creek

Observations by: Lee C. Lawson & Dana Mcgehee

Signature: Lee C. Lawson

Site No. 38

Location Description: Waller Creek @ Willow

**Level of Concern**

Oily Sheen:       0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discolored Water:    0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Turbid Water:        0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Trash or Debris:     0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Odor Detected?       Y             N      Comments: Intermittant odor

Aquatic Life Affected?    Y     N      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Observations:        Saw waterfowl in creek

Site No. 624

Location Description: San Jacinto and 24th UT campus

**Level of Concern**

Oily Sheen:       0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discolored Water:    0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Turbid Water:        0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Trash or Debris:     0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Odor Detected?      Y     N      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Aquatic Life Affected?    Y     N      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Observations:        Creek water was running

Site No. \_\_\_\_\_

Location Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Level of Concern**

Oily Sheen:      0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discolored Water:    0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Turbid Water:        0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Trash or Debris:     0     1     2     3      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Odor Detected?      Y             N      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Aquatic Life Affected?    Y             N      Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Observations: \_\_\_\_\_

**Level of Concern Legend**

0 = No impact evident      1 = Minimal impact evident      2 = Moderate impact evident      3 = Severe impact evident

Were the field conditions recorded above observed within 36 hours of a storm event?      Y      N       Y

**Note: If any site receives three or more "Level 3" ratings, or if the aquatic life appears to be affected, please notify the SCRP Hotline at 974-2550 immediately.**

If the SCRP was called, which site(s) were reported?      Site No.(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**City of Austin**  
**Wet Weather Monitoring Program**  
 Field Observation Form

Date: 7/17/2014

Watershed: Shoal Creek

Observations by: Lee C. Lawson

Signature: Lee C. Lawson

Site No. 116

Location Description: In Pease Park, just south of Lamar and 24th.

**Level of Concern**

|                        |                            |                            |                            |                            |                 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Oily Sheen:            | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Discolored Water:      | 0                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Turbid Water:          | 0                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Trash or Debris:       | 0                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Odor Detected?         | Y                          | <input type="checkbox"/> N |                            |                            | Comments: _____ |
| Aquatic Life Affected? | Y                          | <input type="checkbox"/> N |                            |                            | Comments: _____ |
| Other Observations:    | _____                      |                            |                            |                            |                 |

Site No. 880

Location Description: Shoal Creek at West Ave Bridge

**Level of Concern**

|                        |                            |                            |                            |                            |                 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Oily Sheen:            | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Discolored Water:      | 0                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Turbid Water:          | 0                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Trash or Debris:       | 0                          | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Odor Detected?         | Y                          | <input type="checkbox"/> N |                            |                            | Comments: _____ |
| Aquatic Life Affected? | Y                          | <input type="checkbox"/> N |                            |                            | Comments: _____ |
| Other Observations:    | <u>Algae Growth</u>        |                            |                            |                            |                 |

Site No. \_\_\_\_\_

Location Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Level of Concern**

|                        |       |                            |                            |                            |                 |
|------------------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Oily Sheen:            | 0     | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Discolored Water:      | 0     | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Turbid Water:          | 0     | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Trash or Debris:       | 0     | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Odor Detected?         | Y     | <input type="checkbox"/> N |                            |                            | Comments: _____ |
| Aquatic Life Affected? | Y     | <input type="checkbox"/> N |                            |                            | Comments: _____ |
| Other Observations:    | _____ |                            |                            |                            |                 |

**Level of Concern Legend**

0 = No impact evident      1 = Minimal impact evident      2 = Moderate impact evident      3 = Severe impact evident

Were the field conditions recorded above observed within 36 hours of a storm event?      Y      N       Y

**Note: If any site receives three or more "Level 3" ratings, or if the aquatic life appears to be affected, please notify the SCRP Hotline at 974-2550 immediately.**

If the SCRP was called, which site(s) were reported?      Site No.(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**City of Austin**  
**Wet Weather Monitoring Program**  
 Field Observation Form

Date: 4/8/2014

Watershed: West Bouldin

Observations by: Lee C. Lawson

Signature: Lee C. Lawson

Site No. 845

Location Description: West Bouldin Creek @ Guerrero Park

**Level of Concern**

Oily Sheen:  0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discolored Water: 0  1  2  3

Comments: Redish Brown lots of leaf litter 12 hours after hard rain.

Turbid Water: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Trash or Debris: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Odor Detected? Y  N

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Aquatic Life Affected? Y  N

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Observations: Railroad tracks steep unstable slopes causing erosion of sediment and rock ballst into creek

Site No. 846

Location Description: West Bouldin Creek @ South Austin Park

**Level of Concern**

Oily Sheen:  0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discolored Water: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Turbid Water: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Trash or Debris: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Odor Detected? Y  N

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Aquatic Life Affected? Y  N

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Observations: \_\_\_\_\_

Site No. 878

Location Description: West Bouldin Creek @ Jewell St.

**Level of Concern**

Oily Sheen:  0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discolored Water: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Turbid Water: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Trash or Debris: 0  1  2  3

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Odor Detected? Y  N

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Aquatic Life Affected? Y  N

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Observations: \_\_\_\_\_

**Level of Concern Legend**

0 = No impact evident      1 = Minimal impact evident      2 = Moderate impact evident      3 = Severe impact evident

Were the field conditions recorded above observed within 36 hours of a storm event?      Y      N       Y

**Note: If any site receives three or more "Level 3" ratings, or if the aquatic life appears to be affected, please notify the SCRP Hotline at 974-2550 immediately.**

If the SCRP was called, which site(s) were reported?      Site No.(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**City of Austin**  
**Wet Weather Monitoring Program**  
 Field Observation Form

Date: 4/15/2014

Watershed: Harpers Branch

Observations by: Lee C. Lawson & Dana Mcgehee

Signature: Lee C. Lawson

Site No. 855

Location Description: Harper's Branch @ Fairlawn

**Level of Concern**

|                        |                                 |                                |                                |   |   |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Oily Sheen:            | <input type="text" value="0"/>  | 1                              | 2                              | 3 | Comments: _____   |
| Discolored Water:      | <input type="text" value="0"/>  | 1                              | 2                              | 3 | Comments: _____   |
| Turbid Water:          | <input type="text" value="0"/>  | 1                              | 2                              | 3 | Comments: _____   |
| Trash or Debris:       | 0                               | 1                              | <input type="text" value="2"/> | 3 | Comments: <u>Styrofoam cup &amp; plastic bags, debris</u> |
| Odor Detected?         | Y                               | <input type="text" value="N"/> |                                |   | Comments: _____   |
| Aquatic Life Affected? | Y                               | <input type="text" value="N"/> |                                |   | Comments: _____   |
| Other Observations:    | <u>No aquatic life observed</u> |                                |                                |   |   |

Site No. 844

Location Description: Harper's Branch @ Woodland

**Level of Concern**

|                        |                                 |                                |                                |   |                 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Oily Sheen:            | <input type="text" value="0"/>  | 1                              | 2                              | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Discolored Water:      | <input type="text" value="0"/>  | 1                              | 2                              | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Turbid Water:          | <input type="text" value="0"/>  | 1                              | 2                              | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Trash or Debris:       | 0                               | 1                              | <input type="text" value="2"/> | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Odor Detected?         | Y                               | <input type="text" value="N"/> |                                |   | Comments: _____ |
| Aquatic Life Affected? | Y                               | <input type="text" value="N"/> |                                |   | Comments: _____ |
| Other Observations:    | <u>No aquatic life observed</u> |                                |                                |   |                 |

