SECOND/THIRD READINGS SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-2017-0042 (12602 Blackfoot Trail)

REQUEST:

Approve second and third readings of an ordinance amending City Code Title 25 by rezoning property locally known as 6610 McNeil Drive/12602 Blackfoot Trail (Rattan Creek Watershed) from neighborhood commercial-conditional overlay combining (LR-CO) district zoning and single family residence-standard lot (SF-2) district zoning to community commercial-mixed use combining (GR-MU) district zoning.

The ordinance reflects the City Council’s action at 1st reading.

DISTRICT AREA: 6

PROPERTY OWNER: Abraham Birgani

AGENT: Shaw Hamilton Consultants (Shaw Hamilton)

ISSUES:

On November 2, 2017, an adjacent property owner submitted a second petition for this rezoning case. In this petition, the adjacent property owner is opposed to any zoning other than LR-MU-CO zoning or GR-MU-CO zoning that would permit the sale of alcohol as an accessory to a Food Sales use, that would permit the sale of alcohol as an accessory to a General Retail Sales (Convenience) use and that would permit a Restaurant (General) use on the property. The staff received additional signatures from other surrounding property owners for this petition on November 14, 2017 (Please see Petition B Submittal - Attachment F). The staff has evaluated this second petition and found that it is currently valid at 38.47%.

The excerpt below is from the City of Austin’s Land Development Code and explains when the City Council is subject to the three-fourths vote.

Sec. 25-2-284 REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL BY THREE-FOURTHS OF COUNCIL.

(A) The affirmative vote of three-fourths of the members of Council is required to approve a proposed rezoning if:

(1) the Land Use Commission recommends denial of an application to rezone property to a planned unit development; or

(2) the proposed rezoning is protested in writing by the owners of not less than 20 percent of the area of land:

(a) included in the proposed change; or

(b) immediately adjoining the area included in the proposed rezoning and extending 200 feet from the area.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The property in question is currently developed with a vacant commercial structure and adjoining single family residence. The site is surrounded by single family residential uses to the north and east and a day care use (Stepping Stone) to the west. The tracts of land to the south, across
McNeil Drive, are undeveloped. In 1999, this property was rezoned from SF-1 to SF-2 (Tract 1) and SF-1 to LR-CO (Tract 2) through zoning case C14-98-0146. The ordinance requires a solid fence along the eastern property line, limits any building on the site being taller than 20 ft. in height, restricts pedestrian and vehicular access on Blackfoot Trail and prohibits seventeen uses on the site (Please see Ordinance No. 9907722-46: Attachment A). In this case, the applicant is requesting a rezoning of the property from SF-2 and LR-CO to CS-MU to develop a new commercial uses on the site.

There is also a public restrictive covenant for this property that requires a six foot wooden fence along the eastern property line, limits the hours of operation for a business, prohibits massage parlors, requires that dumpster pickup be accessed from McNeil Road and states that the applicant shall install nine replacement trees on the property (Please see Public Restrictive Covenant: Attachment B). The applicant submitted a restrictive covenant termination application to the City on August 10, 2017. The RCT case, C14-98-0146(RCT), will be processed as a separate application and will come before the Zoning and Platting Commission and City Council in the future for review and approval.

The staff recommends LR-MU zoning because the site meets the intent of the Neighborhood Commercial District designation. The proposed LR-MU zoning will promote consistency and orderly planning as it will provide a transition in the intensity of uses away from McNeil Drive to the north. Neighborhood Commercial zoning is compatible with the school/day care use to the west and the single family residential uses to the north and east of the site. LR-MU zoning will low intensity commercial, office and civic uses that will provide services for residential development to the north and east of the property under consideration.

The applicant agrees with the City Council’s motion at 1st reading.

**DATE OF FIRST READING:** October 19, 2017

**ACTION:** Approved GR-MU zoning on 1st reading only (8-3, A. Alter, L. Pool, K. Tovo-No); J. Flannigan-1st, P. Renteria-2nd.

**CITY COUNCIL DATE:** December 7, 2017

**CITY COUNCIL ACTION:**

**ASSIGNED STAFF:** Sherri Sirwaitis

**PHONE:** 512-974-3057

sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov
ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2017-0042 (12602 Blackfoot Trail)  Z.A.P. DATE: June 6, 2017
DISTRICT AREA: 6  July 18, 2017
ADDRESS: 6610 McNeil Drive/12602 Blackfoot Trail  August 15, 2017
OWNER/APPLICANT: Abraham Birgani
AGENT: Shaw Hamilton Consultants (Shaw Hamilton)

ZONING FROM: LR-CO, SF-2  TO: CS-1-MU*  AREA: 0.57 acres

*On May 16, 2017, the applicant submitted a letter amending the rezoning request to CS-MU.

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff’s recommendation is to grant LR-MU, Neighborhood Commercial-Mixed Use Combining District, zoning.

ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

6/06/17: Postponed to July 18, 2017 at the applicant’s request on consent (10-0, D. Breithaupt-absent); A. Aguirre-1st, S. Lavani-2nd.

7/18/17: Postponed to August 15, 2017 at the neighborhood’s request on consent (10-0, Y. Flores-absent); S. Lavani-1st, A. Denkler-2nd.

8/15/17: Approved maintaining SF-2 zoning for the existing Tract 1 and GR-MU-CO zoning for the existing Tract 2, with the following conditions for Tract 2: 1) Prohibit Alternative Financial Services, Automotive Washing (of any type), Bail Bond Services, Drop-Off Recycling Collection Facility, Medical Office-exceeding 5,000 sq. ft. gross floor area, Medical Offices-not exceeding 5,000 sq. ft. gross floor area, Outdoor Entertainment, Pawn Shop Services, Service Station, Congregate Living, Guidance Services, Hospital Services (General), Hospital Services (Limited), Residential Treatment and Drive-In Services and 2) maintain the condition from Ordinance No. 9907722-46 that, “Pedestrian and vehicular traffic associated with a non-residential use on the Property may not access Blackfoot Trail.” (7-0, D. Breithaupt, B. Evans, S. Lavani and S. Trinh-absent); J. Duncan-1st, A. Aguirre-2nd.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The property in question is currently developed with a vacant commercial structure and adjoining single family residence. The site is surrounded by single family residential uses to the north and east and a day care use (Stepping Stone) to the west. The tracts of land to the south, across McNeil Drive, are undeveloped. In 1999, this property was rezoned from SF-1 to SF-2 (Tract 1) and SF-1 to LR-CO (Tract 2) through zoning case C14-98-0146. The ordinance requires a solid fence along the eastern property line, limits any building on the site being taller than 20 ft. in height, restricts pedestrian and vehicular access on Blackfoot Trail and prohibits seventeen uses on the site (Please see Ordinance No. 9907722-46: Attachment A). In this case, the applicant is requesting a rezoning of the property from SF-2 and LR-CO to CS-MU to develop a new commercial uses on the site.
There is also a public restrictive covenant for this property that requires a six foot wooden fence along the eastern property line, limits the hours of operation for a business, prohibits massage parlors, requires that dumpster pickup be accessed from McNeil Road and states that the applicant shall install nine replacement trees on the property (Please see Public Restrictive Covenant: Attachment B). The applicant submitted a restrictive covenant termination application to the City on August 10, 2017. The RCT case, C14-98-0146(RCT), will be processed as a separate application and will come before the Zoning and Platting Commission and City Council in the future for review and approval.

The staff recommends LR-MU zoning because the site meets the intent of the Neighborhood Commercial District designation. The proposed LR-MU zoning will promote consistency and orderly planning as it will provide a transition in the intensity of uses away from McNeil Drive to the north. Neighborhood Commercial zoning is compatible with the school/day care use to the west and the single family residential uses to the north and east of the site. LR-MU zoning will low intensity commercial, office and civic uses that will provide services for residential development to the north and east of the property under consideration.

The applicant does not agree with the staff’s recommendation.

**ISSUES:**

On November 2, 2017, an adjacent property owner submitted a second petition for this rezoning case. In this petition, the adjacent property owner is opposed to any zoning other than LR-MU-CO zoning or GR-MU-CO zoning that would permit the sale of alcohol as an accessory to a Food Sales use, that would permit the sale of alcohol as an accessory to a General Retail Sales (Convenience) use and that would permit a Restaurant (General) use on the property. The staff received additional signatures from other surrounding property owners for this petition on November 14, 2017 (Please see Petition B Submittal - Attachment F). The staff has evaluated this second petition and found that it is currently valid at 38.47%.

On May 8, 2017, the staff received a petition from adjacent property owners who are opposed to any zoning classification other than the current LR-CO and SF-2 zoning on the site (Please see Petition A Submittal - Attachment C). The GIS staff evaluated the petition and found that it is currently invalid at 12.43%.

The excerpt below is from the City of Austin’s Land Development Code and explains when the City Council is subject to the three-fourths vote.

