

This becomes a Be it Resolved moved from page 4 to page 3 of Tovo's version.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:

The Council requests information relevant to make a decision on whether or not to expand or renovate the Austin Convention Center or *opt for another* venue option allowable by the laws governing the Hotel Occupancy Tax. This resolution should not be viewed as a decision or an inclination at this point to expand or renovate the Convention Center. The Manager should explore innovative design options and collaborations, such as the use of a public- private partnership, long-term land leases, and construction of residential and office towers above any expanded convention space. The Manager should also explore options for design of any renovation or expansion including working with the Center for Sustainable Development at the University of Texas, an RFI process inviting designs, or other process. If the City Council were to renovate or expand the Austin Convention Center, the design should minimize impact to the street grid system, work to restore the street grid system to the historic Waller Grid plan where possible and prudent, activate as much as possible and prudent the street level experience and retain or enhance ad valorem tax revenue.



It is then followed by the following largely taken from page 3 of Tovo's version:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED

If the Convention Center is renovated or expanded and the hotel occupancy tax can thus be increased, the City Council commits that the taxes would be used, as allowed by law....

AND then all the other amendments from page 3 and 4 for the end of that paragraph and the a thru 3 on page 4. Houston had stuff in there too.