Site No. \_\_\_\_\_

Location Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Level of Concern**

|                        |       |   |   |   |                 |
|------------------------|-------|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Oily Sheen:            | 0     | 1 | 2 | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Discolored Water:      | 0     | 1 | 2 | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Turbid Water:          | 0     | 1 | 2 | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Trash or Debris:       | 0     | 1 | 2 | 3 | Comments: _____ |
| Odor Detected?         | Y     | N |   |   | Comments: _____ |
| Aquatic Life Affected? | Y     | N |   |   | Comments: _____ |
| Other Observations:    | _____ |   |   |   |                 |

**Level of Concern Legend**

0 = No impact evident      1 = Minimal impact evident      2 = Moderate impact evident      3 = Severe impact evident

Were the field conditions recorded above observed within 36 hours of a storm event?      Y      N     

**Note: If any site receives three or more "Level 3" ratings, or if the aquatic life appears to be affected, please notify the SCRП Hotline at 974-2550 immediately.**

If the SCRП was called, which site(s) were reported?      Site No.(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**Appendix D**  
**Facilities List City of Austin**



| Name   | Address                   |
|--|---------------------------|
| Airport Fire & Rescue                        | 3300 General Aviation Ave |
| Fire Investigations / Labor Relations Office | 1621 Nash Hernandez       |
| Fire Station 01/ EMS 06                      | 401 E 5th Street          |
| Fire Station 02                              | 506 W MLK Blvd            |
| Fire Station 03                              | 201 W. 30th St.           |
| Fire Station 04                              | 1000 Blanco               |
| Fire Station 05 / EMS 04                     | 1202 Webberville Rd       |
| Fire Station 06                              | 1705 S Congress Ave       |
| Fire Station 07                              | 201 Chicon                |
| Fire Station 08 / EMS 07                     | 8989 Research Blvd        |
| Fire Station 09                              | 4301 Speedway             |
| Fire Station 10                              | 3009 Windsor Road         |
| Fire Station 11                              | 1611 Kinney Ave           |
| Fire Station 12                              | 2109 Hancock Drive        |
| Fire Station 14 / Special Operations         | 4305 Airport Blvd         |
| Fire Station 15                              | 829 Airport Blvd          |
| Fire Station 16                              | 7000 Reese Lane           |
| Fire Station 17                              | 4128 S 1st Street         |
| Fire Station 18                              | 6311 Berkman Drive        |
| Fire Station 19 / EMS 08                     | 5211 Balcones Dr.         |
| Fire Station 20 / EMS Station 02             | 6601 Manchaca Rd          |
| Fire Station 21                              | 4201 Spicewood Sprgs      |
| Fire Station 22 / EMS Station 12             | 5309 E Riverside Dr       |
| Fire Station 23 / EMS 13                     | 1330 E Rundberg Lane      |
| Fire Station 24 / EMS Station 28             | 5811 Nuckols Crossing Rd  |
| Fire Station 25 / EMS Station 10             | 5228 Duval Rd             |
| Fire Station 26                              | 6700 Wentworth Road       |
| Fire Station 27                              | 5401 McCarty Lane         |
| Fire Station 28                              | 2410 Parmer Lane          |
| Fire Station 29                              | 3704 Deer Lane            |
| Fire Station 30/ EMS 18                      | 1021 W. Braker Lane       |
| Fire Station 31                              | 5507 RR 2222              |
| Fire Station 32                              | 2804 Montebello Road      |
| Fire Station 33                              | 9409 Bluegrass            |
| Fire Station 34 / EMS27                      | 10041 Lake Creek Pkwy     |
| Fire Station 35                              | 5500 Burleson Road        |
| Fire Station 36/ EMS 15                      | 400 Ralph Ablanado Dr.    |
| Fire Station 37                              | 8700 Hwy 71 West          |
| Fire Station 38 / EMS 19                     | 10111 Anderson Mill Rd.   |
| Fire Station 39 / EMS 16                     | 7701 River Place Blvd.    |
| Fire Station 40 / EMS 29                     | 12711 Harris Glenn Dr.    |
| Fire Station 41 / EMS 35                     | 11205 Harris Branch Pkwy  |
| Fire Station 42 / EMS 30                     | 2454 Cardinal Loop        |
| Fire Station 43 / EMS 31                     | 11401 Escarpment Blvd     |
| Fire Station 44                              | 11612 Four Iron Dr.       |
| Fire Station 45 / EMS 34                     | 9421 Spectrum Blvd.       |

|   |                               |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Fire Training Facility                                      | 4800-B Shaw Lane              |
| Fire Vehicle Maintenance Shop                               | 2011 E 51st Street            |
| Fire Wellness / Fire Safety / OMD / EMS Clinical Practice   | 517 S Pleasant Valley Rd.     |
| Operations Annex  | 4301 E 5th Street             |
| St. John's Multi-Purpose Center                             | 7500 Blessing Ave.            |
| Air Support   | 4309 E General Aviation Ave.  |
| Airport Police  | 3601 Bergstrom                |
| Austin Park Police  | 2215 Westlake Dr.             |
| <b>Austin Police Patrol Building</b>                        | <b>E. 8th Street</b>          |
| Austin Ridge  | 8501 F.M. 969 Bldg. 512       |
| Community Liason  | 4101 S Industrial, #260       |
| CTECC   | 5010 Old Manor Rd.            |
| Downtown Rangers  | 211 E. 7th Street             |
| East Substation and Forensics                               | 812 Springdale Rd.            |
| Evidence Warehouse  | 4708 E. MLK Blvd.             |
| Forensics Vehicle Processing                                | 8200 South Congress           |
| Mental Health Unit / Austin State Hospital                  | 4110 Guadalupe                |
| Mounted Patrol  | 8011 Boyce Lane               |
| North Substation  | 12435 Lamplight Village Ave   |
| <b>Police Headquarters</b>                                  | <b>715 E. 8th Street</b>      |
| Police Training Academy / Pistol Range                      | 4800 Shaw Lane                |
| South Substation  | 404 Ralph Ablanado Dr.        |
| Travis County Jail - Interlocal Agreement                   | 509 W 11th Street             |
| ???   | 1111 Rio Grande St.           |
| ???   | 1501 Toomey Road              |
| ???   | 400 Jessie Street             |
| ???   | 6014 Techni Center            |
| Davis Water Treatment Plant                                 | 3500 W 35th Street            |
| East Service Center   | 6301 Harold Ct.               |
| Glen Bell Service Center                                    | 3907 S Industrial Dr          |
| Govalle WWTP Office/Administration                          | 911 Linger Lane               |
| Hornsby Bend  | 2210 S FM 973                 |
| North Service Center  | 907 W. Koenig Lane            |
| Reicher Ranch (Wildlife Conservation)                       | 3635 RR 620 South             |
| SAR WWTP Administration Bldg                                | 13009 Fallwell Lane           |
| Summit Hill Water Quality Lab                               | 14050 Summit Drive, #121      |
| Ullrich Water Treatment Plant                               | 1000 Forest View              |
| Ullrich Water Treatment Plant                               | 1001 Forest View              |
| Waller Creek Center   | 625 E. 10th St.               |
| Walnut Creek WWTP   | 7113 E. MLK                   |
| Watershed is occupying building                             | 6301 Harold Ct.               |
| Watershed is occupying building                             | 6301 Harold Ct.               |
| Watershed Protection/Storm Sewer/Concrete/Cleaning - Bldg C | 6301 Harold Ct.               |
| Webberville Service Center                                  | 2600 Webberville Rd           |
| <i>NA</i>   | <i>105 Riverside Dr.</i>      |
| <b>CTM Administration</b>                                   | <b>105 East Riverside Dri</b> |
| CTM Wireless Communication services Bldg                    | Bolm Road                     |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| ???  | 201 E. 2nd St.                         |
| EMS Station 01 Rescue/Dist Cmdr s04            | 3616 South 1st St                      |
| EMS Station 03 Rescue                          | 1305 Red River-Brackenridge Hospital   |
| EMS Station 04/Dist Cmdr 5                     | 1201 Webberville Rd                    |
| EMS Station 05/Dist Cmdr 2                     | 5710 N Lamar                           |
| EMS Station 09                                 | 1211 Lohmans Crossing, Lakeway         |
| EMS Station 14 / EMS Demand 2                  | 7200 Berkman                           |
| EMS Station 17                                 | 2507 Foster Ave                        |
| EMS Station 20                                 | 911 W. Pfluger Loop, Pflugerville      |
| EMS Station 21                                 | 1295 S Capital of Texas Hwy., Westlake |
| EMS Station 22 Rescue                          | 3605 Allegiance Cove, Lago Vista       |
| EMS Station 23                                 | 400 W. Parsons Ave., Manor             |
| EMS Station 24                                 | 5412 US 183 South, Travis Co.          |
| EMS Station 25                                 | 18310 Park Drive, Jonestown            |
| EMS Station 26                                 | 22404 Hyw 71 West, Pedernales          |
| EMS Station 32                                 | 3621 S. FM 620, Bee Caves              |
| EMS Station 34                                 | 9400 Spectrum                          |
| Fleet Acquisition                              | 6400 Bolm Road                         |
| Fleet Administration                           | 1190 Hargrave                          |
| Service Center 01                              | 6301 Harold Ct.                        |
| Service Center 03                              | 2011 E. 51st St.                       |
| Service Center 05                              | 714 E. 8th                             |
| Service Center 06                              | 1182 Hargrave                          |
| Service Center 12                              | 4108 Todd Lane                         |
| Service Center 13                              | 2412 Kramer Lane                       |
| Truck Washing Service Center 6                 | 1190 Hargrave                          |
| Administration Offices                         | 8301 Cameron Road                      |
| Bldg Svcs                                      | 301 W. 2nd St.                         |
| Bldg Svcs                                      | 3600 Manor Rd.                         |
| Building Services HQ                           | 411 Chicon St.                         |
| City Hall                                      | 301 W. 2nd St.                         |
| Municipal Building                             | 124 W 8th St.                          |
| One Texas Center                               | 505 Barton Spring Rd                   |
| Purchasing                                     | 13005 Fallwell Lane                    |
| Purchasing                                     | 2001 E 5th St.                         |
| Purchasing                                     | 2526 Kramer Lane                       |
| Purchasing                                     | 721 Barton Springs Rd.                 |
| Purchasing                                     | 8003 Decker Lane                       |
| Rebekah Baines Johnson Center (RBJ)            | 15 Waller St.                          |
| RLC  | 1520 Rutherford Lane                   |
| Service Center 8                               | 4411 Meinardus                         |
| Technicenter                                   | 4201 Ed Bluestein Blvd                 |
| Treasury                                       | 700 Lavaca St.                         |
| Animal Shelter                                 | 7201 Levander Loop                     |
| Austin Resource Center for the Homeless (ARCH) | 500 E. 7th Street                      |
| Bastrop/Elgin WIC                              | 443 Highway 71                         |
| Blackland Neighborhood Center                  | 2005 Salina                            |