**Sec. 25-2-284 REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL BY THREE-FOURTHS OF COUNCIL.**

(A) The affirmative vote of three-fourths of the members of Council is required to approve a proposed rezoning if:

1. the Land Use Commission recommends denial of an application to rezone property to a planned unit development; or
2. the proposed rezoning is protested in writing by the owners of not less than 20 percent of the area of land:
   (a) included in the proposed change; or
   (b) immediately adjoining the area included in the proposed rezoning and extending 200 feet from the area.
EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>LAND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>LR-CO, SF-2</td>
<td>Vacant Commercial Building, Single Family Residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>SF-2</td>
<td>Single Family Residences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>Undeveloped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>Single Family Residences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Day Care (Stepping Stone School)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AREA STUDY: N/A

WATERSHED: Rattan Creek

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: N/A

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

- Austin Heritage Tree Foundation
- Bike Austin
- Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
- Homeless Neighborhood Association
- Indian Oaks Neighborhood Association
- Northwest Austin Coalition
- SELTEXAS
- Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group
- TNR BCP-Travis County Natural Resources

CASE HISTORIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-2016-0123</td>
<td>I-RR to GR</td>
<td>1/17/17: Approved staff’s recommendation of GR-CO zoning, with CO to prohibit with additional conditions to prohibit Automotive Rentals Automotive Repair Services Automotive Sales, Automotive Washing (of any type), Bail Bond Services, Commercial Off-Street Parking, Drop Off Recycling Facility, Exterminating Services, Funeral Services, Pawn Shop Services Research Services, Service Station, with additional conditions to prohibit Hospital Services, Alternative Financial Services, and Drive-In Services uses and to include a public</td>
<td>1/26/17: Approved Zoning and Platting Commission’s recommendation of GR-CO zoning, with conditions, on 1st reading on consent (11-0); D. Garza-1st, P. Renteria-2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case No.</td>
<td>Zoning Request</td>
<td>Status and Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| C14-2015 0136 (6914 McNeil Dr.) | I-RR to GR | 11/17/15: Postponed at the applicant’s request to December 15, 2015 (10-0); A. Denkler-2nd, B. Evans-2nd.  
12/15/16: Postponed at the applicant’s request to January 5, 2016 (7-0, D. Breithaupt, Y. Flores, S. Harris-absent); B. Evans-1st, S. Lavani-2nd.  
01/05/16: Postponed at the applicant’s request to January 19, 2016 (8-0, S. Lavani-absent, J. Goodman-arrived late); T. Webber-1st, A. Denkler-2nd.  
01/19/16: Postponed at the applicant’s request to February 2, 2016 (10-0); T. Webber-1st, S. Lavani-2nd.  
02/02/16: Approved applicant’s request for an indefinite postponement (10-0); J. Goodman-1st, J. Kiolbassa-2nd.  
Case expired on July 31, 2016 in accordance with LDC Section 25-2-246(A)(2). |
| C14-2012-0099 (Myers Zoning Change: 6810 McNeil Drive) | I-SF-2 to CS GR | 11/06/12: Approved GR-CO zoning (6-0, G. Rojas-absent); P. Seeger-1st, J. Meeker-2nd, with the following conditions 1) Limit the development intensity for the site to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day, 2) Prohibit the following uses as offered by the applicant: Automotive Repair Services, Automotive Washing (of any kind), Bail Bond Services, Commercial Off-Street Parking, Drop-Off Recycling Collection Facility, Exterminating Services, Food Sales, Funeral Services.  
11/08/12: Approved GR-CO zoning on consent on 1st reading (6-0, S. Cole-off dais); L. Morrison-1st, M. Martinez-2nd.  
12/13/12: Approved GR-CO zoning on consent on 2nd/3rd readings (7-0), L. Morrison-1st, B. Spelman-2nd. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-2008-0098</td>
<td>SF-3 to LI</td>
<td>7/01/08: Approved staff's rec. of LI-CO zoning, with added condition to prohibit Adult Oriented Businesses, by consent (6-0, J. Martinez, K. Jackson-absent) T. Rabago-1st, J. Shieh-2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12205 Pecan Street)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/07/08: Approved LI-CO district zoning, with the following conditions: 2,000 vtpd, prohibit Adult Oriented Businesses and 35-feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the centerline of Pecan Street through a street deed, by consent (7-0); B. McCracken-1st, S. Cole-2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-2008-0095</td>
<td>SF-3 to LI</td>
<td>7/01/08: Approved staff's rec. of LI-CO zoning, with added condition to prohibit Adult Oriented Businesses, by consent (6-0, J. Martinez, K. Jackson-absent) T. Rabago-1st, J. Shieh-2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Jekel Rezoning:</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/07/08: Approved LI-CO district zoning, with the following conditions: 2,000 vtpd, prohibit Adult Oriented Businesses and 35-feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the centerline of Jekel Circle through a street deed, by consent (7-0); B. McCracken-1st, S. Cole-2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12214 Jekel Circle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-2008-0069</td>
<td>I-RR to MF-3</td>
<td>6/17/08: Approved SF-6-CO district zoning with conditions of: Restricting to no duplexes, multi-family, town homes or condominiums; Maximum of 30 units; 25' undisturbed vegetative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IABA Phase-1:</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/08: Approved the ZAP Commission's rec. of SF-6-CO zoning, with restrictions as follows: no attached single family dwellings and 35' height limitation with exceptions allowed under the Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12460 Los Indios Trail)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Action Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-03-0107</td>
<td>SF-4A to P</td>
<td>8/26/03: Approved staff’s recommendation of ‘P’ zoning by consent (8-0, K. Jackson-absent); J. Martinez-1st, J. Gohil-2nd.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| C14-03-0074  | SF-6-CO to GO                     | 6/3/03: Approved GO-CO zoning, with the following conditions:  
1) Limit the development intensity on the site to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day.  
2) Allow GO, General Office district, zoning height (60 feet) and impervious cover (80%) standards. Limit all other site development regulations to LO, Limited Office district, zoning standards.  
3) Permit LO district uses (prohibit the following GO district uses): Business or Trade School, Business Support Services, College and University Facilities, Congregate Living, Hospital Services (General), Hospital Services (Limited), Private Secondary Education Facilities, Off-Site Accessory Parking, Personal Services, Restaurant (Limited);  
4) Provide a 25-foot setback (buffer zone) from the North property line for the area that is zoned SF-1.  
5) Provide a 25-foot setback from the East property line for the area that is zoned SF-1 and the lot zoned SF-2. |
| C14-03-0059  | SF-3 to CS                        | 5/6/03: Approved staff’s rec. of CS-CO zoning with condition to prohibit the following uses:  
- Dron-off Recycling |
<p>|              |                                  | 6/5/03: Approved CS-CO (7-0); all 3 readings |
|              |                                  | 7/23/09: Approved SF-6-CO zoning on consent on 2nd/3rd readings (7-0); Morrison-1st, Martinez-2nd. |
|              |                                  | 9/25/03: Granted ‘P’ (7-0); all 3 readings |
|              |                                  | 7/17/03: Granted GO-CO; prohibiting vehicle access to Lobelia Dr. (7-0); all 3 readings |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Facility</th>
<th>3/22/01: Approved GO-CO zoning, with the following conditions: 660 vehicle trip limit and 40 foot height limit (7-0); all 3 readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-00-2218 (6514 McNeil Drive) I-RR to GO</td>
<td>2/13/01: Approved staff rec. of GO-CO by consent (9-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-99-0095 SF-3 to CS</td>
<td>6/29/99: Approved staff’s alternate rec. of CS-CO (8-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7/29/99: Approved PC rec. of CS-CO (6-0); all 3 readings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RELATED CASES:** C14-98-0146 (Previous Zoning Case)

**ABUTTING STREETS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within 1/4 mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McNeil Drive</td>
<td>100 ft</td>
<td>60 ft</td>
<td>MAD 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackfoot Trail</td>
<td>60 ft</td>
<td>40 ft</td>
<td>Neighborhood Collector</td>
<td>Yes – Eastern Side Only</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CITY COUNCIL DATE:** August 3, 2017

**ACTION:** Postponed to August 31, 2017 at the staff’s request by consent (11-0); D. Garza-1st, G. Casar-2nd.

**August 31, 2017**

**ACTION:** Postponed to October 5, 2017 at the request of the applicant on Council Member Renteria’s motion, Council Member Troxclair’s second on an 11-0 vote.

**October 5, 2017**

**ACTION:** Postponed by the City Council to October 19, 2017 (11-0); J. Flannigan-1st, O. Houston-2nd.

**October 19, 2017**

**ACTION:** Approved GR-MU zoning on 1st reading only (8-3, A. Alter, L. Pool, K. Tuvo-No); J. Flannigan-1st, P. Renteria-2nd.

**December 7, 2017**

**ORDINANCE READINGS:** 1st 10/19/17

**ORDINANCE NUMBER:**

**CASE MANAGER:** Sherri Sirwaitis

**PHONE:** 512-974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov
ZONING
Case#: C14-2017-0042

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by the Planning and Zoning Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

Created: 4/19/201
STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The staff's recommendation is to grant LR-MU, Neighborhood Commercial-Mixed Use Combining District, zoning.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. *The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.*

   Neighborhood commercial (LR) district is the designation for a commercial use that provides business service and office facilities for the residents of a neighborhood. Site development regulations and performance standards applicable to a LR district use are designed to ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with the residential environment.

   The purpose of a mixed use (MU) combining district is to allow office, retail, commercial, and residential uses to be combined in a single development.

   The property in question is located at the entrance to a residential neighborhood at the northwest corner of McNeil Drive and Blackfoot Trail.

2. *The proposed zoning should promote consistency and orderly planning.*

   The proposed LR-MU zoning will promote consistency and orderly planning as it will provide a transition in the intensity of uses (from low intensity commercial to single-family uses) away from McNeil Drive to the north. The proposed zoning is compatible with the surrounding residential uses located to the north and east of the site.

3. *The proposed zoning should allow for a reasonable use of the property.*

   The proposed LR-MU zoning will allow for neighborhood friendly commercial, office and civic uses that will provide services for single-family residential neighborhood to the north of this site.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Characteristics

The site under consideration is developed with a vacant commercial/retail structure and a single family residence. The site is surrounded by single family residences to the north and east and a day care use (Stepping Stone) to the west. The tracts of land to the south, across McNeil Drive, are undeveloped.

