ZONING STAFF REPORT

CASE: C14-78-220 (RCT) - Montopolis – Ben White Subdivision

P.C. DATE: October 25, 2016
November 8, 2016
December 13, 2016
January 10, 2017
June 27, 2017
July 11, 2017
August 8, 2017
September 12, 2017
October 24, 2017

ADDRESS: 6700 & 6800 E. Ben White Blvd and 2601 Montopolis Drive

AREA: 18.61 acres

DISTRICT: 3

OWNER: Ocampo Partners Ltd

APPLICANT: Coats Rose (John Joseph)

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AREA: Montopolis Neighborhood Planning Area

REQUEST: Terminate Public Restrictive Covenant as it relates to this property.

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Terminate Restrictive Covenant.

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

OCTOBER 25, 2016: POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 8, 2016 AT THE REQUEST OF THE MONTOPOPILS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION ON CONSENT, VOTE 12-0 [J. SCHISSLER, P. SEEGER 2nd, J. SHIEH ABSENT].

NOVEMBER 8, 2016: POSTPONED TO DECEMBER 13, 2016 BY STAFF, VOTE 12-0 [P. SEEGER, F. KAZI 2ND, A. PINEYRO DEHOYOS ABSENT].

DECEMBER 13, 2016: POSTPONED TO JANUARY 10, 2017 BY STAFF, VOTE 9-0 [P. SEEGER 1ST, T. WHITE 2ND, A. PINEYRO DEHOYOS, T. NUCKOLS, J. SHIEH ABSENT].

JANUARY 10, 2017: INDEFINATE POSTPONEMENT AT THE REQUEST OF THE APPLICANT ON CONSENT, VOTE 13-0 [N. ZARAGOZA 1ST, F. KAZI 2ND].

JUNE 27, 2017: POSTPONED TO JULY 11, 2017 BY STAFF, VOTE 7-0 [J. SCHISSLER 1ST, J. SHIEH 2ND].

JULY 11, 2017: POSTPONED TO AUGUST 8, 2017 BY THE APPLICANT, VOTE 13-0 [J. SCHISSLER 1ST, P. SEEGER 2ND].


OCTOBER 24, 2017: POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 14, 2017 BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION, VOTE 12-0 [N. ZARAGOZA 1ST, P. SEEGER 2ND, G. ANDERSON ABSENT].
DEPARTMENTAL COMMENTS:
The subject property for which this amendment is proposed, consists of 3 tracts totaling 18.61-acres located between E. Ben White Boulevard and Montopolis Drive (see Exhibit A). They were zoned industrial in 1979 with the restrictive covenant and then zoned limited industrial (LI-NP) as part of the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan adoption in 2001.

The restrictive covenant is consistent with others in the area. In 1979, many tracts in this area were zoned industrial. However, the covenants were included to provide a public process if an industrial use is proposed. That process would be the requirement of a Planned Development Area Agreement (PDA) which is approved by the City Council.

As set forth in Land Development Code Section 25-2-441, the regulations of a planned development area (PDA) may modify: 1) permitted or conditional uses authorized by the base zoning district, 2) site development regulations except for compatibility standards, and 3) off-street parking or loading regulations, sign regulations or screening regulations applicable in the base district.

The first provision of the public restrictive covenant states:

1. No use shall be maintained with respect to all or any portion of the subject property which could not be maintained if same were on property in the City of Austin which was zoned “C” Commercial, First Height and Area, under the zoning ordinance as the same existed January 4, 1979 unless and until a Planned Development Area Agreement (or Agreements) is executed by and between the then owner (or owners) of the Subject Property and City of Austin with respect to that portion of Subject Property upon which such use is to be maintained, and such Planned Development Area Agreement is recorded in the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas. As such time as a Planned Development Area Agreement is executed and recorded in accordance herewith, that portion of the Subject Property subject to such Planned Development Area Agreement shall no longer be subject to the restrictions contained in this restrictive covenant and this covenant shall be of no force and effect whatsoever with respect to such portion of the Subject Property.

Because the property was zoned LI-NP during the neighborhood planning process staff believes it is unnecessary to require a PDA as the area has been identified to provide these uses.

The termination of this covenant was previously associated with a Neighborhood Plan Amendment request and zoning change request. Those applications have been withdrawn however the property owner would like to remove the restrictive covenant which staff supports.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Scheduled for consideration December 7, 2017

CASE MANAGER: Andrew Moore PHONE: 512-974-7604
e-mail address: Andrew.moore@austintexas.gov
MONTOPOLIS - BEN WHITE RESTRICTIVE COVENANT TERMINATION

ZONING CASE#: C14-78-220 (RCT)
LOCATION: 6700 E. Ben White Blvd
SUBJECT AREA: 18.61 ACRES
GRID: L17 & L18
MANAGER: Andrew Moore

This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
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RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

THE STATE OF TEXAS: 1834 * 9,000
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

THAT WHEREAS Roberta B. Crenshaw ("Owner") is the
owner of that certain tract of land of 39.973 acres, more or
less (said 39.973 acre tract being hereinafter called the
Subject Property), in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas,
said tract being more particularly described on Exhibit A
attached hereto and made a part hereof; and,

WHEREAS, as a condition to the rezoning of the Subject
Property "DL", Office, First Height and Area, under the zoning
ordinance of the City of Austin, Texas, and for the better
development of the Subject Property, the City Council of the
City of Austin, desires that Owner execute and deliver for the
benefit of the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, the
following restrictive covenant;