|  |                                      |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Clarksville Health Center                            | 1000 Toyath                          |
| Day Labor  | 2201 E. Ben White                    |
| Day Labor (First Workers)                            | 4916 N. IH-35                        |
| Del Valle WIC  | 3518 FM 973                          |
| Dove Springs WIC                                     | 5405 S Pleasant Valley               |
| East Austin Neighborhood Center                      | 211 Comal St.                        |
| Elgin WIC  | 218 South Main Street                |
| Far South Austin Health Center                       | 405 W. Stassney Lane                 |
| HIV/STD Prevention Outreach Counseling and Testing   | 7901 Cameron Road                    |
| Homeless Center for Woman & Children                 | 4523 Tannehill Lane                  |
| Manor WIC  | 600 West Carrie Manor                |
| Montopolis Neighborhood Center                       | 1416 Montopolis                      |
| Northeast WIC  | 7112 Ed Bluestein Road               |
| Northwest WIC Mom's Place                            | 8701 Research Blvd                   |
| Oak Hill WIC   | 8656 Hwy 71 Bldg A Ste B             |
| Palm Square  | 1000 N. IH 35, #1000                 |
| Pflugerville WIC                                     | 15822 Foothill Farms Loop, Ste B     |
| Rosewood Zaragoza Neigh Ctr                          | 2800 Webberville Road                |
| South Austin Neighborhood Ctr                        | 2508 Durwood                         |
| St. John's Neighborhood Annex (AK Black Clinic Bldg) | 928 Blackson Ave.                    |
| Street and Jones                                     | 1000 E. 11th St.                     |
| Todd Lane  | 4122 Todd Lane                       |
| Town Lake Animal Center                              | 1156 W Cesar Chavez St               |
| <i>Twin Towers</i>                                   | <i>1106 Clayton Lane Suite 204 E</i> |
| <i>???</i>   | <i>1050 E 11th Street, Suite 300</i> |
| Arthur B. Dewitty Center                             | 2209 Rosewood Ave.                   |
| Learning and Research Ctr, Building #4218            | 2800 Spirit of Texas Dr              |
| Texas Worksource Center                              | 4175 Freidrich Lane, Suite 200       |
| Texas Worksource Center                              | 6505 Airport Blvd. Suite 101         |
| Austin History Center                                | 810 Guadalupe                        |
| Carver Branch  | 1161 Angelina                        |
| Central Lib./Faulk Central                           | 800 Guadalupe                        |
| Daniel E. Ruiz Branch Lib                            | 1600 Grove Blvd                      |
| Howson Branch  | 2500 Exposition                      |
| Little Walnut Creek Branch                           | 835 W Rundberg Lane                  |
| Manchaca Branch                                      | 5500 Manchaca Rd                     |
| Milwood Branch                                       | 12500 Amherst Dr.                    |
| New Twin Oaks/S.A.Lib Warehouse                      | 1800 S. Fifth St                     |
| North Village Branch                                 | 2505 Steck Ave.                      |
| Oak Springs Branch                                   | 3101 Oak Spring Dr.                  |
| Old Quarry Branch                                    | 7051 Village Center Dr.              |
| Pleasant Hill Branch                                 | 211 E. William Cannon Dr.            |
| Recycled Reads Book Store                            | 5335 Burnet Rd                       |
| Southeast Austin Community Branch                    | 5803 Nuckols Crossing Rd             |
| Spicewood Springs Branch                             | 8637 Spicewood Sprgs Rd              |
| Terrazas Branch                                      | 1105 E Cesar Chavez                  |
| University Hills Branch                              | 4721 Loyola Ln.                      |

Will Hampton Branch at Oak Hill  
Windsor Park Branch Lib.  
Yarborough Branch  
Zaragoza Warehouse  
Court Substation - Cherry Creek Plaza  
DACC