Comprehensive Planning

SF-2 (Tract 1) and LR-CO (Tract 2) to CS-1

This zoning case is located on the northwest corner of McNeil Drive and Blackfoot Trail, on a parcel that is approximately .57 acres in size and contains a one story commercial building, which is vacant. The property is not located within the boundaries of a neighborhood planning area. Surrounding land uses includes a single family house to the north; vacant land to the south; a small house to the east; and a small private school to the west. The proposal is to obtain a commercial zoning designation.
which would permit liquor sales and remove the existing CO. The existing CO limits any building on the site being taller than 20 ft. in height; restricts pedestrian and vehicular access on Blackfoot Trail; and prohibits 17 uses on the site, including but not limited to: general retail, restaurants; congregate living; convenience store; and gas stations.

**Connectivity:** Public sidewalks are located intermittently along McNeil Drive. There is a CapMetro transit stop located within three quarters of a mile from this site. The Walkscore for this property is 33/100, meaning most errands require a car.

**Imagine Austin**
The site is not located within an Activity Center or along an Activity Corridor. Based on the comparative scale of the site relative to nearby commercial uses located along McNeil Drive, this case falls below the scope of Imagine Austin, which is broad in scope, and consequently the plan is neutral on the proposed rezoning. However, staff highly recommends that the developer install a public sidewalk along McNeil Drive to ensure there is defined pedestrian walkway on this side of the street.

**Environmental**
The site is located over the North Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Rattan Creek Watershed, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. It is in the Desired Development Zone.

According to floodplain maps there is no floodplain within or adjacent to the project location.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

Trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development’s requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires water quality control with increased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm on site.

At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals that preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

**Impervious Cover**
The maximum impervious cover allowed by the CS-1 zoning district would be 95%. However, because the watershed impervious cover is more restrictive than the zoning district's allowable impervious cover, the impervious cover is limited by the watershed regulations.
Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Classification</th>
<th>% of Gross Site Area</th>
<th>% of Gross Site Area with Transfers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family Residential</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(min. lot size 5750 sq. ft.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or Two Family Residential</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(lot size &lt; 5750 sq. ft.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Residential</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site Plan**

Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.

Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540 feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.

Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Standards and Mixed Use. Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.

**Compatibility Standards**

The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the north, east, and west property lines, the following standards apply:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- A landscape area at least 25 feet wide is required along the property line. In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.
- For a structure more than 100 feet but not more than 300 feet from property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive, height limitation is 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet of distance in excess of 100 feet from the property line.
- An intensive recreational use, including a swimming pool, tennis court, ball court, or playground, may not be constructed 50 feet or less from adjoining SF-3 property.
- A landscape area at least 25 feet in width is required along the property line if the tract is zoned LR, GO, GR, L, CS, CS-1, or CH.

Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

**Stormwater Detention**

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in stormwater runoff will be mitigated through on-site
stormwater detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program if available.

**Transportation**

The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan calls for a total of 140 feet of right-of-way for McNeil Drive. If the requested zoning is granted, then 70 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the existing centerline of McNeil Drive in accordance with the Transportation Plan. [LDC, Sec. 25-6-51 and 25-6-55].

*On July 31, 2017, the Transportation reviewer, Katie Wettkick, sent the applicant a memo from the Austin Transportation Department which defers any ROW dedication to the time of site plan review (Please see Attachment D).*

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that access to McNeil Drive be prohibited as a condition of zoning because 200 feet of frontage is required for a site to have access to a major arterial.

**On July 5, 2017 the DSD Transportation review staff sent an e-mail stating that the comment regarding access to McNeil Drive can be deferred to time of site plan (Please see Attachment E).**

According to the Austin 2014 Bicycle Plan approved by Austin City Council in November, 2014, a bike lane is recommended for McNeil Drive.

Per Ordinance No. 20170302-077, off-site transportation improvements and mitigations may be required at the time of site plan application.

A Traffic Impact Analysis shall be required at the time of site plan if triggered per LDC 25-6-113. LDC, Sec. 25-6-113.

A Neighborhood Traffic Analysis is deferred to time of site plan. At time of site plan a neighborhood traffic analysis will be required if triggered per LDC 25-6-114. LDC, Sec. 25-6-114.

- If the projected number of vehicle trips generated by the project exceeds the vehicle trips per day generated by existing uses by at least 300 vehicle trips per day;

**Existing Street Characteristics:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McNeil Drive</td>
<td>100 ft</td>
<td>60 ft</td>
<td>MAD 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackfoot Trail</td>
<td>60 ft</td>
<td>40 ft</td>
<td>Neighborhood Collector</td>
<td>Yes – Eastern Side Only</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Water and Wastewater**

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by Austin Water for compliance with City criteria and suitability for operation and maintenance. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.
ORDINANCE NO. 990722-46

AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 25-2 OF THE CITY CODE AS FOLLOWS:

TWO TRACTS OF LAND OUT OF THE INDIAN OAKS 2 SUBDIVISION IN WILLIAMSON COUNTY, FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE LARGE LOT (SF-1) DISTRICT TO SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE STANDARD LOT (SF-2) DISTRICT AND NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL-CONDITIONAL OVERLAY (LR-CO) COMBINING DISTRICT, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 12602 BLACKFOOT TRAIL, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The Zoning Map established by Chapter 25-2-191 of the City Code is amended to change the base zoning districts on the property described in File C14-98-0146, as follows:

Tract 1: From Single Family Residence Large Lot (SF-1) district to Single Family Residence (SF-2) district.

All of the north 64 feet of Lot 2, Indian Oaks 2 Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Williamson County, Texas, according to the map or plat of record in Cabinet J, Slide 264, of the Official Records of Williamson County, Texas,

Tract 2: From Single Family Residence Large Lot (SF-1) district to Neighborhood Commercial-Conditional Overlay (LR-CO) combining district.

Lot 2, less the north 64 feet of Lot 2, Indian Oaks 2 Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Williamson County, Texas, according to the map or plat of record in Cabinet J, Slide 264, of the Official Records of Williamson County, Texas, (the “Property”)

locally known as 12602 Blackfoot Trail, in the City of Austin, Williamson County, Texas, and as more particularly identified in the map attached as Exhibit “A”.
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PART 2. The property identified as Tract 2 within the boundaries of the Conditional Overlay combining district established by this ordinance is subject to the following conditions:

1. Owner shall provide a solid fence along the property line that begins at the corner of the business structure attached to the house, go east towards Blackfoot Trail, then south towards McNeil Road.

2. Notwithstanding any other provision of the City Code applicable to the Property on the effective date of this ordinance or at the time an application for approval of a site plan or building permit is submitted, no site plan for development of the Property, or any portion of the Property, may be approved or released, and no building permit for construction of a building on the Property, may be issued if the completed development or uses authorized by the proposed site plan or building permit, considered cumulatively with all existing or previously authorized development and uses of the Property, generates traffic exceeding the total traffic generation of 1,000 vehicle trips per day.

3. No structure of any kind may be built to a height greater than 20 feet above ground level on the Property.

4. The following uses of the Property are prohibited:

   - Consumer Convenience Services
   - Food Sales
   - General Retail Sales (General)
   - Bed and Breakfast (Group 1 and 2)
   - Restaurant (Limited)
   - Congregate Living
   - Community Recreation (Public)
   - Guidance Services
   - Residential Treatment

   - Consumer Repair Services
   - General Retail Sales (Convenience)
   - Pet Services
   - Restaurant (Drive-In, Fast Food)
   - Service Station
   - Community Recreation (Private)
   - Counseling Services
   - Hospital Services

5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic associated with a non-residential use on the Property may not access Blackfoot Trail.

Except as specifically restricted under this ordinance, Tract 2 may be developed and used in accordance with the regulations established for the Neighborhood Commercial (LR) base district and other applicable requirements of the City Code.
PART 3. The Council waives the requirements of Sections 2-2-3, 2-2-5, and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 4. This ordinance takes effect on August 2, 1999.

PASSED AND APPROVED

July 22, 1999

Kirk Watson
Mayor

APPROVED: Andrew Martin
City Attorney

ATTEST: Shirley A. Brown
City Clerk
Zoning Case No. C14-98-0146

RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

OWNER(S): ABRAHAM BIRGANI

ADDRESS: 12602 Blackfoot Trail, Austin, Texas

CONSIDERATION: Ten and No/100 Dollars ($10.00) and other good and valuable consideration paid by the City of Austin to the Owner, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged

PROPERTY: Lot 2, less the north 64 feet of Lot 2, Indian Oaks 2 Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Williamson County, Texas, according to the map or plat of record in Cabinet I, Slide 264, of the Official Records of Williamson County, Texas,

WHEREAS, the Owner of the Property and the City of Austin have agreed that the Property should be impressed with certain covenants and restrictions.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is declared that the Owner of the Property, for the consideration, shall hold, sell and convey the Property, subject to the following covenants and restrictions impressed upon the Property by this restrictive covenant. These covenants and restrictions shall run with the land, and shall be binding on the Owner of the Property, its heirs, successors, and assigns.

1. Owner shall provide a six foot high wooden fence that begins at the corner of the business structure attached to the house, go east towards Blackfoot Trail, then south towards McNeil Road.

2. The hours of operation for any business located on the Property is restricted as follows.
   Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.
   Saturday from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.
   Sunday, closed for business

3. Massage parlor establishments are prohibited as a use of the Property.

4. Commercial waste pick-up services shall be from McNeil Road.

5. Owner shall provide and install nine replacement trees on the Property.

6. If any person or entity shall violate or attempt to violate this agreement and covenant, it shall be lawful for the City of Austin to prosecute proceedings at law or in equity against such person or entity violating or attempting to violate such agreement or covenant, to prevent the person or entity from such actions, and to collect damages for such actions.

7. If any part of this agreement or covenant is declared invalid, by judgment or court order, the same shall in no way affect any of the other provisions of this agreement, and such remaining portion of this agreement shall remain in full effect.
8. If at any time the City of Austin fails to enforce this agreement, whether or not any violations of it are known, such failure shall not constitute a waiver or estoppel of the right to enforce it.

