

MULTIFAMILY REBATE FACT SHEET LAMAR PLACE

Property Name	Lamar Place (Standard) & Lamar Place Emily Building (Income Qualified)					
Customer Name	TEXCEL LP LLC					
Property Address	6309 Burns Street Austin, TX 78752					
Year Built	1972					
Average Rent per Floor Plan ¹	1 BR \$1079; 2 BR \$1341					
Number of Rentable Units ¹	174					
Housing Type ²	154 market rate units and 20 income qualified units					
Water Heater Type	Electric					
Electric Utilization Intensity (EUI)	11.03					
Average Electric Utilization Intensity for cohort ³	10.66 kWh/sqft					
Project and Rebate						
Total Project Costs	\$81,110 (this project) + \$85,000 (previous project) = \$166,110 (Combined)					
Total Rebate – Not to Exceed	\$75,810 (this project) + \$60,939 (previous project) = \$136,749 (Combined)					
% of Total Construction Costs	93.5% (this project); 71.7% (previous project); 82.3% (Combined)					
Rebate per Unit	\$436 (this project) + \$350 (previous project) = \$786 (Combined)					
Project Annual Savings at 100% Occupancy	22 (this project) . 147 (provious project) 170 (Combined)					
Kilowatt (kW) Saved - Estimated	32 (this project) + 147 (previous project) = 179 (Combined)					
Kilowatt-hours (kWh) - Estimated \$/kW - Estimated	153,328 (this project) + 259,338 (previous project) = 412,666 (Combined) \$2,276 (this project); \$414 (previous project); \$764 (Combined)					
Annual Dollar Savings Per Customer - Estimated ⁴	\$82 (this project) + \$140 (previous project) = \$222 (Combined)					
Scope of Work ⁵						
Measure	Rebate	kW Saved –	k\//h	Saved –		Average Annual
	Amount	Estimated		imated	\$/kW	Dollar Savings
Building – E (Low Income ⁶)	7 WITOGITE	Estimated	250	matou		Per Customer
Smart Thermostats ⁷	\$3,500	1.74	9,980		\$2,009	\$47
HVAC Tune-up	\$6,000	1.90	7,389		\$3,159	\$35
Adjustment Based On Property Provided LURA	Ψ0,000	1.70	7,507		Ψ5,107	Ψ33
Agreement ⁶	\$2,400					
Sub Total (Building E)	\$11,900	3.64	17,369		\$2,609	\$82
Buildings – A, B, C & D	\$11,900	3.04	17,309		\$2,009	ΦOZ
Smart Thermostats	¢27,0E0	13.42	7/ 0/0		¢2.000	\$47
	\$26,950		76,848		\$2,009	•
HVAC Tune-up	\$36,960	15.20	59,110		\$2,432	\$35
Sub Total (Buildings A, B, C & D)	\$63,910					
Grand Total	\$75,810	28.62 kW	135,958 kWh		\$2,233	\$82
Measures Performed in last 10 years at this property		Completion Date			Rebate Amount	
Duct remediation & sealing, solar screens, and attic insulation		05/21/201	05/21/2019 \$60,938.64			

¹ Source: ApartmentTrends.com (https://www.apartmenttrends.com/)

² Per "Letter of Intent to participate" provided by the customer.

³ Cohort Type is determined by the year the property is built and the heating type (either gas or electric).

⁴ Calculation based on 10 cents per kWh.

⁵ Energy (kWh) and dollars (\$) saved per project varies by both the size of the project and the type of heating. Projects with electric heat generally have higher savings than projects with gas heat.

⁶ Land Utilization Restriction Agreement (LURA). The property manager provided LURA documents for Building E, qualifying it for higher rebates for this project. Additionally, the property is being paid supplemental payment of \$2,400 to reimburse for previous improvements performed.

⁷ White Rodger's Legacy thermostats (installed in 2005) being replaced with Nest thermostats resulting in an extra \$25 rebate for transitioning. Tenants may obtain an additional \$85 incentive to participate in Austin Energy's Demand Response program.