**Municipal Courts**

Alamo Recreation Center  
ANC-Main Bldg  
Aquatics Administration Facility  
Austin Memor.Cemet/Off.Complex  
Austin Recreation Center  
Barton Springs Pool Bath House  
Camacho Recreation Center  
Central Maintenance Complex  
Conley Guerrero Sr Activity Ctr  
Danny G McBeth Rec Ctr  
Dittmar Recreation Center  
Dottie Jordan Rec Ctr  
Dougherty Arts Center Complex  
Dove Springs Recreation Ctr  
Elisabet Ney Museum & Studio  
Emma Long Metro Park-Office  
Garrison Park - South District Maintenance Office  
George Washington Carver Museum and Cultural Center  
Givens Recreation Center  
Gus Garcia Recreation Center  
Hancock Recreation Center  
Jimmy Clay Golf Course/Residence  
Kreig Athletic Office  
Lamar Senior Activity Center  
Lions Muni G.C Caretakers Residence  
Metz Recreation Center  
Mexican American Cultural Arts Center  
Montopolis Recreation Center  
Morris Wms Residence  
Northwest Recreation Center  
O'Henry & Dickenson Museums  
Old Lundberg Bakery and Emporium  
Pan American Rec Ctr  
PARD Annex Building  
PARD Headquarters  
Park 183  
Pickfair Recreation Center  
Rosewood Recreation Center  
South Austin Recreation Center  
South Austin Senior Activity Center

5125 Convict Hill Road  
5833 Westminster Dr.  
2200 Hancock Dr  
651 N. Pleasant Valley Rd  
5738 Manchaca Road  
719 E. 6th Street  
700 E. 7th St  
2100 Alamo St  
301 Nature Center Dr.  
401 Deep Eddy Ave.  
2800 Hancock Dr.  
1301 Shoal Creek Blvd  
2201 Barton Sprgs Rd  
34 Robert T. Martinez  
2525 Lakeshore Blvd  
808 Niles Street  
2502 Columbus Drive  
1009 W Dittmar  
2803 Loyola Lane  
1110 Barton Springs Rd  
5801 Ainez Drive  
304 E 44th Street  
1600 City Park Rd  
6001 Manchaca Rd.  
1165 Angelina Street  
3800 E 12th St  
1201 Easr Rundberg Lane  
811 E 41st St  
5400 Jimmy Clay Dr  
515 S Pleasant Valley Rd.  
2874 Shoal Crest Ave  
2910 Enfield Rd  
2411 Canterbury  
600 River St  
1200 Montopolis Drive  
4305 Manor Road  
2913 Northland Dr  
409 E 5th Street  
1006 Congress Ave  
2100 E 3rd Street  
919 W. 28th 1/2 Street  
200 S Lamar  
720 Bastrop Hwy #218 B  
10904 Pickfair Drive  
1182 N. Pleasant Valley  
1100 Cumberland  
3911 Manchaca Road

Town Lake - Fiesta Gardens Maintenance Building  
Turner Roberts Rec Center  
W.E Long Lake Metro - NE District Maintenance Building  
Walnut Creek Metro - Northwest District Maint Bldg  
Zaragosa Recreation Center  
Zilker Caretaker House  
Zilker Grd. Ctr. Caretaker Residence (Park Ranger Station)  
Zilker Grd. Ctr. Maintenance Building  
Home Hazardous Waste Office  
Landfill Office  
Todd Lane Service Center  
Transfer Station - MRF  
Administrative Buildings/South District/Erosion - Bldg H  
Drainage Maintenance North Service Yard  
New Field Operations Facility - Ponds/Erosion  
Pond Maintenance  
Storage unit; emergency response supplies/education materials  
*WPD Education Materials and Miscellaneous Storage*

2101 Bergman Ave  
7201B Colony Loop Dr  
6614 Blue Bluff Rd  
1401 Cedar Bend Dr  
2608 Gonzales  
200 Clubhouse Road  
2200 B Barton Springs Rd.  
2200 A Barton Springs Rd.  
2514 Business Center Dr.  
10108 FM 812  
4108 Todd Lane  
3810 Todd Lane  
6301 Harold Ct.  
2412 Kramer Lane  
4805 Winnebago  
5109 E. Ben White Blvd.  
1033 E. 41st Street  
*510 S. Congress; Suite 211*

**Appendix E**  
Barton Springs Periodic Sediment Sampling Data



| PARAMETER                        | UNIT  | Barton Spring |            | Eliza      | Old Mill   | Upper Barton |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|                                  |       | 14-Feb-14     | 22-May-14  | 22-May-14  | 22-May-14  | 22-May-14    |
| 2_4_5-TP (SILVEX)                | UG/KG | .             | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83      |
| 2_4_5-TRICHLOROPHOXYACETIC ACID  | UG/KG | .             | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83      |
| 2_4-DICHLOROPHOXYACETIC ACID     | UG/KG | .             | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83      |
| 4_4'-DDD                         | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | J 0.00848  | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| 4_4'-DDE                         | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| 4_4'-DDT                         | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | 0.0492     | J 0.00969  | J 0.014    | 0.0216       |
| ACENAPHTHENE                     | MG/KG | <J 0.0408     | <J 0.0181  | <J 0.0114  | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014     |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE                   | MG/KG | <J 0.0204     | <J 0.0181  | J 0.0137   | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014     |
| ALDRIN                           | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| ALPHA-BHC (BENZENE HEXACHLORIDE) | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| ALPHA-CHLORDANE                  | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| ANTHRACENE                       | MG/KG | <J 0.0204     | J 0.0215   | J 0.0124   | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014     |
| AROCLOR 1016                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| AROCLOR 1221                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| AROCLOR 1232                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| AROCLOR 1242                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| AROCLOR 1248                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| AROCLOR 1254                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| AROCLOR 1260                     | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.0882  | <J 0.0591  | <J 0.0627  | <J 0.0729    |
| ARSENIC                          | MG/KG | 11.5          | 7.38       | 5.36       | 4.24       | 5.95         |
| ATRAZINE (AATREX)                | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| AZINPHOS METHYL (GUTHION)        | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE               | MG/KG | J 0.0798      | 0.386      | J 0.0554   | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014     |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE                   | MG/KG | J 0.0896      | 0.547      | 0.0744     | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0227     |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE             | MG/KG | 0.184         | 0.632      | 0.065      | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0288     |
| BENZO(E)PYRENE                   | MG/KG | J 0.0836      | 0.415      | J 0.0443   | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0191     |
| BENZO(GHI)PERYLENE               | MG/KG | J 0.0816      | 0.48       | J 0.0538   | <J 0.0128  | J 0.022      |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE             | MG/KG | J 0.0616      | 0.282      | J 0.0316   | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014     |
| BETA-BHC (BENZENE HEXACHLORIDE)  | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| CADMIUM                          | MG/KG | 0.351         | J 0.189    | <J 0.113   | J 0.13     | <J 0.132     |
| CARBARYL (SEVIN)                 | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| CHLORPYRIFOS (DURSBAN)           | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| CHLORPYRIFOS METHYL              | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| CHROMIUM                         | MG/KG | 24.4          | 15.9       | 6.94       | 10.7       | 10.5         |
| CHRYSENE                         | MG/KG | 0.139         | 0.6        | J 0.0516   | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0238     |
| COPPER                           | MG/KG | 14.8          | 12.2       | 5.44       | 7.27       | 6.53         |
| DALAPON                          | UG/KG | .             | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83      |
| DELTA-BHC (BENZENE HEXACHLORIDE) | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| DEMETON                          | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| DIAZINON                         | MG/KG | .             | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| DIBENZ(AH)ANTHRACENE             | MG/KG | <J 0.0408     | 0.106      | J 0.013    | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014     |
| DICAMBA (BANVEL)                 | UG/KG | .             | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83      |
| DIELDRIN                         | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |
| DINOSEB                          | UG/KG | .             | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83      |
| ENDOSULFAN I                     | MG/KG | <J 0.00408    | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056    |