9. This agreement may be modified, amended, or terminated only by joint action of both (a) a majority of the members of the City Council of the City of Austin, and (b) by the owner(s) of the Property subject to the modification, amendment or termination at the time of such modification, amendment or termination.

When the context requires, singular nouns and pronouns include the plural.

EXECUTED this the 22 day of July, 1999.

OWNERS:

[Signature]
ABRAHAM BIRGANI

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature]
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the 22nd day of July 1999, by ABRAHAM BIRGANI.

[Signature]
Notary Public, State of Texas

exp. 3-11-2001
**PETITION**

**Case Number:**
C14-2017-0042

**Date:**
7/13/2017

**Total Square Footage of Buffer:**
219055.5379

**Percentage of Square Footage Owned by Petitioners Within Buffer:**
12.43%

Calculation: The total square footage is calculated by taking the sum of the area of all TCAD Parcels with valid signatures including one-half of the adjacent right-of-way that fall within 200 feet of the subject tract. Parcels that do not fall within the 200 foot buffer are not used for calculation. When a parcel intersects the edge of the buffer, only the portion of the parcel that falls within the buffer is used. The area of the buffer does not include the subject tract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCAD ID</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Petition Area</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R322630</td>
<td>6702 MCNEIL DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729</td>
<td>6702 MCNEIL LTD</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065469</td>
<td>12604 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729-7703</td>
<td>BIRGANI</td>
<td>ABRAHAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065374</td>
<td>7005 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729-7742</td>
<td>FEHR</td>
<td>E SCOTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065372</td>
<td>7009 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729</td>
<td>GALAVIZ ABEL &amp; MARIA R (LE) &amp; REVCABLE LIVING TRUST</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065371</td>
<td>7011 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729-7742</td>
<td>JACKSON</td>
<td>JANIE S &amp; ROBERT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R322627</td>
<td>1910 JUSTIN LN</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78757-2492</td>
<td>PAVER FAMILY ENTERPRISES LP</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% PS BUSINESS PARKS INCAttn: Assistant Vice President-A/D701 WESTERN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R066560</td>
<td>AVEGLENDALECA91201</td>
<td>PS BUSINESS PARKS LP</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>20336.50</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTN: BRIAN HURLEY% HRPT PROPERTIES TRUST9 GALEN ST STE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R405452</td>
<td>400WATERTOWNMA2472-4522</td>
<td>RESEARCH PARK PROP TRUST</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>28823.08</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065367</td>
<td>12607 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729-7704</td>
<td>ROBERTS</td>
<td>DAVID ALAN &amp; PAMELA JO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065364</td>
<td>12605 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729</td>
<td>SIEBELINK</td>
<td>DAVID &amp; ROBIN F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065373</td>
<td>7006 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729-7741</td>
<td>TRENHOLM</td>
<td>JOHN D &amp; LINDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R065367</td>
<td>12603 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTINTX78729-7704</td>
<td>ZARCHI</td>
<td>MEHDI &amp; ELHAM TARKASHVAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Attachment C"
PETITION

Date: May 5, 2017
File Number: C14-2017-0042

Address of Re-zoning Request: 6610 McNeil Drive/12602 Blackfoot Trail

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than LR·CO/SF-2.

We protest the requested zoning change because the change (1) would permit the sale of alcohol from a property adjacent to a daycare and neighborhood residences, (2) is unnecessary to permit reasonable business uses of the property, (3) would not satisfy any public need, (4) would set an undesirable precedent for other properties in the neighborhood surrounding the property, (5) would not allow for a reasonable use of the property, (6) would not promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses and would result in detrimental impacts to the neighborhood character, (7) would not promote a transition between adjacent and nearby zoning districts, (8) would not promote the policy of locating retail near the intersections of arterial roadways, and (9) would not promote any clearly identified community goal.

SIGNATURE  PRINTED NAME  ADDRESS

Rhonda Paver  Rhonda Paver  1910 Justin Lane
John Trenholm  John Trenholm  7006 S. Sioux
Glen McGuire  Glen McGuire  12607 Blackfoot Trl
David A. Roberts  David A. Roberts  12607 Blackfoot Trl
Pamela S. Roberts  Pamela S. Roberts  12607 Blackfoot Trl

c  sean felix  sean felix  7875 s. reynolds
C. D. Stephens  Chad Stephens  7010 S. Sioux Tel
Gail King  Gail King  7008 S. Sioux  7872
Maria Galaviz  Abel Galaviz  7009 S. Sioux  7872

Date: LINDA TRENHOLM  LINDA TRENHOLM

Contact Name: Jay Clendenin  Phone Number: 903-744-0406  LINDA TRENHOLM  7007 South Sioux  7872
June 1, 2017

Planning & Zoning Dept.
c/o Ms. Sherri Sirwaitis – Case Manager
505 Barton Springs Rd.
Austin, TX 78704

Re: Case Number: 2017-042740 ZC or C14-2017-0042; Zoning/Rezoning

Dear Ms. Sirwaitis,

After discussions with the property owner, Mr. Birgani, where he indicated he would not seek a zoning classification that allows for the sale of alcohol, we withdraw our formal opposition to the pending application.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Paver
MEMORANDUM

TO: Rodney Gonzales, Director, Development Services Department

FROM: Rob Spillar, PE, Director, Austin Transportation Department

DATE: July 26, 2017

SUBJECT: 6610 McNeil Drive (C14-2017-0042) ROW Waiver Request

The 6610 McNeil Drive zoning case (C14-2017-0042) concerns the property located along the northwest curb of McNeil Drive and Blackfoot Trail. The existing Right-of-Way (ROW) along McNeil Drive in this section is approximately 100 feet and exists as a MAD4.

In accordance with the City of Austin’s Land Development Code (LDC) §25-6-55, Dedication of Right-of-Way, the City may determine that all or a portion of Right-of-Way is needed to accommodate the estimated traffic generated by a proposed development based on LDC §25-6-51, Reservation of Right-of-Way. The 2025 Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan (AMATP) is the City’s adopted “Transportation Plan” mentioned in LCD §25-6-51.

The AMATP designates this section of McNeil Drive to be expanded to a Major Arterial Divided 6-lane roadway (MAD6), with a required ROW of 140 feet. This is a standard cross-section in the Transportation Criteria Manual (TCM) for a MAD6. By these standards, the applicant would normally be required to dedicate 70 feet from the centerline of McNeil Drive.

The applicant may be granted an administrative variance from the dedication requirements under the Austin City Code Section 25-6-86;

(A) The director may grant a variance from the dedication requirements of Section 25-6-55 (Dedication of Right-of-Way) if the director determines that the requirements:

(1) place an undue hardship on the property owner because of special circumstances applicable to the property; or

(2) render the property unsuitable for an economically feasible use.

Recommendation:
Austin Transportation Department (ATD) staff did not find the dedication requirements placed an undue hardship on the property or render the property unsuitable for an economically feasible use. The Director has determined that 57 feet of ROW dedication is required from the existing centerline of McNeil Drive to accommodate the required ROW for a MAD 4 at 114 feet, however the Director has determined that Right of Way dedication is deferred site plan.

CC: Annick Beaudet, Cole Kitten, Danielle Morin, Andrew Linseisen, Sangeeta Jain, Katie Wettick

Delivering a safe, reliable, and sustainable transportation system that enhances the environment and economic strength of the region.
Sirwaitis, Sherri

Subject: FW: Requesting official city staff meeting for rezoning Case number C14-2017-0042

From: Wettick, Katie
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2017 9:07 AM
To: Sirwaitis, Sherri; Shaw Hamilton; cyrus_birgani
Cc: Jain, Sangeeta
Subject: RE: Requesting official city staff meeting for rezoning Case number C14-2017-0042

Good Morning Shaw,

TR2, regarding access to McNeil Drive can be deferred to time of site plan. I will edit my comments to reflect that, just keep in mind, that should this site come in for development with a site plan, there is not sufficient frontage for a driveway to be located on McNeil.

Additionally, as I have explained previously, the ROW dedication requirement is determined by the Austin Transportation Department. I have forwarded them your waiver request and I will be in touch as soon as I hear back.

Please let me know if you have additional questions.

Best,

Katie Wettick
Planner III, Transportation Review
City of Austin Development Services Department
One Texas Center, 4th Floor
505 Barton Springs Road
Office: 512-974-3529

From: Sirwaitis, Sherri
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2017 9:17 AM
To: Shaw Hamilton; cyrus_birgani@
Cc: Wettick, Katie; Jain, Sangeeta
Subject: RE: Requesting official city staff meeting for rezoning Case number C14-2017-0042

Hi Shaw,

If you and your client have issues with the prohibition of access to McNeil Drive or with the dedication of right-of-way for McNeil Drive, then you will need to discuss this with the Transportation reviewer. These conditions came from the Transportation review division in the Development Services Department. I am not at liberty to change them in the staff’s recommendation. As we discussed before, you may meet with Katie Wettick or Sangeeta Jain to see if these conditions can possibly be deferred.

Transportation Planning - Katie Wettick - 512-974-3529
TR1. The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan calls for a total of 140 feet of right-of-way for McNeil Drive. If the requested zoning is granted, then 70 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the existing centerline of McNeil Drive in accordance with the Transportation Plan. [LDC, Sec. 25-6-51 and 25-6-55].

TR2. If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that access to McNeil Drive be prohibited as a condition of zoning because 200 ft of frontage is required for a site to have access to a major arterial.

TR3. According to the Austin 2014 Bicycle Plan approved by Austin City Council in November, 2014, a bike lane is recommended for McNeil Drive.

