Addition 1. Planning.

1. **Objective.** The Manager should as soon as possible develop a proposed district level (e.g., ERC, North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan) planning process for Imagine Austin Activity Centers and Corridors susceptible to change, and include specific objectives for each plan related to achieving the goals of the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan, Austin Strategic Housing Blueprint, and other Council policy priorities, such as:
   a. regional storm water and water quality planning,
   b. parkland accessibility,
   c. utility infrastructure,
   d. walkability and connectivity,
   e. increasing opportunities for missing middle housing, and
   f. policy priorities associated with complete communities.

2. **Code Text.** The City Manager shall draft language for Council approval to codify the district level planning process and the criteria for selecting planning areas in the Land Development Code as follows:
   a. Selection of Planning Areas: Identify geographic areas along corridors throughout the city where district level planning will have maximum public benefit, paying particular attention to corridors (including streets and arterials) identified in the ASMP, Project Connect, and where construction, planning, and land acquisition with bond dollars will be applied and can be leveraged.
   b. Planning Process Criteria: Determine when district-level planning for an area is needed to align with our adopted city goals and plans, including Imagine Austin, ASHB, ASMP, Age Friendly Austin Action Plan, the upcoming Parks Master Plan, and other relevant plans. Criteria should include, but not be limited to, the following information sources:
      i. Planned transportation investments, including corridors with transportation bonds and public transit investments;
      ii. Affordable housing investments;
      iii. Significant number or scale of private development;
      iv. Market force indicators expressing need and opportunity to leverage an area’s potential or significant public investment via facilities or other infrastructure;
      v. Areas of vulnerability identified using the mapping tool from the UT Gentrification & Displacement Study, “Uprooted”; and
vi. Include consideration for inhibiting displacement, preserving cultural and historic assets, promoting multi-generational housing, and support neighborhood schools, particularly schools with under-enrollment or in areas of rapid displacement.

3. **Timeline.** Multiple planning efforts for Activity Centers and Activity Corridors should occur concurrently, with a goal of completing those most susceptible to change within 5 years.

4. **Resources.** The Council recognizes that additional resources will be required to achieve this scale of planning in this time frame, and the use of consultants should be considered to allow for multiple district-level plans to be developed in order to meet this timeline.

5. **Community Engagement.** Planning should include robust engagement of adjacent stakeholders, but also include participation from across our city, as all parts of Austin have an interest in each part of Austin contributing equitably to our goals being met. Community engagement should specifically focus on elevating the voices of populations that have historically been underrepresented in planning processes (as was done with the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan), including: youth, seniors, people of color, and people with disabilities.

6. **Triggers for Plan Updates.** Staff should explore mechanisms to trigger when a Small Area Plan is updated, such as demographic changes or infrastructure improvements, and return to Council with recommendations.