|                                 |         |            |            |            |            |           |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| ENDOSULFAN II                   | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| ENDOSULFAN SULFATE              | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| ENDRIN                          | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| ENDRIN ALDEHYDE                 | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| ENDRIN KETONE                   | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| FLUORANTHENE                    | MG/KG   | 0.114      | 0.857      | 0.0834     | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0337  |
| FLUORENE (9H-FLUORENE)          | MG/KG   | <J 0.0204  | <J 0.0181  | <J 0.0114  | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014  |
| GAMMA-BHC (LINDANE)             | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| GAMMA-CHLORDANE                 | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| GRAVEL/COARSE SAND              | Percent | .          | 6.12       | 18.6       | 4.22       | 1.5       |
| HEPTACHLOR                      | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE              | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| INDENO(1_2_3-CD)PYRENE          | MG/KG   | J 0.0785   | 0.42       | J 0.0496   | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0204  |
| IRON                            | MG/KG   | 17000      | 12400      | 6860       | 8000       | 8290      |
| LEAD                            | MG/KG   | 18.4       | 59.9       | 4.83       | 11.6       | 8.09      |
| MALATHION                       | MG/KG   | .          | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| MERCURY                         | MG/KG   | J 0.0607   | J 0.0351   | <J 0.0169  | J 0.021    | <J 0.0219 |
| METHOXYCHLOR                    | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| METHYL PARATHION                | MG/KG   | .          | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| NAPHTHALENE                     | MG/KG   | <J 0.0204  | <J 0.0181  | <J 0.0114  | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014  |
| NICKEL                          | MG/KG   | 28.1       | 14.8       | 6.43       | 6.75       | 10.5      |
| OIL AND GREASE                  | MG/KG   | <J 6.4     | N 26.8     | JN 6.83    | JN 8.72    | N 39.5    |
| ORGANIC CARBON                  | MG/KG   | 48400      | 98300      | 41000      | 61300      | 59700     |
| PARATHION (PARATHION ETHYL)     | MG/KG   | .          | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| PENTACHLOROPHENOL               | UG/KG   | .          | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83   |
| PERCENT MOISTURE                | Percent | 53.83333   | 45.70552   | 18.18996   | 25.31646   | 33.20106  |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS >C12-C28 | MG/KG   | <J 15      | <J 12.5    | J 10.3     | <J 9.17    | <J 10.2   |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS >C28-C35 | MG/KG   | <J 15      | <J 12.5    | <J 8.27    | <J 9.17    | <J 10.2   |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS C6-C12   | MG/KG   | <J 15      | <J 12.5    | <J 8.27    | <J 9.17    | <J 10.2   |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS C6-C35   | MG/KG   | <J 15      | <J 12.5    | J 10.3     | <J 9.17    | <J 10.2   |
| PHENANTHRENE                    | MG/KG   | <J 0.0204  | 0.183      | J 0.016    | <J 0.0128  | <J 0.014  |
| PICLORAM                        | UG/KG   | .          | <J 3.59    | <J 2.69    | <J 2.62    | <J 2.83   |
| PYRENE                          | MG/KG   | J 0.096    | 0.702      | 0.0704     | <J 0.0128  | J 0.0255  |
| SILVER                          | MG/KG   | J 0.131    | <J 0.174   | <J 0.113   | <J 0.13    | <J 0.132  |
| SOLUBLE AMMONIA AS N            | MG/KG   | 2.71       | 15.8       | 4.32       | 5.52       | 7.88      |
| TEXTURE CLAY (<0.002MM)         | Percent | 20         | 15.6       | 13.6       | 13.4       | 9.57      |
| TEXTURE SAND (0.05-2.0MM)       | Percent | 41.5       | 46.3       | 51.8       | 68.4       | 58.9      |
| TEXTURE SILT (0.002-0.05MM)     | Percent | 36         | 32         | 16         | 14         | 30        |
| TOTAL CHLORDANE                 | MG/KG   | <J 0.00408 | <J 0.00725 | <J 0.00455 | <J 0.00511 | <J 0.0056 |
| TOXAPHENE                       | MG/KG   | <J 0.163   | <J 0.29    | <J 0.182   | <J 0.204   | <J 0.224  |
| ZINC                            | MG/KG   | 43         | 45.7       | 18         | 19.9       | 22.2      |

**Appendix F**  
Barton Springs and Associated Springs Water Quality Data



**Barton Springs Biweekly Monitoring.** Conventional water quality parameters measured at Barton Springs Pool in the FY 2014 reporting period (all results in mg/L).

| Date       | NH3-N    | NO3+NO2-N | ORTHO-P  | TSS     | VSS      |
|------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|
| 10/10/2013 | <J 0.008 | 1.71      | 0.0164   | <J 1.01 | <J 1.01  |
| 10/24/2013 | <J 0.008 | 1.57      | <J 0.004 | 3.41    | <J 2.13  |
| 11/21/2013 | <J 0.008 | 1.61      | 0.004    | 4.49    | .        |
| 12/12/2013 | <J 0.008 | 1.48      | <J 0.004 | 2.55    | <J 1.96  |
| 01/14/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.34      | <J 0.004 | 1.4     | <J 1     |
| 02/03/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.57      | <J 0.004 | 1.26    | <J 1.05  |
| 02/13/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.63      | <J 0.004 | <J 1.23 | <J 1.23  |
| 04/01/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.53      | <J 0.004 | <J 1.01 | <J 1.04* |
| 04/17/2014 | 0.0336   | 1.69      | <J 0.004 | <J 2.17 | <J 2.17  |
| 04/24/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.49      | 0.0085   | <J 1.02 | <J 1.02  |
| 05/07/2014 | 0.0884   | 1.58      | <J 0.004 | <J 1.01 | <J 1.01  |
| 05/20/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.21      | <J 0.004 | <J 1.06 | <J 1.06  |
| 06/04/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.31      | <J 0.004 | 3.22    | <J 1.04  |
| 06/11/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.28      | <J 0.004 | 1.98    | <J 1.05* |
| 06/19/2014 | 0.0941   | 1.24      | <J 0.004 | 2.3     | 1.1      |
| 07/08/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.59      | <J 0.004 | 1.25    | <J 1.04  |
| 07/23/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.47      | 0.0126   | 1.6     | <J 1     |
| 08/05/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.59      | <J 0.004 | 1.63    | <J 1.02  |
| 08/20/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.52      | <J 0.004 | 1.06    | <J 1.06  |
| 09/03/2014 | <J 0.008 | 1.56      | <J 0.004 | <J 1    | <J 1     |

\* VSS collected in Barton Springs Pool at downstream dam on these dates

**Barton Springs and Associated Springs – Semi-annual and Annual Monitoring.**  
Expanded analyses at Barton Springs.

| PARAMETER                         | UNIT | 21-Nov-13 | 1-Apr-14 | 24-Apr-14 | 11-Jun-14 |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1_1_1-TRICHLOROETHANE             | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_1_2_2-TETRACHLOROETHANE         | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.4    | .         |
| 1_1_2-TRICHLOROETHANE             | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_1-DICHLOROETHANE                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_1-DICHLOROETHYLENE              | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2_3-TRICHLOROBENZENE            | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2_3-TRICHLOROPROPANE            | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.4    | .         |
| 1_2_4_5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE        | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 3.94   | .         |
| 1_2_4-TRICHLOROBENZENE            | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE       | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2-DIBROMOETHANE                 | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.4    | .         |
| 1_2-DICHLOROBENZENE               | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2-DICHLOROETHANE                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2-DICHLOROETHENE                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.5    | .         |
| 1_2-DICHLOROPROPANE               | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE             | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 1_3-DICHLOROBENZENE               | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1_3-DICHLOROPROPENE               | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.5    | .         |
| 1_4-DICHLOROBENZENE               | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.2    | .         |
| 1+2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE             | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 3.94   | .         |
| 1-NAPHTHYLAMINE                   | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 3.94   | .         |
| 2_3_4_6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL         | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2_4_5-TP (SILVEX)                 | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.189  | .         |
| 2_4_5-TRICHLOROPHENOL             | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2_4_5-TRICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.189  | .         |
| 2_4_6-TRICHLOROPHENOL             | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2_4-DICHLOROPHENOL                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2_4-DICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID    | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 0.189  | .         |
| 2_4-DIMETHYLPHENOL                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2_4-DINITROPHENOL                 | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 19.7   | .         |
| 2_4-DINITROTOLUENE                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 3.94   | .         |
| 2_6-DICHLOROPHENOL                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2_6-DINITROTOLUENE                | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-BUTANONE                        | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 2      | .         |
| 2-CHLOROPHENOL                    | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-HEXANONE (BUTYLMETHYLKETONE)    | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 2      | .         |
| 2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE               | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-METHYLPHENOL (O-CRESOL)         | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-NAPHTHYLAMINE                   | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-NITROANILINE                    | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-NITROPHENOL                     | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 2-PICOLINE (2-METHYLPYRIDINE)     | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 3_3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE            | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |
| 3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE              | UG/L | .         | .        | <J 1.97   | .         |