TR4. Per Ordinance No. 20170302-077, off-site transportation improvements and mitigations may be required at the time of site plan application.

TR5. A Traffic Impact Analysis shall be required at the time of site plan if triggered per LDC 25-6-113. LDC, Sec. 25-6-113.

TR6. A Neighborhood Traffic Analysis is deferred to time of site plan. At time of site plan a neighborhood traffic analysis will be required if triggered per LDC 25-6-114. LDC, Sec. 25-6-114.

- If the projected number of vehicle trips generated by the project exceeds the vehicle trips per day generated by existing uses by at least 300 vehicle trips per day;

TR7. Existing Street Characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McNeil Drive</td>
<td>100 ft</td>
<td>60 ft</td>
<td>MAD 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackfoot Trail</td>
<td>60 ft</td>
<td>40 ft</td>
<td>Neighborhood Collector</td>
<td>Yes – Eastern Side Only</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you,

**Sherri Sirwaitis**
City of Austin
Planning & Zoning Department
sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov
512-974-3057(office)

**From:** Cyrus Birgani 
**Sent:** Saturday, July 01, 2017 1:51 PM
**To:** Sirwaitis, Sherri
**Cc:** Cyrus Birgani; Shaw Hamilton
**Subject:** Requesting official city staff meeting for rezoning Case number C14-2017-0042

**Date:** June 29th, 2017
To: The Case Manager Sherri Sirwaitis,

Zoning case# C14-2017-0042  
Case manager: Sherri Sirwaitis  
Phone# (512) 974-3057

From: The Property Owner  
Abraham Birgani  
Phone# (512) 998-2525

Owner Consultants:  
Shaw Hamilton  
Phone# (512) 791-0778

For the third time, I would like to set up a meeting with you and city staff prior to your final presentation of the ZAP to the planning commission on July 14th, 2017. I do not understand the basis for your zoning recommendation, nor do I understand why LDC, Sections 25-6-51 and 25-6-55 apply to me since I am not submitting a new site plan or subdivision plan. Even if your rezoning recommendation was acceptable to me, it would be worthless with the restriction on access from McNeil and a taking of an additional 20 feet of my property. Because of my confusion, I have consulted with a seasoned attorney who recommended that we meet before July 14th, 2017. I do not want to proceed with this process without professional assistance and want to avoid a legal dispute. We have made some progress in this case, and I am hopeful that we can resolve the remaining issues in a constructive manner that best serves the interests of all parties concerned. I look forward to your prompt response so we can schedule a meeting.

Sincerely,

Abraham Birgani  
512-998-2525
**PETITION**

**Date:** 11/15/2017

**Total Square Footage of Buffer:** 219055.5379

**Percentage of Square Footage Owned by Petitioners Within Buffer:** 38.47%

---

**Calculated:** The total square footage is calculated by taking the sum of the area of all TCAD Parcels with valid signatures including one-half of the adjacent right-of-way that fall within 200 feet of the subject tract. Parcels that do not fall within the 200-foot buffer are not used for calculation. When a parcel intersects the edge of the buffer, only the portion of the parcel that falls within the buffer is used. The area of the buffer does not include the subject tract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TC ID</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Petition Area</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>6702 MCNEIL DR</td>
<td>6702 MCNEIL LTD</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1131.25</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>12604 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td>BIRGAMI J. ABRAHAM</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>21045.84</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>7005 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td>FEHR</td>
<td>E SCOTT</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>10462.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>7009 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td>GALAVIZ ABEL &amp; MARIA R LE &amp; REVOCALE LIVING TRUST</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>6075.02</td>
<td>2.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>7011 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td>JACKSON JANIE S &amp; ROBERT</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1597.14</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>1910 JUSTIN LN</td>
<td>PAYER FAMILY ENTERPRISES LP</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>55440.53</td>
<td>25.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>400WATERTOWNMA02472-4522</td>
<td>ATTENTION: BRIAN HURLEY% JRPT PROPERTIES TRUST9 GALEN ST STE</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>20336.50</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>12607 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td>RESEARCH PARK PROP TRUST</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>28823.08</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>12605 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td>ROBERTS DAVID ALAN &amp; PAMELA JO</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>3577.07</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>7006 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td>SIEBELINK</td>
<td>DAVID &amp; ROBIN F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>12362.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>7006 S SIOUX TRL</td>
<td>TRENHOLM JOHN D &amp; LINDA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>7121.81</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>12603 BLACKFOOT TRL</td>
<td>ZARCHI MEHDI &amp; ELHAM TARKASHVAND</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>33718.09</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201691.93</td>
<td>38.47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

Date: October 21, 2017
File Number: C14-2017-0042
Address of Re-zoning Request: 6610 McNeil Drive/12602 Blackfoot Trail

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest any change of the Land Development Code that would zone the property to any classification other than LR-MU-CO or GR-MU-CO with the conditional overlay prohibiting alcohol sales as an accessory use to a food sales use, prohibiting alcohol sales as an accessory use to a general retail sales (convenience) use, and prohibiting a restaurant (general) use.

We protest the requested zoning change because the change (1) would permit the sale of alcohol from a property adjacent to a daycare/private education facility for infants, toddlers, and children, (2) would permit the sale of alcohol from a property adjacent to neighborhood residences, (3) is unnecessary to permit reasonable business uses of the property, (4) would not satisfy any public need, (5) would set an undesirable precedent for other properties in the neighborhood surrounding the property, (6) would not promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses, (7) would result in detrimental impacts to the character of the neighborhood, (8) would not promote a transition between adjacent and nearby zoning districts, and (9) would not promote any clearly identified community goal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>PRINTED NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhonda Paver</td>
<td>Rhonda Paver</td>
<td>6616 McNeil Dr. Austin, TX 78729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On behalf of Paver Family Enterprises, LP corporate address: 1910 Justin Lane, Austin, TX 78757</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Jackson</td>
<td>Robert L. Jackson</td>
<td>12607 Blackfoot Tr 78727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen McGuire</td>
<td>Glen McGuire</td>
<td>12607 Blackfoot Tr, TX 7872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Gutierrez</td>
<td>Carol Gutierrez</td>
<td>2004 8510x Tr 78727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Jackson</td>
<td>Robert Jackson</td>
<td>7011 S. Sion Tr 7872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contact Name: Jay Ciendenin
Phone Number: 903-744-0406
November 16, 2017

Planning & Zoning Dept.
c/o Ms. Sherri Sirwaitis – Case Manager
505 Barton Springs Rd.
Austin, TX 78704

Re: Case Number: 2017-042740 ZC or C14-2017-0042; Zoning/Rezoning

Dear Ms. Sirwaitis and Members of the City Council,

Enclosed please find an Amended Petition to be added to the case file referenced above. We formally request that our support (and signature) for the previous petition, dated October 21, 2017, be withdrawn and replaced by the attached November 15, 2017 version. It is my understanding that more signatures on this petition will be gathered by Mr. Clendenin, the HOA representative, in order to validate it.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Paver
AMENDED PETITION

Date: November 15, 2017
File Number: C14-2017-0042
Address of Re-zoning Request: 6610 McNeil Drive/12602 Blackfoot Trail

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest any change in zoning that would permit or allow the sale of alcohol in any form.

We support City staff’s recommendation to zone the property LR-MU-CO or GR-MU-CO and request the conditional overlay prohibiting alcohol sales as an accessory use to a food sales use, prohibiting alcohol sales as an accessory use to a general retail sales (convenience) use, and prohibiting a restaurant (general) use.

We protest the requested zoning change because the change (1) would permit the sale of alcohol from a property adjacent to a daycare/private education facility for infants, toddlers, and children, (2) would permit the sale of alcohol from a property adjacent to neighborhood residences, (3) in regards to the sale of alcohol near a child care center, would result in detrimental impacts to the character of the neighborhood, and (4) in regards to the sale of alcohol near a child care center, would not promote any clearly identified community goal.

SIGNATURE: Rhonda Paver, on behalf of:

PRINTED NAME: Stepping Stone School – 6616 McNeil Dr., 78729

ADDRESS: Paver Family Enterprises, LP – 1910 Justin Ln., 78757
Dear Sherri,

Mr. Shaw Hamilton my consultant has forward your email to me. Unfortunately I read that you and staff recommending LR-MU-CO for both lots. For your information this is one lot not two lots which unfairly divided into two worthless tracts during last rezoning about 19 years ago. I CAN NOT accept any zoning less than CS-MU due to fact that I need to open my business at my property. I hope you take time and review them carefully with other staff member and understand my situation and treat me like other properties owner around me which they have rezoned their property base on their businesses needs. I will be there to bring you a hard copy of the attached file and discuss it more with you.

Sincerely,

Abraham Birgani
To: The Case Manager Sherri Sirwaitis, City of Austin staff and planning commission

Zoning case# C14-2017-0042
Case manager: Sherri Sirwaitis
Phone# (512) 974-3057

From: The Property Owner
Abraham Birgani
Phone# (512) 998-2525

Owner Consultants:
Shaw Hamilton
Phone# (512) 791-0778

Subject: Rezoning of lot2 of Indian oaks 2 subdivision from LR-CO/SF2 to CS-MU

The Lot2 of Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision has two addresses:
1. Address from McNeil Drive side: 6610 McNeil Dr. Austin TX. 78729
2. Address from Blackfoot Trail side: 12602 Blackfoot Trial Austin TX. 78729

Please see following very important information about the property (lot2):

1. Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision is in Williamson County Texas
2. Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision is comprised of 4 properties (or four lots): Lots 1, 2, 3 & 4. Please see Page 8 for this legal document
   a. For your convenience, I highlighted the lot 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Indian Oakes Subdivision on the notice of Case # C14-2017-0042 for lot2. Please Page 12
3. Since 1985, all four lots (Lots 1, 2, 3 & 4) of Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision are no longer restricted to be used for residential purposes only and may be used for any lawful purpose. There is not any deed restriction on any of the four lots of Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision. Please see page Pages 9 and page 10 for this legal document
Over 27 years ago on December of 1989, I had purchased Lot2 for developing it for commercial use. Prior to purchasing the property from the previous owners, Mr. Richard A. Bouton and Mrs. Diane C. Bouton, I required them to correct the error on the property (Lot2)'s building line to allow me with enough space for a commercial building and parking lot. Please see Page 11 for this legal document.