NOW, THEREFORE, Owner does hereby place upon and charge
the Subject Property with the following restrictive covenant
which shall, subject to the following provisions hereof, be
deemed a covenant running with the land for the benefit of the
City of Austin and binding upon Owner, her heirs and assigns,
to-wit:

1. No use shall be maintained with respect to all or
any portion of the Subject Property which could not be maintained
if same were on property in the City of Austin which was zoned
"C" Commercial, First Height and Area, under the zoning ordinance
as the same existed January 4, 1979, unless and until a Planned
Development Area Agreement (or Agreements) is executed by and
between the then owner (or owners) of the Subject Property and
City of Austin with respect to that portion of Subject Property
upon which such use is to be maintained, and such Planned
Development Area Agreement is recorded in the Deed Records
of Travis County, Texas. At such time as a Planned Develop-
ment Area Agreement is executed and recorded in accordance
herewith, that portion of the Subject Property subject to such
Planned Development Area Agreement shall no longer be subject to the restrictions contained in this restrictive covenant, and this covenant shall be of no force and effect whatsoever with respect to such portion of the Subject Property.

2. For the purposes of this restrictive covenant the term "Planned Development Area Agreement" shall mean any agreement executed by the City of Austin and the owner or owners of land designating all or a part of such land as a "Planned Development Area."

3. If the Subject Property is hereafter divided so that it consists of or includes all or part of two or more lots, this restrictive covenant will be applied on an individual lot basis, to each respective individual lot severally. If the Subject Property is not hereafter divided so that it consists of or includes all or part of two or more lots, this restrictive covenant will apply to it as if it were all one lot (until it is so divided so that it consists of or includes all or part of two or more lots, at which time the application will be on an individual lot basis, severally as to each respective individual lot).

4. This restrictive covenant can be enforced by, and only by, the City of Austin.

5. If any person or persons shall violate or attempt to violate the foregoing restriction and covenant, it shall be lawful for the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, its successors and assigns, to prosecute proceedings at law, or in equity, against the person or persons violating or attempting to violate such restriction or covenant, and either to prevent him or them from so doing or to collect damages for such violation.

6. This restrictive covenant can be amended or revoked by joint action of the City of Austin (acting pursuant to majority vote of a quorum of the City Council of the City of Austin, or of such other governing body of said city as may succeed its City Council) and the then owner of the particular lot in question out of the Subject Property.
7. Any suit brought to interpret or enforce this restrictive covenant, or to determine the validity, as reasonable or otherwise, of any failure to refuse to issue a building permit shall be brought in a District Court in Travis County, Texas.

EXECUTED this __ day of February, 1979.

[Signature]
ROBERTA F. CRENSHAW

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared ROBERTA F. CRENSHAW, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the __ day of February, 1979.

[Signature]
NOTARY SEAL

Notary Public in and for Travis County, Texas

NANCY D. DEXTER
FIELD NOTES

FIELD NOTES FOR 39,973 acres of land out of that certain 250.4 acre survey out of the Bartlett Sims and N. E. wastewater survey on the Santiago Del Valle Grant in Travis County, Texas, which was conveyed to W. F. Friedrich by deed of record in Volume 727, Page 295, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which included land containing 39,973 acres is more particularly described by notes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron stake found in the east line of Montopolis Drive at the most northerly corner of said 250.4 acre tract;

THENCE with the northeast fence line of said 250.4 acre tract of land, the following six (6) courses:

(1) S 45 deg. 22' E 294.39 feet to an iron pipe set;
(2) S 45 deg. 41' E 357.23 feet to an iron pipe found at the most westerly corner of a tract of land conveyed to Carl N. Smith by deed of record in Volume 2487, at Page 2238, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas;
(3) S 44 deg. 56' E 126.27 feet to an iron stake;
(4) S 45 deg. 12' E at 136.80 feet pass the most southerly corner of the said Smith tract, same being the most southerly corner of a tract of land conveyed to John Joseph and Donald S. Thomas by deed of record in Volume 2203 Page 418, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, in all a distance of 270.53 feet to an iron stake;
(5) S 45 deg. 29' E 425.28 feet to an iron stake; and
(6) S 45 deg. 45' E 424.67 feet to an iron stake found at the most southerly corner of the said Joseph tract in the Northwest line of Ben White Boulevard;

THENCE with the north line of Ben White Boulevard, S 70 deg. 23' N 1731.03 feet to an iron stake found at the most southerly corner of a 5.00 acre tract of land conveyed to Dennis Bolding by deed of record in Volume 3557, Page 2229, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, same being the most southerly corner of a 23.07 acre tract conveyed to Udo Hausler by deed of record in Volume 3535, Page 2248, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas;

THENCE N 13 deg. 11' E 518.48 feet to an iron stake found at the most easterly corner of the said Bolding tract;

THENCE N 13 deg. 14' E at 372.06 feet pass an iron stake at the most easterly corner of said 23.07 acre tract of land, same being the most southerly corner of a 0.60 acre tract of land conveyed to Udo Hausler by deed of record in Volume 3524 at Page 238, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, in all a distance of 426.01 feet to an iron stake at the most easterly corner of a 0.60 acre tract;

THENCE N 55 deg. 32' W 517.54 feet to an iron stake found at the most northerly corner of said 0.60 of one acre tract in the southeast line of Montopolis Drive;

THENCE with a fence along the southeast line of Montopolis Drive the following three (3) courses:

(1) W 29 deg. 54' E 452.02 feet to an iron stake;
(2) W 30 deg. 16' E 251.74 feet to an iron stake; and
(3) W 30 deg. 06' E 231.15 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.