|                                 |      |      |         |          |      |
|---------------------------------|------|------|---------|----------|------|
| 3-NITROANILINE                  | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| 4_6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL      | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 19.7  | .    |
| 4-AMINOBIHENYL                  | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| 4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER      | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| 4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL         | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| 4-CHLOROANILINE                 | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| 4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER     | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| 4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (HEXANONE) | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 2     | .    |
| 4-NITROANILINE                  | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 3.94  | .    |
| 4-NITROPHENOL                   | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 3.94  | .    |
| 7_12-DIMETHYLBENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| ACENAPHTHENE                    | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE                  | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| ACETONE                         | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 2     | .    |
| ACETOPHENONE                    | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| ACROLEIN                        | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 2     | .    |
| ACRYLONITRILE                   | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 2     | .    |
| ALKALINITY (AS CaCO3)           | MG/L | 261  | 252     | 261      | 253  |
| ANILINE                         | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| ANTHRACENE                      | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| ARSENIC                         | UG/L | .    | <J 0.7  | <J 0.7   | .    |
| ATRAZINE (AATREX)               | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| AZINPHOS METHYL (GUTHION)       | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.2   | .    |
| BENZENE                         | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.2   | .    |
| BENZIDINE                       | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE              | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE                  | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE            | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BENZO(GHI)PERYLENE              | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 3.94  | .    |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE            | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BENZOIC ACID                    | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 19.7  | .    |
| BENZYL ALCOHOL                  | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 4.93  | .    |
| BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE      | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER         | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER     | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE      | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| BORON                           | MG/L | .    | <J 0.02 | 0.0676   | .    |
| BROMACIL                        | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.196 | .    |
| BROMODICHLOROMETHANE            | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.2   | .    |
| BROMOFORM                       | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.2   | .    |
| BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE          | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| CALCIUM                         | MG/L | 91.2 | 90      | 86.9     | 95.9 |
| CARBARYL (SEVIN)                | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| CARBAZOLE                       | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 1.97  | .    |
| CARBON DISULFIDE                | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.2   | .    |
| CARBON TETRACHLORIDE            | UG/L | .    | .       | <J 0.2   | .    |
| CHLORIDE                        | MG/L | 29   | 33.4    | 34.4     | 30.1 |

|                           |        |        |         |          |        |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|
| CHLORO BENZENE            | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| CHLOROETHANE              | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.4   | .      |
| CHLOROFORM                | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| CHLORPYRIFOS (DURSBAN)    | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| CHROMIUM                  | UG/L   | <J 0.7 | .       | .        | <J 0.7 |
| CHRYSENE                  | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| CIS-1_2-DICHLOROETHENE    | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| CIS-1_3-DICHLOROPROPENE   | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| CONDUCTIVITY              | uS/cm  | 671    | 682     | 683      | 656    |
| COPPER                    | UG/L   | <J 0.7 | <J 0.7  | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7 |
| DALAPON                   | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.189 | .      |
| DEMETON                   | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.5   | .      |
| DEMETON-O                 | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| DEMETON-S                 | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| DIAZINON                  | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| DIBENZ(AH)ANTHRACENE      | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 3.94  | .      |
| DIBENZO(AJ)ACRIDINE       | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 3.94  | .      |
| DIBENZOFURAN              | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE      | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| DIBROMOMETHANE            | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| DICAMBA (BANVEL)          | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.189 | .      |
| DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE   | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.4   | .      |
| DIETHYL PHTHALATE         | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| DIMETHYL PHTHALATE        | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE      | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE      | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| DINOSEB                   | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.189 | .      |
| DISSOLVED OXYGEN          | MG/L   | 6.32   | 5.9     | 5.74     | 6.03   |
| E COLI BACTERIA           | MPN/dL | 7.4    | 1       | 8.4      | 10.9   |
| ETHYL METHACRYLATE        | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.4   | .      |
| ETHYLBENZENE              | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| ETHYLMETHANE SULFONATE    | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| FLUORANTHENE              | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| FLUORENE (9H-FLUORENE)    | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| FLUORIDE                  | MG/L   | 0.186  | 0.22    | 0.248    | 0.189  |
| HEXACHLORO BENZENE (HCB)  | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE       | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 3.94  | .      |
| HEXACHLOROETHANE          | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| INDENO(1_2_3-CD)PYRENE    | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 3.94  | .      |
| IODOMETHANE               | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |
| IRON                      | MG/L   | .      | <J 0.02 | <J 0.02  | .      |
| ISOPHORONE                | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 1.97  | .      |
| LEAD                      | UG/L   | .      | <J 0.4  | <J 0.4   | .      |
| M+P(META+PARA)XYLENE      | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.4   | .      |
| MAGNESIUM                 | MG/L   | 18.9   | 23.2    | 22.6     | 20.8   |
| MALATHION                 | UG/L   | .      | .       | <J 0.2   | .      |

|                                 |          |      |        |          |      |
|---------------------------------|----------|------|--------|----------|------|
| METHYL BROMIDE (BROMOMETHANE)   | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| METHYL CHLORIDE (CHLOROMETHANE) | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| METHYL METHANE SULFONATE        | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| METHYL PARATHION                | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| METHYL TERT-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)  | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| METHYLENE CHLORIDE              | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| MP-CRESOL                       | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 3.94  | .    |
| NAPHTHALENE                     | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| NICKEL                          | UG/L     | .    | <J 0.7 | <J 0.7   | .    |
| NITROBENZENE                    | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| N-NITROSODIETHYLAMINE           | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 3.94  | .    |
| N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE          | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| N-NITROSO-DI-N-BUTYLAMINE       | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE      | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE          | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE             | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| OIL AND GREASE                  | MG/L     | .    | .      | <J 2.5   | .    |
| O-XYLENE                        | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| PARATHION (PARATHION ETHYL)     | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| P-DIMETHYLAMINOAZOBENZENE       | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 3.94  | .    |
| PENTACHLOROBENZENE              | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE         | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| PENTACHLOROPHENOL               | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.189 | .    |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS >C12-C28 | MG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.88  | .    |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS >C28-C35 | MG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.88  | .    |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS C6-C12   | MG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.88  | .    |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS C6-C35   | MG/L     | .    | .      | <J 4.71  | .    |
| PH                              | Std Unit | 6.78 | 6.98   | 7.06     | 7.71 |
| PHENACETIN                      | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| PHENANTHRENE                    | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| PHENOL                          | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| PICLORAM                        | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.189 | .    |
| PRONAMIDE (KERB)                | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| PYRENE                          | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 3.94  | .    |
| PYRIDINE                        | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 1.97  | .    |
| SODIUM                          | MG/L     | 15.5 | 17.6   | 19.3     | 16.2 |
| STRONTIUM                       | UG/L     | .    | 1520   | 1550     | .    |
| SULFATE                         | MG/L     | 44.1 | 39     | 39       | 38.5 |
| TETRACHLOROETHYLENE             | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| TOLUENE                         | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| TOTAL CRESOLS                   | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 3.94  | .    |
| TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS          | MG/L     | .    | .      | 400      | .    |
| TRANS-1_2-DICHLOROETHENE        | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| TRANS-1_3-DICHLOROPROPENE       | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| TRANS-1_4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE     | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| TRICHLOROETHYLENE (TCE)         | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |
| TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE          | UG/L     | .    | .      | <J 0.2   | .    |