1990 - I received a site plan exemption from the City of Austin because my property (Lot2) was in Williamson County. I also received electrical and plumbing permits from the City of Austin as well.

1991 - I hired a contractor and started construction of a 25 feet by 60 feet commercial building on the Lot2 for commercial use.

1991 to 1994 - The hired contractor abandoned the commercial building project before completion. Unfortunately, this caused major delay in completing the project. I was forced to take the contractor to court for my losses, which I won, but the contractor filed bankruptcy before I could collect on the ruling. However, I managed to complete the building project by myself.

1995 to 1996 – I met with Mr. Carl McClendon, Mr. Shaw Hamilton from City of Austin and Mr. Joe England from Williamson County to obtain a permit for constructing a commercial parking lot for my completed commercial building on Lot2.

1997 (during first quarter) – Sales tax permit issued, merchandise (Persian rugs/carpet) received and beauty salon license issued.

1996 to early 1997 – Searched and hired IT Gonzales Civil Engineer to draw site plan for commercial parking lot and water quality filtration/retention system.

July 1997 – Permit for waste water line approved and installed on the property by City of Austin.

December 1st, 1997 - The site plan approved by the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission was received.
December 31st, 1997 – Approved site plan for parking lot and water filtration/retention system on Lot2 in the Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision, City of Austin.

December 1997 – Hired a contractor for construction of parking lot and water quality filtration/retention system on my property (lot2) per the approved site plan of City of Austin.

December 31st, 1997 - City of Austin annexed Indian Oakes 2 Subdivision and other properties in nearby Indian Oakes Subdivision. **Please note, these are two different subdivisions.**

Prior to annexation the neighborhood did not have the rights to stop my commercial development. It appears to me after annexation the City of Austin took my rights away to develop my property as I see fit and gave it to the neighborhood.

Although my commercial building project was 100% completed a few years prior to annexation, on March 20th, 1998 while I was more than 40% completed with the parking lot and the water filtration/retention system, the project was stopped due to neighborhood complaints by City of Austin code enforcer, Paul Tomasovic.

Unfortunately, in the following weeks of stopping my project by the neighbors and code enforcer Paul Tomasovic from the City of Austin, the city revoked all my approved permits and site plans forcing me to rezone my property.

In 1998, I forced to submit an application for GR land development code for all of Lot 2. During the rezoning process, David Sullivan from the zoning planning commission divided my property into two tracts (tract 1 and tract 2) against my wishes, which made my property worthless. Mayor of Austin Kirk Watson, sided with the neighborhood and my GR land development codes were denied and I was instead given a SF2 for tract 1 and the highly restricted LR-CO for tract 2 with very few options. Additional restrictions including building height and hours of operation were also imposed.

The outcome of these heavy restrictions made my property worthless, causing great monetary loss and emotional stress rendering my property
useless to me and my purpose for owning and purchasing this property during the last 27 years.

During the past 27 years, I was able to lease the property for a total of six years. From 2002 to 2005 it was leased to Salon for Kids and from 2008 to 2011 it was leased to Thrifty Nifty (sales of second hand household items.) For more than 21 years, the building has been vacant. The money I have made off the property has barely been enough to cover the taxes and repair on the building.

I am a Mechanical engineer with many years of experience in equipment engineering. I would love to use my equipment background and run a business on my property offering those types of services but the restrictions under the LR-CO land development code does not allow me to open anything in my field. This would in include repair and services, such as AC, Auto, electronic prototype assembly, equipment testing, equipment repair services and equipment sales all prohibited under the LR-CO code. The CS-MU land development codes would allow me to run my business from my property and there is a property within 200 feet of my property that recently zoned by City of Austin to CS-CO. Therefore, like everyone else, I have to have CS-MU zoning to open my own business.

Currently in 2017, there are commercial properties within 200 feet of lot 2 in the Indian Oakes 2 subdivision. These properties are zoned with the following land development codes:

a. W/LO-CO (Case# C14-2011-0046, DAC International) This is Lot 4 of Indian Oakes 2 subdivision.

b. CS-CO (Case# C14-98-0060, Johnson Custom Pools and ADCO Printing Company)

c. LI across form the property Fashion Forms factory, Ubox, Glover Logistics and SabRex, Megladon and Research park (Flex corporation, Cisco system, Nokia, FCOA, Flex, HPI, Canon, Liberty mutual RW incorporated, Aurigo, New port and many others) Cisco system, Nokia

d. Lot 3 of Indian Oakes 2 subdivision is Stepping Stone private daycare center.
As you see, I am asking for CS-MU which is very compatible with the businesses surrounding Lot 2.

Within a 1 mile area of the property Lot 2 along McNeil Rd. there are more than 50 established businesses, such as:

a. Auto-related businesses > BMW major car dealer/part/service, Lamb Auto, Advanced Auto Parts, Car Caliber Collision and Foundation auto repair
b. Convenience stores with gas station> HEB grocery, Wag-A-Bag, Circle K,
c. Major Grocery> HEB Grocery with gas station
d. Banks > Wells Fargo
e. Restaurants > Sonic, Jack in the Box, Firehouse Subs, Wendys, Cajuan Pizza place and Smokey Mo’s BBQ
f. Bars > The Tank bar and grill
g. Liquor store> DEBS liquor
h. Pet Shop > Balconi Animal Hospital, Taurus Academy
i. Car Wash > County Car Wash
j. Major storage> Extra storage
k. Many medical offices
l. Fence factory

Although I am in the Indian Oakes 2 subdivision, since 1997 I have been trying very hard to work with the Indian Oakes subdivision, which is a separate neighborhood, to address their concerns regarding the zoning of my property. What I have presented in this documentation is based in fact and reality. All neighborhood prejudice aside, it is time to put an end to what appears to be a compelling drama. The Indian Oakes Neighborhood Association is deplorable. They have hindered my efforts to rezone my property into something viable for me.

Please see the following two examples of prejudice from the Indian Oakes Neighborhood Association toward me:
a. The property, Case# C14-98-0060, in Indian Oakes subdivision, the size of this property is also twice the size of mine. This undivided
property, which has been rezoned with CS-CO, is fully supported by the same neighborhood association that has opposed the rezoning of my property. What is the logical reasoning behind this prejudice and opposition? Please see Page 13.

b. Lot 4 of Indian Oakes 2 subdivision, Case# C14-2011-0046, the size of this property is almost twice the size of mine. This undivided property, which has been rezoned with W/LO-CO, is fully supported by the same neighborhood association that has opposed the rezoning of my property. What is the logical reasoning behind this prejudice and opposition? Please see Page 14.

27 In April 2017, I have submitted an application for rezoning of my property (Lot 2), Case# C14-2017-0042, from LR-CO & SF2 to CS1-MU. I am asking the present City of Austin staff, planning commission, and city council members to treat me fairly like the commercial property owners around me, which you have rezoned recently and in past. Please correct the previous unfair zoning of my property and remove all other restrictions including limited height of building, hours of business operations and reinstate my original right to my property, which I could use it for any viable and lawful business purposes.

28 On May 4th, 2017, I met with the neighborhood to discuss my previous intent to rezone my property to CS1-MU. One of the allowed business options under CS-1 zoning is alcohol sales, which was their primary concern and focus of discussion. I stated to the neighborhood association during that meeting that I would reconsider CS1-MU zoning and would accept CS zoning instead, which does not allow alcohol sales. The CS-MU zoning code change has already been submitted to the City of Austin case manager, and is now in the process of informing everyone about our agreement to this change.

29 Please I have additional information, pictures and documents for support in rezoning of Lot 2 in Indian Oakes 2 subdivision to CS-MU.

30 Please use this following link for a satellite of the businesses around Lot 2 of Indian Oakes 2 subdivision along McNeil Dr. Please copy/past the following link to your google search see for yourself.
https://www.google.com/maps/place/6610+McNeil+Dr,+Austin,+TX+78729/@30.4389222,-97.7559712,96m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x8644cd1c4fd10981:0x8deecf8fcdc391!8m2!3d30.4389376!4d-97.7559621

Sincerely,
Abraham Birgani,
12602 Blackfoot Trail Austin Texas 78729
Phone# 512-998-2525
Please note: the last paragraph in this legal document clearly states that since 29 days of April, 1985, all restrictions on all LOTS including LOTS 1, 2, 3 & 4 of Indian Oaks 2 subdivision have been removed and the property is no longer restricted to be used for residential purposes only and may be used for any lawful purpose.

NOW THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND 00/100 DOLLARS ($10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the undersigned agree that the restrictions imposed upon the property are hereby amended and modified to provide that the property is no longer restricted to use for residential purposes only and may be used for any lawful purpose.
On 10-23-1989, building lines on Lots 2, 3, and 4 of Indian Oaks 2 Subdivision was corrected and after this correction, I purchased the lot 2 for developing it for commercial use.
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov/planning.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2017-0042
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: June 6, 2017, Zoning and Platting Commission
August 3, 2017, City Council

ABEL GALAVIZ

Your Name (please print)

7009 S. SIOUX TRAIL

Your address(es) affected by this application

06/01/2017

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512-338-7082

Comments: REZONING WOULD BE IMPRACTICAL AND NOT A GOOD THING TO DO FOR OUR PEACEFUL COMMUNITY/NEIGHBORHOOD. ALL SORTS OF ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC WOULD MAKE OUR NEIGHBORHOOD LESS DESIREABLE TO LIVE IN. AS WE UNDERSTAND, THIS INDIVIDUAL WANTS TO OPEN A LIQUOR STORE THERE. THE STEPPING STONE SCHOOL IS EXACTLY RIGHT NEXT DOOR. NOT GOOD.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Zoning Department
Sherri Sirwaitis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
REQUEST FOR POSTPONEMENT
TO CITY COUNCIL

Date: August 23, 2017

To: Honorable Mayor Steve Adler
and Honorable Members of the Austin City Council
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767-1088

Case Manager Sherri Sirwaitis,
Zoning case# C14-2017-0042
Phone# (512) 974-3057
Sherri.sirwaitis@Austintexas.gov

From: The Property Owner
Abraham Birgani
Phone# (512) 998-2525
Cyrus_birgani@yahoo.com

Dear Honorable Mayor Adler, Distinguished Council members and Ms. Sirwaitis,

On August 15, 2017, the Planning and Zoning Commission heard the above reference case and made a very creative and complex recommendation based upon the unique problems in my case.

First, my property consists of one building, the front part facing McNeil and the other side extending onto Blackfoot Trail. The property is located in Williamson County and was zoned entirely commercial with other 3 lots of Indian Oaks 2 Subdivision until the City of Austin annexed it in 1997 and drew an artificial property line through the middle of the building and imposed impossible conditions
on the property rendering it commercially unfeasible. Due to such restrictions, it remained vacant for most of the past 20 years.

The main concern in the Planning and Zoning hearing was the issue of access from Blackfoot Trail. This issue was resolved with a recommendation agreed to by all parties to prohibit any access from or business activity on Blackfoot Trail. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended zoning the front part of the building entirely facing McNeil, (approximately 1500 square feet), as GR with restrictions, but recommended the back portion (approximately 2388 square feet), of the same building as SF-2, which obviously prohibits any commercial use, even storage or offices, within the back portion of the same building, regardless of the fact that:

1. It will not have any business activity on Blackfoot Trail
2. It has the aesthetic of a residential property.
3. On Eastside, the two property owners immediately across from the Blackfoot side 12603 Blackfoot trail and 12605 Blackfoot Trail are not in opposition to commercial zoning of the extension of the building along Blackfoot Trail
4. On the Northside, 12604 Blackfoot trail property is belonging to myself (Abraham Birgani) and I am not in opposition of commercial rezoning.
5. On the Westside, private daycare is not in opposition to commercial zoning of the extension of the building along Blackfoot trail.
6. Not anyone of the property owners adjacent to the property for rezoning is in opposition of rezoning and total of 87.57% property effected by this rezoning either supporting or not in opposition.

The current Planning Commission recommendation still makes the property commercially unfeasible. But to make a proper presentation to this honorable council, I need to consult with a real estate appraiser to conduct an appraisal on the property with the current zoning recommendation and to conduct a cost analysis. Unfortunately, because of the high demand for appraisers and the time it will take to render a cost analysis, I am not able to properly inform this council of the relevant facts by August 31, 2017. I am certain that the Austin City Council would like to have all the pertinent facts before conducting a first reading.
Second, the Zoning Change Review Sheet of August 15, 2017 still provides the following recommendation: “In addition, if the requested zoning change is granted, then 57 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the existing centerline of McNeil Drive to accommodate the required ROW for a Major Arterial Divided 4-lane roadway at 114 feet.” This was included despite assurances by staff that this provision was superseded by the ATD Memorandum of July 26, 2017, that provided in part: “. . . the Director has determined that the right of-way dedication is deferred site plan.” Third, even with this modification, significant problems remain in the event that a new site plan must be submitted due to zoning changes or environmental issues. Finally, this issue in long run will cause the following issue for me which I need more time to resolve them with ATD:

1. The extensive concrete drainage/flood control system adjacent to Blackfoot required by FEMA and the City of Austin in the original site plan was designed to use the existing square footage of the entire parking area for overflow. The drainage/flood control system can only drain water at a fixed rate. Reducing the square footage of the parking area would require move to move the existing building back at least another 7 feet at a cost approaching $1,000,000.00.

2. This drainage/flood control system (and parking lot overflow) was required as a part of his site plan. In 2002, Birgani built this system, costing approximately $300,000.00. Please refer to the approved site plan permit number: SP-99-2171C, dated, 11/10/99.

3. ROW requirement would encroach 7 feet into the parking lot. This would eliminate one entire row of parking.

4. The compatibility standards also provide in part, “No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.” Because the approved, existing drainage/flood containment structures are within 25 feet of the property line, which was approved by the city in 1999, this requirement must be removed or waived.
5. The compatibility standards also provide in part, "No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line." Please note that these standards apply only to driveways along the north, of the property, the existing driveway off McNeil is on the south of the property, therefore this standard does not apply. Even if it did, the original site plan allowed the placement of the existing driveway off McNeil within 25 feet of the property line, presumably as a part of the engineered drainage system, the imposition of this standard would also create similar problems to those addressed above.

I proposed a compromise: a ROW agreement of 50 feet from the center of McNeil Drive to the existing McNeil curb of his property, thus allowing me to preserve my existing parking and drainage/overflow and allowing the city and state to expand McNeil by another lane. Despite numerous phone calls and emails, there has been no response to proposal form ATD.

In short, it is my opinion that the imposition of these conditions is not only unreasonable, but constitute a regulatory taking of my property. I need some time to work with Austin transportation department to resolve this issue too.

Accordingly, I am respectfully request just one postponement for the first reading from August 31\textsuperscript{st}, 2017 to October 5\textsuperscript{th}, 2017. I look forward to your response. Also, please confirm that you receive my request and is accepted by you. Thank you!

Respectfully submitted,

Abraham Birgani

[Signature]

08/23/2017
PROPERTY OWNER:
Abraham Byard
12602 Blackfoot Trail
Austin, Texas 78729
(512)231-5666

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
Lot 2, Indian Oaks 2, part of the Wm. J. Baker Survey #10,
Recorded in Plat Records, Cabinet J, Slide 254, of Williamson
County, Texas.

SITE PLAN NOTES:
1. Total site is 25,039 or 0.5748 acres.
2. Total area being developed is 17,289 S.F. = 0.397 acres.
3. Existing Impervious Cover
   a. 1-Story Bldg.- 3088 s.f. 15.53%
   b. Concrete Flat work- 1072 s.f. 4.29%
   Total Impervious Cover 4,160 s.f. 19.82%
4. Impervious Cover to Remain and New Concrete Pavement
   a. 1 Story Bldg.- 3088 s.f. 15.53%
   b. Existing Conc. Flat work- 962 s.f. 3.92%
   c. New Pavement- 7851 s.f. 31.36%
   d. New Conc. Flat work- 91 s.f. 0.36%
   Total Impervious Cover 12,881 s.f. 51.17%
5. Increase In Impervious Cover 7651 s.f. 31.36%
6. Limits of Construction (within property lines) - 13,130 s.f.

GARBAGE PICKUP: At Curb Side

RELATED CASES: NONE

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES:
1. All new concrete work shall be constructed with 3000 psi concrete.
2. All excavated surplus material shall be hauled off by Contractor to approved Landfill.
3. All disturbed and regraded permeable areas shall be finished with 3" of approved fertile topsoil. Some areas shall be hydromulched. Watering will be done by Contractor.
   See re-vegetation notes on Sht. C5.
4. All pavement & sidewalk surfaces shall be cord-trawled & finished with a medium coarse brush. Other exposed surfaces i.e., vertical surfaces & curbs shall be grouted with a sand/cement mix & finished with a rubber float.
5. All finished concrete work shall be immediately sealed with a curing compound.
6. Following completion of concrete drive, the contractor shall barricade drive to assure that vehicular traffic does not enter drive. Barricades shall be maintained for 7 days.
7. Upon completion of the proposed site improvements and prior to the release of the certificate of occupancy or final inspection release by the city, the design engineer shall certify in writing that the proposed drainage and detention facilities were constructed in conformance with the approved plans.
Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2017-0042  
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057  
Public Hearing: June 6, 2017, Zoning and Platting Commission  
August 3, 2017, City Council

Your Name (please print): Trudy Boss

Your address(es) affected by this application:

Trudy Boss

Signature: Trudy Boss

Date: 6-2-17

Daytime Telephone: 512-335-7227

Comments:

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin  
Planning & Zoning Department  
Sherri Sirwaitis  
P.O. Box 1088  
Austin, TX 78767-8810
Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

| Case Number: C14-2017-0042 | I am in favor  
| Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057 | object  
| Public Hearing: June 6, 2017, Zoning and Platting Commission |  
| August 3, 2017, City Council |  

**Your Name (please print)**

CAROL E. GUTIERREZ

**Your address(es) affected by this application**

7004 S. SIOUX TRAIL

**Signature**

Carol E. Gutierrez  5-30-2017  Date

**Daytime Telephone:** 512-554-6836

**Comments:** WILL BRING TOO MUCH TRAFFIC TO THIS AREA. IT'S A BAD INTERSECTION TO BEGIN WITH.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Zoning Department
Sherri Sirwaitis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
Rezoning Application of Abraham Birgani

Case No.: C14-2017-0042
6610 McNeil Road/
12602 Blackfoot Trail

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
LOT 2 OF INDIAN OAKS 2 SUBDIVISION
Property consists of one **building**, the front part facing McNeil and side extending onto Blackfoot Trail located in Williamson County and has been **commercialized** since 1985.

When purchased in **December 1989**, it was zoned entirely commercial.

Until the City of Austin **annexed it early January 1998**.