|                   |       |        |        |        |        |
|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| TURBIDITY         | NTU   | .      | .      | 1      | 0.8    |
| VINYL ACETATE     | UG/L  | .      | .      | <J 0.2 | .      |
| WATER TEMPERATURE | Deg C | 21.02  | 22.75  | 21.16  | 21.61  |
| XYLENES           | UG/L  | .      | .      | <J 0.2 | .      |
| ZINC              | UG/L  | <J 1.7 | <J 1.7 | <J 1.7 | <J 1.7 |

**Barton Springs and Associate Springs – Semi-annual and Annual Monitoring** Conventional analytes at Eliza, Old Mill and Upper Barton springs in FY2014. Quality control replicate samples were collected and data is available upon request, but are not shown in this table.

| PARAMETER              | UNIT     | Eliza Spring |          |          |          | Old Mill Spring |          |          |          | Upper Barton Spring |          |          |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|
|                        |          | 11/21/13     | 04/01/14 | 04/24/14 | 06/11/14 | 11/21/13        | 04/01/14 | 04/24/14 | 06/11/14 | 11/21/13            | 04/01/14 | 06/11/14 |
| ALKALINITY (AS CaCO3)  | MG/L     | .            | 252      | 258      | 257      | .               | 249      | 259      | 248      | .                   | 263      | 263      |
| AMMONIA AS N           | MG/L     | <J 0.008     | <J 0.008 | <J 0.008 | <J 0.008 | <J 0.008        | <J 0.008 | <J 0.008 | 0.234    | <J 0.008            | 0.035    | <J 0.008 |
| ARSENIC                | UG/L     | .            | <J 0.7   | 0.739    | .        | .               | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7   | .        | .                   | <J 0.7   | .        |
| BORON                  | MG/L     | .            | 0.0525   | 0.0639   | .        | .               | 0.0831   | 0.0856   | .        | .                   | <J 0.02  | .        |
| CALCIUM                | MG/L     | 97.1         | 89.8     | 87.6     | 93.6     | 89.9            | 92.3     | 88.6     | 96.1     | 90.7                | 93.2     | 89.7     |
| CHLORIDE               | MG/L     | 29.4         | 34.5     | 35.5     | 30.6     | 48.8            | 57.9     | 59.5     | 53.3     | 22.9                | 24.3     | 23.9     |
| CHROMIUM               | UG/L     | <J 0.7       | .        | .        | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7          | .        | .        | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7              | .        | <J 0.7   |
| CONDUCTIVITY           | uS/cm    | 673          | 685      | 685      | 658.3    | 738             | 786      | 789      | 745.6    | 651                 | 660      | 630.3    |
| COPPER                 | UG/L     | <J 0.7       | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7          | <J 0.7   | 0.779    | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7              | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7   |
| DISSOLVED OXYGEN       | MG/L     | 6.55         | 5.95     | 5.86     | 5.7      | 5.71            | 5.13     | 4.86     | 5.51     | 6.3                 | 8.8      | 5.39     |
| E COLI BACTERIA        | MPN/dL   | 6.3          | 2        | 12.2     | 12.2     | 9.7             | 3        | 15.5     | 8.5      | 4.1                 | 3        | 5.2      |
| FLUORIDE               | MG/L     | 0.187        | 0.253    | 0.255    | 0.2      | 0.216           | 0.271    | 0.268    | 0.228    | 0.182               | 0.254    | 0.194    |
| IRON                   | MG/L     | .            | <J 0.02  | <J 0.02  | .        | .               | <J 0.02  | <J 0.02  | .        | .                   | <J 0.02  | .        |
| LEAD                   | UG/L     | .            | <J 0.4   | <J 0.4   | .        | .               | <J 0.4   | <J 0.4   | .        | .                   | <J 0.4   | .        |
| MAGNESIUM              | MG/L     | 20.3         | 23.4     | 22.7     | 20.1     | 20.7            | 25.2     | 24.2     | 20.8     | 20.6                | 24.5     | 21       |
| NICKEL                 | UG/L     | .            | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7   | .        | .               | <J 0.7   | <J 0.7   | .        | .                   | <J 0.7   | .        |
| NITRATE/NITRITE AS N   | MG/L     | 1.58         | 1.53     | 1.41     | 1.26     | 1.45            | 1.48     | 1.43     | 1.21     | 2.34                | 2.14     | 2.09     |
| ORTHOPHOSPHORUS AS P   | MG/L     | <J 0.004     | <J 0.004 | <J 0.004 | <J 0.004 | 0.0074          | <J 0.004 | 0.0059   | <J 0.004 | 0.0064              | <J 0.004 | 0.0108   |
| PH                     | Std Unit | 6.98         | 6.89     | 7.08     | 7.53     | 7.13            | 6.92     | 7.07     | 7.47     | 6.91                | 6.93     | 7.48     |
| SODIUM                 | MG/L     | 16.9         | 18.7     | 19.5     | 16       | 29.7            | 33.9     | 34.8     | 16.4     | 12.3                | 12.7     | 12.2     |
| STRONTIUM              | MG/L     | .            | 1.66     | 1.71     | .        | .               | 1.59     | 1.66     | .        | .                   | 0.451    | .        |
| SULFATE                | MG/L     | 44.5         | 40.3     | 40.1     | 39.2     | 57.2            | 56.9     | 57.2     | 55       | 26.9                | 31.3     | 27.8     |
| TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS | MG/L     | 4.95         | <J 1.03  | <J 1     | 1.84     | 1.85            | <J 1     | <J 1     | 1.17     | 7.24                | 3.33     | 1.34     |
| WATER TEMPERATURE      | Deg C    | 21.02        | 20.75    | 21.1     | 21.79    | 21.06           | 20.8     | 21.11    | 21.4     | 21.65               | 22.46    | 21.71    |
| ZINC                   | UG/L     | <J 1.7       | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7          | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7              | <J 1.7   | <J 1.7   |

**Barton Springs and Associate Springs – Semi-annual and Annual Monitoring** Expanded analytes at Eliza and Old Mill Springs in FY2013, sampled at both sites on 04/24/2014.