Prior to annexation, Mr. Birgani had all the permits and Austin city approved site plan, consisting of elaborate drainage structure and parking lot— **Site plan permit #SP-97-0439D**.
INDIAN OAKS 2
SUBDIVISION CREATED
IN 1977 & IT has 4 LOTS
( LOT1, LOT2, LOT3, & LOT4 )

➢ COMMERCIAL
ZONED SINCE 1985
➢ MR. BIRGANI OWNS
LOTS 1 & LOT 2
INDIAN OAKS 2 SUBDIVISION IS CREATED IN 1977 AND CONSIST OF 4 LOTS (LOT1, LOT2, LOT3 AND LOT4)

- SINCE 1985 ALL 4 LOTS COMMERCIALIZED

- MR. BIRGANI OWNS LOT1 & LOT2

- AUGUST, 2000 LOT 2 WAS DIVIDED BY CITY OF AUSTIN IN TWO TRACTS (TRACT1 & TRACT2)
1985- The undersigned agree that the restriction imposed upon the property are hereby amended and modified to provide that the property is no longer restricted to use for residential purpose only and may be used for any lawful purpose.
March, 1998, Unbeknownst to Mr. Birgani, city zoned entire property SF-1 (residential), and red-tagged his entire business, stopped construction, revoked all his permits and approved site plan #SP-97-0439D

Faced with tremendous financial loss, Mr. Birgani was compelled to enter an onerous zoning agreement with city:

1. City drew artificial property line through part of building and forced him to submit another site plan which was approved 3 years later - (The site plan # SP-99-2171C)

2. Zoned front portion (1416 SF) as LR-CO and back portion (2380 SF), 64 feet from north of property line as SF2. Also imposed with impossible conditions in restrictive covenant and in Ordinance No. 9907722-46), PROHIBITING
ADJACENT LOT PURCHASED BY BIRGANI
12604 BLACKFOOT (LOT-1)

ORIGINAL BUILDING ENTIRELY ZONED COMMERCIAL FORCED BY CITY TO BE DIVIDED INTO TWO TRACTS ZONED AS LR-CO
WITH IMPOSSIBLE CONDITIONS LOT2
17 restrictions imposed on LR-CO

1. Consumer Convenience Services
2. Food Sales
3. General Retail Sales
4. Bed and Breakfast
5. Restaurant
6. Congregate Living
7. Community Recreation
8. Guidance Services
9. Residential Treatment
10. Consumer Repair Services
11. General Retail Sales (Convenience)
12. Pet Services
13. Restaurant (Drive-In, Fast Food)
14. Service Station
15. Community Recreation (Private)
16. Counseling Services
17. Hospital Services
ADDITIONALLY, RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS WERE IMPOSED ON JULY 22, 1999

The most onerous restriction imposed “hours-of-operation restriction:

- Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m.
- Saturdays – 8:00 a.m. until 12:00 noon
  - Sunday - CLOSED
- Due to such extreme restrictions, it remained vacant for 14 of 20 past years.
MR. BIRGANI FILED AN APPLICATION FOR TERMINATION OF THESE RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS ON JULY 26, 2017, WHICH IS STILL PENDING

REGARDING ORDINANCE RESTRICTIONS (No. 9907722-46), MR. BRIGANI OBJECTS TO ALL OF THE RESTRICTIONS EXCEPT THE PROHIBITION ON ACCESS FROM BLACKFOOT FOR COMMERCIAL USE.
PLANNING AND ZONING RECOMMENDATION – AUGUST 15TH
LEFT BUILDING DIVIDED WITH TWO DIFFERENT ZONING CLASSIFICATIONS

- MAINTAINED ARTIFICIAL DIVISION OF BUILDING AND REZONED BACK HALF OF BUILDING AS SF-2 (RESIDENTIAL)
- REZONED FRONT PORTION OF BUILDING FACING McNeill (1416 SQUARE FEET) AS GR-MU-CO WITH OVERLAY CONDITIONS PROHIBITING:

1. Prohibit Alternative Financial Services
2. Automotive Washing (of any type)
3. Bail Bond Services
4. Drop-Off Recycling Collection Facility,
5. Medical Office-exceeding 5,000 sq. ft. gross floor area
6. Medical Offices-not exceeding 5,000 sq. ft. gross floor area,
7. Outdoor Entertainment
8. Pawn Shop Services
9. Service Station,
10. Congregate Living
11. Guidance Services
12. Hospital Services (General),
13. Hospital Services (Limited),
14. Residential Treatment and Drive-In Services
15. maintain the condition from Ordinance No. 9907722-46 that, “Pedestrian and vehicular traffic associated with a non-residential use on the Property may not access Blackfoot Trail.”

(7-0, D. Breithaupt, B. Evans, S. Lavani and S. Trinh-absent); J. Duncan-
1st, A. Aguirre-2nd.
ZAP RECOMMENDATION LEAVES PROPERTY COMPLETELY INACCESSIBLE

ITEM 15 ABOVE STATES:

“maintain the condition from Ordinance No. 9907722-46 that, “Pedestrian and vehicular traffic associated with a non-residential use on the Property may not access Blackfoot Trail.”

HOWEVER

ON PAGE 11 OF AGENDA BACKUP: STAFF REPORT – 20171005-045, IT STATES:

“If requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that access to McNeil Drive be prohibited as a condition of zoning because 200 feet of frontage is required for site to have access to a major arterial.”
Zoning Change Review Sheet - August 15, 2017 provides: “In addition, if the requested zoning change is granted, then 57 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the existing centerline of McNeil Drive to accommodate the required ROW for a Major Arterial Divided 4-lane roadway at 114 feet.”

This provision was superseded by the ATD Memorandum of July 26, 2017, that provided in part: “. . .the Director has determined that the right-of-way dedication is deferred site plan.”
ZAP RECOMMENDATION DESTROYS COMMERCIAL FEASIBILITY OF PROPERTY BECAUSE 1- MAINTAINING DIVISION OF LOT 2, 2-ADDITIONAL R.O.W. AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARDS LANDSCAPE AREA AT LEAST 25 FEET WIDE REQUIREMENT FOR LR, GO, GR, L, CS, CS1 OR CH ELIMINATES ALL BUT 5 PARKING SPACES, REDUCES FILTERATION PONDS AND REDUCES EXISTING BUILDING

• Property is located in Rattan Creek Watershed – 65% impervious restriction applies
• When building was constructed, Lot 2 was undivided and property fell within 65% restriction
• Forced division of Lot 2 rendered Tract 2 - 92% developed because it contains the building, parking lot, and water drainage system
• If division of Lot 2 is maintained, submission of new site plan will require Mr. Birgani to reduce his impervious area by 27%, eliminating all but 5 parking spaces (including 1 handicapped) and would require him to reduce building from 1416 to 882 square feet
• This will cost Mr. Birgani more than $400,000.00 to comply
MR. BIRGANI ABSOLUTLEY AGREES THAT THERE WILL BE NO ACCESS FROM BLACKFOOT BECAUSE as long as property access from McNeil not blocked by transportation in future:

1. IT WOULD IMPEDE TRAFFIC FLOW ON BLACKFOOT AND IS NOT CONSISTANT WITH NEIGHBORHOOD

2. IT IS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE DUE TO DRAINAGE SYSTEM
CURRENT RECOMMENDATION STILL MAKES PROPERTY COMMERCIALLY INVIVABLE

- RESTRICTIONS ON MEDICAL OFFICES, PAWN SHOPS, AND ALTERNATIVE FINANCIAL SERVICES

- LIMITING COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISE TO 1416 SQUARE FEET MAKES PROPERTY UNPROFITABLE AND RENDERS VALUE OF PROPERTY PRACTICALLY WORTHLESS AS COMMERCIAL PROPERTY
- TOTAL AREA OF LOT2 = 24,829 SF
- AREA OF TRACT 1 = 9,664 SF
- AREA OF TRACT 2 = 15,164
- AREA OF R.O.W, FILTERATION PONDS AND LANDSCAPING = 7,388 SF
- AREA OF TRACT 2 = 7,777 SF
- AREA OF 27% REDUCTION = 2,100 SF
- SQUARE FEET AREA FOR BUILDING, PONDS AND PARKING LOTS = 5,677 SF
- SMALL BUILDING, 5 PARKING SPACES AND ADDITIONAL COST OF $400,000
MR. BIRGANI SUFFERS A PROFIT LOSS UNDER CURRENT RECOMMENDATION WITH IMPOSITION OF ADDITIONAL RIGHT-OF-WAY

GROSS RENTAL INCOME ON NOW 882 SQUARE FEET (at $1.75 per square foot per month based upon data from commercial realtor):

$18,522.00

GROSS EXPENSES:

$19,729.00

PROFIT

MINUS $1,207.00
UNDER CURRENT RECOMMENDATION (WITHOUT RIGHT-OF-WAY) --- MR. BRIGANI’S MINIMAL PROFIT RENDERS PROPERTY COMMERCIALY INVIVABLE

GROSS RENTAL INCOME ON 1416 SQUARE FEET (at $1.75 per square foot based upon data from commercial realtor)

GROSS EXPENSES:

$19,729.00

PROFIT

$10,007.00

(If the property was rented every day of year with no major repair and no increase in taxes and insurance)
BY EXTENDING THE GR-MU-CO INTO ALL BUT THE BACK 30 FEET OF THE PORTION OF THE BUILDING ZONED SF-2, MR. BRIGANI BEGINS TO MAKE PROPERTY PROFITABLE

GROSS RENTAL INCOME ON WITH EXTENDING GR-MU-CO 2,580 SQUARE FEET (at $1.75 per square foot based upon data from commercial realtor)

$54,180.00

GROSS EXPENSES:

$22,000.00

PROFIT

$32,180.00