| PARAMETER                         | UNIT | Eliza Spring | Old Mill Spring |
|-----------------------------------|------|--------------|-----------------|
|                                   |      | 24-Apr-14    | 24-Apr-14       |
| 1_1_1-TRICHLOROETHANE             | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_1_2_2-TETRACHLOROETHANE         | UG/L | <J 0.4       | <J 0.4          |
| 1_1_2-TRICHLOROETHANE             | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_1-DICHLOROETHANE                | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_1-DICHLOROETHYLENE              | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2_3-TRICHLOROBENZENE            | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2_3-TRICHLOROPROPANE            | UG/L | <J 0.4       | <J 0.4          |
| 1_2_4_5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE        | UG/L | <J 3.85      | <J 3.77         |
| 1_2_4-TRICHLOROBENZENE            | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE       | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2-DIBROMOETHANE                 | UG/L | <J 0.4       | <J 0.4          |
| 1_2-DICHLOROBENZENE               | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2-DICHLOROETHANE                | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2-DICHLOROETHENE                | UG/L | <J 0.5       | <J 0.5          |
| 1_2-DICHLOROPROPANE               | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE             | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 1_3-DICHLOROBENZENE               | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1_3-DICHLOROPROPENE               | UG/L | <J 0.5       | <J 0.5          |
| 1_4-DICHLOROBENZENE               | UG/L | <J 0.2       | <J 0.2          |
| 1+2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE             | UG/L | <J 3.85      | <J 3.77         |
| 1-NAPHTHYLAMINE                   | UG/L | <J 3.85      | <J 3.77         |
| 2_3_4_6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL         | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2_4_5-TP (SILVEX)                 | UG/L | <J 0.193     | <J 0.188        |
| 2_4_5-TRICHLOROPHENOL             | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2_4_5-TRICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID | UG/L | <J 0.193     | <J 0.188        |
| 2_4_6-TRICHLOROPHENOL             | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2_4-DICHLOROPHENOL                | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2_4-DICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID    | UG/L | <J 0.193     | <J 0.188        |
| 2_4-DIMETHYLPHENOL                | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2_4-DINITROPHENOL                 | UG/L | <J 19.2      | <J 18.9         |
| 2_4-DINITROTOLUENE                | UG/L | <J 3.85      | <J 3.77         |
| 2_6-DICHLOROPHENOL                | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2_6-DINITROTOLUENE                | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-BUTANONE                        | UG/L | <J 2         | <J 2            |
| 2-CHLOROPHENOL                    | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-HEXANONE (BUTYLMETHYLKETONE)    | UG/L | <J 2         | <J 2            |
| 2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE               | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-METHYLPHENOL (O-CRESOL)         | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-NAPHTHYLAMINE                   | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-NITROANILINE                    | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-NITROPHENOL                     | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 2-PICOLINE (2-METHYLPYRIDINE)     | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |
| 3_3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE            | UG/L | <J 1.92      | <J 1.89         |

|                                 |      |          |          |
|---------------------------------|------|----------|----------|
| 3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE            | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 3-NITROANILINE                  | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 4_6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL      | UG/L | <J 19.2  | <J 18.9  |
| 4-AMINOBIIPHENYL                | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL         | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 4-CHLOROANILINE                 | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER     | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| 4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (HEXANONE) | UG/L | <J 2     | <J 2     |
| 4-NITROANILINE                  | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| 4-NITROPHENOL                   | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| 7_12-DIMETHYLBENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| ACENAPHTHENE                    | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE                  | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| ACETONE                         | UG/L | <J 2     | <J 2     |
| ACETOPHENONE                    | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| ACROLEIN                        | UG/L | <J 4     | <J 2     |
| ACRYLONITRILE                   | UG/L | <J 2     | <J 2     |
| ANILINE                         | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| ANTHRACENE                      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| ATRAZINE (AATREX)               | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| AZINPHOS METHYL (GUTHION)       | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| BENZENE                         | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| BENZIDINE                       | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE              | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE                  | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE            | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BENZO(GHI)PERYLENE              | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE            | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BENZOIC ACID                    | UG/L | <J 19.2  | <J 18.9  |
| BENZYL ALCOHOL                  | UG/L | <J 4.81  | <J 4.72  |
| BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER         | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER     | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| BROMACIL                        | UG/L | <J 0.198 | <J 0.195 |
| BROMODICHLOROMETHANE            | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| BROMOFORM                       | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE          | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| CARBARYL (SEVIN)                | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| CARBAZOLE                       | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| CARBON DISULFIDE                | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| CARBON TETRACHLORIDE            | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| CHLOROBENZENE                   | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| CHLOROETHANE                    | UG/L | <J 0.4   | <J 0.4   |
| CHLOROFORM                      | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| CHLORPYRIFOS (DURSBAN)          | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |

|                                 |      |          |          |
|---------------------------------|------|----------|----------|
| CHRYSENE                        | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| CIS-1_2-DICHLOROETHENE          | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| CIS-1_3-DICHLOROPROPENE         | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| DALAPON                         | UG/L | <J 0.193 | <J 0.188 |
| DEMETON                         | UG/L | <J 0.5   | <J 0.5   |
| DEMETON-O                       | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| DEMETON-S                       | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| DIAZINON                        | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| DIBENZ(AH)ANTHRACENE            | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| DIBENZO(AJ)ACRIDINE             | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| DIBENZOFURAN                    | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE            | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| DIBROMOMETHANE                  | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| DICAMBA (BANVEL)                | UG/L | <J 0.193 | <J 0.188 |
| DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE         | UG/L | <J 0.4   | <J 0.4   |
| DIETHYL PHTHALATE               | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| DIMETHYL PHTHALATE              | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE            | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE            | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| DINOSEB                         | UG/L | <J 0.193 | <J 0.188 |
| ETHYL METHACRYLATE              | UG/L | <J 0.4   | <J 0.4   |
| ETHYLBENZENE                    | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| ETHYLMETHANE SULFONATE          | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| FLUORANTHENE                    | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| FLUORENE (9H-FLUORENE)          | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| HEXACHLOROBENZENE (HCB)         | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE             | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE       | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| HEXACHLOROETHANE                | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| INDENO(1_2_3-CD)PYRENE          | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| IODOMETHANE                     | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| ISOPHORONE                      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| M+P(META+PARA)XYLENE            | UG/L | <J 0.4   | <J 0.4   |
| MALATHION                       | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| METHYL BROMIDE (BROMOMETHANE)   | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| METHYL CHLORIDE (CHLOROMETHANE) | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| METHYL METHANE SULFONATE        | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| METHYL PARATHION                | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| METHYL TERT-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)  | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| METHYLENE CHLORIDE              | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| MP-CRESOL                       | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| NAPHTHALENE                     | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| NITROBENZENE                    | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| N-NITROSODIETHYLAMINE           | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE          | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| N-NITROSO-DI-N-BUTYLAMINE       | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |

|                                 |      |          |          |
|---------------------------------|------|----------|----------|
| N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE          | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE             | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| OIL AND GREASE                  | MG/L | <J 2.5   | <J 2.5   |
| O-XYLENE                        | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| PARATHION (PARATHION ETHYL)     | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| P-DIMETHYLAMINOAZOBENZENE       | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| PENTACHLOROBENZENE              | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE         | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| PENTACHLOROPHENOL               | UG/L | <J 0.193 | <J 0.188 |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS >C12-C28 | MG/L | <J 1.88  | <J 1.86  |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS >C28-C35 | MG/L | <J 1.88  | <J 1.86  |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS C6-C12   | MG/L | <J 1.88  | <J 1.86  |
| PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS C6-C35   | MG/L | <J 4.7   | <J 4.65  |
| PHENACETIN                      | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| PHENANTHRENE                    | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| PHENOL                          | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| PICLORAM                        | UG/L | <J 0.193 | <J 0.188 |
| PRONAMIDE (KERB)                | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| PYRENE                          | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| PYRIDINE                        | UG/L | <J 1.92  | <J 1.89  |
| TETRACHLOROETHYLENE             | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| TOLUENE                         | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| TOTAL CRESOLS                   | UG/L | <J 3.85  | <J 3.77  |
| TRANS-1_2-DICHLOROETHENE        | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| TRANS-1_3-DICHLOROPROPENE       | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| TRANS-1_4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE     | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| TRICHLOROETHYLENE (TCE)         | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE          | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| VINYL ACETATE                   | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |
| VOLATILE SUSPENDED SOLIDS       | MG/L | <J 1     | <J 1     |
| XYLENES                         | UG/L | <J 0.2   | <J 0.2   |